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Abstract:
Cellular communications play pivotal roles in multi-cellular species, but they do so also in unicellular species. Moreover, cells communicate with each other not only within the same individual but also with cells in other individuals belonging to the same or other species. These communications occur between two unicellular species, two multicellular species, or between unicellular and multicellular species. The molecular mechanisms involved exhibit diversity and specificity, but they share common basic features which allow common pathways of communication between different, and sometimes very different species. These interactions have been made possible by the high degree of conservation of the basic molecular mechanisms of interaction of many ligand-receptor pairs in evolutionary remote species. These interspecies cellular communications played crucial roles during Evolution and must have been positively selected, particularly when collectively beneficial in hostile environments.

We think that communications between cells did not arise after their emergence but was part of the very nature of first cells. Synchronization of populations of non-living protocells through chemical communications may have been a mandatory step towards their emergence as populations of living cells and explain the large commonality of cell communication mechanisms among microorganisms, plants, and animals.
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Introduction
The cell is the structural and functional unit of all living organisms. Unicellular organisms such as bacteria, archaeb, yeasts, or protists consist of a single cell. In contrast, multicellular organisms such as sponges, nematodes, trees, or vertebrates can comprise from a few hundred to several billion or even trillions of cells. In such complex multicellular species, the cells exhibit many differentiated phenotypes playing highly-specialized functions and are often associated within individualized organs. The cellular activities are coordinated at the level of each organ as well as between organs, to allow adaptation of the living organism as a whole to its environment. This coordination rests on the
traffic of information between all cell types and constitutes in animals the endocrine, nervous and immune systems.

In unicellular species, all cells seem identical and independent, suggesting that it is the survival and reproduction of each of them that ensures the sustainability of these species. Nevertheless, communications do indeed exist between these cells hence allowing some coordinated common responses as well as specialized roles for sub-populations. These coordinations optimize the development and survival of unicellular species populations.

Moreover, information exchanges are not limited to cells inside an organism, not even to cells belonging to one given species. They also exist between cells from different species, whatever unicellular or multicellular.

Unicellular species have been prosperous for approximately 3.5 billion years. They can communicate indirectly through soluble mediators to regulate their growth and/or phenotype according to the available food resources. In spite of this success, multicellular species relying on direct cellular adhesiveness and specialization also emerged several hundred million years ago. The main innovation in plants and metazoan is the formation of highly specialized cell populations, requiring proper communications inside these organisms to ensure their development, survival, and reproduction.

All intercellular communications rely on intercellular messengers (mediators) and their cognate receptors in the target cells. The receptors play pivotal roles as they connect intercellular communications to downstream intracellular signaling. Despite the considerable diversity of communications among living species, the number of receptor types and transduction mechanisms is somewhat limited, suggesting their conservation during the Evolution.

In the present paper, we draw a general picture of cell communications inside multicellular and unicellular species but also between different species, whatever unicellular and/or multicellular.

1 Cell communications and communitarianism in unicellular species

By definition, the unicellular species do not possess specialized differentiated organs and cells. Nevertheless, communications exist between cells of unicellulars, inside or between different species.

The membrane receptors in bacteria often directly respond to nutrients [1], or to Quorum Sensing (QS) signaling molecules [2], and those in yeasts can besides respond to pheromones [3].

1.1. Bacteria

1.1.1. Biofilms

Some bacteria communities develop in synchronized fashion and form biofilms. In these protective films, bacteria construct a niche in which they share labor: Exterior cells fend off threats, while interior cells absorb nutrients for the whole community. These biofilms, consisting of polysaccharides, proteins, surfactant agents, lipids, glycolipids, etc., allow the bacteria to settle on biological surfaces (mucous membranes, teeth, etc.) or non-biological surfaces (pipes, floors, etc.) [4]. Biofilms protect the whole community of bacteria against antibiotics, disinfectants, or the host’s immune response.

Bacteria possess different mechanisms to recognize fibronectin and other proteins present in host-cell membranes to form adherent biofilms. They also rely on extracellular DNA (eDNA), present in the
biofilm matrix, which facilitates the initial stage of adhesion to biomaterials, forms a structural backbone, and acts as an aggregating glue [5,6]. It is still unclear, however, whether eDNA functions as a signal that promotes biofilm formation or solely as an early component of biofilms, mediating cell adhesion to surfaces and other cells.

1.1.2. Quorum sensing

The establishment of a biofilm requires a sufficient number of bacteria, and specific messenger molecules inform populations of bacteria that the required quorum is reached. Many quorum sensing (QS) signaling molecules and cognate receptors exist in various bacteria [7]. Both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria use quorum sensing systems with mediators named autoinducers (AI) [8]. Four main general types of autoinducers have been described:

1/ AI-1, mainly present in Gram-negative bacteria, are N-acylated homoserine-lactones (AHL) with a core homoserine-lactone ring and a 4 to 18 carbon acyl chain that can contain modifications [9]. The AHL bind to specific LuxR-type cytoplasmic receptors [10] which control transcription of numerous virulence genes, and to LuxN-type membrane receptors. 2/ AI-2, present in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria is are furanosyl borate diesters, considered as universal signal involved in unicellular interspecies communications [11,12]. AI-2 has either a positive or negative effect on biofilm formation in different bacteria. 3/ AI-3 mainly in Gram-positive systems typically use secreted oligopeptides [13,14] and two-component systems (TCS), which consist of membrane-bound sensor kinase receptors (QseC) and cytoplasmic transcription factors (QseB) which direct alterations in gene expression [2,15]. The Qsc receptor responds to AI-3 but also to AI-2 and, additionally, to epinephrine/ norepinephrine from eukaryotic hosts. Hence, it mediates both intra- and inter-species (even inter-kingdom) signalings [16,17]. 4/ P. aeruginosa also makes use of another QS system named Pseudomonas Quinolone Signal (PQS) [18] in which 2-heptyl-3-hydroxy-4(1H)-quinolone binds to its specific LysR-type transcriptional regulator receptor (PqsR) to control the synthesis of a rhamnolipid, which is a critical surfactant for biofilm formation [19].

Because QS systems are very efficient in bacterial virulence and resistance, they have become targets for host defense. For example, the human immune cells destroy the QS messenger AHL via a class of quenching enzymes named paraoxonases. The inhibition of cooperativity in pathogenic bacteria, is thus essential for the host's survival.

Interestingly, communities of the bacteria Bacillus subtilis belonging to nearby separate biofilms can coordinate their growth in phase opposition oscillations through QS. Such an alternate growth allows them to take advantage, each at its turn, of the total available resources during their alternating growth phases.

