

## EUKARYOTIC AND PROKARYOTIC MICROBIOTA INTERACTIONS

Aly Kodio<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Estelle Menu<sup>1,2</sup> and Stéphane Ranque<sup>1,2\*</sup>.

1. Aix Marseille Univ, IRD, AP-HM, SSA, VITROME, Marseille, France
2. IHU-Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France
3. Malaria Research and Training Center, International Centers for Excellence in Research, Université des Sciences, des Techniques et des Technologies de Bamako, Bamako, Mali

\*Correspondence to:

Prof. Stéphane Ranque

IHU Méditerranée Infection

19-21 Bd Jean Moulin

F-13005 Marseille

E-mail: stephane.ranque@ap-hm.fr

## Abstract

The nature of the relationship between the communities of microorganisms making up the microbiota in and on a host body has been increasingly explored in recent years. Microorganisms, including bacteria, archaea, viruses, parasites, and fungi, have often long co-evolved with their hosts. In human, the structure and diversity of microbiota vary according to the host's immunity, diet, environment, age, physiological and metabolic status, medical practices (e.g. antibiotic treatment), climate, season, and host genetics. The recent advent of next generation sequencing (NGS) technologies enhanced observational capacities and allowed for a better understanding of the relationship between distinct microorganisms within microbiota. The interaction between the host and their microbiota has become a field of research into microorganisms with therapeutic and preventive interest for public health applications. This review aims at assessing the current knowledge on interactions between prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities. After a brief description of the metagenomic methods used in the studies analysed, we summarise the findings of available publications describing the interaction between the bacterial communities and protozoa, helminths, and fungi, either *in vitro*, in experimental models, or in humans. Overall, we observed the existence of a beneficial effect in situations where some microorganisms can improve the health status of the host, while the presence of other microorganisms has been associated with pathologies, resulting in an adverse effect on human health.

**Keywords:** Microbiota, mycobiota, interactions, host, NGS, metagenomics, culturomics, metabarcoding

## 1. Introduction

Several microorganisms have been isolated from different body parts of living beings. The community of microorganism living within a body, referred to as “microbiota”, is made up of bacteria, archaea, fungi, protozoa, metazoans (mainly helminths) and viruses. Viruses, including giant viruses, have been found to be part of microbiota (1–3). The microbiota vary from one human to another and its composition and diversity may be influenced by interactions between host genetics, immune response, diet and the physiological and pathological(5) conditions of the environment (4). Other factors potentially influencing the bacterial intestinal microbiome include diet (animal proteins, fatty acids, carbohydrates, processed foods, dietary fibres) (6–8), age (4), stool consistency (9,10), physiological and metabolic status (11,12), medical practices (e.g. antibiotic treatments) (13), seasons (14) climate change (15), seasonal cycles (16) and the host’s genetic background (17–20). The core human microbiota is composed of at least 1,800 genera and up to 40,000 bacterial strains (21) that carry around 10 million non-human genes (22). Studies of human microbiota were carried out by Antonie van Leeuwenhoek at the end of the 17<sup>th</sup> century following his discovery of “animalcules” through the microscopic observation of human mouth scrapings (23). The microbiological application of DNA-based assays, nucleotide sequencing and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) considerably enhanced capacities to identify microorganisms (24,25).

The opportunistic and pathogenic nature of various microorganisms is increasingly reported in Inflammatory Bowel Disease therapy, fostered by the growing use of immunosuppressive therapies (26). To date, several studies have led to an enlargement of the bacterial microbiota repertoire which is critical to establishing the link between diseases and the bacterial species involved (27,28). While there are many studies on the isolation, identification and phenotypic and genomic characterisation of prokaryotic communities, few studies have aimed at describing eukaryotic communities and their influence on the bacterial microbiota. Studies have shown that *Blastocystis* protozoa are associated with a healthy digestive microbiota (14,29,30) while protozoa such as *Giardia duodenalis* (30,32), and *Entamoeba histolytica* (33) have been linked to dysbiosis situations. In addition, studies have suggested that the gut bacterial community structure is associated with a risk of *P. falciparum* infection (34) and also of severe malaria (35). The addition of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* probiotics to the gut microbiota has been advocated to reduce *Plasmodium* parasite density (35). A study reported that *Enterobius vermicularis* infection in children was associated with an increased gut microbial diversity, and

a higher relative abundance of *Alistipes*, and *Faecalibacterium*, whereas the quantity of *Acidaminococcus*, *Megasphaera*, *Veillonella*, and *Fusobacterium* was relatively low compared to the non-infected group (36). A lower diversity of mouse gut microbiota and an increase in the relative abundance of *Lactobacillaceae* has been observed following a *Trichuris muris* infection (37). The results of another study pointed out that Nematode infection triggers both qualitative and quantitative changes in the microbiota that can significantly alter the microbial metabolism and thus influence the host's nutrition and immunity (38). It has been suggested that interactions between bacterial and fungal communities are involved in *Clostridium difficile* infection pathophysiology and in the persistence and recurrence of *Clostridium difficile* infections (39). Moreover, a study comparing fungal microbiota in the guts of healthy subjects and patients with Crohn's disease highlighted a fungal community dysbiosis in the Crohn's disease cohort, suggesting that fungi may be involved in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel diseases (40). While studies on the eukaryotic microbiota remain relatively scarce, this review aimed at assessing the current knowledge on interactions between the prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities, derived from studies based on microbial genomics, metagenomics, and /or culturomics approaches.

**2. Methods**

**2.1 Literature search strategy**


We searched for articles in PubMed and Web of Science. We used the following Mesh terms in PubMed by limiting articles to those on the microbiota (human, animal) and by publication date (20 March 2008 to 3 February 2020): (“parasites” [mesh Major Topic] or “protozoa” [All Fields] or “protozoan infections/parasitology” [Mesh terms] or “helminths” [Mesh major topic] or “helminthiasis/drug therapy” [Mesh terms] or “fungi” [Mesh major topic] or “mycobiome” [Mesh terms]) and (“Bacteria/microbiology” [Mesh major topic] OR “gastrointestinal microbiome” [Mesh terms]) and (“2008/03/20” [PDat]: “2020/02/03” [PDat] AND (“humans” [Mesh terms] OR “animals” [Mesh terms: noexp])). The search for articles on the Web of Science was carried out according to the keywords as follows: ((microbiota AND parasites AND host parasite interactions) OR protozoan infections AND metagenomics OR gastrointestinal microbiome)). The results of the search were refined by category and by date: (microbiology OR parasitology) AND publication years: (2016 OR 2011 OR 2017 OR 2009 OR 2015 OR 2008 OR 2012 OR 2018 OR 2013 OR 2014 OR 2010). We excluded these

categories of research: (biochemistry molecular biology OR virology OR immunology OR cell biology OR dentistry oral surgery medicine OR marine freshwater biology OR ecology OR public environmental occupational health) and [excluding] Web of Science categories: (food science technology OR pharmacology pharmacy OR biochemical research methods).

## **2.2 Data extraction**

The selection of PubMed articles was compared to that of Web of science to eliminate duplicates in the bibliographic database, Zotero ([www.zotero.org](http://www.zotero.org)). We selected the articles obtained by the PubMed and Web of Science databases that refer to the community of prokaryotes and eukaryotes in the title and the text. These articles were included for drafting the review. Some articles come from their bibliographic references (see Figure 1 for details).

77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99



**Figure 1:** Flow diagram of the selection of articles and data extraction

### 3. Results

The search for articles corresponding to the MeSH terms used in the PubMed search database resulted in 387 articles. By focusing on animal and human microbiota and limiting research to the publication range to between 20 March 2008 and 3 February 2020, 378 articles were retained. The Web of Science search database generated 1,057 articles using the keywords mentioned above. By limiting the research to the domain of microbiology and parasitology and to the year of publication (2008–2018), 136 articles were retained. The evaluation of the title and text of 378 PubMed articles and 136 Web of Science articles involving the bacterial community in relation to protozoa, helminths and fungi resulted in 125 and 22 articles, respectively. The introduction of eligible articles by both search engines into the bibliographic database, Zotero ([www.zotero.org](http://www.zotero.org)), eliminated duplicates and 132 articles were retained. Considering reviews of the relationships between bacterial and protozoa, helminths, or fungal communities, 21 articles of interest were added to the bibliographic database and 153 articles were included for qualitative synthesis.

In this preliminary section, we will briefly describe the methods that were used to characterise the microbial communities in the articles analysed including: culture; qPCR; denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE); cloned 16S rRNA amplicon; high-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454 pyrosequencing); high throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina); sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Ion Torrent); whole metagenome shotgun sequencing (Illumina); and whole metagenome shotgun 454 sequencing.

#### 3.1 Culturomics and culture-based methods

Culture-based method are traditionally used for isolating bacteria from the digestive tract. The majority of bacteria cannot be cultivated in the conventional laboratory environment, resulting in an underestimation of the actual richness of species and an overestimation of the importance of the species that grow disproportionately well under standard laboratory conditions (41,42). Culture has enabled the development of new knowledge, which is important in the identification of antibiotic resistance, the study of virulence, genomic sequencing of microorganisms and the detection of organisms in low abundance, such as *Clostridium difficile*, by using selective growth media (42,43). “Culturomics” refers to the intensive culture of bacteria using different types of media and conditions (i.e. aerobic and anaerobic) and often adding nutrients to the culture media to mimic natural habitat conditions. Culturomics has contributed significantly to the discovery of new bacterial species (44).

### 3.2 Polymerase chain reaction

Quantitative real time PCR (qPCR) assays are commonly used to detect specific microorganisms. This tool can be used to detect all the members of a given taxon present in a sample, thus estimating the abundance of this taxon within the studied microbiota. qPCR requires primers and probes that are specific to a given taxon and allows quantification of the amplicons. However, it is prone to PCR biases, including amplification errors, formation of chimeric and heteroduplex molecules, and preferential amplification. Increasing the resolution requires a set of primers with different specific probes to target each taxon which can be amplified either within the same reaction (known as “multiplexing”), or separately. Multiplexing further complexifies the procedure and increases the time and cost required to obtain a relatively limited amounts of data (42).

### 3.3 Denaturing and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE)

Denaturing and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) consists of separating DNA fragments on an electrophoresis gel containing a denaturing agent (such as urea, formamide) according to their physical-chemical properties into a series of bands whose characteristics can be compared between communities (42). The DGGE method has been widely used for the study of bacterial genetic diversity (45). However, it is limited by the lack of taxonomic resolution. It makes it possible to compare different community structures but cannot identify the taxa accounting for that difference (42). The DGGE method has the advantage, at a lower cost, of rapidly developing an image of the diversity and structure of microbial communities from several environmental samples. It has been used in the analysis of complex communities, in monitoring population dynamics, in the detection of sequence heterogeneities, in the comparison of DNA extraction methods yields, in the detection of clone banks, and in the determination of PCR and cloning biases (46,47). DGGE makes it possible to cut, re-amplify and sequence the bands to obtain taxonomic information (42,48,49).

DGGE assays are limited by the heterogeneous effectiveness of DNA extraction procedures (50), PCR biases (see before) (51), and potential contamination during DNA and PCR extraction (45). It has been noted that only fragments below 500 bp can be separated by DGGE, thus limiting sequence information. Furthermore, interpretation can sometimes be difficult as bands at similar positions do not necessarily correspond to identical sequences but may be sequences which share the same melting behaviour (52).



**3.4 Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons or 16S metabarcoding**

The 16S rRNA metabarcoding method is the most widely used method to analyse the bacterial microbiome. It uses the 16S rRNA gene barcode for taxonomic classification, which contains highly conserved regions, present in the majority of bacterial genomes, and hypervariable regions that allow taxa to be discriminated (42). The sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene is simplified and provides a high depth of taxonomic resolution (53).

The Sanger sequencing method can perform reads with lengths of more than ~1000 bp and a raw accuracy per base of up to 99.99%. High throughput shotgun Sanger genomic sequencing is useful for small projects from kilobase to megabase and the technology is likely to be excellent within a short period of time (54). Second generation DNA sequencing, using alternative DNA sequencing strategies, has been categorised using micro electrophoretic methods, hybridisation sequencing, real-time observation of single molecules, and cyclic network sequencing (55–59). Below, we list some technologies commercialised in the cyclic network sequencing category (e.g. 454 (used in the 454 Genome Sequencer, Roche Applied Science; Basel), Solexa Technology (used in the Illumina Genome Analyser (San Diego)), SOLiD platform (Applied Biosystems; Foster City, CA, USA), Polonator (Dover/Harvard) and the HeliScope Single Technology Molecule Sequencer (Helicos; Cambridge, MA, USA) (54). Historically, as the first-generation sequencing commercially available system, the 454 Roche pyrosequencer provided long read lengths to obtain a highly informative 16S RNA fraction (60). The second-generation using cyclic-array strategies, has many advantages over Sanger sequencing, and can be summarised as follows: 1) the generation of sequencing characteristics obtained following the construction of a sequencing library, followed by *in vitro* clonal amplification, allows several choke points to be overcome; 2) sequencing through cyclic-array strategies provides a higher degree of parallelism than conventional capillary-based sequencing; 3) the cost of DNA sequence production can be reduced by adjusting the volume of the reagent from microlitres to femtolitres when immobilising array elements on a planar surface that can be processed by a single volume. Second-generation sequencing has, however, some disadvantages, including the length of the reads and raw precision which is lower when compared to Sanger sequencing (54).

Recently, sequencing technology has evolved to bench-top sequencers within the reach of small laboratories, namely the 454 GS Junior, the Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine (PGM) and Proton, and the Illumina MiSeq and NextSeq 500. These bench-top sequencers offer multiple

advantages over large-scale sequencers. They can provide fewer reads per run and fewer bases per dollar. They are more adaptable, faster, and their low acquisition and operating costs make them affordable. The benchtop next-generation sequencers are described as being better suited for environmental microbiology studies given the generation of large amounts of sequence data with maximum yields of ~35 Mbp (454 GS Junior), ~2 Gbp (PGM Ion Torrent), ~10-15 Gbp (Ion Torrent Proton), ~10 Gbp (Illumina MiSeq), and ~100 Gbp (Illumina Next Seq 500) (53).

### 3.5 Whole genome shotgun (WGS)

Significant advantages of the WGS method have been reported in bacterial microbiome analysis studies. The WGS method has been suggested as an alternative to the 16S RNA sequencing method, which is a method used to sequence random DNA strands. The main advantages of the WGS method are that taxa can be more precisely defined at the species level. Noted that 16S RNA sequencing and the WGS method use distinct databases for taxa classification (61–64). Sequencing the entire genome of the shotgun has multiple advantages over the 16S amplicon method, including a higher sensitivity in the detection of bacterial species, an increase in the detection of diversity, and an increase in the prediction of genes. In addition, the increase in nucleotide sequence length, due either to long reads or to the assembly of contigs, has considerably improved the accuracy of species detection. Nevertheless, WGS is more expensive than the 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing method and requires more in-depth data analysis (65). It may also be necessary to sequence a high-coverage genome in order to identify and understand the genes of a bacterial taxon.

### 3.6 Impact of eukaryotes on bacterial communities

After this brief introduction to the methods that were used to characterise the microorganism communities in the studies we analysed, we will further detail the i) *in vitro*, ii) experimental, and iii) clinical data that is available on the interaction between bacterial communities and 1) protozoa, 2) helminth, and 3) fungi.

#### 3.6.1 Impact of protozoa on bacterial community diversity

In this section, we describe the influence of protozoa (*Cryptosporidium parvum*, *Giardia* sp., *Blastocystis* sp, *Entamoeba* spp., *Plasmodium yoelii*, *Leishmania infantum*, *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *Cystoisospora*) on bacterial diversity in humans and animals.

The presence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* has been described as upsetting the native intestinal microbiota in mice, with a taxonomic analysis showing an increased abundance of the phylum

unclassified *Bacteroidetes*, *Porphyromonadaceae* and *Prevotellaceae* in the infected groups (66). The presence of *Giardia* sp. has been associated with various changes in microbiota diversity. Several studies relating to the faecal microbiota in animal models or human cohorts have reported an increased abundance of the phylum *Firmicutes* among infected subjects (67–69). A highly heterogeneous description of the diversity of gut microbiota during *Blastocystis* sp. infection has been reported in various studies, although they all seem to conclude in favour of a beneficial impact on the gut microbiota (70–72). Divergences have been observed regarding the relative abundance of some species (e.g. *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, *Prevotella*), however some associations such as the negative association between *Blastocystis* spp. and *Bacteroides* in stool samples have been consistently reported (29,70–72). *Entamoeba* spp. infection has been shown to disturb the bacterial microbiota by increasing its diversity (73). However, given the variability of the tools used to study the microbiota, there are discrepancies regarding the abundance of some bacteria (e.g. *Prevotella copri* during *Entamoeba* spp. infection) (33,73). Other protozoa play a role in modulating the microbiota of bacteria. Thus, a reduction in the diversity of the microbiota has been described during infection with *Plasmodium yoelii* (35) and *Leishmania infantum* (74). The abundance of some bacterial genus of medical interest, such as *Lactobacillus*, increases during *Toxoplasma gondii* infections (35,75) and decreases during *Trichomonas vaginalis* infections (76). Similarly, *Bifidobacterium* abundance increases during *Cystoisospora* infections in cats (65) and decreases during *Toxoplasma gondii* infections in mice (75). A study has shown that *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, when used as a probiotic in mice infected with *Plasmodium yoelii*, resulted in decreased *Plasmodium* load (78).

### 3.6.2 Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure

In this section, we will analyse the interactions between protozoa and the bacterial communities, *in vitro* and *in vivo*, from experimental or clinical studies (Table 1). We describe the impact of *Giardia* spp., *Cryptosporidium parvum*, *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Plasmodium* spp., *Leishmania infantum*, *Cystoisospora* spp., *Blastocystis* spp., *Entamoeba* spp., *Dientamoeba fragilis*, and *Trichomonas vaginalis* on the gut microbial community *in vitro*, in animals and in humans.

An *in vitro* study concerning the interaction between *Giardia intestinalis* and different lactobacilli demonstrated that both the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCC 2628 strain isolated from dog faeces and the probiotic *Lactobacillus johnsonii* La1 significantly inhibited the proliferation of *G. intestinalis* trophozoites (79).

