

Review

Optimal methods of documenting analgesic efficacy in neonatal piglets undergoing castration

Meredith Sheil ^{1*}, Adam Polkinghorne ^{2,3}

¹ Animal Ethics Pty. Ltd., Yarra Glen, 3775, Victoria, Australia; mlksheil@me.com

² Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NSW Health Pathology, Nepean Hospital, Penrith, New South Wales, Australia; adam.polkinghorne@health.nsw.gov.au

³ The University of Sydney Medical School, Nepean Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Penrith, 2750, New South Wales, Australia

* Correspondence: mlksheil@me.com.au

Simple Summary: Surgical castration in piglets is widely used in commercial pig production systems, however, may cause pain and stress to the animal. There is an urgent need to develop effective pain-relieving medications to use for this procedure. Such products must meet high standards of proof confirming that they are effective. This requires undertaking trials to determine the duration and severity of pain that piglets experience during and after castration, and the extent of pain reduction in anaesthetic/analgesic treated piglets. Unfortunately, responses to pain may be transient, subtle or variably expressed. Furthermore, there is no simple “gold standard” method to measure “pain” in neonatal piglets. Instead, researchers must rely on using a range of indirect measures of pain of varying reliability. Without understanding the nature of expression of piglet pain, and the reliability of test measures to detect it, there is the potential of misinterpreting trial outcomes. Although there is a high degree of variability in the literature of test methods employed and outcomes obtained, there is nevertheless a growing body of evidence to suggest that some piglet responses to pain induced by castration, are more consistently reproduced and specific to the pain experienced during castration than others. In this narrative review, we examine the potential indicators of pain in neonatal piglets undergoing castration to determine the optimal methods, currently available to most accurately detect pain, and assess pain mitigation.

Abstract: Analgesic products for piglet castration are critically needed. This requires extensive animal experimentation such as to meet regulatory-required proof of efficacy. At present, there are no validated methods of assessing pain in neonatal piglets. This poses challenges for investigators to optimize trial design and to meet ethical obligations to minimize the number of animals needed. Pain in neonatal piglets may be subtle, transient and / or variably expressed and, in the absence of validated methods, investigators must rely on using a range of biochemical, physiological and behavioural variables, many of which appear to have very low (or unknown) sensitivity or specificity for documenting pain, or pain-relieving effects. A previous systematic review of this subject was hampered by the high degree of variability in the literature base both in terms of methods used to assess pain and pain mitigation, as well as in outcomes reported. In this setting we provide a narrative review, to assist in determining the optimal methods currently available to detect piglet pain during castration and methods to mitigate castration-induced pain. In overview, the optimal outcome variables identified are nociceptive motor and vocal response scores during castration, and quantitative sensory-threshold response testing and pain-associated behaviour scores following castration.

Keywords: Piglet; castration; pain; behaviour; peri-operative; vocalisation; nociception; neonate; anaesthesia; analgesia.

1. Introduction

A variety of animal husbandry procedures that cause pain to the animal are routinely employed in livestock species as a part of effective animal management systems. A primary example of such a procedure is castration, a technique that involves the removal of the testicles or the removal of testicular function[1]. In pigs, castration is employed in commercial swine facilities for several purposes, including improving meat flavour, preventing unwanted breeding and modifying animal behaviour. It is generally performed in the first week of life, in male piglets intended to be kept past sexual maturity. Meat quality is improved by reducing the potential for 'boar taint', an unpleasant odour and flavour associated with the presence of androstenone (5 α -androst-16-ene-3-one), produced in the testes of intact male pigs following sexual maturity[2]. Castration also reduces the risk of unwanted breeding that can interfere with the maintenance of genetic lines, and assists with management of boars by reducing the presence of aggressive behaviours that pose a welfare risk to other animals but also to the safety of humans interacting with them[3].

Traditional methods involve the use of surgical castration, a rapid (< 1 min) method commonly performed by farmers in piglets between 2-7 days of age. The procedure involves restraining the piglet, incising the skin of the scrotum, extracting the testes and severing the spermatic cords. Antiseptic is commonly sprayed onto the wound, and, less commonly, antibiotics are administered with the piglet finally returned to its sow. The wound is left to heal by secondary intention[1,4-6]. As an alternative to this procedure, there is growing interest in raising entire males, and / or the use of immunocastration by an anti-GnRH vaccine, which has shown to be effective in reducing boar taint and increasing growth performance in male pigs[7,8]. Whilst this review focusses on methods of assessing pain mitigation for surgical castration, the reader is referred to comprehensive review articles regarding surgical and non-surgical options and pig welfare[3,6,9].

Surgical procedures induce pain via a number of mechanisms[10]. The acute phase is primarily neurally mediated. Tissue incision causes trauma to keratinocytes and nerve fibres at the incision site, resulting in a barrage of nociceptive neural transmission from the damaged tissue to the central nervous system (nociception) inducing spinal reflexes such as the nociceptive withdrawal reflex, and, on reaching the cerebrum, the perception of acute pain and induction of the neuroendocrine response[11]. A second, "sub-acute" or prolonged inflammatory phase arises, primarily due to local release of various mediators in response to tissue damage, that promote ongoing pain or pain hypersensitivity against thermal, mechanical, and chemical stimuli[12,13]. Pro-nociceptive mediators such as; ATP, glutamate, kinins, cytokines, tropic factors, and prostaglandins, activate primary afferent neurons directly or indirectly to enhance nociceptive signal transmission to the central nervous system[14-17]. Prostaglandins derived from the arachidonic acid cascade are implicated in the production of inflammatory pain, and in sensitising nociceptors to the actions of other mediators. Bleeding and coagulation due to tissue injury are closely associated with the initiation of inflammation resulting in reflex erythema and acute pain responses. Kallikrein released during coagulation produces bradykinin, a strong allogenic factor[18]. Degranulation of activated mast cells results in the release of proteases, cytokines, serotonin and histamine into the extracellular space. These substances sensitize primary afferent neurons to produce hyperalgesia[19]. Sensitization of peripheral and central neuronal structures amplifies and sustains postoperative pain[10,15,19].

Consistent with this, piglet castration is reported to cause pain and stress to the animal involving (i) discomfort and stress prior to the procedure due to handling and restraint; (ii) acute pain and stress during the procedure itself associated with incision of the scrotum, separation of the tissue to release each testicle, followed by severing of the spermatic cord; and (iii) post-operative pain and / or discomfort in the hours and days following the procedure[1,6]. Despite this, historically, castration has been typically performed without any pain relief, including in North America[20] and the EU[5]. In a detailed survey of 26 European countries, undertaken as part of the PIGCAS project in 2009, in the European Union[5] it was estimated that 79.3% of the about 98 million male pigs were castrated and analgesic use was reported as "very rare" or "never" in most EU member countries surveyed.