1.1.3. Electrical signals

The potassium signals released in biofilms can travel with constant strength for more than 1000 times the width of a typical bacterial cell. This electrical communication is considerably quicker and more extensive than quorum sensing. Bacteria, like neurons, pump ions across their membranes, and
several recent papers have reported spikes of electrical activity in bacteria, which suggest that, like neurons, bacteria use potassium ions to propagate electrical signals [20]. Besides, *Bacillus* bacteria seem to use potassium ions to recruit free-swimming cells to the community. Interestingly, the bacteria attracted not only other *Bacillus* but also unrelated species, to form multi-species communities.

1.1.4. Viruses and virus-related entities

Viruses and other capsidless replicons such as plasmids, transposons, and viroids promote an enormous amount of exchanges among bacteria. Bacteriophages are present everywhere bacteria are located and kill many of them, without the risk of disappearing themselves. In a way, bacteriophages are kind of QS mediators allowing bacteria to sense how many of them have already succumbed. Symmetrically, bacteriophages take advantage of the bacterial QS pathways to swap from active lytic to dormant lysogenic states. This coordination of the lysis-lysogeny decision of phages in *Bacillus* is based on the release of phage-specific communication hexapeptides. These peptides are imported in bacteria by oligopeptide permease transporter (OPP) and bind to their intracellular receptors which then no longer activate the expression of an inhibitor of lysogeny and consequently favor lysogeny [21–23]. This system also represents a communication system between viruses of different generations since the late newcomers infecting a population of bacteria are oriented to lysogeny. Doing so, they wait until the bacteria around are much less infected, to resume their lysis phase, and thus have access to naïve bacteria to replicate exponentially. Symmetrically, this mechanism offers bacteria a means to stop its exponential lysis by taking control of virus replication. Large parts of animal genomes, including human, consist of integrated virus sequences indicating that this mechanism has been widespread during Evolution. Besides, by transporting bits of bacterial DNA or RNA, bacteriophages and other virus-related entities bring genetic information from one bacteria to the other through horizontal gene transfer which is favored by the high density inside biofilms. Viruses might thus, also constitute a communication channel as well as an evolutionary pathway for bacteria.

1.2. Yeasts and fungi

Yeasts and fungi are different forms of the same eukaryote species. Yeasts are the unicellular forms, whereas fungi form multicellular branching filaments called hyphae. In many species, the two types exist depending on environmental conditions. In others, they live only under one form but can be recognized as related thanks to their biochemical or genetic characters. Yeasts, in particular, *Saccharomyces*, have been extensively studied because of their food-processing interest or their pathogenicity.

Direct communications between yeasts occur through membrane proteins such as flocculins, which are lectins recognizing their partners' polysaccharide chains to form a solid mass (veil, biofilm, etc.) [24,25]. Yeasts also communicate via soluble molecules that can diffuse and affect the community's organization on a long-range (quorum sensing). QS molecules identified in fungi include peptide pheromones, oxylipins, aromatic alcohols (such as tyrosol and farnesol [26]), α1-3 glucans [27] and pantothenic acid [28]. For example, *C. Albicans* cells develop as budding yeasts when inoculated at ≥10⁶ cells per ml and as mycelia when inoculated at <10⁶ cells per ml. For this transition, tyrosol and
farnesol act through receptors acting as transcription factors, which respectively, accelerate and block the change from yeasts to hyphae morphology [24]. Moreover, farnesol inhibits the immune system of humans hosting C. Albicans’ [29].

Communications inside fungi mycelia pass through pores in the septa delimiting the individual cells [30]. There are also communications between mycelia from the same species and, possibly, from different species as well as between mycelia and plants and/or bacteria [27,30-35].

1.3. Large unicellular eukaryotic microorganisms (“protists”)

Amoebas, paramecia, or trypanosomes are unicellular organisms with complex and large-sized morphologies. These cells present, however, in case of limited resources, phases with several different phenotypes.

In the amoeba, D. discoideum cyclic AMP acts as an intercellular mediator. Its export co-occurs with its intracellular synthesis by adenylate cyclase. Adenylate cyclases contain a general structure similar to ATP Binding Cassette (ABC) transporters [36], known to export anionic cargoes like cAMP in other organisms. ABC transporter inhibitors disrupt amoeba development in a manner consistent with a lack of cAMP export, indicating that cAMP plays an intercellular messenger role during D. discoideum development. In fact, cAMP is released in a pulsatile manner, and its frequency of release is essential, as there is an optimal pattern of periodic stimulation that maximizes target cell responsiveness [37].

When the density of bacterial prey becomes low compared to the amoeba population, the concentration of the PreStarvation Factor (PSF) sensor protein decreases, leading to activation of protein kinase YakA which relieves the inhibition of expression of a cAMP-dependent protein kinase. The latter stimulates the expression of several genes, including a cAMP membrane receptor responsible for the aggregation in spores through a positive cAMP-dependent activation loop [37,38]. Amoebas also use quorum sensing-like communication systems based on the complex dipeptide glorin [39], to coordinate the periodic transition from uni- to multicellularity.

Among trypanosomes, the cross-species interactions between QS systems have important implications for their virulence, transmission, competition, and evolution [40]. The parasites exploit oligopeptide signals generated by released peptidases to monitor cell density. Then a transporter protein takes up combinations of small oligopeptides to control trypanosome differentiation either towards the actively dividing slender form or towards the stumpy non-dividing form [41].

1.4. Lichens

Lichens are long-term intimate symbiotic partnerships between mushrooms and photosynthetic algae occupying nutrient-poor niches [42]. Inter-species communications are thus crucial for the initial steps of symbiosis in lichen formation and development [43]. Recognition of compatible algal cells is performed by specific lectins produced and secreted by the potential mycobiont. For example, the lectin of Peltigera canina recruits both algal cells (chlorobionts) and cyanobacteria (cyanobionts such as Nostoc), forming high-affinity bonds with different galactose units in the poly-α-1,4-galactoside side chain of their wall. Free non-motile cells of the cyanobacterium that bind the lectin
are recruited and move toward the lectin source [44,45]. Upon reaching the maximal concentration of the gradient, the cells become desensitized, and lectin binding promotes cell aggregation between the two partners [46]. Bacterial communities (particularly cyanobacteria) also participate in the constitution of these inter-species associations [47]. Some of these bacteria possess the genes allowing the synthesis of auxins and can thus attract their eukaryote partners (mushrooms and/or algaes), or be accepted by them.

