Photocatalytic Nanocomposite Materials Based on Inorganic Polymers (Geopolymers): A Review.
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Abstract.

Geopolymers are ecologically-friendly inorganic materials which can be produced at low temperatures from industrial wastes such as fly ash, blast furnace slags or mining residues. Although to date their principal applications have been as alternatives to Portland cement building materials, their properties make them suitable for a number of more advanced applications, including as photocatalytic nanocomposites for removal of hazardous pollutants from waste water or the atmosphere. For this purpose, they can be combined with photocatalytic moieties such as metal oxides with suitable bandgaps to couple with UV or visible radiation, or with carbon nanotubes or graphene. In these composites the geopolymers act as supports for the photoactive components, but geopolymers formed from wastes containing oxides such as Fe₂O₃ show intrinsic photoactive behaviour. This review discusses the structure and formation chemistry of geopolymers and the principles required for their utilisation as photocatalysts. The literature on existing photocatalytic geopolymers is reviewed, suggesting that these materials have a promising potential as inexpensive, efficient and ecologically-friendly candidates for the remediation of toxic environmental pollutants and would repay further development.
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1. Introduction.

The development of materials to mitigate the effects of global warming and pollution generated by human activities is becoming a matter of increasing urgency. The ecological problems to which solutions are being sought are the increase in greenhouse gas emissions arising from the manufacture of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) and electricity generation by coal-fired power plants, especially in developing nations with increased demands for infrastructure. Ecologically-friendly alternatives to OPC which do not involve the high-temperature reaction of clay and limestone, generating large amounts of CO₂ are inorganic polymers, otherwise known as geopolymers [1]. A further advantage of these materials over OPC is that they can be produced at temperatures from ambient to 80°C by alkali activation of a range of aluminosilicate minerals [2-7]. For this reason, to date the major interest in geopolymers has been as alternatives to OPC, although they have many other high-
One such area of increasing interest is the mitigation of global environmental pollution problems, particularly air and water pollution. Over the past two or three decades photocatalytic degradation of environmental pollutants by exploiting the conversion of solar to chemical energy has attracted considerable attention. Heterogeneous photocatalysts have many advantages over other photocatalysts, since they can be cost-effective, stable, non-toxic, strongly oxidising and effective at ambient temperature and pressure [9]. The combination of photocatalysts with geopolymers has been exploited in the construction industry to develop self-cleaning coatings for buildings, where the key factors are the aesthetic appearance and reasonable costs of cleaning maintenance [10]. Since geopolymers are environmentally friendly and can readily incorporate photocatalytically active materials such as ZnO, TiO₂, CuO and Fe₂O₃, they are an excellent option for construction applications. Geopolymers incorporated with photoactive materials in the presence of UV and UV-visible radiation [11] can oxidize and decompose the surface pollutants on a building or roadway, allowing the products to be subsequently easily removed later by rain, cleaning or washing with water because of the hydrophilic surface of the photocatalytic geopolymer with a low contact angle for water, allowing it to slide off [12-14] (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Schematic mechanism of a photocatalytic air-purifying geopolymer-based pavement, adapted from Boonen et al. [15]

A further ecological problem is associated with atmospheric pollution of waterways and the atmosphere resulting from manufacturing operations. A less well-researched but equally important environmental application of geopolymers has been as materials for the destruction of hazardous organic species in the atmosphere and in waterways. For this purpose, various photocatalytic species can be introduced into the geopolymer by exploiting its structure and chemistry, and the photocatalytic process can also be facilitated by the ability of the geopolymer to adsorb and immobilise the hazardous material in its structure. Thus, the combination of photocatalytic functionality with the environmental friendliness of...
Aluminosilicate geopolymers are a class of alkali-activated materials, which, although having been known for many years, were first developed in their present form by Davidovits [1] by the action of alkali on dehydroxylated kaolin clay (metakaolin). These materials had the advantage of attaining strength at ambient or slightly elevated temperature and did not rely on the presence of crystalline phases for strength development. Davidovits also coined the name geopolymer by which these materials are now generally known. Alkali activation of other aluminosilicates such as coal fly ash [5] and ground blast furnace slag [7] was soon found to produce aluminosilicate geopolymers with comparable or superior mechanical properties and these are now most commonly used as construction or engineering materials. However, although thermally pre-treated kaolin minerals are still the most commonly used aluminosilicate source for specialised applications such as photocatalytic geopolymers, these minerals are commercially valuable, and the use of industrial wastes such as fly ash from coal-fired boilers for specialised geopolymer applications is attracting increasing attention.

Several synthetic methods for aluminosilicate geopolymers have been reported [8], but the most widely used is the reaction of a finely divided aluminosilicate source mineral or industrial waste material with an alkali metal hydroxide or a mixture of an alkali metal silicate and hydroxide. The setting characteristics of the resulting mixture can be controlled by adjusting the molar composition of the component oxides; in the case of metakaolin precursors, a molar composition of SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ $\sim$ 3, M$_2$O/SiO$_2$ $\sim$ 0.3 and H$_2$O/M$_2$O $\sim$ 10 is reported [2] to set well and give a product with good strength, but these ratios can vary quite widely. The resulting geopolymer mixture is cured and hardened at temperatures between ambient and $\sim$100°C. The mechanism of geopolymer formation involves the formation of aluminate and silicate monomers by alkali attack on the solid aluminosilicate starting material; these units then condense to a metastable gel which then becomes more fully cross-linked, allowing it to set and harden [16]. Some of these reaction steps may be concurrent and overlap, and their kinetics can depend on the nature of the starting material and the activating solution [16]. The steps of geopolymer synthesis are shown in Figure 2.
Figure 2. Schematic representation of the geopolymer synthesis procedure.