Over the past decade, however, welfare concerns and ethical objectives have led to a drive to develop effective pain relief strategies for piglet castration, along with strategies to support the phasing out of the procedure where possible. In 2010, for example, the 'European Declaration on alternatives to surgical castration of pigs' was agreed, stipulating the intention that from January 1, 2012, surgical castration of pigs should only be performed with prolonged analgesia and/or anaesthesia. From 2018, surgical castration of pigs should be phased out altogether. This has seen progress with non-surgical alternatives, along with exploration of a range of different anesthetic/analgesic options for piglet castration. These latter include; the use of general anaesthesia (with CO₂, or isoflurane or injectable agents); the use of injectable local anaesthesia (such as lignocaine or procaine) administered by a combination of subcutaneous scrotal and intra-testicular (i.t.), or infundibular injection 5 - 15 minutes prior to the procedure; and / or the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAID) medications generally also administered 20 minutes prior to castration, via intra-muscular (i.m.) injection or oral administration[3,6]. An updated survey of 24 different European countries in 2016[21] identified significant progress however concluded that the deadlines were far from being met. Whilst 6 of the countries had the practice of raising entire males, an average 80% of pigs continued to be surgically castrated in the remainder. The average percentage of piglets receiving immunocastration was 2.7%, 5% of the male pigs surgically castrated received anaesthesia and analgesia while 41% received analgesia alone[21] and 54% received no anaesthesia or analgesia. As analgesia alone ameliorates post-operative, but not acute procedural pain, the development of practical and effective anaesthesia for the procedure was identified as an urgent priority.

The challenge faced by stakeholders in this field is to identify options that are effective in mitigating pain but are also safe, practical and economically sustainable for use in commercial swine facilities. Few medications are specifically approved for this use in piglets, and many must be used off-label under veterinary prescription[3]. General and local anaesthesia may be effective to provide pain relief during the procedure, but not after [22,23-25], and may require specialized equipment or veterinary administration, precluding practicality or commercial viability in many situations. Although some countries allow farmers to administer injectable local anaesthesia, this is not widespread[21]. Furthermore, injected sedatives or anaesthetics often require time to take effect resulting in negative welfare impacts, such as due to the pain of injection and / or the need for double handling. There may also be negative consequences if agents induce post-operative sedation due to interference with feeding and increased risk of crushing[3,6]. Although data on this is conflicting, NSAIDs may assist to mitigate post-operative inflammatory pain[26], however, they appear to offer little effective alleviation of pain during the procedure or in the early minutes and hours following the procedure[24,27,28] when pain is most acute. As NSAIDs take time to reach therapeutic effect, they commonly require administration well before castration, thus also resulting in negative welfare impacts due to pain of injection and the need for double handling of piglets. Hence, currently there is a critical therapeutic gap in availability of practical farmer-applied methods of delivering safe and effective peri-operative anaesthesia. Our group is investigating the use of a combination topical anaesthetic and antiseptic formulation, which may be farmer-applied during the procedure, (administered via intra-operative wound instillation), as a method to mitigate acute peri-operative pain in piglets. This has proven effective to alleviate castration pain in lambs and calves and is now widely used on farms in Australia[29,30]. Administered immediately following skin incision, the topical anesthetic formulation (containing 5% lidocaine, 0.5% bupivacaine, cetrimide and 1:2000 adrenalin), can act rapidly, within 30 seconds, to anaesthetise the wound and the exposed cordal tissues prior to severing the spermatic cords[31], which is considered the most painful part of the procedure. The longer acting local anaesthetic (bupivacaine), is included in the formulation, to assist provide extended post-operative sensory analgesia[32]. Extensive animal experimentation, such as to confirm safety and efficacy, is required for regulatory approval and authorization for use in piglets. Prior to commencing such studies, we performed a review of methods of assessing analgesic efficacy in neonatal piglets to identify those most valid, sensitive and specific for the assessment of pain and the efficacy of analgesic medications.

Proof of anaesthetic / analgesic efficacy is challenging in neonatal piglets. There is no one “gold standard” or validated measure of “pain” in piglets. Signs of pain in neonatal piglets can be subtle and variably expressed, and readily confounded by extraneous variables, particularly when required to be examined in “the field” setting (as opposed to in a laboratory) as is a standard requirement for regulatory approvals. Nevertheless, it is generally accepted that piglets react to stimuli in a number of ways including: physiologically, behaviorally and through resistance movements and vocalisation[1,6]. On this basis, a range of outcome variables have been used to assess piglet pain during and following castration, and to assess amelioration of pain due to use of local anaesthetics or analgesia. These include; (a) physiological responses during the procedure[22,24,27,28,33-48,64-68,74-78], (b) nociceptive motor responses during the procedure[27,28,31,47,49,50,64,74]; (c) vocal responses during the procedure[22,24-28,31,46,50-54,64,80-83,85]; (d) mechanical sensory testing in the minutes and hours following the procedure[31,32,44] and; (e) post-operative pain-related behaviours in the minutes and hours following the procedure[22,23,25,27,28,34,44,46,54-57,65,68,77,78,82,94]. More recently, newer technologies have been explored including (e) facial expression[44,54,56], and (f) infra-red thermography (IRT)[28,39,41,45,66]. Unfortunately, the methods used to examine analgesic efficacy in the reported literature have varied considerably between investigators, and the detail and quality of reporting has been highly variable, precluding the ability to make standardized assessments of the validity of each measure. As highlighted in previous reviews on this topic [26,58,59], this variation in the methods has impeded efforts to develop science-based guidelines for pain management protocols for castration.

To be valuable as indicators of pain *mitigation*, measures must be capable of *consistently* detecting a significant difference in pain-associated responses during and / or following castration as compared with pre-operative values, and / or as compared between castrated and non-castrated piglets. Secondly, variables must optimally be physiologically and/or clinically relevant to the evaluation of the type of pain being measured e.g. intraoperative pain or post-operative pain. Ideally, these measures (i) must be practically measured within the study without being confounded by the assessment of other *variables*; and; (ii) have the ability to be measured using an analytical method or measurement device/subjective assessment tool that has sufficient validation.

In the current review, we summarise literature on the currently available methods for assessing peri-operative pain in surgically castrated neonatal piglets and provide a critical analysis of the outcome variables identified to ascertain those that most closely meet these criteria. It is anticipated that this critical analysis may assist the future development of more standardized methods and optimise (reduce and refine) future analgesic efficacy trials in this field.

