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Abstract: Manned missions to Mars and other deep space exploration targets are expected to take place in the next 20 years. These missions will involve prolonged crew exposure to the unique space environment, increasing the risk that astronauts will experience additional physical and psychological health conditions beyond those that would be expected through normal aging on Earth. Thus, there is an acute need to develop therapeutic solutions that can withstand the harsh space environment, while maintaining astronaut health and maximizing crew capabilities to foster successful exploration-class missions. This review covers the nuanced and interdisciplinary challenge that is providing safe and effective pharmaceuticals for future deep space missions. First, the limitations of current pharmaceutical solutions are discussed; the impacts of the space environment on human health and chemical compound stability are covered, along with an evaluation of astronaut medication use on similar missions. Second, potential pharmaceutical solutions and concepts are presented for consideration. Future research should prioritize these and other potential innovations to ensure that our space programs are well equipped to maximize crew safety as astronauts explore deep space objectives.
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1. Introduction

Medicines have constantly evolved throughout history in conjunction with the changing needs of the human race. Herbs, plant components, and other raw natural resources were among the first therapeutic agents used by early humans, who existed in close proximity to each other and their medical supplies. As civilizations eventually advanced and dispersed across new regions, techniques like fermentation were implemented. This and other primitive methods helped humans to preserve crude drugs, and in turn increased human survivability. In modern
pharmacies, advanced preservation technologies and pharmaceutical engineering have allowed medications to satisfy the shelf life demands of a consumer-driven era. In the near future, as humans attempt to explore deep space, astronauts will be exposed to the hostile space environment for years at a time and will likely be unable to receive physical assistance or supplies from Earth. In preparation for such missions, current pharmaceutical solutions must be adapted once again to meet these new challenges.

Given the evident human-health related risks of space travel, effective medical drug treatments will be an integral component of future missions to Mars and beyond. Hence, this report serves as an overview of the need for new pharmaceutical solutions; the challenges of inventing such solutions; and the possible solutions themselves that may, in whole or in part, help to provide effective medications for deep space travel and colonization. It is hoped that the present review will stimulate collaboration between biomedical, space medicine, and engineering communities, in both the public and private sector, to further investigate and solve these challenges.

2. Materials and Methods

The information used to write this narrative review was obtained from 86 sources. A literature search was conducted using the following three electronic databases: PubMed, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Technical Reports Server (NTRS), and ScienceDirect. Searches were performed between April 2020 and October 2020. Search terms included “space pharma,” “medications for space,” and “astronaut health and safety,” and these terms were employed in different combinations. One article was sourced following a recommendation from an expert in the field; the bibliographies of relevant articles were also examined for additional pertinent literature. When thematic areas of focus were found to lack coverage by the originally compiled sources, additional efforts were made to locate peer-reviewed articles in Google Scholar and published materials from NASA that could provide further, in-depth information about these areas. Included sources were limited to relevant works with accessible texts and abstracts published in the English language; therefore, the sources reviewed should not be considered comprehensive. All of the sources used to write this narrative review are referenced at the bottom of this document.
3. **Background**

The following questions, among others, are helpful to define our current understanding of the interdisciplinary topics converging around the greater, multifaceted challenge that is providing pharmaceuticals for deep space exploration.

- What conditions are astronauts likely to face on upcoming missions, and what human health risks do these conditions entail?
- What medications have been stocked on similar terrestrial and space expeditions; have these medications been effective?
- In what ways are existing therapeutic technologies and their effects altered by the space environment?
- How can current technologies and concepts be applied to provide effective pharmaceuticals and improve astronaut health during future deep space missions?

3.1. **Future Space Missions**

For centuries, the desire to explore the unknown has been a driving force for mankind’s innovation. Such explorations are generally undertaken to increase scientific knowledge, extend human presence, acquire natural and economic resources, and to inspire others in the process. Deep space exploration is no exception.

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) has emphasized that one of its main strategic objectives is to “Extend human presence deeper into space and to the Moon for sustainable long-term exploration and utilization” [1][2]. In accordance with this objective, NASA has announced the Artemis missions. The goal of these missions is to send astronauts to the Moon by 2024; beyond this date, NASA aims to create Artemis Base Camp and additional infrastructure on the lunar surface to establish a sustainable lunar presence by the year 2028 [3][4]. Furthermore, these lunar missions will serve to aid and validate the possibility of future deep space missions and the overarching goal to send manned missions to Mars by the 2030’s [4][5].

