1   *Article*

2   Love bites – Do venomous arachnids make safe pets?

3   **Tobias J. Hauke** [1,#]**, and Volker Herzig** [2,#,*]

4   [1]   Munich, 81377, Germany; t.hauke87@googlemail.com

5   [2]   GeneCology Research Centre, School of Science, Technology and Engineering, University of the Sunshine
6   Coast, Sippy Downs, QLD 4556, Australia; vherzig@usc.edu.au

7   *   Correspondence: vherzig@usc.edu.au; Tel.: +61-7-5456-5382

8   [#]   Equal contribution

10   **Abstract:**

11   With a global estimate of tens of thousands of arachnid enthusiasts, spiders and scorpions are gaining
12   increasing popularity as pets in industrialised countries in Europe, Northern America and Asia. As
13   most spiders and all scorpions are venomous and due to their mostly negative image in the public
14   media, several governments are already considering introducing legislation to regulate the domestic
15   care of potentially dangerous captive animals. We aimed to investigate the circumstances and effects
16   of exposure to arachnids kept in captivity. Thus, we collected and analysed data from 354 self-
17   reported bites and stings attributed to pet arachnids. Our data revealed that on average there were
18   less than 20 recorded envenomations per year with ~90% preventable by due care. We also
19   categorized the severity of the resulting symptoms and found that the vast majority of symptoms
20   were either local (60.7%) or minor (32.8%), 5.4% were asymptomatic, only 1.1% were severe and no
21   fatalities were recorded. Based on our database of bite and sting reports, we performed a risk
22   assessment for arachnid pet ownership and concluded that, with the proper care, arachnids can be
23   safely kept as pets and pose a lower risk than many other recreational activities.

24   **Keywords:** Spider; Scorpion; Pet; Bite; Sting; Envenomation

25

26   **Abbreviations**

27   BTS = British Tarantula Society

28   DeArGe = Deutsche Arachnologische Gesellschaft e.V.

29

1. Introduction

Despite their fearsome reputation in public media (Mammola et al., 2020), venomous arachnids such as spiders and scorpions have gained increasing popularity as pets in recent decades in some industrialised countries in Europe, Northern America and Asia. The continuously increasing demand for new arachnid pet species has wide-ranging implications including illegal trading (Fukushima et al., 2020; Law, 2019). On the other hand, arachnid pets also offer a diverse and easily accessible resource for research in various disciplines associated with arachnids, such as taxonomy (Montemor et al., 2020; Nunn et al., 2016), toxinology (Bibic et al., 2019; Klint et al., 2015), biodiversity (Huesser, 2018), protection of endangered species (Kroes and Maerklin, 2014), physiology (Foelix et al., 2009; Foelix et al., 2012) and evolution (Foley et al., 2019; Lueddecke et al., 2018). In addition to arachnids, other venomous animals such as centipedes or snakes are also becoming increasingly popular as pets and Europe is considered a global hot spot in regard to exotic pets (Fukushima et al., 2020; Law, 2019). A recent case of an escaped pet cobra (*Naja kaouthia*) that was on the loose for several days in Herne (Germany) before finally being caught safely (Aachener Zeitung, 2019) has caused public concerns about the suitability of venomous animals as pets. This has for example prompted the local government of North-Rhine Westphalia (Germany) to consider introducing regulations to control or restrict keeping potentially dangerous animals in captivity, including venomous arachnids (Aachener Zeitung, 2020). Other German states (Bayrische Staatskanzlei, 2015; Regierungspräsidium Kassel, 2007) and countries such as Italy (Parlamento Italiano, 2003) or the UK (UK Government, 1976) have previously introduced similar legislation. And further countries might also come to a point where they see the need to regulate venomous animals in captivity. Unfortunately, the responsible regulatory agencies rarely have the scientific expertise to judge which species should be considered as potentially dangerous. Therefore, we already provided our expert reports to three German states (Bavaria, Hesse, and North-Rhine Westphalia) and to a wider audience (Hauke and Herzig, 2017).

Our previous review article was mostly focused on assessing the potential danger of arachnids based on bites or stings that occurred in their respective countries of origin (Hauke and Herzig, 2017). For authorities to make sensible decisions about pet arachnids, they first need to understand the specific risks associated with arachnids held in captivity. By performing a global search for case reports in online forums and popular magazines dealing with pet arachnids and by distributing a questionnaire about such cases to pet owners in Europe and Northern America, we have compiled a large database of 354 self-reported bites or stings from pet arachnids. This database allows us to determine which arachnid genera are involved in these incidents and it also enables us to examine the range of effects resulting from the bites and stings. Comparing the genera responsible for these cases with their respective popularity in the pet scene, also helped to uncover genera which are disproportionately involved. Furthermore, for understanding the severity of each case, we classified the resulting symptoms as asymptomatic, local, minor, or severe. Overall, the analysis of our pet arachnid bite and sting database allowed us to perform a specific risk assessment for keeping arachnids as pets, which might be used as a foundation by authorities to base their future decisions on regulations related to arachnid pets.

2. Materials and methods

In order to maximise the number of pet arachnid bite and sting reports to be analysed in this study, we obtained cases from a wide variety of different sources. Therefore, we screened several internet forums as well as other internet resources (Angelfire; Arachnoboards; Arachnophilia; BTS homepage; Facebook; Poeci1; Reptilesworld; SKF – Schattenjaeger im Terrarium; SkorpionForum; The Arachnoholic; Vogelspinnenstammtisch Schweiz) for any reports of pet spider bites or scorpion stings in humans. As search parameters in these online media, we entered terms such as „bite", „sting", „bitten", „stung", „envenomation" (in the respective language of each online medium). In addition, we compiled pet arachnid envenomation reports from popular magazines ("Arachne", "Arachnologischer

Anzeiger", and "BTS Journal"). Finally, we prepared a questionnaire (see Supplementary Materials) and distributed it within the European and American arachnid pet scene in order to obtain feedback on arachnid envenomation cases that were caused by pet arachnids. Any cases that contained insufficient details or apparent errors or which reported symptoms unlikely to be caused by the bite/sting itself were excluded during a pre-selection process. All data were then compiled into an excel table (see Supplementary Excel file) for a detailed statistical analysis and to determine symptoms that are particularly prevalent after envenomations by selected arachnid genera. Due to the limited numbers of cases per species, we have restricted our detailed analysis of envenomation symptoms to the genus level.

