

Galactic symmetry

Richard Oldani, no affiliation, retired

email: oldani@juno.com

ORCID: 0000-0001-6884-5614

Abstract

Differences between the quantum mechanical and relativistic concepts of time are explained by using the equivalence principle. The resulting clock model calls for microscopic equations of motion to be formulated in Minkowski space, and for photons to be described as four-dimensional localizations of field. Because the properties of energy are universal the equations have been extended to include galaxies in spite of vast differences in lifetime. The comparison is possible due to a fundamental symmetry based on the conjugate variables energy and time

Key Words: time, clocks; quantum mechanics; relativity theory; non-inertial frames; space-time linearity

1.0 Introduction

We do not have a theory of quantum gravity that successfully describes both quantum mechanics, governing the microscopic properties of matter; and relativity theory, its large scale behavior. It is hypothesized here that the greatest impediment to integrating these two foundational frameworks of theoretical physics is the question of time. Time is registered by clocks, devices that read out a one-dimensional sequence of increasing numbers. Currently there is no way to distinguish between clocks, a mechanism, and time, a concept. They are treated operationally as one and the same thing. On the one hand clock mechanisms are quantum oscillators consisting of electrons that transition between orbitals while registering time as a series of ticks. On the other hand, time is relativistic in nature and varies continuously according to a clock's motion and gravitational potential. In order to calculate the passage of time on the earth's surface corrections are applied to satellite time based on factors such as velocity and altitude relative to the earth's surface that are unrelated to clock function. The clocks in GPS systems are corrected for relative velocity and gravitational potential, but without knowing from a quantum mechanical perspective why it is necessary. In other words, clocks register ticks absolutely in rigid succession, but time is perceived relativistically as a continuous variable. Moreover, corrections made to the orbiting clocks due to velocity and gravitational potential are applied simultaneously. If clocks are conceived of as operationally singular mechanisms that indicate time with a string of ticks caused by a transitioning electron, then it is difficult to comprehend how the electron, transitioning within the atom in a regular way, is able to process inputs from two physically distinct external sources, velocity and gravitational potential. Clocks are described quantum mechanically, but

time is relativistic; and it seems contradictory to attempt to explain relativistic corrections with nonrelativistic theory. To resolve differences between the quantum mechanical and relativistic interpretations of time fundamental change will be necessary.

2.0 Non-inertial frames

Time is not an observable in quantum mechanics. Observables are measured by bringing a measuring device into contact with the physical system and different values of the observable are obtained depending on the state of the system. In quantum mechanics time measurements are performed without making contact with the observed physical system, a clock. So the time "measured" by clocks is not a quantum mechanical observable. It is just a numerical parameter, one of four coordinates, the same as in classical physics, and clocks are treated as simple measuring devices of absolute time. If time could actually be treated the same as spatial parameters, then a simple transformation of clock coordinates would be sufficient to make the quantum and relativity theories compatible. However, relativistic time varies *continuously* with respect to velocity and gravitational potential, and this contrasts sharply with quantum mechanical clocks which measure time in absolute increments. To understand why a fundamental difference between quantum mechanical time and relativistic time exists it is necessary to look at the origins of quantum theory.

Soon after the Bohr model of the atom was proposed in 1913 the assumption was made that the effects of gravitational field on an atom during the emission and absorption of radiation were so small that they could be neglected. At the time there were very few objections and no hard evidence to the contrary. The uncertainty principle was introduced upon further development of the quantum mechanical formalism providing "proof" and it contributed to the popular belief that a nonrelativistic formulation of quantum mechanics for atomic structure is both sufficient and complete. This caused the dynamic, classically inspired geometry of relativity theory to be set aside in favor of Hilbert space, an abstract complex linear vector space that is rigid. Because quantum theory is limited to applications in inertial systems there was no immediate need for it to be extended to non-inertial geometries. However, in recent years new and totally unexpected experimental techniques have been developed that can link 10,000 atoms in a lattice that functions as a single quantum oscillator and atomic clock [1]. This allows error due to thermal radiation to be essentially eliminated from properties of atomic structure and extremely precise measurements of time to be performed. When quantum oscillators of this type are used as clocks they are able to detect differences in the earth's gravitational potential as small as one millimeter [2]. It means that absolute time is no longer a viable concept and that time coordinates are to be treated the same as spatial coordinates with a different value at each point in space. Even the tiniest of gravitational potentials cannot be ignored; and in fact, *all physical laws should be formulated in non-inertial frames*.

Nonrelativistic quantum mechanics is formulated in inertial frames; that is, frames in uniform relative motion and in the absence of gravitational potentials. To be applied to events

in non-inertial frames it must first be formulated relativistically. Dirac noted that possibility in his paper on quantum electrodynamics [3], "The theory is non-relativistic only on account of the time being counted throughout as a c-number [classically], instead of being treated symmetrically with the space coordinates." In other words, for the time variable to be relativistically correct it must be treated the same as the space coordinates with both discrete and continuous properties. A fully relativistic theory of quantum mechanics formulated with space and time coordinates that are symmetrical *must* hold in all frames, also accelerated frames. It will then be possible to derive equations of motion in a gravitational field by applying the equivalence principle directly to the coordinates of an atomic clock. We seek equations that are consistent with experiments describing the behavior of atomic clocks in a gravitational field.