3.2. **The Space Environment: Impacts on Human Health and Other Organisms**

Before the possibility of space travel, *Homo sapiens* existed within Earth’s protective atmosphere as well as an approximately 1-g environment. By contrast, as deep space missions
take astronauts farther away from Earth’s atmosphere and magnetic field, there are several unique 
pre-flight and post-flight challenges to human health that must be considered. The most clearly 
identified problematic environmental conditions of spaceflight include, but are not limited to, 
increased exposure to radiation due to solar particle events (SPEs) and galactic cosmic rays 
(GCR); microgravity, reduced gravity, and differences between planetary gravitational fields; 
exposure to celestial dust; and changes to circadian stimuli [6]. There are additional factors which 
include those directly associated with the artificial spacecraft living environment and associated technologies: crew member relations and confinement within small quarters; geographic isolation from Earth; food appeal, nutritional quality, and degradation; inadequate crew member training; 
and flaws in technology and mission design (among others) [6][7].

The synergy of environmental and other conditions that astronauts may experience during 
deep space missions can cause numerous major and minor health effects. Nearly every aspect of 
human anatomy and health is affected during spaceflight. Microgravity can decrease bone 
mineral density and lead to early-onset osteoporosis; inadequate, unappealing or degraded food 
can lead to malnutrition and lower morale; and exposure to radiation could increase the chances 
of astronauts developing cancer [7]. Current experiments are attempting to further investigate the 
specific changes that occur to human physiology and the mechanisms by which these changes 
arise. However, there is still a large amount of uncertainty about the details of these changes, both 
due to the lack of test subjects available in space and the difficulties associated with conducting 
experiments in space [8].

It is also known that the immune system is generally weakened or altered during 
spaceflight [9]. Backing these changes are several studies on the International Space Station (ISS) 
that have indicated increased salivary stress hormone levels, decreased T and NK cytotoxic 
effector cell function, and latent herpesvirus reactivation during spaceflight [10]. However, as a 
result of improved ISS operations and infrastructure, astronaut immunocompetence on the ISS 
has increased over time. These immunological improvements are thought to be the results of 
dietary improvements made possible by more frequent ISS resupply, the installation of better 
exercise equipment, more frequent communication with family members, and other 
improvements [10]. A remaining problem is that of astronauts on future missions, who will likely 
be subject to a more confined spacecraft environment for longer time periods, less frequent 
resupply, and other conditions different from those that improved the ISS.
Along with the weakening of the immune system, bacteria have displayed changes to gene expression during spaceflight [11]. Increased antibiotic resistance, virulence, and proliferation in pathogens has also been observed [12]. These results, in addition to findings related to the weakening of the astronaut immune system during spaceflight, suggest that astronauts may be more vulnerable to pathogenic infection during deep space missions [12]. Although bacterial infections can be managed with antibiotics, this type of treatment alters commensal bacteria populations as well as microbiota composition. Such changes to the human microbiome and population dynamics may allow for the proliferation of harmful bacteria and opportunistic pathogens whose populations are normally inhibited by the unaltered microbiome [13]. The unique and sterile spacecraft environment and the various hazard prevention systems give rise to microbial exposure levels that are very different from those on Earth [7]. However, the extent to which microbial exposure is altered and the implications of such alterations for human health on future long-duration space missions are still unknown. Future research aimed at understanding changes in human-microbe interactions during spaceflight may contribute to our understanding of similar interactions and relationships on Earth.

As technology becomes more efficient and cost-effective, the prospect of commercial space travel and “space tourism” becomes more plausible. Several human spaceflight firms like Blue Origin and Space Adventures plan to or already have sent private customers to space [14], and many companies are already taking bookings for future travel [15]. Professional astronauts must go through extensive training in various areas [16], a demanding selection process, and must meet several physical health requirements [17]. Given that most current information and guidelines about human health during spaceflight have been derived from exceptionally healthy, professional astronauts, the increased presence of “space tourists” on future missions may pose additional healthcare challenges due to changes in chronic condition prevalence, lower health standards, and different training regimens [15]. For these newly participating civilian crew members, cultural differences in medical adherence and beliefs [18], in addition to pre-flight prescription and non-prescription medication use, must be assessed as well. Although the commercialization of spaceflight is still far from mainstream, this newly emerging demographic, possibly influencing the types and quantities of pharmaceuticals taken on future missions, is an important factor to consider when discussing the safety of future space travelers.

