

The Hunger Games as the Key to Happily Ever After?

Jacques A. Deere ^{1,*}, Aziz Aboobaker ¹ and Roberto Salguero-Gómez ^{1,2,3}

¹ Department of Zoology, 11a Mansfield Rd, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3SZ, United Kingdom; aziz.aboobaker@zoo.ox.ac.uk (A.A.); rob.salguero@zoo.ox.ac.uk (R.S.-G.)

² Centre for Biodiversity and Conservation Science, University of Queensland, St Lucia 4072 QLD, Australia.

³ Evolutionary Demography Laboratory, Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research, Rostock 18057, Germany.

* Correspondence: jacques.deere@zoo.ox.ac.uk

This file includes

Methods

Supplementary Table 1

References

Methods

To determine the generality of the predicted beneficial effects of CR on longevity, we conducted a literature search of peer-review publications via ISI Web of Science. We used the search terms “calorie” AND “restriction” AND “longevity” and covered the period from 1900 to March 2020. In many cases (~31%), the papers from the review that provided results on experiments comparing calorie restricted diets to non-calorie restricted diets did not provide enough detail on the study outcomes other than mean values in a table or a figure. Additionally, there were studies that stated significant differences between calorie restricted and non-calorie restricted diets but did not provide significance values or confidence intervals. Thus, we were unable to perform a meta-analysis on this literature review.

For each study in the literature review, outcomes of calorie restriction on longevity were identified as ‘positive’, ‘negative’, ‘no effect’, or ‘variable’: ‘positive’ describes outcomes that extends longevity; ‘negative’ outcomes shorten longevity; ‘no effect’ outcomes describe no extension or shortening of longevity; ‘variable’ describes both extension and shortening of longevity within studies (here the general effect is unclear). We define short-lived species as species with a mean life expectancy of less than five years. Within our review, all invertebrate species have a mean life expectancy of less than one year. Only two short-lived species live longer than one year: *Mus musculus* and *Rattus norvegicus*. To obtain mean life expectancy values for these two species, we searched the PANTHERA database¹. In the case of *Mus musculus*, the only datum available was for maximum longevity (6 years). However, for *Rattus norvegicus* no data were available. As such, we identified the maximum longevity of these two species in our literature review (4.2 years for *Mus musculus*, 4.1 years for *Rattus norvegicus*) and identified short-lived species as those with a mean life expectancy of less than 5 years. We identified the effect size of the outcomes of each study in two ways: (1) where studies explicitly stated effect sizes, we used those values; (2) for studies that did not explicitly state effect sizes, we calculated effect size from the data or figures presented in those studies. Where effect size was given within a study, this was in percentage change in longevity of the CR treatment compared to the non-CR treatment. As such, in the case of studies that did not report effect size, we calculated the percentage change in longevity of the CR treatment compared to the non-CR treatment from the data or figure presented within a study where possible. In the case of five studies on yeast, where present, the effect size was in orders of magnitude as in these cases the longevity under CR treatments increased in orders of magnitude.

To determine if there were significantly more studies where the outcomes show a positive effect of calorie restriction on longevity (lifespan extension), we analysed our data using a chi-square test on studies investigating effects of CR without interaction effects. For studies focused on the

interaction of CR with other variables, we conducted a separate chi-square test to determine if there were significantly more studies showing positive effects on longevity. In both tests, where studies were not identified as having positive outcomes on longevity ('positive'), they were grouped into a single category (*i.e.*, 'negative', 'no effect' and 'variable' were combined) for the analyses. Data analyses were performed in R version 3.6.3².

Supplementary Table 1. Summary of studies from the literature search.

Studies investigating the effect of calorie restriction on longevity, result of a literature search in ISI Web of Science between YYYY and 2020. Life history pace indicates either fast-living (short-lived) or slow-living (long-lived) species. In the case of response to calorie restriction *variable* indicates positive and negative effects within studies whilst *no effect* indicates no positive or negative effect. Effect size is given in percentage (%) or orders of magnitude (10^n). CR = calorie restriction, Ref. = reference, NA = information not provided by the study. ^a Indicates studies that stated effects sizes. Where studies did not contain an estimated effect size, we calculated effect size from the studies' available data and figures when possible.

Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history pace	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min.)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
1	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	Rat	Normal/ad libitum diet and 70% CR diet	Fast	positive	38	months	n	NA	17.0%	0.01	median	Experiment	2020	3
2	<i>Danio rerio</i>	Zebrafish	Normal/ad libitum diet, 25% CR diet and 50% CR diet	Fast	positive	260	days	n	NA	43.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2020	4
3	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose); two culture methods (bottle-ageing & microplate-ageing)	Fast	variable	6	days	n	10.0 %	42.0%	<0.05	mean	Experiment	2019	5
4	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet	Fast	positive	1400	days	n	19.0 %	37.5%	0.001	median	Experiment	2019	6 ^a
5	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet	Fast	positive	31	days	n	NA	25.4%	0.001	median	Experiment	2019	7 ^a

6	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	4% glucose diet, 2% glucose diet and 0.5% glucose diet	Fast	positive	270	hours	n	13.0 %	41.0%	0.01	mean	Experiment	2019	8 ^a
7	<i>Tetranychus urticae</i>	Spider mite	Normal/ad libitum diet, 33% intermittent fasting, 50% intermittent fasting, 67% intermittent fasting	Fast	variable	60	days	y	0.0%	29.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2019	9
8	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet, 30% CR diet and single-meal feeding	Fast	positive	126	weeks	n	NA	28.0%	0.001	median	Experiment	2019	10 ^a
9	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet, 20% CR, 30% CR and one treatment switched from CR to ad libitum	Fast	positive	85	weeks	y	12.0 %	24.0%	0.001	median	Experiment	2018	11
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
10	<i>Microcebus murinus</i>	Grey mouse lemur	Normal/ad libitum diet and 30% CR diet	Slow	positive	13	years	n	NA	50.0%	0.03	median	Experiment	2018	12 ^a
11	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet(s);	Fast	positive	60	days	n	NA	80.0%	NA	mean	Meta-analysis	2018	13 ^a

extant of CR varied over studies in meta-analysis																
ID	Species	Model Organism	Dietary Condition	Experimental Type	Effectiveness	Duration	n	NA	P-value	Mean	Statistical Method	Year				
12	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet(s); extent of CR varied over studies in meta-analysis	Fast	positive	60	days	n	NA	100.0 %	NA	mean	Meta-analysis	2018	13 ^a	
13	<i>Musca domestica</i>	House fly	Normal/ad libitum diet and diets increasing in CR; Caloric content: Sucrose>Xylitol>Sorbitol>Mannitol>Truvia>Water	Fast	negative	14	days	n	NA	70.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2017	14	
14	<i>Bombyx mori</i>	Silkworm	Normal/ad libitum diet and reduced daily feeding diet (16 hours)	Fast	no effect	1800	hours	n	NA	0.0%	>0.05	mean	Experiment	2017	15	
15	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.4% glucose)	Fast	positive	25	days	n	NA	170.0 %	NA	mean	Experiment	2017	16	
16	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	15	days	n	NA	45.0 %	50.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2017	17 ^a