In order to minimize any diagnosis bias due to potentially incorrect self-diagnoses by the pet owners reporting these cases, we only analysed those symptoms with an average incidence rate of at least 10% within spiders or scorpions. For the detailed analysis of envenomations by selected arachnid genera (with at least five reported cases), we used a 30% incidence cut-off rate. Given that there are no records available about how prevalent each arachnid genus is within the arachnid pet scene, we have estimated their popularity based on how frequently they are described in popular books on arachnid husbandry. A list of these books can be found in Table S1. In order to assess the severity of each arachnid envenomation, we introduced a classification scheme with four categories as detailed in Table 1 and which has been adapted from an existing classification for the severity of scorpion envenomations (Khattabi et al., 2011).

Table 1. Scheme used to classify the degree of severity of symptoms associated with each reported pet arachnid bite or sting.

Classification	Associated symptoms
Asymptomatic	No symptoms reported
Local	All symptoms remain localized to the bite/sting site
Minor	Radiated and/or systemic symptoms (non life-threatening)
Severe	Causing potentially life-threatening effects

3. Results

The present study is based on 354 case reports, which have been sourced from a wide range of media, including internet sources (84.5%), popular arachnid magazines (9.3%) and replies to our envenomation questionnaire (6.2%). The great majority of cases relates to spider bites (83.6%) with only a minority of scorpion sting cases (16.4%). The 296 spider bite cases were caused by seven different spider families (Figure 1A) from 47 different genera (Table S2) with the vast majority being caused by spiders of the family Theraphosidae (96.3%) (Figure 1A). The 58 scorpion sting cases were caused by scorpions from 22 different genera (Table S3) within eight different families (Figure 1B), although the majority of cases were caused by species from the families Buthidae (58.6%) and Scorpionidae (24.1%). In those cases where the sex of the envenomating arachnid has been identified, it turned out that mainly female arachnids were responsible (75.5% in spiders and 63.6% in scorpions). Also, a single (rather than multiple) bite/sting occurred in the majority of cases (81.3% in spiders and 76.5% in scorpions).

Males are over-represented among the victims of arachnids, accounting for 100% of scorpion stings and 82.0% of spider bites. According to the reasons that resulted in the contact with the arachnid, the majority of encounters were due to a deliberate interaction (e.g. maintenance, handling, transferring, or actively induced), whereas only a minority of cases were due to arachnids that had managed to escape or were prevented from trying to escape (Figure 1C). The great majority of bites and stings (85.0%) were delivered to the hand, including the fingers (Figure 1D). Only 10.5% of all arachnid exposures specified that they sought professional help through calling a hotline or visiting

126   a doctor or hospital (Figure 1E). The age of the victims of arachnid bites/stings ranged from 12 to 64
127   years with a median age of 32 (Figure 1F).
128

129

130   **Figure 1.** Envenomation statistics. All values are calculated based on those cases (the respective N-
131   numbers are indicated for each panel) that supplied the particular details. (**A**) Percental distribution of all
132   296 recorded spider bite cases across 7 different spider families. (**B**) Percental distribution of all 58 recorded
133   scorpion sting cases across 8 different scorpion families. (**C**) Percental distribution of the contact reason
134   with the arachnid. (**D**) Percental distribution of the bite/sting site in the victim. (**E**) Percental distribution of
135   the treatment location. (**F**) Violin plot indicating distribution of the age (in years) of the bite/sting victims.
136   (**G**) Violin plot indicating the year when the bite/sting occurred. Seven cases occurred prior to 2000 (i.e.
137   1951, 1979, 1987, 1989, 2x 1990, and 1999) but are not displayed for reasons of simplification. In the violin
138   plots in (**F**) and (**G**), the dotted lines represent the 1st and 3rd quartile and the dashed line indicates the
139   median.

140

141   We also performed an analysis of the popularity of particular arachnid genera (see
142   Supplementary Excel file) based on how frequently they are mentioned in popular books about the
143   maintenance of arachnids in captivity (Table S1). Then we performed a linear regression comparing
144   the popularity of each genus with the frequency of bites or stings that were caused by that genus in
145   our database (Figure 2).
146

Figure 2. Linear regression for correlation of popularity of (**A**) spider and (**B**) scorpion genera with their respective incidence rates for causing bites or stings. Those genera that have a disproportionate incidence rate (as defined by a difference from the linear correlation of at least 5%) are indicated by the respective genus name and the data point colored in red for a higher or blue for a lower incidence rate than predicted from their popularity, all other data points are in black.

Based on a first analysis of symptoms with an incidence rate of at least 10% in one of the arachnid orders (Table 2), the top 5 of the most frequent symptoms after scorpion stings are local pain (86.2%), numbness (20.7%), swelling (19.0), paraesthesia (17.2%) and radiating pain (15.5%). The top 5 symptoms after spider bites are local pain (79.4%), swelling (45.3%), puncture marks (29.1%), redness (26.4%) and muscle cramps (23.0%). Some symptoms were preferentially caused by spiders (muscle cramps, local bleeding, puncture marks, nausea/vomiting, generalised pain, stiffness, swelling, itching, and redness), whereas other symptoms were more likely experienced after scorpion stings (paraesthesia, numbness, radiating and local pain).

Table 2. Comparison of incidence rates (in %) of frequent envenomation symptoms (based on 10% incidence rate in at least one of the arachnid orders and ordered according to an increasing prevalence in spiders)

165 between all reported envenomation cases from scorpions (N=58) and spiders (N=296). The symptoms shaded in
166 grey have a higher incidence rate in scorpions, all other symptoms have a higher incidence rate in spiders.

Symptoms	Scorpion (%)	Spider (%)
Paraesthesia	17.2	12.8
Numbness	20.7	15.9
Radiating pain	15.5	13.5
Local pain	86.2	79.4
Redness	13.8	26.4
Itching	8.6	20.3
Swelling	19.0	45.3
Stiffness	3.4	12.5
Generalised pain	1.7	9.5
Nausea/Vomiting	1.7	9.8
Puncture marks	3.4	29.1
Local bleeding	1.7	14.9
Muscle cramps	0.0	23.0

167
168 The classification of all 354 case reports based on their degree of severity revealed that the great
169 majority of all cases are either local (60.7%) or minor (32.8%), with another 5.4% of asymptomatic and
170 only 1.1% of severe cases (Table 3).

171
172 **Table 3.** Comparison of the percental distribution of severity of symptoms between scorpion stings (N=58) and
173 spiders bites (N=296). The average distribution across all 354 arachnid envenomations is indicated in bold.