The ISS currently provides the best experimental environment for representing conditions that astronauts will experience during deep space missions. Several terrestrial analogs [19], such
as extended remote expeditions to Antarctica, share similar environmental characteristics—like isolation, confinement, geographical features and mass and volume limitations—with future Mars missions. However, the unique set of conditions that astronauts will experience on future missions will vary. For instance, future Mars missions may incorporate increased extravehicular activities (EVAs), commonly referred to as “spacewalks” [16]. Such mission-specific variables will alter the medical risk profile for missions; therefore, necessary clinical capabilities and medical supplies will vary according to the mission profile and corresponding mission-specific medical conditions list. NASA developed the Space Medicine Exploration Medical Condition List (EMCL) in 2009 as an overarching list of medical conditions most likely to occur during spaceflight missions. The Integrated Medical Model (IMM) is a statistical model that utilizes the Integrated Medical Model Condition List (IMCL), a compilation similar to the EMCL, to perform probabilistic risk analysis with the inputs of crew and mission details and other stored medical database information from previous missions. The IMM quantitatively assesses the likelihood and outcomes of 100 medical conditions (figure 1) [20]. Then, subject matter experts systematically analyze and interpret results from the IMM to compile a mission-specific Accepted Medical Condition List (AMCL) [21]. Unique AMCLs will assist in the identification and prioritization of necessary medical resources for future exploration spaceflights. For future missions, such medical lists and models must be updated, as previous work has focused primarily on missions in low Earth orbit (LEO) [22]. The addition of space tourists will also likely necessitate the expansion of these lists and models.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal Injury</td>
<td>Dental: Avulsion (tooth loss)</td>
<td>Mouth Ulcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal Wall Hernia</td>
<td>Dental: Crown Loss</td>
<td>Nasal Congestion (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal Uterine Bleeding</td>
<td>Dental: Filling Loss</td>
<td>Nephrolithias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Arthritis</td>
<td>Dental: Toothache</td>
<td>Neurogenic Shock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Cholecystitis / Biliary Colic</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Nose bleed (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Compartment Syndrome</td>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>Otitis Externa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Diverticulitis</td>
<td>Elbow Dislocation</td>
<td>Otitis Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Glaucmna</td>
<td>Elbow Sprain / Strain</td>
<td>Paresthesias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Pancreatitis</td>
<td>Eye Abrasion (from a foreign body)</td>
<td>Pharyngitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Prostatitis</td>
<td>Eye Chemical Burn</td>
<td>Respiratory Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Radiation Syndrome</td>
<td>Eye Corneal Ulcer</td>
<td>Retinal Detachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Sinusitis</td>
<td>Eye Infection</td>
<td>Seizures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic Reaction</td>
<td>Eye Penetration (from a foreign body)</td>
<td>Sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude Sickness</td>
<td>Finger Dislocation</td>
<td>Shoulder Dislocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina / Myocardial Infarction</td>
<td>Finger Dislocation (EVA)</td>
<td>Shoulder Sprain / Strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaphylaxis</td>
<td>Gastroenteritis</td>
<td>Skin Abrasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle Sprain / Strain</td>
<td>Head Injury</td>
<td>Skin Laceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Skin Rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendicitis</td>
<td>Headache (CO₂ induced)</td>
<td>Small Bowel Obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial Fibrillation / Flutter</td>
<td>Hearing Loss</td>
<td>Space Motion Sickness (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back Injury</td>
<td>Herpès Zoster</td>
<td>Stroke (cerebral vascular accident)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back Pain (*)</td>
<td>Hearing Loss</td>
<td>Sudden Cardiac Arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barotrauma (sinus block)</td>
<td>Hemorrhoids</td>
<td>Traumatic Hypovolemic Shock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral Emergency</td>
<td>Hip Sprain / Strain</td>
<td>Toxic Exposure: Ammonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns secondary to Fire</td>
<td>Hip / Proximal Femur Fracture</td>
<td>Traumatic Hypovolemic Shock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic Shock secondary to Infarction</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>Urinary Incontinence (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest Injury</td>
<td>Indigestion</td>
<td>Urinary Retention (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choking / Obstructed Airway</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>Urinary Tract Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation (*)</td>
<td>Insomnia (*)</td>
<td>Vaginal Yeast Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompression Sickness Secondary to EVA</td>
<td>Knee Sprain / Strain</td>
<td>VZV – Visual Impairment / Increased Intracranial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental: Exposed Pulp</td>
<td>Late Insomnia</td>
<td>Pressure (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Caries</td>
<td>Lower Extremity Stress Fracture</td>
<td>Wrist Fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental: Abscess</td>
<td>Lumbar Spine Fracture</td>
<td>Wrist Sprain / Strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medication Overdose / Reaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** The Integrated Medical Model Condition List (IMCL) [20]. Stars (*) indicate conditions that are related to Space Adaptation Syndrome (SAS).

