Intestine microbiota of Cattle Egret (Bubulcus ibis) and Pied Crow (Corvus albus) in Kinshasa city: a risk factor for public health?
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Abstract

Purpose: Our study focused on Pied Crow and Cattle Egret, two commensal and ubiquitous birds feeding in dumps and frequenting our homes. Our aim was to identify the bacteria that birds bring to our homes and could be a potential risk to Congolese health.

Method: We have done bacteriological analyses of bird feces for to explore its gut microbiota composition. The feces were collected in the uricotelic cloaca by using a swab in 52 Cattle Egrets (Bubulcus ibis) and 23 Pied Crows (Corvus albus) from Kinshasa city.

Results: Bacteriological analyses revealed the presence of Proteus vulgaris (3.8%) and Klebsiella pneumoniae (5.8%) on Cattle Egret, Salmonella sp. (8.7%), Klebsiella pneumoniae (8.7%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (21.7%), Proteus vulgaris (30.4%) on Pied Crow, Citrobacter spp and Escherichia coli (100%) on both Cattle Egret and Pied Crow respectively.

Conclusion: The presence of these pathogenic germs, suggesting these commensal and ubiquitous birds may be potential vectors of various Diseases which pose serious health problems in the region.
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Introduction

In Kinshasa, the capital of the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), recent studies by Punga and Ifuta (2015) documented about 131 species of birds grouped into 40 families, representing 11% of species of the all country. Despite this avian diversity, rare studies carried out throughout the country concern only their systematics and habitats (Kisasa and Aloni, 2011). Information about the role of these birds in the emergence of epidemics in the region is lacking. A preliminary study by Kisasa et al., 2020 revealed the presence of lice mallophages (Phthiraptera) Myrsidea balati, Columbicola columbae and Menacanthus stramineus, Ardeicola ciconiae, Goniodes sp., Ciconophilus decimfasciatus, Ardeicola ciconiae and Cuculiphilus sp on some birds from seven bird species of Kinshasa city.

M. stramineus, in domestic hens, Gallus domesticus can cause the death of wild turkey chicks, Meleagris gallopavo (Djellil, 2012). It is also implicated in the transmission of encephalomyelitis viruses (Amir, 2006). The role of birds as vectors of pathogenic germs to humans has been amply documented. This is the case, for example, with some waterbirds. Potential pathogenic genera were identified in the gut microbiota of the different species, suggesting that waterbirds may disseminate pathogenic species like Clostridium, Helicobacter, Campylobacter, Vibrio, etc., between waterbodies (Laviad-Shitrit, 2019).

The gut microbiota of an organism is established immediately after birth and changes due to the host life-style, diet, environmental conditions, genome, etc. (Nicholson et al., 2012; Sommer and Bäckhed, 2013).

The aim of this study is to estimate the impact of environmental conditions on the composition of microbiota of the birds. We chose the Cattle Egret and Pied Crow, two commensal birds feeding in dumps and in our immediate environment. We hypothesized that the bacteriological composition of the gut microbiota of these two birds is a good indicator of the potential risk to public health and bacteria distribution on its hosts is random in the dumps explored.

Materials and Methods

Sampling sites and birds capture

Two different species wild birds (Bubulcus ibis, Corvus albus) were captured using self-made traps; a noose of nylon wire attached to a piece of wood. The birds were attracted to each trap by palm oil-soaked pouches and pieces of fish. They were caught by surprise from different areas of University of Kinshasa student residences; Home X, Home XX, Home Village Maluku, Triangle of market gardeners, and University clinics (Table1).
of these sites are the dumps include various hospital and industrial waste thrown away without any treatment (Fig.1).

Our sample consisted of the feces of birds caught in five dumps in the city of Kinshasa. Sterile cotton swabs wetted with sterile normal saline water were inserted in the cloacae of the wild birds, and placed in sterile vials. After collection of cloacal swabs, the birds were then freed. The samples were transported immediately to the laboratory in an ice box.

Isolation and identification of Gram-negative bacilli

Swab samples were enriched in buffered peptone water at 37 °C for 24 h. Subsequently, the cultures were streaked on MacConkey agar and incubated at 37 °C overnight. Different single colonies were then collected and purified. Gram negative bacilli were identified using microbiological conventional methods including Gram staining, oxidase tests, indole and urease production, citrate utilization, hydrogen sulphide, gas production and fermentation of sugars, phenylalanine deaminase, lysine decarboxylase (L.D.C.), ornithine decarboxylase (O.D.C.), arginine dihydrolase (A.D.H.) tests, nitrate reduction, casein hydrolysis, methyl red and Voges-Proskauer reactions.

Distribution of bacteria and their hosts in the five dumps.

