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Abstract

The nature of the relationship between the communities of microorganisms making up the microbiota in and on a host body has been increasingly explored in recent years. Microorganisms, including bacteria, archaea, viruses, parasites, and fungi, have often long co-evolved with their hosts. In human, the structure and diversity of microbiota vary according to the host’s immunity, diet, environment, age, physiological and metabolic status, medical practices (e.g. antibiotic treatment), climate, season, and host genetics. The recent advent of next generation sequencing (NGS) technologies enhanced observational capacities and allowed for a better understanding of the relationship between distinct microorganisms within microbiota. The interaction between the host and their microbiota has become a field of research into microorganisms with therapeutic and preventive interest for public health applications. This review aims at assessing the current knowledge on interactions between prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities. After a brief description of the metagenomic methods used in the studies analysed, we summarise the findings of available publications describing the interaction between the bacterial communities and protozoa, helminths, and fungi, either in vitro, in experimental models, or in humans. Overall, we observed the existence of a beneficial effect in situations where some microorganisms can improve the health status of the host, while the presence of other microorganisms has been associated with pathologies, resulting in an adverse effect on human health.

Keywords: Microbiota, mycobiota, interactions, host, NGS, metagenomics, culturomics, metabarcoding
1. Introduction

Several microorganisms have been isolated from different body parts of living beings. The community of microorganism living within a body, referred to as “microbiota”, is made up of bacteria, archaea, fungi, protozoa, metazoans (mainly helminths) and viruses. Viruses, including giant viruses, have been found to be part of microbiota (1–3). The microbiota vary from one human to another and its composition and diversity may be influenced by interactions between host genetics, immune response, diet and the physiological and pathological(5) conditions of the environment (4). Other factors potentially influencing the bacterial intestinal microbiome include diet (animal proteins, fatty acids, carbohydrates, processed foods, dietary fibres) (6–8), age (4), stool consistency (9,10), physiological and metabolic status (11,12), medical practices (e.g. antibiotic treatments) (13), seasons (14) climate change (15), seasonal cycles (16) and the host’s genetic background (17–20). The core human microbiota is composed of at least 1,800 genera and up to 40,000 bacterial strains (21) that carry around 10 million non-human genes (22). Studies of human microbiota were carried out by Antonie van Leeuwenhoek at the end of the 17th century following his discovery of “animalcules” through the microscopic observation of human mouth scrapings (23). The microbiological application of DNA-based assays, nucleotide sequencing and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) considerably enhanced capacities to identify microorganisms (24,25).

The opportunistic and pathogenic nature of various microorganisms is increasingly reported in Inflammatory Bowel Disease therapy, fostered by the growing use of immunosuppressive therapies (26). To date, several studies have led to an enlargement of the bacterial microbiota repertoire which is critical to establishing the link between diseases and the bacterial species involved (27,28). While there are many studies on the isolation, identification and phenotypic and genomic characterisation of prokaryotic communities, few studies have aimed at describing eukaryotic communities and their influence on the bacterial microbiota. Studies have shown that Blastocystis protozoa are associated with a healthy digestive microbiota (14,29,30) while protozoa such as Giardia duodenalis (30,32), and Entamoeba histolytica (33) have been linked to dysbiosis situations. In addition, studies have suggested that the gut bacterial community structure is associated with a risk of P. falciparum infection (34) and also of severe malaria (35). The addition of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium probiotics to the gut microbiota has been advocated to reduce Plasmodium parasite density (35). A study reported that Enterobius vermicularis infection in children was associated with an increased gut microbial diversity, and
a higher relative abundance of *Alistipes*, and *Faecalibacterium*, whereas the quantity of Acidaminococcus, Megasphaera, Veillonella, and Fusobacterium was relatively low compared to the non-infected group (36). A lower diversity of mouse gut microbiota and an increase in the relative abundance of *Lactobacillaceae* has been observed following a *Trichuris muris* infection (37). The results of another study pointed out that Nematode infection triggers both qualitative and quantitative changes in the microbiota that can significantly alter the microbial metabolism and thus influence the host’s nutrition and immunity (38). It has been suggested that interactions between bacterial and fungal communities are involved in *Clostridium difficile* infection pathophysiology and in the persistence and recurrence of *Clostridium difficile* infections (39). Moreover, a study comparing fungal microbiota in the guts of healthy subjects and patients with Crohn’s disease highlighted a fungal community dysbiosis in the Crohn’s disease cohort, suggesting that fungi may be involved in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel diseases (40). While studies on the eukaryotic microbiota remain relatively scarce, this review aimed at assessing the current knowledge on interactions between the prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities, derived from studies based on microbial genomics, metagenomics, and/or culturomics approaches.

2. Methods

2.1 Literature search strategy

We searched for articles in PubMed and Web of Science. We used the following Mesh terms in PubMed by limiting articles to those on the microbiota (human, animal) and by publication date (20 March 2008 to 3 February 2020): (“parasites” [mesh Major Topic] or “protozoa” [All Fields] or “protozoan infections/parasitology” [Mesh terms] or “helminths” [Mesh major topic] or “helminthiasis/drug therapy” [Mesh terms] or “fungi” [Mesh major topic] or “mycobiome” [Mesh terms]) and (“Bacteria/microbiology” [Mesh major topic] OR “gastrointestinal microbiome” [Mesh terms]) and (“2008/03/20” [PDat]: “2020/02/03” [PDat] AND (“humans” [Mesh terms] OR “animals” [Mesh terms: noexp])). The search for articles on the Web of Science was carried out according to the keywords as follows: ((microbiota AND parasites AND host parasite interactions) OR protozoan infections AND metagenomics OR gastrointestinal microbiome)). The results of the search were refined by category and by date: (microbiology OR parasitology) AND publication years: (2016 OR 2011 OR 2017 OR 2009 OR 2015 OR 2008 OR 2012 OR 2018 OR 2013 OR 2014 OR 2010). We excluded these
categories of research: (biochemistry molecular biology OR virology OR immunology OR cell biology OR dentistry oral surgery medicine OR marine freshwater biology OR ecology OR public environmental occupational health) and [excluding] Web of Science categories: (food science technology OR pharmacology pharmacy OR biochemical research methods).

2.2 Data extraction

The selection of PubMed articles was compared to that of Web of science to eliminate duplicates in the bibliographic database, Zotero (www.zotero.org). We selected the articles obtained by the PubMed and Web of Science databases that refer to the community of prokaryotes and eukaryotes in the title and the text. These articles were included for drafting the review. Some articles come from their bibliographic references (see Figure 1 for details).
Figure 1: Flow diagram of the selection of articles and data extraction
3. Results

The search for articles corresponding to the MeSH terms used in the PubMed search database resulted in 387 articles. By focusing on animal and human microbiota and limiting research to the publication range to between 20 March 2008 and 3 February 2020, 378 articles were retained. The Web of Science search database generated 1,057 articles using the keywords mentioned above. By limiting the research to the domain of microbiology and parasitology and to the year of publication (2008–2018), 136 articles were retained. The evaluation of the title and text of 378 PubMed articles and 136 Web of Science articles involving the bacterial community in relation to protozoa, helminths and fungi resulted in 125 and 22 articles, respectively. The introduction of eligible articles by both search engines into the bibliographic database, Zotero (www.zotero.org), eliminated duplicates and 132 articles were retained. Considering reviews of the relationships between bacterial and protozoa, helminths, or fungal communities, 21 articles of interest were added to the bibliographic database and 153 articles were included for qualitative synthesis.

In this preliminary section, we will briefly describe the methods that were used to characterise the microbial communities in the articles analysed including: culture; qPCR; denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE); cloned 16S rRNA amplicon; high-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454 pyrosequencing); high throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina); sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Ion Torrent); whole metagenome shotgun sequencing (Illumina); and whole metagenome shotgun 454 sequencing.

3.1 Culturomics and culture-based methods

Culture-based methods are traditionally used for isolating bacteria from the digestive tract. The majority of bacteria cannot be cultivated in the conventional laboratory environment, resulting in an underestimation of the actual richness of species and an overestimation of the importance of the species that grow disproportionately well under standard laboratory conditions (41,42). Culture has enabled the development of new knowledge, which is important in the identification of antibiotic resistance, the study of virulence, genomic sequencing of microorganisms and the detection of organisms in low abundance, such as Clostridium difficile, by using selective growth media (42,43). “Culturomics” refers to the intensive culture of bacteria using different types of media and conditions (i.e. aerobic and anaerobic) and often adding nutrients to the culture media to mimic natural habitat conditions. Culturomics has contributed significantly to the discovery of new bacterial species (44).
3.2 Polymerase chain reaction

Quantitative real time PCR (qPCR) assays are commonly used to detect specific microorganisms. This tool can be used to detect all the members of a given taxon present in a sample, thus estimating the abundance of this taxon within the studied microbiota. qPCR requires primers and probes that are specific to a given taxon and allows quantification of the amplicons. However, it is prone to PCR biases, including amplification errors, formation of chimeric and heteroduplex molecules, and preferential amplification. Increasing the resolution requires a set of primers with different specific probes to target each taxon which can be amplified either within the same reaction (known as “multiplexing”), or separately. Multiplexing further complexifies the procedure and increases the time and cost required to obtain a relatively limited amounts of data (42).