17	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	Rat	Normal/ad libitum diet, 60% CR diet and 90% CR diet	Fast	positive	32	months	n	15.0 %	19.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2016	18 ^a
18	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.1% glucose)	Fast	positive	14	days	n	NA	65.0%	0.005	mean	Experiment	2016	19
19	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and 30% CR diet	Fast	positive	1100	days	n	NA	50.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2016	20
20	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.2% glucose)	Fast	positive	20	days	n	NA	30.0%	0.0001	mean	Experiment	2016	21
21	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode	Normal/ad libitum diet and 1% CR diet	Fast	positive	37	days	n	NA	40.0%	0.0001	mean	Experiment	2016	22 ^a
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
22	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Multiple diets varying in protein and lipid content; total caloric content also varied through different concentrations	Fast	negative	40	days	y	NA	NA	0.01	mean	Experiment	2016	23
23	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose), CR	Fast	positive	70	days	n	22.0 %	42.0%	0.0001	mean	Experiment	2015	24 ^a

			0.5% glucose diet and CR 0.05% glucose diet										
24	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose); two culture methods (bottle-ageing & microplate-ageing)	Fast	positive	100	hours	n	NA	10 ³	0.001	mean	Experiment 2015
25	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose), CR 0.5% glucose diet and CR 0.2% glucose diet; treatments either not moved or moved to new spatial location in same diet treatment after 15 generations	Fast	variable	40	generations	y	5.0%	30.0%	0.001	median	Experiment 2015
26	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	48	generations	n	NA	17.0%	NA	mean	Experiment 2014
27	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet, 5% CR diet and 40% CR diet	Fast	positive	1450	days	n	13.0 %	32.0%	<0.05	median	Experiment 2014

Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life	CR	Stud	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min)	Effect size (max)	p-value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
				histor y speed	respons e	stud y lengt h			n	NA	10 ³	NA			
28	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose), CR 0.5% glucose diet and CR 0.05% glucose diet	Fast	no effect	50	buds	n	NA	0.0%	0.11	mean	Meta-analysis	2014	29 ^a
29	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Normal/ad libitum diet and 2% CR diet	Fast	positive	500	minutes	n	NA	46.4%	0.0001	mean	Experiment	2014	30 ^a
30	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and 70% CR diet	Fast	positive	176	weeks	n	NA	20.3%	0.0001	mean	Experiment	2014	31 ^a
31	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.4% glucose)	Fast	positive	30	days	n	NA	10 ³	NA	mean	Experiment	2013	32
32	<i>Romalea microptera</i>	Lubber grasshopper	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet; CR based on the amount ovariectomized individuals ate on ad lib diet the week before	Fast	positive	120	days	n	NA	30.0%	0.0001	mean	Experiment	2013	33
33	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	28	days	n	NA	60.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2013	34

34	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal diet (92.5 kcal per week) or CR diet (74 kcal per week)	Fast	no effect	152	weeks	n	NA	0.0%	0.39	median	Experiment	2013	35 ^a
35	<i>Macaca mulatta</i>	Rhesus monkey	Normal diet and 30% CR diet. All animals were adults when CR initiated.	Slow	no effect	40	years	n	NA	0.0%	0.93	mean	Experiment	2012	36 ^a
36	<i>Bactrocera tryoni</i>	Queensland fruit fly	Multiple diets varying in protein and lipid content; total caloric content also varied through different concentrations	Fast	no effect	80	days	y	NA	0.0%	<0.05	mean	Experiment	2012	37 ^a
37	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Normal diet and diets varying in sugar:protein content (high sugar:low protein, low sugar:high protein); total caloric concentration also varied through different	Fast	variable	85	days	y	26.3 %	40.9%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2012	38 ^a

concentrations (low and high)															
38	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	52	days	n	NA	48.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2012	39
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min.)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
39	<i>Asobara tabida</i>	Parasitoid wasp	Ad lib diets varying in sucrose concentrations (0%, 20%, 40%, 80%, or 100%); 40% concentration diet varying in feeding frequency (once, weekly, twice weekly, daily or ad lib)	Fast	variable	40	days	y	10.0 %	75.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2011	40
40	<i>Trichopria drosophilae</i>	Parasitoid wasp	Ad lib diets varying in sucrose concentrations (0%, 20%, 40%, 80% or 100%); 40% concentration	Fast	variable	90	days	y	9.0%	88.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2011	40

			diet varying in feeding frequency (once, weekly, twice weekly, daily or ad lib)											
41	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Normal diet (15% yeast) and CR diet (5% yeast)	Fast	positive	60	days	y	23.0 %	28.0%	0.000 1	mean	Experiment 1	201 41 ^a
42	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% dextrose) and CR diet (0.5% dextrose)	Fast	positive	43	days	n	NA	40.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment 1	201 42
43	<i>Brachionus plicatilis</i>	Rotifer	Normal/ad libitum diet (daily feeding) and CR diet (feeding every other day); two environments one non-stressed (no paraquat exposure) and one stressed (exposure to 10 mM paraquat). Experiment repeated with daughters from mothers on either AL or CR diet	Fast	positive	20	days	y	30.0 %	50.0%	0.000 1	mean	Experiment 1	201 43 ^a