260 In experimental studies, Barash *et al.* used cultivation-independent methods to evaluate  
 261 microbial diversity and the impact of *Giardia* infection on the gut microbiota by infecting mice  
 262 with *Giardia lamblia*. In this study, infection with *Giardia lamblia* was associated with an  
 263 increase in *Proteobacteria* diversity and a decrease in *Firmicutes* and *Melainabacteria* diversity  
 264 in the foregut and hindgut (80). The authors showed that the microbial structure due to *Giardia*  
 265 associated-dysbiosis differed depending on the region of the gut. Thus, during giardiasis, the  
 266 relative abundance of *Rhodocyclaceae* increased in the proximal small intestine, while an  
 267 enrichment of *Moraxellaceae*, *Flavobacteriales*, *Comamonadaceae*, and *Bacteroidales* was  
 268 observed throughout the small intestine, and *Clostridiaceae* were depleted across the intestinal  
 269 tract (80). In contrast, germ-free mice who received *Giardia*-infected microbiota showed an  
 270 increase in *Firmicutes*, associated with a decrease in *Phascolarctobacterium* (69). V4 region  
 271 16S metabarcoding with the Ion Torrent PGM<sup>TM</sup> platform showed an increase in  
 272 *Catenibacterium*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Howardella* and a decrease in *Bacteroides* and  
 273 *Pseudobutyrvibrio* following *Giardia duodenalis* infection in the gut bacterial communities of  
 274 healthy dogs. The study of the structure and composition of gut microbiomes from healthy dogs  
 275 and cats with or without *Giardia* infection and coccidia demonstrated an increase in *Roseburia*  
 276 and a decrease in the abundance of *Subdoligranulum* following *Giardia cati* infection in cats.  
 277 An increase in *Bifidobacterium*, *Olsenella*, *Megamonas*, *Geobacillus*, *Meiothermus*, *Bacillus*,  
 278 *Camonas*, *Schlegelella*, *Chelatococcus*, *Silanimonas* was also associated with the presence of  
 279 *Cystoisospora* in cats (77). The study of the disturbance of the faecal bacterial microbiota of  
 280 mice infected with *Cryptosporidium parvum* by metabarcoding analysis showed that  
 281 *Bacteroidetes*, *Prevotellaceae* and *Porphyromonadaceae* unclassified OTUs were over-  
 282 represented in *C. parvum* infected mice, whereas distinct *Porphyromonadaceae* and  
 283 unclassified *Bacteroidetes* OTUs were over-represented in the non-infected mice (66). Another  
 284 study showed that severe *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in mice was associated with an  
 285 increased abundance of *Proteobacteria* and decreased abundance of *Firmicutes* (81). Regarding  
 286 changes to the intestinal microbiota during *Toxoplasma gondii* ileitis, both metagenomic and  
 287 quantitative PCR analyses of the intestinal bacterial microbiota in NOD2<sup>-/-</sup> mice and C57BL/6  
 288 wild type mice showed an increase in *Enterobacteria*, *Enterococci* and  
 289 *Bacteroidetes/Prevotella* species during *T. gondii* ileitis. In particular, the total eubacterial load  
 290 increased only in NOD2<sup>-/-</sup> mice (75). Furthermore, *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in mice led to  
 291 an overgrowth of *Clostridia* spp. within the gut microbiota during the chronic stage of the  
 292 disease, unrelated to the symptomatology (82). Regarding *Plasmodium* infection, Villarino *et*  
 293 *al.* (35) used metagenomic analysis to show that the abundance of *Clostridiaceae*,

294 *Erysipelotrichaceae*, *Lactobacillaceae*, and *Peptostreptococcaceae* increased in resistant (Jax  
 295 and Tac) mice to *Plasmodium yoelii*, whereas the abundance of *Bacteroidaceae*, *Prevotellaceae*  
 296 and *Sutterellaceae* increased in susceptible (NCI and Har) mice. In addition, these authors  
 297 showed that the abundance of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* increased in mice resistant to  
 298 *Plasmodium yoelii* and their use as probiotics decreased parasitic load. The study of  
 299 *Plasmodium chabaudi* infection in mice showed an enhanced intestinal bacterial translocation  
 300 during *Plasmodium* infection, promoting non-typhoidal *Salmonella* bacterial dissemination  
 301 from the intestinal tract (83). Regarding *Leishmania infantum*, the metabarcoding analysis of  
 302 the bacterial community within the midgut of one of its vectors, the sand fly *Lutzomyia*  
 303 *longipalpis*, showed a progressive decrease in bacterial richness and *Pseudomonadaceae*  
 304 abundance, whereas the abundance of *Acetobacteraceae* progressively increased following  
 305 infection. The results of microbial community Fisher's linear discriminant analysis (LDA)  
 306 showed that members of the *Actinobacteria* phylum (e.g. *Tsukamurella*, *Tsukamurellaceae*,  
 307 *Coprococcus*, *Porphyromonadaceae*, *Kocuria*, *Pigmentiphaga*) were predominant in sand flies  
 308 infected by *L. infantum* (74). In humans with *Leishmania donovani* complex associated with  
 309 visceral leishmaniasis, 16S metabarcoding showed that *Ruminococcaceae* UCG-014 and  
 310 *Gastranaerophilales\_uncultured* bacterium were less abundant than in controls, and 18S rRNA  
 311 metabarcoding showed an increase in *Pentatrichomonas* sp. and a decrease in *Entamoeba* sp.  
 312 compared to controls. In the same subjects, a higher *Blastocystis* abundance was associated  
 313 with a high bacterial diversity and a relatively low *Escherichia-Shigella* abundance. In addition,  
 314 high *Blastocystis* abundance was associated with a relatively low *Bacteroidaceae* and high  
 315 *Clostridiales* vadin BB60 abundance (84).

316 In one clinical study of *Blastocystis* spp. and intestinal bacterial microbiota interactions in  
 317 cirrhotic patients with or without hepatic encephalopathy, 16S metabarcoding found a relatively  
 318 high abundance of *Alkaliphilus* and *Flavobacterium* populations and a relatively low abundance  
 319 of *Veillonella* and *Streptococcus* populations in *Blastocystis*-positive patients without hepatic  
 320 encephalopathy (70). Another 16S metabarcoding study on the impact of *Blastocystis*  
 321 colonisation on the diversity of human gut bacterial microbiota found an increase in the relative  
 322 abundance of the genera *Acetanaerobacterium*, *Acetivibrio*, *Coprococcus*, *Hespellia*,  
 323 *Oscillibacter*, *Papillibacter*, *Sporobacter* and *Ruminococcus* in patients colonised by  
 324 *Blastocystis* spp. than in *Blastocystis*-free patients. At the class level, this study reported that  
 325 *Clostridia* abundance increased whereas *Enterobacteriaceae* decreased in patients with  
 326 *Blastocystis* spp. (71). A study carried out in Malian children colonised by *Blastocystis* has



shown similar results with higher microbiota diversity and more abundant beneficial bacteria. The phyla *Firmicutes*, *Elusimicrobia*, *Lentisphaerae*, *Euryarchaeota* and the species of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* (family *Ruminococcaceae*) and *Roseburia* sp. (family *Lachnospiraceae*) were associated with *Blastocystis* colonisation (85). In terms of *Entamoeba* spp., a prospective cohort study of clinical enteric infections, used qPCR detection to reveal a significantly higher level of *Prevotella. copri* in infants with diarrhoea due to *Entamoeba histolytica*, whereas the level of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* was standard (33). The presence of *Entamoeba dispar* and/or *E. histolytica* was associated with a decrease in the relative abundance of *Prevotella copri*, an increase in *Clostridiales Christensenellaceae*, *Elusimicrobiales Elusimicrobiaceae*, and *Spirochaetaceae Treponema*, by 16S metabarcoding of the digestive microbiota in Pygmy hunter-gatherers and in the Bantu in Cameroon. *Clostridiales* and *Ruminococcaceae* displayed a significantly greater abundance in individuals with *Entamoeba* spp. (86). Some studies analysed the interaction between the simultaneous presence of multiple protozoa and the bacterial microbiota. One study aiming to assess the association between *Blastocystis* spp., *Dientamoeba fragilis* and intestinal bacteria, used qPCR and found a relative abundance of *Bacteroides* which was significantly higher in *Blastocystis* spp. and *Dientamoeba fragilis* negative groups compared to groups with a least one of these protozoa positive groups. The relative abundance of *Clostridial* cluster IV was significantly lower in the *Blastocystis*-positive/*Dientamoeba*-negative group compared with the *Blastocystis*-negative/*Dientamoeba*-positive group and the relative abundance of *Clostridial* cluster XIVa was higher in the *Blastocystis*-negative/*Dientamoeba*-negative group compared with the *Blastocystis*-positive/*Dientamoeba*-negative group (29). By studying the impact of *Giardia duodenalis*, *Entamoeba* spp. and *Blastocystis hominis* infections on the human gut microbiota using qPCR analysis, Lebba *et al.* found that *Giardia* spp. infection was associated with a dysbiotic condition explained by a slightly increase in *Escherichia coli* levels and increase in *Bifidobacterium*. Furthermore, *Entamoeba* spp/*Blastocystis hominis* were associated with a eubiotic condition described as a significantly higher *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*-*Escherichia coli* ratio in the faecal bacterial community in people from Côte d'Ivoire (72).

The impact of protozoa on the composition and structure of the vaginal microbiota has also been studied using a similar approach. The relationship between vaginal bacterial community and *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection showed a decreased abundance in *Lactobacilli* and an increased abundance of *Mycoplasma*, *Parvimonas*, and *Sneathia* (76).

### 3.6.3 Influence of helminths on bacterial community diversity

In this section, we will summarise available data regarding the interactions between helminths and bacterial communities, in experimental or clinical studies.

According to experimental studies, *Trichiuris* spp. mono-infection has no impact on the diversity of the gut microbiota in the porcine colon (87,88), but when it comes to mixed infections, the data diverge: one study reported reduced bacterial diversity in children with a mixed infection involving *T. trichiura* and *Ascaris lumbricoides* (89), whereas others showed an increase in bacterial diversity among helminth-infected adults and children with *Trichuris*, hookworms and/or *Ascaris* (90,91). *Trichiuris* spp. infection has been associated in humans with an increased abundance of the *Prevotella* genus (89,90) but a significant reduction in their proportions of the microbiota in mice infected with *T. muris* (92). Likewise, clinical studies concerning the bacterial diversity of the gut microbiota report an enrichment of bacterial taxa among *Ascaris*-infected patients for some (91) and a reduced overall diversity during *Ascaris* spp. infection for others (89); however an experimental study on the analysis of the gut microbiota composition in pigs infected with *A. suum* showed a trend for increased microbial diversity (93). A higher abundance of *Prevotella* has also been observed with the presence of *Ascaris* spp alone or in combination with other helminths (93,94). Also, *Necator americanus* infection has been associated with an increased in the species richness but not in the bacterial diversity in patients with celiac disease (95). An increase in bacterial community diversity has also been found in mixed infections with *Leidynema appendiculatum*, *Hammerschmidtella diesingi*, *Thelastoma bulhoesi* in both *Periplaneta fuliginosa* and *Periplaneta americana* cockroach species (96). Similarly, bacterial community diversity was also higher in *Ovis aries* sheep infected by larval-stage *Haemonchus contortus* (97); after *Enterobius vermicularis* infection (36), and *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Schistosoma haematobium* infections (98) in children. The high abundance of *Proteobacteria* and lower abundance of *Firmicutes* has been observed in mixed *Leidynema appendiculatum*, *Hammerschmidtella diesingi* and *Thelastoma bulhoesi* infections in cockroaches (96). *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Schistosoma haematobium* infections in children showed a high abundance of *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* (98). In addition, *Enterobius vermicularis* infection in children was associated with an increased abundance in *Bifidobacterium longum* and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* species, and was associated with greater bacterial community diversity (99).

### 3.6.4 Impact of helminths on bacterial community structure

The influence of *Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri*, *Trichuris* spp., *Hymenolepis diminuta*, *Trichostrongylus retortaeformis*, *Toxocara cati*, *Leidynema appendiculatum*; *Hammerschmidtella diesingi*; *Thelastoma bulhoesi*, *Enterobius vermicularis*, *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Necator americanus*, *Schistosoma haematobium* on the microbiota of bacteria in the host (human or animal) has been described (Table 2). We found no *in vitro* studies aiming at dissecting the interaction between helminths and bacterial communities.

In experimental studies, *Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri* infection was associated with a significant increase in *Lactobacillaceae* abundance, using 16S rRNA Sanger sequencing and qPCR, in the ileum and with improved disease in an inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) mouse model (100). In *Apodemus flavicollis*, 454-pyrosequencing 16S V1V3 metabarcoding showed that *Lachnospiraceae* abundance increased in *H. polygyrus* infected mice and decreased in *Syphacia* spp. infected mice. In addition, *Syphacia* infection was associated with a decrease in of Firmicutes (*Lactobacillus*) OTUs, as opposed to *H. polygyrus*. An increase in the unidentified bacteria belonging to the phylum of Bacteroides (S24–7 OTUs) was observed in mice infected by *Hymenolepis* spp (101). Also,  $\gamma$ -Proteobacteria/Enterobacteriaceae and abundance of the bacteria of the *Bacteroides/Prevotella* group in the caecum, assessed via qPCR, was increased 14 days after *Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri* infection in mice (102). In an obese mouse model, *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* infection suppressed weight gain and increased the abundance of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria phyla, as was the case for *Bacillus* and *Escherichia* genera, in the gut bacterial community (103). In *Schistosoma mansoni* infected mice, gut bacterial diversity decreased, and *Akkermansia muciniphila* (phylum Verrucomicrobia) and Lactobacillales abundance increased compared to controls (104). *Trichuris muris* infection in mice decreased the bacterial diversity of the large intestine and an increase the relative abundance of *Lactobacillaceae* was observed. This alteration in the bacterial community structure resulted in greater abundance of *Alistipes*, *Odoribacter*, and *Parasutterella*, and a decrease in *Allobaculum* and *Barnesiella* (37). A further study using 454 pyrosequencing showed that *Trichuris muris* infection resulted in a decrease in the diversity and abundance of Bacteroidetes, namely *Prevotella* and *Parabacteroides* genera in the faecal bacterial communities in mice (105). Infection with the trematode *Hymenolepis diminuta* has been associated with a decrease in Actinobacteria and Tenericutes and an increase in Bacteroidetes (106). In another study, *Hymenolepis diminuta* infection produced a significant change in 48 OTUs, assessed via V4 region 16S metabarcoding, of the gastrointestinal bacterial



community of rats (107). The treatment of *H. diminuta* infection triggered an increase in the abundance of uncultured Bacteroidales family S24-7 and Ruminococcaceae and Mollicutes RF39 order. Also, the genera *Turcibacter* and *Sutterella*, and Erysipelotrichaceae were significantly more abundant in non-infected rats (107). Illumina MiSeq V4 16S metabarcoding in rats showed that *H. diminuta* infection altered the *Firmicutes* species structure with an increase in *Clostridia* and a decrease in *Bacilli* (108).

Analysis of the duodenal microbiota of rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) experimentally infected with *Trichostrongylus retortaeformis* by 454 pyrosequencing V3-V5 16S metabarcoding found an increase in *Leptospiraceae* and *Desulfobacteraceae*, and *Leptomena* and *Desulfocella*, whereas the abundance of *Porphyromonadaceae* and *Bacteroidaceae* was higher in controls (109).

Analysis of the intestinal microbiota in cats experimentally infected with *Toxocara cati* by Illumina MiSeq V3-V4 16S metabarcoding showed that i) Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Bacteroidetes were abundant in all samples; ii) Gammaproteo-Bacteria, *Jeotgalicoccus*, and *Jeotgalicoccus psychrophilus* were less abundant in infected cats; whereas iii) there was a decrease in *Collinsella stercoris*, *Enterococcus cecorum*, *Ruminococcus gnavus*, *Dorea*, and *Lactobacillales* in non-infected cats (110).

In addition, 16S rRNA metabarcoding showed that *Ascaris suum* infection affects the microbial communities in the faecal and proximal colon of pigs. Significant changes in the abundance of *Prevotella* and *Faecalibacterium* and metabolic pathways have been observed following infection with *Ascaris suum*. A significant positive correlation was found between node connectivity of the operational taxonomic units assigned to *Proteobacteria* (especially the family *Alcaligenaceae*) and faecal acetate and propionate levels. However, the family *Porphyromonadaceae* was positively correlated with faecal egg counts (111). *Trichuris suis* experimental infection in pigs induced a decreased abundance of *Fibrobacter* and *Ruminococcus* and an increased abundance of *Campylobacter* in the colon microbiota, assessed using Illumina HiSeq 2000 16S metabarcoding (87). Another study on alterations in the porcine colon microbiota when experimentally infected with *Trichuris suis* showed a decreased abundance of *Ruminococcus*, *Oscillibacter* and *Succinivibrio* and an increased abundance of *Mucispirillum* and *Paraprevotella* using 16S metabarcoding and whole-genome shotgun (WGS) sequencing (88). The study of the gut bacterial community after therapeutic *Trichuris trichiura* infection of macaques with chronic idiopathic diarrhoea showed an increase the genus

457 *Streptophyta* of the phylum Cyanobacteria using Illumina MiSeq V4 16S metabarcoding (112).  
 458 The Illumina MiSeq V3V4, V5V7 16S rRNA metabarcoding of the ovine gut bacterial  
 459 community at different stages of *Haemonchus contortus* infection showed a relatively increased  
 460 abundance of *Pseudomonas*, *Ochrobactrum*, *Escherichia/Shigella* and *Azotobacter* genera, at  
 461 the egg stage; followed by *Achromobacter*, *Lentibacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Ochrobactrum*,  
 462 *Kroppenstedtia*, *Dokdonella*, *Bacillus*, *Delftia*, *Oceanobacillus*, *Azotobacter*,  
 463 *Pseudaminobacter* and *Candidatus Accumulibacter*, at the larval stage; and *Escherichia-*  
 464 *Shigella*, *Pseudomonas*; and *Ochrobactrum* genera, at the adult stage (97). V3-V4 16S  
 465 metabarcoding characterisation of the equine gut commensal flora when infected with low and  
 466 high numbers of cyathostomin eggs, showed that the Methanomicrobia (class) and  
 467 *Dehalobacterium* (genus) were more abundant in equines experimentally infected with lower  
 468 egg counts compared to those infected with higher egg counts (113).