3.0 Gravitational perturbations

3.1 Deviation from the standard model

According to the standard model gravitons mediate the discrete exchanges of gravitational field energy at the quantum level. However, an experimental procedure to detect gravitons that could be performed in practice has never been developed. Because of their low cross section during interactions with matter it has been questioned whether the detection of gravitons is even possible [4]. An alternate method is to use the continuum space of general relativity theory and study the behavior of physical systems at the quantum level by perturbing them with a barely detectable gravitational field. A light beam that alters direction in propagation space by close proximity to a massive body is one example. The deviation from rectilinear motion is observed to occur continuously without perceivable corrections of a discrete nature. A second example is the perturbation that occurs when slight differences in gravitational potential cause an atomic clock to change its rate. The changes in rate occur continuously in response to continuous changes of gravitational potential, a result that is in complete agreement with relativity theory, but is not compatible with the foundations of quantum theory. In order to describe the slight changes in clock rate caused by gravitational perturbation in a way that is consistent with relativity theory an interpretation of quantum mechanics for non-inertial frames is required, one that includes time in both discrete and continuous forms.

3.2 Classical space-time geometry

In general relativity theory space-time geometry curves in response to the influence of matter. If it is curved significantly it would indicate that the background space-time is non-Euclidean. However, deviations by light rays from an orthogonal background are not measured in curved spacetime. The curvature at any point is determined by measuring a tiny angle of deviation with respect to Euclidean space. Although an imaginary framework of orthogonally configured measuring rods is used in practice at the macroscopic level to calculate the curvature of light rays due to gravitational field, the resulting classical space-time is often dismissed in practice by citing the discrete nature of quantum mechanics for

events that occur at the microscopic level. Thus in theories of quantum gravity the curved space-time of general relativity becomes a quantum field at the microscopic level, and like all quantum fields it has a granular structure in the same way that photons form the structure of an electromagnetic field [4]. Although it is possible to use photons to construct a quantum field with granular structure as in string theory and loop quantum gravity, it is also possible to use the linearity of light at the level of the photon to construct a classical field that is linear and orthogonal. Classically defined, orthogonal fields that use photons as “measuring rods” are routinely employed in the astronomical sciences to determine the distance between points in space.

Red shifts are used to determine the distance of luminous objects, their relative age, and the rotational velocity of galaxies. The time delay of a laser beam is used to determine our distance from the moon. If even an infinitesimal non-linearity were present as, for example, tiny differences in the homogeneity of space, the speed of light, or the properties of intervening matter; the billions of years in transit time of starlight would amplify them making useless attempts to assemble and compare data obtained by different collection methods or with respect to distinct wavelengths. Although the linearity of electromagnetic radiation during propagation is used to perform astronomical measurements at accuracies far beyond our ability to quantify them, it is not used at all at the microscopic level. The methods of quantum mechanics were derived from first principles to be stochastic and non-linear. Methods of renormalization ignore linearity in their interpretation of the behavior of quantum systems as a sum over histories or path lengths, and the same is true for entanglement phenomena which dismiss linearity altogether for wave function collapse. Theories concerning the quantum properties of matter arrive at extremely different conclusions about the linearity of space than do astronomically determined observations even though both are based upon the same experimentally determined properties of light. To determine the correct interpretation of photon linearity at the microscopic level we shall attempt in the next section to apply a classically defined photon field to the coordinates of an electron as it transitions between orbitals.

3.3 Gravitational perturbation of clocks

It is not possible to use a single clock to compare measurements in non-inertial systems such as occur in fields with a variable gravitational potential. To compare physical systems with differing gravitational potentials we use two separate clocks, one as measuring device and another as system. Let a laboratory clock T_L that is fixed in space serve as measuring device and let a second movable clock T_S be introduced as physical system. The system clock T_S may be subjected to different gravitational potentials and then compared with the laboratory clock T_L to determine how the clock rate changes. Clocks placed in locations of higher gravitational potential speed up with respect to a stationary clock, while clocks in uniform relative motion slow down. Thus, the state of the system T_S is determined by its velocity and its gravitational potential, and measurements of the time coordinate with respect

to T_L are performed without making physical contact with the system which is normal procedure for time measurements in both relativity theory and classical theory.

The same property of light that allows astronomers to measure the distance of objects at the edge of the universe (spatial linearity) and compare the period of oscillation of red shifts (temporal linearity) can now be used to extrapolate to the very small dimensions of an atomic clock to analyze space-time at the microscopic level. The linearity of the radiation allows the coordinate differences of oscillating electrons in atomic clocks to be easily measured with respect to a flat space-time. Consider a well-known test for relativity theory using cesium beam atomic clocks based on the Cs_{133} isotope. The clocks are flown around the world first in an eastward and then in a westward direction, and later compared to a laboratory clock fixed on the earth's surface [5]. The experiment demonstrates a slowing of clocks Δt_k that is greatest in the eastward direction of flight due to the earth's rotation and a speeding up of clocks Δt_g due to a decreased gravitational acceleration at higher altitudes. They are relativistic corrections to the time of the system clock T_S in the airplane which *cannot be described by a nonrelativistic model of clocks because they are located in a noninertial system of coordinates*. When Δt_k and Δt_g are summed at the end of the flight they will equal the time on the atomic clock T_L in the laboratory.

$$T_L = T_S - \Delta t_g + \Delta t_k \quad 1)$$

Because the clock rate is locally determined both on the airplane and at the laboratory the frequency of the Cs_{133} atoms, 9,192,631,770 Hz, does not change during the approximately one week duration, $\Delta T \approx 6 \times 10^5$ sec, of the flights. However, during that time period T_S speeds up relative to T_L an amount equal to approximately 2.7×10^{-7} seconds due to the combined influence of clock velocity and gravitational potential. The corrections are due to the relative velocity and altitude of the airplane, so *they occur simultaneously*.