Symptom severity (%)	Scorpions	Spiders	Average/354 cases
Asymptomatic	5.2	5.4	**5.4**
Local	69.0	59.1	**60.7**
Minor	25.9	34.1	**32.8**
Severe	0.0	1.4	**1.1**

174
175 A separate analysis of the degree of severity of symptoms caused by arachnid genera that we have
176 previously classified as potentially dangerous (Hauke and Herzig, 2017) showed a profound shift
177 from local to minor symptoms in dangerous spider and a similar but less pronounced shift in
178 dangerous scorpion genera (Table 4).

179
180 **Table 4.** Comparison of the percental distribution of severity of symptoms for non-dangerous versus dangerous
181 arachnids. Data is based on N=244 spider bites and N=33 scorpion stings from non-dangerous and N=52 spider
182 bites and N=25 scorpion stings from dangerous arachnid genera according to our previous classification (Hauke
183 and Herzig, 2017). Incidence rates from 30% are highlighted in yellow (and in bold from 50%).

184
185

	Spiders		Scorpions	
Symptom severity (%)	Non-dangerous	Dangerous	Non-dangerous	Dangerous
Asymptomatic	6.6	0.0	9.1	0.0
Local	65.6	28.8	72.7	64.0
Minor	27.5	65.4	18.2	36.0
Severe	0.4	5.8	0.0	0.0

186
187 For the in-depth analysis of bite/sting symptoms within particular genera, we focused on those
188 symptoms with an incidence rate of at least 30% in genera with at least 5 case reports (Table 5). Similar
189 to the analysis for all the arachnid exposures (Table 2), the most prominent symptom observed in the
190 analysis of the selected genera was local pain, which ranged from 46.2% in *Avicularia* to 100% in
191 *Heteroscodra*, *Pelinobius* and *Hottentotta*. Symptoms that featured most prominently only in one or a
192 few genera are local bleeding (57.1% incidence in *Pelinobius*), paraesthesia (54.5% incidence in

193   *Heteroscodra* and 40% in *Hottentotta*), paralysis (33.3% incidence in *Omothymus*) and stiffness (50% incidence in *Omothymus*).

194

195   In order to obtain an idea about the severity of the symptoms in the selected arachnid genera, we classified each case into either asymptomatic, local, minor
196   or severe (Table 5). Our results highlight that the majority of all cases were local (in 12 out of 17 genera "local" had the highest incidence rate) followed by minor
197   symptoms (in four out of 17 genera "minor" had the highest incidence rate and one genus [i.e. *Hysterocrates*] had an equal incidence rate for "local" and "minor").
198   Asymptomatic cases were recorded only from some genera (seven out of 17 genera) but had an incidence rate of up to 23.1% for the spider genus *Avicularia* and
199   28.6% for the scorpion genus *Pandinus*, respectively. Only four severe cases were reported, which were caused by spiders of the genera *Poecilotheria* (3x) and
200   *Pterinochilus* (1x) and which resulted in the patient being hospitalized due to unconsciousness and several other systemic symptoms (e.g. nausea/vomiting; Table
201   S4).

202

203   **Table 5.** Analysis of bite and sting symptoms (shaded in blue, in alphabetical order) and distribution of severity of symptoms (shaded in green, Asymp. = asymptomatic, no effects)
204   in arachnid genera with at least 5 case reports. Incidence rates from 30% are highlighted in yellow (and in bold from 50%).

	Genus	N=	Itching	Local pain	Muscle cramps	Numbness	Paraesthesia	Paralysis	Puncture marks	Radiating pain	Redness	Stiffness	Swelling	Asymp.	Local	Moderate	Severe
Spiders	*Aphonopelma*	9	33.3	**88.9**	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	33.3	11.1	11.1	0.0	44.4	0.0	**88.9**	11.1	0.0
	Avicularia	13	38.5	46.2	7.7	0.0	15.4	7.7	15.4	0.0	30.8	7.7	15.4	23.1	**76.9**	0.0	0.0
	Brachypelma	7	14.3	**57.1**	14.3	28.6	14.3	0.0	42.9	14.3	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	**85.7**	14.3	0.0
	Caribena	13	46.2	**92.3**	0.0	7.7	15.4	0.0	38.5	0.0	23.1	7.7	38.5	0.0	**100.0**	0.0	0.0
	Grammostola	39	38.5	**79.5**	5.1	2.6	10.3	0.0	28.2	0.0	12.8	5.1	38.5	7.7	**79.5**	12.8	0.0
	Haplopelma	12	8.3	**66.7**	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	16.7	33.3	**50.0**	0.0
	Heteroscodra	11	9.1	**100.0**	36.4	36.4	**54.5**	0.0	9.1	36.4	45.5	27.3	27.3	0.0	18.2	**81.8**	0.0
	Hysterocrates	9	22.2	**55.6**	22.2	0.0	22.2	0.0	22.2	22.2	11.1	0.0	**55.6**	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.0
	Omothymus	6	0.0	**83.3**	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3	33.3	16.7	**50.0**	**50.0**	33.3	0.0	**66.7**	33.3	0.0
	Pelinobius	7	42.9	**100.0**	28.6	14.3	14.3	14.3	42.9	14.3	42.9	14.3	**57.1**	0.0	**57.1**	42.9	0.0
	Poecilotheria	49	12.2	**85.7**	**59.2**	22.4	12.2	2.0	32.7	22.4	32.7	20.4	**57.1**	0.0	28.6	**65.3**	6.1
	Psalmopoeus	10	30.0	**90.0**	10.0	30.0	10.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	**70.0**	30.0	0.0
	Pterinochilus	34	5.9	**91.2**	44.1	23.5	5.9	0.0	26.5	23.5	11.8	14.7	**55.9**	5.9	35.3	**55.9**	2.9
	Tliltocatl	18	11.1	**50.0**	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	11.1	33.3	11.1	**83.3**	5.6	0.0
Scorpions	*Centruroides*	10	0.0	**80.0**	0.0	30.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	30.0	10.0	10.0	30.0	0.0	**60.0**	40.0	0.0
	Hottentotta	5	20.0	**100.0**	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	**60.0**	0.0	**60.0**	0.0	**60.0**	40.0	0.0
	Pandinus	7	14.3	**71.4**	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	28.6	42.9	28.6	0.0