44	<i>Podospora anserina</i>	Filamentous fungus	Normal diet (2% glucose), 0.2% glucose CR diet and 0.02% glucose CR diet	Fast	positive	100	days	n	50.0 %	800.0 %	NA	mean	Experiment	2010	44 ^a
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min.)	Effect size (max)	p-value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
45	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose), CR diet (0.5% glucose) and normal diet excluding amino acids	Fast	variable	43	generations	n	NA	64.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2010	45
46	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Normal/ad libitum diet and 50% CR diet	Fast	positive	75	days	n	NA	13.0%	0.01	mean	Experiment	2010	46
47	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	Rat	Normal/ad libitum diet and 70% CR diet	Fast	no effect	1065	days	n	NA	0.0%	0.06	mean	Experiment	2010	47 ^a
48	<i>Schizosaccharomyces pombe</i>	Fission yeast	Diets varying in glucose concentration (0.75%, 1%, 1.5%, 3% and 4%)	Fast	positive	7	days	n	NA	10 ⁴	NA	mean	Experiment	2010	48
49	<i>Macaca mulatta</i>	Rhesus monkey	Normal diet and 30% CR diet. CR initiated gradual by 10% per month for three months to reach 30%. All animals	Slow	positive	32	years	n	NA	30.0%	0.03	mean	Experiment	2009	49

			were adults when CR initiated but of varying ages (young to old)												
50	<i>Latrodectus hasselti</i>	Australian redback spider	Diets varying in caloric content; low diet one fly per week, mid diet of three flies three times per week, high diet of six flies three times per week; males fed in presence or absence of females	Fast	negative	20	days	y	33.0 %	54.0%	0.007	mean	Experiment 2009	50	
51	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal diet and CR diet; normal diet (litter size of 8 pups), CR for first 20 days of life and induced through changes in litter size (50% enlargement, 8 to 12 pups at birth)	Fast	positive	1100	days	n	NA	18.0%	0.0007	median	Experiment 2009	51 ^a	
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction n	Effect size (min)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.

52	<i>Bactrocera tryoni</i>	Queensland fruit fly	Multiple diets varying in carbohydrate:protein ratios and concentrations; additional treatment with choice of separate sucrose and yeast diets at one of five concentrations	Fast	variable	92	days	y	20.0 %	50.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2009	52
53	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Diets varying in glucose concentration (0.2%, 0.5%, 1% and 2%)	Fast	positive	35	days	n	60.0 %	90.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2009	53 ^a
54	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	50	generations	n	NA	37.0%	0.04	mean	Experiment	2009	54
55	<i>Anastrepha ludens</i>	Tephritid fruit fly	Multiple diets varying in sugar:yeast ratios and three dilution levels	Fast	no effect	100	days	y	0.0%	60.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2009	55
56	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and 30% CR diet	Fast	positive	50	months	n	12.0 %	36.0%	0.0001	median	Experiment	2009	56
57	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	24	days	n	10.0 %	50.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2009	57

58	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode	Diets varying in bacterial concentrations (gradient of concentrations)	Fast	positive	32	days	n	19.0 %	40.0%	0.000 1	mean	Experiment	200 9	58
59	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet (daily feeding) and CR diet (feeding every other day; approximately 10-15% reduction in daily food intake)	Fast	positive	1010	days	n	NA	16.0% 8	<0.00	median	Experiment	200 9	59 ^a
60	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode	Normal/ad libitum diet (bacteria, 1.5 optical density) and CR diet (bacteria, 0.5 optical density)	Fast	positive	32	days	n	NA	39.0% 1	0.000	median	Experiment	200 9	60 ^a
61	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and 70% CR diet	Fast	positive	1388	days	n	NA	42.0%	0.01	mean	Experiment	200 8	61
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction n	Effect size (min)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref .
62	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR	Fast	positive	24	hours	n	13.0 %	49.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	200 8	62

			diet (0.5% glucose)												
63	<i>Anastrepha ludens</i>	Tephritid fruit fly	Multiple diets varying in sugar:yeast ratios and varying in CR regimes (percentages of ad lib food)	Fast	variable	90	days	y	19.5 %	66.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2008	63
64	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Multiple diets varying in sugar:yeast ratios	Fast	variable	70	days	y	NA	NA	NA	mean	Experiment	2008	64
65	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and 40% CR diet	Fast	positive	131	days	n	NA	13.2%	0.024	median	Experiment	2008	65 ^a
66	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Glucose, galactose, raffinose and glycerol/ethanol diets varying in concentration from 0.2-3.0%	Fast	variable	36	hours	n	40.0 %	100.0 %	0.04	mean	Experiment	2008	66
67	<i>Kluyveromyces lactis</i>	Yeast	Glucose, galactose, raffinose and glycerol/ethanol diets varying in concentration from 0.2-3.0%	Fast	negative	36	hours	n	40.0 %	95.0%	0.04	mean	Experiment	2008	66
68	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR	Fast	positive	150	hours	n	10 ²	10 ³	NA	mean	Experiment	2008	67

					diet (0.5% glucose)										
69	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode			Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet (bacterial deprivation), individuals moved from normal diet to CR diet (and vice versa) at different ages; treatments initiated at two temperatures (20°C and 38°C)	Fast	positive	54	days	y	14.0 %	70.0% 1	0.000 mean	Experiment 200 8 68	
70	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode			Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet (bacterial deprivation after 4th day of adulthood)	Fast	positive	44	days	n	23.0 %	51.0% 1	0.000 mean	Experiment 200 8 69 ^a	
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction n	Effect size (min.)	Effect size (max)	p-value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
71	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet (bacterial deprivation after	Fast	variable	71	days	n	4.0%	41.0%	0.000 1	0.73-	mean	Experiment 200 8	69 ^a

4th day of adulthood)														
72	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode	Multiple diets varying in glucose concentration (0.05%, 0.5%, 1%, 2%, 10% and 20%)	Fast	positive	36	days	n	15.0 %	80.0%	NA	mean	Experiment 2008	70
73	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	Rat	Normal/ad libitum diet and 30% CR diet	Fast	positive	215	weeks	n	0.0%	22.7%	<0.05	mean	Experiment 2008	71 ^a
74	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2%) and CR diet (0.5%) using glucose and 9 alternative monosaccharide carbon sources	Fast	positive	25	days	n	NA	10 ⁵	NA	mean	Experiment 2007	72
75	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode	Normal/ad libitum diet of live bacteria and CR diet of growth-arrested bacteria	Fast	positive	40	days	n	NA	31.0%	0.0001	mean	Experiment 2007	73
76	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and 40% CR diet	Fast	positive	48	months	n	NA	24.0%	0.0001	median	Experiment 2007	74 ^a
77	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Several diets increasing in concentration (concentrations differed for each	Fast	variable	75	days	n	10.0 %	40.0%	0.0001	median	Experiment 2007	75