469 Regarding clinical studies, Illumina MiSeq V4-16S metabarcoding of the gut bacterial  
 470 community of primary school children from Taiwan showed that *Enterobius vermicularis*  
 471 infection was associated with increased gut bacterial diversity and mebendazole treatment was  
 472 associated with a further increased gut bacterial diversity. Enterobiasis and mebendazole  
 473 deworming were both associated with a relatively high abundance of *Bifidobacterium longum*,  
 474 *Oscillospira* sp., *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, *Alistipes*. Mebendazole treatment induced a  
 475 relative decrease in *Acidaminococcus intestini*, *Megasphaera elsdenii*, *Veillonella dispar*,  
 476 *Fusobacterium varium*; and a relative increase in *Collinsella aerofaciens*, and *Streptococcus*  
 477 *thermophilus* (36). 454 pyrosequencing V3V5 16S metabarcoding of the gut bacterial  
 478 community in school children in Ecuador found no evidence for changes associated with  
 479 *Trichiuris trichiura* infection, but mixed *T. trichiura* and *Ascaris lumbricoides* infection was  
 480 associated with a reduced bacterial diversity and a decreased proportional abundance of a few  
 481 genera in the *Clostridia* class of *Firmicutes* (114). Another Illumina MiSeq V4 16S  
 482 metabarcoding study found higher species richness and abundance of *Paraprevotellaceae*,  
 483 *Mollicutes*, *Bacteroidales*, and *Alphaproteobacteria* in the gut bacterial community of  
 484 Malaysian villagers infected with the soil-transmitted helminths, *Trichuris* spp., *Ascaris* spp.,  
 485 and hookworm (115). In patients with coeliac disease on a gluten free diet, 454 pyrosequencing  
 486 V1V3-V3V5 16S metabarcoding of the intestinal microbiota showed that experimental *Necator*  
 487 *americanus* infection was associated with significant increases in microbial species richness  
 488 despite maintaining the bacterial composition of the intestinal flora (95). It has been reported by  
 489 sequencing of the V3V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA with the Illumina MiSeq system that

the bacteria of *Verrucomicrobiae*, *Verrucomicrobiales*, *Verrucomicrobiaceae* and *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Lactococcus*, *Akkermansia* and a genus belonging to the *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Akkermansia muciniphila* had increased abundance in patients from Sri Lanka with intestinal helminths (*Ascaris*, *Trichuris*, *Hookworm*). However, *Leuconostocaceae* and *Bacteroidaceae* and *Bacteroides* were less common in patients infected with worms compared to those who were either not infected or under anti-helminthic prophylaxis (38). A study in Liberia and Indonesia, using Illumina MiSeq and 454 pyrosequencing V1 V3 16S of the gut microbiota metabarcoding showed that *Lachnospiraceae* were associated with the absence of soil-transmitted helminth (*Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura*, *Necator americanus*) infection, whereas 12 bacterial taxa were significantly associated with helminth infections, including *Olsenella*, the abundance of which significantly decreased after anthelmintic treatment. Successful anthelmintic treatment was associated with the presence of *Clostridium\_IV*, *Turicibacter*, and *Collinsella*. *Akkermansia* and *Ruminococcus* were significantly associated with both infection at baseline and the prevention of parasite clearance (116). Illumina MiSeq V3V4 16S metabarcoding of the bacterial community in children's guts showed that *Schistosoma haematobium* infection was associated with decreased abundance of *Firmicutes* and an increased abundance of *Proteobacteria*. In particular, the genus *Prevotella* was significantly more abundant in children infected with schistosomiasis (98). Another study on children from Côte d'Ivoire who were exposed to *Schistosoma mansoni* used 16S metabarcoding of the bacterial community and revealed that *Schistosoma mansoni* infection in children in Côte d'Ivoire was not associated with gut dysbiosis but *Fusobacterium* spp. abundance was positively correlated with the clinical efficacy of praziquantel treatment (117).

### 3.6.5 Impact of fungi on the diversity of bacterial communities

Like protozoa and helminths, fungi, also in the minority, play a crucial role in the interaction between prokaryotes and eukaryotes in the host.

The presence of the baker's yeast *Saccharomyces* has been associated with an increase in the diversity of gut bacterial communities in human and animal models (118–121). Furthermore, a decrease in *Saccharomyces* spp. abundance has also been correlated with a decrease in bacterial diversity (122–124). Similarly, the ingestion of *Ganoderma lucidum* mycelium in mice with a high-fat diet displayed an anti-obesity effect that was associated with increased bacterial diversity (125). A non-statistically significant trend towards greater bacterial diversity and a

reduction in the richness of fungi has been observed between normal weight and obese children (120). In patients with chronic diseases, it has been observed that the increase in fungal microbiota diversity has very often resulted in a decrease in bacterial diversity, manifested by a decrease in the abundance of *Bacteroidetes* or *Firmicutes* (40,122,123,126–128). Infection with the microsporidia *Paranosema locustae* was associated with a decrease in bacterial diversity in migratory locusts (129). Furthermore, *Clostridium difficile* colitis has been associated with a decrease in the diversity of fungal alpha (124). It was also noted in a study on the characterisation of fungi and bacterial microbiota in Rett Syndrome patients that a high abundance of *Candida* spp. was associated with an increased abundance of the *Clostridia* genus among the bacterial community, when using high-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA (128). Furthermore, the existence of great fungal diversity in the Libellulidae *Pantala flavescens*, new symbiotic bacteria *Leclercia* sp., *Oceanobacillus oncorhynchi*, *Methylobacterium extorquens* has been described. The existence of an antibacterial activity of symbiotic fungi in the *Pantala flavescens* larvae has also been demonstrated (130). It is notable that a decrease in *Malassezia* abundance and a decrease in bacterial diversity have been seen in children with Hirschsprung disease and in Rett Syndrome patients (123,128). However, an increased abundance of *Malassezia sympodialis* associated with a decrease in bacterial diversity has been observed in children with inflammatory bowel disease (122). In the current literature, fungi are reported to influence the bacterial communities and tend towards a relatively healthy state. For instance, *Saccharomyces* spp. improves gastrointestinal discomfort and constipation, *Ganoderma lucidum* mycelium displays anti-obesity properties, and symbiotic fungi have antibacterial activity. All these fungal properties have been associated with the modulation of the diversity and structure of the host bacterial community (118,120,125,130,131).

### 3.6.6 Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities

Fungi are relatively numerous and ubiquitously colonize the living environment where they modulate the bacterial microbiota (Table 3). Hence, a growing body of evidence indicates that fungi may modulate the microbiota and play important roles in the physiology and immunity of the host (122). In the literature, studies of the interaction between fungus and bacteria mainly concern yeasts, including *Candida albicans* and the probiotics *S. cerevisiae* RC016 and *Saccharomyces boulardii*, filamentous fungi including *Mucor circinelloides*, macroscopic fungi, such as *Ganoderma lucidum*, and microsporidian enteropathogens.

The *in vitro* study of *Candida albicans* and *Clostridium difficile* interactions showed that the strictly anaerobic Gram-positive bacterium *C. difficile* can grow under aerobic conditions in the presence of *C. albicans*. In contrast *C. albicans* hyphal formation is inhibited by the presence of *C. difficile*, most probably due to p-cresol excretion (131). Another study on anaerobic bacteria/yeast interactions highlighted that the growth of *Bacteroides* was significantly enhanced in co-culture with *C. albicans* whereas the growth of *C. albicans* was affected neither by *B. fragilis* nor *B. vulgatus* co-culture, suggesting that *C. albicans* cells can serve as an additional nutrient source for the culture of bacteria in the anaerobic atmosphere of the gut (132).

An *in vivo* study, using a mouse model of obesity, showed through V3-V5 16S rRNA metabarcoding, that 4% to 8% water extract of the basidiomycete *Ganoderma lucidum* (WEGL) mycelium reduces the *Firmicutes-Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* ratio in high-fat diet mice. Treatment of high-fat diet-fed mice with 8% WEGL increased the abundance of the species *Parabacteroides goldsteinii*, *Bacteroides* spp., *Anaerotruncus colihominis*, *Roseburia hominis*, *Clostridium* spp., *Methylpentosum* (*Clostridium* IV), *Clostridium* XIVa and XVIII, and *Eubacterium coprostanoligenes*, which were negatively correlated to obesity. Also, 8% WEGL increased the abundance of the species *E. coprostanoligenes*, *C. methylpentosum*, *P. goldsteinii*, *Bacteroides* spp., *A. colihominis*, *R. hominis* and *Clostridium* XIVa and XVIII in Chow diet mice (125). Other *in vivo* studies using mouse models have analysed the interaction between yeasts and the gut microbiota. Feeding with the probiotic *S. cerevisiae* RC016 was associated with a decrease of one logarithmic unit of *Enterobacteriaceae* in healthy mice compared with control mice using conventional culture methods (133). Moreover, some studies have revealed cooperation between the *Enterobacteriaceae* family and both *C. albicans* and *S. boulardii*, encouraging their gut colonisation and their effect on intestinal inflammation. The colistin-resistant *Escherichia coli* effect revealed the beneficial impact of *S. boulardii* and pathogenic effects of *C. albicans* on colitis severity in mice (134). Faecal microbiota transplantation can prevent fungal colonization of the gastrointestinal tract. In fact, experimental wild-type mice model is resistant to gut colonization by *Candida albicans*. Mice treated with  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics (e.g. Ampicillin) experienced a dysbiosis in the gut microbiota which was beneficial to *Candida albicans* by colonising the digestive tract. Faecal microbiota transplantation effectively and immediately reduces *C. albicans* loads and prevents it from colonising the gastrointestinal tract of mice (135). Specific probiotics such as *Bifidobacterium* may also be of therapeutic interest by reducing the fungal load in *Candida albicans*/*Clostridium difficile*



infection models. In fact, the administration of *Candida albicans* aggravates the severity of *Clostridium difficile* infection by increasing gut inflammation. Unfortunately, the probiotic has no effect on the clostridium toxin in the faeces (136). Colonisation by *C. albicans* does not always have a deleterious effect, showing protective effects against lethal *C. difficile* infections in mice models by acting on the cytokine IL-17A. The abundance of the beneficial bacteria *Bifidobacterium* and *Akkermansia* was significantly increased in mice colonised with *C. albicans* (137). Another pathogenic opportunistic yeast, *Candida glabrata*, in an experiment using a colitis mouse model showed that the persistence of *C. glabrata* in the gut is subject to remodelling its cell wall leading to an increase in chitin. Oral administration of chitin restored anaerobic bacteria including *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *Lactobacillus johnsonii*, *Bifidobacterium* and *Bacteroides* spp. and reduced aerobic bacteria such as *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, counters the effect of intestinal inflammation caused by colitis (138). The treatment of rats with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fermentation prebiotic before stress leads to beneficial changes in the gut microbiota. Treating rats with yeast fermentate before exposure to heat stress resulted in changes in the relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and *Allobaculum*, while *Acetanaerobacterium*, *Bacteroides*, *Eubacterium*, *Johnsonella*, *Lactococcus*, *Oscillospira*, *Roseburia* and *Vallitalea*, substantially increased. (139). The usefulness of *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745 probiotics has been studied in other pathologies, through a controlled study of the lipid profile and the intestinal microbiota in a hypercholesterolemic hamster model. The abundance of the genus *Allobaculum* increased and an unclassified genus in the family *Lachnospiraceae*, unclassified genus of *Desulfovibrionaceae*, *Oxalobacter* and an unclassified genus in family F16 with the treatment of *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745 decreased with treatment of *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745. These genera g\_CF231, *Allobaculum*, an unclassified *Lachnospiraceae* and *Oxalobacter* have been correlated with total plasma cholesterol (140). Elsewhere, a study investigated the effect of (3R, 30R)-astaxanthin on lipid metabolism and the gut microbiota in mice fed on a high-fat diet. Astaxanthin is produced from *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*, a basidiomycete fungus. Supplementation with (3R, 3'R) -astaxanthin/*X. dendrorhous* on a high-fat diet prevented weight gain and decreased total cholesterol in the plasma and liver. Furthermore it regulated its intestinal microbiota optimising the *Bacteroidetes/Firmicutes* ratio and increasing the abundance in *Verrucomicrobia*, particularly *Akkermansia* (141). It has also been shown in a mouse model treated with the pathogenic fungus *Mucor circinelloides* that the abundance of the bacterial genus *Bacteroides* increases and the abundance of the bacteria *Akkermansia muciniphila* decreases in these gastrointestinal tracts (142). The administration of mushrooms (*Agaricus*

*bisporus*) to pigs significantly reduced the *Salmonella typhimurium*-Lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory response at the alveolar macrophage level and positively modulated the metabolism of the pig microbiota by increasing the abundance of *Clostridial* taxa which are associated with improved intestinal health (143).

*In vivo* studies have also been carried out using insect models. The microbiota of healthy dragonfly (*Pantala flavescens*) larvae was analysed using culture-dependent methods and ITS barcoding for the fungi, and 16S barcoding for the bacterial symbionts; forty-eight fungal isolates were obtained, grouped in five classes (Leotiomycetes, Dothideomycetes, Eurotiomycetes, Sordiaromycetes, Zygomycetes), were associated with a variety of symbiont bacteria, including *Sphingomonas*, *Methylobacterium*, *Burkholderia*, *Pantoea*, *Enterobacter*, *Leclercia*, and *Serratia*, *Oceanobacillus* which were included in the *Proteobacteria* and *Firmicutes*. *Enterobacter* was the most abundant bacterial genus associated with these fungi (130). In honey bees (*Apis mellifera*), *Nosema ceranae* microsporidium infection was associated with a decreased abundance of *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp., an increased abundance of *Gilliamella apicola*, and *Snodgrassella alvi* increased significantly in honeybees infected during the winter assessed using quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) (144). *In vivo* insect models have also been used to study the impact of microsporidian parasites on the gut microbiota. Thus, in locusts (*Locusta migratoria*), infection with the microsporidian parasites *Paranosema locustae* alters the structure of the gut bacterial community, as assessed using 16S rRNA V4-V5 region pyrosequencing, by increasing the abundance of the genera *Citrobacter* (36%), *Lactococcus* (13.28%), and *Raoultella* (43%) (129). In *Serinus canaria* birds, colonisation of the gastric mucosa by the opportunistic yeast *Macrorhabdus ornithogaster* was associated with the presence of *Lactobacillus* and *Candidatus Arthromitus*, assessed via 16S metabarcoding, whereas *Lactococcus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, *Lachnospiraceae*, *Propionibacterium* and *Weissella* were associated with uninfected birds (145).

The data on interactions between fungal and bacterial communities in humans remain scarce. Analysis of the diversity of bacterial and fungal communities in normal-weight and obese school-aged children showed the presence of *Eubacterium rectale*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Candida albicans*, and *C. glabrata* in all subjects, whereas there was a significantly lower abundance of *Akkermansia muciniphila*, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, *Bacteroides/Prevotella* Group, *Candida* spp., and *Saccharomyces* spp. in obese children, and *Debaryomyces hansenii* was found in two obese children (120). Another study using both 16S and ITS rRNA

metabarcoding, analysed the impact of the antibiotic treatment of *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) on bacterial and fungal communities. It showed a relatively increased abundance of the *Pichiaceae* family (order of Saccharomycetales) in non-CDI patients and, in contrast, a relatively increased abundance of the *Ascomycota* phylum, the *Pleosporales* order, and the *Dothideomycetes* class in the patients with CDI. A relatively increased abundance of *Ascomycota* phylum and *Saccharomycetes* was observed in patients with CDI. The genera *Cadophora*, *Bandoniozyma*, and *Clitocybe* were more abundant in the non-CDI than in CDI patients (146). Another study looked at the effect of oral administration of *Saccharomyces boulardii* and its mode of administration on the intestinal microbial community in premature infants by 16S metabarcoding. The results showed that *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* remained stable during the observation period. The oral administration of *Saccharomyces boulardii* had no significant influence on the bacterial community but the mode by which children were delivered changed the microbiota. *Bacteroides* and *Parabacteroides* were more abundant in children delivered vaginally compared to children born by Caesarean delivery on day 0. After two weeks of administration, the abundance of *Bacteroides* and *Parabacteroides* were higher in children delivered vaginally compared to children born by Caesarean section (121). In women treated for bacterial vaginosis, the effect of the antibiotic treatment was reduced by *Saccharomyces boulardii* prophylaxis, thus improving the colonic microbiota (147). Elsewhere, in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, a positive correlation was observed between the abundance of *Saccharomyces* and that of *Bifidobacterium*, *Blautia*, *Roseburia* and *Ruminococcus* using both ITS2 and 16S rRNA metabarcoding (122). Some studies have focussed on bacterial and fungal microbiota in patients with chronic inflammatory bowel disease. Patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis suffer from fungal microbiota dysbiosis, an alteration in composition and high biodiversity. An increased proportion of *Exophiala* and a decreased proportion of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has been observed among these patients. The signature of fungi dysbiosis is different when compared with patients with Irritable Bowel Disease. The bacteria-fungi correlation network highly affects the intestinal microbiota of patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis when compared to patients with Irritable Bowel Disease status. Gut fungi could therefore contribute to the pathogenesis of primary sclerosing cholangitis and could be considered as a new therapeutic target (148). Some species such as *Candida tropicalis*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Escherichia coli* have found to be associated with Crohn's disease dysbiosis (127). Meanwhile, another study found that the genera *Candida*, *Debaryomyces*, *Saccharomyces*, *Malassezia*, *Sporobolomyces*, *Trichosporon*, *Wallemia*, unidentified *Filobasidiaceae*, and unidentified *Xylariales* as well as the genus *Enterococcus*,



*Alicyclobacillus*, and *Lactobacillus* was over-represented in patients with Crohn's disease using 16S rRNA (MiSeq) and ITS2 (pyrosequencing) (40). The relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and several *Clostridia* including *Anaerostipes*, *Clostridium XIVa*, and *Clostridium XIVb*, as well as *Erysipelotrichaceae Clostridium XVIII* and *Erysipelotrichaceae incertae sedis*), *Actinomyces*, *Eggerthella*, *Enterococcus*, *Escherichia/Shigella* and *Lactobacillus* were higher in the Rett Syndrome patients compared with healthy controls when using high-throughput sequencing the V3-V5 regions of the 16S rDNA gene. The gut fungal community, analysed by sequencing the ITS1 region of the rRNA, revealed the most abundant genera in Rett Syndrome patients were *Candida*, *Aspergillus* and *Trichosporon*, whereas in healthy controls *Penicillium*, *Malassezia*, *Debaryomyces*, *Mucor*, *Eremothecium*, *Pichia*, and *Cyberlindnera* were the most abundant. The genus *Candida* was significantly more abundant in Rett Syndrome patients than in healthy controls (149). It has been reported, using MiSeq Illumina ITS-1 sequencing, that *Candida* species in the Hirschsprung disease group were composed of *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. utilis* while the Hirschsprung-associated enterocolitis group had a majority of *C. albicans* and low *C. tropicalis*. Ion Torrent 16S rRNA sequencing revealed a low proportion of *Firmicutes* and *Verrucomicrobia* and a higher proportion of *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* in the Hirschsprung-associated enterocolitis group, when compared to the Hirschsprung disease group (123).