205

4. Discussion

The gold standard for assessing the toxicological effects from arachnid bites and stings is to rely on verified bites, which includes the taxonomical identification of the responsible arachnid species as well as the clinical follow-up of the resulting effects (Isbister and White, 2004). Pet spiders and scorpions are typically well identified at least to the genus (often even to the species) level by experts before being distributed in the pet trade, so that pet owners are usually well aware of the correct taxonomic identities of their arachnids (Fuchs et al., 2014). Accordingly, we assumed that the taxonomic identity of the arachnids in our study was correctly identified to the genus level, which we used for our data analysis. By relying on self-reported cases, the symptoms we recorded were mostly described by lay persons instead of medical professionals. Therefore, an objective reporting cannot always be assumed for each case. In order to minimize any reporting bias from less accurate diagnoses, we focused on symptoms with a higher incidence rate and on easy-to-self-diagnose symptoms (e.g. redness, swelling, pain, cramps, nausea). Symptoms that required an accurate measurement or a diagnosis by a clinician (e.g. irregular heartbeat, fever) were not included. Furthermore, it needs to be emphasized that this study focused on the circumstances, under which pet arachnid bites and stings happened, on the taxa being responsible for these incidents and on the scope of potential outcomes. Given that only a minority of bitten/stung humans are seeking medical help, this kind of reporting for the resulting symptoms has to be accepted as an inherent drawback of such kind of examination in order to allow gathering a comprehensive and significant number of cases. In summary, this study was designed to provide the framework for future case reports, which may then focus more deeply on the clinical effects.

Our results reveal some interesting details about sting/bite incidents with arachnid pets. Of those 354 cases recorded in our database, 10.5% of the pet owners that get bitten or stung required medical assistance and only 1.1% of all cases were classified as severe. We further noticed that the vast majority of all incidents occurred during deliberate interaction with the pet arachnids, with handling, maintenance, transferring and fleeing during these interactions amounting to 93.2% of all cases, whereas only 4.3% were accidentally caused by arachnids that have escaped unseen and 2.5% of all bites and stings have even been actively induced. In accordance, the hand (85.0%) and arm (7.5%) were the body parts most often targeted by arachnids. This implies that by applying the appropriate caution and safety measures (e.g. wearing protective gloves or using long forceps) when interacting with arachnid pets, the majority of all cases could most likely be prevented. The fact that the victims were mainly male is an unsurprising finding. Not only are men known to be more risk-loving, the majority of all arachnid pet owners are apparently male. In case of the Deutsche Arachnologische Gesellschaft e.V. (DeArGe) for example, 82.7% of all members are men, which nicely reflects their representation among the envenomation victims. Another unsurprising finding is that the offending arachnids were mainly female. Most of the cases were caused by theraphosid spiders and it is well known that female theraphosids have a much longer lifespan than males (Foelix, 2011) and in many cases the females are also larger than males of the same species (Herzig, 2010). Thus, this results in increased prevalence of females among pet arachnids and also in a lower risk of male arachnids causing notable effects.

What our data does not reveal is how big the global arachnid pet scene is and unfortunately there are also no simple ways to determine the global numbers of arachnid pet owners. The world's two largest societies dedicated to pet arachnids, the DeArGe and the British Tarantula Society (BTS), currently have 927 and 507 (paying) / 1113 (registered) members, respectively. However, we assume only a small fraction of all arachnid pet owners are willing to pay annual fees for being members of any of these societies. Apparently, the majority of arachnid enthusiasts obtain their knowledge on the maintenance of arachnid pets in captivity either from popular books or an increasing number is seeking free advice from social media (e.g. Facebook) or video channels (e.g. YouTube), respectively. The numbers of subscribers of popular YouTube channels dealing with arachnid pets ranges from the tens of thousands (e.g. "birdspidersCH" from Switzerland with 20,400 subscribers) to several

258   hundreds of thousands (e.g. "The Dark Den" from Croatia with 519,000 subscribers). Based on these
259   numbers, it would seem safe to estimate the global numbers of arachnid pet owners to be at least
260   several tens of thousands. Interestingly, when comparing the recorded number of bite and sting cases
261   over the past two decades, we noticed a 55% decrease from the previous to the current decade. There
262   could be several possible explanations for this observation. The hobby could be in decline, it could
263   be due to a reporting bias (e.g. more people tending to social media such as Facebook or video
264   channels like YouTube while posting reports in online forums, the main source of cases for our
265   present study, is declining) or due to an improved education of arachnid pet owners (e.g. learning
266   from the mistakes of others; more knowledge available about the behavior of arachnid species kept
267   as pets). Monitoring the envenomation incidents over the next decade might provide an answer to
268   this question.
269
270   Our data reveal that incidents with pet arachnids are dominated by spiders from the family
271   Theraphosidae (96.3% of all spider bites, 80.5% of all arachnid incidents) and scorpions from the
272   families Buthidae and Scorpionidae (totaling 82.7% of all scorpion stings, 13.6% of all arachnid
273   incidents). These numbers largely reflect the preference of particular arachnids among the pet
274   owners. However, a few arachnid genera caused a disproportionate number of cases. The spider
275   genera *Grammostola, Poecilotheria* and *Pterinochilus* and the scorpion genera *Centruroides* and *Pandinus*
276   were responsible for considerably more cases than would have been predicted based on their
277   popularity. One reason for the over-representation of some genera could be that they behave in an
278   unexpected way (e.g. erratic and fast movements), which could be the case for *Poecilotheria* and
279   *Pterinochilus,* or that they are less docile than reported in the popular literature, which could be the
280   case for *Grammostola* and *Pandinus*. Another explanation could be that a genus is under-represented
281   in the popular literature, which we believe could be the case for *Centruroides*. Only the spider genus
282   *Brachypelma* caused considerably fewer bites than would have been expected based on its popularity,
283   which we believe might be due to those spiders rather using their urticating hairs than their venoms
284   for defensive purposes (Battisti et al., 2011).
285
286   Only a few of the cases in our study reported outcomes that are considered uncommon for the
287   particular arachnid genus that caused the bites or stings and in some cases it was difficult to assess,
288   whether the mentioned effects are a direct consequence of the arachnid bite/sting or whether they are
289   rather attributable to pre-existing conditions. For example, four cases (unique case numbers 9, 270,
290   305, and 328, see Supplementary Excel Table) reported breathing difficulties in envenomation victims
291   with pre-existing asthmatic conditions. Whether these symptoms were directly caused by components
292   in the venoms or by increased stress due to the incident is difficult to determine based on the limited
293   data available in these reports. The fact that these symptoms in combination with the pre-existing
294   asthma were reported from a variety of spider (*Thrixopelma, Poecilotheria, Pterinochilus*) and scorpion
295   (*Centruroides*) genera would argue against a specific venom component being responsible. One case
296   (unique case 236, see Supplementary Excel Table) that has been classified as severe, which resulted in
297   unconsciousness amongst several other symptoms of the victim, also mentioned that the medications
298   administered by the ambulance staff were not tolerated and might have contributed to the severity
299   of the effects. Another interesting case (unique case 48, see Supplementary Excel Table) was stung
300   twice by the same scorpion species (*Pandinus imperator*) on two separate incidents. The victim referred
301   to the symptoms after the second time as being more severe, reporting strong pain, breathing
302   difficulties, circulatory problems, and shock-like symptoms. These symptoms are uncommon after
303   stings from *Pandinus*, which usually cause rather mild symptoms that are characterized by pain,
304   swelling, numbness and itching (Table 5). Allergic reactions to arachnid venoms, unlike for
305   hymenopterans, are rarely reported (Lima Araujo Melo et al., 2019; Srugo et al., 2009). However, some
306   allergens have been described from scorpion venoms (More et al., 2004) and were observed to even
307   exhibit cross-reactivity with hymenopteran allergens (Nugent et al., 2004). Thus, based on the
308   symptoms and the fact that the victim was stung repeatedly in separate incidents by the same species
309   of scorpion, a type-1 hypersensitivity reaction cannot be ruled out as a potential explanation for the

