Health-related factors in Rural and Urban Mexican adolescents: The HELENA-MEX Study
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Abstract: Mexico shows a high prevalence of obesity in children and adolescents. Geographical location and cultural environment could play a role in the promotion of healthy lifestyles in terms of physical activity (PA), sedentary behavior (SB) and nutrition. The purpose of this study was to assess rural and urban differences in body composition (BC), physical fitness (PF), PA and nutritional status of adolescents from the state of Jalisco (Mexico). The study involved 469 students aged 13-17 years (55.0% girls) from 8 high schools. BC was analyzed by bioimpedance, and PF by standardized field tests. Objective measurements of PA and SB were taken in a subsample (n=240). Energy intake (EI) was calculated from two 24h recalls. Rural residents presented a higher prevalence of overweight, waist circumference, trunk fat mass, regional fat free mass and muscle handgrip strength (all p<0.05, ηp2<0.06). Cardiorespiratory fitness was similar among participants, whereas urban adolescents showed higher muscle power, speed-agility and flexibility scores (all p<0.05, ηp2<0.07). Overall lifestyle behavior in urban adolescents was more sedentary (p<0.05, ηp2= 0.11). EI was similar in both locations. In conclusion, urban Mexican adolescents presented a generally higher sedentary behavior and better fitness and fatness profile than their rural peers.
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1. Introduction

Excess body fat increases at alarming rates across the globe [1]. According to World Health Organization (WHO) data, the prevalence of overweight and obesity among children and adolescents in Mexico is 34.4% and 13.5%, respectively [2]. ENSANUT 2016 survey results have shown that those rates increase specially in Mexican adolescents living in rural, depressed, and low economical resources areas[3]. Therefore, it seems that geographical context needs to be taken into consideration when trying to expand the objective information on the causes and consequences of the obesogenic environment in Mexico. Compared with urban communities, Mexican rural communities have limited access to health care, lower economical and material resources and poorer public health promoting actions. In contrast, urbanization could be a factor that promotes sedentary behaviors, due to perceived safety of the environment that leads to spend less time in outdoor activities as well as an increasing use of technology for both professional and leisure purposes [4].

The relevance of following a healthy lifestyle during adolescence for current and future health has been widely reported in the scientific literature [5,6]. International initiatives like the HELENA study [7], developed in Europe, or the more recent one, Global Matrix [8], that integrates an important
number of countries around the world, have pointed out the high level of physical inactivity among adolescents and the relevance of physical fitness and nutrition for adolescents’ health.

Recently, a study based on a global school-based survey highlighted that 81.0% of students (aged between 11 and 17 years old) do not meet the international guidelines on physical activity (PA) [9]. In Mexico, the 2018 report card on PA in children and adolescents informed about the low percentage of participants meeting the international guidelines, more notably in younger girls [10]. Only 60.5% of Mexican respondents between 15 and 19 years old follow the recommendation of 420 minutes of moderate-vigorous PA per week and 78.6% of them spend more than two hours in front of a screen [3]. Physical fitness (PF) is also considered an important indicator of good health [11]. Favorable associations have been reported linking cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness to cardiometabolic disease risk, adiposity, mental health and cognition, and bone health in adolescents [12,13]. In Mexico, it has been indicated that PF is a stronger correlate and better predictor of obesity than PA in schoolchildren [14]. However, the 2018 PA report card indicated that there is no sufficient data to grade the PF levels in Mexican adolescents [10]. Therefore, there is a lack of knowledge regarding this topic in this population group. Interestingly, different studies [15,16] suggest that the levels of PA and PF are different in urban and rural areas, as they are influenced by the environment context in which they live.

Regarding Mexican diet, it has been reported that 80% of Mexican adolescents consume sweet drinks, almost 60% eat snacks, sweets and non-healthy desserts. 50% of the adolescent population consume sweet cereals, 33% milk alternatives with added sugar and 20% adolescents processed meat and fast food, and typical Mexican little whims (“antojitos”). However, a low percentage consume fruits (39.2%) and vegetables (26.9%) [3].