3.3 Denaturing and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE)

Denaturing and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) consists of separating DNA fragments on an electrophoresis gel containing a denaturing agent (such as urea, formamide) according to their physical-chemical properties into a series of bands whose characteristics can be compared between communities (42). The DGGE method has been widely used for the study of bacterial genetic diversity (45). However, it is limited by the lack of taxonomic resolution. It makes it possible to compare different community structures but cannot identify the taxa accounting for that difference (42). The DGGE method has the advantage, at a lower cost, of rapidly developing an image of the diversity and structure of microbial communities from several environmental samples. It has been used in the analysis of complex communities, in monitoring population dynamics, in the detection of sequence heterogeneities, in the comparison of DNA extraction methods yields, in the detection of clone banks, and in the determination of PCR and cloning biases (46,47). DGGE makes it possible to cut, re-amplify and sequence the bands to obtain taxonomic information (42,48,49).

DGGE assays are limited by the heterogeneous effectiveness of DNA extraction procedures (50), PCR biases (see before) (51), and potential contamination during DNA and PCR extraction (45). It has been noted that only fragments below 500 bp can be separated by DGGE, thus limiting sequence information. Furthermore, interpretation can sometimes be difficult as bands at similar positions do not necessarily correspond to identical sequences but may be sequences which share the same melting behaviour (52).
3.4 Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons or 16S metabarcoding

The 16S rRNA metabarcoding method is the most widely used method to analyse the bacterial microbiome. It uses the 16S rRNA gene barcode for taxonomic classification, which contains highly conserved regions, present in the majority of bacterial genomes, and hypervariable regions that allow taxa to be discriminated (42). The sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene is simplified and provides a high depth of taxonomic resolution (53).

The Sanger sequencing method can perform reads with lengths of more than ~1000 bp and a raw accuracy per base of up to 99.99%. High throughput shotgun Sanger genomic sequencing is useful for small projects from kilobase to megabase and the technology is likely to be excellent within a short period of time (54). Second generation DNA sequencing, using alternative DNA sequencing strategies, has been categorised using micro electrophoretic methods, hybridisation sequencing, real-time observation of single molecules, and cyclic network sequencing (55–59). Below, we list some technologies commercialised in the cyclic network sequencing category (e.g. 454 (used in the 454 Genome Sequencer, Roche Applied Science; Basel), Solexa Technology (used in the Illumina Genome Analyser (San Diego)), SOLiD platform (Applied Biosystems; Foster City, CA, USA), Polonator (Dover/Harvard) and the HeliScope Single Technology Molecule Sequencer (Helicos; Cambridge, MA, USA) (54).

Historically, as the first-generation sequencing commercially available system, the 454 Roche pyrosequencer provided long read lengths to obtain a highly informative 16S RNA fraction (60). The second-generation using cyclic-array strategies, has many advantages over Sanger sequencing, and can be summarised as follows: 1) the generation of sequencing characteristics obtained following the construction of a sequencing library, followed by in vitro clonal amplification, allows several choke points to be overcome; 2) sequencing through cyclic-array strategies provides a higher degree of parallelism than conventional capillary-based sequencing; 3) the cost of DNA sequence production can be reduced by adjusting the volume of the reagent from microlitres to femtolitres when immobilising array elements on a planar surface that can be processed by a single volume. Second-generation sequencing has, however, some disadvantages, including the length of the reads and raw precision which is lower when compared to Sanger sequencing (54).

Recently, sequencing technology has evolved to bench-top sequencers within the reach of small laboratories, namely the 454 GS Junior, the Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine (PGM) and Proton, and the Illumina MiSeq and NextSeq 500. These bench-top sequencers offer multiple
advantages over large-scale sequencers. They can provide fewer reads per run and fewer bases per dollar. They are more adaptable, faster, and their low acquisition and operating costs make them affordable. The benchtop next-generation sequencers are described as being better suited for environmental microbiology studies given the generation of large amounts of sequence data with maximum yields of ~35 Mbp (454 GS Junior), ~2 Gbp (PGM Ion Torrent), ~10-15 Gbp (Ion Torrent Proton), ~10 Gbp (Illumina MiSeq), and ~100 Gbp (Illumina Next Seq 500) (53).

3.5 Whole genome shotgun (WGS)

Significant advantages of the WGS method have been reported in bacterial microbiome analysis studies. The WGS method has been suggested as an alternative to the 16S RNA sequencing method, which is a method used to sequence random DNA strands. The main advantages of the WGS method are that taxa can be more precisely defined at the species level. Noted that 16S RNA sequencing and the WGS method use distinct databases for taxa classification (61–64). Sequencing the entire genome of the shotgun has multiple advantages over the 16S amplicon method, including a higher sensitivity in the detection of bacterial species, an increase in the detection of diversity, and an increase in the prediction of genes. In addition, the increase in nucleotide sequence length, due either to long reads or to the assembly of contigs, has considerably improved the accuracy of species detection. Nevertheless, WGS is more expensive than the 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing method and requires more in-depth data analysis (65). It may also be necessary to sequence a high-coverage genome in order to identify and understand the genes of a bacterial taxon.

3.6 Impact of eukaryotes on bacterial communities

After this brief introduction to the methods that were used to characterise the microorganism communities in the studies we analysed, we will further detail the i) in vitro, ii) experimental, and iii) clinical data that is available on the interaction between bacterial communities and 1) protozoa, 2) helminth, and 3) fungi.

3.6.1 Impact of protozoa on bacterial community diversity

In this section, we describe the influence of protozoa (Cryptosporidium parvum, Giardia sp., Blastocystis sp, Entamoeba spp., Plasmodium yoelii, Leishmania infantum, Toxoplasma gondii, Trichomonas vaginalis, Cystoisospora) on bacterial diversity in humans and animals.

The presence of Cryptosporidium parvum has been described as upsetting the native intestinal microbiota in mice, with a taxonomic analysis showing an increased abundance of the phylum
unaltered Bacteroidetes, Porphyromonadaceae and Prevotellaceae in the infected groups (66). The presence of Giardia sp. has been associated with various changes in microbiota diversity. Several studies relating to the faecal microbiota in animal models or human cohorts have reported an increased abundance of the phylum Firmicutes among infected subjects (67–69). A highly heterogeneous description of the diversity of gut microbiota during Blastocystis sp. infection has been reported in various studies, although they all seem to conclude in favour of a beneficial impact on the gut microbiota (70–72). Divergences have been observed regarding the relative abundance of some species (e.g. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Prevotella), however some associations such as the negative association between Blastocystis spp. and Bacteroides in stool samples have been consistently reported (29,70–72). Entamoeba spp. infection has been shown to disturb the bacterial microbiota by increasing its diversity (73). However, given the variability of the tools used to study the microbiota, there are discrepancies regarding the abundance of some bacteria (e.g. Prevotella copri during Entamoeba spp. infection) (33,73). Other protozoa play a role in modulating the microbiota of bacteria. Thus, a reduction in the diversity of the microbiota has been described during infection with Plasmodium yoelii (35) and Leishmania infantum (74). The abundance of some bacterial genus of medical interest, such as Lactobacillus, increases during Toxoplasma gondii infections (35,75) and decreases during Trichomonas vaginalis infections (76). Similarly, Bifidobacterium abundance increases during Cystoisospora infections in cats (65) and decreases during Toxoplasma gondii infections in mice (75). A study has shown that Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, when used as a probiotic in mice infected with Plasmodium yoelii, resulted in decreased Plasmodium load (78).

### 3.6.2 Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure

In this section, we will analyse the interactions between protozoa and the bacterial communities, in vitro and in vivo, from experimental or clinical studies (Table 1). We describe the impact of Giardia spp., Cryptosporidium parvum, Toxoplasma gondii, Plasmodium spp., Leishmania infantum, Cystoisospora spp., Blastocystis spp., Entamoeba spp., Dientamoeba fragilis, and Trichomonas vaginalis on the gut microbial community in vitro, in animals and in humans.