Food consumption has been associated with fungal abundance in the gut. An inverse association between *Candida* (fungus) and *Bacteroides* (bacteria) has been found. A higher abundance of *Bacteroides* has been observed in individuals whose diet is very high in protein, while *Candida* is more highly abundance in individuals who have recently consumed carbohydrates. Authors have also reported a positive correlation between *Fusarium* (fungus), *Bryantella* (bacteria) and *Anaerostipes* (bacteria), and *Pichia* (fungus) and *Syntrophococcus* (bacteria) (150,151).

#### 4. Conclusions and perspectives

While the "One Health" concept acknowledges that human health is linked to animal health and to the environment (152,153), microbial community structures are dependent on interactions between each of their components. Studies addressing only one component, for instance the bacterial community, are limited by only providing a partial view of both the structure of micro-organism communities and the inter-kingdom interactions between communities of sympatric viruses, prokaryotes, and eukaryotes. The human and animal microbiota biotope includes the bacterial, viral, eukaryotic (protozoa and helminths) and fungal communities. These

communities of micro-organisms coevolve and maintain balanced relationships in the host. The relationship between prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities and their environment contributes to homeostasis and host health. This relationship is altered by the qualitative and quantitative modification of microbiota that are, among other factors, influenced by anti-infective treatments, genetic predisposition, and digestive and chronic diseases. Studies analysing the interaction between communities of prokaryotes and eukaryotes are scarce. However, large-scale controlled studies are needed to elucidate the mechanisms that explain variations in the diversity and abundance of the prokaryotic microbiota resulting from the presence of eukaryotes.

This review highlights that some bacteria, especially *Lactobacillus* sp., have been associated with the inhibition of infection by the protozoa *Giardia duodenalis in vitro* and *Plasmodium falciparum in vivo*. Infection of the intestinal protozoa has a qualitative and quantitative impact on the intestinal microbiota. Free-living amoebae maintain symbiotic relationships with most microorganisms such as virus, bacteria, fungi, and parasites. Several worms have been involved in alterations in bacterial communities. Infecting wild-type C57BL/6 mice with *Heligmosomoides polygyrus bakeri* significantly increased the abundance of the *Lactobacillaceae* family, but the clinical consequences of these changes in the intestinal flora have yet to be studied. Fungi can be used as a probiotic (EpiCor fermentate) to modulate the microbiota especially the bacterial community by improving gastrointestinal discomfort and constipation. Some studies have demonstrated that fungi are associated with modulation of the microbiota in chronic diseases as well as in cases of HIV and CDI infections.

The impact on host immunity and the metabolic potential of changes to the microbiota has not been addressed in this review.

The interaction between eukaryotes and prokaryotes resulted in modulation of the microbiota which led to the characterisation of the complexity of the microbiome. This interaction could play a significant role in the pathophysiology of various multifactorial chronic diseases. It is thus important to further study the structure and function of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities to better understand their interactions. The microbiota community structure has been characterised by the development of metagenomics/genomic and related culture methods. However, further research is warranted to bridge the knowledge gap on interactions between eukaryotes and prokaryotes.

**Author contributions:** Conceptualization, writing and original draft preparation, S.R., A.K.; writing, review, and editing, S.R., A.K and E.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### **Funding**

This work was supported by the French Government under the “Investissements d’avenir” (Investments for the Future) programme managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR, fr: National Agency for Research), (reference: Méditerranée Infection 10-IAHU-03) and the Région Provence-Alpes-Côte d’Azur, European ERDF funding (European regional development fund) and PRIMMI ((Plateformes de Recherche et d'Innovation Mutualisées Méditerranée Infection).

**Acknowledgments:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** No conflict of interest declared

References

1. Virgin HW. The virome in mammalian physiology and disease. *Cell*. 2014 Mar 27;157(1):142–50.
2. Boyer M, Madoui M-A, Gimenez G, Scola BL, Raoult D. Phylogenetic and Phyletic Studies of Informational Genes in Genomes Highlight Existence of a 4th Domain of Life Including Giant Viruses. *PLOS ONE*. 2010 Dec 2;5(12):e15530.
3. Raoult D. TRUC or the Need for a New Microbial Classification. *Intervirology*. 2013;56(6):349–53.
4. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, et al. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. *Nature* [Internet]. 2012 May 9 [cited 2018 May 4]; Available from: <http://www.nature.com/doi/10.1038/nature11053>
5. Lynch SV, Pedersen O. The Human Intestinal Microbiome in Health and Disease. *Phimister EG, editor. N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2016 Dec 15 [cited 2018 May 4];375(24):2369–79. Available from: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMra1600266>
6. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, Bittinger K, Chen Y-Y, Keilbaugh SA, et al. Linking Long-Term Dietary Patterns with Gut Microbial Enterotypes. *Science* [Internet]. 2011 Oct 7 [cited 2018 May 4];334(6052):105–8. Available from: <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1208344>
7. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature* [Internet]. 2014 Jan [cited 2018 May 4];505(7484):559–63. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/nature12820>
8. Xu Z, Knight R. Dietary effects on human gut microbiome diversity. *Br J Nutr* [Internet]. 2015 Jan [cited 2018 May 4];113(S1):S1–5. Available from: [http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract\\_S0007114514004127](http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0007114514004127)
9. Falony G, Joossens M, Vieira-Silva S, Wang J, Darzi Y, Faust K, et al. Population-level analysis of gut microbiome variation. *Science* [Internet]. 2016 Apr 29 [cited 2018 May 4];352(6285):560–4. Available from: <http://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.aad3503>
10. Vandeputte D, Falony G, Vieira-Silva S, Tito RY, Joossens M, Raes J. Stool consistency is strongly associated with gut microbiota richness and composition, enterotypes and bacterial growth rates. *Gut* [Internet]. 2016 Jan [cited 2018 May 4];65(1):57–62. Available from: <http://gut.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309618>
11. Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, Gordon JL. Human gut microbes associated with obesity. *Nature*. 2006 Dec;444(7122):1022–3.
12. Zhernakova A, Kurilshikov A, Bonder MJ, Tigchelaar EF, Schirmer M, Vatanen T, et al. Population-based metagenomics analysis reveals markers for gut microbiome composition and diversity. *Science*. 2016 Apr 29;352(6285):565–9.
13. Francino MP. Antibiotics and the Human Gut Microbiome: Dysbioses and Accumulation of Resistances. *Front Microbiol* [Internet]. 2016 Jan 12 [cited 2018 May 5];6. Available from: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01543/abstract>

- 804 14. Smits SA, Leach J, Sonnenburg ED, Gonzalez CG, Lichtman JS, Reid G, et al. Seasonal cycling in  
805 the gut microbiome of the Hadza hunter-gatherers of Tanzania. *Science* [Internet]. 2017 Aug  
806 25 [cited 2018 May 5];357(6353):802–6. Available from:  
807 <http://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.aan4834>
- 808 15. Liu K, Liu Y, Jiao N, Xu B, Gu Z, Xing T, et al. Bacterial community composition and diversity in  
809 Kalakuli, an alpine glacial-fed lake in Muztagh Ata of the westernmost Tibetan Plateau. *FEMS*  
810 *Microbiol Ecol* [Internet]. 2017 Jul 1 [cited 2020 Oct 12];93(7). Available from:  
811 <https://academic.oup.com/femsec/article/93/7/fix085/3906652>
- 812 16. Smits SA, Leach J, Sonnenburg ED, Gonzalez CG, Lichtman JS, Reid G, et al. Seasonal cycling in  
813 the gut microbiome of the Hadza hunter-gatherers of Tanzania. *Science*. 2017  
814 25;357(6353):802–6.
- 815 17. Zhernakova A, Kurilshikov A, Bonder MJ, Tigchelaar EF, Schirmer M, Vatanen T, et al.  
816 Population-based metagenomics analysis reveals markers for gut microbiome composition  
817 and diversity. *Science* [Internet]. 2016 Apr 29 [cited 2018 May 4];352(6285):565–9. Available  
818 from: <http://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.aad3369>
- 819 18. Goodrich JK, Waters JL, Poole AC, Sutter JL, Koren O, Blekhman R, et al. Human Genetics  
820 Shape the Gut Microbiome. *Cell* [Internet]. 2014 Nov [cited 2018 May 5];159(4):789–99.  
821 Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867414012410>
- 822 19. Blekhman R, Goodrich JK, Huang K, Sun Q, Bukowski R, Bell JT, et al. Host genetic variation  
823 impacts microbiome composition across human body sites. *Genome Biol* [Internet]. 2015 Dec  
824 [cited 2018 May 5];16(1). Available from: <http://genomebiology.com/2015/16/1/191>
- 825 20. Goodrich JK, Davenport ER, Beaumont M, Jackson MA, Knight R, Ober C, et al. Genetic  
826 Determinants of the Gut Microbiome in UK Twins. *Cell Host Microbe* [Internet]. 2016 May  
827 [cited 2018 May 5];19(5):731–43. Available from:  
828 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1931312816301536>
- 829 21. Frank DN, Pace NR. Gastrointestinal microbiology enters the metagenomics era. *Curr Opin*  
830 *Gastroenterol*. 2008 Jan;24(1):4–10.
- 831 22. Li J, Jia H, Cai X, Zhong H, Feng Q, Sunagawa S, et al. An integrated catalog of reference genes  
832 in the human gut microbiome. *Nat Biotechnol* [Internet]. 2014 Aug [cited 2018 May  
833 5];32(8):834–41. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.2942>
- 834 23. PORTER JR. Antony van Leeuwenhoek: Tercentenary of His Discovery of Bacteria. *BACTERIOL*  
835 *REV.* :10.
- 836 24. Lagier J-C, Edouard S, Pagnier I, Mediannikov O, Drancourt M, Raoult D. Current and Past  
837 Strategies for Bacterial Culture in Clinical Microbiology. *Clin Microbiol Rev* [Internet]. 2015 Jan  
838 [cited 2018 May 5];28(1):208–36. Available from:  
839 <http://cmr.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/CMR.00110-14>
- 840 25. Fournier P-E, Drancourt M, Colson P, Rolain J-M, Scola BL, Raoult D. Modern clinical  
841 microbiology: new challenges and solutions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* [Internet]. 2013 Aug [cited  
842 2018 May 5];11(8):574–85. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/nrmicro3068>

- 843 26. Dave M, Purohit T, Razonable R, Loftus EV. Opportunistic Infections Due to Inflammatory  
844 Bowel Disease Therapy: Inflamm Bowel Dis [Internet]. 2014 Jan [cited 2018 May 5];20(1):196–  
845 212. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ibdjournal/article/20/1/196-212/4578889>
- 846 27. Hugon P, Dufour J-C, Colson P, Fournier P-E, Sallah K, Raoult D. A comprehensive repertoire of  
847 prokaryotic species identified in human beings. Lancet Infect Dis [Internet]. 2015 Oct [cited  
848 2018 May 5];15(10):1211–9. Available from:  
849 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1473309915002935>
- 850 28. Lagier J-C, Drancourt M, Charrel R, Bittar F, La Scola B, Ranque S, et al. Many More Microbes  
851 in Humans: Enlarging the Microbiome Repertoire. Clin Infect Dis [Internet]. 2017 Aug 15 [cited  
852 2018 May 5];65(suppl\_1):S20–9. Available from:  
853 [http://academic.oup.com/cid/article/65/suppl\\_1/S20/4057577/Many-More-Microbes-in-](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article/65/suppl_1/S20/4057577/Many-More-Microbes-in-Humans-Enlarging-the)  
854 [Humans-Enlarging-the](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article/65/suppl_1/S20/4057577/Many-More-Microbes-in-Humans-Enlarging-the)
- 855 29. O'Brien Andersen L, Karim AB, Roager HM, Vignsnaes LK, Krogfelt KA, Licht TR, et al.  
856 Associations between common intestinal parasites and bacteria in humans as revealed by  
857 qPCR. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis [Internet]. 2016 Sep [cited 2018 May 7];35(9):1427–31.  
858 Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10096-016-2680-2>
- 859 30. Iebba V, Santangelo F, Totino V, Pantanella F, Monsia A, Di Cristanziano V, et al. Gut  
860 microbiota related to *Giardia duodenalis*, *Entamoeba* spp. and *Blastocystis hominis* infections  
861 in humans from Côte d'Ivoire. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2016 Sep 30;10(9):1035–41.
- 862 31. Audebert C, Even G, Cian A, Blastocystis Investigation Group, Loywick A, Merlin S, et al.  
863 Colonization with the enteric protozoa *Blastocystis* is associated with increased diversity of  
864 human gut bacterial microbiota. Sci Rep. 2016 05;6:25255.
- 865 32. Beatty JK, Akieman SV, Motta J-P, Muise S, Workentine ML, Harrison JJ, et al. *Giardia*  
866 *duodenalis* induces pathogenic dysbiosis of human intestinal microbiota biofilms. Int J  
867 Parasitol [Internet]. 2017 May 1 [cited 2018 Dec 27];47(6):311–26. Available from:  
868 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0020751917300401>
- 869 33. Gilchrist CA, Petri SE, Schneider BN, Reichman DJ, Jiang N, Begum S, et al. Role of the Gut  
870 Microbiota of Children in Diarrhea Due to the Protozoan Parasite *Entamoeba histolytica*. J  
871 Infect Dis [Internet]. 2016 May 15 [cited 2018 May 7];213(10):1579–85. Available from:  
872 <https://academic.oup.com/jid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/infdis/jiv772>
- 873 34. Yooseph S, Kirkness EF, Tran TM, Harkins DM, Jones MB, Torralba MG, et al. Stool microbiota  
874 composition is associated with the prospective risk of *Plasmodium falciparum* infection. BMC  
875 Genomics [Internet]. 2015 Dec [cited 2018 May 7];16(1). Available from:  
876 <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/16/631>
- 877 35. Villarino NF, LeCleir GR, Denny JE, Dearth SP, Harding CL, Sloan SS, et al. Composition of the  
878 gut microbiota modulates the severity of malaria. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2016 Feb 23  
879 [cited 2018 May 7];113(8):2235–40. Available from:  
880 <http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1504887113>
- 881 36. Yang C-A, Liang C, Lin C-L, Hsiao C-T, Peng C-T, Lin H-C, et al. Impact of *Enterobius vermicularis*  
882 infection and mebendazole treatment on intestinal microbiota and host immune response.  
883 Mitreva M, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis [Internet]. 2017 Sep 25 [cited 2018 May  
884 7];11(9):e0005963. Available from: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005963>



- 885 37. Holm JB, Sorobetea D, Kiilerich P, Ramayo-Caldas Y, Estellé J, Ma T, et al. Chronic *Trichuris*  
886 *muris* Infection Decreases Diversity of the Intestinal Microbiota and Concomitantly Increases  
887 the Abundance of Lactobacilli. Allen IC, editor. PLOS ONE [Internet]. 2015 May 5 [cited 2018  
888 May 7];10(5):e0125495. Available from: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125495>
- 889 38. Jenkins TP, Rathnayaka Y, Perera PK, Peachey LE, Nolan MJ, Krause L, et al. Infections by  
890 human gastrointestinal helminths are associated with changes in faecal microbiota diversity  
891 and composition. Serrano Ferron E, editor. PLOS ONE [Internet]. 2017 Sep 11 [cited 2018 May  
892 7];12(9):e0184719. Available from: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184719>
- 893 39. Lamendella R, Wright JR, Hackman J, McLimans C, Toole DR, Bernard Rubio W, et al. Antibiotic  
894 Treatments for *Clostridium difficile* Infection Are Associated with Distinct Bacterial and Fungal  
895 Community Structures. Krajmalnik-Brown R, editor. mSphere [Internet]. 2018 Jan 10 [cited  
896 2018 May 7];3(1):e00572-17. Available from:  
897 <http://msphere.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/mSphere.00572-17>
- 898 40. Liguori G, Lamas B, Richard ML, Brandi G, da Costa G, Hoffmann TW, et al. Fungal Dysbiosis in  
899 Mucosa-associated Microbiota of Crohn's Disease Patients. J Crohns Colitis [Internet]. 2016  
900 Mar [cited 2018 May 7];10(3):296–305. Available from: [https://academic.oup.com/ecco-](https://academic.oup.com/ecco-jcc/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjv209)  
901 [jcc/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjv209](https://academic.oup.com/ecco-jcc/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjv209)
- 902 41. Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, et al. Diversity of the  
903 Human Intestinal Microbial Flora. Science [Internet]. 2005 Jun 10 [cited 2019 Aug  
904 18];308(5728):1635–8. Available from:  
905 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1395357/>
- 906 42. Costa M, Weese JS. Methods and basic concepts for microbiota assessment. Vet J [Internet].  
907 2019 Jul 1 [cited 2019 Aug 25];249:10–5. Available from:  
908 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1090023318303745>
- 909 43. Lagier J-C, Edouard S, Pagnier I, Mediannikov O, Drancourt M, Raoult D. Current and Past  
910 Strategies for Bacterial Culture in Clinical Microbiology. Clin Microbiol Rev [Internet]. 2015 Jan  
911 [cited 2019 Aug 19];28(1):208–36. Available from:  
912 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4284306/>
- 913 44. Lagier J-C, Hugon P, Khelaifia S, Fournier P-E, La Scola B, Raoult D. The Rebirth of Culture in  
914 Microbiology through the Example of Culturomics To Study Human Gut Microbiota. Clin  
915 Microbiol Rev [Internet]. 2015 Jan [cited 2019 Aug 19];28(1):237–64. Available from:  
916 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4284300/>
- 917 45. Muyzer G, Smalla K. Application of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) and  
918 temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TGGE) in microbial ecology. Antonie Van  
919 Leeuwenhoek. 1998 Jan;73(1):127–41.
- 920 46. Muyzer G, Smalla K. Application of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) and  
921 temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TGGE) in microbial ecology. Antonie Van  
922 Leeuwenhoek. 1998 Jan;73(1):127–41.
- 923 47. Riemann L, Winding A. Community Dynamics of Free-living and Particle-associated Bacterial  
924 Assemblages during a Freshwater Phytoplankton Bloom. Microb Ecol. 2001 Oct;42(3):274–85.
- 925 48. Heuer H, Hartung K, Wieland G, Kramer I, Smalla K. Polynucleotide Probes That Target a  
926 Hypervariable Region of 16S rRNA Genes To Identify Bacterial Isolates Corresponding to