2. Physiological measurements of pain in piglets

Physiological responses occur in response to pain and stress, including activation of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA-axis) and sympathetic nervous system (SNS), and release of opiate neuropeptides. This acts to increase the metabolic rate in preparation for “flight or fight” as well as mediate the inflammatory response and mitigate pain. Adrenalcorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) is released by the pituitary and acts on the adrenal gland. Cortisol and adrenalin are released and, in turn, result in an increase in the level of glucose and lactate in the blood. Activation of the SNS may result in an increased heart rate and blood pressure and reduced skin temperature as blood is diverted to muscles and vital organs. β -endorphins (endogenous opioid-neuropeptides) are released from the anterior pituitary and act on opiate receptors in the peripheral and central nervous system to induce analgesia principally through effects on mu-opioid receptors. Indicators of the HPA axis and SNS activation, or β -endorphin release are thus often used as indirect measures of pain.

These physiological responses however, are not specific to pain. They may be triggered by stress alone, and / or by tissue trauma (such as induced by surgical incision), even in the absence of pain. Surgical studies reveal that animals under a general anaesthetic increased cortisol and ACTH

production, irrespective of the animal's sensation of pain [60,61]. Haemorrhage alone is known to result in an increase in ACTH, cortisol, β -endorphin concentration, as well as tissue content of pro-inflammatory cytokines; (including tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and interleukin-1 α (IL-1 α), IL-6 and IL10), and opiates have a proposed role in regulating the hemodynamic response to blood loss[62]. In a porcine model of abdominal surgery, for example, a standardized laparotomy without visceral involvement was performed on 24 anaesthetized pigs. Surgery gave rise to dramatic increases in plasma ACTH and cortisol ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively) within 15 min of incision, while animals were still under full general anaesthesia[60]. The activation of the HPA axis, and inflammatory cascade in response to surgical tissue trauma is generally termed the "surgical stress response"[63], and plays an important role in haemostasis and fluid homeostasis, immune defence, endogenous pain mitigation and wound healing[61].

Similar to other surgical procedures, piglet castration results in an acute physiological response with activation of the HPA-axis and SNS, and opiate neuropeptide release. Prunier et al.[4] reported that castration of piglets induced significant ($P < 0.05$) increases in ACTH from 5 to 60 min, cortisol (from 15 to 90 min), and lactate (from 5 to 30 min) following the procedure, although no significant changes in blood glucose were observed. These authors hypothesised that glucose may not increase in neonatal piglets due to lack of glycogen stores. There is also a very rapid and transient increase in plasma adrenaline, followed by a longer lasting increase in plasma noradrenaline [4] as well as an increase in heart rate, blood pressure, and other signs of activation of the SNS such as reduced skin temperature have also been reported [4,51]. Elevated β -endorphin levels have been reported in piglets castrated via cutting, but not via tearing the spermatic cord, despite equivalent rises in cortisol, as well as motor and vocal responses during the procedure[64]. This was hypothesised as due to the increased risk of blood loss when cutting as opposed to tearing the cordal tissues.

Highlighting concerns over interpreting such physiological markers as being indicative of *pain* rather than in response to surgical tissue trauma, comparisons of anaesthetised and non-anaesthetised castrated piglets have found no significant difference in stress hormone responses [47,48]. Plasma cortisol, ACTH and β -endorphins did not differ significantly between the anaesthetised and non-anaesthetised castration groups indicating that tissue trauma (with inflammatory mediator release) and / or blood-loss, rather than pain, is primarily responsible for the physiological HPA-activation and opiate neuropeptide response. Cortisol was reported as "not a sensitive tool to judge castration stress" in piglets castrated under general anaesthesia[48]. This indicates that variability in wound size, blood loss and a piglet's neuroendocrine and immune response to wounding may all have a greater impact on cortisol levels than pain in piglets undergoing castration.

Furthermore, activation of the HPA axis and SNS may occur simply through handling and restraining piglets. Marchant-Forde et al.[64] reported that cortisol and β -endorphin levels were increased 45 min following the procedure in castrated piglets versus sham handled controls ($p < 0.1$), however this was associated with a significant difference in the duration of handling and restraint, and was no longer evident when these factors were taken into account. Hay et al. [65] did not find differences in urinary levels of corticosteroids and catecholamines over the 4 days following surgical castration of piglets, as compared with sham-handled controls. This was considered most likely due to the short-lived activity of the adrenal and sympathetic axes[4]. Lonardi et al. [66] reported a short-lived increase in cortisol levels in castrated versus sham handled animals at 20 min but not at 3 – 24 hrs following the procedure. Lactate and glucose levels were not significantly different between the two groups. Sutherland et al.[22] reported increased cortisol levels in castrated versus sham handled piglets 30-120 minutes, but not 180 minutes or 24 hours following procedure, however the study involved prolonged handling of piglets for blood collection and / or administration of anaesthetic treatments prior to castration, and the actual duration of restraint and handling was not documented for each piglet to allow group comparisons. Substance P (SP), however, was not significantly different between groups. SP is a neurotransmitter released directly from damaged nerve fibres at the site of

tissue damage and is associated with increased pain perception and, hence, used as a biomarker of pain[67]. Other studies have reported that castrated piglets tended to have higher cortisol levels than sham handled pigs, however this did not reach statistical significance at the $p < 0.05$ level [46,50]. Interestingly, where duration of restraint was controlled to be equivalent between groups, there were also no significant differences between castrated and sham handled piglets in plasma levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines; TNF- α and interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), or on acute phase proteins C-reactive protein (CRP), serum amyloid A (SAA) and haptoglobin (Hp) and Moya et al. [68] concluded that pro-inflammatory cytokines and acute phase proteins did not provide relevant information on the physiological consequences of castration in neonatal piglets. Together, these data suggest that handling alone may induce a physiological response similar to that of castration in neonatal piglets. Despite the significant impact that the duration of restraint and handling may have on results, this variable is not always detailed in study reports or included as a variable in analyses.

Local anaesthetics and NSAIDs act to block pain via different mechanisms. This has important implications regarding interpreting the validity of biomarkers of HPA axis, neuroendocrine and / or inflammatory cascade activation as indicators of pain in this setting. NSAIDs mitigate pain via blockade of the conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandins by cyclooxygenase enzymes (COX), preventing activation of the inflammatory cascade and release of pain-inducing inflammatory mediators. Prostaglandins also directly stimulate ACTH and cortisol release. Separate to mitigating pain, NSAIDs thus also may directly mitigate the humoral aspect of the surgical stress response to tissue trauma[69,70]. A reduction in cortisol following NSAID administration, may be anticipated to indicate a collateral reduction in production of prostaglandins and other associated pain-inducing inflammatory mediators in piglets post castration, and hence also an associated decrease in pain. Hence, cortisol or ACTH levels may provide an indirect biomarker of pain in piglets following NSAID administration. This is *not* the case for local or general anaesthetics, however.