An in vitro study concerning the interaction between Giardia intestinalis and different lactobacilli demonstrated that both the Lactobacillus acidophilus NCC 2628 strain isolated from dog faeces and the probiotic Lactobacillus johnsonii La1 significantly inhibited the proliferation of G. intestinalis trophozoites (79).
In experimental studies, Barash et al. used cultivation-independent methods to evaluate microbial diversity and the impact of *Giardia* infection on the gut microbiota by infecting mice with *Giardia lamblia*. In this study, infection with *Giardia lamblia* was associated with an increase in Proteobacteria diversity and a decrease in Firmicutes and Melainabacteria diversity in the foregut and hindgut (80). The authors showed that the microbial structure due to *Giardia* associated-dysbiosis differed depending on the region of the gut. Thus, during giardiasis, the relative abundance of Rhodocyclaceae increased in the proximal small intestine, while an enrichment of Moraxellaceae, Flavobacteriales, Comomonadaceae, and Bacteroidales was observed throughout the small intestine, and Clostridiales were depleted across the intestinal tract (80). In contrast, germ-free mice who received *Giardia*-infected microbiota showed an increase in Firmicutes, associated with a decrease in Phascolarctobacterium (69). V4 region 16S metabarcoding with the Ion Torrent PGM™ platform showed an increase in Catenibacterium, Pseudomonas, and Howardella and a decrease in Bacteroides and Pseudobutyribrio following *Giardia duodenalis* infection in the gut bacterial communities of healthy dogs. The study of the structure and composition of gut microbiomes from healthy dogs and cats with or without *Giardia* infection and coccidia demonstrated an increase in Roseburia and a decrease in the abundance of Subdoligranulum following *Giardia cati* infection in cats. An increase in Bifidobacterium, Olsenella, Megamonas, Geobacillus, Meiothermus, Bacillus, Camonas, Schlegelella, Chelatococcus, Silanimonas was also associated with the presence of *Cystoisospora* in cats (77). The study of the disturbance of the faecal bacterial microbiota of mice infected with *Cryptosporidium parvum* by metabarcoding analysis showed that Bacteroidetes, Prevotellaceae and Porphyromonadaceae unclassified OTUs were over-represented in *C. parvum* infected mice, whereas distinct Porphyromonadaceae and unclassified Bacteroidetes OTUs were over-represented in the non-infected mice (66). Another study showed that severe *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in mice was associated with an increased abundance of Proteobacteria and decreased abundance of Firmicutes (81). Regarding changes to the intestinal microbiota during *Toxoplasma gondii* ileitis, both metagenomic and quantitative PCR analyses of the intestinal bacterial microbiota in NOD2+/− mice and C57BL/6 wild type mice showed an increase in Enterobacteria, Enterococci and Bacteroidetes/Prevotella species during *T. gondii* ileitis. In particular, the total eubacterial load increased only in NOD2+/− mice (75). Furthermore, *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in mice led to an overgrowth of Clostridia spp. within the gut microbiota during the chronic stage of the disease, unrelated to the symptomatology (82). Regarding *Plasmodium* infection, Villarino et al. (35) used metagenomic analysis to show that the abundance of Clostridiales,
Erysipelotrichaceae, Lactobacillaceae, and Peptostreptococcaceae increased in resistant (Jax and Tac) mice to *Plasmodium yoelii*, whereas the abundance of Bacteroidaceae, Prevotellaceae and Sutterellaceae increased in susceptible (NCI and Har) mice. In addition, these authors showed that the abundance of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium increased in mice resistant to *Plasmodium yoelii* and their use as probiotics decreased parasitic load. The study of *Plasmodium chabaudi* infection in mice showed an enhanced intestinal bacterial translocation during *Plasmodium* infection, promoting non-typhoidal *Salmonella* bacterial dissemination from the intestinal tract (83). Regarding *Leishmania infantum*, the metabarcoding analysis of the bacterial community within the midgut of one of its vectors, the sand fly Lutzomyia longipalpis, showed a progressive decrease in bacterial richness and Pseudomonadaceae abundance, whereas the abundance of Acetobacteraceae progressively increased following infection. The results of microbial community Fisher's linear discriminant analysis (LDA) showed that members of the Actinobacteria phylum (e.g. Tsukamurella, Tsukamurellaceae, Coprococcus, Porphyromonadaceae, Kocuria, Pigmentiphaga) were predominant in sand flies infected by *L. infantum* (74). In humans with *Leishmania donovani* complex associated with visceral leishmaniasis, 16S metabarcoding showed that Ruminococcaceae UCG-014 and Gastranaerophilales_uncultured bacterium were less abundant than in controls, and 18S rRNA metabarcoding showed an increase in Pentatrichomonas sp. and a decrease in Entamoeba sp. compared to controls. In the same subjects, a higher *Blastocystis* abundance was associated with a high bacterial diversity and a relatively low *Escherichia-Shigella* abundance. In addition, high *Blastocystis* abundance was associated with a relatively low Bacteroidaceae and high Clostridiales vadin BB60 abundance (84).

In one clinical study of *Blastocystis* spp. and intestinal bacterial microbiota interactions in cirrhotic patients with or without hepatic encephalopathy, 16S metabarcoding found a relatively high abundance of Alkaliphilus and Flavobacterium populations and a relatively low abundance of Veillonella and Streptococcus populations in *Blastocystis*-positive patients without hepatic encephalopathy (70). Another 16S metabarcoding study on the impact of *Blastocystis* colonisation on the diversity of human gut bacterial microbiota found an increase in the relative abundance of the genera Acetanaerobacterium, Acetivibrio, Coprococcus, Hespellia, Oscillibacter, Papillibacter, Sporobacter and Ruminococcus in patients colonised by *Blastocystis* spp. than in *Blastocystis*-free patients. At the class level, this study reported that Clostridia abundance increased whereas Enterobacteriaceae decreased in patients with *Blastocystis* spp. (71). A study carried out in Malian children colonised by *Blastocystis* has
shown similar results with higher microbiota diversity and more abundant beneficial bacteria. The phyla *Firmicutes*, *Elusimicrobia*, *Lentisphaerae*, *Euryarchaeota* and the species of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* (family *Ruminococcaceae*) and *Roseburia* sp. (family *Lachnospiraceae*) were associated with *Blastocystis* colonisation (85). In terms of *Entamoeba* spp., a prospective cohort study of clinical enteric infections, used qPCR detection to reveal a significantly higher level of *Prevotella copri* in infants with diarrhoea due to *Entamoeba histolytica*, whereas the level of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* was standard (33). The presence of *Entamoeba dispar* and/or *E. histolytica* was associated with a decrease in the relative abundance of *Prevotella copri*, an increase in *Clostridiales Christensenellaceae*, *Elusimicrobiales Elusimicrobiaceae*, and *Spirochaetaceae Treponema*, by 16S metabarcoding of the digestive microbiota in Pygmy hunter-gatherers and in the Bantu in Cameroon. *Clostridiales* and *Ruminococcaceae* displayed a significantly greater abundance in individuals with *Entamoeba* spp. (86). Some studies analysed the interaction between the simultaneous presence of multiple protozoa and the bacterial microbiota. One study aiming to assess the association between *Blastocystis* spp., *Dientamoeba fragilis* and intestinal bacteria, used qPCR and found a relative abundance of *Bacteroides* which was significantly higher in *Blastocystis* spp. and *Dientamoeba fragilis* negative groups compared to groups with a least one of these protozoa positive groups. The relative abundance of *Clostridial* cluster IV was significantly lower in the *Blastocystis*-positive/*Dientamoeba*-negative group compared with the *Blastocystis*-negative/*Dientamoeba*-positive group and the relative abundance of *Clostridial* cluster XIVa was higher in the *Blastocystis*-negative/*Dientamoeba*-negative group compared with the *Blastocystis*-positive/*Dientamoeba*-negative group (29). By studying the impact of *Giardia duodenalis*, *Entamoeba* spp. and *Blastocystis hominis* infections on the human gut microbiota using qPCR analysis, Lebba *et al.* found that *Giardia* spp. infection was associated with a dysbiotic condition explained by a slightly increase in *Escherichia coli* levels and increase in *Bifidobacterium*. Furthermore, *Entamoeba* spp/*Blastocystis hominis* were associated with a eubiotic condition described as a significantly higher *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*- *Escherichia coli* ratio in the faecal bacterial community in people from Côte d’Ivoire (72).

The impact of protozoa on the composition and structure of the vaginal microbiota has also been studied using a similar approach. The relationship between vaginal bacterial community and *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection showed a decreased abundance in *Lactobacilli* and an increased abundance of *Mycoplasma, Parvimonas*, and *Sneathia* (76).
3.6.3 **Influence of helminths on bacterial community diversity**

In this section, we will summarise available data regarding the interactions between helminths and bacterial communities, in experimental or clinical studies.

According to experimental studies, *Trichiuris* spp. mono-infection has no impact on the diversity of the gut microbiota in the porcine colon (87,88), but when it comes to mixed infections, the data diverge: one study reported reduced bacterial diversity in children with a mixed infection involving *T. trichiura* and *Ascaris lumbricoides* (89), whereas others showed an increase in bacterial diversity among helminth-infected adults and children with *Trichuris*, hookworms and/or *Ascaris* (90,91). *Trichiuris* spp. infection has been associated in humans with an increased abundance of the *Prevotella* genus (89,90) but a significant reduction in their proportions of the microbiota in mice infected with *T. muris* (92). Likewise, clinical studies concerning the bacterial diversity of the gut microbiota report an enrichment of bacterial taxa among *Ascaris*-infected patients for some (91) and a reduced overall diversity during *Ascaris* spp. infection for others (89); however an experimental study on the analysis of the gut microbiota composition in pigs infected with *A. suum* showed a trend for increased microbial diversity (93). A higher abundance of *Prevotella* has also been observed with the presence of *Ascaris* spp alone or in combination with other helminths (93,94). Also, *Necator americanus* infection has been associated with an increased in the species richness but not in the bacterial diversity in patients with celiac disease (95). An increase in bacterial community diversity has also been found in mixed infections with *Leidynema appendiculatum*, *Hammerschmidtiiella diesingi*, *Thelastoma bulhoesi* in both *Periplaneta fuliginosa* and *Periplaneta americana* cockroach species (96). Similarly, bacterial community diversity was also higher in *Ovis aries* sheep infected by larval-stage *Haemonchus contortus* (97); after *Enterobius vermicularis* infection (36), and *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Schistosoma haematobium* infections (98) in children. The high abundance of *Proteobacteria* and lower abundance of *Firmicutes* has been observed in mixed *Leidynema appendiculatum*, *Hammerschmidtiiella diesingi* and *Thelastoma bulhoesi* infections in cockroaches (96). *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Schistosoma haematobium* infections in children showed a high abundance of *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* (98). In addition, *Enterobius vermicularis* infection in children was associated with an increased abundance in *Bifidobacterium longum* and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* species, and was associated with greater bacterial community diversity (99).
3.6.4 Impact of helminths on bacterial community structure

The influence of Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri, Trichuris spp., Hymenolepis diminuta, Trichostongylus retortaeformis, Toxocara cati, Leidynema appendiculatum; Hammerschmidtella diesingi; Thelastoma bulhoesi, Enterobius vermicularis, Ascaris lumbricoides, Necator americanus, Schistosoma haematobium on the microbiota of bacteria in the host (human or animal) has been described (Table 2). We found no in vitro studies aiming at dissecting the interaction between helminths and bacterial communities.

