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Abstract

Objective:
We tested a model of individual health literacy, information sharing with family members, personal preventive behaviours and family well-being during COVID-19 pandemic in Hong Kong.

Methods:
We analysed data of 1501 randomly selected Chinese adults from a cross-sectional survey in Hong Kong from 9 to 23 April 2020. Individual health literacy, COVID-19 information sharing with family members, preventive behaviours against COVID-19 and family well-being were measured. Structural equation modelling analysis tested the proposed model.

Findings:
COVID-19 information sharing with family members partially mediated the association between individual health literacy and personal preventive behaviours. The direct effect of .24 was shown and indirect effect through COVID-19 information sharing with family members was small with .03 (Z = 3.66, p < .001). Family well-being was associated with personal preventive behaviours against COVID-19. The model was adjusted for sex, age, and socioeconomic status factors and had good fit with RMSEA = .04, CFI = .98, TLI = .96, and SRMR = .02.

Conclusion:
COVID-19 information sharing with family members was a partial mediator between individual health literacy and personal preventive behaviours against COVID-19. Strategies for enhancing health literacy and preventive measures against COVID-19 are needed to promote family well-being in the pandemic.
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Introduction

To fight against the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, the public’s health literacy and engagement in preventive measures, and vigilance about the disease and infection control are essential [1]. Health literacy refers to the ability of people to access, understand and use information to make decisions related to their health [2]. It is an individual autonomous set of knowledge and one with adequate level of health literacy can take the responsibility for one's own and family health [3]. Health literacy, in the context of COVID-19, includes the knowledge and awareness of disease and preventive behaviours such as performing hand hygiene, use of face mask, social distancing, traveling restriction, and sanitation.

Previous studies showed the association between health literacy and health behaviours including healthy lifestyles, vaccination and cervical cancer screening [4-6]. During the COVID-19 outbreak, personal preventive behaviours are positive mitigation measures. As people were instructed to stay at home to minimise the chances of getting infection or spreading to others, family support and resources were particularly influential for enhancing health literacy and preventive behaviours against COVID-19. According to Bowen Family Systems Theory [7], family is a collection of people who are integrated, interacted and interdependent with each other. Each family system has its boundary that is selectively permeable to items, including COVID-19 information, entering or leaving the system. Therefore, information sharing in families should contribute to personal preventive behaviours. However, we found some reports on COVID-19 information sharing on social media [8, 9] but no reports on the COVID-19 information sharing among family members in enhancing personal preventive behaviours.
Bowen Family Systems Theory [7] also can add to our understanding on the coping of families during COVID-19 pandemic. The pandemic is a crisis for everyone, hence, the family system would face disequilibrium [10]. The imbalance may consume a large amount of energy for individual family member to cope with. During the transition, family well-being can be enhanced or decreased [10]. In the Chinese context, our previous qualitative study in Hong Kong showed that family well-being consisted of mainly family harmony, which would lead to family health and happiness [11]. Also, our subsequent quantitative study found that core elements of family well-being were family health, harmony, and happiness [12]. We found no report on how the pandemic affect family well-being.

Hence, we investigated the aforementioned relations by testing a structured model. We examined (1) the mediating role of COVID-19 information sharing in the family between individual health literacy and personal preventive behaviours and (2) whether the coping process with personal preventive behaviours was associated with family’s well-being [13]. (Figure 1). The model was adjusted for sex, age and socioeconomic factors which were the potential confounders.

Methods

Respondents and setting

We used data from a population-based cross-sectional COVID-19 Health Information Survey (CoVHInS) conducted in Hong Kong from 9 to 23 April 2020, during the period of second wave of COVID-19 due to imported cases from Hong Kong returners. 1035 cases were confirmed and 4 deaths by April 23. At that moment, the Government tightened the anti-epidemic regulations, including, for examples, closing bars, closing schools and implementing stringent travel
restrictions. Hong Kong residents aged 18 or older and able to speak in Cantonese, Mandarin or English were eligible.