Taking into consideration the present knowledge gaps related to a healthy lifestyle in Mexican youth, the aim of this study is to report information about health related-factors such as body composition (BC), PF, PA, sedentary time (ST) and dietary intake (DI) of adolescents from the state of Jalisco (Mexico) comparing rural and urban participants.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study design

The HELENA MEX study (Healthy Lifestyle in Mexico by Nutrition in Adolescence) is a randomized multi-center cross sectional study based on the methodology used in the European HELENA study [17]. We examined adolescents’ lifestyle from rural areas (North Region of Jalisco) and urban areas (Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara). The methodological adaptation of the HELENA study to the Mexican population was carried out during September and October 2017. A group of collaborators were trained to collect data. In the urban area, the training was conducted between December 2017 and January 2018, whereas in the rural area it was carried out from March to April 2018. Data collection took place between January and June 2018. The study was approved by the Ethics, Research and Biosafety Committee of the University of Guadalajara, Mexico (CI-04717). Participants were invited to voluntarily participate in the study. A signed informed consent was obtained from all participants and their legal guardians, prior to their participation in the study.

2.2. Study sample

A random, stratified, multiple steps sampling was carried out. A list of all secondary and high schools of the North Region of Jalisco and the Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara was obtained from the Jalisco School Directory. Participating schools were randomly selected from the Directory. Those schools which were not willing to take part in the study or did not meet the criteria were excluded and the next school on the list was contacted. In most schools, one classroom was randomly selected for each age group (two classrooms per school in total). The study was explained and students within those two classes were asked to participate voluntarily. However, this protocol was not followed in one of the schools, since they asked to include different participants from all classes within the age range due to organizational purposes.
Taking the average rate of 30 students per class within the State of Jalisco, the protocol set up evaluating 240 adolescents of each area, 480 in total. A total of 8 schools were chosen, 4 secondary schools and 4 high schools, in order to include adolescents between 13 and 17 years old. The selected classrooms in the secondary schools consisted on one group of students aged 13-14 and another group of participants aged 14-15. In the high schools, the selected groups were one group aged 15-16 and another group aged 16-17. However, when conducting the study in the urban area, some of the random classes chosen had more than 30 students each, and thus the total of participants analyzed was higher than expected.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Physical examination

A medical doctor performed an examination to determine the overall health status of each participant. The participants’ medical history was checked to know if there were any pathologies that would prevent them from participating in the study. Pubertal stage was self-reported with help of drawn images, according to the method of Tanner and Whitehouse [18].

2.3.2. Anthropometry and Body Composition

Anthropometric measurements were carried out in the morning, prior to PF testing according to the procedures of the HELENA study [19]. Participants’ height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a stadiometer (SECA 215, Hamburg, Germany). Body mass was assessed to the nearest 0.1 Kg using a precision digital scale (Inbody 120, BioSpace Co., Seoul, Korea), with participants dressed in light sports clothing, without shoes. Body mass index (BMI) and age- and sex-specific BMI z-scores according to the World Health Organization reference standards were calculated [20]. Adolescents were classified as thinness (T), normal-weight (NW), overweight (OW) or obese (O) considering the z-score obtained within the analysis (T: ≤ -2SD, NW: ≤ +1SD, OW: > +1SD and O > +2SD; +1SD is equivalent to BMI 25 kg/m² at 19 years and +2SD is equivalent to BMI 30 kg/m² at 19 years).

Waist and hip circumferences were measured in centimeters with an anthropometric tape to the nearest millimeter. The tape was placed horizontally just below the 12th rib and over the iliac crest. The hip circumference measurement was taken at the point yielding the maximum circumference over the buttocks, with the tape held in a horizontal plane. Waist-hip ratio (WHR) was calculated by dividing waist circumference (cm) by hip circumference (cm).

A bioelectric impedance analysis (BIA) device (BIA, Inbody 120, InBody Co., Ltd. Seoul, Korea) with tetrapolar-8-point-tactile electrodes was used to measure BC. Each device had two different frequencies (20 and 100 kHz) for impedance measurement in five body segments (the four extremities and the trunk), and has shown a good relative accuracy for assessing BC in children [21].

Fat mass (FM), and fat free mass (FFM) were normalized by height². Studies have shown that FFM and weight scale with height to approximately the power of two, establishing an analytic framework for height-scaled indexes [22]. Therefore, fat mass index (FMI), FM/height², and fat free mass index (FFMI), FFM/height², have been reported.

2.3.3. Physical Fitness

All participants underwent a series of field-based physical health-related fitness component tests including muscular fitness, muscle power, speed/agility, flexibility and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF).