The linearity of time allows the classical interval ΔT , or time of flight of the airplane, to be subdivided into a series of identically constituted clock cycles of period τ that sum linearly.

$$\Delta T = \tau_m + \tau_{m-1} + \dots + \tau_{n-1} + \tau_n \quad 2)$$

The clock periods τ correspond to single ticks of the clock, complete cycles of a transitioning electron between excited and ground states. Neglecting kinematical corrections, each of them includes an infinitesimal correction with respect to the laboratory clock that when summed gives the total correction factor for the gravitational potential Δt_g in 1). The maximum correction for *each clock cycle* is calculated by dividing the total correction by the approximate number of oscillations.

$$\frac{\Delta t_g}{(\Delta T)(v_{\text{Cs}})} \leq 2.7 \times 10^{-23} \text{ sec}$$

This corresponds to a path length of $ct \leq 8.1 \times 10^{-15}$ m a distance that is much smaller than limits set by the uncertainty principle due to the wavelength, $\lambda = 3.26 \times 10^{-2}$ m, whose purpose is to set a limit on the determinacy of an electron's distance from the nucleus. We interpret the above calculation as the maximum thickness of the electron shell; or, as an estimate of the

precision of atomic structure. Although we cannot measure the diameter of an electron shell that accurately nevertheless that level of precision is necessary for electron transitions to register the ticks of a clock that precisely. Thus, the experimentally determined linearity of electromagnetic radiation demands a precision in the structure of the cesium atom that is at least eleven orders of magnitude greater than the uncertainty principle.

3.4 Improved experiments

An improved measurement was made of clock rate differences between optical atomic clocks separated by a difference in height of 0.33 m [6]. After 40,000 seconds of data the authors found that due to the difference in gravitational potential the clocks exhibited a “fractional frequency shift” of 4.1×10^{-17} cycles/second. The frequency shift is caused by the difference in gravitational potential between atomic clocks. This corresponds to a fractional shift in wavelength $\Delta\lambda$.

$$\Delta\lambda = \frac{\Delta f}{f} \times \lambda = (4.1 \times 10^{-17}) / (2.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}) = 1.06 \times 10^{-18} \text{ m}$$

The fractional shift in wavelength represents an improved measurement of the accuracy that atomic coordinates must have in order to reflect a difference in height of .33 m. It is many magnitudes smaller than the uncertainty relation allows, since minimum uncertainty in position is given by the wavelength of the light.

The most recent and also most accurate clock experiments use a single crystal and ultraviolet light to differentiate between the gravitational potential of the crystal’s upper and lower surfaces, a distance of one millimeter [2]. Using the fractional frequency instability given for that experiment, 4.4×10^{-18} , we are able to determine the maximum thickness of the electron shell due to fractional wavelength.

$$\Delta\lambda = \frac{\Delta f}{f} \lambda = (4.4 \times 10^{-18}) \times (6.98 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}) = 5.3 \times 10^{-25} \text{ m}$$

Based on this experiment and proposed improvements to achieve even greater clock accuracy, space-time is hypothesized to be linear and homogeneous to infinitesimally small distances, and as a result continuously differentiable. The results of calculations for fractional wavelength provide compelling evidence to support a continuous rather than granular structure for space-time, and also support for the linearity of space-time, *important founding elements for theories of quantum gravity*.

4.0 Lagrangian quantum mechanics

4.1 Differential equations of motion

Experiments cited in the previous section show that space-time geometry is linear at the microscopic level. Therefore the general theory of relativity is unnecessary for interpreting the influence of gravity on a quantum oscillator at the local level. Frequency shifts have been measured in the laboratory and they show that the laws of special relativity govern the propagation of light in free-falling frames [7]. Since no observable distinction can be made between accelerated motion and motion under the influence of a gravitational force

the equivalence principle can be applied locally to the coordinates of an atomic clock; or equivalently, a quantum oscillator. The most natural mathematical structure to use when applying special relativity to the space-time structure of an atom is Minkowski space [8].

From equation 1) in section 3.3 and the experimentally confirmed linearity of light we conclude that the electron of the atomic clock T_S oscillates at frequency ν_{Cs} with relativistic correction to *each cycle* $\Delta t(\dot{x})$ due to angular velocity relative to the center of the earth and correction to *each cycle* due to an acceleration of coordinates $\Delta t(\ddot{x})$ between ticks of the clock. An electron in free space accelerates under the influence of a gravitational field due to its mass; however, an atomic electron cannot accelerate because *atomic structure is an invariant*. To describe the influence of gravitational fields on an electron in an atomic clock we use Minkowski space, hold the spatial coordinates constant, and let time vary. The spatial invariance of atomic structure means it is not possible to use ordinary space to describe the structure of an atom. Minkowski space has the advantage that the space-time distance of an electron transition is invariant, so that all frames of reference will agree on the total distance in spacetime between the ticks of a clock. The invariant properties of Minkowski space make it essential to our description of atomic structure, and allow the microscopic equations of motion to be given by a differential equation for the variation of *time with respect to space*.

$$t(x) = \tau(x) + \Delta t(\dot{x}) - \Delta t(\ddot{x}) \quad 3)$$

where $\tau(x)$ is the invariant clock period, $\Delta t(\dot{x})$ represents a continuously applied correction due to kinematical time dilation, and $\Delta t(\ddot{x})$ represents a continuously applied speeding up of time due to acceleration caused by increases in gravitational potential. Ordinarily an equation of motion describes the change in position with respect to time. However, the equation of motion of a clock in Minkowski space is given by means of changes in time with respect to position. Experiments with atomic clocks in a gravitational field described in sections 3.3 and 3.4 suggest that the presence of matter is necessary for a complete description of time.