- 927 Bands of Community Fingerprints. *Appl Environ Microbiol* [Internet]. 1999 Mar [cited 2019  
928 Aug 20];65(3):1045–9. Available from:  
929 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC91142/>
- 930 49. Riemann L, Winding A. Community Dynamics of Free-living and Particle-associated Bacterial  
931 Assemblages during a Freshwater Phytoplankton Bloom. *Microb Ecol* [Internet]. 2001 Oct 1  
932 [cited 2019 Aug 20];42(3):274–85. Available from: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00248-](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00248-001-0018-8)  
933 [001-0018-8](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00248-001-0018-8)
- 934 50. Theron J, Cloete TE. Molecular Techniques for Determining Microbial Diversity and  
935 Community Structure in Natural Environments. *Crit Rev Microbiol* [Internet]. 2000 Jan [cited  
936 2019 Aug 21];26(1):37–57. Available from:  
937 <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10408410091154174>
- 938 51. V. Wintzingerode F, Göbel UB, Stackebrandt E. Determination of microbial diversity in  
939 environmental samples: pitfalls of PCR-based rRNA analysis. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* [Internet].  
940 1997 Nov [cited 2019 Aug 21];21(3):213–29. Available from:  
941 <https://academic.oup.com/femsre/article-lookup/doi/10.1111/j.1574-6976.1997.tb00351.x>
- 942 52. Gelsomino A, Keijzer-Wolters AC, Cacco G, van Elsas JD. Assessment of bacterial community  
943 structure in soil by polymerase chain reaction and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *J*  
944 *Microbiol Methods* [Internet]. 1999 Oct [cited 2019 Aug 21];38(1–2):1–15. Available from:  
945 <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0167701299000548>
- 946 53. Sanschagrin S, Yergeau E. Next-generation Sequencing of 16S Ribosomal RNA Gene Amplicons.  
947 *J Vis Exp JoVE* [Internet]. 2014 Aug 29 [cited 2019 Aug 15];(90). Available from:  
948 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4828026/>
- 949 54. Shendure J, Ji H. Next-generation DNA sequencing. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2008 Oct;26(10):1135–45.
- 950 55. Blazej RG, Kumaresan P, Mathies RA. Microfabricated bioprocessor for integrated nanoliter-  
951 scale Sanger DNA sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* [Internet]. 2006 May 9 [cited 2019 Aug  
952 24];103(19):7240–5. Available from:  
953 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1464327/>
- 954 56. Gresham D, Dunham MJ, Botstein D. Comparing whole genomes using DNA microarrays. *Nat*  
955 *Rev Genet* [Internet]. 2008 Apr [cited 2019 Aug 24];9(4):291–302. Available from:  
956 <http://www.nature.com/articles/nrg2335>
- 957 57. Soni GV, Meller A. Progress toward Ultrafast DNA Sequencing Using Solid-State Nanopores.  
958 *Clin Chem* [Internet]. 2007 Nov 1 [cited 2019 Aug 24];53(11):1996–2001. Available from:  
959 <http://clinchem.aaccjnls.org/content/53/11/1996>
- 960 58. Shendure J. Accurate Multiplex Polony Sequencing of an Evolved Bacterial Genome. *Science*  
961 [Internet]. 2005 Sep 9 [cited 2019 Aug 24];309(5741):1728–32. Available from:  
962 <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1117389>
- 963 59. Healy K. Nanopore-based single-molecule DNA analysis. *Nanomed* [Internet]. 2007 Aug [cited  
964 2019 Aug 24];2(4):459–81. Available from:  
965 <https://www.futuremedicine.com/doi/10.2217/17435889.2.4.459>
- 966 60. Salipante SJ, Kawashima T, Rosenthal C, Hoogstraat DR, Cummings LA, Sengupta DJ, et al.  
967 Performance Comparison of Illumina and Ion Torrent Next-Generation Sequencing Platforms



- for 16S rRNA-Based Bacterial Community Profiling. *Appl Environ Microbiol* [Internet]. 2014 Dec [cited 2019 Aug 15];80(24):7583–91. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4249215/>
61. Kuczynski J, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Parfrey LW, Clemente JC, Gevers D, et al. Experimental and analytical tools for studying the human microbiome. *Nat Rev Genet* [Internet]. 2011 Dec 16 [cited 2019 Aug 17];13(1):47–58. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5119550/>
62. Luo C, Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT. A User's Guide to Quantitative and Comparative Analysis of Metagenomic Datasets. In: *Methods in Enzymology* [Internet]. Elsevier; 2013 [cited 2019 Aug 17]. p. 525–47. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B978012407863500023X>
63. Luo C, Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT. MyTaxa: an advanced taxonomic classifier for genomic and metagenomic sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* [Internet]. 2014 Apr [cited 2019 Aug 17];42(8):e73. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4005636/>
64. Sims D, Sudbery I, Illott NE, Heger A, Ponting CP. Sequencing depth and coverage: key considerations in genomic analyses. *Nat Rev Genet* [Internet]. 2014 Feb [cited 2019 Aug 17];15(2):121–32. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/nrg3642>
65. Ranjan R, Rani A, Metwally A, McGee HS, Perkins DL. Analysis of the microbiome: Advantages of whole genome shotgun versus 16S amplicon sequencing. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2016 Jan 22;469(4):967–77.
66. Ras R, Huynh K, Desoky E, Badawy A, Widmer G. Perturbation of the intestinal microbiota of mice infected with *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Int J Parasitol*. 2015 Jul;45(8):567–73.
67. Iebba V, Santangelo F, Totino V, Pantanella F, Monsia A, Di Cristanziano V, et al. Gut microbiota related to *Giardia duodenalis*, *Entamoeba* spp. and *Blastocystis hominis* infections in humans from Côte d'Ivoire. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2016 Sep 30;10(9):1035–41.
68. Šlapeta J, Dowd SE, Alanazi AD, Westman ME, Brown GK. Differences in the faecal microbiome of non-diarrhoeic clinically healthy dogs and cats associated with *Giardia duodenalis* infection: impact of hookworms and coccidia. *Int J Parasitol*. 2015 Aug 1;45(9):585–94.
69. Beatty JK, Akierman SV, Motta J-P, Muise S, Workentine ML, Harrison JJ, et al. *Giardia duodenalis* induces pathogenic dysbiosis of human intestinal microbiota biofilms. *Int J Parasitol*. 2017;47(6):311–26.
70. Yildiz S, Doğan İ, Doğruman-Al F, Nalbantoğlu U, Üstek D, Sarzhanov F, et al. Association of Enteric Protist *Blastocystis* spp. and Gut Microbiota with Hepatic Encephalopathy. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis JGLD*. 2016 Dec;25(4):489–97.
71. Audebert C, Even G, Cian A, Loywick A, Merlin S, Viscogliosi E, et al. Colonization with the enteric protozoa *Blastocystis* is associated with increased diversity of human gut bacterial microbiota. *Sci Rep* [Internet]. 2016 Jul [cited 2018 May 7];6(1). Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep25255>
72. Iebba V, Santangelo F, Totino V, Pantanella F, Monsia A, Di Cristanziano V, et al. Gut microbiota related to *Giardia duodenalis*, *Entamoeba* spp. and *Blastocystis hominis* infections

- 1008 in humans from Côte d'Ivoire. *J Infect Dev Ctries* [Internet]. 2016 Sep 30 [cited 2018 May  
1009 7];10(09):1035. Available from: <https://jids.org/index.php/journal/article/view/27694739>
- 1010 73. Morton ER, Lynch J, Froment A, Lafosse S, Heyer E, Przeworski M, et al. Variation in Rural  
1011 African Gut Microbiota Is Strongly Correlated with Colonization by *Entamoeba* and  
1012 Subsistence. *PLoS Genet* [Internet]. 2015 Nov 30 [cited 2019 Jan 4];11(11). Available from:  
1013 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4664238/>
- 1014 74. Kelly PH, Bahr SM, Serafim TD, Ajami NJ, Petrosino JF, Meneses C, et al. The Gut Microbiome  
1015 of the Vector *Lutzomyia longipalpis* Is Essential for Survival of *Leishmania infantum*. *mBio*.  
1016 2017 17;8(1).
- 1017 75. Heimesaat MM, Dunay IR, Alutis M, Fischer A, Möhle L, Göbel UB, et al. Nucleotide-  
1018 Oligomerization-Domain-2 Affects Commensal Gut Microbiota Composition and Intracerebral  
1019 Immunopathology in Acute *Toxoplasma gondii* Induced Murine Ileitis. Blader IJ, editor. *PLoS*  
1020 *ONE* [Internet]. 2014 Aug 20 [cited 2018 May 30];9(8):e105120. Available from:  
1021 <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105120>
- 1022 76. Brotman RM, Bradford LL, Conrad M, Gajer P, Ault K, Peralta L, et al. Association between  
1023 *Trichomonas vaginalis* and vaginal bacterial community composition among reproductive-age  
1024 women. *Sex Transm Dis* [Internet]. 2012 Oct [cited 2018 Jun 9];39(10):807–12. Available from:  
1025 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3458234/>
- 1026 77. Šlapeta J, Dowd SE, Alanazi AD, Westman ME, Brown GK. Differences in the faecal microbiome  
1027 of non-diarrhoeic clinically healthy dogs and cats associated with *Giardia duodenalis* infection:  
1028 impact of hookworms and coccidia. *Int J Parasitol* [Internet]. 2015 Aug [cited 2018 Jun  
1029 8];45(9–10):585–94. Available from:  
1030 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0020751915001113>
- 1031 78. Villarino NF, LeCleir GR, Denny JE, Dearth SP, Harding CL, Sloan SS, et al. Composition of the  
1032 gut microbiota modulates the severity of malaria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016 Feb  
1033 23;113(8):2235–40.
- 1034 79. Perez PF, Minnaard J, Rouvet M, Knabenhans C, Brassart D, De Antoni GL, et al. Inhibition of  
1035 *Giardia intestinalis* by Extracellular Factors from *Lactobacilli*: an In Vitro Study. *Appl Environ*  
1036 *Microbiol* [Internet]. 2001 Nov 1 [cited 2018 Jun 12];67(11):5037–42. Available from:  
1037 <http://aem.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/AEM.67.11.5037-5042.2001>
- 1038 80. Barash NR, Maloney JG, Singer SM, Dawson SC. *Giardia* Alters Commensal Microbial Diversity  
1039 throughout the Murine Gut. *Infect Immun* [Internet]. 2017 Jan 6 [cited 2018 Jun  
1040 14];85(6):e00948-16. Available from: <http://iai.asm.org/content/85/6/e00948-16>
- 1041 81. Oliveira BCM, Widmer G. Probiotic Product Enhances Susceptibility of Mice to  
1042 Cryptosporidiosis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* [Internet]. 2018 Oct 17 [cited 2020 Feb 20];84(21).  
1043 Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6193388/>
- 1044 82. Hatter JA, Kouche YM, Melchor SJ, Ng K, Bouley DM, Boothroyd JC, et al. *Toxoplasma gondii*  
1045 infection triggers chronic cachexia and sustained commensal dysbiosis in mice. *PLoS ONE*  
1046 [Internet]. 2018 Oct 31 [cited 2020 Feb 19];13(10). Available from:  
1047 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6209157/>

- 1048 83. Alamer E, Carpio VH, Ibitokou SA, Kirtley ML, Phoenix IR, Opata MM, et al. Dissemination of  
1049 non-typhoidal Salmonella during Plasmodium chabaudi infection affects anti-malarial  
1050 immunity. Parasitol Res. 2019 Jul;118(7):2277–85.
- 1051 84. Lappan R, Classon C, Kumar S, Singh OP, de Almeida RV, Chakravarty J, et al. Meta-taxonomic  
1052 analysis of prokaryotic and eukaryotic gut flora in stool samples from visceral leishmaniasis  
1053 cases and endemic controls in Bihar State India. PLoS Negl Trop Dis [Internet]. 2019 Sep 6  
1054 [cited 2020 Feb 17];13(9). Available from:  
1055 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6750594/>
- 1056 85. Kodio A, Coulibaly D, Koné AK, Konaté S, Doumbo S, Guindo A, et al. Blastocystis Colonization  
1057 Is Associated with Increased Diversity and Altered Gut Bacterial Communities in Healthy  
1058 Malian Children. Microorganisms [Internet]. 2019 Dec 4 [cited 2020 Feb 21];7(12). Available  
1059 from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6956266/>
- 1060 86. Morton ER, Lynch J, Froment A, Lafosse S, Heyer E, Przeworski M, et al. Variation in Rural  
1061 African Gut Microbiota Is Strongly Correlated with Colonization by Entamoeba and  
1062 Subsistence. PLoS Genet. 2015 Nov;11(11):e1005658.
- 1063 87. Wu S, Li RW, Li W, Beshah E, Dawson HD, Urban JF. Worm Burden-Dependent Disruption of  
1064 the Porcine Colon Microbiota by Trichuris suis Infection. PLoS ONE [Internet]. 2012 Apr 20  
1065 [cited 2018 Jun 27];7(4). Available from:  
1066 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3332011/>
- 1067 88. Li RW, Wu S, Li W, Navarro K, Couch RD, Hill D, et al. Alterations in the Porcine Colon  
1068 Microbiota Induced by the Gastrointestinal Nematode Trichuris suis. Infect Immun [Internet].  
1069 2012 Jun [cited 2018 Jun 28];80(6):2150–7. Available from:  
1070 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3370577/>
- 1071 89. Cooper P, Walker AW, Reyes J, Chico M, Salter SJ, Vaca M, et al. Patent Human Infections with  
1072 the Whipworm, Trichuris trichiura, Are Not Associated with Alterations in the Faecal  
1073 Microbiota. PLOS ONE. 2013 Oct 4;8(10):e76573.
- 1074 90. Lee SC, Tang MS, Lim YAL, Choy SH, Kurtz ZD, Cox LM, et al. Helminth Colonization Is  
1075 Associated with Increased Diversity of the Gut Microbiota. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014 May  
1076 22;8(5):e2880.
- 1077 91. Rosa BA, Supali T, Gankpala L, Djuardi Y, Sartono E, Zhou Y, et al. Differential human gut  
1078 microbiome assemblages during soil-transmitted helminth infections in Indonesia and Liberia.  
1079 Microbiome. 2018 28;6(1):33.
- 1080 92. Houlden A, Hayes KS, Bancroft AJ, Worthington JJ, Wang P, Grencis RK, et al. Chronic Trichuris  
1081 muris Infection in C57BL/6 Mice Causes Significant Changes in Host Microbiota and  
1082 Metabolome: Effects Reversed by Pathogen Clearance. PLOS ONE. 2015 May  
1083 4;10(5):e0125945.
- 1084 93. Williams AR, Krych L, Ahmad HF, Nejsum P, Skovgaard K, Nielsen DS, et al. A polyphenol-  
1085 enriched diet and Ascaris suum infection modulate mucosal immune responses and gut  
1086 microbiota composition in pigs. PLOS ONE. 2017 Oct 13;12(10):e0186546.
- 1087 94. Jenkins TP, Rathnayaka Y, Perera PK, Peachey LE, Nolan MJ, Krause L, et al. Infections by  
1088 human gastrointestinal helminths are associated with changes in faecal microbiota diversity  
1089 and composition. PLOS ONE. 2017 Sep 11;12(9):e0184719.

- 1090 95. Giacomini P, Zakrzewski M, Croese J, Su X, Sotillo J, McCann L, et al. Experimental hookworm  
1091 infection and escalating gluten challenges are associated with increased microbial richness in  
1092 celiac subjects. *Sci Rep* [Internet]. 2015 Sep 18 [cited 2018 Jul 12];5. Available from:  
1093 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4585380/>
- 1094 96. Vicente CSL, Ozawa S, Hasegawa K. Composition of the Cockroach Gut Microbiome in the  
1095 Presence of Parasitic Nematodes. *Microbes Environ*. 2016 Sep 29;31(3):314–20.
- 1096 97. El-Ashram S, Suo X. Exploring the microbial community (microflora) associated with ovine  
1097 *Haemonchus contortus* (macroflora) field strains. *Sci Rep*. 2017 06;7(1):70.
- 1098 98. Kay GL, Millard A, Sergeant MJ, Midzi N, Gwisai R, Mduluza T, et al. Differences in the Faecal  
1099 Microbiome in *Schistosoma haematobium* Infected Children vs. Uninfected Children. *PLoS*  
1100 *Negl Trop Dis* [Internet]. 2015 Jun 26 [cited 2018 Sep 30];9(6):e0003861. Available from:  
1101 <https://journals.plos.org/plosntds/article?id=10.1371/journal.pntd.0003861>
- 1102 99. Yang C-A, Liang C, Lin C-L, Hsiao C-T, Peng C-T, Lin H-C, et al. Impact of *Enterobius vermicularis*  
1103 infection and mebendazole treatment on intestinal microbiota and host immune response.  
1104 *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2017 Sep 25;11(9):e0005963.
- 1105 100. Walk ST, Blum AM, Ewing SA-S, Weinstock JV, Young VB. Alteration of the murine gut  
1106 microbiota during infection with the parasitic helminth, *Heligmosomoides polygyrus*. *Inflamm*  
1107 *Bowel Dis* [Internet]. 2010 Nov [cited 2018 Jun 27];16(11):1841–9. Available from:  
1108 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2959136/>
- 1109 101. Kreisinger J, Bastien G, Hauffe HC, Marchesi J, Perkins SE. Interactions between multiple  
1110 helminths and the gut microbiota in wild rodents. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2015 Aug  
1111 19;370(1675).
- 1112 102. Rausch S, Held J, Fischer A, Heimesaat MM, Kühn AA, Bereswill S, et al. Small Intestinal  
1113 Nematode Infection of Mice Is Associated with Increased Enterobacterial Loads alongside the  
1114 Intestinal Tract. Allen IC, editor. *PLoS ONE* [Internet]. 2013 Sep 10 [cited 2018 Oct  
1115 1];8(9):e74026. Available from: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074026>
- 1116 103. Shimokawa C, Obi S, Shibata M, Ochia A, Imai T, Suzue K, et al. Suppression of Obesity by an  
1117 Intestinal Helminth through Interactions with Intestinal Microbiota. *Infect Immun*. 2019;87(6).
- 1118 104. Jenkins TP, Peachey LE, Ajami NJ, MacDonald AS, Hsieh MH, Brindley PJ, et al. *Schistosoma*  
1119 *mansoni* infection is associated with quantitative and qualitative modifications of the  
1120 mammalian intestinal microbiota. *Sci Rep* [Internet]. 2018 Aug 13 [cited 2020 Apr 23];8(1):1–  
1121 10. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-30412-x>
- 1122 105. Houlden A, Hayes KS, Bancroft AJ, Worthington JJ, Wang P, Grencis RK, et al. Chronic *Trichuris*  
1123 *muris* Infection in C57BL/6 Mice Causes Significant Changes in Host Microbiota and  
1124 Metabolome: Effects Reversed by Pathogen Clearance. Kim CH, editor. *PLOS ONE* [Internet].  
1125 2015 May 4 [cited 2018 Sep 28];10(5):e0125945. Available from:  
1126 <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125945>
- 1127 106. Williamson LL, McKenney EA, Holzknecht ZE, Belliveau C, Rawls JF, Poulton S, et al. Got  
1128 worms? Perinatal exposure to helminths prevents persistent immune sensitization and  
1129 cognitive dysfunction induced by early-life infection. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2016 Jan;51:14–28.