Local anaesthetics act by blocking nerve fibre conduction of pain signals. These prevent pain sensation via local or central nervous system effects, without primary effect on the humoral / inflammatory response to tissue trauma or associated HPA-axis activation. Biomarkers associated with the surgical stress response may thus be elevated, even although pain induced by them is blocked. Such variables are thus unlikely to be reliable indicators of *pain* in animals administered local or general anaesthesia. An additional confounding factor in the case of local anaesthetics is that, in many cases, these are administered in combination with adrenalin. This is to enhance local anaesthetic effects and minimize risks of systemic absorption. Adrenalin and nor-adrenalin, may have centrally and / or peripheral effects to stimulate corticotrophin releasing hormone and increase the breakdown of proopiomelanocortins into ACTH and β -endorphins[71-73]. Exogenously administered adrenalin may thus confound markers of endogenous HPA-axis and SNS activation and opiate-peptide production in castrated piglets.

In view of these factors, it is not surprising that studies investigating the impact of local anaesthesia or analgesia on physiological parameters in piglet castration have shown highly variable and, at times, apparently conflicting results (Table 1). The more consistent results are seen with the use of NSAIDs. Compared with piglets castrated without analgesic treatment, significantly reduced plasma cortisol and / or ACTH levels have been documented in NSAID-treated piglets at 30 mins[34,37,40,44], 60 min[34,36,44,45], or up to 4 hrs post-procedure[34,36]. Others however, have reported no significant ($p < 0.05$) effect of NSAIDs administered prior to [24,35,38] or at the time of the procedure [22,41], on cortisol and/or ACTH, nor acute phase reactants, Hp, SAA and / or CRP. Bates et al.[39] reported significantly greater amount of prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) inhibition at 10hrs, and from 30-100hrs post castration in piglets which had nursed from meloxicam- as opposed to placebo treated sows prior to procedures. Cortisol and SP concentrations, however, were not significantly different ($p < 0.05$) between the two groups. O'Connor [59] and associates concluded a weak recommendation for use of NSAIDs for pain alleviation in piglets 1-24 hrs post-castration following a systematic review of available trial data, based principally on impact on cortisol. In the same review,

NSAIDs were not found to have any impact on vocalisation to suggest an effect to mitigate procedural pain, which is discussed further below. Together, these data support the conclusion that some NSAIDs may have activity to reduce the inflammatory response and HPA-axis activation resulting from tissue trauma in piglets in the hours following castration, consistent with their known mechanism of action. Where cortisol and ACTH levels are reduced post castration, (despite equivalent handling duration between treatment and control groups), this may be indicative of efficacy of NSAIDs to mitigate post-operative inflammatory pain.

By contrast, as expected, the majority of studies have found little or no impact of either local or general anaesthesia on markers of the tissue trauma / inflammatory response to piglet castration and resulting activation of the HPA axis. Pre-emptive use of local anaesthesia via intra-testicular (i.t.) or infundibular injection, or via topical wound instillation, has been associated with reduced cortisol levels as compared with untreated animals in some trials[24,39,44], while not in others[24,36,46,74], or only where local anaesthetics and NSAIDs have been used in combination[20]. As detailed above, the lack of efficacy of local or general anaesthesia to reduce cortisol or ACTH does not, however, represent lack of efficacy to mitigate pain. These agents act via a different mechanism, and mitigate pain via blockade of neural transmission. Neural markers of pain mitigation, such as the expression of the *c-fos* gene and its protein product, Fos, in neurons of the spinal cord[75], are significantly reduced when piglets are castrated under effective local or general anaesthesia, as compared with piglets castrated without anaesthesia[43]. Furthermore, this is associated with a dramatic reduction in the nociceptive motor and vocal response to castration[31,32,74]. Additionally, reduced post-operative hyperalgesia has been documented in local anaesthetic-treated piglets[31,32]. Together, these factors are considered to indicate that biomarkers of activation of the HPA axis, and inflammatory response lack specificity for pain mitigating effects of local and general anaesthetics, and are poor indicators of *pain* in piglets castrated under general or local anaesthesia[1]. They are similarly not suited to comparative efficacy trials with NSAIDs.

Based on this review, it is concluded that biomarkers of activation of the HPA axis, SNS, opiate neuro-peptides and immune response, lack specificity as indicators of pain associated with neonatal piglet castration, and are confounded by the physiological response to restraint and to tissue trauma. They may provide some indication regarding the efficacy of NSAIDs to reduce post-operative inflammatory pain, however are very poor markers of potential pain mitigating effects of local or general anaesthetics.

1 **Table 1.** Summary of studies investigating physiological responses during piglet castration

Authors	Piglets N, age	Castration experimental groups	Significant findings
Prunier et al. [76]	18, 7-9 days	Castrated without analgesia/anaesthesia (CAST), Sham-handled (SHAM) or No handling	↑ ACTH; (5 to 60 min), cortisol (15 to 90 min), and lactate (5 to 30 min) in CAST animals. No effect on glucose.
Marchant-Forde et al. [64]	328, 2-3 days	CAST (cut or tear), SHAM	Blood sampling immediately before and at 45 min, 4 h, 48 h, 1 and 2 wks post procedure. 45 min post castration - ↑ cortisol (trend) in CAST vs SHAM piglets. And ↑β-endorphin (trend) in cut vs tear and SHAM piglets. Significantly longer duration of procedure noted in CAST piglets vs SHAM piglets, however.
Moya et al.[68]	40, 5 days	CAST, SHAM *controlled for time of restraint	Blood sampling before (0 h) and 1, 2, 3 and 4 h after procedures (cortisol, TNF-a and IL-1b) and before (0 h) and 12, 24, 48 and 72 h after procedures (CRP, SAA and Hp). ↑cortisol trend only (P < 0.1) in CAST vs SHAM and no statistically significant difference between groups (NSD) for TNF-a, IL-1b, CRP, SAA or Hp.
Lonardi et al. [66]	32, 4 days	CAST, SHAM	Blood sampling 1 hr before and at 20 mins, 3, 5 and 24 hrs after procedures. ↑ cortisol in CAST vs SHAM animals 20 min but not 3-24 hrs post castration; ↓ lactate and glucose (SHAM and CAST) 3-24 hrs post-castration.
Carroll et al. [77]	90, 3, 6, 9 and 12 days	CAST, SHAM	Blood sampling before and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 24, and 48 h after castration. ↑cortisol for 0.5 - 2 hr after procedure CAST > SHAM and ↑cortisol in older versus 3 day old piglets.
Hay et al. [65]	84; 5 days	CAST; SHAM; (Animals previously tail-docked)	NSD between CAST vs SHAM animals during 4 days of urinary measurements
Keita et al. [27]	90; mean 5 days	CAST; SHAM; NSAID (NSAID = Meloxicam (M) i.m. 10 -30mins prior to castration).	30 minutes post castration - ↑ cortisol in CAST and M versus SHAM. ↓ cortisol and ACTH in M vs CAST group, (ACTH in M group similar to SHAM). NSD for Hp at 24 hrs.