<p>diet, all were increasing from an initial low, CR, concentration); diets were sugar, agar and several yeast options with various quality</p>															
78	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	55	generations	n	NA	23.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2007	76
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min.)	Effect size (max)	p-value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
79	<i>Elosa worallii</i>	Rotifer	Normal/ad lib diet (1.5mg C/l) and CR diet (0.15mg C/l); CR diets applied for different lengths of time (days) with one permanent CR diet	Fast	positive	26	days	y	0.0%	47.0%	0.01	median	Experiment	2007	77 ^a
80	<i>Cephalodella acidophila</i>	Rotifer	Normal/ad lib diet (1.5mg C/) and CR diet (1.5mg C/)	Fast	negative	8	days	n	NA	56.0%	0.001	median	Experiment	2007	77 ^a

81	<i>Podospora anserina</i>	Filamentous fungus	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet (100-fold reduction in glucose); fungus with plasmid strain and fungus without plasmid strain given diets	Fast	variable	700	hours	y	10.0 %	45.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2007	78
82	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Diets varying in glucose concentration (0.05%, 0.5% and 2%)	Fast	positive	50	generations	n	10.0 %	30.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2007	79 ^a
83	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Normal diet (15% glucose) and CR diet (5% glucose)	Fast	no effect	91	days	n	NA	0.0%	>0.05	mean	Experiment	2007	80 ^a
84	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	4	days	n	NA	40.0%	<0.05	mean	Experiment	2007	81
85	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	15	days	n	80.0 %	90.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2007	82
86	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	Nematode	Normal/ad libitum diet, 90% CR diet and absence of food diet; absence of	Fast	positive	50	days	y	20.0 %	50.0%	NA	median	Experiment	2006	83 ^a

food initiated at various ages															
87	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Diets varying in glucose concentration (0.005%, 0.05%, 0.5% and 2%)	Fast	positive	70	generations	n	10.0 %	19.5%	0.000 1	mean	Experiment	200 6	84
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
88	<i>Romalea microptera</i>	Lubber grasshopper	Normal/ad libitum diet, 40% CR diet and 30% CR diet; additional time diet (late onset CR diet), ad lib food for first 49 days then 40% CR until death	Fast	positive	150	days	y	60.0 %	98.0%	0.000 1	median	Experiment	200 6	85 ^a
89	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and 30% CR diet; gradual 30% CR by receiving 90% of ad lib diet in initial week, 80% in second week and 70% throughout the rest of the study.	Fast	positive	1382	days	n	19.0 %	25.0%	<0.05	median	Experiment	200 6	86 ^a

90	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Multiple diets varying in yeast concentration (1%, 2%, 4%, 8 and 16%)	Fast	positive	68	days	n	50.0 %	67.0%	0.000 1	median	Experiment	200 6	87
91	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Several diets increasing in concentration; brewer's yeast, sucrose, agar and tegosept, 0.5X, 1X, 1.5X and 3X concentrations	Fast	positive	100	days	n	42.0 %	121.0 %	0.000 1	median	Experiment	200 5	88 ^a
92	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and 40% CR diet; individual caged singly or multiply (4 individuals)	Fast	positive	1460	days	y	17.0 %	22.0%	0.001	median	Experiment	200 5	89 ^a
93	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.05% glucose)	Fast	positive	60	generations	n	16.0 %	21.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	200 5	90
94	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet; for CR diet the first 3 days was 2% glucose medium followed by starvation	Fast	positive	40	generations	n	NA	170.0 %	NA	mean	Experiment	200 5	91

95	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.05% glucose)	Fast	positive	60	generations	n	29.0 %	52.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2005	92
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
96	<i>Ceratitis capitata</i>	Mediterranean fruit fly	Multiple diets varying in yeast concentration (0%, 1%, 1.96%, 4.76%, 5%, 7.7% and 25%). Diets given to virgin and mated males and females	Fast	variable	60	days	y	0.0%	33.0%	0.0001	median	Experiment	2005	93
97	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	60	generations	n	16.0 %	48.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2005	94
98	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Diets of yeast:sugar medium; diets varied in yeast and sugar concentration (low and high)	Fast	variable	65	days	y	8.7%	82.6%	0.0001	median	Experiment	2005	95 ^a
99	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	Rat	Ad lib diet and varying calorie diets (high and low) with two feeding regimes;	Fast	positive	138	weeks	y	6.67 %	9.96%	0.001	mean	Experiment	2005	96 ^a

			constant regime was either high and low calorie diets, switched regimes were moving from ad lib to high an low diets											
100	<i>Ceratitis capitata</i>	Mediterranean fruit fly	High quality and low quality diets with animals switching between diets with various probabilities and spending time on each diet for various lengths of time during lifetime	Fast	variable	80	days	y	41.0 %	57.0%	0.000 1	mean	Experiment 5	200 97
101	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.1% glucose); at a certain density, for both diets, transferred to water	Fast	negative	11	days	y	NA	60.0%	NA	mean	Experiment 5	200 98
102	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	4	days	n	NA	NA	NA	mean	Experiment 4	200 99

Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min.)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref.
103	<i>Podospora anserina</i>	Filamentous fungus	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet (100-fold reduction in glucose); fungus with plasmid strain and fungus without plasmid strain given diets	Fast	variable	1500	hours	y	2.0%	400.0 %	0.0001	mean	Experiment	2004	100 ^a
104	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Diets varying in glucose concentration (0.05%, 0.1%, 0.5% and 2%)	Fast	positive	60	generations	n	15.0 %	25.0%	<0.05	mean	Experiment	2004	101 ^a
105	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	60	days	n	NA	85.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2004	102
106	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Normal/ad libitum diet and 50% CR diet. Constant diet regime given to fully mated and once-mated females; switched diet regime (starting	Fast	positive	80	days	y	36.0 %	63.0%	0.0001	median	Experiment	2004	103 ^a

on one then switching to another for both diets) given to once-mated females															
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life	CR	Stud	Study	Effect	Effect	Longevit	Manuscri	Date	Ref.		
				histor	respons	stud	length	Interaction	size (min)	size (max)	p - value				
107	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet; gradual CR by receiving 20% CR for first month then 41% CR thereafter, CR initiated at 14 months.	Fast	positive	50	months	n	NA	13.0%	0.000 1	mean	Experiment	200 4	104 a
108	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet; gradual CR by receiving 17% CR for first month then 44% CR thereafter, CR initiated at 19 months.	Fast	positive	46	months	n	NA	15.0%	0.000 1	mean	Experiment	200 4	105
109	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	50	generations	n	NA	30.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	200 4	106 a