- 1130 107. Wegener Parfrey L, Jirků M, Šíma R, Jalovecká M, Sak B, Grigore K, et al. A benign helminth  
1131 alters the host immune system and the gut microbiota in a rat model system. PloS One.  
1132 2017;12(8):e0182205.
- 1133 108. McKenney EA, Williamson L, Yoder AD, Rawls JF, Bilbo SD, Parker W. Alteration of the rat cecal  
1134 microbiome during colonization with the helminth *Hymenolepis diminuta*. Gut Microbes.  
1135 2015;6(3):182–93.
- 1136 109. Cattadori IM, Sebastian A, Hao H, Katani R, Albert I, Eilertson KE, et al. Impact of Helminth  
1137 Infections and Nutritional Constraints on the Small Intestine Microbiota. Serrano Ferron E,  
1138 editor. PLOS ONE [Internet]. 2016 Jul 20 [cited 2018 Oct 1];11(7):e0159770. Available from:  
1139 <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159770>
- 1140 110. Duarte AM, Jenkins TP, Latrofa MS, Giannelli A, Papadopoulos E, de Carvalho LM, et al.  
1141 Helminth infections and gut microbiota - a feline perspective. Parasit Vectors. 2016 Dec  
1142 3;9:625.
- 1143 111. Wang Y, Liu F, Urban JF, Paerewijck O, Geldhof P, Li RW. *Ascaris suum* infection was associated  
1144 with a worm-independent reduction in microbial diversity and altered metabolic potential in  
1145 the porcine gut microbiome. Int J Parasitol. 2019 Mar;49(3–4):247–56.
- 1146 112. Broadhurst MJ, Ardesir A, Kanwar B, Mirpuri J, Gundra UM, Leung JM, et al. Therapeutic  
1147 Helminth Infection of Macaques with Idiopathic Chronic Diarrhea Alters the Inflammatory  
1148 Signature and Mucosal Microbiota of the Colon. Douek DC, editor. PLoS Pathog [Internet].  
1149 2012 Nov 15 [cited 2018 Jun 29];8(11):e1003000. Available from:  
1150 <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003000>
- 1151 113. Peachey LE, Molena RA, Jenkins TP, Di Cesare A, Traversa D, Hodgkinson JE, et al. The  
1152 relationships between faecal egg counts and gut microbial composition in UK Thoroughbreds  
1153 infected by cyathostomins. Int J Parasitol [Internet]. 2018 May 1 [cited 2020 Feb  
1154 21];48(6):403–12. Available from:  
1155 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0020751918300201>
- 1156 114. Cooper P, Walker AW, Reyes J, Chico M, Salter SJ, Vaca M, et al. Patent Human Infections with  
1157 the Whipworm, *Trichuris trichiura*, Are Not Associated with Alterations in the Faecal  
1158 Microbiota. Bereswill S, editor. PLoS ONE [Internet]. 2013 Oct 4 [cited 2018 Jul  
1159 11];8(10):e76573. Available from: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076573>
- 1160 115. Lee SC, Tang MS, Lim YAL, Choy SH, Kurtz ZD, Cox LM, et al. Helminth Colonization Is  
1161 Associated with Increased Diversity of the Gut Microbiota. PLoS Negl Trop Dis [Internet]. 2014  
1162 May 22 [cited 2018 Jul 11];8(5). Available from:  
1163 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4031128/>
- 1164 116. Rosa BA, Supali T, Gankpala L, Djuardi Y, Sartono E, Zhou Y, et al. Differential human gut  
1165 microbiome assemblages during soil-transmitted helminth infections in Indonesia and Liberia.  
1166 Microbiome. 2018 Feb 28;6:33.
- 1167 117. Schneeberger PHH, Coulibaly JT, Panic G, Daubenberger C, Gueuning M, Frey JE, et al.  
1168 Investigations on the interplays between *Schistosoma mansoni*, praziquantel and the gut  
1169 microbiome. Parasit Vectors [Internet]. 2018 Mar 12 [cited 2020 Feb 21];11. Available from:  
1170 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5848565/>



- 1171 118. Li S, Sha Z, Wang X, Bu Z, Wang L, Guan X, et al. Yeast Surface Display of Escherichia coli  
1172 Enterotoxin and Its Effects of Intestinal Microflora and Mucosal Immunity. *Curr Microbiol.*  
1173 2017 Jul;74(7):854–62.
- 1174 119. Pinheiro I, Robinson L, Verhelst A, Marzorati M, Winkens B, den Abbeele PV, et al. A yeast  
1175 fermentate improves gastrointestinal discomfort and constipation by modulation of the gut  
1176 microbiome: results from a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled pilot trial. *BMC*  
1177 *Complement Altern Med.* 2017 Sep 4;17(1):441.
- 1178 120. Borgo F, Verduci E, Riva A, Lassandro C, Riva E, Morace G, et al. Relative Abundance in  
1179 Bacterial and Fungal Gut Microbes in Obese Children: A Case Control Study. *Child Obes Print.*  
1180 2017 Feb;13(1):78–84.
- 1181 121. Zeber-Lubecka N, Kulecka M, Ambrozkiwicz F, Paziewska A, Lechowicz M, Konopka E, et al.  
1182 Effect of *Saccharomyces boulardii* and Mode of Delivery on the Early Development of the Gut  
1183 Microbial Community in Preterm Infants. *PloS One.* 2016;11(2):e0150306.
- 1184 122. Sokol H, Leducq V, Aschard H, Pham H-P, Jegou S, Landman C, et al. Fungal microbiota  
1185 dysbiosis in IBD. *Gut.* 2017;66(6):1039–48.
- 1186 123. Frykman PK, Nordenskjöld A, Kawaguchi A, Hui TT, Granström AL, Cheng Z, et al.  
1187 Characterization of Bacterial and Fungal Microbiome in Children with Hirschsprung Disease  
1188 with and without a History of Enterocolitis: A Multicenter Study. *PloS One.*  
1189 2015;10(4):e0124172.
- 1190 124. Lamendella R, Wright JR, Hackman J, McLimans C, Toole DR, Bernard Rubio W, et al. Antibiotic  
1191 Treatments for *Clostridium difficile* Infection Are Associated with Distinct Bacterial and Fungal  
1192 Community Structures. *mSphere [Internet].* 2018 Jan 10 [cited 2018 Dec 25];3(1). Available  
1193 from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5760750/>
- 1194 125. Chang C-J, Lin C-S, Lu C-C, Martel J, Ko Y-F, Ojcius DM, et al. *Ganoderma lucidum* reduces  
1195 obesity in mice by modulating the composition of the gut microbiota. *Nat Commun.* 2015 Jun  
1196 23;6:7489.
- 1197 126. Shelburne SA, Ajami NJ, Chibucos MC, Beird HC, Tarrand J, Galloway-Peña J, et al.  
1198 Implementation of a Pan-Genomic Approach to Investigate Holobiont-Infecting Microbe  
1199 Interaction: A Case Report of a Leukemic Patient with Invasive Mucormycosis. *PLOS ONE*  
1200 [Internet]. 2015 Nov 10 [cited 2019 Jan 15];10(11):e0139851. Available from:  
1201 <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0139851>
- 1202 127. Hoarau G, Mukherjee PK, Gower-Rousseau C, Hager C, Chandra J, Retuerto MA, et al.  
1203 Bacteriome and Mycobiome Interactions Underscore Microbial Dysbiosis in Familial Crohn's  
1204 Disease. *mBio [Internet].* 2016 Nov 2 [cited 2018 Dec 26];7(5):e01250-16. Available from:  
1205 <https://mbio.asm.org/content/7/5/e01250-16>
- 1206 128. Strati F, Cavalieri D, Albanese D, De Felice C, Donati C, Hayek J, et al. Altered gut microbiota in  
1207 Rett syndrome. *Microbiome [Internet].* 2016 Jul 30 [cited 2019 Jan 15];4(1):41. Available from:  
1208 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-016-0185-y>
- 1209 129. Tan S-Q, Zhang K-Q, Chen H-X, Ge Y, Ji R, Shi W-P. The mechanism for microsporidian parasite  
1210 suppression of the hindgut bacteria of the migratory locust *Locusta migratoria manilensis*. *Sci*  
1211 *Rep.* 2015 Nov 27;5:17365.



- 1212 130. Shao M-W, Lu Y-H, Miao S, Zhang Y, Chen T-T, Zhang Y-L. Diversity, Bacterial Symbionts and  
1213 Antibacterial Potential of Gut-Associated Fungi Isolated from the *Pantala flavescens* Larvae in  
1214 China. *PloS One*. 2015;10(7):e0134542.
- 1215 131. van Leeuwen PT, van der Peet JM, Bikker FJ, Hoogenkamp MA, Oliveira Paiva AM, Kostidis S,  
1216 et al. Interspecies Interactions between *Clostridium difficile* and *Candida albicans*. Imperiale  
1217 MJ, editor. *mSphere* [Internet]. 2016 Dec 28 [cited 2018 Oct 22];1(6). Available from:  
1218 <http://msphere.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/mSphere.00187-16>
- 1219 132. Valentine M, Benadé E, Mouton M, Khan W, Botha A. Binary interactions between the yeast  
1220 *Candida albicans* and two gut-associated *Bacteroides* species. *Microb Pathog* [Internet]. 2019  
1221 Oct [cited 2020 Feb 17];135:103619. Available from:  
1222 <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0882401019301007>
- 1223 133. García G, Dogi C, de Moreno de LeBlanc A, Greco C, Cavaglieri L. Gut-borne *Saccharomyces*  
1224 *cerevisiae*, a promising candidate for the formulation of feed additives, modulates immune  
1225 system and gut microbiota. *Benef Microbes*. 2016 Nov 30;7(5):659–68.
- 1226 134. Sovran B, Planchais J, Jegou S, Straube M, Lamas B, Natividad JM, et al. Enterobacteriaceae  
1227 are essential for the modulation of colitis severity by fungi. *Microbiome* [Internet]. 2018 Sep 1  
1228 [cited 2020 Feb 19];6. Available from:  
1229 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6119584/>
- 1230 135. Matsuo K, Haku A, Bi B, Takahashi H, Kamada N, Yaguchi T, et al. Fecal microbiota  
1231 transplantation prevents *Candida albicans* from colonizing the gastrointestinal tract. *Microbiol*  
1232 *Immunol* [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Feb 18];63(5):155–63. Available from:  
1233 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1348-0421.12680>
- 1234 136. Panpetch W, Somboonna N, Palasuk M, Hiengrach P, Finkelman M, Tumwasorn S, et al. Oral  
1235 *Candida* administration in a *Clostridium difficile* mouse model worsens disease severity but is  
1236 attenuated by *Bifidobacterium*. *PLoS ONE* [Internet]. 2019 Jan 15 [cited 2020 Feb 19];14(1).  
1237 Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6333342/>
- 1238 137. Markey L, Shaban L, Green ER, Lemon KP, Mecsas J, Kumamoto CA. Pre-colonization with the  
1239 commensal fungus *Candida albicans* reduces murine susceptibility to *Clostridium difficile*  
1240 infection. *Gut Microbes* [Internet]. 2018 May 30 [cited 2020 Feb 20];9(6):497–509. Available  
1241 from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6287688/>
- 1242 138. Charlet R, Pruvost Y, Tumba G, Istel F, Poulain D, Kuchler K, et al. Remodeling of the *Candida*  
1243 *glabrata* cell wall in the gastrointestinal tract affects the gut microbiota and the immune  
1244 response. *Sci Rep* [Internet]. 2018 Feb 20 [cited 2020 Feb 21];8. Available from:  
1245 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5820338/>
- 1246 139. Ducray HAG, Globa L, Pustovyy O, Morrison E, Vodyanoy V, Sorokulova I. Yeast fermentate  
1247 prebiotic improves intestinal barrier integrity during heat stress by modulation of the gut  
1248 microbiota in rats. *J Appl Microbiol* [Internet]. 2019 Oct [cited 2020 Feb 17];127(4):1192–206.  
1249 Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6852649/>
- 1250 140. Briand F, Sulpice T, Giammarinaro P, Roux X. *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745 changes  
1251 lipidemic profile and gut microbiota in a hamster hypercholesterolemic model. *Benef*  
1252 *Microbes* [Internet]. 2019 May 28 [cited 2020 Feb 18];10(5):555–67. Available from:  
1253 <https://www.wageningenacademic.com/doi/abs/10.3920/BM2018.0134>

- 1254 141. Wang J, Liu S, Wang H, Xiao S, Li C, Li Y, et al. Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous-Derived  
1255 Astaxanthin Regulates Lipid Metabolism and Gut Microbiota in Obese Mice Induced by A High-  
1256 Fat Diet. *Mar Drugs* [Internet]. 2019 Jun 5 [cited 2020 Apr 23];17(6). Available from:  
1257 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6627754/>
- 1258 142. Mueller KD, Zhang H, Serrano CR, Billmyre RB, Huh EY, Wiemann P, et al. Gastrointestinal  
1259 microbiota alteration induced by *Mucor circinelloides* in a murine model. *J Microbiol.* 2019  
1260 Jun;57(6):509–20.
- 1261 143. Solano-Aguilar GI, Jang S, Lakshman S, Gupta R, Beshah E, Sikaroodi M, et al. The Effect of  
1262 Dietary Mushroom *Agaricus bisporus* on Intestinal Microbiota Composition and Host  
1263 Immunological Function. *Nutrients* [Internet]. 2018 Nov 9 [cited 2020 Feb 19];10(11).  
1264 Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6266512/>
- 1265 144. Rouzé R, Moné A, Delbac F, Belzunces L, Blot N. The Honeybee Gut Microbiota Is Altered after  
1266 Chronic Exposure to Different Families of Insecticides and Infection by *Nosema ceranae*.  
1267 *Microbes Environ* [Internet]. 2019 Sep [cited 2020 Feb 17];34(3):226–33. Available from:  
1268 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6759349/>
- 1269 145. Robino P, Ferrocino I, Rossi G, Dogliero A, Alessandria V, Grosso L, et al. Changes in gut  
1270 bacterial communities in canaries infected by *Macrorhabdus ornithogaster*. *Avian Pathol J*  
1271 *WVPA.* 2019 Apr;48(2):111–20.
- 1272 146. Lamendella R, Wright JR, Hackman J, McLimans C, Toole DR, Rubio WB, et al. Antibiotic  
1273 Treatments for *Clostridium difficile* Infection Are Associated with Distinct Bacterial and  
1274 Fungal Community Structures. *Msphere.* 2018 Feb;3(1):e00572-17.
- 1275 147. Swidsinski A, Loening-Baucke V, Schulz S, Manowsky J, Verstraelen H, Swidsinski S. Functional  
1276 anatomy of the colonic bioreactor: Impact of antibiotics and *Saccharomyces boulardii* on  
1277 bacterial composition in human fecal cylinders. *Syst Appl Microbiol* [Internet]. 2016 Feb [cited  
1278 2018 Oct 22];39(1):67–75. Available from:  
1279 <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0723202015001812>
- 1280 148. Lemoine S, Kemgang A, Belkacem KB, Straube M, Jegou S, Corpechot C, et al. Fungi  
1281 participate in the dysbiosis of gut microbiota in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis.  
1282 *Gut* [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1 [cited 2020 Feb 18];69(1):92–102. Available from:  
1283 <https://gut.bmj.com/content/69/1/92>
- 1284 149. Strati F, Cavalieri D, Albanese D, De Felice C, Donati C, Hayek J, et al. Altered gut microbiota in  
1285 Rett syndrome. *Microbiome.* 2016 30;4(1):41.
- 1286 150. Sam QH, Chang MW, Chai LYA. The Fungal Mycobiome and Its Interaction with Gut Bacteria in  
1287 the Host. *Int J Mol Sci* [Internet]. 2017 Feb 4 [cited 2020 Oct 19];18(2). Available from:  
1288 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5343866/>
- 1289 151. Hoffmann C, Dollive S, Grunberg S, Chen J, Li H, Wu GD, et al. Archaea and Fungi of the Human  
1290 Gut Microbiome: Correlations with Diet and Bacterial Residents. *PLOS ONE.* 2013 Jun  
1291 17;8(6):e66019.
- 1292 152. Mwangi W, de Figueiredo P, Criscitiello MF. One Health: Addressing Global Challenges at the  
1293 Nexus of Human, Animal, and Environmental Health. *PLoS Pathog* [Internet]. 2016 Sep 15  
1294 [cited 2019 Jul 28];12(9). Available from:  
1295 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5025119/>

1296 153. Ryu S, Kim BI, Lim J-S, Tan CS, Chun BC. One Health Perspectives on Emerging Public Health  
1297 Threats. J Prev Med Public Health Yebang Uihakhoe Chi. 2017 Nov;50(6):411–4.

1298 **Figure and Table legends**

1299 **Figure 1:** Flow diagram of the selection of articles and data extraction

1300 **Table 1:** Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure. Information on the protozoa in  
1301 question, host status, types of sampling, sample sites corresponding to the parts of the host  
1302 sampled, methods used, changes in the abundance and diversity of the bacterial microbiota are  
1303 discussed.

1304 **Table 2:** Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure. Information on the helminths  
1305 implicated, host status, types of sampling, sample sites corresponding to the parts of the host  
1306 sampled, methods used, changes in the abundance and diversity of the bacterial microbiota are  
1307 discussed.

1308 **Table 3:** Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities. Information on the fungi  
1309 associated, host status, types of sampling, sample sites corresponding to the parts of the host  
1310 sampled, methods used, changes/associated in the abundance and diversity of the bacterial  
1311 microbiota are discussed.