To assess muscular fitness, we used the following tests:
- Handgrip test (upper-body). A digital dynamometer with adjustable grip was used (Smedley III, TTM, Tokyo, Japan). Adolescents squeezed the dynamometer gradually and continuously for at least 2 seconds, performing (alternately with both hands) the test twice using the optimal grip span. The optimal grip span was calculated using the equation proposed by Ruiz et al. [23]. Their arm was extended completely and making sure that the dynamometer was not in contact with any other part of their body. The best result per hand was recorded in kilograms (accurate
Handgrip measurements are expressed as the mean of the right and left side best values.

- **Standing jump test (lower-body).** Participants jumped as far as possible with their feet approximately shoulders width apart from a starting position immediately behind a line. Participants could use both arms to swing. The test was performed twice and the best result was recorded in centimeters.

To assess the muscle power the Squat Jump (SJ), the Counter Movement Jump (CMJ) and the Abalakov Jump (ABA) tests were used. Participants performed two series of jumps, one of each type and in the previous order, resulting on a total of six jumps. A Platform DIN-A2 – BOSCO SYSTEM (BYOMEDIC, S.C.P., Barcelona, Spain) was used to perform the jumps. The jump height was recorded in centimeters and the best result obtained of each type was recorded.

- **Squat Jump.** Evaluation of muscle power. Participants performed a vertical jump without rebound movements starting from a half-squat position (both knees at 90 degrees) with trunk straight and both hands on hips. Hands were placed on their hips and participants were instructed not to use them during the jump.

- **Counter Movement Jump.** Evaluation of muscle power plus the elastic component of the muscles. On the platform in a standing position, with legs extended and both hands on their hips, adolescents performed a vertical jump with a prior fast counter movement reaching 90 degrees of flexion at knees. Hands were placed on their hips and participants were instructed not to use them during the jump.

- **Abalakov Jump.** Evaluation of muscle power plus elastic component of the muscles and coordination capacity. It is a CMJ where adolescents could use their arms and trunk free to allow the best movement coordination.

The speed-agility was assessed by the 4x10m shuttle run test. Two parallel lines were drawn on the floor 10 m apart. Adolescents were asked to run as fast as possible from the starting line to the other line and returned to the starting line twice (4x10m) crossing each line with both feet every time. When participants crossed any of the lines, they should pick up or exchange a sponge that had earlier been placed behind the lines. The stopwatch was stopped when participants crossed the end line with one foot. The test was performed twice and the best result was recorded to the nearest tenth of a second.

Flexibility was assessed by back-saver sit and reach test (BSSR). The test was performed with a standard box. Adolescents were in a seated position; one leg straight while the other one was bent to their knee. Bending the trunk, participants reached forward as far as possible. Adolescents had to push a small bar over the top of the box. The test was performed twice per leg with the best result recorded in centimeters. The average of the best distances reached by both legs was used in the analysis.

The endurance of CRF was assessed by the 20m shuttle run test. Participants moved from one line to another, which was 20 m away, reversing direction, and in accordance with a pace dictated by a sound signal. The initial speed was 8.5 km/h and increasing at 0.5 km per min intervals (1 min equals one stage). The test finished when the participant failed to reach the end lines concurrent with the audio signals on two consecutive occasions. Otherwise, the test ended when the participant stopped because of fatigue. The last completed stage or half-stage at which the participant dropped out was scored. Maximal oxygen consumption, $VO_{2max}$ (ml/kg/minute) was estimated using the equation published by Léger [24].

The protocol carried out in each test is widely described in the Manual of Operation of The HELENA study [19].
2.3.4. Physical Activity and Sedentary Time.

Device-based PA and ST were assessed with validated tri-axial accelerometers (GT3X Actigraph, Pensacola, FL, USA). Participants were asked to always wear the accelerometer on the right hip with an adjustable elastic belt for 7 consecutive days during waking hours and to remove it only during water-based activities and while sleeping. Participants received the accelerometer together with written and verbal instructions and a practical demonstration on how to wear the accelerometer. Recommendations of previous studies were followed to collect the data [25]. Accelerometer data were recorded at a 30 Hz sampling rate and analyzed using the ActiLife software (light version 5.3.0). Data were reintegrated into 15-s epochs [26]. Non-wear time was defined as a minimum of 20 minutes with allowance of 1–2 min of counts below 100 counts [27]. To classify PA and ST, the cut-points developed by Romazini et al. [28] were adopted using vector magnitude and 15-s epoch. To be included in the analysis, participants were required to reach a minimum of 10 hours per day of wear time and at least 3 days a week, in which at least two days had to be on weekdays and one day on the weekend. In order to analyse the adherence of PA in the study with WHO recommendations, the accumulation of at least 60 min/day of moderate-vigorous physical activity was also assessed.