Aluminosilicate geopolymers consist of a random three-dimensional array of tetrahedral aluminate and silicate units joined through their apical oxygens. Their lack of long-range crystallographic order is reflected in their characteristic broad X-ray diffraction pattern similar to that of a glass [2]. The fourfold-coordinated aluminium atoms in this structure have been shown by $^{27}$Al solid-state MAS NMR spectroscopy to be formed during the alkaline reaction by conversion of Al(VI) and Al(V) of the precursor to Al(IV) [17,18], resulting in a negative charge on each Al which is balanced by a positively-charged ion such as hydrated Na$^+$ or K$^+$ located in the interstices of the gel structure [2] (Figure 3). Since these charge-balancing ions are exchangeable, as in zeolites [19], they provide an important mechanism for manipulating the properties of the geopolymer and, in particular, they can be exploited to allow the introduction of photocatalytic moieties.
3. Mechanism of photocatalysis in geopolymers

The photocatalysis mechanism in geopolymers is most usually extrinsic, i.e. it depends on the presence of an introduced semiconducting species such as ZnO or CuO. The action of light quanta on the semiconductor promotes a photoelectron from the filled valence band to the vacant conduction band, provided the energy of the photon is greater than or equal to the bandgap of the semiconductor. In the presence of water, the resulting photogenerated pair of the hole in the valence band and the electron in the conduction band can then react to produce HO\(^\ddot{\text{O}}\) radicals which are extremely powerful oxidising agents able to attack and destroy organic pollutants in solution. Concurrently, the holes in the valence band can react with oxygen to form anionic superoxide radicals, O\(_2\)\(^{-}\). These species are not only oxidising agents in their own right but are able to prevent electron-hole recombination and maintain electron neutrality in the photocatalyst. Protonation of the superoxide radical forms the hydroxyl radical, HO\(_2\)\(^{\ddot{\text{O}}}\), two of which can either combine to form H\(_2\)O\(_2\) + O\(_2\), or two highly reactive hydroxyl radicals OH\(^{\ddot{\text{O}}}\). All these oxidising species are highly reactive to organic compounds, reducing them to the benign products H\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\). These processes are shown schematically in Figure 4.

Figure 3. Proposed semi-schematic structure for a Na-geopolymer, adapted from Barbosa et al. [2].
In greater detail, the photocatalytic process can be divided into four steps, namely:

(i) photogeneration of hole/electron pairs by UV irradiation, promoting the photoelectron from the valence band of the semiconductor to the empty conduction band. This produces a positively-charged hole in the valence band ($h^+$) and an electron in the conduction band ($e^-$) (Eq. 1).

\[
\text{Photocatalyst} + h\nu (\text{UV}) \rightarrow e^- (\text{CB}) + h^+ (\text{VB}) \quad (1)
\]

(ii) separation of hole/electron pairs and their diffusion to the surface of the electrode. In the presence of adsorbed water the positive holes in the valence band produce hydroxyl radicals (Eq. 2).

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{ads}) + h^+ (\text{VB}) \rightarrow \text{OH}^- (\text{ads}) + \text{H}^+ (\text{ads}) \quad (2)
\]

These OH$^-$ radicals generated on the surface of the irradiated semiconductor are powerful oxidizing agents, able to attack adsorbed organic pollutants and destroy microorganisms.

(iii) oxygen ion sorption. Reaction of atmospheric oxygen with the electron promoted to the conduction band generates the anionic superoxide radical ($\text{O}_2^-$) (Eq. 3).

\[
\text{O}_2 + e^- (\text{CB}) \rightarrow \text{O}_2^- (\text{ads}) \quad (3)
\]

The superoxide radical can take part in further oxidation processes and also plays a useful role in preventing electron-hole recombination.
(iv) photo-oxidation and photo-reduction reactions on the surface of the active catalytic sites. Protonation of the superoxide radical $O_2^-$ produces hydroperoxyl radicals ($HO_2^-$) (Eq. 4), followed by the formation of $H_2O_2$ (Eq. 5) and its separation into highly reactive hydroxyl radicals ($OH^*$) (Eq. 6). The oxidation and reduction processes both occur on the surface of the photoexcited semiconductor photocatalyst. The complete process is shown in Eqs. (4) to (6):

$$O_2^-(ads) + H^+ \rightarrow HOO^-(ads) \quad (4)$$
$$2HOO^-(ads) \rightarrow H_2O_2 (ads) + O_2 \quad (5)$$
$$H_2O_2 (ads) \rightarrow 2OH^* (ads) \quad (6)$$