We used the MOMENTS method to describe the bacteria-bird interactions (hosts) in the five dumps. You remember, of course, that the Law of Fish is characterized by a variance equal to the average: $\sigma^2 = \mu$ where a $\sigma^2 / \mu$ ratio worth exactly1 (Bowman & Shenton, 1998). It is this characteristic that ideally should serve as a null hypothesis for testing. Indeed, if we summarize, we have:
- $\sigma^2 / \mu < 1$, Regular distribution: Positive binomial distribution;
- $\sigma^2 / \mu = 1$, Random Distribution: Fish Distribution;
- $\sigma^2 / \mu > 1$, Aggregation Distribution: Positive Binomial Distribution.

We hypothesized Ho as the distribution of bacteria and their hosts in the dumps (n=5) explored is random. In this case, the variable “number of birds per dump” follows a fish law with $\sigma^2 / \mu \approx 1$. By multiplying $\sigma^2 / \mu$ by (n – 1), we obtained a random test variable called a Dispersion Index(I), which is approximately a law of the $\chi^2$ with n – 1 degrees of freedom under this hypothesis Ho. This $\chi^2$ test reads in a rather peculiar way: the highest 2.5% of the distribution corresponds to a gap to Ho by excess of heterogeneity (conclusion: aggregation distribution) while the lowest 2.5% correspond to a gap to Ho by an excess of homogeneity (conclusion: regular distribution). Between these two terminals, we do not reject Ho (random distribution until proven otherwise).

Results

In total 52 Cattle Egret and 23 Pied Crow were captured. Proteus vulgaris (3.8%) and Klebsiella pneumoniae (5.8%) were identified on Cattle Egret, Salmonella sp. (8.7%), Klebsiella pneumoniae (8.7%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (21.7%), Proteus vulgaris (30.4%) on Pied Crow, Citrobacter spp and Escherichia coli (100%) on both Cattle Egret and Pied Crow respectively. All intestinal microbiota are Gram-negative bacilli more important in the dump of University Clinics followed by Home Maluku (Table2). However, the distribution of these bacteria on their hosts in the five dumps is aggregative (Dispersion Index Test, for $\chi^2 \geq 8$; 5 df, P < 0,025).

Discussion

Escherichia coli is Enterobacteriaceae in the immediate environment of animals by feces (Fremaux, 2007). Its presence does not surprise us in the various dumps around student residential homes and markets. Indeed, the residential dwellers often defecate in the nature where rains facilitate feces dissemination. This bacteria in mutualist symbiosis in mammalians and birds’ colonists becomes pathogenic in the environment and responsible for the few pathologies as enteric diseases, Urinary tract infections (Hooton and Walter,1997) and Neonatal meningitis (Ku et al, 2015).

Other bacteria were more found in birds frequenting the dump of Kinshasa University Clinics (E) or nearby (D). These are Citrobacter spp., P. vulgaris, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. They are responsible for various known diseases in humans such as Abdominal Sepsis, Urinary Tract Infection and Bacteremia (Bryan and Reynolds, 1984), Brain Abscess (Brouwer et al., 2014), infection of skin and tissue (Holms and Korman, 2007; Akhisa, 1997), Hospital- Acquired infection (Bryan and Reynolds, 1984), Chronic Infection in Cystic Fibrosis patients (Konings et al., 2013), Sepsis Form and Epizootic Enteritis, Febrile Form (Hsiu-Lin Chen, 2008). Indeed, these bacteria can be spread by direct contact with hospital staff, vertical mother-to-child transmission, or ingestion of contaminated material, direct transmission between humans remains widespread (Doran, 1999). The gut microbiota of an organism is established immediately after birth and changes due to the
host life-style, diet, environmental conditions, genome, etc. (Nicholson et al., 2012; Sommer and Bäckhed, 2013). In Canada, for example, Tshape et al. (1995) report that an outbreak of Citrobacter infection was associated with the consumption of parsley contaminated with pork purin; 8 urinary tract infections and 1 death were observed.

Conclusion

Here we identified the gut bacterial community composition of the Cattle Egret and Pied Crow from Kinshasa city. Our results demonstrate that the distribution of these bacteria on the two bird species in the five dumps is aggregative. Potential pathogenic genera were identified in its gut microbiota, suggesting these commensal and ubiquitous birds may disseminate pathogenic species like Citrobacter sp., Klebsiella pneumoniae, Salmonella sp., E. coli, Proteus vulgaris and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. This gut microbiota of two commensal birds is established by unsanitary environmental conditions. To improve the health of Congolese population in the future, would require a serious investment in the disposal and treatment of waste, infestation sources of birds and emerging diseases.
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