4.2 Hamilton's principle function

Hamilton's principle states that the differential equations of motion for any physical system can be reformulated as an equivalent integral equation. The dynamics of the system described by 3) may be expressed as a variational problem for a functional based on the Lagrangian, which gives a complete accounting of the system's energy. In the case of the atomic clock the electron takes a path in configuration space with variation of the action (energy times time) a minimum and fixed boundary conditions (the electron shells) at the beginning and the end of the path. The integral equation describing the electron's path is an action functional $S[q(t)]$, something that takes a function as its input (the Lagrangian) and returns a scalar (Planck's constant), where q is expressed in generalized coordinates that are bounded in space by the electron shells. The Lagrangian of the electron's total energy includes the clock period $\tau(t)$, the velocities $\dot{q}(t)$ (the summed velocity of earth and airplane) and coordinates $q(t)$ (gravitational potential) as inputs and returns a scalar.

$$S[q(t)] = \int_0^{\tau} L(\dot{q}(t), q(t), t) dt = h \quad 4)$$

We interpret 4) in flat space-time as the evolution $q(t)$ of a quantum system during a *complete cycle* τ . The generalized coordinates describe the electron's location in a configuration space consisting of three coordinates that define the origin, or nucleus, and three coordinates that define the manifold on which the electron is constrained to move in three-dimensional space (the electron shells). In other words, the atomic clock/quantum oscillator is described in configuration space as having six parameters, three for the nucleus and three for the electron shells, a total of six degrees of freedom. Solving for the action we obtain an equation describing single cycles of a quantum oscillator, which are the individual ticks of an ideal clock.

$$E \tau = h \quad 5)$$

It is now clear why nonrelativistic quantum mechanics does not correctly describe clock behavior. The wave function is defined continuously in three spatial dimensions, but to describe the simultaneous changes in clock rate due to velocity and acceleration two systems of coordinates are required, discrete for accelerations and continuous for velocity. By treating time symmetrically with the space coordinates in four dimensions a relativistic theory describing atomic structure is obtained that accurately describes the behavior of a quantum oscillator. The endpoints of oscillations are conceived of as events on an electron shell which designate the ticks of a clock. The action $S[x(t)]$ describes a complete cycle of the atomic clock by taking into account the gravitational potential $\Delta t(\ddot{x})$ that accelerates the electron and a kinematic component $\Delta t(\dot{x})$ due to its continuous motion. Thus, atomic structure requires two complete four-dimensional space-times as a direct result of the equivalence principle; discrete coordinates that specify electron shells and continuous coordinates that describe an electron's continuous motion during transition.

Quantization is described as a four-dimensional transformation of fields between electron shells, the volume enclosed by the manifold. By treating time symmetrically with the space coordinates a relativistic theory of atomic structure is obtained that is superior to nonrelativistic theory because it accurately reflects influences of velocity and acceleration on the time coordinate. It is a classically derived model that describes space-time discretely in the Minkowski space of the atom, while the intervening space-time in which atoms reside and move is continuous and curved. Despite vast amounts of experimental evidence demonstrating that energy quanta are discrete it does not follow logically that space-time is also discrete as it is portrayed in string theory and loop quantum gravity. They are not equivalent concepts. Energy resides in space-time, is contained by it, and is subordinate to it. This is expressed formally by 4) which describes quantization as a localization of energy within the space-time manifold of an atom's configuration space, an electron shell. It distinguishes the Minkowski space of the atom from the curved space of gravitational fields that atoms reside in.

4.3 Lagrangian quantum mechanics

It is not possible to make a direct comparison of gravitational fields to electromagnetic fields. Not only are they of vastly different strengths, but gravitational potentials do not share differences in polarity that are characteristic of electric charge. Despite glaring differences in their gross outward physical appearance, there are similarities that exist on a more subtle level. The kinetic flow of mass whether linear or rotational creates a transversely directed gravitational field that is analogous to the transverse magnetic field caused by current flow. The induced gravitomagnetic field, or force is directed perpendicular to the mass flow and has been used to explain properties of relativistic jets emanating from the cores of quasars and other active galactic nuclei [9]. It is postulated here that due to mass-energy equivalence an analogous transversely directed force also occurs in response to energy flow. Quantum mechanics treats the energy emanating from stars, galaxies, and other celestial objects as observables. We require instead a theory of emission that is derived at the quantum level as a four-dimensional action integral, treats energy as a flow rather than an observable, and exhibits effects due to transverse fields analogous to those of gravitomagnetics. The particle model of energy emission given by 4) will be helpful in the development of a model that includes transverse fields because it describes quantization as a continuous evolution in time.