In the specific case where the photoactive semiconductor interacts with and destroys organic pollutants, the mechanism involves excitation of the organic pollutants by a photon of visible light ($\lambda > 400$ nm) from the ground state (Pollutants) to the triplet excited state (Pollutants $^*$) (Eq. 7). The triplet excited state pollutant injects an electron into the conduction band of the photocatalyst and is then converted into a semi-oxidized radical cation (Pollutants$^+$) (Eq. 8) with the formation of superoxide radical anions ($O_2^-$) and hydroxyl radicals ($OH^*$). These $OH^*$ radicals are mainly responsible for the oxidation of the pollutants (Eq. 9), but the positive holes photogenerated in the valence band and the photogenerated electrons in the conduction band are also responsible for oxidation and reduction of organic pollutants respectively (Eqs. 10 and 11) [20-23].

$$\text{Pollutants} + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{Pollutants}^* \quad (7)$$
$$\text{Pollutants}^* + \text{Photocatalyst} \rightarrow \text{Pollutants}^+ + \text{Photocatalyst}^- \quad (8)$$
$$\text{Pollutants}^* + \text{OH}^* (ads) \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \text{(via intermediates)} \quad (9)$$
$$\text{Pollutants} + \text{H}^+ (\text{VB}) \rightarrow \text{Oxidation products} \quad (10)$$
$$\text{Pollutants} + e^- (\text{CB}) \rightarrow \text{Reduction products} \quad (11)$$

Thus, photocatalytic efficiency depends both on the ability of the photocatalyst to produce holes and electrons and on the creation of reactive free radicals. Therefore the specific surface area (SSA), morphology and bandgap of the photocatalyst are important properties which affect its efficiency. The bandgap is the distance between the valence band and the conduction band, and semiconductors, including SnO$_2$, MoO$_3$, ZnO, ZnS, Fe$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$, CeO$_2$, CdS, ZrO$_2$, SnO$_2$, Cu$_2$O, and TiO$_2$, are commonly used as photocatalysts because of their unique electronic structure (occupied valence band and unoccupied conduction band) and sufficiently small bandgaps for solar excitation of an electron into the conduction band [24,25]. Ideally, a photocatalytic semiconductor for insertion into a geopolymer matrix should be capable of efficiently utilizing visible or near-UV radiation ($\lambda > 400$ nm), it should be biologically and chemically inert, photostable, inexpensive and non-toxic [26]. One oxide commonly used for this purpose is n-type TiO$_2$, but its bandgap of 3.03 eV is such that in normal sunlight only about 5% of the incident radiation is of suitable wavelength to photoexcite TiO$_2$ [27]. Another photoactive semiconductor is p-type Cu$_2$O, with a bandgap of 2.172 eV which couples well with visible radiation ($\lambda \leq 600$nm) [28]. The bandgaps of these
and other potential photoactive materials are listed in Table 1, and photoactive geopolymers containing some of these materials are the subject of this review.

Table 1. Band gap energy of various photocatalysts [29]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photocatalyst</th>
<th>Bandgap (eV)</th>
<th>Photocatalyst</th>
<th>Bandgap (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diamond</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>SnO₂</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic ZnS</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>SrTiO₃</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>TiO₂ (anatase)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>TiO₂ (rutile)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO₃</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>CdS</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Cu₂O</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>CdTe</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSe₂</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Aluminosilicate geopolymers with photocatalytic functionality


TiO₂ is one of the more widely-used photocatalysts for environmental applications such as water purification, air cleaning and self-cleaning surfaces because of its good photocatalytic activity, chemical stability, low cost, long-term stability, ready availability and lack of toxicity [30–32]. One of the most challenging criteria for a suitable photocatalyst is that it must not rapidly recombine photogenerated electrons and holes. In the case of TiO₂ its photocatalytic efficiency can be increased by incorporating it into a TiO₂-based composite, thereby hindering its charge recombination [33]. In this way, TiO₂ has been immobilized by incorporation into a number of different construction materials, including window glass, cement-based materials, bricks, ceramics and geopolymers, producing environmentally friendly self-cleaning and air purification products [34]. Strini et al. [35] demonstrated the use of TiO₂-based photocatalytic geopolymers based on fly ash or metakaolin to decompose nitric oxide in air. The photocatalyst geopolymer was produced simply by mixing the geopolymer composition with P25 (a commercial mixture of rutile and anatase polymorphs of TiO₂). The ideal amount of TiO₂ was suggested to be 3 wt.% of the geopolymer paste, and the photocatalytic activity of the geopolymer composite depended on the type of binder and the curing conditions. The highest photocatalytic activity was found in a TiO₂/fly ash-based geopolymer composite cured at room temperature, which resulted in twice the NO degradation rate compared with that of a TiO₂/metakaolin geopolymer [35]. The photocatalytic activity was also found to depend on the curing parameters; curing at 60 °C produced a poorer photocatalyst, apparently due to segregation and depletion of the TiO₂ in the catalyst surface [35].