**Measurements**

**Health literacy about COVID-19**

Individual health literacy about COVID-19 was assessed by four domains: (1) knowledge of COVID-19 (4 items), (2) awareness of COVID-19 (2 items), (3) knowledge about personal preventive behaviours to prevent COVID-19 (1 item), and (4) knowledge about health seeking behaviours after infection (1 item). The items were extracted from the questionnaire in World Health Organization (WHO) Risk Communication and Community Engagement (RCCE) Action Plan Guidance for COVID-19 preparedness and response [14].

A correct answer was given a score of one point, and any false or unknown answer was given a score of zero. The total health literacy score could range from 0 to 56, with higher scores indicating better knowledge about COVID-19.

**Personal preventive behaviours**

We also assessed the number of personal preventive behaviours against COVID-19 including hand hygiene before eating and after toileting, washing hands with alcohol-based sanitizer, wearing surgical masks or fabric masks when going out, adding water/bleach to household drainage system, keeping social distance from people in public areas (e.g. 1.5 metres), reducing social contact with relatives/friends/neighbours, and using alcohol/bleach to clean daily necessities. Participants were asked to respond by using a 4-likert scale (0=never, 1=seldom, 2=sometimes and 3=often). The total scores were the sum of all items range 0-27.
COVID-19 information sharing with family members

One item assessed the frequency of sharing information about COVID-19 with family members in the past 3 months, by using a 5-likert scale (1=never, 2= occasionally, 3= sometimes and 4=often).

Family well-being

Family well-being was assessed using family health, harmony, and happiness (3H), which were shown to be the core elements of family well-being in Hong Kong [12]. The three questions were “Do you think your family is healthy/harmonious/ happy?” with responses from 0 to 10 points. The higher the composite score, the better the family well-being.

Demographics and socioeconomic status

Information on demographic characteristics such as sex, age and socioeconomic status (SES) including education attainment, employment status, personal income, type of housing, and receiving social security assistance indicating poverty, was collected.

Data collection procedures

Data were collected using telephone survey and online survey via text message invitations. The telephone interviews were conducted by experienced interviewers using a web-based Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) system. A list of randomly generated landline telephone numbers with a geographically representative sample in Hong Kong was obtained via the system. All numbers were called up to 5 times before confirmation of unsuccessful status. To randomise the choice of the individual participants in each household, the interviewer asked to speak to a household member who would have a birthday nearest to the interview date. Informed consent was provided to the sampled residents prior to the interviews. Of 816 successful telephone number
sampled, 500 eligible residents completed the telephone interviews (response rate 61.3%). On-site supervision and quality-control measures were implemented to ensure data quality.

To expedite the recruitment process, the online survey was conducted from a panel of residents’ database by sending text message invitations to a randomly listed mobile phone numbers generated through the Numbering Plan for Telecommunication Services in Hong Kong provided by the Office of the Communications Authority (OFCA), with 100,790 residents covering diverse socio-economic backgrounds who agreed to be members of the panel. Before commencing the survey, text messages for invitation were sent to the selected panel members. After obtaining informed consent, they were provided an online self-administered questionnaire. Using stratified random sampling by sex and age, 1623 invitation text messages were sent, and 1,001 eligible residents completed the online questionnaire (response rate 61.7%).

Data analysis

The data were weighted by sex, age and education to compensate for disparities between the sample and the general population aged 18 or older in Hong Kong by using data from Census and Statistics Department of Hong Kong. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the characteristics of sample and personal preventive behaviours. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were checked on all relevant variables. To test the proposed model, structural equation models (SEM) were performed to test the causal assumptions derived from the proposed model (Figure 1) by using Mplus Version 7.4 (Muthén & Muthén, 1998–2015). It enables incorporation of measured and latent variables to be analysed in the same mode without problems of multi-collinearity. Specifically, in Model A, SEM examined the pathway between individual health literacy and personal preventive behaviours via COVID-19 information sharing with family members according to the procedures described in Preacher and Hayes [15]. In Model B, confounding
variables including sex, age and SES variables were added into Model A to further assess the results. In Model C, we tested whether personal preventive behaviours were positively associated with family well-being as the outcome with the adjustment of sex, age and SES variables. As the normality of our sampling distribution of the total and specific indirect effects was unknown, bootstrapping of size 10,000 was used for estimating the indirect effects.