To compare the flow of gravitational energy with its electromagnetic counterpart we require a four-dimensional field interpretation of an electron transition that is described by 4). This is possible by following a suggestion from Dirac [10], "We ought to consider the classical Lagrangian not as a function of the coordinates and velocities but rather as a function of the coordinates at time t and the coordinates at time $t+dt$ ". Rather than specify emission as an event that occurs at a particular point in time as in nonrelativistic theory, Dirac is seeking compatibility with relativity theory by calculating the change in action of the electron over a space-time interval between *two* points in time. His intention is to replace the idea of quantized particle coordinates with that of a quantized space-time region, or "vibrating medium", that is complementary to the particle model. Beginning from a classical vantage point he uses a Lagrangian density given by the fields and its first derivatives $L(\phi_i, \phi_{i,\mu})$ to describe all the energy interactions that occur during an electron transition, where ϕ_i is the current density with *radial* symmetry and $\phi_{i,\mu}$ is the electromagnetic field strength with *transverse* symmetry. He continues by introducing boundary conditions for the fields. "We introduce at each point of space-time a Lagrangian density, which must be a function of the coordinates and their first derivatives with respect to x, y, z , and t , corresponding to the Lagrangian in particle theory being a function of coordinates and velocities. The integral of the Lagrangian density over any (four-dimensional) region of space-time must then be stationary for all small variations of the coordinates inside the region, provided the coordinates on the boundary remain invariant."

We can adapt Dirac's line of reasoning to the particle model of 4) by describing fields over a four-dimensional region of space-time with respect to invariant field boundaries coincident with the electron shells. The field model will then coincide with the time evolution

of an electron transition. We apply it in real time by considering an atomic oscillator immersed in a radiation field with an outer electron that occupies either of two allowable energy states. Emission initiates from the excited state $R_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ at time t_2 and it finalizes at the ground state $R_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ at time t_1 . Each of the energy states R_2 and R_1 determines a locus of points where the fields vanish and they may therefore be used to define invariant field boundaries. Following Dirac the integral of the Lagrangian density over the region of space-time between the excited and ground states will be stationary for continuous variations of the coordinates inside the region. Discontinuous changes in action with respect to the electron shells are evaluated by integrating the Lagrangian density four-dimensionally thereby yielding a relativistic formulation of emission that is invariant, the same for all observers.

$$S[\phi_i(t)] = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(\phi_i, \phi_{i,\mu}) d^3x dt = h \quad 6)$$

The end points of the electron's path are located on equipotential, space-like surfaces, and the action minimum is not equal to zero as in classical theory, but to Planck's constant h . The action $S[\phi_i(t)]$ is a functional, a function of the values of coordinates on the *discrete* boundaries of the space-time surfaces R_2 and R_1 which are in turn functions of the *continuous* space-time variables of the fields within the surface. The boundaries of the fields are uniquely fixed by the volume d^3x and the time interval t_2-t_1 . The field model given by 6) describes one-half cycle of an atomic clock, or photon, as opposed to the particle model 4) which describes a complete clock cycle, the excitation and decay of an electron between ticks of a clock. Clocks vary their rates due to gravitational acceleration between the *discrete* events t_2 and t_1 , and kinematically during the *continuous* propagation of the fields $(\phi_i, \phi_{i,\mu})$. This fully relativistic model of energy flow explains both the flow of energy and also its localization as a concentration of field, the photon. Because it is formulated with a time variable that is *symmetrical with space* the absorption and emission of energy is described as a continuous flow.

Inspection of equation 6) reveals no compelling reason for energy to be absorbed in discrete packets. In other words, the atom acts as an intermediary of quantization by erecting field boundaries at R_1 and R_2 thereby localizing superposed electromagnetic waves. Emission follows with an electron located at the space-like potential R_2 at time t_2 and ends in the ground state with exact potential R_1 at time t_1 . It is meaningless to speak of the "position of an electron" when describing the emission and absorption of energy since there are an infinite number of possible departure and arrival points on a space-like locus of points. The equipotential space-like surfaces representing the locus of points of all possible electron positions in an excited state and a ground state are the electron shells. Ideally the surfaces would be spherically shaped, but they are unobservable in principle since the observation of microscopic surfaces demands simultaneous detections, which due to special relativity theory are not allowable. As noted, three degrees of freedom are necessary to locate an electron on the surfaces of the electron shells and three to describe its path through the intervening space. The wave function is inadequate because it has only three degrees of freedom to describe both

the electron shell and motion between the shells, and also because it does not account for relativistic changes in clock rate due to velocity and acceleration.

Clock function is easily interpreted using equation 6). The unbounded transverse field energy from a laser is absorbed by a lattice of atoms, localized within four-dimensional field boundaries, and then emitted to produce a single clock cycle. Solving for the action we obtain the same result, $E\tau=h$, as in section 3.1. Thus, when energy is absorbed by an atom it is localized between two space-like equipotential surfaces and is subsequently released as a photon. The emission equation 6) gives both the discrete and continuous aspects of time, an important difference with the equations of nonrelativistic quantum mechanics where the wave function is only defined continuously and time is absolute.

4.4 Relativistic absorption of energy

In the relativistic equations 4) and 6) the excitation energy is quantized and it appears as a localization of fields. It means that a physical separation in the form of field boundaries exists between the matter and energy of an excited state. An electron does not receive, or absorb energy when it is excited, rather excitation causes field boundaries to be erected that localize energy within the atom and create a "bound" photon. Localized electromagnetic fields have been observed experimentally and it is referred to as "stopped light". The storage and retrieval of light are achieved for up to one minute in a rare earth element by converting light coherence in free space to atomic coherence in an excited state and back again [11]. The atom establishes discrete field boundaries that localize energy, while the transverse fields contained within them vary independently and are continuous in time. Because the fields are concealed from view by field boundaries the phenomenon of stopped light is being developed as a form of storage for quantum computing. Therefore we shall conceive of the absorption of electromagnetic field energy as a linear superposition of field potentials that occurs continuously over a time period equal to the wave period and transforms spontaneously into a field source, the photon.