2.3.5. Dietary Intake

24-hour recalls were employed to record the dietary intake of a weekend day and of a weekday. In order to have each participant’s food record, they were asked to recall the food they consumed on the last weekend day and to record it on a sheet of paper with the guidance of a trained nutritionist. They were also asked to do the same on their own but for the current day. On the following day, each student was interviewed individually with a nutritionist, who was visually assisted by a food photo album validated in adolescent Mexican population [29] to elaborate the 24-hour recall.

The evaluations were carried out by a group of 6 nutritionists trained in the management of the photographic album [30]. Each nutritionist entered the data obtained in each 24-hour recall in the online Nutricloud® software. With this software we obtained the average energy intake in kilocalories (kcal) and the intake from carbohydrates, lipids, saturated fatty acids and proteins in grams.

2.4. Data analysis

Means, standard deviations and 95% confidence interval were calculated to describe anthropometry, BC, PF, PA, ST and DI and caloric expenditure. Daily caloric expenditure was calculated as the sum of the PA in kilocalories and the basal metabolic rate (BMR) estimated by BIA. One-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), including sex and maturation as covariates, was done to test rural and urban groups differences in each variable. ANCOVAs were followed-up with Bonferroni- corrected post hoc tests. The effect sizes (ES) were calculated using the Eta squared ($\eta^2$) and their interpretation was based on the following criteria: $0.01 \leq ES <0.06$ small effects, $0.06 \leq ES <0.14$ moderate effects, $ES \geq 0.14$ large effects [31]. The level of statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$. All calculations were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA).

3. Results

From a total of 508 participants who were asked to participate, 33 refrained from taking part and 6 were excluded for other reasons. Due to the lack of attendance to school during the data collection, not all participants in the study completed all the tests conducted. Therefore, the total number vary throughout different evaluations in the study. Regarding the accelerometry assessment, a subsample of 240 adolescents was evaluated due to limitations in the availability of accelerometers.

Participants belonged to two different areas of the state of Jalisco: 1) urban population (Central Region) and 2) rural population (North Region). The Central Region, located in Guadalajara, concentrates 61.9% of the state’s population (5,023,785 inhabitants). The North Region is the least
populated area of the state with only 1.1% of the population. In Guadalajara 4 educational centers were chosen within the Metropolitan area. Likewise, 4 educational centers were chosen in different towns: Colotlán, Huejúcar, Santa María de los Ángeles and Villaguerrero. The population density of each town [32], and the participants evaluated by region (45.0% from the rural area and 55.0% from urban area) can be observed in Figure 1.

![Map of population density](image)

**Figure 1.** Percentage of adolescents evaluated and population density by area. *Metropolitan Area.

A total of 469 adolescents were recruited for the study (210 men, 44.8%). In the rural area, 37.9% out of 211 participants were males (males: 15.08 ± 1.33 years old; females: 15.25 ± 1.41 years old). In the urban area 50.8% out of 258 participants were males (males: 15.32 ± 1.24 years old; females: 15.43 ± 0.96 years old).

### 3.1. Anthropometrics and Body Composition

Data for anthropometrics and BC are presented in Table 1. No significant differences were found in the height and weight of the participants living in the rural or urban area. The BMI (z-score calculated) and WHR were significantly lower in adolescents from urban areas even though the effect size was small, F(1,445) = 4.53, p = 0.003, ηp²= 0.02 and F(1,462) = 9.07, p = 0.003, ηp²= 0.02 respectively.

The classification of participants according to their BMI is presented in Figure 2. Rural adolescents presented lower prevalence of T and O, while urban adolescents showed a lower prevalence of O and a higher percentage of participants in NW.
Figure 2. Classification of adolescents according to their BMI. T = Thinness; NW = Normal-weight; OW = Overweight; O = Obese.