1.5. Communities of extremophile species

Different species form stable associations to withstand harsh conditions. Extremophiles live at temperatures up to 120°C and depths more than 3 km [48] as they survived the late heavy meteorite bombardments by colonizing deep habitats [49]. The conditions considered as "extreme" today (hot or cold, harsh atmosphere, etc.) were "normal" during many periods since four billion years ago. During Earth history, there were several periods of high or low-temperature conditions that must have impacted life and also periods during which the climate has been impacted by Life (atmospheric O2 increase, for example). Almost complete glaciations of the planet occurred between -2400 and -2100 MY and again between -720 and -660 MY before the present (the snowball earth). Even today, there is a large number of microbes known to inhabit very harsh environments on our planet, including permafrost, hot springs, deep ocean hydrothermal vents, toxic sludge of polluted areas, and acidic lakes.

It is difficult to know whether real communications exist between these associated extremophile species or whether there is only a mutualization in the use of available resources of their harsh environment. New data suggest that environmental sensing and responses in natural multi-species microbial communities could arise through noncoding RNA-mediated gene regulation [50].

2 Cell communications in multicellular species

Multicellularity has only emerged and succeeded in fungi, algae, plants, and animals [51]. All multicellular organisms are eukaryotes, and the nuclear chromatin structure in each cell controls its specific fate through the expression of homeotic genes. This development in animals is controlled and coordinated only by a few extracellular ligands (such as Hh, Wnt, FGF, BMP, and some others) providing complex structuring information via their identities, concentrations, combinations, and dynamics [52] as well as via the constitution of niches, in particular for stem cells [53,54]. Intercellular communications through soluble mediators already existed in unicellular organisms, probably for 3.5 billion years. The specialization of various cell populations in multicellular species emerged much more recently, approximately 600 million years ago [55]. In these species, both direct and indirect cellular communication mechanisms co-exist.

2.1. Main cell communication pathways

Intercellular communications in multicellular organisms arise via four different molecular mechanisms: 1/ cytoplasmic bridges, 2/ exosomes, and ectosomes, 3/ interactions between membrane
proteins of adjacent cells, and 4/ messenger molecules (mediators) controlling more or less distant target cells.

In animals, adjacent cells can be functionally connected by direct contacts of their plasma membrane external surfaces. In plants, the separation of cells is evident in the microscope, as they possess outer skeleton-forming walls. Nevertheless, they are not functionally isolated from each other and communicate in various ways.

2.1.1. Bridges between contiguous cells

There are several types of cytoplasmic bridges, including gap junctions [56,57], plasmodesmata [58], tunneling nanotubes [59], and others formed by incomplete cytokinesis [60].

Gap junctions are pores formed by connexons allowing the passive passage in an aqueous environment of small-sized molecules (< 1.5kDa).

Plasmodesmata found only in plants, are membranous channels that link the cytoplasm, plasma membranes, and endoplasmic reticulum of adjacent cells to provide cytoplasmic and membrane continuity for molecular trafficking [61,62]. They are wide enough to allow the passage, not only of cytoplasmic hydrosoluble molecules but also of their endoplasmic reticulums forming a desmotube. Tunneling nanotubes (TNT), also named filopodia [63], mediate cytoplasmic molecular trade among neighboring or distant (~500µm) cells of the same or different species, thus facilitating both antagonistic and cooperative interspecies interactions [64]. Thin TNTs (<0.7µm in diameter) contain only F-actin, whereas thick ones (>0.7µm) contain microtubules in addition to F-actin [65].

Lysosomes, mitochondria, and bacteria, viruses, or prion, can travel via thick TNT, while the most studied function of thin TNT is the propagation of calcium flux [63].

Cytoplasmic bridges due to incomplete cytokinesis are found in animals: in male [62,66] and female gametes [67,68], and various other cell types (osteoclasts, hepatocytes). Such bridges are also found in plants [69].

Syncytia are kind of extreme forms of cytoplasmic bridges leading to multinucleate cells [70] as in muscle [71] or placenta [72,73] in animals, and that is more common in fungi in which cell cytoplasms communicate through large pores in their septa [74,75].

2.1.2. Extracellular vesicles: exosomes and ectosomes

Cells can exchange cytoplasmic material even when they are not in direct contact. Indeed, exosomes and ectosomes are two distinct kinds of extracellular vesicles (EV) generated by all types of cells. They play critical roles in intercellular communication. These EVs (50-150 nm for exosomes and 100-500 nm for ectosomes) differ in their mechanisms of assembly, composition, and regulation of release [76]. The tip of primary cilia is among the EVs shedding sites [77]. The EVs navigate through extracellular fluid before undergoing fusion with their target cells leading to the discharge of their cargoes, including proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids, into the target cells cytoplasm to affect the activity of transcription factors, signaling proteins and many enzymes [76].

EVs have been recently described in plants where they contribute to innate immune defense and communications with rhizobial and nodule microorganisms [78,79]. They are thus present in all domains of Life [80] and their roles should be taken in consideration with higher attention.
2.1.3. Direct interactions between membrane proteins

Many membrane multidomain proteins [81] allow cell-cell adhesiveness and communications. Many of these proteins exhibit repeated stable conformations such as Leucine-Rich Repeats (LRR) folds [82] fibronectin-like folds [83,84], immunoglobulin-like folds [85,86] or EGF-like repeats [87,88]. In animals, cells are in contact with each other not only directly through these adhesion proteins but also indirectly via the extracellular matrix, ECM, kind of an extracellular "glue," which includes proteins such as collagens, fibronectins, or laminins [89-91]. Various adhesion proteins such as cadherins, integrins, CAM (Cell Adhesion Molecules), selectins, etc., participate in these direct or indirect contacts between cell surfaces [92-94]. Communications between proximate cells also exist through their common extracellular matrix (ECM) components among which aminosugars play a pivotal role in expressing a Glycomic Code [95]. A particular intercellular connection is the synapse either between neurons or between a neuron and a muscle cell [96].

Specific animal cells are mobile (gametes, blood cells, etc.) and enter specifically in touch with various other cells of the organism, so modulating each other's activity. In plants, there is a thick polysaccharide wall around most cells, which prevents the plasma membranes of neighboring cells from coming into contact. Besides, walls are stuck in an extracellular matrix made of pectin, which immobilizes plant cells, including germ cells that need a particular structure, the pollen tube, to come in contact [97].