4.5 Universal properties of energy

In classical theory we treat energy as a simple physical variable or property of matter and the same unit of measurement, joules, is used to measure all of its forms. Since only quantitative comparisons are possible in the measurement of joules the universal properties of energy are under appreciated or ignored. This is understandable in the case of classical interactions since systems are not precisely defined. Nevertheless, we see the same practice in quantum mechanics where energy is described as an observable in the Schrödinger time independent wave equation. Because each of the myriad forms of energy is conceived of independently, we cannot compare them with each other in a meaningful way. This is true despite the fact that universal properties of energy do exist. The conservation of energy has never been known to fail for either quantum or classical systems whether microscopic or macroscopic. Another well-known characteristic of energy, equipartition, is valid for all classical systems. As pointed out earlier experimental techniques have been developed that can link 10,000 atoms in a lattice that functions as a single quantum oscillator and atomic

clock [1]. Because the lattice is isolated from the environment it is hypothesized that characteristics of the electromagnetic field energy can be compared with the characteristics of gravitational field energy for isolated systems. The quantum system converts electromagnetic field energy into matter by means of a four-dimensional transformation. Similarly gravitational systems in the form of galaxies are centers of energy emission and absorption naturally isolated from the environment and it should therefore be possible to compare the way they emit energy with that of a quantum system despite their vast physical differences. *It is thereby postulated that the properties of energy, when described four-dimensionally with time, are independent of the material system which supports them.*

5.0 Gravitational field energy

5.1 Field transformation

This completes our analysis of time that is observed in clocks as discrete quantum mechanical ticks with continuously applied corrections due to velocity and gravitational potential. Because our model is relativistically correct it treats time evolution as an action rather than as a “propagator” operating on state space. Diffuse forms of energy become localized and materialize as independent entities, or photons. Thus the conversion of electromagnetic fields into matter is described by means of a four-dimensional localization. We wish to extend our interpretation of physical processes as a localization of fields to study the nature of gravitational interactions. This will allow energy-matter conversion processes to be compared without causing confusion due to the wide separation of magnitudes and other observable differences that exist for electromagnetic and gravitational processes. The equipartition theorem is already routinely used in astronomical studies to determine the conditions for star formation from a molecular cloud and to estimate star temperature [12]. This and the experimentally confirmed principle of energy conservation leads to the assumption that the laws of energy in nature are valid universally for all isolated systems. For these reasons we expect the conversion of mass to energy for gravitational and electromagnetic systems to be similar.

Currently attempts to combine the effects of electromagnetism and gravity are of two forms; unification of the field equations, as in classical unified field theories; and unification of forces, as part of the standard model in theories of quantum gravity. The theories' claims to legitimacy are based on attempts to derive equations that can predict the behavior of test particles with respect to the conjugate variables position and momentum. The reason these types of unifications have failed to produce the desired results is due to the simple fact that the fields are already unified in the form of electrons and other particles. The electromagnetic and gravitational fields coexist harmoniously within these particles and are superposed structurally without influencing each other. If they can exist in close proximity without noticeable effect we should not expect to detect a relationship in the far less intense setting of empty space. In fact no experiment has detected interference between the fields no matter how high the intensity. Everything we know about the fields indicates that in spite of being

unified by particle structure, they are manifested and experienced independently. To attempt to unify fields by only looking at their external properties ignores this common origin. Instead we must seek a solution by taking the opposite viewpoint and asking, Why do fields that have the same physical origin interact according to completely distinct laws? To be sure a successful field theory must account for the many complexities of fields, but more importantly it must explain how this complexity can arise from simple structures. By looking at similarities in the way that gravitational and electromagnetic field energy transform into matter we ensure comparison at a fundamental level.

In sections 4.1 and 4.2 we conceived of quantization as the absorption of electromagnetic field energy by a linear superposition of field potentials that occurs continuously over a time period equal to the wave period and transforms by means of a four-dimensional localization of fields into the photon. The energy flow described by equations 4) and 6) may be expressed classically as a time-averaged, transverse radiation field given by the vector potential alone.

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

The linearly superposed field potentials transform nonlinearly into a field source, the photon, with distinct field geometry. We are motivated to adopt the same method, as the linear superposition of potentials, for describing the time evolution of gravitational fields in free space and their transformation into matter by hypothesizing that the laws of energy apply in an equivalent way. The methods are also compatible because they are classical in origin.

5.2 General relativistic time

We wish to describe gravitational field energy similarly to the way electromagnetic field energy is described, as the time evolution of fields that begin in free space as linear potentials. Time evolution has different meanings as it is used in quantum mechanics and general relativity theory. The time variable is given by the ticks of a clock in the former by using atomic clocks and the linearity of light as measurement standards, while the latter gives the proper time independently of clocks. Clocks are undefined in general relativity theory because Einstein believed that “measuring rods and clocks would have to be represented as solutions of the basic equations” [13]. Although he was unable to extend the equations to incorporate clocks or measuring rods we can see how differences between theory and practice originate by looking at the Einstein equation defining the local curvature of space-time.

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad 7)$$

Time and clocks are treated in a fundamentally different way in this equation. Time is continuous on the left side in the form of proper time, with clocks and measuring rods present as test bodies. If clocks, or matter of any type is present in significant amounts it must be placed on the right side due to contributions of mass and energy. Thus, continuous time exists in free space whether or not clocks are present, while clocks are functionally distinct because they are described by the discrete ticks of a clock. The equation lacks symmetry because there can be ideal clocks on either side, but real clocks are only allowable on the right.