No significant differences were found in the FM% and FFM% among adolescents in both areas. However, once the FM and FMM were corrected for the participants’ height, rural residents presented higher values of FMI in the trunk, $F(1,453) = 4.06, p = 0.045, \eta^2= 0.01$ and FFMI in total body, arms and trunk, $F(1,453) = 7.04, p = 0.008, \eta^2= 0.02$; $F(1,453) = 20.53, p < 0.001, \eta^2= 0.04$ and $F(1,453) = 22.29, p < 0.001, \eta^2= 0.05$.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and comparisons of anthropometry and body composition (BC) in rural and urban adolescents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M ± SD</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>211</td>
<td>162.42 ± 0.47</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>163.09 ± 0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>59.73 ± 0.91</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>57.83 ± 0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI for age (z-score)</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.09</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.08*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.00</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.00*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>27.15 ± 0.58</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>26.00 ± 0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMI (FM/height²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>6.39 ± 0.21</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>5.99 ± 0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMI arms</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.03</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMI trunk</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>3.21 ± 0.12</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.11*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMI legs</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Free Mass (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>72.85 ± 0.58</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>74.00 ± 0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMI (FFM/height²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>16.14 ± 0.13</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>15.67 ± 0.12*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMI arms</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.02</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.02*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMI trunk</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>7.31 ± 0.06</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.06*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMI legs</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BMI = Body Mass Index; WHR = Waist-Hip Ratio; FMI = Fat Mass Index; FFMI = Fat Free Mass Index. *Significant differences between rural vs. urban areas (p<0.05).
Analyzing these results by sex, it was found that the WHR and FM in trunk are higher only in women from rural areas compared to women from urban areas, $F(1,255) = 8.95, p = 0.003, \eta^2 = 0.03$ and $F(1,254) = 4.12, p = 0.043, \eta^2 = 0.02$, respectively. Total FFMI was only higher in men from rural areas than those in urban areas, $F(1,197) = 5.85, p = 0.016, \eta^2 = 0.03$ (Table S1).

Results show that all significant differences found between both groups in anthropometry and BC present a small effect size.

3.2. Physical Fitness.

Regarding the muscular fitness of Mexican adolescents (Table 2), rural residents presented higher values in the handgrip test, $F(1,427) = 19.51, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.04$, but no differences were found in the standing long jump. Urban residents obtained better results in muscle power (SJ test) and muscle power plus elastic component of the muscles and coordination capacity (ABA test), $F(1,430) = 6.98, p = 0.009, \eta^2 = 0.02$; $F(1,432) = 6.43, p = 0.018, \eta^2 = 0.02$, respectively. They also scored better in the assessment of speed-agility (4x10m shuttle run test), $F(1,430) = 24.65, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.05$ and flexibility (BSSR test), $F(1,432) = 31.29, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.07$. No significant differences were found in CRF, VO$_{2max}$ estimated by Léger through 20m shuttle run test.

Results on all PF tests had a small effect size except for the flexibility test, where the effect size was found to be moderate.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics and results of physical fitness ANCOVAs in rural and urban adolescents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip (kg)$^1$</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing long jump (cm)</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJ (cm)</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ (cm)</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA (cm)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO$_{2max}$ (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4x10m shuttle run test</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSSR (cm)$^1$</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SJ = Squat Jump; CMJ = Counter Movement Jump; ABA = Abalakov Jump; VO$_{2max}$ = maximal oxygen consumption; BSSR = Back-saber sit and reach. $^1$Handgrip and BSSR are expressed as mean of right and left side. *Significant differences between rural vs. urban areas ($p<0.05$).

3.3. Physical Activity and Sedentary Time.

Significant differences were found in the values of daily PA between both groups with a moderate effect size (Table 3). Adolescents from the urban area spent a greater percentage of time in sedentary activities than those in the rural area, $F(1,236) = 28.72, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.11$. Rural adolescents spent significantly more time than urban adolescents in light, moderate, vigorous and moderate-to-vigorous PA, $F(1,236) = 19.63, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.08$; $F(1,236) = 15.43, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.06$ and $F(1,236) = 16.40, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.06$, respectively.
Table 3. Descriptive statistics and results of Physical Activity (PA) and Sedentary Time (ST) ANCOVAs in rural and urban adolescents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>M ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST (%)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>68.24 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light PA (%)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>21.49 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate PA (%)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6.01 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous PA (%)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>4.26 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA (%)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>10.28 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA (min/day)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>77.05 ± 2.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: MVPA = Moderate to Vigorous PA. *Significant differences between rural vs. urban areas (p<0.05).