Bravo et al. [36] synthesised metakaolin-based geopolymer spheres with photocatalytic activity by coating TiO₂ nanoparticles on the surface of the spheres. These were produced by foaming an uncured geopolymer mixture with Polysorbate 80, then dropping the mixture from a syringe into polyethylene glycol at 80 °C, which reduces the surface tension and results in the formation of beads 2-3 mm in diameter. After drying at room temperature for 24 hr., then cured at 75 °C for 2 days, the beads were then coated with TiO₂ nanocrystals by heating them with TiO₂ at 1200 °C inside a quartz tube under high vacuum. SEM images confirmed the complete dispersion of TiO₂ within the geopolymer spheres. The
photocatalytic activity of the TiO$_2$/geopolymer spheres in the degradation of methylene blue dye showed 90% degradation after 10 hr. ultraviolet irradiation, compared with 4.5% degradation on the uncoated geopolymer spheres [36].

In another study, Chen et al. [34] deposited TiO$_2$ films by a sol-gel dip-coating method on a geopolymer substrate based on 95% fly ash and 5% metakaolin cured at room temperature. The geopolymer matrix was then dip-coated in a mixture of butyl titanate in ethanol with the addition of diethanolamine to increase the stability of the sol [34, 37]. The dip-coated samples were then annealed at 500, 600, 700 and 800 °C for 1 hr. and showed desirable photocatalytic properties for the degradation of methylene blue dye [34], especially the sample annealed at 600°C (Figure 5c), which was shown to contain the anatase phase of TiO$_2$ and a mesoporous morphology (Figure 5a,b). Improved photocatalytic activity of the composites could be obtained by double layer sol-gel coating, resulting in an increased specific surface area, but conversely, it may also increase the probability of electron-hole pair recombination and decrease the photocatalytic performance. A further problem observed with the sol-gel coating technique was a tendency for the films to crack upon drying, but this could be offset by the addition of 6 wt% polyvinylpyrrolidine (PVP) [34].

Figure 5. A. SEM images of a dip-coated TiO$_2$ film annealed at 600 °C for 1 hr, B. Surface morphology of a typical multilayer-coated TiO$_2$ film, C. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue by TiO$_2$ films coated on a geopolymer, annealed at (a) 500°C, (b) 800°C, (c) 700°C, (d) 600°C, from data of Chen et al. [34].

Gasca-Tirado et al. [38] reported an alternative method for incorporating photoactive TiO$_2$ into a metakaolin-based aluminosilicate inorganic polymer (geopolymer) by ion exchange
with ammonium titanate monohydrate, \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{TiO(C}_2\text{O}_4)_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\). The efficiency of Ti incorporation was not improved by prior conversion of the geopolymer to the \(\text{NH}_4^+\) form. This ion exchange method was found to facilitate the growth of anatase-type TiO$_2$ nanoparticles inside the geopolymer micropores, producing a photoactive geopolymer composite, demonstrated by its degradation of methylene blue (MB). The most efficient photoactivity was exhibited in a geopolymer cured at 90°C prior to ion exchange [38]. Yang et al. [39] studied the degradation of MB dye by foamed fly ash-based geopolymer-TiO$_2$ nanocomposites produced in two different ways. The use of a foamed geopolymer matrix increased its porosity, allowing the incorporation of a higher concentration of TiO$_2$ and improved contact with the dye solution. After alkali-activation, the fly ash geopolymer was foamed by the addition of oleic acid, followed by \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) then cured at 80°C. Two methods were investigated for incorporating TiO$_2$ in the foamed geopolymer matrix; in one case the TiO$_2$ (P25) was directly mixed into the foamed geopolymer prior to curing, whereas in a second procedure, the cured foamed matrix was treated with a solution of TiO$_2$ in nitric acid, then calcined at 500°C to crystallize the TiO$_2$ [39]. The most efficient photocatalytic degradation of MB dye was achieved in the composite containing 5 wt.% TiO$_2$ prepared by direct mixing, and was attained after 45 min of UV irradiation [39]. This suggests the potential of porous TiO$_2$/geopolymer composites for the treatment of industrially discharged wastewater. Table 2 compares the different synthesis methods and photodegradation applications of TiO$_2$/geopolymer photocatalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Preparation Method</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ Type</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ Content</th>
<th>Adsorbate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/fly ash or metakaolin geopolymer</td>
<td>Mixing</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>NO and NOx</td>
<td>Strini [35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/metakaolin geopolymer</td>
<td>Ion-Exchange</td>
<td>Anatase</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>Gasca-Tirado [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/fly ash-metakaolin geopolymer</td>
<td>Sol-Gel dip coating</td>
<td>Anatase, Rutile</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>Chen [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/fly ash geopolymer</td>
<td>Mixing</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>Yang [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/metakaolin geopolymer spheres</td>
<td>Inside quartz tube at high temperature</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>10 mg</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>Bravo [36]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Geopolymer/graphene photocatalysts

Graphene Oxide (GO) is a derivative of graphene, a 2-dimensional form of carbon that is attracting increasing interest as a functional material with useful properties such as high specific surface area, high electric conductance and good thermal conductivity. GO contains functional groups containing oxygen and can be synthesized by methods such as chemical oxidation or exfoliation of graphite [40] (Figure 6). The structure of GO is based on graphene and contains a number of oxygen functional groups (surface hydrophilic hydroxyl (-OH) and epoxy (C-O-C) groups and edge carboxyl (-COOH) groups). These groups allow GO to be dispersed in water and provide many active sites for linking to other functional groups and organic molecules [41]. GO has attracted attention for adsorption and catalytic applications; in particular, its photonic properties suggest its potential for enhancing the photocatalytic
properties of other materials. GO is typically suitable for the removal from water of organic
dyes [42], antibiotics [43] and heavy metal ions [44].