5.3 Transformation of gravitational field energy

The four-dimensional evolution of gravitational fields may be demonstrated by constructing a model for a gravitating body that has slowly changing mass-energy density. We begin with a uniform distribution of hydrogen atoms, a simple form of clock, in free space distant from each other. Both proper time and clock time are determined in Minkowski spacetime and the gravitational field intensity of the system at infinity is found by simply summing particle masses. Now let the attractive force of their mutual gravitational field cause them to slowly coalesce into a spherical body such that particle momenta remain small. Due to the equivalence of mass and energy gravitational field intensity is determined by summing particle masses and binding energy, the energy required to remove particles to infinity. The period of clocks slows and Minkowski space-time is replaced by a Riemannian metric that is described by the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$. An attempt to use space-time curvature to describe material structure would be unacceptable because it would place the continuous time of curvature on a more fundamental basis than the ticks of a clock, a quantum mechanical phenomenon. A background space that is continuous cannot be used to describe discrete phenomena that take place within the background space.

Accumulating atoms will cause an increasing gravitational pressure that generates heat and the emission of black body radiation, early signs of star formation. Eventually the Coulomb repulsion of the atoms is overcome by gravitational attraction in the form of a pressure gradient, protons are attracted to each other due to the strong force, and fusion initiates spontaneously in the star's core. The left side of the Einstein equation 7) interprets the star formation process as a continuous change in metric from Minkowski space to a Riemannian manifold with constant proper time. On the other hand, on the right side there is a slowing of clocks with the accumulation of matter and a corresponding dilation of proper time. After matter has accumulated over a time period of several billion years proper time slows to zero on a spherical surface, the event horizon, and a black hole forms with discrete time ($\Delta t=0$). We may refer to the event horizon therefore as a boundary condition with a space-like surface and singular clock time.

5.5 Gravitational emission equation

In equation 6) we described the localization of electromagnetic field energy and photon creation four-dimensionally, using space-like field boundaries in the form of electron shells and the discrete times of transition to localize fields within the shells consisting of both radial and transverse fields. The localization of gravitational field energy by means of a superposition of potentials is also characterized by the formation of a space-like field boundary, the horizon, with discrete time $\Delta t=0$. In addition to the symmetry that exists in the above mentioned mass-energy conversion processes other symmetries are apparent when comparing properties. The relativistic superposition of electromagnetic potentials is described by a Lagrangian density composed of radial and transversely oriented force fields with distinct physical origins. Similarly the superposition of gravitational potentials leads to a radially oriented baryonic force field observed in the galactic bulge and a transversely

oriented force field that is manifested by the radial acceleration of matter contained in the disc. Therefore symmetry arguments based on a comparison of potentials supports a theory that includes superposed forces of independent physical origin; a localized $1/r^2$ potential due to baryonic matter and a diffuse $1/r$ potential of distinct, but unknown physical origin. Therefore symmetry arguments favor a theory that can explain galactic structure by means of a continuous field law in a way that is more closely aligned to Newtonian dynamics than to a model based on dark matter.

We can show a formal relationship between the energy flow of photon creation, as expressed by the action integral 6), and black hole formation if it is assumed that the black hole represents a field source described by a Lagrangian density $L(\Phi_i, \Phi_{i,\mu})$, where Φ_i represents the acceleration due to baryonic matter and $\Phi_{i,\mu}$ represents the acceleration due to a different form of matter, localized within the same black hole, that is transversely oriented. It differs from a MOND type theory of acceleration which postulates a modified Newtonian law of acceleration arising from a single field source [14]. Thus the concept of a “two component” source for black holes gives a different interpretation of the Tully-Fisher relation, which states that multiplying the luminosity of a galaxy by the period of rotation yields a constant [15]. The units of the constant, energy times time, are of action and may be compared by means of symmetry arguments already established by the quantization of electromagnetic fields in 6); that is, by $E\tau=h$. It is hypothesized therefore that because the laws of energy apply universally in nature there is an equation,

$$E\tau=H \quad 8)$$

describing the transformation of gravitational field energy that is equivalent to the quantization of electromagnetic field, where H is the gravitational equivalent of Planck's constant. It means that the two field sources Φ_i and $\Phi_{i,\mu}$ are present within the black hole with the same intensity, just as the electric and magnetic fields of a photon have the same intensity. The relation 8) also predicts that observations of increased galactic emission energy, or energy flow, are an indication of a higher rotational velocity and a lower period of rotation.

It is a short step from postulating that the Tully-Fisher correlation represents the solutions of an emission equation to obtaining the equation. Symmetry arguments based on equation 6) suggest that the action integral of a galaxy's energy flow is a function of the values of the space-like coordinates on an event horizon R which are in turn a function of the continuous space-time variables of the matter within the surface, where integration is performed over all space.

$$S[\Phi_i(t)] = \int_R \int_{t=0}^{t=\tau} L(\Phi_i, \Phi_{i,\mu}) d^3x dt = H \quad 9)$$

The action integral $S[\Phi_i(t)]$ is a functional relating the surface of a black hole to the Lagrangian density of the fields within it. The existence of an emission equation governing galaxy energy flow suggests that galaxy structure is the manifestation of a field emanating from a supermassive black hole that consists of a radial component Φ_i due to baryonic mass causing

gravitational attraction and transverse components $\Phi_{i,\mu}$ of as yet undetermined origin within the event horizon causing radial acceleration. Because the integration is performed over all space it governs the dynamics of galaxy clusters as well. This hypothesis is supported by observational evidence that every galaxy has a black hole at its center and radial acceleration is directly proportional to its luminosity [16]. The forces are mapped on a flat background space in a reference system whose origin resides at the center of the black hole. The equations 6) and 9) both describe the emission of energy of a material system, atom and black hole, by including physically independent radial and transverse components. The fact that the emission equations are mathematically equivalent demonstrates a symmetry that is absent from theories that postulate the existence of unobserved forms of "dark" matter.