The adequacy of our hypothesized SEM models was evaluated by examining the overall goodness-of-fit of the models, including root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA) being smaller than .06, the Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) larger than .95, and the standardized root mean squared residual (SRMR) smaller than .05 [16]. Moreover, Sobel test was used to assess the significance of indirect effects [15]. The direction and size of the indirect effects of the mediation analysis were also assessed. All statistical tests were 2-sided. A p-value of less than .05 will be considered statistically significant.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (UW-20-238). We followed STROBE guidelines in reporting.

Results

Participant characteristics

Table 1 shows that, of 1,501 respondents, 47.8% were male and 27.8% aged 60 or above, 76.8% had secondary or tertiary education, 66.1% were married/cohabiting, 37.9% was living in public housing, and 62.9% were currently employed.
The mean score of health literacy on COVID-19 was 38.35 out of 56 (SD=4.90). In general, the respondents had quite high score of personal preventive behaviours (mean=20.30 out of 27, SD=3.74). Washing hands before eating (74.5%) and after using the toilet (90.4%) and wearing a surgical mask when going out (82.9%) were the top three often performed behaviours (Figure 2). Among all behaviours, wearing a fabric mask when going out (17.7%) was the least practiced, but wearing any mask was 89.3%.

COVID-19 information sharing with family members was associated with individual health literacy (Pearson’s r = .28, p < .0001) and associated with personal preventive behaviours (Pearson’s r = .21, p < .0001). Also, individual health literacy was associated with personal preventive behaviours (Pearson’s r = .29, p < .0001).

**Model testing**

Table 2 shows the direct and indirect effects of the SEMs. In Model A, medium direct effect was .26 and a small indirect effect through COVID-19 information sharing with family members was shown as .04 (Z = 4.64, p < .001) between health literacy and preventive behaviours. The model had good fit with RMSEA = .00, CFI = 1.00, TLI = 1.00, and SRMR = .00. Model B, after adding sex, age and all SES variables, showed the medium direct effect of .26 and small indirect effect through COVID-19 information sharing with family members of .03 (Z = 3.90, p < .001) between health literacy and preventive behaviours. The model had marginally good fit with RMSEA = .06, CFI = .94, TLI = .78, and SRMR = .02.
Model C, after adding family well-being in Model B, showed essentially the similar results with a medium direct effect of .24 and indirect effect through COVID-19 information sharing with family members was .03 (Z = 3.66, p < .001) between health literacy and preventive behaviours (Z = 9.53, p < .001). The model had good fit with RMSEA = .04, CFI = .98, TLI = .96, and SRMR = .02.

Figure 3 shows the standardized regression coefficients (β) of the SEM of Model C. Older age (β = .22, p < .001), higher education level (β = .16, p < .001) and higher personal income (β = .10, p = .002) were associated with higher health literacy, while receiving social security assistance (β = -.17, p < .001) was negatively associated with health literacy. Being female (β = .18, p < .001) and higher personal income (β = .09, p = .005) were associated with personal preventive behaviours while both living in public housing (β = -.05, p = .04) and receiving social security assistance (β = -.10, p = .001) were negatively associated with personal preventive behaviours. Also, personal preventive behaviours were associated with family well-being as the outcome (β = .08, p = .001).

Discussion

We have first shown a model of associations of health literacy with preventive behaviours and family well-being. COVID-19 information sharing with family members partially mediated individual health literacy and personal preventive behaviours after adjusting for sex, age, and socioeconomic status and adding family well-being as the outcome of the model. The small indirect effect (.03) was significant. In a meta-analysis, among all preventive behaviours, use of masks and physical distancing were found to be effective in preventing person-to-person transmission [17]. We identified that respondents had a high percentage of wearing any kinds of mask (89.3%). Our results were similar to another population-based telephone survey in Hong Kong (n=1005) with 98.8% reported wearing face masks when leaving home in March 2020 [18]. Although use of masks was voluntary in Hong Kong at the time of data collection, the high
percentage indicated that people in Hong Kong had high level of compliance to preventive
behaviours. In addition, our model has provided the first evidence that sharing COVID-19
information with family members was associated with such preventive behaviours and with
positive family well-being which was analysed as the outcome. The relationships remained with
adjustment for sex, age and SES variables.