In experimental studies, Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri infection was associated with a significant increase in Lactobacillaceae abundance, using 16S rRNA Sanger sequencing and qPCR, in the ileum and with improved disease in an inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) mouse model (100). In Apodemus flavicollis, 454-pyrosequencing 16S V1V3 metabarcoding showed that Lachnospiraceae abundance increased in H. polygyrus infected mice and decreased in Syphacia spp. infected mice. In addition, Syphacia infection was associated with a decrease in of Firmicutes (Lactobacillus) OTUs, as opposed to H. polygyrus. An increase in the unidentified bacteria belonging to the phylum of Bacteroides (S24–7 OTUs) was observed in mice infected by Hymenolepis spp (101). Also, γ-Proteobacterial/Enterobacteriaceae and abundance of the bacteria of the Bacteroides/Prevotella group in the caecum, assessed via qPCR, was increased 14 days after Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri infection in mice (102). In an obese mouse model, Heligmosomoides polygyrus infection suppressed weight gain and increased the abundance of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria phyla, as was the case for Bacillus and Escherichia genera, in the gut bacterial community (103). In Schistosoma mansoni infected mice, gut bacterial diversity decreased, and Akkermansia muciniphila (phylum Verrucomicrobia) and Lactobacillales abundance increased compared to controls (104). Trichuris muris infection in mice decreased the bacterial diversity of the large intestine and an increase the relative abundance of Lactobacillaceae was observed. This alteration in the bacterial community structure resulted in greater abundance of Alistipes, Odoribacter, and Parasutterella, and a decrease in Allobaculum and Barnesiella (37). A further study using 454 pyrosequencing showed that Trichuris muris infection resulted in a decrease in the diversity and abundance of Bacteroidetes, namely Prevotella and Parabacteroides genera in the faecal bacterial communities in mice (105). Infection with the trematode Hymenolepis diminuta has been associated with a decrease in Actinobacteria and Tenericutes and an increase in Bacteroidetes (106). In another study, Hymenolepis diminuta infection produced a significant change in 48 OTUs, assessed via V4 region 16S metabarcoding, of the gastrointestinal bacterial
community of rats (107). The treatment of *H. diminuta* infection triggered an increase in the abundance of uncultured Bacteroidales family S24-7 and Ruminococcaceae and Mollicutes RF39 order. Also, the genera *Turicibacter* and *Sutterella*, and Erysipelotrichaceae were significantly more abundant in non-infected rats (107). Illumina MiSeq V4 16S metabarcoding in rats showed that *H. diminuta* infection altered the *Firmicutes* species structure with an increase in *Clostridia* and a decrease in *Bacilli* (108).

Analysis of the duodenal microbiota of rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) experimentally infected with *Trichosstrongylus retortaeformis* by 454 pyrosequencing V3-V5 16S metabarcoding found an increase in *Leptospiraceae* and *Desulfobacteraceae*, and *Leptomena* and *Desulfocella*, whereas the abundance of *Porphyromonadaceae* and *Bacteroidaceae* was higher in controls (109).

Analysis of the intestinal microbiota in cats experimentally infected with *Toxocara cati* by Illumina MiSeq V3–V4 16S metabarcoding showed that i) *Firmicutes*, *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were abundant in all samples; ii) *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Jeotgalicoccus*, and *Jeotgalicoccus psychrophilus* were less abundant in infected cats; whereas iii) there was a decrease in *Collinsella stercoris*, *Enterococcus cecorum*, *Ruminococcus gnavus*, *Dorea*, and *Lactobacillales* in non-infected cats (110).

In addition, 16S rRNA metabarcoding showed that *Ascaris suum* infection affects the microbial communities in the faecal and proximal colon of pigs. Significant changes in the abundance of *Prevotella* and *Faecalibacterium* and metabolic pathways have been observed following infection with *Ascaris suum*. A significant positive correlation was found between node connectivity of the operational taxonomic units assigned to *Proteobacteria* (especially the family *Alcaligenaceae*) and faecal acetate and propionate levels. However, the family *Porphyromonadaceae* was positively correlated with faecal egg counts (111). *Trichuris suis* experimental infection in pigs induced a decreased abundance of *Fibrobacter* and *Ruminococcus* and an increased abundance of *Campylobacter* in the colon microbiota, assessed using Illumina HiSeq 2000 16S metabarcoding (87). Another study on alterations in the porcine colon microbiota when experimentally infected with *Trichuris suis* showed a decreased abundance of *Ruminococcus*, *Oscillibacter* and *Succinivibrio* and an increased abundance of *Mucispirillum* and *Paraprevotella* using 16S metabarcoding and whole-genome shotgun (WGS) sequencing (88). The study of the gut bacterial community after therapeutic *Trichuris trichiura* infection of macaques with chronic idiopathic diarrhoea showed an increase the genus...
Streptophyta of the phylum Cyanobacteria using Illumina MiSeq V4 16S metabarcoding (112). The Illumina MiSeq V3V4, V5V7 16S rRNA metabarcoding of the ovine gut bacterial community at different stages of Haemonchus contortus infection showed a relatively increased abundance of Pseudomonas, Ochrobactrum, Escherichia/Shigella and Azotobacter genera, at the egg stage; followed by Achromobacter, Lentibacillus, Pseudomonas, Ochrobactrum, Kroppenstedtia, Dokdonella, Bacillus, Delftia, Oceanobacillus, Azotobacter, Pseudaminobacter and Candidatus Accumulibacter, at the larval stage; and Escherichia-Shigella, Pseudomonas; and Ochrobactrum genera, at the adult stage (97). V3-V4 16S metabarcoding characterisation of the equine gut commensal flora when infected with low and high numbers of cyathostomin eggs, showed that the Methanomicrobia (class) and Dehalobacterium (genus) were more abundant in equines experimentally infected with lower egg counts compared to those infected with higher egg counts (113).

Regarding clinical studies, Illumina MiSeq V4-16S metabarcoding of the gut bacterial community of primary school children from Taiwan showed that Enterobius vermicularis infection was associated with increased gut bacterial diversity and mebendazole treatment was associated with a further increased gut bacterial diversity. Enterobiasis and mebendazole deworming were both associated with a relatively high abundance of Bifidobacterium longum, Oscillospira sp., Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Alistipes. Mebendazole treatment induced a relative decrease in Acidaminococcus intestini, Megasphaera elsdenii, Veillonella dispar, Fusobacterium varium; and a relative increase in Collinsella aerofaciens, and Streptococcus thermophilus (36). 454 pyrosequencing V3V5 16S metabarcoding of the gut bacterial community in school children in Ecuador found no evidence for changes associated with Trichuris trichiura infection, but mixed T. trichiura and Ascaris lumbricoides infection was associated with a reduced bacterial diversity and a decreased proportional abundance of a few genera in the Clostridia class of Firmicutes (114). Another Illumina MiSeq V4 16S metabarcoding study found higher species richness and abundance of Paraprevotellaceae, Mollicutes, Bacteroidales, and Alphaproteobacteria in the gut bacterial community of Malaysian villagers infected with the soil-transmitted helminths, Trichuris spp., Ascaris spp., and hookworm (115). In patients with coeliac disease on a gluten free diet, 454 pyrosequencing V1V3-V3V5 16S metabarcoding of the intestinal microbiota showed that experimental Necator americanus infection was associated with significant increases in microbial species richness despite maintaining the bacterial composition of the intestinal flora (95). It has been reported by sequencing of the V3V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA with the Illumina MiSeq system that
the bacteria of Verrucomicrobiae, Verrucomicrobiales, Verrucomicrobiaceae and Enterobacteriaceae, Lactococcus, Akkermansia and a genus belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae and Akkermansia muciniphila had increased abundance in patients from Sri Lanka with intestinal helminths (Ascaris, Trichuris, Hookworm). However, Leuconostocaceae and Bacteroidaceae and Bacteroides were less common in patients infected with worms compared to those who were either not infected or under anti-helminthic prophylaxis (38). A study in Liberia and Indonesia, using Illumina MiSeq and 454 pyrosequencing V1 V3 16S of the gut microbiota metabarcoding showed that Lachnospiraceae were associated with the absence of soil-transmitted helminth (Ascaris lumbricoides, Trichuris trichiura, Necator americanus) infection, whereas 12 bacterial taxa were significantly associated with helminth infections, including Olsenella, the abundance of which significantly decreased after anthelmintic treatment. Successful anthelmintic treatment was associated with the presence of Clostridium_IV, Turicibacter, and Collinsella. Akkermansia and Ruminococcus were significantly associated with both infection at baseline and the prevention of parasite clearance (116). Illumina MiSeq V3V4 16S metabarcoding of the bacterial community in children’s guts showed that Schistosoma haematobium infection was associated with decreased abundance of Firmicutes and an increased abundance of Proteobacteria. In particular, the genus Prevotella was significantly more abundant in children infected with schistosomiasis (98).

Another study on children from Côte d’Ivoire who were exposed to Schistosoma mansoni used 16S metabarcoding of the bacterial community and revealed that Schistosoma mansoni infection in children in Côte d’Ivoire was not associated with gut dysbiosis but Fusobacterium spp. abundance was positively correlated with the clinical efficacy of praziquantel treatment (117).

### 3.6.5 Impact of fungi on the diversity of bacterial communities

Like protozoa and helminths, fungi, also in the minority, play a crucial role in the interaction between prokaryotes and eukaryotes in the host.