Langhoff et al. [34]	245; 4–6 days	CAST, SHAM, NSAID (NSAID = M, flunixin(F), metamizole(MET), carprofen(C)), or saline i.m. 15 - 30 min prior)	Blood sampling before and at 30mins, 1,4 and 24 hrs following procedures. ↑ cortisol in CAST piglets 1 & 4 hrs post castration; ↓ cortisol in all NSAID vs CAST piglets; (↓ cortisol in M and F vs CAST at 30 min, 1 hr and 4 hrs; NSD vs SHAM treated animals at 1 hr).
Reiner et al. [35]	N/A	SHAM, NSAID (M or F)	↑ cortisol in NSAID vs SHAM piglets 30 min post-castration
Zöls et al. [36]	78; 4-6 days	CAST, SHAM, NSAID (M) i.m. prior	↑ cortisol in CAST vs NSAID and SHAM piglets 1, 4 (but not 28) hrs post castration.
Schwab et al. [37]	130; < 7 days	CAST, SHAM, NSAID (Ketoprofen, (K) i.m. 10-30mins prior)	30 min post-castration - ↑cortisol and ACTH CAST > NSAID > SHAM piglets.
Wavreille et al. [38]	66; 5-6 days	CAST, SHAM, NSAID (Tolfenamic acid (T) or M)	NSD CAST vs SHAM or M; ↑cortisol 30 min post-castration in T-pigs.
Bates et al. [39]	10 sows; 60 piglets; 5 days	CAST(M)- (piglets from M treated sows), CAST(p)-(piglets from placebo treated sows)	↑ PGE ₂ inhibition, 10 hrs and 30-100hrs post (castration + tail docking + iron injection) in CAST-M vs CAST-p piglets. NSD between groups for plasma cortisol and SP. (Peak cortisol occurred 1hr post procedures).
Marsálek et al.[33]	36, 4 days	CAST, SHAM, Local anaesthesia (LA) (LA = Lignocaine(L) + Noradrenalin (N-adr), administered i.t. 3 mins prior)	↑ cortisol CAST and LA vs SHAM at 1 hr after castration. (L+N-adr did not modify cortisol concentrations).
Saller et al. [74]	54; 3-7 days	CAST, ± NaCl, L2%, Procaine(P)4%, Bupivacaine(B)0.5%, Mepivacaine 2% 20 min prior; SHAM (all + low flow isoflurane)	CAST : ↑ Heart rate + bld pressure(MAP). NSE on cortisol, Adr, Nor-Adr or chromogranin A. (LA did not modify cortisol or catecholamine concentrations, despite significant reduction in heart rate, MAP and nociceptive motor responses)
Zöls et al.[36]	124; 4-6 days	CAST, SHAM, LA (LA = P i.t. 15 mins prior)	↑ cortisol in CAST and LA vs SHAM piglets 1, 4 (but not 28) hrs post castration. (P did not modify cortisol concentrations)
Courboulay et al. [40]	96	CAST, SHAM, NSAID (K), LA(L).	↑ cortisol at 30 mins in L and CAST vs K and SHAM.

Kluiwers-Poodt et al. [24]	160; 3-5 days	CAST, SHAM, NSAID (M), LA(L), L+M (L-i.t.+s.c. M-i.m. administered 15mins prior)	Cortisol, lactate glucose and creatinine kinase(CK) measured before and 20 mins following procedures. ↑ cortisol all grp vs SHAM. ↓ cortisol L vs CAST and M. NSD any treatment groups, for lactate, glucose or CK.
Hansson et al. [28]	564; 1 – 7 days	CAST; NSAID (M); LA(L+adr), LA+NSAID (Administration L+adr -i.t. 3-30 mins prior, M-i.m. post castration).	Trend to reduced SAA in NSAID-treated piglets.
Bonastre et al. [41]	120; 4 – 7 days	CAST, SHAM, SHAM+NSAID(M), CAST+M, CAST+LA(L), CAST+L+M, CAST+L+ B, CAST+L+B+M (Administration; L and B i.t. 20 mins prior, M i.m. immediately post castration).	↑ cortisol (20 min) in all groups except SHAM and CAST+L+M; ↑ glucose (20 min) in all groups except SHAM and CAST+L.
Nyborg et al.[42]	NA	CAST, LA. (LA= L+B administered intrafunicularly (bilateral) and subcutaneously prior to castration)	↑ cFos protein (spinal cord) in CAST vs LA piglets
Svensden [43]	20	CAST, CAST+LA, CAST+CO ₂ /O ₂ general anaesthesia (GA)	↑ cFos protein (spinal cord) in CAST vs LA and GA piglets
Gottardo et al.[44]	196; 4 days	CAST; SHAM; NSAID (M, K or T); CAST+topical anaesthesia(TA) (TA=2% or 6% topical tetracaine hydrochloride prior and applied to wound immediately post procedure);	↑ cortisol and ACTH at 30 and 60 mins in CAST vs NSAID, TA and SHAM groups.
Sutherland et al.[46]	36; 3 days	CAST; SHAM; TA (tetracaine); TA(L+B+adrenalin). (TA administered post incision, to spermatic cords and skin edge immediately prior to castration).	Trend (P=0.06) ↑cortisol in CAST and TA piglets 0.5 - 1 hr post castration but not at 90 – 180 mins; ↑cortisol (P<0.05) in TA+adrenalin piglets between 30-180 minutes post-castration.
Sutherland et al.[22]	70; 3 days	CAST; SHAM; SHAM+NSAID, SHAM+GA(CO ₂), CAST+NSAID,	Blood sampled before, and 30, 60, 120, and 180 min, 24 h, and 3 d after castration for cortisol, Substance P (0-180 min) and CRP (24hr-3 days). ↑

		CAST+GA(CO ₂), CAST+both (NSAID = F, i.m. immediately prior to procedure)	cortisol (30min) in all CAST vs SHAM grps. ↑cortisol (60-120min) in CAST and CAST+NSAID versus SHAM grp. ↑CRP in CAST(trend) and CAST+GA(CO ₂) piglets. (↓CRP CAST+GA(CO ₂) vs CAST piglets). ↑ SP in all piglet groups receiving GA(CO ₂).
Walker et al. [47]	85; 2-12	CAST; CAST + GA (Isoflurane)	↑ cortisol, ACTH and β-endorphins in CAST animals; NSD between anaesthetised and non-anesthetised groups despite obvious behavioural differences.
Kohler et al. [48]	21 – 28 days	CAST, CAST+GA (CO ₂ /O ₂), CAST+GA(Halothane)	↑ cortisol, ACTH, β-endorphin; NSD between groups despite obvious behavioural differences.