The numerous ways in which astronaut physical and mental health are at risk during spaceflight are evident. Many medical conditions that arise in space will require the use of pharmaceuticals, and others may require surgery [23]. Crew member safety is a top priority, considering the central role of astronauts and other human passengers within the mission framework. Therefore, effective pharmaceutical solutions are crucial and will greatly increase the probability of mission success.

### 3.3. Medication Inventory and Consumption During Similar Scenarios

Just as ISS missions and terrestrial analogs can help to predict the conditions and health issues that may arise during deep space missions [19][24], the medical kits used in these past scenarios may be used to provide a framework for those that should be brought on future explorations. The subsection of the ISS medical system that contains medications is the Health Maintenance System (HMS) (figure 2) [25][26].
HMS Organization

- Emergency Medical Treatment Pack (EMTP)
- Oral Medication Pack (OMP)
- Convenience Medication Pack (CMP)
- Topical and Injectable Medication Pack (TIMP)
- Physician Equipment Pack (PEP)
- Medical Supply Pack (MSP)
- IV Supply Pack (ISP)
- Medical Diagnostic Pack (MDP)
- Minor Treatment Pack (MTP)
- Respiratory Support Pack (RSP)
- Crew Contamination Protection Kit (CCPK)
- Crew Medical Restraint System (CMRS)

Figure 2. The HMS consists of twelve subcomponents that are used to assess and maintain astronaut health.

The CMP contains the medications that are most frequently used; the OMP and TIMP contain medications that are used less often; the MDP, MTP, MSP, ISP and PEP are employed for check-ups, minor treatments, and diagnosis; the CMRS is used to physically stabilize a patient for transport and treatment; the CCPK contains items, such as the eyewash, that help to protect crew members from exposure to environmental contaminants; the RSP contains equipment that provides patients with 100% oxygen at a low flow rate; and the primary purpose of the EMTP is to help sustain life under emergency situations. According to an ISS medical contents list released by NASA in response to an FOIA request in 2016, excluding medical hardware, NASA’s ISS medical packs contain approximately 107 distinct medications in total.

A retrospective examination of American ISS crew member medication use found that sleep medications were used most frequently, with nasal congestion, body pain, rashes, and allergies needing frequent treatment with medications as well; related medication use was reported among submariners and space shuttle astronauts who experienced some conditions that were similar to those present on the ISS. During an earlier ISS mission where medication consumption data was not collected in a standardized fashion, the average number of recorded medication doses consumed, per crew member over the course of one mission, was 12.6; whereas a later investigation, using more standardized data collection techniques, recorded this number to be about 453 medication doses per crew member, over the course of one mission. Such investigations show the importance of proper data collection techniques. On future missions without resupply and medical evacuation capabilities, chronic injuries and conditions may require prolonged medication use and enough stock to last multiple years. Improved medical
documentation practices have made clear the importance of pharmaceutical availability during spaceflight [30], but medication use during spaceflight is still a knowledge gap [31].

Future studies that are able to gather more consistent medication-use and medical data with the use of systematic collection techniques, such as through a dose-tracking application [29], will enable a better understanding of:

- The most common medical conditions that occur during spaceflights
- Avoidable factors that influence human health conditions in space
- What medications are most frequently used by astronauts before and during missions

3.4. Limitations of Current Solutions

Although reviewing the medicine used in previous scenarios may be used to guide the selection of future medical needs and equipment, there are obvious differences between ISS and terrestrial analog missions and the conditions that astronauts will face on exploration-class missions, like a journey to Mars. The ISS, where crew members usually stay for six months at a time, is in constant LEO and typically maintains an orbital altitude of about 255 miles above the Earth, which allows for the frequent resupply of the ISS multiple times per year [16]. Among the supplies that arrive at the ISS are medications that replace older ones six months before they are set to expire [32]. On the other hand, what NASA expects to be a roughly three-year journey to Mars will not include resupply missions or prompt medical evacuations [33]. Unlike the return time from the ISS which is less than a day, Mars-bound astronauts with major medical issues may have to wait up to several months to return to Earth; this is due to the nearly 34 million miles that lie between the two planets when they are closest [33]. If manned missions to Mars stock all therapeutic agents from the start, without resupply or manufacturing capabilities, medical stock and efficacy must adhere to at least a three-year mission framework.