110	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Diets of yeast:sugar medium; diets varied in yeast and sugar concentration (low and high)	Fast	variable	50	days	y	19.0 %	67.0%	0.000 1	mean	Experiment	200 3	107
111	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	55	generations	n	NA	51.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	200 3	108
112	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	Rat	Normal/ad libitum diet and 40% CR diet; CR initiated at 6 weeks old.	Fast	positive	1200	days	n	NA	25.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	200 3	109
113	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	55	generations	n	NA	26.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	200 2	110
114	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad lib diet, 40% CR diet and CR fasting diet; on CR fasting diet individuals were on ad lib diet and fasted one day a week; CR initiated at 10 months old.	Fast	positive	96	weeks	n	14.0 %	24.0%	0.001	mean	Experiment	200 2	111 ^a

115	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	Fruit fly	Normal/ad libitum diet and 66% CR diet	Fast	positive	78	days	n	NA	82.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2002	112
116	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad libitum diet and CR diet; CR initiated through gradual food reduction to achieve body weight of 60-70% of ad lib cohort, CR initiated at 12 weeks of age	Fast	positive	78	weeks	n	15.7 %	63.5%	0.02	mean	Experiment	2002	113 ^a
117	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Yeast	Normal diet (2% glucose) and CR diet (0.5% glucose)	Fast	positive	50	generations	n	NA	24.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	2000	114
118	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad lib diet and 40% CR diet; CR initiated at 4 months old.	Fast	positive	45	months	n	NA	43.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	1994	115 ^a
Study	Scientific name	Common name	Treatment	Life history speed	CR response	Study length	Study length units	Interaction	Effect size (min)	Effect size (max)	p - value	Longevity measure	Manuscript type	Date	Ref .
119	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	Normal/ad lib diet and CR diet; CR initiated gradually, first two months 20% CR after which 40% CR; CR	Fast	positive	32	months	n	NA	9.0%	NA	mean	Experiment	1993	116

120	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Mouse	initiated at 14 months old.	Normal/ad lib diet and CR diet; CR diet had 50% lipids and 35% carbohydrates replaced by fibre, estimated CR of 12-22%	Fast	positive	32	months	n	NA	55.5%	NA	mean	Experiment	199 1	117

References

1. Jones, K. E. *et al.* PanTHERIA: a species-level database of life history, ecology, and geography of extant and recently extinct mammals. *Ecology* **90**, 2648–2648 (2009).
2. R Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2020).
3. Ma, S. *et al.* Caloric restriction reprograms the single-cell transcriptional landscape of *Rattus norvegicus* aging. *Cell* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.008.
4. Li, C. *et al.* celsr1a is essential for tissue homeostasis and onset of aging phenotypes in the zebrafish. *eLife* **9**, e50523 (2020).
5. Kwong, M. M. Y. *et al.* Comparison of microplate- and bottle-based methods to age yeast for chronological life span assays. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **167**, 105743 (2019).
6. Yu, D. *et al.* Calorie-restriction-induced insulin sensitivity Is mediated by adipose mTORC2 and not required for lifespan extension. *Cell Rep.* **29**, 236-248.e3 (2019).
7. Hahm, J.-H., Jeong, C. & Nam, H. G. Diet restriction-induced healthy aging is mediated through the immune signaling component ZIP-2 in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Aging Cell* **18**, e12982 (2019).
8. Maslanka, R. & Zadrag-Tecza, R. Less is more or more is less: Implications of glucose metabolism in the regulation of the reproductive potential and total lifespan of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **234**, 17622–17638 (2019).
9. Li, G.-Y. & Zhang, Z.-Q. The sex- and duration-dependent effects of intermittent fasting on lifespan and reproduction of spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *Front. Zool.* **16**, 10 (2019).
10. Mitchell, S. J. *et al.* Daily fasting improves health and survival in male mice independent of diet composition and calories. *Cell Metab.* **29**, 221-228.e3 (2019).
11. Smith Jr., D. L. *et al.* Weight cycling increases longevity compared with sustained obesity in mice. *Obesity* **26**, 1733–1739 (2018).
12. Pifferi, F. *et al.* Caloric restriction increases lifespan but affects brain integrity in grey mouse lemur primates. *Commun. Biol.* **1**, 1–8 (2018).
13. Liang, Y. *et al.* Calorie restriction is the most reasonable anti-ageing intervention: a meta-analysis of survival curves. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 5779 (2018).
14. Fisher, M. L., Fowler, F. E., Denning, S. S. & Watson, D. W. Survival of the house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) on truvia and other sweeteners. *J. Med. Entomol.* **54**, 999–1005 (2017).
15. Pan, Y. *et al.* Comparative transcriptomic analysis of *Bombyx mori* fat body tissue following dietary restriction. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* **95**, e21388 (2017).
16. Seo, A. Y. *et al.* AMPK and vacuole-associated Atg14p orchestrate μ-lipophagy for energy production and long-term survival under glucose starvation. *eLife* **6**, e21690 (2017).
17. Kazi, R. S. *et al.* Glycation inhibitors extend yeast chronological lifespan by reducing advanced glycation end products and by back regulation of proteins involved in mitochondrial respiration. *J. Proteomics* **156**, 104–112 (2017).
18. Richardson, A., Austad, S. N., Ikeno, Y., Unnikrishnan, A. & McCarter, R. J. Significant life extension by ten percent dietary restriction. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1363**, 11–17 (2016).
19. Chadwick, S. R. *et al.* A toolbox for rapid quantitative assessment of chronological lifespan and survival in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Traffic* **17**, 689–703 (2016).
20. Patel, S. A., Chaudhari, A., Gupta, R., Velingkaar, N. & Kondratov, R. V. Circadian clocks govern calorie restriction-mediated life span extension through BMAL1- and IGF-1-dependent mechanisms. *FASEB J.* **30**, 1634–1642 (2016).
21. Cai, Y. & Wei, Y.-H. Stress resistance and lifespan are increased in *C. elegans* but decreased in *S. cerevisiae* by maf1-1/maf1 deletion. *Oncotarget* **7**, 10812–10826 (2016).
22. Hou, L. *et al.* A systems approach to reverse engineer lifespan extension by dietary restriction. *Cell Metab.* **23**, 529–540 (2016).
23. Jensen, K., McClure, C., Priest, N. K. & Hunt, J. Sex-specific effects of protein and carbohydrate intake on reproduction but not lifespan in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Aging Cell* **14**, 605–615 (2015).
24. Jo, M. C., Liu, W., Gu, L., Dang, W. & Qin, L. High-throughput analysis of yeast replicative aging using a microfluidic system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **112**, 9364–9369 (2015).
25. Rona, G. *et al.* CTT1 overexpression increases life span of calorie-restricted *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* deficient in Sod1. *Biogerontology* **16**, 343–351 (2015).
26. Mei, S.-C. & Brenner, C. Calorie restriction-mediated replicative lifespan extension in yeast Is non-cell autonomous. *PLOS Biol.* **13**, e1002048 (2015).