3

4 3. Nociceptive motor responses during piglet castration

5

6 Piglet castration without anaesthesia induces protracted violent struggling and escape
7 behaviour in piglets during the procedure[47]. This piglet motor response is usually accompanied by
8 a loud vocal response and is attributable to the nociceptive withdrawal response to acute pain
9 induced during the procedure. It is referred to in the literature by a variety of terms including ‘escape
10 attempts’[64]; ‘defense behaviour’[50] or; ‘resistance movements’[28]. Measurement of the
11 nociceptive motor response is typically conducted by use of a variety of methodologies including (i)
12 ordinal scales[32,47] (ii) focal assessments [27,42], (iii) a visual analogue scale (VAS)[79], or; (iv) the
13 use of a numerical rating scale (NRS) [32,47]. Regardless of the methods used, analysis of the
14 nociceptive motor responses of piglets consistently detects a marked and significant increase in
15 castrated versus sham-handled animals, and successful mitigation of this response through use of
16 general or local anaesthesia, indicative of sensitivity to detect pain mitigating effects (Table 2).
17

18 Numerous studies have demonstrated that the piglet nociceptive motor response to castration
19 is significantly increased in piglets undergoing castration as compared with sham-handled controls
20 and/or following the application of effective local or general anaesthesia (Table 2). Marchant-Forde
21 et al.[64] reported that castration triggered significant escape attempts in piglets undergoing
22 castration compared to sham-handled controls. Focal sampling observations revealed that the piglet’s
23 nociceptive motor response often involved a sequence of sequential leg kicks in an attempt to escape,
24 followed by a pause. Injectable anaesthesia (i.e. 2% Lignocaine) applied via intra-testicular or
25 infundibular injection with an effective wait time has been shown to reduce the relative proportion
26 of resistance movements from the entire period of fixation, including during the cutting of the
27 spermatic cords, which elicits the greatest response and is considered to be the most painful step of
28 the procedure[49]. A subsequent study investigating lignocaine effectiveness also confirmed less
29 resistance movements during castration in piglets pre-injected with 10 mg/ml lignocaine into each
30 testicle as compared to untreated animals[28]. By contrast, pre-emptive i.m. administration of an
31 NSAID did not result in a significant reduction in nociceptive motor response[27].
32

33 To investigate the efficacy of topical anaesthesia to mitigate piglet castration pain when instilled
34 into the wound and allowed a 30 sec wait time, our group recently employed a method in which
35 piglet castration was recorded on video-tape, and the nociceptive motor response was graded off-
36 line by a blinded trained observer using an NRS (0-2, based on nil, partial or vigorous full body
37 response) including scoring at four specific time points during the surgical procedure (i.e. during
38 traction of each testicle and severance of each spermatic cord). Piglets were settled at the time of
39 commencing procedures. Nociceptive motor response scores were increased at all four time points in
40 untreated piglets, and were also shown to be significantly reduced in animals treated with topical
41 anaesthetic via wound instillation with 30 sec dwell time[31]. Together, this literature is considered
42 to indicate that assessment of nociceptive motor withdrawal response can provide a consistent,
43 sensitive and repeatable method for documenting piglet pain responses during the castration
44 procedure, and the efficacy of pain management strategies.

45 **Table 2.** Summary of studies measuring motor response movements during castration

46

Authors	Piglets N, age	Castration experimental groups	Method	Significant findings (p<0.05)
Marchant-Forde et al. [64]	32; 2 – 8 days	Castration without anaesthesia (CAST); Cutting or tearing spermatic cord; sham-handled animals (SHAM)	No. escape attempts (sequential kicks) during procedure	↑ escape attempts CAST vs sham groups; no significant difference (NSD) in response between castration method (cut versus tear)
Horn et al. [49]	36; 10 – 14 days	CAST, Local Anaesthesia (LA) (LA = Lignocaine (L) administered i.t. +/- intrafunicularly prior to castration)	Relative proportion of resistance movements	↑ resistance movements in CAST, particularly prominent during spermatic cord cutting. ↓ in L-treated group
Leidig et al.[50]	61; 3 – 4 days	CAST; SHAM; LA; (LA = L or Procaine(P) i.t. prior to castration)	Ordinal scale measuring duration and intensity.	↑ scores in CAST animals; ↓ scores in SHAM, L and P-treated animals
Sheil et al.[31]	40; 3 – 7 days	CAST; Topical wound anesthetic (TA), applied by wound instillation 30s prior to excising testes.	Numerical rating scale	↑ scores in CAST piglets with traction on each teste and cutting of each spermatic cord; significantly reduced in TA treated group
Walker et al.[47]	85; 2-12	CAST; CAST under general anaesthesia (GA)(Isoflurane)	Numerical rating scale	↑ scores in CAST piglets with skin incision and testis excision; significantly reduced in GA group
Keita et al. [27]	90; mean 5 days	CAST; SHAM; NSAID (NSAID = Meloxicam (M) i.m. 10 -30mins prior to castration).	“Global” behaviour score (GBS) calculated from presence or absence of: foreleg; or hind leg; or other body movements; urine or faeces emission; tremors.	GBS was similar in the meloxicam and placebo groups. There was a behavioural response (i.e. global score of 1 or more) in more than 95% of all piglets in the study during castration
Hansson et al.[28]	564; 1 – 7 days	CAST; LA (L+adrenalin); NSAID(M); LA + M (Administration L+adr -i.t. 3-30 mins prior, M-i.m. post castration)	Visual analogue scale	↑ scores in CAST animals; ↓ scores in L and LM-treated animals

47 4.0 Vocal responses during piglet castration

48 A review of the literature indicates that some changes in piglet vocalisation (i) can be detected
49 during surgical castration, (ii) can be moderated with the use of anaesthesia and; (iii) are considered
50 to be indicative of pain (Table 3). Although piglets commonly vocalise when they are handled, and
51 particularly when restrained, the literature shows that during castration piglets may squeal more
52 often, more loudly and/or at a higher frequency than piglets that undergo sham handling[64,80-82].
53 Castration is reported to produce changes in piglet vocalisation sound parameters that are
54 comprehensively different to those detected from handling alone[52]. A wide range of parameters
55 have been employed to measure piglet vocal response including measurement of; duration, energy
56 or loudness (dB), peak frequency or pitch (Hz), or highest energy (Hz), vocalisation rate, and/or the
57 percent of piglets that vocalised. Parameters that describe a single event in a call, such as peak level
58 or peak frequency are considered to provide more consistent results than parameters that describe
59 an average, such as weighted frequency and main frequency[83]. Most recently, specifically designed
60 software (Stremodo® (Stress Monitor and Documentation unit) has been developed to detect stress
61 vocalisations in piglets[84,85]. This uses linear prediction analysis[86] to extract features of calls and
62 categorise them as stress calls, non-stress calls or background noise.