The presence of the baker’s yeast Saccharomyces has been associated with an increase in the diversity of gut bacterial communities in human and animal models (118–121). Furthermore, a decrease in Saccharomyces spp. abundance has also been correlated with a decrease in bacterial diversity (122–124). Similarly, the ingestion of Ganoderma lucidum mycelium in mice with a high-fat diet displayed an anti-obesity effect that was associated with increased bacterial diversity (125). A non-statistically significant trend towards greater bacterial diversity and a
reduction in the richness of fungi has been observed between normal weight and obese children 
(120). In patients with chronic diseases, it has been observed that the increase in fungal 
microbiota diversity has very often resulted in a decrease in bacterial diversity, manifested by 
a decrease in the abundance of Bacteroidetes or Firmicutes (40,122,123,126–128). Infection 
with the microsporidia Paranosema locustae was associated with a decrease in bacterial 
diversity in migratory locusts (129). Furthermore, Clostridium difficile colitis has been 
associated with a decrease in the diversity of fungal alpha (124). It was also noted in a study on 
the characterisation of fungi and bacterial microbiota in Rett Syndrome patients that a high 
abundance of Candida spp. was associated with an increased abundance of the Clostridia genus 
among the bacterial community, when using high-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA (128). 
Furthermore, the existence of great fungal diversity in the Libellulidae Pantala flavescens, new 
symbiotic bacteria Leclercia sp., Oceanobacillus oncorhynchi, Methylobacterium extorquens 
has been described. The existence of an antibacterial activity of symbiotic fungi in the Pantala 
flavescens larvae has also been demonstrated (130). It is notable that a decrease in Malassezia 
abundance and a decrease in bacterial diversity have been seen in children with Hirschsprung 
disease and in Rett Syndrome patients (123,128). However, an increased abundance of 
Malassezia sympodialis associated with a decrease in bacterial diversity has been observed in 
children with inflammatory bowel disease (122). In the current literature, fungi are reported to 
influence the bacterial communities and tend towards a relatively healthy state. For instance, 
Saccharomyces spp. improves gastrointestinal discomfort and constipation, Ganoderma 
lucidum mycelium displays anti-obesity properties, and symbiotic fungi have antibacterial 
activity. All these fungal properties have been associated with the modulation of the diversity 
and structure of the host bacterial community (118,120,125,130,131).

3.6.6 Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities

Fungi are relatively numerous and ubiquitously colonize the living environment where they 
modulate the bacterial microbiota (Table 3). Hence, a growing body of evidence indicates that 
fungi may modulate the microbiota and play important roles in the physiology and immunity 
of the host (122). In the literature, studies of the interaction between fungus and bacteria mainly 
concern yeasts, including Candida albicans and the probiotics S. cerevisiae RC016 and 
Saccharomyces boulardii, filamentous fungi including Mucor circinelloides, macroscopic 
fungi, such as Ganoderma lucidum, and microsporidian enteropathogens.
The in vitro study of *Candida albicans* and *Clostridium difficile* interactions showed that the strictly anaerobic Gram-positive bacterium *C. difficile* can grow under aerobic conditions in the presence of *C. albicans*. In contrast, *C. albicans* hyphal formation is inhibited by the presence of *C. difficile*, most probably due to p-cresol excretion (131). Another study on anaerobic bacterial/yeast interactions highlighted that the growth of *Bacteroides* was significantly enhanced in co-culture with *C. albicans* whereas the growth of *C. albicans* was affected neither by *B. fragilis* nor *B. vulgatus* co-culture, suggesting that *C. albicans* cells can serve as an additional nutrient source for the culture of bacteria in the anaerobic atmosphere of the gut (132).

An in vivo study, using a mouse model of obesity, showed through V3-V5 16S rRNA metabarcoding, that 4% to 8% water extract of the basidiomycete *Ganoderma lucidum* (WEGL) mycelium reduces the *Firmicutes-Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* ratio in high-fat diet mice. Treatment of high-fat diet-fed mice with 8% WEGL increased the abundance of the species *Parabacteroides goldsteinii*, *Bacteroides* spp., *An aerotruncus colihominis*, *Roseburia hominis*, *Clostridium* spp., *Methylpentosum* (*Clostridium* IV), *Clostridium XIVa* and XVIII, and *Eubacterium coprostanoligenes*, which were negatively correlated to obesity. Also, 8% WEGL increased the abundance of the species *E. coprostanoligenes*, *C. methylpentosum*, *P. goldsteinii*, *Bacteroides* spp., *A. colihominis*, *R. hominis* and *Clostridium XIVa* and XVIII in Chow diet mice (125). Other in vivo studies using mouse models have analysed the interaction between yeasts and the gut microbiota. Feeding with the probiotic *S. cerevisiae* RC016 was associated with a decrease of one logarithmic unit of *Enterobacteriaceae* in healthy mice compared with control mice using conventional culture methods (133). Moreover, some studies have revealed cooperation between the *Enterobacteriaceae* family and both *C. albicans* and *S. boulardii*, encouraging their gut colonisation and their effect on intestinal inflammation. The colistin-resistant *Escherichia coli* effect revealed the beneficial impact of *S. boulardii* and pathogenic effects of *C. albicans* on colitis severity in mice (134). Faecal microbiota transplantation can prevent fungal colonization of the gastrointestinal tract. In fact, experimental wild-type mice model is resistant to gut colonization by *Candida albicans*. Mice treated with β-lactam antibiotics (e.g. Ampicillin) experienced a dysbiosis in the gut microbiota which was beneficial to *Candida albicans* by colonising the digestive tract. Faecal microbiota transplantation effectively and immediately reduces *C. albicans* loads and prevents it from colonising the gastrointestinal tract of mice (135). Specific probiotics such as *Bifidobacterium* may also be of therapeutic interest by reducing the fungal load in *Candida albicans/Clostridium difficile*
infection models. In fact, the administration of *Candida albicans* aggravates the severity of *Clostridium difficile* infection by increasing gut inflammation. Unfortunately, the probiotic has no effect on the clostridium toxin in the faeces (136). Colonization by *C. albicans* does not always have a deleterious effect, showing protective effects against lethal *C. difficile* infections in mice models by acting on the cytokine IL-17A. The abundance of the beneficial bacteria *Bifidobacterium* and *Akkermansia* was significantly increased in mice colonised with *C. albicans* (137). Another pathogenic opportunistic yeast, *Candida glabrata*, in an experiment using a colitis mouse model showed that the persistence of *C. glabrata* in the gut is subject to remodelling its cell wall leading to an increase in chitin. Oral administration of chitin restored anaerobic bacteria including *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *Lactobacillus johnsonii*, *Bifidobacterium* and *Bacteroides* spp. and reduced aerobic bacteria such as *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, counters the effect of intestinal inflammation caused by colitis (138). The treatment of rats with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fermentation prebiotic before stress leads to beneficial changes in the gut microbiota. Treating rats with yeast fermentate before exposure to heat stress resulted in changes in the relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and *Allobaculum*, while *Acetanaerobacterium*, *Bacteroides*, *Eubacterium*, *Johnsonella*, *Lactococcus*, *Oscillospira*, *Roseburia* and *Vallitalea*, substantially increased. (139). The usefulness of *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745 probiotics has been studied in other pathologies, through a controlled study of the lipid profile and the intestinal microbiota in a hypercholesterolemic hamster model. The abundance of the genus *Allobaculum* increased and an unclassified genus in the family *Lachnospiraceae*, unclassified genus of *Desulfovibronaceae*, *Oxalobacter* and an unclassified genus in family F16 with the treatment of *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745 decreased with treatment of *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745. These genera g_CF231, *Allobaculum*, an unclassified *Lachnospiraceae* and *Oxalobacter* have been correlated with total plasma cholesterol (140). Elsewhere, a study investigated the effect of (3R, 30R)-astaxanthin on lipid metabolism and the gut microbiota in mice fed on a high-fat diet. Astaxanthin is produced from *Xanthophyllumyces dendrorhous*, a basidiomycete fungus. Supplementation with (3R, 3’R) -astaxanthin/X. dendrorhous on a high-fat diet prevented weight gain and decreased total cholesterol in the plasma and liver. Furthermore it regulated its intestinal microbiota optimising the *Bacteroidetes/Firmicutes* ratio and increasing the abundance in *Verrucomicrobia*, particularly *Akkermansia* (141). It has also been shown in a mouse model treated with the pathogenic fungus *Mucor circinelloides* that the abundance of the bacterial genus *Bacteroides* increases and the abundance of the bacteria *Akkermansia muciniphila* decreases in these gastrointestinal tracts (142). The administration of mushrooms (*Agaricus*
*Bisporus* to pigs significantly reduced the *Salmonella typhymurium*-Lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory response at the alveolar macrophage level and positively modulated the metabolism of the pig microbiota by increasing the abundance of *Clostridial* taxa which are associated with improved intestinal health (143).

*In vivo* studies have also been carried out using insect models. The microbiota of healthy dragonfly (*Pantala flavescens*) larvae was analysed using culture-dependent methods and ITS barcoding for the fungi, and 16S barcoding for the bacterial symbionts; forty-eight fungal isolates were obtained, grouped in five classes (*Leotiomycetes*, *Dothideomycetes*, *Eurotiomycetes*, *Sordariomycetes*, *Zygomycetes*), were associated with a variety of symbiont bacteria, including *Sphingomonas*, *Methylobacterium*, *Burkholderia*, *Pantoea*, *Enterobacter*, *Leclercia*, and *Serratia*, *Oceanobacillus* which were included in the *Proteobacteria* and *Firmicutes*. *Enterobacter* was the most abundant bacterial genus associated with these fungi (130). In honey bees (*Apis mellifera*), *Nosema ceranae* microsporidium infection was associated with a decreased abundance of *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp., an increased abundance of *Gilliamella apicola*, and *Snodgrassella alvi* increased significantly in honeybees infected during the winter assessed using quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) (144). *In vivo* insect models have also been used to study the impact of microsporidian parasites on the gut microbiota. Thus, in locusts (*Locusta migratoria*), infection with the microsporidian parasites *Paranosema locustae* alters the structure of the gut bacterial community, as assessed using 16S rRNA V4-V5 region pyrosequencing, by increasing the abundance of the genera *Citrobacter* (36%), *Lactococcus* (13.28%), and *Raoultella* (43%) (129). In *Serinus canaria* birds, colonisation of the gastric mucosa by the opportunistic yeast *Macrorhabdus ornithogaster* was associated with the presence of *Lactobacillus* and *Candidatus Arthromitus*, assessed via 16S metabarcoding, whereas *Lactococcus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, *Lachnospiraceae*, *Propionibacterium* and *Weissella* were associated with uninfected birds (145).