In our model, apart from sharing COVID-19 information with family members, we found that
female and higher personal income were associated with personal preventive behaviours while
living in public housing, which was government subsidized and low cost, and receiving social
security assistance, were negatively associated with personal preventive behaviours. Two previous
reports shared that people in low SES status were at disproportionately high risk of contracting
COVID-19 due to fewer resources for proper precautions, physical distancing and the high
prevalence of multiple chronic conditions such as hypertension and diabetes [19, 20]. Although
the pre-existing vulnerability within the families might increase susceptibility to the consequences
of the pandemic, our model has indicated that individual health literacy and sharing information
with family members could enhance preventive behaviours and family well-being. Strategies to
enhance health literacy and information sharing in family could be partially helpful for
underprivileged individuals and families under COVID-19 pandemic.

Limitations and suggestions for future studies

Our study had several limitations. First, the data were collected from a population-based survey
although it only reached those who had landlines or internet access. Weighting was done so that
the results would better reflect the population situation. Second, we used a cross-sectional design
and the SEM results, which could not confirm causation. Lastly, although our study findings
showed that information sharing with family members was associated with enhanced preventive
behaviours during the pandemic; further qualitative studies would be needed to examine the nature
and content of family communication and how these could enhance preventive behaviours.

**Implications to practice**

Our results can inform interventions on both individual health literacy and information sharing
with family members in the pandemic, particularly, for families with low income are urgently
needed in our fight against the pandemic. As females showed more preventive behaviours, health
literacy enhancement programmes should target women as many are the most significant carers in
the families and they could probably influence the male family members more efficiently.
Moreover, reinforcing family communication and health information dissemination among family
members after learning are recommended.

**Conclusion**

We have first show that COVID-19 information sharing with family members was a partial
mediator between individual health literacy and personal preventive behaviours against COVID-
19. The findings can contribute to devising strategies for promoting preventive measures against
the COVID-19 pandemic and sustainable measures in public empowerment towards epidemic
emergency.
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Table 1. Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample frame</th>
<th>Pooled (n=1501)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landline n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=500)</td>
<td>(n=1001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>208 (41.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>292 (58.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-59</td>
<td>317 (63.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 or above</td>
<td>183 (36.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabitated</td>
<td>355 (71.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried/divorced/separated/widowed</td>
<td>145 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of housing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public housing</td>
<td>204 (40.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private housing</td>
<td>296 (59.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed/student/retiree/homemaker</td>
<td>179 (35.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time work</td>
<td>46 (9.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time work</td>
<td>275 (55.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary or above</td>
<td>88 (17.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>287 (57.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary or above</td>
<td>125 (25.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly personal income</td>
<td>(US$1 = HK$7.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK$ 10,000 or less</td>
<td>187 (37.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK$ 10,001 – 20,000</td>
<td>161 (32.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK$ 20,001 – 30,000</td>
<td>86 (17.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK$ 30,001 – 40,000</td>
<td>34 (6.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK$ 40,001 – 50,000</td>
<td>16 (3.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK$ 50,001 or more</td>
<td>16 (3.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving social security assistance</td>
<td>36 (7.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Weighted by sex, age and education to the general population in Hong Kong by using data from Census and Statistics Department of Hong Kong*
Table 2. The direct and indirect effect of individual health literacy on personal preventive behaviours through COVID-19 information sharing with family members.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Indirect</th>
<th>Direct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>Product of coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model A</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model B</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model C</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SE = Standard Error; BC = Bias Corrected after Bootstrapping; CI = Confidence Interval.
Figure 1. Proposed model of health literacy preventive behaviours and family well-being
Figure 2. The number and weighted percentage of often performed personal preventive behaviours against COVID-19 (n=1501).
Figure 3. Standardized coefficients from the structural equations model (Model C) for assessing the mediating effects of COVID-19 information sharing with family members on the relationships among individual health literacy, personal preventive behaviours and family well-being.

Note: The model was adjusted for sex, age and SES variables but only arrows with significant standardized coefficients were shown (*p < .05; **p<0.01).
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