Figure 3 shows a double stratification of living area and body composition categories. Thinness + Normal weight (T + NW) category presented the lowest prevalence of recommended guidelines accomplishment, specially participants from the urban area (53.6%). OW urban adolescents shown the highest prevalence of recommended guidelines accomplishment (68.4%). Adolescents included in the category T + NW presented the lowest gap between adolescents from the two analyzed areas (5.8%). While in OW category, urban youth had higher prevalence of recommended guidelines, in the rest of categories adolescents from the urban area represented higher prevalence in comparison to rural adolescents.

Figure 3. Prevalence of meeting physical activity international recommendations on PA for adolescents according to their BMI. T + NW = Thinness + Normal-weight; OW = Overweight; O = Obese.

3.4. Dietary Intake.

No significant differences were found in total caloric intake or the intake of the different nutrients represented in Table 4 among adolescents in rural and urban areas. Daily caloric expenditure was higher in rural adolescents due to higher levels of PA, F(1,235) = 10.81, p = 0.001, \( \eta^2 = 0.04 \).

Table 4. Descriptive statistics and comparisons of Dietary Intake (DI) and caloric expenditure in rural and urban adolescents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>M ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (g)</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>266.36 ± 6.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

The aim of the present study was to compare different health indicators among rural and urban adolescents in the state of Jalisco (Mexico). Our results suggest that urban Mexican adolescents present a generally higher fitness and lower fatness profile (small effect size) and higher sedentary behavior (moderate effect size) than their rural peers. It has also been observed that rural adolescents spend more time in PA (small effect size) in comparison to their urban counterparts.

In line with what has been observed in the ENSANUT survey, we observed higher obesity and overweight scores, consistently shown by BMI z-scores, WHR and bioimpedance measurements in those adolescents living in rural areas, although it has to be noted that the effect size of this difference was small. Similarly to the results shown in the last ENSANUT survey, we find a prevalence of overweight and obesity higher than 30% in adolescents. This indicates the scope of this pandemic within the country and how serious it is in Mexico and Latin America [33]. The incidence of overweight and obesity in Mexico, as a whole, has not suffered significant increases between the National Health Surveys conducted in 2012 and 2016, except in the country’s rural areas, where it has been exacerbated. A change has been observed in the evolution of overweight and obesity in regions with a higher socioeconomic level compared to more vulnerable regions and poorer households [34], which would explain the differences found in BC between rural and urban adolescents.

Urban adolescents participating in the current study show lower indexes (BMI z-score and WHR) than those from rural areas. To this effect, there is a higher prevalence of overweight participants in the rural area although the corrected indicator of FM showed higher values of FM in the trunk and higher values of FFM, FFM in arms and trunk compared to their urban counterparts. Previous studies comparing children from rural vs. urban areas developed in other countries confirm the current results [35].

Results from PF indicators reveal that rural participants, who have a higher level of BMI and FFMI in arms, present higher values in handgrip strength. This is consistent with previous results that showed a positive correlation between BMI and handgrip strength in young Brazilian adults [36]. Moreover, high levels of muscular strength have been related to a more active lifestyle in Chilean children [37]. However, due to their higher weight, participants from rural areas might have more difficulties to move their body and this could be the reason for a lower level of muscle power, coordination capacity and speed in comparison to those from urban areas. This can be explained, in part, by a better infrastructure and social conditions in urban areas, having easier access to fitness and sports facilities [38] as well as having more opportunities to organize sport activities than young people in rural areas, in Spain [39], for instance. In addition, it has been observed that, in Ecuador, urban schools have more trained physical education teachers who are able to arrange a variety of sport activities and a more complete physical education program than rural schools, which count on less specialized teachers [40].

It should be noted that there are many contradictory results in literature indicating that better PF is more prevalent in adolescents in rural areas. In accordance with our results, measurements in Ecuador and Mexico [40,41] found that adolescents in urban areas had better results in muscle strength, speed agility, CRF and explosive power than their rural counterparts. Nonetheless, contrary results have been found in Spain [39], where young people living in rural areas had a higher score in those abilities. Our results show similar findings that a research carried out in Spain [39], where urban
adolescents scored better in flexibility compared to their rural peers, which can be explained by an easier access to sports facilities.

Due to the inconsistency of results found in literature and the small effect size of these variables in the present investigation, clear conclusions cannot be drawn and attention must be paid when comparing results. As shown in other studies, these results could be influenced by the human development index [42]. For instance, there may be cultural differences among the studies, variations on the definition of urban and rural cultural environments as well as differences to evaluate physical abilities in each country.