Along with the lack of resupply and medical evacuation capabilities available on longer duration missions, there is also the possibility of modified pharmaceutical efficacy during spaceflight. Records of previous crew members reporting ineffective medications [28] as well as reports of repeated sleep-promoting medication intake during the night [34] suggest that medication efficacy could be reduced during spaceflight.
Altered pharmaceutical shelf life during spaceflight may be one cause of this decreased efficacy. Although true product shelf life variability (i.e. between two different tablets from the same bottle) is a direct consequence of imperfect manufacturing, estimated product shelf life can be predicted in part through stability testing [35]. Shelf life is defined by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as “the time period during which the product is expected to remain stable, or retain its identity, strength, quality, and purity, when it is properly stored according to its labeled storage conditions” [36]. As of 2019, 87% of the medications on the ISS had shelf lives that were less than two years [37]. This suggests that, if a three-year Mars mission carried and stored pharmaceuticals according to the current setup onboard the ISS, the crew would (according to the labeled medication expiration dates) run out of viable medications before the end of the mission. The FDA has claimed that certain drugs may retain stability even after their labeled expiration dates have passed, but current shelf life extensions are specific to the type of medication and extensive testing is required to ensure the safety of such extensions [36]. Additionally, these shelf life estimates and extensions are based on terrestrial storage environments, where the unique factors that impact cargo during deep space exploration are not present.

Experiments that analyzed the stability of certain medications on the ISS have shown that some medications may degrade faster in space [32][38][39]. One experiment compared the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) content of ISS-stored medications and ground control medications after 28 months of storage [38]. Overall, after storage in space, several formulations had a lower percent content or potency of API, and medications stored in space failed potency requirements at a consistently higher rate than those on Earth over each storage period interval [38]. Although temperature and humidity parameters on the ISS were similar to those on Earth [38], increased radiation, altered gravity, and vibrational forces were some of the unique environmental factors that impacted the stored medications in space [32]. Medications with slightly different chemical formulations react differently to these factors, so degradation rate, chemical byproduct toxicity limits, and chemical byproduct composition will differ between individual therapeutic agents. Therefore, characterizing specific degradation products and setting corresponding toxicity limits are important steps to be taken before future missions [32].

Past and future experiments focused on pharmaceutical degradation during spaceflight are limited by experimental resources and the multifactorial nature of the spaceflight environment. When experimentalists attempt to limit the number of environmental variables
acting upon a pharmaceutical sample, there are additional problems that complicate the results. The radiosensitivity of certain chemical compounds in space, for example, is dependent on the specific conditions of the space radiation environment [40]. Due to the difficulties in replicating the space radiation environment on Earth, however, it is difficult to draw conclusions about the impact of the space radiation environment on pharmacological agents [40]. Despite the inherent difficulties of experimentation in space and on Earth, future experiments are necessary before medications used in spaceflight can be held to the same shelf life standards as their terrestrial counterparts. As of now, the usefulness, effectiveness, and safety of individual ISS medications beyond their labeled shelf lives remain a knowledge gap [41].

Spaceflight may also cause pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) [28] alterations as well. PK refers to a medication’s movement throughout the body and how the body processes the medication, while PD relates to the body’s biological response to the medication and how the medication affects the body. Alterations in PK and PD could impact the safety and effectiveness of pharmaceuticals used during spaceflight [28]. Some microgravity-induced physiological changes that are thought to impact PK and PD, such as shifts in fluid distribution towards the upper body, can be simulated in human and animal studies such as terrestrial bedrest studies [42] and rodent suspension studies [43]. However, with the current wide-ranging and inconclusive results, the specific spaceflight-induced changes to medication PK and PD are not yet fully understood [44][37][40]. This knowledge gap exists primarily because of the inability of some terrestrial studies to closely simulate the conditions of the spaceflight environment and the differences in experimental design between studies [37]; the limited number of human subjects available in space is also a limiting factor. In the future, compact organ-on-a-chip platforms may serve as more accessible methods of conducting biological and pharmacological studies in space [82]. Addressing such knowledge gaps is essential to improve our understanding as it relates to pharmaceutical efficacy and safety in space.

3.5. Additional Challenges and Considerations

Engineering and logistical challenges also influence the possible scope of pharmaceutical solutions for future missions. For example, just as the harsh space environment has the ability to damage and alter biological organisms, medical devices and electronics are likewise susceptible to damage from conditions like the space radiation environment [46]. Thus, devices that allow for in situ pharmaceutical manufacturing must be resistant to such conditions.
Additionally, due to the weight and volume limitations of the internal spacecraft environment, compact and lightweight technologies are optimal. As of 2015, the cost to launch one gallon of water to the ISS was about $25,000; during the same year, the total savings, per day, that resulted from recycling water on the ISS added up to about $425,000 [16]. These numbers highlight the tremendous financial burden that may be lifted by recycling consumable resources in space. Further, these costs are likely to be greater for future exploration-class missions. Therefore, it is essential to consider solutions that recycle water and other consumables to free up weight and space for other priority cargo. Even more weight and volume savings can be achieved by introducing effective preventative health care measures, making accurate predictions about medication use, and selecting cargo that serves multiple purposes—for instance, identifying viable medications that can be used to treat a variety of conditions.