Lertcumfu et al. [40] studied the influence of GO additions on the photocatalytic properties
of metakaolinite-based geopolymer composites and found that its addition can significantly
improve the degradation of MB and Cr(VI) by comparison with pure geopolymer. The
efficiency of the GO/geopolymer composite for the removal of MB and Cr(VI) was 93% and
65% respectively. These authors reported that the adsorption process followed the pseudo
second-order kinetic model with an $R^2$ value > 98%. Moreover, these GO/geopolymer
composites showed a potential application for waste water treatment owing to their
photocatalytic activity with a low $C/C_0$ value of 0.6 after 2hr. of UV irradiation. Zhang et al.
[45] reported the photoactivity of a nanocomposite synthesized by reaction of two-
dimensional graphene with an alkali-activated granulated blast furnace slag (GBFS)
geopolymer. This nanocomposite showed a high degree of methyl violet (MV) degradation
under UV irradiation, especially in a composite containing 0.01 wt.% graphene, which had a
degradation efficiency of 91.6 % after 110 min. of UV irradiation [45]. The degradation
reaction of the MV dye followed a pseudo second-order kinetic model. Zhang et al. [46]
reported the photocatalytic activity of a novel electroconductive graphene/fly ash-based
geopolymer composite prepared by incorporation of 1 wt% of graphene into the alkali-
activated geopolymer matrix prior to curing at room temperature. The addition of the
graphene increased the electroconductivity of the composite by 348.8 times compared with
that of the geopolymer without graphene, and the synergic effect of the graphene with the
matrix red-shifted the maximum absorption wavelength of the composite into the visible
region [46]. Furthermore, the presence of the graphene was shown by nitrogen adsorption-
desorption isotherms to effectively improve the pore structure of the composite. The
photoactive composite was found to degrade indigo carmine (IG) dye with an efficiency of
90.2%, three time greater than the photocatalytic efficiency of the geopolymer matrix without
graphene, and this catalytic performance for the removal of organic pollutants was unchanged after 5 cycling runs of UV irradiation [46]. Furthermore, the graphene structure was shown to be unchanged after the dye photodegradation cycles. The proposed mechanism involves interaction of the graphene with Fe₂O₃ particles from the fly ash geopolymer matrix in which the photogenerated electrons from the former are rapidly transferred to the π-conjugated system of the graphene, efficiently separating the photogenerated electron-hole pairs and allowing them to oxidize the H₂O molecules adsorbed on the iron oxide surfaces. The resulting hydroxyl radicals which oxidize and degrade the dye molecules adsorbed on the iron oxide surfaces [46]. In experiments to shed further light on this mechanism, it was found that the addition of benzoquinone, which traps hydroxyl radicals, reduced the dye degradation efficiency from 91.6% to 70.8%, whereas the addition of tertiary butyl alcohol, which traps superoxide radicals, reduced the degradation efficiency to 35.1% (Figure 7). These experiments suggest that graphene can act as an electron acceptor to enhance the oxidation degradation capacity of geopolymers.

Figure 7. The effect of tertiary butyl alcohol (TBA) and benzoquinone (BQ) radical scavengers on the photocatalytic degradation of indigo carmine, data taken from Zhang et al. [46].

Table 3, which summarises the reported investigations of photoactive geopolymers containing graphene or graphene oxide, highlights the varying experimental conditions used by different researchers, making a direct comparison of the photocatalytic results impossible. Although the available research data on these graphene/geopolymer photocomposites are very promising, considerably more theoretical and experimental research is required on these systems.
Table 3. Summary of the different studies on graphene and graphene oxide geopolymer photocatalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Preparation method</th>
<th>Graphene content (%)</th>
<th>Adsorbate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>graphene/fly ash-based geopolymer</td>
<td>Mixing</td>
<td>0.1, 0.4, 0.7 and 1</td>
<td>Indigo carmine</td>
<td>Zhang [47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graphene oxide/calcined kaolinite-based geopolymer</td>
<td>Mixing</td>
<td>0, 2.5, 5, and 10</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Rujijanagul [40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graphene/blast furnace slag-based geopolymer</td>
<td>Mixing</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Methyl violet</td>
<td>Zhang 2016 [45]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Geopolymer/Cu₂O photocatalysts