A systematic review [43] about CRF shows the importance of this indicator in the future health of this population. According to this study [5], both groups assessed obtained CRF values that classify as very weak. A higher CRF was associated with future lower Cardiovascular Disease risk factors [43], so increasing CRF values should be a priority.

Regarding PA and ST, different evidences could justify the observed results. PA in developing countries like Mexico is associated with “active living”: active transportation, work and household tasks, especially in rural areas. Nevertheless, urban areas in Latin America are affected by crime, traffic insecurity and a lower availability of parks and open spaces, reducing the PA of residents in these areas [44]. Rural youth spend less time in front of the computer, video game or screens, as well as sitting down [45], which could explain the lower values of sedentary time. Likewise, the active life in rural adolescence is associated with more physical labor as agriculture and handiwork or household chores [15].

Regarding the prevalence of minimum recommendations on PA accomplishment, the results found were similar to those reported by the latest National Health and Nutrition Survey 2016 in Mexico [46], where 39.5% (male: 30.1%; female: 48.8%) of adolescents did not meet the recommendations. When these data were related to the classification of adolescents as T, NW, OW and O, the results found were contradictory. In general, a higher percentage of rural participants follow the recommendations, which can be explained by active commuting to school, more common in rural Mexican areas [47]. However, a higher percentage of urban adolescents with OW practice 60 minutes of PA per day. This could be related to greater access to sports activities [45,48] and a greater support/monitoring of parents in the healthy behavior of their children in urban areas than in rural areas [49]. The smaller number of adolescents classified as OW and O, or the differences of sex and age could distort the results found.

Dietary patterns presented no statistical differences between groups probably due to the change in dietary habits around the world. Eating trends are changing and they are expected to keep changing in the upcoming decades. There is a general trend towards increases in the prevalence of obesity with economic development: fresh fruit and vegetables are becoming increasingly expensive in emerging economies while many processed foods are getting cheaper. In the last decades, fast food chains and poor-quality street food have become more accessible in the smallest and the furthest corners of the world. Over-consumption is rapidly moving to developing countries and rural areas [50]. According to Rivera et al. [33] higher prevalence of OW and O in Latin America might be explained by socioeconomic differences, and consumption of more industrially prepared foods than some other regions, such as sub-Saharan Africa and south Asia. In addition, the high socioeconomic level is more related to a healthy diet than the area of residence [51]. Other studies emphasize that the difference between the diet of rural and urban areas is in the lower consumption of junk food and more traditional food consumption [52]. The fact that there are no differences in the DI of both groups could be explained due to the increase of fast-food trends in rural areas of Mexico. To analyze the differences between rural and urban adolescents, we will have to take into account the socioeconomic status of each family and the advancement of fast food in Mexico and, therefore, analyze not only the macronutrient intake of rural and urban adolescents, but also their eating patterns.

A strength of the present study was the strict standardization of the fieldwork by using a methodological of the HELENA study [17]. An additional advantage of the study was the use of a food photo album and nutritional software created specifically for Mexican population and the use of accelerometry to measure ST and PA. Most studies carried out in Mexico evaluate these variables...
through questionnaires of PA [9,33,37,47,53]. As a limitation, the limited availability in time and quantity of accelerometers decreased the sample of this variable. Only a subsample of 240 adolescents was evaluated and 66.25% of the sample was rural population. The daily activity of schools made difficult measuring all adolescents with field tests. We had to adapt to the normal operation of each school and it was not possible to get 100% of evaluations on every single field test.

5. Conclusions

Results from our study showed that rural Mexican adolescents accumulate less ST and more time in all intensities of PA throughout the day. Urban adolescents have a healthier BC than their rural counterparts. They obtain lower values of FM in trunk, BMI and WHR and better results in the explosive-strength, speed-agility and flexibility tests. However, rural adolescents presented higher values in FFM in arms and trunk, which could influence the higher result in the hand grip test. Except for PA, the differences found have a small effect and differences in PA did not explain differences in BC between urban and rural adolescents and we found no differences in DI that could help clarify it. Additional studies are needed to explain if the origin of the nutrients and the eating patterns of rural and urban adolescents can demonstrate the differences found in BC and PA. Expanding knowledge about health-related factors could help the design of better public health strategies.

This evidence is important given that it can help guide future public health initiatives aimed at battling childhood obesity and sedentarism in Mexico. Research involving larger, more representative population samples is required to further explore these associations in Mexican children.

Supplementary Materials: Table S1: Descriptive statistics and results ANCOVAs in rural and urban adolescents by sex.
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