Although the space environment poses a number of challenges, it does present some characteristics may be advantageous. A better understanding of planetary resources and the advancement of in situ resource utilization techniques [47], such as mining water ice on Mars [48], may help to establish long-term resource independence despite infrequent resupply. Additionally, experiments in microgravity have suggested that protein crystallization techniques conducted in the space environment produce larger and more visually flawless crystals than those regularly produced on Earth [49]; these benefits may aid future structure-based drug design and pharmaceutical development efforts, providing a potential alternative for industries conducting similar operations on Earth.

Although this is not a comprehensive compilation of such variables that need to be considered, it should be noted that these and other engineering and logistical barriers should influence the design and function of possible solutions.

4. **Possibilities**

Despite the numerous challenges and nuances of the space environment, there are several potential solutions that may help to provide an effective pharmacy for deep space exploration missions. Some of these solutions can be implemented alone, while others can be combined. These interdisciplinary prospects are categorized into four sections: Improving Pharmaceutical Formulations, Pharmaceutical Storage and Packaging, Manufacturing Therapeutics In Situ, and Preventative Measures.
4.1. Improving Pharmaceutical Formulations

The process of drug discovery and development, from identifying a target through getting approval for marketing, often takes more than 12 years, with an estimated cost of about $2.6 billion on average [50]. Thus, rather than starting from the beginning of this process, improving upon current therapeutic agents by employing excipients and stability enhancement methods will be more effective in improving drug stability while continuing to meet budget and flight deadline commitments. For instance, as it relates to photoprotective agents, excipients with antioxidant capacities may be added to drug formulations. One light stability study showed that, as the concentration of β-carotene was increased in methanol solutions containing the drug nisoldipine (a calcium channel blocker used to treat hypertension), the photodegradation rate of nisoldipine decreased as well [51]. Additionally, drug incorporation into liposomes has been shown to significantly increase drug photostability [52]. Excipients may interact with distinct formulations in different ways, so the safety and effectiveness of excipients in the context of specific formulations must be studied. The stability of Aspirin, for instance, when combined with dextrose, starch, cellulose, or stearate has proven to be greater than in Aspirin formulations including silica or aluminum oxide [53]. Excipient degradation in the space environment, along with PK and PD changes, must be further studied as well.

4.2. Pharmaceutical Storage and Packaging

The photodegradation of pharmaceuticals is commonly mitigated by storing medications in opaque, amber-colored plastic or glass containers that can absorb UV light at wavelengths up to 470 nm [54]. Still, further measures must be taken to protect medications from the other types of radiation that exist in the space environment.

The impacts of radiation can be attributed to the energy that a physical object receives as it is infiltrated by a charged particle. The energy of ionizing radiation in the deep space environment, when compared to that which astronauts currently experience on the ISS, is said to be about five times more severe [55]. This radiation may play a role in the degradation of pharmaceuticals [40]. However, on future Mars trips, resupply capabilities, including those able to replenish degraded food and medical supplies, will be unfeasible [33]. Reports from NASA have mentioned the possibility of shipping food [33] and medical supplies [55] to Mars, pre-mission, to be cached and preserved for astronaut accessibility upon arrival. Additionally, cryopreserving pharmacological agents stored on the Martian surface has been previously
proposed as a way to mitigate radiation-induced pharmaceutical degradation [56][55]. It has been shown that cryogenic temperatures can reduce radiation damage to biological samples [57]. However, future studies must investigate the effects of cryogenic preservation on additional formulations and test in conditions that more closely resemble those of the space radiation environment [40]. Compacted lunar soil samples have displayed shielding capabilities against space-like radiation conditions [58]; for scenarios that necessitate pharmaceutical storage on the Moon, a barrier made out of this soil material may be a way to mitigate radiation damage to pharmaceuticals. Future studies should determine the viability of Martian soil for similar applications.