63
64 Studies have reported that piglets during castration produced more high-frequency calls (>1000
65 Hz), (referred to as screams [83]), than non-castrated controls. Pulling and severing of the spermatic
66 cords lead to the greatest vocalisation response, greater than those normally emitted during handling
67 and restraint as well as during the initial incision [83,87]. Vocalisation responses were also used to
68 compare the castration procedure itself with cutting or tearing of the spermatic cord found to have
69 little difference on the duration of responses [64]. Interestingly, intra-muscular injection of analgesics
70 induces vocalisations of similar power (dB), frequency (Hz) and energy as that induced by pulling
71 and tearing the spermatic cords during castration, and of significantly greater power (dB), frequency
72 (Hz) and energy than skin incision [54].

73
74 The majority of studies identify that local and general anaesthesia are effective in mitigating
75 piglet vocal response to castration. Piglets castrated without local anaesthesia produce a higher
76 number of screams with higher frequencies compared to piglets castrated with anaesthesia[28,50-
77 52,78]. Hansson et al. [28] used a decibel meter during castration to record the highest vocal intensity
78 level (dB) of piglets castrated with and without a local anaesthetic (lignocaine). Piglets castrated
79 without the local anaesthetic produced calls of a significantly higher intensity than those
80 administered lignocaine. Leidig et al.[50] summed the total duration of stress calls relative to the total
81 time of the procedure, finding that duration of vocalisations of piglets receiving intra-testicular
82 anaesthesia with injectable procaine was half of that emitted by piglets without anaesthesia. Animals
83 that have received local anaesthetic injection to the testicle on one side vocalise less when the
84 anaesthetised testicle is removed than the non-anaesthetised testicle, although there was wide
85 variability from animal to animal[88]. Trials examining the impact of NSAID administration at, or
86 prior to castration however, have uniformly reported little to no impact on piglet vocal responses
87 during castration [22,35,78] compared to piglets castrated without NSAID treatment.

88
89 Despite the overall consistency of reported outcomes, the actual metrics reported by authors are
90 very diverse and reporting of measures of variation is poor, such that it is difficult to combine these
91 data or quantify the effect of anaesthetic interventions on vocalisation[26]. A confounder to studies
92 that rely on the quality of vocalisation responses to assess pain in piglets is that, in most cases, these
93 findings have been recorded in rooms acoustically isolated from farrowing pens where piglet
94 castration usually takes place. Since regulatory safety and efficacy trials require demonstration in
95 'real-life' situations, the sensitivity of pig vocalisation measurements and the consistency of results
96 needs to be considered against the normal background noise levels, and confounding factors of a
97 farrowing pen in a commercial farm setting. The presence of the sow and littermates can have

98 confounding effects on piglet vocal responses. In view of these factors, it may be anticipated that
99 analysis of vocal responses may not be as sensitive an indicator of pain in regulatory field trial settings
100 as in acoustically separated research environments.
101

102 We recently developed a modified method for quantifying piglet vocal responses in the on-farm
103 setting[31]. Piglet vocal response was recorded using a decibel meter as well as time-stamped video-
104 tape recording. Off-line analysis by a blinded technician allowed generation of standardised
105 decibel/time waveform recordings for each piglet, on which the time of various specific procedural
106 events were able to be marked. This allowed comparison of the peak (dB) and total auditory response
107 (area under the dB/time waveform curve (AUC)) of each piglet, during specific procedural event-
108 time periods (e.g. piglet vocal response during traction and severing of each cord). This provided
109 consistency and specificity to the measurement period. Using this technique, we identified that both
110 the peak dB and AUC recording were significantly reduced in piglets (n=20) treated with topical
111 anaesthesia instilled to the wound followed by a 30 sec wait time, as compared with untreated piglets
112 (n=20) during traction and severing of the first cord. A trend effect was evident for traction and
113 severing the second cord however statistical power was affected by increased variability. This finding
114 was in contrast to a previous report [44] in which vocal responses in castrated piglets treated with
115 topical anaesthetics or an NSAID were compared with untreated controls (n=10 per group) using
116 Stremodo® software. No measurable difference had been recorded between treatment and non-
117 treated castrated groups in this trial. This may have been due to lack of sufficient dwell time allowed
118 for efficacy of the topical anaesthetic agents employed, and / or insufficient power. More recently, we
119 commissioned a further trial examining vocal response to castration following wound instillation of
120 a topical anaesthetic formulation (with 30 second dwell time)(n= 44 per group) using peak dB and
121 area under the dB/time waveform (as above) to compare vocal response to castration between treated
122 and untreated piglets. With increased power, a significant reduction in vocal response (peak dB and
123 AUC) to traction and severing of both the first and second spermatic cords was recorded. (Sheil, M;
124 unpublished observations, manuscript in preparation).
125

126 In summary, it is considered that with careful application to ensure targeting of the
127 measurement period to coincide with the time points of pain generation, and avoidance of
128 confounding factors (particularly duration of restraint or recordings), measures of piglet vocalisation
129 in response to castration including; the peak dB, total vocal response (such as area under the dB/time
130 waveform), the frequency (Hz) of call with the highest intensity (dB(A)), rate of high frequency calls
131 (>1000Hz)) or stress vocalisations using Stremodo®, appear to provide a relatively consistent and
132 sensitive method of assessing procedural pain associated with castration, and pain mitigation in
133 neonatal piglets.