The data on interactions between fungal and bacterial communities in humans remain scarce. Analysis of the diversity of bacterial and fungal communities in normal-weight and obese school-aged children showed the presence of *Eubacterium rectale*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Candida albicans*, and *C. glabrata* in all subjects, whereas there was a significantly lower abundance of *Akkermansia muciniphila*, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, *Bacteroides/Prevotella Group*, *Candida spp.*, and *Saccharomyces spp.* in obese children, and *Debaryomyces hansenii* was found in two obese children (120). Another study using both 16S and ITS rRNA
metabarcoding, analysed the impact of the antibiotic treatment of Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) on bacterial and fungal communities. It showed a relatively increased abundance of the Pichiaceae family (order of Saccharomycetales) in non-CDI patients and, in contrast, a relatively increased abundance of the Ascomycota phylum, the Pleosporales order, and the Dothideomycetes class in the patients with CDI. A relatively increased abundance of Ascomycota phylum and Saccharomycetes was observed in patients with CDI. The genera Cadophora, Bandoniozyma, and Clitocybe were more abundant in the non-CDI than in CDI patients (146). Another study looked at the effect of oral administration of Saccharomyces boulardii and its mode of administration on the intestinal microbial community in premature infants by 16S metabarcoding. The results showed that Firmicutes and Proteobacteria remained stable during the observation period. The oral administration of Saccharomyces boulardii had no significant influence on the bacterial community but the mode by which children were delivered changed the microbiota. Bacteroides and Parabacteroides were more abundant in children delivered vaginally compared to children born by Caesarean delivery on day 0. After two weeks of administration, the abundance of Bacteroides and Parabacteroides were higher in children delivered vaginally compared to children born by Caesarean section (121). In women treated for bacterial vaginosis, the effect of the antibiotic treatment was reduced by Saccharomyces boulardii prophylaxis, thus improving the colonic microbiota (147). Elsewhere, in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, a positive correlation was observed between the abundance of Saccharomyces and that of Bifidobacterium, Blautia, Roseburia and Ruminococcus using both ITS2 and 16S rRNA metabarcoding (122). Some studies have focussed on bacterial and fungal microbiota in patients with chronic inflammatory bowel disease. Patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis suffer from fungal microbiota dysbiosis, an alteration in composition and high biodiversity. An increased proportion of Exophiala and a decreased proportion of Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been observed among these patients. The signature of fungi dysbiosis is different when compared with patients with Irritable Bowel Disease. The bacteria-fungi correlation network highly affects the intestinal microbiota of patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis when compared to patients with Irritable Bowel Disease status. Gut fungi could therefore contribute to the pathogenesis of primary sclerosing cholangitis and could be considered as a new therapeutic target (148). Some species such as Candida tropicalis, Serratia marcescens and Escherichia coli have found to be associated with Crohn’s disease dysbiosis (127). Meanwhile, another study found that the genera Candida, Debaryomyces, Saccharomyces, Malassezia, Sporobolomyces, Trichosporon, Wallemia, unidentified Filobasidiaceae, and unidentified Xylariale as well as the genus Enterococcus,
Alicyclobacillus, and Lactobacillus was over-represented in patients with Crohn’s disease using 16S rRNA (MiSeq) and ITS2 (pyrosequencing) (40). The relative abundance of Bifidobacterium and several Clostridia including Anaerostipes, Clostridium XIVa, and Clostridium XIVb, as well as Erysipelotrichaceae Clostridium XVIII and Erysipelotrichaceae incertae sedis), Actinomyces, Eggerthella, Enterococcus, Escherichial/Shigella and Lactobacillus were higher in the Rett Syndrome patients compared with healthy controls when using high-throughput sequencing the V3-V5 regions of the 16S rDNA gene. The gut fungal community, analysed by sequencing the ITS1 region of the rRNA, revealed the most abundant genera in Rett Syndrome patients were Candida, Aspergillus and Trichosporon, whereas in healthy controls Penicillium, Malassezia, Debaryomyces, Mucor, Eremothecium, Pichia, and Cyberlindnera were the most abundant. The genus Candida was significantly more abundant in Rett Syndrome patients than in healthy controls (149). It has been reported, using MiSeq Illumina ITS-1 sequencing, that Candida species in the Hirschsprung disease group were composed of C. albicans, C. tropicalis, C. parapsilosis, and C. utilis while the Hirschsprung-associated enterocolitis group had a majority of C. albicans and low C. tropicalis. Ion Torrent 16S rRNA sequencing revealed a low proportion of Firmicutes and Verrucomicrobia and a higher proportion of Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria in the Hirschsprung-associated enterocolitis group, when compared to the Hirschsprung disease group (123).

Food consumption has been associated with fungal abundance in the gut. An inverse association between Candida (fungus) and Bacteroides (bacteria) has been found. A higher abundance of Bacteroides has been observed in individuals whose diet is very high in protein, while Candida is more highly abundance in individuals who have recently consumed carbohydrates. Authors have also reported a positive correlation between Fusarium (fungus), Bryantella (bacteria) and Anaerostipes (bacteria), and Pichia (fungus) and Syntrophococcus (bacteria) (150,151).

4. Conclusions and perspectives

While the “One Health” concept acknowledges that human health is linked to animal health and to the environment (152,153), microbial community structures are dependent on interactions between each of their components. Studies addressing only one component, for instance the bacterial community, are limited by only providing a partial view of both the structure of micro-organism communities and the inter-kingdom interactions between communities of sympatric viruses, prokaryotes, and eukaryotes. The human and animal microbiota biotope includes the bacterial, viral, eukaryotic (protozoa and helminths) and fungal communities. These
communities of microorganisms coevolve and maintain balanced relationships in the host. The relationship between prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities and their environment contributes to homeostasis and host health. This relationship is altered by the qualitative and quantitative modification of microbiota that are, among other factors, influenced by anti-infective treatments, genetic predisposition, and digestive and chronic diseases. Studies analysing the interaction between communities of prokaryotes and eukaryotes are scarce. However, large-scale controlled studies are needed to elucidate the mechanisms that explain variations in the diversity and abundance of the prokaryotic microbiota resulting from the presence of eukaryotes.

This review highlights that some bacteria, especially *Lactobacillus* sp., have been associated with the inhibition of infection by the protozoa *Giardia duodenalis in vitro* and *Plasmodium falciparum in vivo*. Infection of the intestinal protozoa has a qualitative and quantitative impact on the intestinal microbiota. Free-living amoebae maintain symbiotic relationships with most microorganisms such as virus, bacteria, fungi, and parasites. Several worms have been involved in alterations in bacterial communities. Infecting wild-type C57BL/6 mice with *Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri* significantly increased the abundance of the *Lactobacillaceae* family, but the clinical consequences of these changes in the intestinal flora have yet to be studied. Fungi can be used as a probiotic (EpiCor fermentate) to modulate the microbiota especially the bacterial community by improving gastrointestinal discomfort and constipation. Some studies have demonstrated that fungi are associated with modulation of the microbiota in chronic diseases as well as in cases of HIV and CDI infections.

The impact on host immunity and the metabolic potential of changes to the microbiota has not been addressed in this review.

The interaction between eukaryotes and prokaryotes resulted in modulation of the microbiota which led to the characterisation of the complexity of the microbiome. This interaction could play a significant role in the pathophysiology of various multifactorial chronic diseases. It is thus important to further study the structure and function of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities to better understand their interactions. The microbiota community structure has been characterised by the development of metagenomics/genomic and related culture methods. However, further research is warranted to bridge the knowledge gap on interactions between eukaryotes and prokaryotes.
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Figure 1: Flow diagram of the selection of articles and data extraction

Table 1: Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure. Information on the protozoa in question, host status, types of sampling, sample sites corresponding to the parts of the host sampled, methods used, changes in the abundance and diversity of the bacterial microbiota are discussed.

Table 2: Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure. Information on the helminths implicated, host status, types of sampling, sample sites corresponding to the parts of the host sampled, methods used, changes in the abundance and diversity of the bacterial microbiota are discussed.