310   more pronounced manifestations following the second sting from *Pandinus* in unique case number
311   48. However, without more detailed clinical data on this case, a conclusive diagnosis is impossible.
312
313   The most prominent symptom caused by spider bites and scorpion stings in our database was
314   local pain, being observed in 80.5% of all cases. This finding supports many previous reports of local
315   pain being the most prominent symptom after arachnid bites or stings (Bucaretchi et al., 2000;
316   Bucaretchi et al., 2014; Isbister and Fan, 2011). Furthermore, pain-inducing venom components are a
317   well-established defense mechanism for arachnids (Bohlen et al., 2010; Inceoglu et al., 2003; Osteen et
318   al., 2016; Siemens et al., 2006). Other prominent symptoms particularly caused by spider bites were
319   muscle cramps, local bleeding, puncture marks, nausea/vomiting, generalised pain, stiffness, itching
320   and redness. On the other hand, paraesthesia, numbness and radiating pain were more prominently
321   observed after scorpion stings. A similar range of symptoms was also described from bites and stings
322   by Australian arachnids with pain being the predominant symptom caused by all arachnids and
323   paraesthesia and numbness exhibiting a higher incidence rate in scorpions (Isbister and Gray, 2002,
324   2004; Isbister et al., 2003b). However, comparing the actual percentages for the incidence rates that
325   we observed with other published results is hampered by differences in the diversity and
326   geographical origin of the arachnids involved in these incidents. The published literature on clinical
327   effects is heavily biased towards medically important arachnids. In contrast, our data on spiders
328   includes three cases (out of 296) from medically important spiders and is otherwise clearly focused
329   on the medically less important theraphosids, accounting for 96.3% of all spider bites. Previous
330   clinical evidence indicates that Australian and Brazilian theraphosid bites only caused mild
331   symptoms with pain being the most prevalent effect (Isbister et al., 2003a; Lucas et al., 1994). The
332   theraphosids included in our study originated from various parts across their natural distribution
333   range including the Americas, Africa and Asia. Geographic differences of the effects caused by
334   theraphosid venoms have already been documented with respect to certain African and Asian
335   theraphosids being implied to have a higher neurotoxicity in mice (Escoubas and Rash, 2004). Our
336   data supports that some African and Asian theraphosid genera such as *Heteroscodra*, *Pelinobius*,
337   *Poecilotheria* and *Pterinochilus* predominantly caused minor effects, whereas local effects were
338   predominant after bites by the New World genera *Aphonopelma*, *Avicularia*, *Caribena*, *Grammostola*,
339   *Psalmopoeus* and *Tliltocatl*. However, it is yet too early to draw a general conclusion about potential
340   geographic variations in the severity of symptoms caused by theraphosid bites as this should be
341   examined in a more detailed way by taking both the geographic origin as well as the phylogenetic
342   relationship into account. And other factors such as the venom amount might also play a crucial role.
343
344   Visual signs at the bite/sting site (such as puncture marks, bleeding, swelling, redness) were
345   more common after spider bites than after scorpion stings. This could be attributed to the relatively
346   large fang size of theraphosid spiders (Isbister and Gray, 2004). One symptom that was only caused
347   by spider bites and not by scorpion stings are cramps of skeletal muscles. In particular the genus
348   *Poecilotheria* caused an incidence rate of 59.2% of muscle cramps, which correlates well with the 58%
349   reported by a previous study (Fuchs et al., 2014). Our data therefore further adds to the evidence of
350   muscle cramps being caused by *Poecilotheria* venoms in vertebrates such as humans (Ahmed et al.,
351   2009; Fuchs et al., 2014) or mice (Andreev-Andrievskiy et al., 2017). Other theraphosid genera that
352   exhibited a high incidence rate of muscle cramps include *Haplopelma* (33.3%), *Heteroscodra* (36.4%)
353   and *Pterinochilus* (44.1%), with the latter two genera also previously being reported for inducing
354   muscle cramps in humans (Ahmed et al., 2009; Fuchs et al., 2018). In some of our cases, those muscle
355   cramps were reported to be long-lasting, sometimes occurring over periods of several weeks or even
356   months. While previous studies have classified muscle cramps as a severe symptom (Ahmed et al.,
357   2009), we do not share this view for two reasons. Firstly, the sole presence of muscle cramps does not
358   represent a reliable indicator for the severeness of an arachnid envenomation, as in some cases, the
359   muscle cramps were explicitly described as mild or locally restricted, whereas in others they were
360   experienced as extraordinary painful and debilitating. Secondly, skeletal muscle cramps are usually
361   not life-threatening, unless they affect the diaphragm muscle to interfere with breathing.