2.1.4. Remote intercellular mediators

Almost all cells, in uni- and multicellular organisms, produce soluble messenger molecules (mediators), capable of influencing distant cells. In animals, the best-known soluble messenger molecules (mediators) are hormones, but they also include neuromediators, cytokines, growth factors, morphogens, etc.. Their target cells can contact the mediator-emitting cell (post-synaptic cells) or be at a considerable distance in another individual (target cells for pheromones). In plants, many different hormones also exist and act at a very short distance, such as ethylene, or a more considerable distance such as auxin, gibberellins, and various phyto-oestrogens.

The mediators' receptors are located at the functional interface between intercellular communications and intracellular signaling. They belong to two prominent families: 1/ membrane receptors with their binding site at the external surface of cells, and 2/ intracellular receptors acting at the level of DNA (nuclear receptors in eukaryotes). The receptors of the first group bind to mediators that do not penetrate the cell, whereas those of the second group perceive only ligands capable of penetrating the cells.

As indicated above, DAMPs, among which ATP play intercellular roles (alert, inflammation, regeneration) [98-100]. Other nucleotides (ADP, AMP, cAMP) and NAD (Nicotine Adenine Dinucleotide) and their metabolite, the nucleoside Adenosine, also have messenger roles in prokaryotes as well as in eukaryotes. These molecules, initially released in the extracellular space due to cell lysis, were subsequently released during evolution, under controlled conditions, and became specific intercellular messengers in most species. Themselves and many of their metabolites now also play primary roles as intracellular messengers (ATP > cyclic AMP; NAD > ADPR, cyclic ADPR, NAADP). Similarly, ATP and NAD have retained intercellular messenger functions in animals in
which they are ligands of the P2X receptor (ATP-gated receptor channel) and of the P2Y receptor (seven-transmembrane spanning receptor) that are both involved in pain sensitivity [101,102]. In contrast, adenosine acts through P1 receptors [103]. In plants, ATP [104], NAD+ [105], and some of their derivatives also play extracellular mediator roles.

Other molecules with intracellular roles have also gained intercellular mediator functions like some amino acids, such as glycine and glutamic acid, which act as neuromediators [106]. Furthermore, melatonin, which initially had only an intracellular antioxidant role, subsequently acquired a hormonal function through 7TMRs in metazoans [107].

Other intercellular mediators are either small, simple molecules, such as nitrogen oxide (NO), amino acid derivatives (serotonin, melatonin, auxin, thyroxine, etc.), or amino acid polymers (peptide, protein and glycoprotein hormones, cytokines, growth factors, etc.), lipid derivatives (steroid hormones, prostaglandins, jasmonate, etc.) and various other molecules such as ethylene, gibberellins, etc.

2.1.5. Receptors

The receptors in plants and animals are either soluble intracellular transcription factors or proteins inserted in the plasma membrane (Table 1.). The nuclear receptors exist both in animals and plants, but whereas they form a large family of related transcription factors in animals [108], in plants, diverse proteins serve as intermediaries in the genomic actions of the hormones [109]. These binding proteins in plants are structurally very diverse, in contrast to the kinship of animals' nuclear receptors. Nevertheless, the general mechanisms in animals and plants appear to share many similarities [110].

Figure1. General view of intercellular messengers’ receptors and their downstream signaling pathways.
1. intracellular ligand-regulated transcription factor. 2-5 Plasma membrane receptors: 2. Protease-cleavable receptor with intracellular domain exhibiting transcriptional activity 3. Enzyme receptors (Tyr, Ser/Thr, His kinases, GMPcyclase, phosphatase); 4. Non-enzymatic receptors recruiting cytoplasmic partners (kinases, G proteins, scaffolding proteins); 5. Channel receptors (ionotropic). For details, see also table 1.
In brief, as shown in figure 1, the plasma membrane receptors of animals, and plants are either: channel-receptors letting in specific ions \([111, 112]\); receptors acting by direct catalysis (receptors with intrinsic enzymatic activity, i.e. protein kinase activity \([113, 114]\), or phosphatase activity \([115]\), or guanylate cyclase activity \([116, 117]\)) ; receptors acting through recruitment of various downstream intracellular effectors (G proteins \([118-125]\), adenylyl cyclases \([126-128]\), phospholipases C \([129-131]\), soluble protein kinases \([132-137]\), methyltransferases \([138]\), proteases \([139-142]\) etc.). These receptors possess \(a\) a very short or huge extracellular domain (ECD), \(b\) one, or several membrane-spanning sequences (seven in GPCR) and \(c\) an intracellular domain (ICD) comprising one or several peptide sequences. The ECD permits ligand binding whereas the ICD either possesses enzymatic activity like the insulin receptor (tyr-kinase) or TGFβ receptor (ser/thr kinase) (fig1.3), or recruits cytoplasmic soluble enzyme(s) like the growth hormone and cytokine receptors (Jak and Tyk kinases, or recruits G-proteins, like the numerous GPCRs (fig1.4) or is clipped off as a transcription factor to perform intracellular signaling like the Notch receptor (fig1.2). Membrane receptors and downstream partners are generally concentrated at the level of lipid rafts \([143]\) or primary cilium in metazoan \([77, 144]\). The downstream signaling pathways and their evolution have been thoroughly described in a recent comprehensive review \([145]\).

Many hormones are released in a pulsatile manner, and the frequency of their pulsatile secretion often governs the efficacy of their signaling. For example, GnRH under Kisspeptin stimulation exhibits pulsatile secretion \([146]\) that is important for the balance of pituitary FSH and LH secretions \([147]\). It is, therefore, essential to consider the identity of intercellular mediators but also their concentrations and eventual pulsatilities in the analysis of intercellular communications.