Table 3: Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities. Information on the fungi associated, host status, types of sampling, sample sites corresponding to the parts of the host sampled, methods used, changes/associated in the abundance and diversity of the bacterial microbiota are discussed.
## Table 1: Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protozoa</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota method</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota change</th>
<th>Diversity profile</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
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### Table 1: Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protozoa</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota method</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota change</th>
<th>Diversity profile</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cryptosporidium parvum</td>
<td>CD-1 mice</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Phylum: Unclassified Bacteroidetes↑, Family: Porphyromonadaceae↑, Prevotellaceae↑</td>
<td>Not Change</td>
<td>R.Ras et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phylum: Proteobacteria↑, Firmicutes↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entamoeba histolytica</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)</td>
<td>Species: Prevotella copri↑</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Gilchrist et al. 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cat: cystoisospora           |                           |                |                                                                  | Phylum: Actinobacteria↑, Firmicutes↑ Deinococcus-Thermus↑, Proteobacteria↑ Family: Bifidobacteriaceae↑, Coriobacteriaceae↑, Veillonellaceae↑, Bacillaceae↑, Thermaceae↑, Xanthomonadaceae↑, Comamonadaceae↑, Beijerinckiaceae↑, Xanthomonadaceae↑ Genus: Bifidobacterium↑, Olsenella↑, Megamonas↑, Geobacillus↑, Meiothermus↑, Bacillus↑, Thermomonas↑ Schlegelella↑, Chelatococcus↑, Silanimonas↑ |                   |                                 |
| Cat: giardia                 |                           |                |                                                                  | Phylum: Firmicutes↑ Family: Lachnospiraceae↑, Ruminococcaceae↓ Genus: Roseburia↑, Subdoligranulum↓ |                   |                                 |
Table 1: Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protozoa</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Site of sample</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota method</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota change</th>
<th>Diversity profile</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Giardia duodenalis</em></td>
<td>Healthy human</td>
<td>Mucosal biopsies</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Phylum: <em>Firmicutes</em>↑&lt;br&gt;Order: <em>Clostridiales</em>↑&lt;br&gt;Genus: <em>Phascolarctobacterium</em>↓</td>
<td>alpha diversity</td>
<td>Beatty, J.K <em>et al.</em> 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Giardia spp.</em>, <em>Entamoeba spp./Blastocystis hominis</em></td>
<td>Human with or without symptoms</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Genus: <em>Bifidobacterium</em>↑&lt;br&gt;Species: <em>Escherichia coli</em>, <em>Faecalibacterium prauonitzi-Escherichia Coli ratio</em>↑</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Lebba <em>et al.</em> 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plasmodium yoelii</em></td>
<td>BALB/c mice</td>
<td>Cecum, colon</td>
<td>Distal small intestine</td>
<td>High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Phylum: <em>Firmicutes</em>↑&lt;br&gt;Order: <em>Clostridiales</em>↑&lt;br&gt;Family: <em>Enterobacteria</em>↑&lt;br&gt;Genus: <em>Lactobacillus</em>↑&lt;br&gt;<em>Bifidobacterium</em>↑</td>
<td>alpha diversity</td>
<td>Villarino <em>et al.</em> 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C57BL/6 mice<br>High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)
Table 2: Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Helminths</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Site of sample</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota method</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota change</th>
<th>Diversity profile</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascaris, Trichuris, Hookworm</td>
<td>Human volunteers</td>
<td>Fresh stool</td>
<td></td>
<td>High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Class: Verrucomicrobiae↑ Order: Verrucomicrobiales↑ Family: Bacteroidaeae↑, Prevotellaeae↑ Verrucomicrobiae↑, Enterobacteriaceae↑, Leuconostocaceae↑, Bacteroidaeae↑ Genus: Lactococcus↑, Akkermansia↑, Enterobacteriaceae↑, Bacteroides↓ Species: Prevotella copri↑</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Jenkins et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascaris lumbricoides (&quot;Ascaris&quot;), Necator Americanus (&quot;Necator&quot;), Trichuris trichiura (&quot;Tricharis&quot;)</td>
<td>Subject cohort</td>
<td>Feces</td>
<td></td>
<td>High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Phylum: Firmicutes↑, Bacteroidetes↑, Actinobacteria↑ Family: Lachnospiraceae↑, Erysipelotrichaceae↑ Genus: Succinivibrio↑, Solo bacterium↑, Desulfovibrio↑, Allobaculum↑, Rhodococcus↑, Lachnospiraceae incertae sedis↑, Olsenella↑, Flavonifractor↑, Enterococcus↑.</td>
<td>↑alpha diversity</td>
<td>Rosa et al. 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascaris suum</td>
<td>Pigs</td>
<td>Digesta</td>
<td>Proximal Colon</td>
<td>High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Genus: ↑Prevotella↑, ↑Faecalibacter↑, ↑Turicibacter↑, ↑Ruminicoccus↑, ↑Lactobacillus↑, ↑Treponema↑, ↑Campylobacter↑</td>
<td>↑alpha diversity</td>
<td>Diversity with Basal diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No change with Grape pomace diet</td>
<td>Williams et al. 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyathostomins spp. (Eggs high versus low)</td>
<td>Equines</td>
<td>Feces</td>
<td></td>
<td>High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Class: Methanomicrobia↑, Dehobacterium↓</td>
<td>No difference</td>
<td>L.E. Peachey et al. 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure (continued)