6. Conclusion

A fundamental difficulty that is encountered when attempting to unify quantum mechanics and general relativity theory is how to resolve the differences in their concepts of space and time; that is, whether to adopt the discrete, Euclidean background space of quantum mechanics or the continuous, curved space-time of general relativity theory. We have shown in sections 4.1 and 4.2 that both the Minkowski and Riemannian space-times are needed. Minkowski space describes atomic structure; the electron transitions between excited and ground states, and the space-like shells they occupy. The atoms, on the other hand, reside in the curved Riemannian space-time geometry of general relativity theory. Curved space-time cannot be used to describe atomic structure for it would place the continuous time of general relativity theory at a more fundamental level than the discrete ticks of a clock, a quantum mechanical phenomenon. Two physically independent space-times are necessary; one of structure to satisfy the concept of matter's physical extension, and the other to satisfy the idea of matter's propagation through space. The field boundaries described by equations 4) and 6) satisfy the required physical separation between the flat space-time of atomic structure and the curved space-time that resides between atoms. Misunderstandings occur when matter is created in the Minkowski space of an atom and subsequently expelled into free space. A well-known and highly publicized example is the case of "entangled photons" [17]. Once photons exit the field boundary of an excited atomic state they can no longer be referred to as a single entity or of having a common property.

The interdependent nature of the conjugate variables can be understood by comparing the way the laws of gravity and electromagnetism are applied. We use the conjugate variables position and momentum of test particles to map *local* configurations of the electric, magnetic, and gravitational fields. The energy and time variables, on the other hand, are used to describe *nonlocal, globally determined* coordinates both in space; as manifested by the space-like, equipotential surfaces of electron shells and the event horizons of black holes; and also in time by atomic clocks accurate to within 1 sec over the lifetime of the universe and the formation of galactic structure over time periods of the same length. Descriptions of the time evolution of cyclic processes by means of the conjugate variables energy and time are

governed by the universal laws of energy conservation and equipartition. When expressed by means of an action integral they give a relativistically correct description of clock mechanisms and of the localization of electromagnetic and gravitational energy during absorption and emission processes with an accuracy beyond our capacity to comprehend. On the other hand, descriptions of the natural laws that seek to derive equations of motion using the conjugate variables position and momentum are far more restrictive due to the uncertainty principle.

References

- [1] Hinkley, N., Sherman, J. A. *et al.* "An atomic clock with 10^{-18} instability", 2013. [ArXiv:1305.5869 69](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.5869)
- [2] T. Bothwell *et al.* "Resolving the gravitational red shift within a millimeter atomic sample". [arXiv:2109.12238](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.12238)
- [3] Dirac, P.A.M. "The quantum theory of the emission and absorption of radiation" *Proc Roy Soc A* **114**, 243 1927.
- [4] Rothman, T.; Boughn, S. "Can Gravitons be Detected?". *Found Phys* **36**, 2006 (12): [arXiv:gr-qc/0601043](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0601043). doi:[10.1007/s10701-006-9081-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10701-006-9081-9). S2CID 14008778.
- [5] Hafele, J. C., Keating, R. E., "Around-the-world atomic clocks" *Science* **177**, 1972, p. 166.
- [6] Marti, G. E., Hutson, R. B., "Imaging optical frequencies with 100 μ Hz precision and 1.1 μ m resolution", *PRL* **120**, (2018), p.103201. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.103201
- [7] R. V. Pound, G. A. Rebka Jr., *PRL* **3** (9) 439 (1959) doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.3.439](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.3.439)
- [8] Minkowski, H. "Das Relativitats Prinzip", *Ann Phys* **352** (15): 927–938. 1907 doi:[10.1002/andp.19153521505](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19153521505).
- [9] Williams, R.K. "Gravitomagnetic field and Penrose scattering processes". *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1045**, 2005 pp. 232–245.
- [10] Dirac, P.A.M., "The Lagrangian in quantum mechanics" *Phys Zeit Sow* **3**, 1933, p.1.
- [11] Heinze, G., Hubrich, C., and Halfmann, T., "Stopped light and image storage by electromagnetically induced transparency up to the regime of one minute", *PRL* **111**,2013, p. 033601. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.033601
- [12] Collins, GW. *The Virial Theorem in Stellar Astrophysics*. (Pachairt Press, 1978).
- [13] A. Einstein in P. A. Schilpp (ed.), *Albert Einstein: Philosopher-Scientist*, Vol. 7, Open Court: Illinois, 1949, p. 59.
- [14] Milgrom, M. "A modification of the Newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis". *Astrophys J* **270**, 1983. 365. doi:[10.1086/161130](https://doi.org/10.1086/161130)
- [15] Tully SR.B. & Fisher, J.R. "A new method of determining distances to galaxies" *Astron Astrophys* **54**, 1977, p. 661.
- [16] McGaugh, S., Lelli, F., Schombert, J. "The radial acceleration relation in rotationally supported galaxies" *PRL* **117**, 201101, 2016. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.201101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.201101)
- [17] Giustina, M. et al. "Significant-Loophole-Free Test of Bell's Theorem with Entangled Photons" *PRL* **115**, 250401 2015. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.250401>