27. Maoz, N., Gabay, O., Waldman Ben-Asher, H. & Cohen, H. Y. The yeast forkhead HCM1 controls life span independent of calorie restriction. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **70**, 444–453 (2014).
28. Ramsey, J. J. *et al.* The influence of Shc proteins on life span in mice. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **69**, 1177–1185 (2014).
29. Huberts, D. H. E. W. *et al.* Calorie restriction does not elicit a robust extension of replicative lifespan in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 11727–11731 (2014).
30. Lee, S.-H. *et al.* Korean mistletoe (*Viscum album coloratum*) extract extends the lifespan of nematodes and fruit flies. *Biogerontology* **15**, 153–164 (2014).
31. Chiba, T. *et al.* A key role for neuropeptide Y in lifespan extension and cancer suppression via dietary restriction. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 4517 (2014).
32. Aris, J. P. *et al.* Autophagy and leucine promote chronological longevity and respiration proficiency during calorie restriction in yeast. *Exp. Gerontol.* **48**, 1107–1119 (2013).
33. Hatle, J. D., Kellenberger, J. W., Viray, E., Smith, A. M. & Hahn, D. A. Life-extending ovariectomy in grasshoppers increases somatic storage, but dietary restriction with an equivalent feeding rate does not. *Exp. Gerontol.* **48**, 966–972 (2013).
34. Tahara, E. B. *et al.* Calorie restriction heterotypically primes aging *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* toward more effective oxidative metabolism. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e56388 (2013).
35. Vera, E., Bernardes de Jesus, B., Foronda, M., Flores, J. M. & Blasco, M. A. Telomerase reverse transcriptase synergizes with calorie restriction to increase health span and extend mouse longevity. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e53760 (2013).
36. Mattison, J. A. *et al.* Impact of caloric restriction on health and survival in rhesus monkeys from the NIA study. *Nature* **489**, 318–321 (2012).
37. Fanson, B. G. & Taylor, P. W. Protein:carbohydrate ratios explain life span patterns found in Queensland fruit fly on diets varying in yeast:sugar ratios. *AGE* **34**, 1361–1368 (2012).
38. Sun, X. *et al.* Nutrient-dependent requirement for SOD1 in lifespan extension by protein restriction in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Aging Cell* **11**, 783–793 (2012).
39. Herbert, A. P. *et al.* NMR Structure of Hsp12, a protein induced by and required for dietary restriction-induced lifespan extension in yeast. *PLOS ONE* **7**, e41975 (2012).
40. Ellers, J., Ruhe, B. & Visser, B. Discriminating between energetic content and dietary composition as an explanation for dietary restriction effects. *J. Insect Physiol.* **57**, 1670–1676 (2011).
41. Dick, K. B., Ross, C. R. & Yampolsky, L. Y. Genetic variation of dietary restriction and the effects of nutrient-free water and amino acid supplements on lifespan and fecundity of *Drosophila*. *Genet. Res.* **93**, 265–273 (2011).
42. Sharma, P. K., Agrawal, V. & Roy, N. Mitochondria-mediated hormetic response in life span extension of calorie-restricted *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *AGE* **33**, 143–154 (2011).
43. Kaneko, G. *et al.* Calorie restriction-induced maternal longevity is transmitted to their daughters in a rotifer. *Funct. Ecol.* **25**, 209–216 (2011).
44. van Diepeningen, A. D. *et al.* Calorie restriction in the filamentous fungus *Podospora anserina*. *Exp. Gerontol.* **45**, 516–524 (2010).
45. Wang, J., Jiang, J. C. & Jazwinski, S. M. Gene regulatory changes in yeast during life extension by nutrient limitation. *Exp. Gerontol.* **45**, 621–631 (2010).
46. Sanz, A. *et al.* Expression of the yeast NADH dehydrogenase Ndi1 in *Drosophila* confers increased lifespan independently of dietary restriction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 9105–9110 (2010).
47. Smith, D. L., Jr *et al.* Metformin supplementation and life span in Fischer-344 Rats. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **65A**, 468–474 (2010).
48. Zuin, A. *et al.* Lifespan extension by calorie restriction relies on the Sty1 MAP kinase stress pathway. *EMBO J.* **29**, 981–991 (2010).
49. Colman, R. J. *et al.* Caloric restriction delays disease onset and mortality in Rhesus Monkeys. *Science* **325**, 201 (2009).
50. Kasumovic, M. M., Brooks, R. C. & Andrade, M. C. B. Body condition but not dietary restriction prolongs lifespan in a semelparous capital breeder. *Biol. Lett.* **5**, 636–638 (2009).
51. Sun, L., Sadighi Akha, A. A., Miller, R. A. & Harper, J. M. Life-span extension in mice by preweaning food restriction and by methionine restriction in middle age. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **64A**, 711–722 (2009).
52. Fanson, B. G., Weldon, C. W., Pérez-Staples, D., Simpson, S. J. & Taylor, P. W. Nutrients, not caloric restriction, extend lifespan in Queensland fruit flies (*Bactrocera tryoni*). *Aging Cell* **8**, 514–523 (2009).
53. Goldberg, A. A. *et al.* Effect of calorie restriction on the metabolic history of chronologically aging yeast. *Exp. Gerontol.* **44**, 555–571 (2009).
54. Zhou, J., Zhong, Q., Li, G. & Greenberg, M. L. Loss of cardiolipin leads to longevity defects that are alleviated by alterations in stress response signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 18106–18114 (2009).