In the most “primitive” metazoa, the distinction between neuronal, endocrine, and immune systems is not clear-cut. For example, in cnidarians (medusae, corals), there is no endocrine gland, but the diffuse neurons secrete neuromediators and molecules that are chemically related to vertebrate hormones such as steroids, melatonin, or GnRH \([148]\). During the Evolution, the endocrine system has gained in complexity in all invertebrates but even more in vertebrates due to the dual duplication of the entire genome at the root of vertebrates’ radiation, and to the emergence of the pituitary as an integrator of an essential part of endocrine functions \([149-151]\).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Mechanisms</th>
<th>Ligands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LuxR (LasR, TraR)</strong></td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>QS autoinducers (various AHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LysR (PqsR)</strong></td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>PQS (various Quinolones)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nuclear Receptors</strong></td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>oleate, ergosterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Florigen (PEBP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>brassinosteroids, gibberellins, jasmonates, salicylates…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Steroid &amp; thyroid hormones, VitD, RA, prostaglandines…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ubiquitin-ligase</strong></td>
<td>protein degradation</td>
<td>auxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monomeric G protein (Ste23p)</strong></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>farnesyl tyrosol tryptophol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO sensing protein</strong></td>
<td>Two-step His/Asp transfer</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>soluble guanylate cyclase</strong></td>
<td>cGMP increase</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>His kinases</strong></td>
<td>Two-step His/Asp transfer</td>
<td>Environment signals (osmol, chemicals, temp, microorg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>His kinases</strong></td>
<td>Two-step His/Asp transfer</td>
<td>Ethylene, brassinosteroids…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>His kinases</strong></td>
<td>Two-step His/Asp transfer</td>
<td>arabinose, Mg++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Di-guanylate cyclase</strong></td>
<td>di-cGMP increase</td>
<td>environment signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tyr kinases (RTK)</strong></td>
<td>IRS, Shc Tyr phosphorylation</td>
<td>IGF, insulin, EGF…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ser/Thr kinases</strong></td>
<td>SMAD S/T phosphorylation</td>
<td>TGFβ, BMP, Activin, Inhibin…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>guanylate-cydase</td>
<td>cGMP increase</td>
<td>ANF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tyr-phosphatase</strong></td>
<td>Tyr dephosphorylation</td>
<td>Proteoglycans or unknowns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ionotropic R</strong></td>
<td>Ion entry</td>
<td>glutamate, acetylcholine…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notch</strong></td>
<td>Transcription domain liberation by proteolysis</td>
<td>Cell membranes proteins (Delta Jagged Serrate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cytokine R</strong></td>
<td>Kinase recruitment (JAK)</td>
<td>GH, Prl, interleukins,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BcR, TcR, FcR</strong></td>
<td>Kinase recruitment (lck, lyn)</td>
<td>MHC, antigens, immunoglobulins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNFR</strong></td>
<td>TRADD TRAF RIP caspases recruitment</td>
<td>TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrins</strong></td>
<td>SFK, Talin, Kindlin, Vinculin recruitment (cytoskeleton organization)</td>
<td>Extracellular matrix components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toll, TLR</strong></td>
<td>Myd88 recruitment</td>
<td>LPS, bact DNA, flagelin…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7TMR (GPCR)</strong></td>
<td>Trimeric G-protein recruitment</td>
<td>alpha mating factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7TMR (GPCR)</strong></td>
<td>Trimeric G-protein recruitment</td>
<td>hormones, neuromediators, pheromones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7TMR (mGluR)</strong></td>
<td>Homer recruitment</td>
<td>Glutamate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7TMR (Frizzled)</strong></td>
<td>Dishevelled recruitment</td>
<td>Wnt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Cell communications between uni- and multi-cellular organisms (Microbiotas)

Microbiotas are sets of commensal microorganisms of animals or plants occupying a favorable environment and exchanging numerous advantages to their shared host. It is most likely that these associations existed right from the origin of plant and metazoan lineages. Many experiments are now designed to determine the interactions among microbes and between microbes and host, as these microorganisms have to interact on the one hand with one another (intra-specific and inter-specific quorum sensing), and on the other hand, with their shared host. Reciprocally, certain intestinal mammalian hormones mimic the action of bacterial signaling molecules, thus increasing the complexity level of the bidirectional communication between bacteria and the host.

3.1. In animals

Animals host microbiotas at different locations. In vertebrates, microbes mainly settle in their intestine but also their mouth or skin and various other sites. In Ecdysozoa (insects, arachnids, etc.) and lophotrochozoa (mollusks, annelids, etc.), microbiota are hosted at various locations such as in intestine or cuticle. Most insect guts contain relatively few microbial species compared to mammals, but some insects harbor large gut communities of specialized bacteria. Others are colonized only opportunistically by bacteria from their environments. Microbiota in animals have significant influences on endocrine, nervous, and immune systems. The gut microbiota is not a random set of microorganisms, but rather, a complex community that plays critical roles in the host physiology. Commensal microorganisms are required for the maturation of the immune system, which "learns" to differentiate them from pathogenic ones. In mammals, the intestinal microbiota is a dense community of cells (10–100 times the number of own host's cells), comprising aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, archeas, viruses, fungi, and other microbial eukaryotes.

3.1.1. Bacteria in animals

The communications of microbiota bacteria with host cells are highly diverse affecting not only local intestinal functions but also general integrated functions. The best-known bacterial recognition patterns are lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and peptidoglycan (PG), which act through binding with host pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), including Toll (Drosophila), Toll-like receptors TLR (vertebrates), and C-type lectin receptors CLRs (vertebrates). In vertebrates, membrane TLRs of the epithelial and lymphoid cells of the small intestine, which are responsible for innate intestinal immunity, differentiate microbiota microorganisms and promote immunological tolerance towards them [152].

Moreover, chemical mimicry of animals’ signaling molecules such as neuromediators or hormones, can be present in commensal bacteria. For example, N-acyl amide synthase in commensal bacteria produce lipids, such as endocannabinoids, which interact with GPCRs involved in gastrointestinal tract physiology [153]. Some bacterial agonists regulate metabolic hormones and glucose homeostasis as efficiently as human ligands.
In *Drosophila melanogaster*, the commensal gut microbial community inhibits the immune deficiency (Imd) pathway to avoid defensine production against them [154]. Peptidoglycans are mostly released when bacteria divide and are, therefore, a signature of bacterial cell division rather than merely bacterial presence. Consequently, transient colonization with a high load of pathogenic bacteria induces a strong Imd response, while chronic, low-dose exposure to commensal bacteria instead stimulates Imd-dependent inhibition of the defensines production [154,155].

The mammalian gut microbiota not only affects the host's immune system but also its autonomous [156,157] and central [158,159] nervous systems, in particular, via the vagus nerve from the intestine towards the hypothalamus, where it controls the host's appetite [160] and other behaviors [161].

### 3.1.2. Fungi in animals

Substances such as pyrazines, produced by ants' fungal microbiota, are used as a guide for individuals to find their way back to the anthill. The leaves carried to their nests by these leafcutter ants serve as a substrate for the cultivation of *Leucoagaricus gongylophorous*, the fungal species on which they specifically feed. However, another fungus species can impair the ant colony's viability by growing on the fungus they eat. But the ants harbor a symbiotic bacteria that produce compounds that can kill the parasitic fungi without damaging the food source. Therefore, microbiotic bacteria influence not only each ant's physiology but also the whole colony's behavior for its food resource (tracks back to the nest and food protection inside it). In the model species *Drosophila*, behavioral responses to 25 fungal pyrazines vary widely despite their chemical similarity, ranging from strong, attractive responses to no response at all. Two olfactory receptors in *Drosophila*, Or33b, and Or59a, yield remarkably long-lasting responses to certain pyrazines [162].