362
363   When specifically comparing incidents from those arachnid genera that we have previously
364 classified as non-dangerous to those considered potentially dangerous (Hauke and Herzig, 2017), we
365 found a shift from local to minor symptoms in spiders and a similar but less pronounced shift in
366 scorpions. This implies that incidents with potentially dangerous arachnid pets do not necessarily
367 result in severe consequences, with only 3.9% of the recorded incidents (i.e. three severe cases
368 amongst all 77 bites/stings) from dangerous arachnids causing severe symptoms. Notably, we
369 gathered several incidents from arachnids that are historically considered being of medical
370 importance in their respective countries of origins, namely from spiders of the genera *Latrodectus* and
371 *Phoneutria* and scorpions of the genera *Androctonus*, *Centruroides*, *Hottentotta*, *Leiurus*, *Olivierus*,
372 *Parabuthus*, and *Tityus* (Isbister and Fan, 2011; Ward et al., 2018). However, none of these were
373 involved in a severe envenomation in this study. Conversely, all four cases we classified as severe
374 were caused by the theraphosid genera *Poecilotheria* and *Pterinochilus*, with theraphosids being only
375 considered of minor medical importance in their countries of origins (Isbister et al., 2003a; Lucas et
376 al., 1994).
377
378   When using a risk matrix to perform an assessment for the risk associated with venomous
379 arachnid pets, the overall risk is determined by two factors, i.e. the likelihood and the consequence.
380 The **likelihood** of being bitten or stung by an arachnid is obviously increased, if they are kept at home
381 as pets. We recorded 354 incidents with pet arachnids, with most of them occurring in the last two
382 decades. On the background of tens of thousands of assumed arachnid pet owners globally, the
383 recorded case numbers appear low, although we have to assume that many inconsequential incidents
384 with pet arachnids have gone unnoticed if the pet owners did not consider a lack of symptoms worth
385 reporting. Nevertheless, the overall likelihood of being bitten or stung by an arachnid pet can be
386 considered low and there are a number of simple measures that arachnid pet owners can implement
387 to further reduce this likelihood. These measures for example include keeping arachnids in tight
388 enclosures to prevent accidental escape, using sturdy gloves or long forceps for any interaction with
389 the arachnids if required for maintenance purposes, and avoiding any direct physical contact. Our
390 data imply that ~90% of all cases were due to a lack of due care when interacting with the arachnids
391 and are therefore considered preventable. When it comes to the **consequences** of the arachnid bites
392 and stings, our data show that only four of the 354 cases (= 1.1%) were classified as severe. In other
393 words, about 99% of all cases showed either local, minor or no symptoms at all. Therefore, the typical
394 consequences (symptoms) of arachnid bites and stings can be regarded as mild. Combining a low
395 likelihood of incidents with the overwhelmingly mild symptoms of these bites and stings leads to a
396 low overall risk rating associated with arachnid pet ownership. Other hobbies and leisure activities
397 such as beekeeping or sports are associated with more severe outcomes. For example, over a ten year
398 period from 2009-2018, there were more than 16 annual deaths registered due to honeybees and other
399 hymenopterans according to statistical data from Germany and almost 50 annual deaths in the USA
400 from 1991-2001 (Langley, 2005). Sports or drowning related accidents from 2009-2018 accounted for
401 an annual average of 196 and 17 deaths, respectively, in Germany alone. Any recreational activity is
402 associated with specific risks and judging from the low overall risk associated with keeping arachnids
403 as pets, the introduction of legislative restrictions on this hobby seems disproportionate. However,
404 providing guidelines to arachnid enthusiasts for improving due care when interacting with their
405 arachnid pets could be helpful in preventing most incidents. As pet arachnids continue to be an
406 important source of venoms and toxins used for research to benefit humanity (Herzig et al., 2020;
407 Osteen et al., 2016), imposing unsubstantiated regulatory measures could even negatively impact the
408 progress of research as evidenced by research on venomous cone snails (Bjorn-Yoshimoto et al., 2020).

409 **5. Conclusions**

410   In the present study, we have compiled and assessed 354 self-reported cases of bites and stings
411 from pet arachnids. These cases resulted mostly in local or minor symptoms and only 10.5% of the
412 victims sought professional medical assistance. Furthermore, about 90% of these cases might have

413   been avoidable by applying due care when interacting with the arachnid and only 1.1% of all cases
414   were classified as severe with no recorded fatalities. By performing a risk assessment that is based on
415   the analysis of all cases in our database, we conclude that the overall risk associated with arachnid
416   pet ownership is low and that this hobby therefore bears a lower risk than many other more common
417   recreational activities. In general, we encourage the establishment of guidelines that provide
418   information and rules for the keeping and handling of "exotic pets" (not limited to arachnids) to
419   lower any potential risks for both animals and owners. At this stage, however, we do neither see any
420   need nor benefit in introducing legislation to stricter regulate or even prohibit arachnid pet
421   ownership.

422   **Supplementary Materials:** The following data are available online at
423   https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Love_bites_Do_venomous_arachnids_make_safe_pets_/12860231:
424   Supplemental Material, Supplementary Excel File, Questionnaire pet arachnid envenomations–English,
425   Questionnaire pet arachnid envenomations–German.

426   **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization; methodology; formal analysis; data curation; writing—original draft
427   preparation; writing—review and editing, T.H. and V.H.; project administration, V.H. All authors have read and
428   agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

429   **Funding:** V.H. is funded by a Future Fellowship from the Australian Research Council (FT190100482).

430   **Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank Geoffrey K. Isbister for helpful comments on the design of the
431   questionnaire and on the manuscript. We further like to thank the DeArGe (and in particular Tim Lüddecke for
432   extracting the member data), the BTS (and in particular Ray Hale and Mike Strick for extracting the member
433   data) and the Natur-und-Tier-Verlag for distributing our questionnaire, and all pet owners who contributed by
434   filling out and returning the questionnaire or by providing their envenomation reports in any of the other print
435   or online media.

References

437   Aachener Zeitung, 2019. https://www.aachener-zeitung.de/nrw-region/giftschlange-in-herne-wurde-gefunden-
438   und-eingefangen_aid-45481207 (accessed 2/9/2020).

439   Aachener Zeitung, 2020. https://www.aachener-zeitung.de/nrw-region/verfassungsbedenken-gegen-neues-
440   gifttiergesetz_aid-49617349 (accessed 2/9/2020).

441   Ahmed, N., Pinkham, M., Warrell, D.A., 2009. Symptom in search of a toxin: muscle spasms following bites by
442   Old World tarantula spiders (*Lampropelma nigerrimum*, *Pterinochilus murinus*, *Poecilotheria regalis*) with review.
443   Qjm-Int. J. Med. 102, 851-857.

444   Andreev-Andrievskiy, A., Popova, A., Lagereva, E., Osipov, D., Berkut, A., Grishin, E., Vassilevski, A., 2017.
445   Pharmacological analysis of *Poecilotheria* spider venoms in mice provides clues for human treatment. Toxicon
446   138, 59-67.

447   Angelfire, http://www.angelfire.com/my/vogelspinnen/bitereports.html (accessed on 19/10/2020).

448   Arachnoboards, https://arachnoboards.com/ (accessed on 2/9/2020).

449   Arachnophilia, http://www.arachnophilia.de/forum/ (accessed on 2/9/2020).

450   Battisti, A., Holm, G., Fagrell, B., Larsson, S., 2011. Urticating hairs in arthropods: their nature and medical
451   significance. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 56, 203-220.