Regarding in-flight storage, both pre-established and deployable, “just-in-time” barriers have been proposed as potential radiation shielding solutions [40]. Such structures, by reducing crew exposure to radiation, will mitigate DNA damage, cancer, other health risks [15][44][59], and the use of more medications to treat these conditions. Simultaneously, pharmaceuticals stored in or moved to these protected areas will be subject to reduced exposure as well [55][40]. In order to achieve minimal mass and volume for this type of protective system, some solutions propose using available mass—like food supplies, water, and compacted trash—to provide shelter on an as-needed basis, rather than carrying additional mass for the sole purpose of radiation shielding [59]. One design proposes a solution where astronaut crew quarters are constructed from water-fillable structural panels; such waterwalls would provide additional water storage capability in addition to deployable radiation protection in the case of an SPE [59]. Although predictive models for such solar events are being developed [60], insufficient forecasting capabilities may limit the effectiveness of such deployable barriers, as they may not be established in time. Additionally, deployable barriers will not protect crew members or medications from GCR, which are a constant source of radiation.

Radiation passing through barriers and packaging material can also create secondary particles that may interact with the pharmaceuticals inside [61][40]. Several polyethylene varieties—incorporating boron, water, and tungsten—may be effective at attenuating primary radiation and avoiding secondary radiation [61][62][63]. Specifically, boron carbide composites are lighter than conventional aluminum shields and display improved mechanical properties when compared to high density polyethylene [62].
Labeled shelf life estimates assume that medications are protected from the environment by their original packaging, but some spaceflight medications undergo pre-flight repackaging in custom containers. This custom packaging saves volume and mass, but could affect pharmaceutical stability by altering exposure to heat, light, humidity, and gases; the packaging itself could interact with the medications as well [32][38][39][64]. The accelerated degradation of medications in previous studies has been attributed to repackaging [32], although the effects of repackaging on pharmaceutical stability are not fully understood [65]. The protective, compatible, safety, and performance capabilities of container closure systems should be decided according to the routes of administration and dosage forms [64].

4.3. Manufacturing Therapeutics In Situ

Future missions will likely extend beyond the terrestrial shelf life limits for many of the medications that are currently included in the medical kits in space. Fortunately, there are several prospective technologies that would make it possible for therapeutic agents to be manufactured on site, or in situ, and on an as-needed basis.

In one investigation, *Lactuca sativa*, lettuce, is being considered as a potential bioproduction platform for recombinant protein therapeutics including trypsin, parathyroid hormone (PTH), and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF); the process, from production to delivery, is proposed to take less than a day and will produce therapeutics useful in treating burns and skin damage, osteoporosis, and acute radiation syndrome, respectively [66]. After the biologics are produced by the plant, affinity chromatography will be performed with the use of plant-made viral immunosorbent nanoparticles for rapid purification [66]. Without processing, modified plants could provide both nutrients and therapeutic benefits when consumed [67]. However, before doing so, the therapeutic compounds present per unit mass of raw plant material and the consistency of such measurements will need to be characterized in order to mitigate incorrect dose consumption.

Even in the absence of genetic modifications, naturally occurring plant-derived phytochemicals and fungi-derived secondary metabolites have numerous known and unknown medical applications [68][69][70]. Additionally, stressors associated with the space environment may activate cryptic metabolic pathways, which could assist in the discovery and production of new medically-applicable compounds [69]. Systems currently used to cultivate plants on the ISS, such as the Passive Orbital Nutrient Delivery System [71] and the Advanced Plant Habitat [72],
may enable future missions to grow medicinal plants. After purification, bioactive secondary metabolites could be used to treat infections, reverse pathogenic drug-resistance, and reduce virulence [61]. Such therapeutics may be necessary, given that certain pathogens may display increased proliferation, antibiotic resistance, and virulence in the space environment [12].

Another technology currently in the developmental stage is a device that will contain synthetic microbes capable of producing active pharmaceutical compounds on demand. Devices will be ingested by astronauts, reside in the gastrointestinal tract, and will be able to produce drugs as needed [73]. As an increasing number of microbial therapeutics move into clinical trials, there are still questions about the manufacturing, storage, and delivery of microbial APIs that remain unanswered [74]. Both plant-based and microbe-based manufacturing processes have precursors that are able to last for the duration of the proposed missions and also require less mass and volume because resources will only be consumed as they are needed. In the future, an expanded range of manufacturable biopharmaceuticals may be capable of addressing more health conditions, which may allow for the reduction of medications brought in medical kits. However, the effect of the space environment on plants and bacteria must be further investigated before the long-term safety and effectiveness of such systems can be determined.

Another possible application from the field of biotechnology is microfluidics, a compact, portable, and modifiable solution for the purification and microparticle encapsulation of drug products through the use of fluid manipulation [75]. Cell-free transcription and translation methods housed in microfluidic systems also present an opportunity for in vivo biopharmaceutical production [76].