### 3.2. In plants

The conquest of land by terrestrial plants occurred thanks to their interactions with fungi, and the survival of animals and plants is dependent on their respective microbiota. It is thus interesting to consider which molecular communication tools are present in unicellular and/or multicellular species, and how these mechanisms ensure optimal cell interactions favoring their respective survival and joint expansion. Despite the tremendous diversity of mutualistic symbioses of plants with microorganisms, a common symbiosis pathway was involved [163].

The rhizobiota (root microbiota) is the set of the soil organisms (bacteria, mushrooms, virus, etc.) interacting with plant roots and so supplying them with many compounds that they do not synthesize. The term rhizobium is often used instead of rhizobiota. There are two types of symbioses with plants roots, mycorrhiza with fungi in almost all plants, and rhizobium with bacteria, mostly in the form of nodules in leguminoses.

Plants contain a significant number of Pattern Recognition Receptors (PRRs) that share remarkable structural and functional similarity with the Drosophila Toll receptor and mammalian Toll-like Receptors (TLRs) recognizing various pathogens or mediators. The plant PPRs are either membrane receptors with intrinsic intracytoplasmic kinase activity (RLKs) or non-kinase membrane receptors (RLPs) able to recruit RLKs and cytoplasmic kinases [164,165].
Plants are also able to cope with their microbiota through the emission of extracellular vesicles [79] and complex cross-talks through their respective secretomes to constitute functional holobionts [166].

3.2.1. Fungi in plants

Mycorrhiza (symbiosis of mushrooms with plant roots) is considered to be at the origin of the colonization of the dry land by water plants, approximately 450 million years ago. Indeed the widespread mycorrhization, together with the fossil record, indicates that plants and fungi have evolved in a mycorrhizal relationship since the colonization of land by early plants. More than 90% of the living land plants can form a mycorrhizal symbiosis, and a non-mycorrhizal status is an exception. Besides, the mycorrhizal network allows communications between plants of the same species or different species. There are two types of mycorrhization: arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) and ectomycorrhizae (EM):

The arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi have developed a symbiotic relationship with most (>80%) land plants; this is highly beneficial for the uptake of minerals and water from the soil by plants [167,168] and for providing carbon sources to fungi. Hormones from the plants play a prominent role in AM establishment [169]. In AM, fungal hyphae penetrate the cortical tissues of roots, between the cell wall and plasma membrane. They display intracellular coils, vesicles, and arbuscules as well as lysis of the fungus inside the host cells.

Although AM interactions are physically restricted to the roots, they influence the whole-plant performance. Indeed, changes in foliar levels of carbohydrates, proteins, and amino acids, as well as secondary metabolites and phytohormones, respond to AM inoculation. Blumenol C or its derivatives found in leaves is produced only in the roots of AM symbiotic plants and subsequently transported up to the shoots or leaves [170].

Ectomycorrhizae (EM) develop a fungal system close to the roots; they form a mantle surrounding short roots and a network (called Hartig net) that penetrates between the roots cortical cells. The establishment of mycorrhization requires complex dialogue between the two partners, including the perception by the roots of specific lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCOs), called Myc-LCO, secreted by fungi.

Fungal His-kinase receptors share a high degree of similarity with receptors for plant hormones (ethylene and cytokinin receptors). Importantly, these phytohormone receptor homologs were present in early fungi and must have played an essential role in their interaction with plants, leading to their joint conquest of land. The exact role of auxin in plant-fungus interactions is still elusive, but several studies indicate that auxin plays beneficial roles in fungal symbioses. Mutualistic fungi modify auxin biosynthesis and transport, thus promoting plant growth [171]. Some ectomycorrhizal fungi evolved from root endophytes coming from accompanying trees [172], thus participating in plant-plant interactions.

3.2.2. Bacteria in plants

Endophytic bacteria represent a major part of the plant microbiome. After entry into the roots, the endophytic bacteria colonize the whole plant and exert growth-promoting activities by increasing the
availability of limiting plant nutrients, such as nitrogen, iron, and phosphorus [173]. Two groups of bacteria can induce the formation of roots nitrogen-fixing nodules: proteobacteria called rhizobia, which associate with Legumes (~20,000 species) or actinobacteria, which can interact with approximately 220 species, belonging to eight different families called actinorhizal plants [174].

The rhizobium–legume symbiosis provides sufficient fixed nitrogen for legumes to grow and reproduce in N-poor soils, and the nodules become a source of photosynthetic carbon to bacteria. First, immature and growing root hair cells are subjected to bacterial infection, and secondly, cortical cells near these infections dedifferentiate and become a nodule [175]. In such legume nodules, specialized cells can contain thousands of bacteria, which are always surrounded by a plant membrane that forms a symbiotic interface.

In rhizobium-induced nodule organogenesis, the first signal comes from the roots and is a cocktail of flavonoids. These flavonoids stimulate the synthesis of nodulation (Nod) factors by the bacteria which cause around them, the formation of nodules by roots. Nod factors are lipochitooligosaccharides (LCOs) that act via the hetero-dimerization of root membrane receptors, among which a Ser/Thr protein-kinase receptor and a calcium-and calmodulin-dependent kinase (CCaMK) that is activated by Nod factor-induced calcium oscillations in the nucleus [176]. CCaMK appears to play a pivotal role as intrinsically active forms of CCaMK induce the formation of spontaneous nodules in model legumes but also actinorhizal plants [177].

Root nodule formation is also regulated by several phytohormones (ethylene, gibberellins, auxin, cytokinins) as well as by signaling peptides, which control the development of new nodules, once a balance between nodule number, N fixation, and C demand reaches an optimum.

Nod factor secreted by compatible rhizobia triggers ethylene production. Ethylene production is an early and sustained nodulation response that acts at multiple stages to regulate infection, nodule organogenesis, and nitrogen fixation. Ethylene signaling is not required for Nod factor signaling, infection thread development, or nodule organogenesis, but it is for initiation of nitrogen fixation and negative regulation of nodulation [178-180]. In return, ethylene production by the plant can be modulated by Nod factors [181].

Gibberellins exert both positive and negative effects on nodulation because they act as suppressors of infection but as promoters of nodule organogenesis. Gibberellins and ethylene act through independent pathways in nodule development [182]. Auxin exhibits asymmetric distribution, which differently affects cell division, cell elongation, and cell differentiation, and this leads to root organ development, such as lateral root and nodule. Cytokinins also interact with the previous phytohormones in different ways to impact nodulation [183].