452   Bayrische Staatskanzlei, 2015. https://www.gesetze-
453   bayern.de/(X(1)S(2bkyjovcongzv4gyn1jgac0x))/Content/Document/BayVwV96517-
454   137?AspxAutoDetectCookieSupport=1 (accessed 2/9/2020).

455   Bibic, L., Herzig, V., King, G.F., Stokes, L., 2019. Development of high-throughput fluorescent-based screens to
456   accelerate discovery of P2X inhibitors from animal venoms. J. Nat. Prod. 82, 2559-2567.

457   birdspidersCH, https://www.youtube.com/c/birdspidersCH/featured (accessed 2/9/2020).

458   Bjorn-Yoshimoto, W.E., Ramiro, I.B.L., Yandell, M., McIntosh, J.M., Olivera, B.M., Ellgaard, L., Safavi-Hemami,
459   H., 2020. Curses or cures: a review of the numerous benefits versus the biosecurity concerns of conotoxin
460   research. Biomedicines 8, 235.
461   Bohlen, C.J., Priel, A., Zhou, S., King, D., Siemens, J., Julius, D., 2010. A bivalent tarantula toxin activates the
462   capsaicin receptor, TRPV1, by targeting the outer pore domain. Cell 141, 834-845.
463   BTS homepage, http://www.thebts.co.uk/selenocosmia.htm (accessed on 19/10/2020).
464   Bucaretchi, F., Deus Reinaldo, C.R., Hyslop, S., Madureira, P.R., De Capitani, E.M., Vieira, R.J., 2000. A clinico-
465   epidemiological study of bites by spiders of the genus *Phoneutria*. Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo 42, 17-21.
466   Bucaretchi, F., Fernandes, L.C., Fernandes, C.B., Branco, M.M., Prado, C.C., Vieira, R.J., De Capitani, E.M.,
467   Hyslop, S., 2014. Clinical consequences of *Tityus bahiensis* and *Tityus serrulatus* scorpion stings in the region
468   of Campinas, southeastern Brazil. Toxicon 89, 17-25.
469   Das Informationssystem der Gesundheitsberichterstattung des Bundes,   http://www.gbe-
470   bund.de/gbe10/pkg_isgbe5.prc_isgbe?p_uid=gast&p_aid=0&p_sprache=D (accessed 2/9/2020).
471   Escoubas, P., Rash, L., 2004. Tarantulas: eight-legged pharmacists and combinational chemists. Toxicon 43, 555-
472   574.
473   Facebook, https://www.facebook.com/ (accessed 2/9/2020).
474   Foelix, R., 2011. Biology of Spiders. Oxford University Press, New York, USA.
475   Foelix, R., Rast, B., Erb, B., 2009. Palpal urticating hairs in the tarantula *Ephebopus*: fine structure and mechanism
476   of release. J. Arachnol. 37, 292-298.
477   Foelix, R.F., Rast, B., Peattie, A.M., 2012. Silk secretion from tarantula feet revisited: alleged spigots are probably
478   chemoreceptors. J. Exp. Biol. 215, 1084-1089.
479   Foley, S., Lueddecke, T., Cheng, D.Q., Krehenwinkel, H., Kunzel, S., Longhorn, S.J., Wendt, I., von Wirth, V.,
480   Taenzler, R., Vences, M., Piel, W.H., 2019. Tarantula phylogenomics: A robust phylogeny of deep
481   theraphosid clades inferred from transcriptome data sheds light on the prickly issue of urticating setae
482   evolution. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 140, 106573.
483   Fuchs, J., Martin, N.C., Rauber-Luthy, C., 2018. A verified bite by *Heteroscodra maculata* (Togo starburst or
484   ornamental baboon tarantula) resulting in long-lasting muscle cramps. Clin. Toxicol. 56, 675-676.
485   Fuchs, J., von Dechend, M., Mordasini, R., Ceschi, A., Nentwig, W., 2014. A verified spider bite and a review of
486   the literature confirm Indian ornamental tree spiders (*Poecilotheria* species) as underestimated theraphosids
487   of medical importance. Toxicon 77, 73-77.
488   Fukushima, C.S., Cardoso, P., Bertani, R., 2020. Description of the male of the critically endangered tarantula
489   *Typhochlaena curumim* Bertani, 2012 (Araneae, Theraphosidae), with comments on tarantula trade and
490   conservation. Zookeys 938, 125-136.
491   Hauke, T.J., Herzig, V., 2017. Dangerous arachnids–Fake news or reality? Toxicon 138, 173-183.
492   Herzig, V., 2010. Ontogenesis, gender, and molting influence the venom yield in the spider *Coremiocnemis tropix*
493   (Araneae, Theraphosidae). J. Venom Res. 1, 76-83.
494   Herzig, V., Cristofori-Armstrong, B., Israel, M.R., Nixon, S.A., Vetter, I., King, G.F., 2020. Animal toxins - Nature's
495   evolutionary-refined toolkit for basic research and drug discovery. Biochem. Pharmacol., 114096.
496   Huesser, M., 2018. A first phylogenetic analysis reveals a new arboreal tarantula genus from South America with
497   description of a new species and two new species of *Tapinauchenius* Ausserer, 1871 (Araneae,
498   Mygalomorphae, Theraphosidae). Zookeys 784, 59-93.

499 Inceoglu, B., Lango, J., Jing, J., Chen, L., Doymaz, F., Pessah, I.N., Hammock, B.D., 2003. One scorpion, two
500   venoms: prevenom of *Parabuthus transvaalicus* acts as an alternative type of venom with distinct mechanism
501   of action. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 922-927.

502 Isbister, G.K., Fan, H.W., 2011. Spider bite. Lancet 378, 2039-2047.

503 Isbister, G.K., Gray, M.R., 2002. A prospective study of 750 definite spider bites, with expert spider identification.
504   Q. J. Med. 95, 723-731.

505 Isbister, G.K., Gray, M.R., 2004. Bites by Australian mygalomorph spiders (Araneae, Mygalomorphae), including
506   funnel-web spiders (Atracinae) and mouse spiders (Actinopodidae: *Missulena* spp). Toxicon 43, 133-140.

507 Isbister, G.K., Seymour, J.E., Gray, M.R., Raven, R.J., 2003a. Bites by spiders of the family Theraphosidae in
508   humans and canines. Toxicon 41, 519-524.