Cu₂O, a prominent p-type semiconductor, acts as a photocatalyst under visible light irradiation (≤600 nm). Its direct bandgap energy of 2.2 eV is readily excited by wavelengths in the visible region, giving it a significant absorption coefficient (up to ~10⁴ cm⁻¹) in this region. This suggests that Cu₂O should act as a stable photocatalyst for the photochemical decomposition of H₂O with the generation of O₂ and H₂ under visible light irradiation and that it should also be a suitable candidate for the photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants under visible light irradiation. The photocatalytic possibilities of Cu₂O were investigated by Huang et al [48] who demonstrated its photodegradation of methyl orange, but reported that it was readily deactivated by photocorrosion, especially when in the form of nanoparticles [48]. An improvement in its catalytic performance was obtained with larger microparticles which underwent photocorrosion more slowly, and its performance was also improved by the addition of 0.1 mmol/L of methanol which acted as a hole scavenger [48]. The photocatalytic behaviour of the different well-formed crystal facets of Cu₂O microcrystals with well-formed facets was investigated by Zheng et al [47]. They observed that during the photodegradation of methyl orange, the {100} and {110} faces gradually transformed into the {111} facets of nanosheets which exhibit stable photocatalytic activity [47]. These results, and the low toxicity, low cost and environmental friendliness of Cu₂O suggest its use as a possible alternative to other common photocatalysts such as TiO₂ for the photocatalytic degradation of organic contaminants, particularly when combined with ecologically-friendly geopolymers. These considerations led Fallah et al [49] to investigate a novel photoactive inorganic polymer composite containing copper(I) oxide nanoparticles. The Cu₂O nanoparticles were synthesized by the solution precipitation method, producing cubic crystallites of nanometer size. Metakaolin-based Cu₂O/geopolymer composites were prepared by mixing 10-30 wt.% of pre-synthesized Cu₂O nanoparticles with the geopolymer paste and curing for 12 hr. at ambient temperature. The photocatalytic activity of the Cu₂O/geopolymer composite in the degradation of methylene blue (MB) was studied under UV-irradiation [49]. Although the nano-Cu₂O itself did not adsorb the methylene blue dye, its incorporation of up to 20 wt. % into the geopolymer composites increased their adsorption ability due to adsorption within the pores of the geopolymer matrix. Under UV radiation, the composites removed the MB dye from solution by a combination of adsorption and photodegradation (Figure 8), without deterioration of the geopolymer structure or the photoactive Cu₂O component. This suggested that these geopolymer composites should function as useful new materials for the removal of organic pollutants from water or the
atmosphere. The degradation of the MB dye followed a pseudo-second order kinetic model [49].

Figure 8. (a) Residual concentration (C/C₀) of methylene blue dye upon exposure to the geopolymer matrix (GP) and the Cu₂O-geopolymer composites versus time in the dark, (b) comparison of the degradation of methylene blue dye by the geopolymer matrix and the 30 wt% Cu₂O-geopolymer composite in the dark and under UV illumination. Data from Falah et al [49].

4.4 Geopolymer/carbon nanotube photocatalysts

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), are graphite sheets rolled up into cylinders with partly one-dimensional nanostructures. CNTs have diameters of a few nanometers and lengths of some millimeters. CNTs can occur as single-walled nanotubes (SWCNTs), double-walled nanotubes (DWCNTs) and the multi-walled nanotubes (MWCNTs), the latter consisting of multiple layers of graphite arranged in concentric cylinders [50]. CNTs have been successfully used as catalyst supporting materials with properties superior to those of other regular catalyst supports. CNTs have large specific surface areas and have excellent capability for absorbing toxic materials such as nitrogen oxides and polluted waste water [51,52]. They are also useful for reinforcing geopolymers. Bi et al. [53] synthesised metakaolin-based geopolymer nanocomposites containing CNTs by ultrasonically dispersing 0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 vol % of CNTs into a mixture of NaOH and sodium silicate solution, followed by blending with metakaolin powder, curing at 40°C for 2 hr. and aging at 60°C for 24 hr. Before use, the CNTs were given a surface silica coating by treatment with a mixture of H₂SO₄ and HNO₃, followed by a mixture of TEOS and NH₄OH. The resulting good distribution of the CNTs in the geopolymer matrix and the interfacial interaction between the SiO₂ coating and the geopolymer matrix (Figure 9) were found significantly to improve the mechanical properties of the geopolymer nanocomposites [53] and suggested their application as a self-sensing structural material with ultrahigh sensitivity. Although the possible use of these composites as photoactive materials has not yet been investigated, the photoactive properties of the closely-related graphene/geopolymer composites (Section 4.2) suggests investigation of the CNT/geopolymer composites would be worthwhile.
Figure 9. Schematic representation of the interface between a SiO$_2$-treated carbon nanotube surface and a geopolymer matrix.

4.5. Other geopolymer photocatalysts

Following their previous promising results using Cu$_2$O for the photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange [48], Huang et al. [54] obtained an improved photocatalyst by combining TiO$_2$ with Cu$_2$O. Their Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$ heterostructures, prepared by an alcohol-aqueous based chemical precipitation method, showed greatly improved photocatalytic activity compared with pure TiO$_2$ (P25), especially 50:50 Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$ compositions [54]. The photocatalytic efficiency of these for the degradation of acid orange II dye were reported to be 6 times greater than pure TiO$_2$ under UV-visible light, and 27 times greater under visible light [54]. Based on these findings, Falah et al. [55] prepared novel photoactive composites of spherical Cu$_2$O-TiO$_2$ nanoparticles with aluminosilicate geopolymers which would act both as an adsorbent and photocatalyst. The synthesis procedure of the Cu$_2$O-TiO$_2$ nanoparticles from copper acetate and TiO$_2$ (P25) is shown schematically in Figure 10. After SEM characterization of the heterostructured nanoparticles, 10-30 wt.% were added to an uncured metakaolin-based geopolymer mixture and cured at room temperature [55]. Under dark conditions, the geopolymer photocatalyst was found adsorb methylene blue dye, following first-order kinetics and Freundlich-type isotherms, but the adsorption process was less efficient in geopolymer composites containing 10 wt.% of Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$ than in the geopolymer matrix alone, probably due to blocking of the adsorption sites by the oxide nanoparticles. Under UV irradiation, the Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$ geopolymer composite removed the methylene blue dye by a combination of adsorption and photodegradation without destroying the geopolymer structure.
suggesting that these new geopolymer composites should be suitable for efficiently removing organic pollutants from water or the atmosphere.