55. Zou, S. *et al.* The prolongevity effect of resveratrol depends on dietary composition and calorie intake in a tephritid fruit fly. *Exp. Gerontol.* **44**, 472–476 (2009).
56. Masternak, M. M., Panici, J. A., Bonkowski, M. S., Hughes, L. F. & Bartke, A. Insulin sensitivity as a key mediator of growth hormone actions on longevity. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **64A**, 516–521 (2009).
57. Burtner, C. R., Murakami, C. J., Kennedy, B. K. & Kaeberlein, M. A molecular mechanism of chronological aging in yeast. *Cell Cycle* **8**, 1256–1270 (2009).
58. Greer, E. L. & Brunet, A. Different dietary restriction regimens extend lifespan by both independent and overlapping genetic pathways in *C. elegans*. *Aging Cell* **8**, 113–127 (2009).
59. Bonkowski, M. S. *et al.* Disruption of growth hormone receptor prevents calorie restriction from improving insulin action and longevity. *PLOS ONE* **4**, e4567 (2009).
60. Mair, W., Panowski, S. H., Shaw, R. J. & Dillin, A. Optimizing dietary restriction for genetic epistasis analysis and gene discovery in *C. elegans*. *PLOS ONE* **4**, e4535 (2009).
61. McDonald, R. B. *et al.* Characterization of survival and phenotype throughout the life span in UCP2/UCP3 genetically altered mice. *Exp. Gerontol.* **43**, 1061–1068 (2008).
62. Mannarino, S. C. *et al.* Glutathione is necessary to ensure benefits of calorie restriction during ageing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **129**, 700–705 (2008).
63. Carey, J. R. *et al.* Longevity-fertility trade-offs in the tephritid fruit fly, *Anastrepha ludens*, across dietary-restriction gradients. *Aging Cell* **7**, 470–477 (2008).
64. Skorupa, D. A., Dervisevic, A., Zwiener, J. & Pletcher, S. D. Dietary composition specifies consumption, obesity, and lifespan in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Aging Cell* **7**, 478–490 (2008).
65. Cai, W. *et al.* Oral Glycotoxins determine the effects of calorie restriction on oxidant stress, age-related diseases, and lifespan. *Am. J. Pathol.* **173**, 327–336 (2008).
66. Oliveira, G. A., Tahara, E. B., Gombert, A. K., Barros, M. H. & Kowaltowski, A. J. Increased aerobic metabolism is essential for the beneficial effects of caloric restriction on yeast life span. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* **40**, 381–388 (2008).
67. Ohtsuka, H. *et al.* A novel gene, ecl1+, extends the chronological lifespan in fission yeast. *FEMS Yeast Res.* **8**, 520–530 (2008).
68. Smith, E. D. *et al.* Age- and calorie-independent life span extension from dietary restriction by bacterial deprivation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **8**, 49 (2008).
69. Sutphin, G. L. & Kaeberlein, M. Dietary restriction by bacterial deprivation increases life span in wild-derived nematodes. *Exp. Gerontol.* **43**, 130–135 (2008).
70. Murakami, C. J., Burtner, C. R., Kennedy, B. K. & Kaeberlein, M. A method for high-throughput quantitative analysis of yeast chronological life span. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **63**, 113–121 (2008).
71. Zha, Y. *et al.* Genetic Suppression of GH-IGF-1 Activity, combined with lifelong caloric restriction, prevents age-related renal damage and prolongs the life span in rats. *Am. J. Nephrol.* **28**, 755–764 (2008).
72. Smith, J., Daniel L., McClure, J. M., Matecic, M. & Smith, J. S. Calorie restriction extends the chronological lifespan of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* independently of the Sirtuins. *Aging Cell* **6**, 649–662 (2007).
73. Schulz, T. J. *et al.* Glucose restriction extends *Caenorhabditis elegans* life span by inducing mitochondrial respiration and increasing oxidative stress. *Cell Metab.* **6**, 280–293 (2007).
74. McCarter, R. *et al.* Plasma glucose and the action of calorie restriction on aging. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **62**, 1059–1070 (2007).
75. Bass, T. M. *et al.* Optimization of dietary restriction protocols in *Drosophila*. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **62**, 1071–1081 (2007).
76. Medvedik, O., Lamming, D. W., Kim, K. D. & Sinclair, D. A. MSN2 and MSN4 link calorie restriction and TOR to sirtuin-mediated lifespan extension in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PLOS Biol.* **5**, e261 (2007).
77. Weithoff, G. Dietary restriction in two rotifer species: The effect of the length of food deprivation on life span and reproduction. *Oecologia* **153**, 303–308 (2007).
78. Maas, M. F., Hoekstra, R. F. & Debets, A. J. A mitochondrial mutator plasmid that causes senescence under dietary restricted conditions. *BMC Genet.* **8**, 9 (2007).
79. Easlon, E. *et al.* The dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase is a novel metabolic longevity factor and is required for calorie restriction-mediated life span extension. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 6161–6171 (2007).
80. Troen, A. M. *et al.* Lifespan modification by glucose and methionine in *Drosophila melanogaster* fed a chemically defined diet. *AGE* **29**, 29–39 (2007).
81. Tahara, E. B., Barros, M. H., Oliveira, G. A., Netto, L. E. S. & Kowaltowski, A. J. Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase as a source of reactive oxygen species inhibited by caloric restriction and involved in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* aging. *FASEB J.* **21**, 274–283 (2007).