| Helminths                       | Host                          | Type of sample | Site of sample | Bacterial microbiota method | Bacterial microbiota change                                                                 | Diversity profile | Reference                        |
|---------------------------------|-----|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| **Haemonchus contortus**        | Sheep *(Ovis aries)*          | Faeaces        | Abomasal, rumen | High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina) | Abomasal microbiome
Genus: ↑Flavonifractor, ↑Anaerotruncus, ↓Roseburia, ↓Ruminobacter, ↓Blautia, ↓Mogibacterium, ↑Acetomaculum, ↑Moryella, ↑Anaerovibrio, ↑Incertae_Sedis, ↑Butyrivibrio, ↑Comamonas, ↓U29-B03, ↑Bacillus, ↑Fibrobacter, ↑Syntrophococcus, ↓Candidatus_Saccharimonas, ↑Saccharofermentans, ↑Citrobacter, ↑Oscillibacter, ↑RC9_gut_group, ↑Vicivallis, ↑Lactococcus, ↑Prevotella, ↑probable_genus_10, ↓Selenomonas, ↓Intestinimonas, ↓Succinicolastium, ↓Succinivibrio, ↑Marvinbryantia, ↑Pyramidobacter, ↑Bacteroides, ↑Anaerovorax, ↑Elusimicrobium, ↓Pseudobutyrivibrio, ↑Coproccoccus, ↑Papillibacter, ↑Ruminococcus, ↑Atopobium, ↓Desulfovibrio, ↑Phocaeicola, ↑Anaeroplasm, ↑Thalassospira, ↑Acinetobacter, ↑Shuttleworthia, ↑Sphingobacterium, ↑Anaerosporobacter |
|                                |                              |               |                |                              |                              | ↑alpha diversity | El-Ashram and Suo 2017            |
| **Heligmosomoides Polygyrus**   | Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) mouse | Mucosa        | Ileum, caecum  | Cloned 16S rRNA Sequencing qPCR of total bacteria | Family: Lactobacillaceae↓ |
|                                |                              |               |                |                              |                              | Not evaluated    | Walk et al. 2010                  |
| **Heligmosomoides polygyrus**   | C57BL/6J obese mice           | Faeces        |                | High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina); qPCR | Phylum: Proteobacteria↓, unassigned bacteria↑
Genus: Bacillus↓, Escherichia↑ |
|                                |                              |               |                |                              |                              | Not evaluated    | Shimokawa C et al. 2019           |
| **Hymenolepsis diminuta**       | Rat model, pups, adults       | Cecal contents | Caecum, large bowel | High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina) | Phylum: Actinobacteria↓, Tenericutes↓, Bacteroidetes↑
Order: Clostridiales↑ (Escherichia coli) |
|                                | Rat *(Rattus norvegicus)*     | Lumen         | Caecum         | High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina) | Family: Peptostreptococcaceae↑
Genus: Turibacter↓ |
<p>|                                |                              |               |                |                              |                              | Not evaluated    | Williamson et al. 2016            |
|                                |                              |               |                |                              |                              |                    | Mckenney et al. 2015              |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Helminths</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Site of sample</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota method</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota change</th>
<th>Diversity profile</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Heligmosomoides Polygyrus bakeri</em></td>
<td>IL-4Ra/-mice; C57BL/6 mice</td>
<td>Lumen</td>
<td>Caecum; ileum; colon</td>
<td>Culture; Cloned 16S rRNA amplicon; qPCR; Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria, Enterobacteriaceae↑, Bacteroides/Prevotella↑</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Rausch et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heligmosomoides Polygyrus, Syphacia spp, Hymenolepis spp</em></td>
<td>Wild mouse (Apodemus flavicollis)</td>
<td>Lumen; mucosa</td>
<td>Stomach, ileum, caecum, colon</td>
<td>High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454)</td>
<td>Hymenolepis spp. Family: Ruminococcaceae↓, Acetobacteraceae↓, Sphingomonadaceae↓, S24–7 family (Bacteroidetes)↑</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Kreisinger et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Necator americanus</em></td>
<td>Patients with coeliac disease</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA Amplicons (454)</td>
<td>Phylum: Firmicutes↑, Bacteroides↑, Tenericutes↑, RF39↑ Class: Bacteroidia↑, Erysipelotrichia↑, Clostridia↑ Genus: Ruminococcus↑, Lachnospira↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>↑alpha diversity</td>
<td>Giacomin et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichuris muris</em></td>
<td>C57BL/6 mice</td>
<td>Faeces/lumen</td>
<td>Caecum</td>
<td>High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Alistipes, Odoribacter, and Parasutterella↑, Allobaculum↑, Barnesiella↑</td>
<td>↓ alpha diversity</td>
<td>Holm et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis; High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454)</td>
<td>Phylum: Bacteroidetes↑, Genus: Prevotella↑, Parabacteroides↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlden et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Helminths</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Site of sample</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota method</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota change</th>
<th>Diversity profile</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two undescribed thelastomatid species: Pa-nem-L (larger); Pa-nem-S (smaller)</td>
<td>Cockroach (Panesthia angustipennis)</td>
<td>Entire/sections of nematode body surfaces</td>
<td>Hindgut</td>
<td>High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Family: ↑Dysgonomonadaceae (Bacteroidales termite cluster V), ↑Rikenellaceae, ↑Ruminococcaceae.</td>
<td>↑α-diversity</td>
<td>Takumi Murakami et al. 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichuris suis</em></td>
<td>Pigs (Sus scrofa domestica)</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Proximal colon</td>
<td>Whole metagenome shotgun Sequencing (Illumina)</td>
<td>Phylum: ↑Fibrobacteres, ↑Spirochaetes, ↓Tenericutes, ↓Gemmatimonadetes Genus: ↑Fibrobacter, ↑Campylobacter, ↑Treponema, ↓Dorea, ↓Ruminococcus</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Wu et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pigs (Sus scrofa domestica)</td>
<td>Lumen</td>
<td>Proximal colon</td>
<td>Whole metagenome shotgun 454 sequencing High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454)</td>
<td>Phylum: ↑Proteobacteria, ↓Deferribacteres, ↑Euryarchaeota Genus: ↑Prevotella, ↓Succinivibrio, ↓Mucispirillum, ↓Oscillibacter, ↑Paraprevotella, ↓Desulfovibrio, ↑Heliobacter</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Li et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helminths</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Type of sample</td>
<td>Bacterial microbiota method</td>
<td>Bacterial microbiota change</td>
<td>Diversity profile</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| *Trichuris trichiura, Ascaris lumbricoides* | School children                        | Faeces         | High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA Amplicons (454) | Phylum: ↓*Firmicutes*  
  Class: ↓*Clostridia*, ↑*streptococci*  
  Genus: ↓*Clostridium sensu stricto*, ↓uncharacterised clostridial cluster IX, ↑*Streptococcus*, ↓*Roseburia* | ↓alpha diversity | Cooper et al. 2013 |
  Family: ↑*Paraprevotellaceae*, ↑*Lachnospiraceae*,  
  ↑*Prevotellaceae*  
  Genus: ↑*Bifidobacterium* | ↑alpha diversity | Lee et al. 2014 |
| *Schistosoma haematobium*  
  *Schistosoma mansoni* | Children (six months to 13 years old)   | Urine, stool   | High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina) | Phylum: *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes*, *Proteobacteria*  
  Genus: *Prevotella* | ↑alpha diversity | Kay et al. 2015 |
| *Schistosoma mansoni*             | Children from Côte d’Ivoire             | Faeces         | High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina) | Phylum: *Proteobacteria*  
  Family: *Cerasicoccaceae*, *Anaeroplasmataceae*,  
  *Campylobacteraceae*, *Peptococcaceae*  
  Genus: *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter arachidis*,  
  *Fructobacillus* | ↑alpha diversity | Schneeberger et al. 2018 |
| *Trichuris trichiura*             | Rhesus monkeys                          | Colon mucosa   | High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina) | Phylum: ↓*Cyanobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes*,  
  ↑*Tenericutes*, ↓unclassified bacteria taxon ZB2  
  Genus: ↑*Streptophyta* | No change               | Broadhurst et al. 2012 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungi</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota method</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota change/association</th>
<th>Diversity profile</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phylum: <em>Ascomycota</em>↑, Family: <em>Pichiaceae</em>↓</td>
<td><em>Clostridium difficile</em> infection patient cohort</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Phylum: <em>Planctomycyes</em>↓, Class: <em>Clostridia</em>↑, <em>Bacteroidia</em>↑</td>
<td>↓alpha diversity</td>
<td>Lamendella et al. 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Type of sample</td>
<td>Site of sample</td>
<td>Bacterial microbiota method</td>
<td>Bacterial microbiota change/association</td>
<td>Diversity profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Clostridium difficile↑</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Van Leeuwen et al. 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium difficile in C57BL/6 mice</td>
<td>Culture: qPCR</td>
<td>Family: Enterobacteriaceae↑</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Bruno Sovran et al. 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Bacteroides fragilis↑, Bacteroides vulgatus↑</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Marisa Valentine et al. 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse model of Clostridium difficile</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Clostridium difficile↑</td>
<td>↓alpha diversity</td>
<td>Laura Markey et al. 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debaromyces hansenii↑, Candida spp↓, and Saccharomyces spp↓</td>
<td>Obese children</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) qPCR</td>
<td>Species: Akkermansia muciniphyla↓, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii↓</td>
<td>↑alpha diversity</td>
<td>Borgo et al. 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganoderma lucidum mycelium</td>
<td>High-Fat Diet (HFD)-fed Mice Chow mice</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Caecal</td>
<td>Pyrosequencing of bacterial 16S rRNA</td>
<td>Phylum: Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes ratios↓, Proteobacteria↓, Species: Parabacteroides goldsteinii↑, Bacteroides↑, Anaerotrunccus colihominis↑, Roseburia hominis↑, Clostridium↑, Clostridium methyloptosum↑, Clostridium XIVa and XVIII↑, Eubacterium coprostanoligenes↑</td>
<td>↑alpha diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Type of sample</td>
<td>Bacterial microbiota method</td>
<td>Bacterial microbiota change/association</td>
<td>Diversity profile</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Macrorhabdus ornithogaster | Canaries (Serinus canaria domestica) | Faeces                     | PCR-DGGE, High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna) | Phylum: Acidobacteria↑, Actinobacteria↑, Cyanobacteria↑, Planctomycetes↑  
Family: Lachnospiraceae↑, Enterobacteriaceae↓  
Genus: Lactobacillus↑, Streptococcus↑, Clostridium↓, Lactococcus↓, Pseudomonas↓, Acinetobacter↓, Weissella↓, Propionibacterium↓  
Species: Candidatus Arthromitus↓ | ↓alpha diversity | Patrizia Robino et al. 2018 |
| Mushroom (Agaricus bisporus) | Pigs                             | Faeces, Proximal colon contents | High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Ion Torrent) | Family: Lachnospiraceae↑, Ruminococcaceae↑  
Order: Clostridiales↑ | No change | Gloria I.S. Solano-Aguilar et al. 2018 |
| Mucor circinelloides      | BALB/C mice                      | Faeces                      | High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna)      | Genus: Bacteroides↑  
Species: Akkermansia muciniphila↑ | ↑alpha diversity | Katherine D. Mueller et al. 2019 |
| Mucor velatinosus         | Old man with Onychomycosis and acute myelogenous leukemia | Oral Stool                  | High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna)       | staphylococci↑ | ↓alpha diversity | Shelburne et al. 2015 |
| Malassezia↓, Saccharomyces sp↓ | Children with Hirschsprung disease | Faeces                      | High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna)       | Phylum: Firmicutes↓, Verrucomicrobia↓, Bacteroidetes↓, Proteobacteria↓ | ↓alpha diversity | Frykman et al. 2015 |
| Nosema ceranae            | Adult workers Honeybees (Apis mellifera) | Hindguts                   | qPCR                                                             | Lactobacillus spp. ↓ and Bifidobacterium spp. ↓, Sodgrassella alvi↑, Gilliamella apicola↑ | Not evaluated | Rouzé et al. 2019 |
| Pichia kudriavzevii↑, Saccharomycetales↓ | Atopic patient with wheeze       | Faeces                      | High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna)       | Streptococcus sp. ↑, Bacteroides sp. ↑, Bifidobacterium sp. ↓, Ruminococcus gnavus↓ | ↑alpha diversity | Marie-Claire Arrieta et al. 2017 |
### Table 3: Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungi</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Site of sample</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota method</th>
<th>Bacterial microbiota change/association</th>
<th>Diversity profile</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Eurotiomycetes</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dothideomycetes</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leotiomycetes</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Type of sample</td>
<td>Site of sample</td>
<td>Bacterial microbiota method</td>
<td>Bacterial microbiota change/association</td>
<td>Diversity profile</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</td>
<td>Male BALB/c mice</td>
<td>Caecum</td>
<td>Terminal restriction Fragment length Polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis</td>
<td>Genus: Bacteroides↑, Fusobacterium↑, Bifidobacterium↑, Lactobacillus↑, Enterococcus↑</td>
<td>↓alpha diversity</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Garcia et al. 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rats</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Genus: Bifidobacterium↓, Allobaculum↓, Acetanaerobacterium↑, Bacteroides↑, Eubacterium↑, Johnsonella↑, Lactococcus↑, Oscillospira↑, Roseburia↑, Vallitalea↑</td>
<td>↓alpha diversity</td>
<td>Li et al. 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)</td>
<td>Genus: Propionibacterium↑, Paraprevotella↑, Oscillibacter↑, Barnesiella↑, Prevotella↑, Akkermansia↑, Odoribacte↑, Anaerostipes↑, Blautia↓, Roseburia↓</td>
<td>↑alpha diversity</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>H.A.G. Ducray et al. 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpiCor fermentate</td>
<td>Healthy volunteers</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina and 454)</td>
<td>Phylum: Firmicutes↓, Bacteroidetes↓ Family: Bacteroidaceae↑, Porphyromonadaceae↑, Prevotellaceae↑</td>
<td>↑alpha diversity</td>
<td>Community evenness↑</td>
<td>Pinheiro et al. 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(dried yeast fermentate made</td>
<td>(symptoms of gastrointestinal discomfort and reduced bowel movements)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using Saccharomyces cerevisiae)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Saccharomyces cerevisiae)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities (continued)