Table 1: Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure

Protozoa	Host	Type of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Blastocystis</i> spp	Cirrhotic patients	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Family : <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ↑, <i>Ruminococaceae</i> ↓ Genus : <i>Lactobacillus</i> ↑, <i>clostridial cluster XIV</i> ↓	↓alpha diversity	Sedat Yildiz <i>et al.</i> 2016
	Healthy human		High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Ion Torrent)	Class: <i>Clostridia</i> ↑, <i>Mollicutes</i> ↑ Order: <i>Clostridiales</i> ↑, <i>Lactobacillales</i> ↓ Family: <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ↓, <i>Enterococcaceae</i> ↓, <i>Streptococcaceae</i> ↓, <i>Lactobacillaceae</i> ↓, <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> ↑, <i>Prevotellaceae</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Acetanaerobacterium</i> ↑, <i>Acetivibrio</i> ↑, <i>Coprococcus</i> ↑, <i>Hespellia</i> ↑, <i>Oscillibacter</i> ↑, <i>Papillibacter</i> ↑, <i>Sporobacter</i> ↑, <i>Ruminococcus</i> ↑, <i>Prevotella</i> ↑, <i>Roseburia</i> ↓, <i>Faecalibacterium</i> ↓	↑alpha diversity	Audebert Christophe <i>et al.</i> 2016
			High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: Firmicutes↑, Elusimicrobia↑, Lentisphaerae↑, Euryarchaeota↑, Actinobacteria↓, Proteobacteria↓, unassigned bacteria↓, Deinococcus–Thermus↓ Class: Clostridia↑, IHU_PC_PC_Bacteria↑, Elusimicrobia↑, Lentisphaeria↑, Metanobacteria↑, Deltaproteobacteria↑, Planctomycetacia↓, Rubrobacteria↓, Deinococci↓, Gammaproteobacteria↓, Actinobacteria↓, unassigned bacteria↓, Bacilli↓ Order: Clostridiales↑, IHU_PO_Bacteria↑, Victivallales↑, Methanobacteriales↑, Elusimicrobiales↑, Aeromonadales↑, Acidaminococcales↑, Desulfovibrionales↑, Planctomycetales↓, Rhodobacterales↓, Sphingomonadales↓, Rubrobacterales↓, Veillonellales↓, Pasteurellales↓, Micrococcales↓, Pseudonocardiales↓, Enterobacteriales↓, Myxococcales↓, Bifidobacteriales↓, unassigned bacteria↓, Lactobacillales↓ Family: Clostridiaceae↑, Ruminococcaceae↑, Lachnospiraceae↑, Streptococcaceae↓, Bifidobacteriaceae↓, Enterobacteriaceae↓, Leuconostocaceae↓ Genus: Ruminococcus↑, Clostridium↑, Streptococcus↓, Bifidobacterium↓, Shigella↓ Species: Clostridiumsaudii↑, Methanobrevibacterismithii↑, Streptococcus sp. ↓, Bifidobacterium sp. ↓, Shigella sp. ↓	↑alpha diversity	Aly Kodio <i>et al.</i> 2019
<i>Blastocystis</i> spp with or not <i>Dientamoeba fragilis</i>	Healthy human	Faeces	qPCR	Genus: <i>Bacteroides</i> ↓, <i>Clostridial cluster XIVa</i> ↓, <i>Prevotella</i> ↑	Not evaluated	O’Brien Andersen L <i>et al.</i> 2016

**Table 1:** Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure (continued)

Protozoa	Host	Type of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>	CD-1 mice	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: Unclassified <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↑ Family: <i>Porphyromonadaceae</i> ↑, <i>Prevotellaceae</i> ↑	Not Change	R.Ras <i>et al.</i> 2015
				Phylum: <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Firmicutes</i> ↓	↓alpha diversity	Oliveira BC <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i>	Children	Faeces	Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)	Species: <i>Prevotella copri</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Gilchrist <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Entamoeba (dispar, histolytica, or both)</i>	Pygmy hunter-gatherers Bantu individuals	Faeces	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Actinobacteria</i> ↓, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓, <i>Cyanobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Elusimicrobia</i> ↑, <i>Euryarchaeota</i> ↑, <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑, <i>Fusobacteria</i> ↓, <i>Lentisphaerae</i> ↓, <i>Spirochaetes</i> ↑, <i>Tenericutes</i> ↑, <i>Verrucomicrobia</i> ↑ Order: <i>Clostridiales</i> ↑, <i>Elusimicrobiales</i> ↑, <i>Treponema</i> ↑ Family: <i>Christensenellaceae</i> ↑, <i>Elusimicrobiaceae</i> ↑, <i>Spirochaetaceae</i> ↑ Specie: <i>Prevotella copri</i> ↓	↑alpha diversity	Elise R. Morton <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Giardia Duodenalis</i> , <i>Ancylostoma Caninum</i> , <i>Cystoisospora</i> , <i>Giardia cati</i>	Dog Cat	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Ion Torrent)	Dog: <i>giardia</i> Phylum: <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓, <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↑ Family: <i>Erysipelotrichaceae</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroidaceae</i> ↓, <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> ↓, <i>Pseudomonadaceae</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Catenibacterium</i> ↑, <i>Pseudomonas</i> ↑, <i>Howardella</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroides</i> ↓, <i>Pseudobutyrvibrio</i> ↓,	No change	Jan Šlapeta <i>et al.</i> 2015
				Cat: <i>cystoisospora</i> Phylum: <i>Actinobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑ <i>Deinococcus-Thermus</i> ↑, <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↑ Family: <i>Bifidobacteriaceae</i> ↑, <i>Coriobacteriaceae</i> ↑, <i>Veillonellaceae</i> ↑, <i>Bacillaceae</i> ↑, <i>Thermaceae</i> ↑, <i>Xanthomonadaceae</i> ↑, <i>Comamonadaceae</i> ↑, <i>Beijerinckiaceae</i> ↑, <i>Xanthomonadaceae</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Bifidobacterium</i> ↑, <i>Olsenella</i> ↑, <i>Megamonas</i> ↑, <i>Geobacillus</i> ↑, <i>Meiothermus</i> ↑, <i>Bacillus</i> ↑, <i>Thermomonas</i> ↑ <i>Schlegelella</i> ↑, <i>Chelatococcus</i> ↑, <i>Silanimonas</i> ↑		
				Cat: <i>giardia</i> Phylum: <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑ Family: <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> ↑, <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> ↓ Genus: <i>Roseburia</i> ↑, <i>Subdoligranulum</i> ↓		

Table 1: Impact of protozoa on bacterial community structure (continued)

Protozoa	Host	Type of sample	Site of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Giardia lamblia</i>	C57BL/6J mice	Mucosal and Luminal proximal Small intestine, Mucosal and luminal Distal small intestine, Cecal contents, and colonic contents	Foregut, hindgut	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Melainabacteria</i> ↓ Order: <i>Clostridiales</i> ↓, Family: <i>Rhodocyclaceae</i> ↑, <i>Moraxellaceae</i> ↑	↓alpha diversity	N.R.Barash <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Giardia duodenalis</i>	Healthy human	Mucosal biopsies	Colon	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑ Order: <i>Clostridiales</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Phascolarctobacterium</i> ↓	↑alpha diversity	Beatty, J.K <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Giardia</i> spp, <i>Entamoeba</i> spp./ <i>Blastocystis hominis</i>	Human with or without symptoms	Faeces		qPCR	Genus: <i>Bifidobacterium</i> ↑, Species: <i>Escherichia coli</i> ↑, <i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i> - <i>Escherichia Coli</i> ratio↑	Not evaluated	Lebba <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Leishmania infantum</i>	<i>Lutzomyia longipalpis</i>		midguts	16Sv4 rRNA gene sequencing	Family : <i>Pseudomonadaceae</i> ↑, <i>Acetobacteraceae</i> ↓	↓alpha diversity	Kelly PH <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Plasmodium yoelii</i>	BALB/c mice Resistant, C57BL/6 mice susceptible	Cecum, colon	Distal small intestine	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓ Family: <i>Clostridiaceae</i> ↑, <i>Erysipelotrichaceae</i> ↑, <i>Lactobacillaceae</i> ↑, <i>Peptostreptococcaceae</i> ↑ <i>Bacteroidaceae</i> ↓, <i>Prevotellaceae</i> ↓, <i>Sutterellaceae</i> ↓ Genus: <i>Lactobacillus</i> ↑, <i>Bifidobacterium</i> ↑	↓alpha diversity	Villarino <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Trichomonas vaginalis</i>	North American women	Vaginal swabs		High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454 pyrosequencing)	Genus: <i>Lactobacillus</i> ↓, <i>Mycoplasma</i> ↑, <i>Parvimonas</i> ↑, <i>Sneathia</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Rebecca M.Brotman <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Toxoplasma gondii</i>	NOD2-/- mice	Feces		Real Time-PCR	Order: <i>Enterobacteria</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Lactobacillus</i> ↑, <i>Bifidobacteria</i> ↓, <i>Enterococci</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroides/Prevotella spp.</i> ↑, <i>eubacterial</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Heimesaat MM <i>et al.</i> 2014
	C57BL/6 mice			High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum : <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑ Genus : <i>Clostridia</i> ↑		Hatter JA <i>et al.</i> 2018



**Table 2:** Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure

Helminths	Host	Type of sample	Site of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Ascaris</i> , <i>Trichuris</i> , <i>Hookworm</i>	Human volunteers	fresh stool		High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Class: <i>Verrucomicrobiae</i> ↑ Order: <i>Verrucomicrobiales</i> ↑ Family: <i>Bacteroidaceae</i> ↑, <i>Prevotellaceae</i> ↓ <i>Verrucomicrobiaceae</i> ↑, <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ↑, <i>Leuconostocaceae</i> ↓, <i>Bacteroidaceae</i> ↓ Genus: <i>Lactococcus</i> ↑, <i>Akkermansia</i> ↑, <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroides</i> ↓ Species: <i>Prevotella copri</i> ↑	No change	Jenkins <i>et al.</i> , 2017
<i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i> (“ <i>Ascaris</i> ”), <i>Necator Americanus</i> (“ <i>Necator</i> ”), <i>Trichuris trichiura</i> (“ <i>Trichuris</i> ”)	Subject cohort	Feces		High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓, <i>Actinobacteria</i> ↑ Family: <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> ↑, <i>Erysipelotrichaceae</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Succinivibrio</i> ↑, <i>Solobacterium</i> ↑, <i>Desulfovibrio</i> ↑, <i>Allobaculum</i> ↑, <i>Rhodococcus</i> ↑, <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> incertae sedis↑, <i>Olsenella</i> ↑, <i>Flavonifractor</i> ↑, <i>Enterococcus</i> ↑.	↑alpha diversity	Rosa <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Ascaris suum</i>	Pigs	Digesta	Proximal Colon	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Genus: ↑ <i>Prevotella</i> , ↑ <i>Facklamia</i> , ↑, <i>Turicibacter</i> , ↓ <i>Ruminococcus</i> , ↓ <i>Lactobacillus</i> , ↑ <i>Treponema</i> , ↑ <i>Campylobacter</i>	↑alpha Diversity with Basal diet No change with Grape pomace diet	Williams <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>		Wild <i>C. elegans</i>	NA	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: ↑ <i>Proteobacteria</i> , ↑ <i>Actinobacteria</i> , ↑ <i>Firmicutes</i> , ↑ <i>Bacteroidetes</i> Family: ↑ <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> , ↑ <i>Pseudomonadaceae</i> , ↑ <i>Xanthomonadaceae</i> , ↑ <i>Sphingobacteriaceae</i>	Not evaluated	Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Cyathostomins</i> spp. (Eggs high versus low)	Equines	Feces		High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Class: <i>Methanomicrobia</i> ↓, <i>Dehobacterium</i> ↓	No difference	L.E. Peachey <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Enterobius vermicularis</i>	School children	Feces		High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: ↓ <i>Fusobacteria</i> , ↑ <i>Actinobacteria</i> Genus: ↑ <i>Bifidobacterium</i> , ↑ <i>Alistipes</i> , ↑ <i>Faecalibacterium</i> , ↓ <i>Fusobacterium</i> , ↓ <i>Veillonella</i> , ↓ <i>Megasphaera</i> , ↓ <i>Acidaminococcus</i> Species: ↑ <i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i> , ↑ <i>Ruminococcus flavefaciens</i> , ↑ <i>Alistipes putredinis</i> , ↑ <i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> , ↑uncultured <i>Oscillospiras</i> , ↓ <i>Acidaminococcus intestine</i> , ↓ <i>Megasphaera elsdenii</i> , ↓ <i>Veillonella dispar</i> , ↓ <i>Fusobacterium varium</i>	↑alpha diversity	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2017

**Table 2:** Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure (continued)

Helminths	Host	Type of sample	Site of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Haemonchus contortus</i>	Sheep ( <i>Ovis aries</i> )	Faeces	Abomasal, rumen	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	<div>Abomasal microbiome Genus: ↑<i>Flavonifractor</i>, ↑<i>Anaerotruncus</i>, ↓<i>Roseburia</i>, ↓<i>Ruminobacter</i>, ↓<i>Blautia</i>, ↓<i>Mogibacterium</i>, ↑<i>Acetitomaculum</i>, ↑<i>Moryella</i>, ↑<i>Anaerovibrio</i>, ↑<i>Incertae_Sedis</i>, ↑<i>Butyrivibrio</i>, ↑<i>Comamonas</i>, ↓U29-B03, ↑<i>Bacillus</i>, ↑<i>Fibrobacter</i>, ↑<i>Syntrophococcus</i>, ↓<i>Candidatus_Saccharimonas</i>, ↑<i>Saccharofermentans</i>, ↑<i>Citrobacter</i>, ↓<i>Oscillibacter</i>, ↓RC9_gut_group, ↑<i>Victivallis</i>, ↑<i>Lactococcus</i>, ↑<i>Prevotella</i>, ↓probable_genus_10, ↑<i>Selenomonas</i>, ↑<i>Intestinimonas</i>, ↑<i>Succiniclasticum</i>, ↑<i>Succinivibrio</i>, ↑<i>Marvinbryantia</i>, ↑<i>Pyramidobacter</i>, ↑<i>Bacteroides</i>, ↓<i>Anaerovorax</i>, ↓<i>Elusimicrobium</i>, ↓<i>Pseudobutyrvibrio</i>, ↑<i>Coproccoccus</i>, ↓<i>Papillibacter</i>, ↓<i>Ruminococcus</i>, ↑<i>Atopobium</i>, ↑<i>Desulfovibrio</i>, ↑<i>Phocaeicola</i>, ↓<i>Anaeroplasm</i>a, ↑<i>Thalassospira</i>, ↑<i>Acinetobacter</i>, ↑<i>Shuttleworthia</i>, ↑<i>Sphingobacterium</i>, ↑<i>Anaerospir</i>obacter</div> <div>Ruminal microbiome Genus: ↓<i>Bacteroides</i>, ↓<i>Pseudobutyrvibrio</i>, ↓<i>Elusimicrobium</i>, ↑<i>Succinivibrio</i>, ↑<i>Victivallis</i></div>	↑alpha diversity	El-Ashram and Suo 2017
<i>Heligmosomoides Polygyrus</i>	Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) mouse	Mucosa	Ileum, caecum	Cloned 16S rRNA Sequencing qPCR of total bacteria	Family: <i>Lactobacillaceae</i> ↓	Not evaluated	Walk <i>et al.</i> 2010
<i>Heligmosomoides polygyrus</i>	C57BL/6J obese mice	Faeces		High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina); qPCR	Phylum: <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↓, unassigned bacteria↑ Genus: <i>Bacillus</i> ↑, <i>Escherichia</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Shimokawa C <i>et al.</i> 2019
<i>Hymenolepsis diminuta</i>	Rat model, pups, adults	Cecal contents	Caecum, large bowel	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Actinobacteria</i> ↓, <i>Tenericutes</i> ↓, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↑ <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> ↑ Order: <i>Clostridiales</i> ↑ ( <i>Escheri</i> achia coli)	Not evaluated	Williamson <i>et al.</i> 2016
	Rat ( <i>Rattus norvegicus</i> )	Lumen	Caecum	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Family: <i>Peptostreptococcaceae</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Turibacter</i> ↓	Not evaluated	McKenney <i>et al.</i> 2015

**Table 2:** Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure (continued)

Helminths	Host	Type of sample	Site of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Heligmosomoides Polygyrus bakeri</i>	IL-4Rα-/- mice ; C57BL/6 mice	Lumen	Caecum; ileum; colon	Culture; Cloned 16S rRNA amplicon; qPCR; Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis	γ-Proteobacteria/Enterobacteriaceae↑, Bacteroides/Prevotella↑	Not evaluated	Rausch <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>Heligmosomoides Polygyrus</i> , <i>Syphacia</i> spp, <i>Hymenolepis</i> spp	Wild mouse ( <i>Apodemus flavicollis</i> )	Lumen, mucosa	Stomach, ileum, caecum, colon	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454)	<i>Hymenolepis</i> spp. Family: Ruminococcaceae↓, Acetobacteraceae↓, Sphingomonadaceae↓, S24–7 family (Bacteroidetes)↑	No change	Kreisinger <i>et al.</i> 2015
					<i>Heligmosomoides Polygyrus</i> Family: Lachnospiraceae↑, S24–7 OTUs (Bacteroidetes)↑ Genus: Lactobacillus↑		
					<i>Syphacia</i> spp Family: Lachnospiraceae↓, Lactobacillaceae↓, S24–7 family (Bacteroidetes)↓ Genus: Lactobacillus↓		
<i>Leidynema appendiculatum</i> ;  <i>Leidynema appendiculatum</i> ;  <i>Hammerschmidtella diesingi</i> ; <i>Thelastoma bulhosi</i>	<i>Periplaneta fuliginosa</i> <i>Periplaneta americana</i>	Faeces	Foregut; Midgut; Hindgut	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: ↓Firmicutes, ↑Proteobacteria, ↓Bacteroidetes, ↑Actinobacteria Genus: ↑Bacillales, ↑Brevibacterium, ↓Gordonia, ↑Xylanimicrobium, ↓Bacteroides Order: ↑Lactobacillales, ↓Enterobacteriales Family: ↓Lachnospiraceae, ↓Ruminococcaceae, ↑Porphyromonadaceae, ↑Desulfovibrionaceae, ↓Weeksellaceae, ↓Bacteroidaceae <i>Periplaneta americana</i> Phylum: ↑Bacteroidetes, ↑Firmicutes, ↑Proteobacteria Family: ↑Porphyromonadaceae, ↓Bacteroidaceae, ↑Ruminococcaceae, ↓Lachnospiraceae, ↑Desulfovibrionaceae	↑alpha diversity	Vicente <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Necator americanus</i>	Patients with coeliac disease	Faeces		High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA Amplicons (454)	Phylum: Firmicutes↓, Bacteroides, ↑Tenericutes, RF39↓ Class: Bacteroidia↑, Erysipelotrichi↓, Clostridia↓ Genus: Ruminococcus↓, Lachnospira↓	↑alpha diversity	Giacomin <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Trichuris muris</i>	C57BL/6 mice	Faeces, lumen	Caecum	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	<i>Alistipes</i> , <i>Odoribacter</i> , and <i>Parasutterella</i> ↑, <i>Allobaculum</i> ↓, <i>Barnesiella</i> ↓	↓ alpha diversity	Holm <i>et al.</i> 2015
		Faeces	Faeces	Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis; High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454)	Phylum : Bacteroidetes↑ ; Genre : Prevotella↑, Parabacteroides↑		Houlden <i>et al.</i> 2015