In brief, the communications between bacteria and plants for nodulation are of utmost importance for establishing symbiosis and, consequently, for plant development and survival in N-poor environment and N-enrichment of soils. The root-nodule symbiosis has concerned more numerous species than today [184], indicating its loss during Evolution in multiple clades. Conserved co-
regulated genes found within legumes paved the way for nodule formation and nitrogen fixation [185]. The ability to decrease plant ethylene levels by the expression of the enzyme 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase or via the production of rhizobitoxine was found to be essential for leguminous plants to nodulate [186].

3.2.3. Fungal mycorrhization and bacterial nodulation

Signal transduction pathways leading to the establishment of different types of symbiosis share common plant hormone signaling components. Auxin is an important signal, as symbiosis establishment requires to reprogram multiple cellular fate [187].

Rhizobial bacteria Nod factors are LCOs perceived via plants’ Lysin-Motif receptor-like kinases (LysM-RLKs). The LysM-RLKs can control the establishment of both the arbuscular mycorrhizal and the rhizobium-legume symbioses in response to signal molecules produced, respectively, by the fungal and bacterial symbiotic partners. Ancestral LysM-RLKs could have had dual symbiotic and immune roles and become subfunctionalized in immunity and/or for symbiosis [188]. It is recognized that mycorrhization preceded bacterial symbioses during the conquest of land by terrestrial plants [189].

4 Cell communications between different multi-cellular organisms

We will concentrate here on cell communications between individuals, animals, plants, or fungi belonging to different species. Thus, intra-species cell communications between individuals, which have been evoked before (pheromones, etc.), will not be considered here. In many cases, the term “communication” is exaggerated, where “detection” would be adequate. In the present paper, we consider cell communications as integrated networks leading to a mutually beneficial equilibrium for two communicating species. Therefore, the detection of their hosts by parasites cannot be considered as communication. It is indeed invalid to compare kairomones to pheromones as the formers are only for the benefit of parasites, not for a mutual benefice.

4.1. Cell communications between plants and fungi

Arbuscular mycorrhization (AM) and ectomycorrhization (EM) have already been described in the chapter concerning microbiota. The secretomes of interacting plants and fungi include various metabolites and nucleic acids, as well as peptides and proteins [166]. A number of these very diverse molecules are involved in the multidirectional communications taking place in the root-rhizospheric microbiota interactions.

4.2. Cell communications between plants

Plant volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as sesquiterpenes, emitted particularly under salicylic and jasmonic acid control [190,191], are major vehicles of alarm information between plants in response to herbivore damage. VOCs may either directly affect cell membranes potentials and induce endogenous signal transduction cascades, or enter the cell and directly bind to co-repressors of stress-responsive genes.

Plants can integrate multiple volatile cues into specific adaptive defense responses [192]. It is interesting to point out that these communication channels can be private for one plant species or even one genotype inside a species. By contrast, others are channels, open to different species...
allowing information sharing and communication between them [193]. This sharing may be favorable despite the cost of alarm signaling toward potential competitor species [194]. Indeed, it allows cooperation in herbivore insect exclusion from their common neighborhood through herbivore-induced plant volatile molecules acting via epigenetic mechanisms that sustain the memorization of the defense response [195].

4.3. Cell communications between animals and plants

4.3.1. Plants > animals

Plants broadcast signals either to attract or to repel animals (pollinating and herbivore animals, respectively). For pollination, most of the visitor species interact with only one or very few plant species. Mutualistic interactions imply sophisticated interplay of floral stimuli (scent, color) and the sensory systems of pollinators (bees, hawkmoths, geckos, etc.) among which vision and temperature play significant roles. Information transfer between plant species reduces the attraction of bee pollinators to herbivore-attacked plants or warned plants relative to undamaged/unwarned plants [196]. When wounded by herbivore insects, some plants like tomatoes produce the 18aa peptide systemin, which binds to its receptor and stimulates the jasmonic acid cascade to stimulate the production of bad-tasting chemicals to escape further consumption [197].

4.3.2. Animals > plants

Cell communications from animals to plants are not a very usual research field. Nevertheless, it has been recently reported that bees bite plants’ leaves to induce their flowering to get access to pollen and chemicals in the insects’ saliva may be involved [198]. For the time being, the precise molecular and cellular mechanisms are not known.

5 Origin and evolution of cell communications

The origin and evolution of cell communications obviously cannot be directly studied experimentally. Only a global view of current cell communications can guide us to speculate about their origin and evolution.

5.1. Origin

The origin of the inter-cellular communications becomes merged with the origin of the cells themselves. It has been proposed that Life could have arisen initially not as cellular organisms, but as simple genetic or chemical replicators [199-202]. Even if life could have begun as a system of such RNA and polypeptide replicators, chemically occurring membranes must have played a primary role in the very first steps of Life on Earth. Indeed, compartmentalization by membranes has led to cellularity [203] that is today the hallmark of all living beings.

Primordial ancestral membranes likely were single-chain amphiphiles that formed vesicles and gradually evolved into phospholipids to allow the emergence of protocells [204]. Membranes not only enclose a limited volume where genetic and metabolic reactions can occur much more efficiently but also provide the site for chemical potential energy in the form of the proton-driven ATP synthase motor [205,206].

It is highly challenging to trace back the unique history of Life, approximately 3.8 billion years ago [207]. A wide range of theoretical and experimental methods have been developed for constructing
and testing possible evolutionary scenarios of prebiotic evolution, towards the emergence of the first living cell(s) [208,209]. It is generally accepted that multicellular organisms emerged from unicellular organisms [210] and that communications became mandatory only a long time after cell emergence. However, cyanobacteria, one of the earliest types of bacteria, dating back to between 3.4 and 2.8 billion years ago, formed huge colonies (stromatolites) [211]. It is thus likely that Life actually began much earlier, perhaps as early as 3.8 billion years ago, and most likely, under the form of synchronized communicating cell populations. Taking this into consideration, the protocells and first living cells must have never existed in a truly isolated state. Chemical communications might have already existed among protocells and were probably essential for the emergence of living cells. If true, this hypothesis implies that the first living cells were not isolated and emerged from inanimate protocell communities without rupture of communications between them.

![Figure 2: Possible early origin of communications between proto-prokaryotes, prokaryotes, eukaryotes and hypothetical proto-eukaryotes](image)

Compartmentalization of the initial chemical “primordial soup” by membranes might have favored the interactions between polynucleotides and polypeptides to initiate the very first steps leading to metabolism and heredity. Cross-communications between the proto-cells must have played a favorable role in the emergence of genuine cells (prokaryotes and eukaryotes).