Finally, recent advances in three-dimensional pharmaceutical printing technologies further expand the possibilities for on-site therapeutics manufacturing and the personalization of pharmaceutical dose, shape, and dissolution characteristics [77].

4.4. Preventative Measures

Whether it be through adequate nutrition, exercise, greenspaces, or other "countermeasures," improving crew members’ baseline health status by alleviating the negative health effects of the space environment [78] will lessen the drug types and dosages that must be consumed by crew members throughout the length of a given mission. For instance, adequate nutrient and phytochemical consumption is known to improve immune function and decrease the
risks for pathogenic infection [79]. However, while ISS crew members receive periodic resupplies of fresh food [33], providing a healthy diet for future Mars missions will be difficult, as some critical nutrients may degrade well within the mission timeline [33]. Taking dietary supplements, like those for vitamin D, may improve nutritional intake and astronaut health, although these may degrade over the course of a mission [80]. The ability to successfully grow plants onboard future spacecraft may provide astronauts with whole foods and nutrients [81][80] and simultaneously mitigate the degradation issues related to storage. As it pertains to exercise, crew members have performed in-flight routines dating back to the Apollo missions; although participants reported positive perceived health benefits, the type and duration of exercise needed to have a positive impact on human health in the space environment is unclear [82] and must be further investigated. On the psychological side, as with similar journeys to extreme terrestrial destinations, there are numerous mental stresses that have the potential to impact astronauts during spaceflights and colonization efforts [83]. Along with several other countermeasures proposed by NASA, plants and greenhouse environments have been considered as a way to potentially improve astronaut psychological well-being by providing sensory stimulation and a reminder of Earth [84].

While the ISS uses a telemedicine-based health advising system, Earth-to-Mars communications could take up to 44 minutes roundtrip [33]. Therefore, receiving real-time medical advice from experts on Earth will be unfeasible during future missions. Consequently, there will be an increased dependence on fellow crew members and automated systems for healthcare advice, including medication dose, type, and general consumption recommendations for acute conditions. Furthermore, the automation of future mission medical systems may serve as a preventative health measure in itself—for example, automated in-flight degradation analysis [37] and dose-tracking methods [29] may prevent crew members from consuming dangerous, degraded medications and consuming incorrect quantities. Additionally, crew member pharmacogenetic profiles could expand the opportunities for the personalization of medicine; by assessing an astronaut’s individual genetic compatibility with the available medications, adverse reactions, therapeutic ineffectiveness, and incorrect dose administrations could be avoided [85]. Advanced crew member health monitoring systems may allow for the earlier detection of health conditions; this could prevent untreated conditions from developing into more severe cases. Finally, automated systems may reduce the burden of in-flight medical responsibilities for crew members and allow them to allocate time for other tasks, possibly leading to a work environment that is less stressful [86]. Future studies should test the effectiveness and viability of such
physical and psychological health risk mitigation strategies in the context of the space environment and specific mission architecture.

5. Discussion

Before scrutinizing and building upon prospective solutions, several knowledge gaps should be addressed in order to elucidate the specific limitations of current therapeutic agents and determine what features are desired in ideal solutions. It is imperative that future research efforts aim to gather detailed in-flight medication use data, investigate the negative impacts of the space environment on human health, and quantify changes in the safety and efficacy of specific pharmaceuticals after exposure to the space environment. Currently, published information on these topics is insufficient; relevant government agencies, the private sector, and international partners should be mobilized immediately to identify and prioritize these and other similar issues, such that necessary investigations and relevant solutions can be executed in time to support upcoming space missions. Clarifying and expanding upon the ways in which the general public can collaborate with such efforts would also be helpful to stimulate innovation through crowdsourcing. Time is of the essence, as the ISS, currently an unparalleled environment for conducting experiments in the space environment, is expected to be decommissioned in less than a decade. This defines a tight window to promote, design, and deliver key experiments that will underpin future solutions.

In addition to government space programs, future “space tourism” industries will need to provide safe and effective medications for their customers. Furthermore, research and innovation in this seemingly niche domain may have impactful terrestrial applications in remote military, scientific, and cultural settings. For example, rural villages and isolated scientific research outposts may benefit from longer-lasting pharmaceuticals that allow for less frequent resupplies and fewer wasted medications. These and other commercial spin-off opportunities for social impact and return on investment should incentivize the private sector to collaborate.

A major milestone for humanity is expected to take place in the next 20 years, as astronauts on a manned mission to Mars will become the very first humans to step foot on another planet. Ultimately, if we hope to realize our dream of extending human presence deeper into space, safe and effective pharmaceuticals will support astronaut health and thereby hold a central role in improving the probability of successful missions.
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