Figure 10. Schematic diagram of the synthesis of Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$ nanoparticles by an alcohol/aqueous-based chemical precipitation method.

The previous study by Falah et al. [55] of Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$ geopolymer photocatalysts indicated that these materials can play a dual adsorption-photoactive role, but the presence of the nanoparticle oxides can hinder adsorption by blocking the pores in the geopolymer matrix. This led Falah et al. [56] to modify the matrix by inserting a large tertiary ammonium ion, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide, (CTAB) to facilitate access of the Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$ nanoparticles into the geopolymer pores. The amount of CTAB, based on the cation exchange capacity of the starting clay, was added, together with Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$ nanospheres prepared as in [55], to a metakaolin-based geopolymer composition prior to curing at 40°C. In experiments to remove methylene blue by a combination of adsorption and photodegradation processes, the adsorption of the dye by the CTAB-modified Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$-geopolymer followed pseudo second-order kinetics and Freundlich-Langmuir type isotherms. A comparison of the photocatalytic behavior of CTAB-modified Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$-geopolymer composites and Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$-geopolymer composites without CTAB under UV-illumination (Figure 11) shows that CTAB-modification of the Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$-geopolymer containing 10 wt. % of Cu$_2$O/TiO$_2$ nanoparticles results in an improvement in the removal of methylene blue from 55% in the unmodified composite to 97% in the CTAB-modified compound in 60 min. under UV irradiation, suggesting that further experiments to modify the geopolymer matrix may prove fruitful.
Figure 11. Removal of methylene blue dye from solution after 90 min. UV irradiation by composites based on CTAB-modified inorganic polymer matrices compared with geopolymers based on unmodified matrices. (I) geopolymer matrix, (II) 10wt.% Cu₂O/TiO₂-geopolm, (III) 10wt.% Cu₂O/geopolymer, (IV) 10wt.% TiO₂/geopolymer. Data from Falah et al. [55,56].

Zailan et al. [57] studied the effect of ZnO nanoparticles on the photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue by F-class fly ash-based geopolymers under ultraviolet irradiation. ZnO nanoparticles (2.5-10 wt.%) were dry-mixed with the fly ash prior to activation with sodium silicate and sodium hydroxide. The ZnO/fly ash geopolymer composite showed satisfactorily efficient photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue after 150 min of exposure to sunlight, and by increasing the ZnO content, more active sites were produced on the photocatalyst surface, increasing the number of hydroxyl and superoxide radicals, thereby facilitating the photodegradation of the dye. The effect of ZnO-based geopolymer paste surfaces containing various amounts of ZnO on the photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue dye under UV light is shown in Figure 12.
Figure 12. Degradation of methylene blue dye under UV irradiation by ZnO-based geopolymers containing different amounts of ZnO nanoparticles, re-drawn from data of Zailan et al. [57].

A novel photocatalytic geopolymer based on ground granular blast furnace slag (GGBFS) containing ZnO and graphene has been developed by Zhang et al. [58] for applications such as solar hydrogen production and treatment of wastewater polluted with dye. The photoactive geopolymer was prepared by mixing the slag with 0.1 wt. % graphene, activating with NaOH solution and curing at 20°C under 90% relative humidity for 24 hr. This material was then ground and the charge-compensating Na⁺ ions of the geopolymer exchanged with NH₄⁺ by treatment with NH₄NO₃ solution, followed by the introduction of zinc from a solution of Zn(CH₃COO)₂. At low loadings, the ZnO was amorphous, but at higher Zn contents it was in the crystalline form of zincite. The photoactive geopolymer was dried at 65 °C and calcined at 400 °C for 4 h in a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting combination of the ZnO, graphene and slag in a geopolymer of composition 15ZnO/GGBFS showed 92.7% degradation efficiency of basic violet 5BN dye in wastewater under UV irradiation, compared with degradation by the geopolymer matrix alone or ZnO alone (9.7% and 58.2% respectively, under the same conditions). The degradation efficiency of this geopolymer composite was also relatively unchanged over 5 reaction cycles (Table 4) and also showed excellent photocatalytic activity for the production of hydrogen (2281.3 μmol/g) from water (Fig. 13), compared with ZnO alone [58]. It was suggested that the slag-based geopolymer matrix acts as a support for the graphene and the photoactive ZnO semiconductor, which under irradiation produces photoinduced electrons in the conduction band. The proximity of the ZnO to the graphene enables the efficient transmission of these photoelectrons to the π-bond conjugate system of graphene, resulting in the efficient separation of photoinduced electron-
hole pairs, producing the hydroxyl radicals responsible for the second-order kinetic photodegradation of dye-polluted waste waters [58].