The hypothesis of synchronized protocell populations is difficult to prove (or disprove) but would make sense. For example, to avoid extinction by dilution, the protocells must out-compete other vesicles either by having a more rapid cycle, thereby generating more progeny during division or by surviving destructive processes more efficiently [212]. Synchronization of populations of protocells, requiring chemical communications, should have given them an advantage in terms of number and then facilitated their emergence into living cell populations. These first cell populations, retaining chemical communications, would have offered a more protective and stable milieu for the emergence of Life. The emergence of prokaryote as well as eukaryote cells from a common (proto-karyotic) syncytial ancestral root [213] is a stimulating view in this respect (figure 2). Nevertheless, it is much more generally accepted that eukaryogenesis occurred only around 1.7 Gy ago by endosymbiosis involving archa and bacteria [214,215] with, most likely, no arrest in their previous communication networks and, therefore is the very beginning of communications between prokaryote and eukaryote cells.
The fact that living cells are non-equilibrium systems suggests that life can emerge only from non-equilibrium chemical systems, thus needing energy input. The energy from the sun is the ultimate source of energy for sustaining life on earth. From an astrobiological standpoint, non-equilibrium chemical systems can arise naturally when solar irradiation strikes rotating surfaces of planets [216]. It is tempting to imagine that solar photoperiodism might have synchronized protocells chemical functioning, and then kept synchronizing biological metabolism and divisions in the newly-formed cell populations. Their synchronization should have favored the functional cohesion between cells through circadian light exposure. Interestingly, cell communications are still synchronized by light, circadian rhythms in a vast number of prokaryote, plant, and animal species.

5.2. Evolution

Intercellular mediators emerged during Evolution in conjunction with the appearance of their cognate receptors. In some cases, one of them (ligand or receptor) appeared first and "found" its counterpart later, but, more often, they appeared "simultaneously" at the phylogenetic time scale [217]. Probably, molecules with no partner (i.e., with no role) are not conserved for long in the following generations. When a ligand or receptor appeared a long time before its current partner, it likely had another interacting partner (i.e., another role) in the meantime.

The most-ancient intercellular messengers probably were either intracellular metabolites like ATP and other nucleotides, released by damaged cells or naturally excreted metabolites. In the receiving cells, their initial targets probably were the same proteins as those recognizing their proper internal molecule. To discriminate the upcoming messenger molecules from their own intracellular ligands, part of these intracellular proteins must have evolved to become located at the surface of the cells. The plasma membrane is the most favorable place for such receptors to discriminate outside messengers from their own metabolites. De novo protein domains emerging from non-coding thymine-rich DNA sequences must have played advantageous roles in this evolutive process. Indeed such DNA sequences exhibit a high potential to be translated into transmembrane domains [218]. These domains next to the coding sequences of copies of the intracellular protein can have led to this new location at the plasma membrane. Since these cells belong to the same species, this initial phenomenon corresponded to an autocrine mechanism.

From this simple autocrine communication, diversification of cell phenotypes can have led to more and more complex and specific communication networks in microorganisms, plants, and animals. In prokaryote and eukaryote microorganisms, quorum sensing represents the most general example of intercellular communications. In plants, specialized molecules play specific roles in communications between their various parts for coordinating their development and functions. In animals, an even higher integration occurs through their endocrine, neuronal and immune systems. The neuronal system is unique to animals, but the ion channels and proteins involved in synapses originated long before their emergence. Moreover, the animals with a nervous system do not form a monophyletic group. Therefore, the extension and disappearance of membrane ion channel genes during Evolution can explain the differences in the functionnings of the nervous systems in these various species [219]. Cell communications are then based on molecules and mechanisms that appeared very early in Life emergence, maybe at the same time as cells themselves. Nevertheless,
they show considerable diversification, allowing outstanding cell interactions inside multicellular species as well as between uni- and/or multi-cellular species.

**Conclusion:**

Cellular communications play pivotal roles in all uni- and multi-cellular species, leading to an outstanding variety of essential biological processes not only inside each species but also for numerous favorable interactions between uni- and multi-cellular species. These interactions have been made possible by the high degree of conservation of the ancient basic mechanisms of many ligand-receptor pairs in evolutionary remote species. Moreover, these inter-species communications have played an important role during Evolution and must have been selected when mutually beneficial.

**Abbreviations:**

ABC: ATP binding cassette; AHL: N-Acyl homoserine lactones; AI-2, AI-3: autoinducer-2, -3; AM: arbuscular mycorrhizae; AMP(1): antimicrobial peptide; AMP(2): 5'-adenosine mono-phosphate; ATP: 5'-adenosine tri-phosphate; CAM: cell adhesion molecule; cAMP: 3’5’cyclic-AMP; CBP: CREB binding protein; CLR: C-type lectin receptor; CREB: cAMP-responsive element binding protein; CRP: cyclic-AMP receptor protein; DAMP: damage-associated molecular pattern; ECD: extracellular domain; eDNA: extracellular DNA; EM: ectomycorrhizae; EV: extracellular vesicle; FSH: follicle-stimulating hormone; GnRH: gonadotropin-releasing hormone; GPCR: G protein-coupled receptor; Hh: hedgehog; HSL: homoserine lactone; ICD: intracellular domain; IMD: immune deficiency pathway JAK: janus kinase; LCO: lipochitooligosaccharide; LH: luteinizing hormone; LRR: leucine-rich repeat; LysM-RLK: lysin-motif receptor-like kinase; (MAPK, MAPKK, MAPKKK: Mitogen-Activated Protein kinases; NO: nitrogen oxide; Nod: nodulation factor; PGN: peptidoglycan; PGRP: peptidoglycan-binding receptor protein; PRR: pattern recognition receptor; PTS: phosphotransferase system; QS: quorum sensing; RLK: receptor-like kinase; RLP: receptor-like protein; TCS: receptor-histidine-kinase two-component system; TNT: tunneling nanotubes; TLR: toll-like receptor; TNF: tumor-necrosis factor; VOC: volatile organic compound;

**Funding:** This research received no external funding

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank the University of Tours (France) for the invitation to TMDN for a two-month stay at the INRA laboratory in Nouzilly. We also thank the University of Quy Nhon (Viet Nam) for authorizing TMDN to leave her teaching duties during this period of time.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest
References


28. Albuquerque, P.; Nicola, A.M.; Nieves, E.; Paes, H.C.; Williamson, P.R.; Silva-Pereira, I.; Casadevall, A. Quorum sensing-mediated, cell density-dependent regulation of growth and...