82. Weinberger, M. *et al.* DNA replication stress is a determinant of chronological lifespan in budding yeast. *PLOS ONE* **2**, e748 (2007).
83. Kaeberlein, T. L. *et al.* Lifespan extension in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by complete removal of food. *Aging Cell* **5**, 487–494 (2006).
84. Tsuchiya, M. *et al.* Sirtuin-independent effects of nicotinamide on lifespan extension from calorie restriction in yeast. *Aging Cell* **5**, 505–514 (2006).
85. Hatle, J. D. *et al.* Calorie restriction and late-onset calorie restriction extend lifespan but do not alter protein storage in female grasshoppers. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **127**, 883–891 (2006).
86. Bonkowski, M. S., Rocha, J. S., Masternak, M. M., Al Regaiey, K. A. & Bartke, A. Targeted disruption of growth hormone receptor interferes with the beneficial actions of calorie restriction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **103**, 7901–7905 (2006).
87. Min, K.-J. & Tatar, M. *Drosophila* diet restriction in practice: Do flies consume fewer nutrients? *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **127**, 93–96 (2006).
88. Bross, T. G., Rogina, B. & Helfand, S. L. Behavioral, physical, and demographic changes in *Drosophila* populations through dietary restriction. *Aging Cell* **4**, 309–317 (2005).
89. Ikeno, Y. *et al.* Housing density does not influence the longevity effect of calorie restriction. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **60**, 1510–1517 (2005).
90. Kaeberlein, M. *et al.* Regulation of yeast replicative life span by TOR and Sch9 in response to nutrients. *Science* **310**, 1193 (2005).
91. Fabrizio, P. *et al.* Sir2 blocks extreme life-span extension. *Cell* **123**, 655–667 (2005).
92. Kaeberlein, M. *et al.* Increased life span due to calorie restriction in respiratory-deficient yeast. *PLOS Genet.* **1**, e69 (2005).
93. Davies, S., Kattel, R., Bhatia, B., Petherwick, A. & Chapman, T. The effect of diet, sex and mating status on longevity in Mediterranean fruit flies (*Ceratitis capitata*), Diptera: Tephritidae. *Exp. Gerontol.* **40**, 784–792 (2005).
94. Lamming, D. W. *et al.* HST2 mediates SIR2-independent life-span extension by calorie restriction. *Science* **309**, 1861–1864 (2005).
95. Mair, W., Piper, M. D. W. & Partridge, L. Calories do not explain extension of life span by dietary restriction in *Drosophila*. *PLOS Biol.* **3**, e223 (2005).
96. Vasselli, J. R. *et al.* Intentional weight loss reduces mortality rate in a rodent model of dietary obesity. *Obes. Res.* **13**, 693–702 (2005).
97. Carey, J. R. *et al.* Stochastic dietary restriction using a Markov-chain feeding protocol elicits complex, life history response in medflies. *Aging Cell* **4**, 31–39 (2005).
98. Osório, H. *et al.* Influence of chronological aging on the survival and nucleotide content of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells grown in different conditions: occurrence of a high concentration of UDP-N-acetylglucosamine in stationary cells grown in 2% glucose. *FEMS Yeast Res.* **5**, 387–398 (2005).
99. Barros, M. H., Bandy, B., Tahara, E. B. & Kowaltowski, A. J. Higher respiratory activity decreases mitochondrial reactive oxygen release and increases life span in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 49883–49888 (2004).
100. Maas, M. F. P. M., Boer, H. J. de, Debets, A. J. M. & Hoekstra, R. F. The mitochondrial plasmid pAL2-1 reduces calorie restriction mediated life span extension in the filamentous fungus *Podospora anserina*. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **41**, 865–871 (2004).
101. Kaeberlein, M., Kirkland, K. T., Fields, S. & Kennedy, B. K. Sir2-independent life span extension by calorie restriction in yeast. *PLOS Biol.* **2**, e296 (2004).
102. Reverter-Branchat, G., Cabisco, E., Tamarit, J. & Ros, J. Oxidative damage to specific proteins in replicative and chronological-aged *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: common targets and prevention by calorie restriction. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 31983–31989 (2004).
103. Mair, W., Sgrò, C. M., Johnson, A. P., Chapman, T. & Partridge, L. Lifespan extension by dietary restriction in female *Drosophila melanogaster* is not caused by a reduction in vitellogenesis or ovarian activity. *Exp. Gerontol.* **39**, 1011–1019 (2004).
104. Lee, C.-K. *et al.* The impact of α-lipoic acid, coenzyme Q10 and caloric restriction on life span and gene expression patterns in mice. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **36**, 1043–1057 (2004).
105. Dhahbi, J. M., Kim, H.-J., Mote, P. L., Beaver, R. J. & Spindler, S. R. Temporal linkage between the phenotypic and genomic responses to caloric restriction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101**, 5524–5529 (2004).
106. Lin, S.-J., Ford, E., Haigis, M., Liszt, G. & Guarente, L. Calorie restriction extends yeast life span by lowering the level of NADH. *Genes Dev.* **18**, 12–16 (2004).

107. Mair, W., Goymer, P., Pletcher, S. D. & Partridge, L. Demography of dietary restriction and death in *Drosophila*. *Science* **301**, 1731–1733 (2003).
108. Anderson, R. M., Bitterman, K. J., Wood, J. G., Medvedik, O. & Sinclair, D. A. Nicotinamide and PNC1 govern lifespan extension by calorie restriction in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nature* **423**, 181–185 (2003).
109. Black, B. J., McMahan, C. A., Masoro, E. J., Ikeno, Y. & Katz, M. S. Senescent terminal weight loss in the male F344 rat. *Am. J. Physiol.-Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **284**, R336–R342 (2003).
110. Lin, S.-J. *et al.* Calorie restriction extends *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* lifespan by increasing respiration. *Nature* **418**, 344–348 (2002).
111. Berrigan, D., Perkins, S. N., Haines, D. C. & Hursting, S. D. Adult-onset calorie restriction and fasting delay spontaneous tumorigenesis in p53-deficient mice. *Carcinogenesis* **23**, 817–822 (2002).
112. Pletcher, S. D. *et al.* Genome-wide transcript profiles in aging and calorically restricted *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Curr. Biol.* **12**, 712–723 (2002).
113. Lipman, R. D. Effect of calorie restriction on mortality kinetics in inbred strains of mice following 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene treatment. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **57**, B153–B157 (2002).
114. Lin, S.-J., Defossez, P.-A. & Guarente, L. Requirement of NAD and SIR2 for life-span extension by calorie restriction in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Science* **289**, 2126 (2000).
115. Sohal, R. S., Ku, H.-H., Agarwal, S., Forster, M. J. & Lal, H. Oxidative damage, mitochondrial oxidant generation and antioxidant defenses during aging and in response to food restriction in the mouse. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **74**, 121–133 (1994).
116. Means, L. W., Higgins, J. L. & Fernandez, T. J. Mid-life onset of dietary restriction extends life and prolongs cognitive functioning. *Physiol. Behav.* **54**, 503–508 (1993).
117. Tacconi, M. T., Lligoña, L., Salmona, M., Pitsikas, N. & Algeri, S. Aging and food restriction: Effect on lipids of cerebral cortex. *Neurobiol. Aging* **12**, 55–59 (1991).



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).