**Table 2:** Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure (continued)

Helminths	Host	Type of sample	Site of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Trichostrongylus retortaeformis</i>	Rabbits ( <i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i> )	Mucosa	Duodenal	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: ↑ <i>Proteobacteria</i> , ↑ <i>Spirochaetes</i> , ↓ <i>Firmicutes</i> Family: ↑ <i>Leptospiraceae</i> , ↑ <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> , ↑ <i>Phyromonadaceae</i> , ↑ <i>Desulfobacteraceae</i> , ↑ <i>Bacteroidaceae</i> Genus: ↑ <i>Leptomena</i> , ↑ <i>Desulfocella</i> , ↓ <i>Bacteroides</i> , ↓ <i>Ruminococcus</i>	↓alpha diversity	Cattadori <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Toxocara cati</i>	Cat ( <i>Felis catus</i> )	Faeces		High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: ↑ <i>Actinobacteria</i> Class: ↑ <i>Coreobacteriia</i> , ↓ <i>Gammaproteobacteria</i> Order: ↑ <i>Lactobacillales</i> , ↑ <i>Coribacteriales</i> Family: ↑ <i>Enterococcaceae</i> , ↑ <i>Coreobacteriaceae</i> Genus: ↑ <i>Collinsella</i> , ↑ <i>Enterococcus</i> , ↑ <i>Dorea</i> , ↑ <i>Lactobacillus</i> , ↑ <i>Ruminococcus</i> , ↓ <i>Bulleidia</i> , ↓ <i>Jeotgaliococcus</i>	No change	Duarte <i>et al.</i> 2016
Two undescribed thelastomatid species: Pa-nem-L (larger); Pa-nem-S (smaller)	Cockroach ( <i>Panesthia angustipennis</i> )	Entire/ sections of nematode body surfaces	Hindgut	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Family: ↑ <i>Dysgonomonadaceae</i> (Bacteroidales termite cluster V), ↑ <i>Rikennellaceae</i> , ↑ <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> .	↑alpha diversity	Takumi Murakami <i>et al.</i> 2019
<i>Trichuris suis</i>	Pigs (Sus scrofa domestica)	Faeces	Proximal colon	Whole metagenome shotgun Sequencing (Illumina)	Phylum: ↓ <i>Fibrobacteres</i> , ↓ <i>Spirochaetes</i> , ↓ <i>Tenericutes</i> , ↓ <i>Gemmatimonadetes</i> Genus: ↑ <i>Fibrobacter</i> , ↑ <i>Campylobacter</i> , ↓ <i>Treponema</i> , ↓ <i>Dorea</i> , ↓ <i>Ruminococcus</i>	Not evaluated	Wu <i>et al.</i> 2012
	Pigs (Sus scrofa domestica)	Lumen	Proximal colon	Whole metagenome shotgun 454 sequencing High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454)	Phylum: ↓ <i>Proteobacteria</i> , ↓ <i>Deferribacteres</i> , ↑ <i>Euryarchaeota</i> Genus: ↑ <i>Prevotella</i> , ↓ <i>Succinivibrio</i> , ↓ <i>Mucispirillum</i> , ↓ <i>Oscillibacter</i> , ↑ <i>Paraprevotella</i> , ↑ <i>Desulfovibrio</i> , ↑ <i>Heliobacter</i>	No change	Li <i>et al.</i> 2012

**Table 2:** Influence of helminths on bacterial community structure (continued)

1312

Helminths	Host	Type of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Trichuris trichiura</i> , <i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i>	School children	Faeces	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA Amplicons (454)	Phylum: ↓ <i>Firmicutes</i> Class: ↓ <i>Clostridia</i> , ↑ <i>streptococci</i> Genus: ↓ <i>Clostridium</i> sensu stricto, ↓uncharacterised <i>clostridial</i> cluster IX, ↑ <i>Streptococcus</i> , ↓ <i>Roseburia</i>	↓alpha diversity	Cooper <i>et al.</i> 2013
<i>Trichuris</i> spp., <i>Ascaris</i> spp., hookworm	Indigenous community	Faeces	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA Amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: ↑ <i>Mollicutes</i> , ↑ <i>Bacteroidales</i> , ↑ <i>Alphaproteobacteria</i> Family: ↑ <i>Paraprevotellaceae</i> , ↑ <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> , ↑ <i>Prevotellaceae</i> Genus: ↓ <i>Bifidobacterium</i>	↑alpha diversity	Lee <i>et al.</i> 2014
<i>Schistosoma haematobium</i> <i>Schistosoma mansoni</i>	Children (six months to 13 years old)	Urine, stool	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↑, <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑, <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Prevotella</i> ↑	↑alpha diversity	Kay <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Schistosoma mansoni</i>	Children from Côte d’Ivoire	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↑ Family: <i>Cerasicoccaceae</i> ↑, <i>Anaeroplasmataceae</i> ↑, <i>Campylobacteraceae</i> ↑, <i>Peptococcaceae</i> ↑ Genus : <i>Klebsiella</i> ↑, <i>Enterobacter arachidis</i> ↑, <i>Fructobacillus</i> ↓	↓alpha diversity	Schneeberger <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Trichuris trichiura</i>	Rhesus monkeys	Colon mucosa	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: ↓ <i>Cyanobacteria</i> , ↑ <i>Firmicutes</i> , ↑ <i>Bacteroidetes</i> , ↑ <i>Tenericutes</i> , ↓unclassified bacteria taxon ZB2 Genus: ↓ <i>Streptophyta</i>	No change	Broadhurst <i>et al.</i> 2012

1313

**Table 3:** Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities

Fungi	Host	Type of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change/association	Diversity profile	Reference
Phylum: <i>Ascomycota</i> ↑ Family: <i>Pichiaceae</i> ↓	<i>Clostridium difficile</i> infection patient cohort	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Planctomyces</i> ↓ Class: <i>Clostridia</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroidia</i> ↑	↓alpha diversity	Lamendella <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Basidiomycota</i> ↑, <i>Ascomycota</i> ↑	Crohn's disease patients	Colon, mucosa	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina; 454)	Phylum: <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Fusobacteria</i> ↑	↓alpha diversity	Liguori <i>et al.</i> 2016
Phylum: <i>Basidiomycota</i> ↑, <i>Ascomycota</i> ↓ Genus: <i>Saccharomyces</i> ↓, <i>Candida</i> ↑ Species: <i>Malassezia sympodialis</i> ↑, <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> ↓, <i>Candida albicans</i> ↑	Patients with IBD	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Ion Torrent); qPCR	Genus: <i>Ruminococcus</i> ↓, <i>Coprococcus</i> ↓, <i>Blautia</i> ↓, <i>Eubacterium</i> ↓, <i>Roseburia</i> ↓, <i>Faecalibacterium</i> ↓, <i>Dorea</i> ↓, <i>Blautia</i> ↓, <i>Actinobacillus</i> ↑ Species: <i>Ruminococcus gnanus</i> ↑, <i>Streptococcus anginosus</i> ↑, <i>Aggregatibacter segnis</i> ↑	↓alpha diversity	Sokol <i>et al.</i> 2017
Genus: <i>Candida</i> ↑, <i>Penicillium</i> ↓, <i>Aspergillus</i> ↑, <i>Malassezia</i> ↓, <i>Debaryomyces</i> ↓, <i>Mucor</i> ↓ <i>Eremothecium</i> ↓, <i>Pichia</i> ↓, <i>Cyberlindnera</i> ↓, <i>Trichosporon</i> ↑	Rett Syndrome patients	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454)	Phylum: <i>Actinobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Firmicutes</i> ↓, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓ Genus: <i>Bifidobacterium</i> ↑, <i>Clostridia</i> ↑, <i>Erysipelotrichaceae</i> ↑, <i>Actinomyces</i> ↑, <i>Lactobacillus</i> ↑, <i>Eggerthella</i> ↑, <i>Enterococcus</i> ↑, <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ↑	↓alpha diversity	Strati <i>et al.</i> 2016
<i>Candida glabrata</i>	DSS-induced colitis mouse model.	Stool	Culture	Species : <i>E. coli</i> ↑, <i>E. faecalis</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i> ↓, <i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> ↓, <i>Bacteroides sp. TP5</i> ↓, <i>Bifidobacterium animalis</i> ↓, <i>L. johnsonii</i> ↓, <i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Rogation Charlet <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Candida tropicalis</i> ↑	Crohn's Disease patients	Stool	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Ion Torrent)	Phylum: <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓ Species: <i>Bifidobacterium adolescentis</i> ↑, <i>Ruminococcus gnavus</i> ↑, <i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i> ↓ <i>Escherichia coli</i> ↑, <i>Serratia marcescens</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Hoarau <i>et al.</i> 2016



**Table 3:** Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities (continued)

Fungi	Host	Type of sample	Site of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change/association	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Candida albicans</i>				Culture	<i>Clostridium difficile</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> 2016
				Culture ; qPCR	Family : <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Bruno Sovran <i>et al.</i> 2018
				Culture	<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Marisa Valentine <i>et al.</i> 2019
	<i>Clostridium difficile</i> in C57BL/6 mice	Distal Cecum, contents		High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum : <i>Verrucomicrobia</i> ↑, <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Actinobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↑ Family: <i>Comamonadaceae</i> ↑, <i>Erysipelotrichaceae</i> ↑, <i>S24-7</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Akkermansia</i> sp. ↑, <i>Sutterella</i> sp. ↑, <i>Bifidobacterium</i> sp. ↑, <i>Adlercreutzia</i> sp. ↑	↓alpha diversity	Laura Markey <i>et al.</i> 2018
	Mouse model of <i>Clostridium difficile</i>	Faeces	Colon	Culture	<i>Clostridium difficile</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Wimonrat Panpetch <i>et al.</i> 2019
<i>Debaryomyces hansenii</i> ↑ <i>Candida</i> spp↓, and <i>Saccharomyces</i> spp↓	Obese children	Faeces		Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) qPCR	Species: <i>Akkermansia muciniphyla</i> ↓, <i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i> ↓	↑alpha diversity	Borgo <i>et al.</i> 2017
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i> mycelium	High-Fat Diet (HFD)-fed Mice Chow mice	Faeces	Caecal	Pyrosequencing of bacterial 16S rRNA	Phylum: <i>Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes</i> ratios↓, <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↓ Species: <i>Parabacteroides goldsteinii</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroides</i> ↑, <i>Anaerotruncus colihominis</i> ↑, <i>Roseburia hominis</i> ↑, <i>Clostridium</i> ↑, <i>Clostridium methylpentosum</i> ↑, <i>Clostridium XIVa and XVIII</i> ↑, <i>Eubacterium coprostanoligenes</i> ↑	↑alpha diversity	Chang <i>et al.</i> 2015

**Table 3:** Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities (continued)

Fungi	Host	Type of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change/association	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Macrorhabdus ornithogaster</i>	Canaries ( <i>Serinus canaria domestica</i> )	Faeces	PCR-DGGE, High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna)	Phylum: <i>Acidobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Actinobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Cyanobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Planctomycetes</i> ↑ Family: <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> ↓, <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ↓ Genus: <i>Lactobacillus</i> ↑, <i>Streptococcus</i> ↑, <i>Clostridium</i> ↓, <i>Lactococcus</i> ↓, <i>Pseudomonas</i> ↓, <i>Acinetobacter</i> ↓, <i>Weissella</i> ↓, <i>Propionibacterium</i> ↓ Species: <i>Candidatus Arthromitus</i> ↑	↓alpha diversity	Patrizia Robino <i>et al.</i> 2018
Mushroom ( <i>Agaricus bisporus</i> )	Pigs	Faeces, Proximal colon contents	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Ion Torrent)	Family: <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> ↑, <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> ↑ Order: <i>Clostridiales</i> ↑	No change	Gloria I. Solano-Aguilar <i>et al.</i> 2018
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i>	BALB/C mice	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna)	Genus : <i>Bacteroides</i> ↑ Species : <i>Akkermansia muciniphila</i> ↑	↑alpha diversity	Katherine D. Mueller <i>et al.</i> 2019
<i>Mucor velutinosus</i>	Old man with Onychomycosis and acute myelogenous leukemia	Oral Stool	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna)	<i>staphylococci</i> ↑	↓alpha diversity	Shelburne <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Malassezia</i> ↓, <i>Saccharomyces sp</i> ↓	Children with Hirschsprung disease	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna; Ion Torrent)	Phylum: <i>Firmicutes</i> ↓, <i>Verrucomicrobia</i> ↓, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓, <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↓	↓alpha diversity	Frykman <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Nosema ceranae</i>	Adult workers Honeybees ( <i>Apis mellifera</i> )	Hindguts	qPCR	<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. ↓ and <i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. ↓, <i>Snodgrassella alvi</i> ↑, <i>Gilliamella apicola</i> ↑	Not evaluated	Rouzé <i>et al.</i> 2019
<i>Pichia kudriavzevii</i> ↑, <i>Saccharomycetales</i> ↓	Atopic patient with wheeze	Faeces	High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illimuna)	<i>Streptococcus</i> sp. ↑, <i>Bacteroides</i> sp. ↑, <i>Bifidobacterium</i> sp. ↓, <i>Ruminococcus gnavus</i> ↓	↑alpha diversity	Marie-Claire Arrieta <i>et al.</i> 2017

**Table 3:** Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities (continued)

Fungi	Host	Type of sample	Site of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change/association	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Paranosema locustae</i>	<i>Locusta migratoria manilensis</i>	Faeces	Hindgut	High-throughput Pyrosequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (454)	Genus: <i>Citrobacter</i> ↑, <i>Lactococcus</i> ↑, <i>Raoultella</i> ↑ Species: <i>Corynebacterium</i> sp. WA7↓, <i>Raoultella terrigena</i> ↓	↓alpha diversity	Tan Shu-Qian <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Sordariomycetes</i> <i>Eurotiomycetes</i> <i>Dothideomycetes</i> <i>Leotiomycetes</i>	<i>Pantala flavescens</i>	Fresh mycelia	Larvae	Culture; High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA	Phylum: <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Sphingomonas</i> , <i>Methylobacterium</i> , <i>Burkholderia</i> , <i>Pantoea</i> , <i>Enterobacter</i> ↑, <i>Leclercia</i> , and <i>Serratia</i> , <i>Oceanobacillus oncorhynchi</i> , <i>Methylobacterium extorquens</i>	Not evaluated	Shao <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Saccharomyces boulardii</i>	Premature infants	Faeces		High-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Ion Torrent)	Phylum: <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↓, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓, <i>Actinobacteria</i> ↓ Genus: <i>Escherichia</i> ↑, <i>Enterococcus</i> ↓, <i>Veilonella</i> ↑, <i>Clostridium</i> ↑, <i>Bifidobacterium</i> ↑	↑alpha diversity	Zeber-Lubecka <i>et al.</i> 2016
	Hamster hypercholesterolemic model			High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Phylum: <i>Firmicutes</i> ↓, <i>Tenericutes</i> ↓, TM7↓, <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↑, <i>Lentispharerae</i> ↑, unknown phyla↑ Genus: <i>Allobaculum</i> ↑, CF231↑	No difference	F. Briand <i>et al.</i> 2019

**Table 3:** Interactions between fungal and bacterial communities (continued)

Fungi	Host	Type of sample	Site of sample	Bacterial microbiota method	Bacterial microbiota change/association	Diversity profile	Reference
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Male BALB/c mice		Caecum	Culture	Family : <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ↓	Not evaluated	Garcia <i>et al.</i> 2016
	Rats	Faeces		Terminal restriction Fragment length Polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis	Genus: <i>Bacteroides</i> ↑, <i>Fusobacterium</i> ↑, <i>Bifidobacterium</i> ↑, <i>Lactobacilli</i> ↑, <i>Enterococcus</i> ↑	↑alpha diversity	Li <i>et al.</i> 2017
			Colon	Culture High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Genus: <i>Bifidobacterium</i> ↓, <i>Allobaculum</i> ↓, <i>Acetanaerobacterium</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroides</i> ↑, <i>Eubacterium</i> ↑, <i>Johnsonella</i> ↑, <i>Lactococcus</i> ↑, <i>Oscillospira</i> ↑, <i>Roseburia</i> ↑, <i>Vallitalea</i> ↑ Species: <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. ↑, haemolytic bacteria↑	Not evaluated	H.A.G. Ducray <i>et al.</i> 2019
EpiCor fermentate (dried yeast fermentate made using <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> )	Healthy volunteers (symptoms of gastrointestinal discomfort and reduced bowel movements)	Faeces		High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina and 454)	Phylum: <i>Firmicutes</i> ↓, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↑ Family: <i>Bacteroidaceae</i> ↑, <i>Porphyromonadaceae</i> ↑, <i>Prevotellaceae</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Propionibacterium</i> ↑, <i>Paraprevotella</i> ↑, <i>Oscillibacter</i> ↑, <i>Barnesiella</i> ↑, <i>Prevotella</i> ↑, <i>Akkermansia</i> ↑, <i>Odoribacter</i> ↑, <i>Anaerostipes</i> ↑, <i>Blautia</i> ↓, <i>Roseburia</i> ↓ Specis: <i>Akkermansia muciniphila</i> ↑	Community evenness↑	Pinheiro <i>et al.</i> 2017
Yeast derivatives ( <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> )	Sows; piglets	Faeces	Rectum	High-throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons (Illumina)	Sows Phylum: <i>Proteobacteria</i> ↓ Genus: <i>Roseburia</i> ↑, <i>Paraprevotella</i> ↑, <i>Falsiporphyromonas</i> ↑, <i>Alkalitalea</i> ↑, <i>Eubacterium</i> ↑, <i>Turicibacter</i> ↓, <i>Papillibacter</i> ↓, <i>Desulfovibrio</i> ↓, <i>Escherichia/Shigella</i> ↓, <i>Helicobacter</i> ↓	No change with sows;	Shah Hasan <i>et al.</i> 2018
					Piglets Phylum: <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑, <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓, <i>Sporichaeetes</i> ↓, <i>Synergistetes</i> ↓, ofActinobacteria↑, <i>Lentisphaerae</i> ↑ Genus: <i>Oscillibacter</i> ↑, <i>ClostridiumIV</i> ↑, <i>Blautia</i> ↑, <i>Gemmiger</i> ↑, <i>Anaerobacterium</i> ↑, <i>Anaerovibrio</i> ↑, <i>Paraprevotella</i> ↑	↑alpha diversity with Piglets	