509 Isbister, G.K., Volschenk, E.S., Balit, C.R., Harvey, M.S., 2003b. Australian scorpion stings: a prospective study
510   of definite stings. Toxicon 41, 877-883.

511 Isbister, G.K., White, J., 2004. Clinical consequences of spider bites: recent advances in our understanding.
512   Toxicon 43, 477-492.

513 Khattabi, A., Soulaymani-Bencheikh, R., Achour, S., Salmi, L.R., Scorpion Consensus Expert Group, 2011.
514   Classification of clinical consequences of scorpion stings: consensus development. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med.
515   Hyg. 105, 364-369.

516 Klint, J.K., Smith, J.J., Vetter, I., Rupasinghe, D.B., Er, S.Y., Senff, S., Herzig, V., Mobli, M., Lewis, R.J., Bosmans,
517   F., King, G.F., 2015. Seven novel modulators of the analgesic target Na$_V$1.7 uncovered using a high-
518   throughput venom-based discovery approach. Br. J. Pharmacol. 172, 2445-2458.

519 Kroes, T., Maerklin, T., 2014. Erfahrungsbericht ueber das erste *Poecilotheria* Auswilderungsprogramm im April
520   und Dezember 2013 in Sri Lanka. Arachne 19, 28-39.

521 Langley, R.L., 2005. Animal-related fatalities in the United States-an update. Wilderness Environ. Med. 16, 67-
522   74.

523 Law, Y.H., 2019. New tarantula highlights illegal trade in spiders. Science 363, 914-915.

524 Lima Araujo Melo, I.M., Dias Ramalho, R., Vieira Bezerra, M.M., de Oliveira Filho, I.E., Roberto Medeiros, C., da
525   Costa Gadelha, M.A., Pereira de Oliveira Pardal, P., 2019. Fatal anaphylaxis to *Jaguajir rochae* (Borelli, 1910)
526   (Scorpiones, Buthidae) in Brazil: a case report. Rev. Patol. Trop. 48, 187-194.

527 Lucas, S.M., Da Silva, P.I., Jr., Bertani, R., Cardoso, J.L.C., 1994. Mygalomorph spider bites: A report on 91 cases
528   in the state of Sao Paulo, Brazil. Toxicon 32, 1211-1215.

529 Lueddecke, T., Krehenwinkel, H., Canning, G., Glaw, F., Longhorn, S.J., Taenzler, R., Wendt, I., Vences, M., 2018.
530   Discovering the silk road: Nuclear and mitochondrial sequence data resolve the phylogenetic relationships
531   among theraphosid spider subfamilies. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 119, 63-70.

532 Mammola, S., Nanni, V., Pantini, P., Isaia, M., 2020. Media framing of spiders may exacerbate arachnophobic
533   sentiments. People and Nature, 1-13.

534 Montemor, V.M., West, R.C., Zamani, A., Moradmand, M., von Wirth, V., Wendt, I., Huber, S., Guadanucci,
535   J.P.L., 2020. Taxonomy of the genus *Ischnocolus* in the Middle East, with description of a new species from
536   Oman and Iran (Araneae: Theraphosidae). Zool. Middle East 66, 76-90.

537 More, D., Nugent, J., Hagan, L., Demain, J., Schwertner, H., Whisman, B., Freeman, T., 2004. Identification of
538   allergens in the venom of the common striped scorpion. Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol. 93, 493-498.

539 Nugent, J.S., More, D.R., Hagan, L.L., Demain, J.G., Whisman, B.A., Freeman, T.M., 2004. Cross-reactivity
540   between allergens in the venom of the common striped scorpion and the imported fire ant. J. Allergy Clin.
541   Immunol. 114, 383-386.

542   Nunn, S.C., West, R.C., von Wirth, V., 2016. A revision of the selenocosmiine tarantula genus *Phlogiellus* Pocock
543   1897 (Araneae: Theraphosidae), with description of 4 new species. Int. J. Zool., 1-54.

544   Osteen, J.D., Herzig, V., Gilchrist, J., Emrick, J.J., Zhang, C., Wang, X., Castro, J., Garcia-Caraballo, S., Grundy,
545   L., Rychkov, G.Y., Weyer, A.D., Dekan, Z., Undheim, E.A., Alewood, P., Stucky, C.L., Brierley, S.M.,
546   Basbaum, A.I., Bosmans, F., King, G.F., Julius, D., 2016. Selective spider toxins reveal a role for the Nav1.1
547   channel in mechanical pain. Nature 534, 494-499.

548   Parlamento Italiano, 2003. https://www.camera.it/parlam/leggi/03213l.htm (accessed 10/9/2020).

549   Poeci1, https://poeci1.de/poecilotheria/gift/ (accessed on 19/10/2020).

550   Regierungspräsidium Kassel, 2007. https://rp-kassel.hessen.de/umwelt-natur/naturschutz/artenschutz/private-
551   haltung-gef%C3%A4hrlicher-wildtiere (accessed 2/9/2020).

552   Reptilesworld,   https://reptilesworld.de.tl/Vogelspinnenbisse_-Betroffene-berichten.htm   (accessed   on
553   19/10/2020).

554   Siemens, J., Zhou, S., Piskorowski, R., Nikai, T., Lumpkin, E.A., Basbaum, A.I., King, D., Julius, D., 2006. Spider
555   toxins activate the capsaicin receptor to produce inflammatory pain. Nature 444, 208-212.

556   SKF – Schattenjaeger im Terrarium, https://www.skorpionforum.com/ (accessed 2/9/2020).

557   SkorpionForum, https://scorpionforum.darkbb.com/ (accessed 2/9/2020).

558   Srugo, I., Aroch, I., Cruchim, Y., 2009. Anaphylactic reaction to a spider (*Chaetopelma aegyptiaca*) bite in a dog.
559   Israel J. Vet. Med. 64.

560   The Arachnoholic, https://thearachnoholic.wixsite.com/arachnoholic/arachnoholic-news (accessed 2/9/2020).

561   The Dark Den, https://www.youtube.com/c/TheDarkDen/featuredv (accessed 2/9/2020).

562   UK Government, 1976. https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1976/38 (accessed 2/9/2020).

563   Vogelspinnenstammtisch Schweiz, https://vogelspinnenforum.ch/ (accessed 2/9/2020).

564   Ward, M.J., Ellsworth, S.A., Nystrom, G.S., 2018. A global accounting of medically significant scorpions:
565   Epidemiology, major toxins, and comparative resources in harmless counterparts. Toxicon 151, 137-155.

566   YouTube, https://www.youtube.com/ (accessed 2/9/2020).

567