Table 4. Degradation efficiency of basic violet 5BN dye by a slag-based geopolymer containing 0.1 wt% graphene and 15ZnO under UV irradiation over five reaction cycles [58].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle number</th>
<th>Degradation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>92.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13. UV photocatalytic hydrogen production from water by ZnO/graphene GGBFS-based photocatalysts containing 0.01 wt% graphene, as a function of ZnO content. (a) 15ZnO, (b) 10ZnO, (c) 20ZnO, (d) 2ZnO. Data from Zhang et al. [58].

Zhang et al [59] have also reported the successful use of a GGBFS-based geopolymer containing graphene and CdO for the photodegradation of direct fast bordeaux dye in waste water. The preparation of the photactive geopolymer was similar to that described above for the ZnO-graphene/GGBFs geopolymer composite [59], substituting a solution of Cd(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}.4H\textsubscript{2}O for Zn(CH\textsubscript{3}COO)\textsubscript{2} solution. Samples containing 1-16 wt.% CdO were investigated but the most efficient photodegradation of the dye under UV irradiation (close to 100% after 100 min.) was obtained with the photocatalyst containing 8 wt.% CdO (Figure 14).
By contrast with the results for the corresponding ZnO photocomposite [59], the dye photodegradation by the CdO photocomposite followed first order kinetics [59].

Figure 14. Photocatalytic degradation under UV irradiation of direct fast bordeaux dye by CdO/graphene GGBFS-based photocatalysts as a function of CdO content, compared with NH$_4^+$-exchanged GGBFS geopolymer, from data of Zhang et al [59].

Saufi et al. [60] have reported an interesting geopolymer with intrinsic photoactive properties prepared from the mineral perlite, an aluminosilicate mineral containing 74.8 wt.% SiO$_2$, 12.5 wt.% Al$_2$O$_3$, 4.5 wt.% Na$_2$O, 5.42 wt.% K$_2$O, 0.9 wt.% Fe$_2$O$_3$ and 0.7 wt.% CaO. After activation with NaOH and sodium silicate, the geopolymer was cured at 60 °C for 24 hr. The photocatalytic properties of this geopolymer, which were suggested to be associated with the Fe$_2$O$_3$ component of the perlite, were shown to degrade methylene blue dye under UV irradiation with 97.8% efficiency in 4 hr. with a second-order kinetic process (Figure 15) [60]. These results open up the prospect of new types of geopolymers in which the photoactive moiety is supplied by one of the components naturally occurring in the aluminosilicate precursor.
Figure 15. Photocatalytic degradation efficiency of methylene blue dye by perlite-based geopolymer in the dark and under UV radiation, from data of Saufi et al. [60].

A fly ash-based geopolymer with similar intrinsic photoactivity was reported by Zhang et al [61], in which the photoactive components were the 4.4% Fe$_3$O$_3$ and 1.1% TiO$_2$ in the starting material. This geopolymer was shown to remove methylene blue dye from solution under UV irradiation with 92.8% efficiency (Figure 16) by a combination of adsorption in the geopolymer pores following pseudo-second order kinetics, and photodegradation, following third-order kinetics [61].
He et al [62] reported an unusual photoactive electroconductive geopolymer prepared by alkali activation of silicomanganese slag waste. The slag was blended with carbon black, activated with NaOH, cured for 6 hr. at 80°C then powdered. The powder was converted to the NH$_4^+$ form by immersion in a solution of NH$_4$CH$_3$COO, washed and dried, then impregnated with a solution of (NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$·4H$_2$O before calcining at 400°C for 4 hr. to produce a geopolymer containing CaMoO$_4$ and carbon black [62]. In addition to its electroconductive properties, the photocatalyst containing an optimum CaMoO$_4$ content of composition of photocatalyst was found to degrade BV5 dye, achieving close to 100% degradation under UV irradiation after 80 min.

5. Conclusions

Geopolymers have been shown to be capable of forming photocatalytic nanocomposites for removal of hazardous pollutants from waste water or the atmosphere. These ecologically-friendly inorganic materials can be produced at temperatures below 100°C from industrial wastes such as fly ash, blast furnace slags or mining residues, and their chemical and physical properties enable them to act as supports for photoactive species, including TiO$_2$, Cu$_2$O, Fe$_2$O$_3$, or carbon nanotubes and graphene. The combination of some of these species has been exploited in some cases to hinder electron/hole recombination, enhancing the performance of the photocatalyst. Geopolymers formed from industrial wastes such as fly ash or ground granulated blast furnace slag, or minerals such as perlite which contain photoactive Fe$_2$O$_3$ contaminants also display intrinsic photoactivity without the need for additional components; these materials have been less widely reported, but would be worth further investigation. In most cases the photocatalytic efficiency of these compounds was determined by their degradation of a dye such as methylene blue, both in the dark and under UV (solar) radiation.
These studies indicated a dual mechanism of adsorption by the geopolymer matrix and photodegradation by the photoactive species present. Thus, photoactive geopolymers represent promising ecologically-friendly, cost-effective and efficient materials for the remediation of toxic environmental pollutants.
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