134 **Table 3.** Summary of studies measuring piglet vocal responses during castration

Authors	Piglets Age, number	Castration experimental groups	Measurement method	Significant findings (p<0.05)
Wemelsfelder and van Putten [80]	4 weeks	Castration without anaesthesia (CAST); Female litter mates	Calls highest in amplitude	Incising the scrotum did not result in a change in vocalisation, however pulling and cutting spermatic resulted in a marked ↑ in vocalisation.
Puppe et al.[85]	19; 14 days	CAST	Rate of stress calls; Stremodo® automated call monitoring system	↑ Stress calls (>1000 Hz) during surgical parts of castration procedure
Weary et al. [81]	102; 8-12 days	CAST; sham-castrated (SHAM)	Mean High (>1000 Hz) and low (<1000 Hz) calls	Significantly > high frequency calls in castrated vs sham-handled piglets. Greatest differences occurred during the severing of the spermatic cords and lesser differences when the scrotum was incised and the testicles extruded
Taylor and Weary [87]	139; 7 – 10 days	CAST; SHAM	Mean High (>1000 Hz) and low (<1000 Hz) calls	Significantly > high frequency calls in castrated vs sham-castrated piglets; pulling and severing produced highest call rate
Taylor et al. [82]	84; 3, 10, 17 days	CAST; SHAM	Mean High (>1000 Hz) and low (<1000 Hz) calls	Significantly > high frequency calls in castrated vs sham-castrated piglets; No significant age effect observed on frequency of calls
Marchant-Forde et al. [64]	32; 2 – 8 days	CAST; (Cutting or tearing spermatic cord); SHAM	Duration, mean frequency, and frequency of peak amplitude	Significantly > peak frequency of call in castrated piglets vs sham handled controls
White et al. [51]	172; 1 – 28 days	CAST; Injectable Lignocaine (L)	Frequency with highest decibel level (HEF)	Ligating cord produced ↑ HEF during castration; Significantly ↓ HEF in pigs treated with L
Marx et al. [83]	70; 7, 13, 19 days	CAST; L	12 variables	Calls classified into three types (screams, grunts squeals); 2 x number of screams in untreated castrates vs treated

Leidig et al. [50]	61; 3 – 4 days	CAST; SHAM; L; Procaine (P)	Stremodo®	CAST pain vocalisations significantly different from other treatment groups; no significant difference (NSD) between other groups
Kluiwers-Poodt et al. [24]	160; 3 – 5 days	CAST; L; Meloxicam (M); L + M; SHAM	Temporal, waveform & spectral parameters	CAST piglets squealed longer and louder than piglets treated with L ± M; M-treated piglets similar to CAST
Keita et al. [27]	150; mean 5 days	CAST; M	Occurrence of vocalisation during castration recorded as 'cry', 'growl' or 'silence'.	Vocalisation (crying) during castration occurred in 149 of the 150 piglets in the study. NSE of M treatment.
Hansson et al. [28]	564; 1 – 7 days	CAST; L; M; L + M	Calls highest in amplitude	L and L+M piglets produced calls with significantly lower intensity than CAST and M-treated piglets
Sutherland et al.[46]	36; 3 days	CAST; SHAM; topical anesthetic(TA); NSAID	Stremodo®	Significant difference between SHAM piglets and castrated piglets (with or without treatment)
Sheil et al. [31]	40; 3 – 7 days	CAST; TA(+30s wait);	Peak dB and Area Under the dB / time (waveform) Curve (AUC)	Significant reduction in vocal responses in TA(+30s wait) vs CAST piglets during traction and severance of first spermatic cord.
Sutherland et al.[22]	70; 3 days	CAST; SHAM; NSAID; GA (CO2); NSAID+GA (CO2)	Stremodo® frequency of stress vocalisations	% of stress vocalisations was greater (P < 0.05) in CAST and CAST+NSAID pigs than all other treatments.
Viscardi and Turner [54]	60; 5 days	CAST; SHAM; Buprenorphine (BUP); SHAM + BUP	Spectrograms from video-recordings. Maximum; frequency (Hz), amplitude (μ), power (dB); and energy (dB) of each call was determined comparing skin marking, i.m. injection, skin incision and castration	i.m. injection and castration (pulling and severing the spermatic cord) induced vocalisations of ↑ frequency (Hz), power (dB) and amplitude (u) and / or energy, than skin incision, and/or spray marking / sham handling - all groups. NSE of Buprenorphine treatment.

136 5.0 Post-operative pain-related behaviours

137 In general, measures of behaviour have proven to be more reliable indicators of pain than
138 physiological measures in animals following castration[1,64]. In other animal species, behaviours
139 such as decreased or abnormal locomotion, turning the head towards the rump, abnormal postures
140 including prostration (standing or sitting with head below the shoulders), “hunching” (standing with
141 kyphosis), “stiffness” (lying with legs tense and extended or walking with a stiff gait), **increased or**
142 reduced movements of the tail are considered indicators of pain resulting from castration[29,30,89-
143 92]. More diffuse and variable responses may occur in neonatal animals however, due to immaturity
144 of neuronal pathways involved with pain processing[93].

145 Behavioural disturbances have also been examined in neonatal piglets following castration. A
146 review of the literature however reveals that in piglets, these behavioural changes may be subtle,
147 transient and/or variably expressed, such that findings are not always reproducible. In some cases,
148 contradictory results have also been reported (Tables 4 and 5). Behavioural assessments usually
149 involve either direct quiet observation and scoring of piglet behaviours by trained blinded observers,
150 or continuous time-lapse video-recording with off-line scoring either using event monitoring
151 software or trained blinded observers. Assessments typically include observations of piglet; (i)
152 posture (lying, standing, sitting etc), (ii) location (under heat, in contact with the sow or pen mates
153 versus in isolation), and (iii) activities, including “non-specific” behaviours (sucking, sleeping,
154 walking, playing, exploratory or aggressive behaviour etc, which may be divided into “active” and
155 “inactive” behaviours) and “pain-specific” behaviours. This latter category, first detailed by Hay et
156 al.[65] based on pain-specific behaviours reported in other species, includes; “prostration” (standing
157 or sitting with head down below shoulder height), “huddled up” (ventral lying with at least three
158 legs tucked up), “tremors or trembling”, “spasms” (localised muscle spasm), “stiffness” (lying with
159 legs tense and extended), “tail wagging” and “scratching” (rubbing the rump along the floor or walls,
160 also called “scooting”). Authors have additionally included standing in “hunched” posture (i.e. with
161 kyphosis) or walking with a stiff or abnormal gait [23,44,66]. Observations may be made by “scan
162 sampling” (i.e. recording the general posture, position, and behavioural activity of the piglet, with
163 frequent repetition (e.g. every 1 – 10 min), over a predetermined time periods (generally 2-3 hrs in
164 the morning and afternoon of each assessment day), and / or by “focal assessment” (scoring the
165 presence or absence of “pain-specific” behaviours at a number of predetermined time points). As
166 incidences of individual pain-specific behaviours are low, aggregation of “pain-specific” behaviours
167 is commonly employed to derive a “total” or “global” pain score for each piglet over specific time
168 periods [27,44,65,68].

169 Using these methods, abnormalities of behaviour have been documented in the early minutes
170 and hours after piglet castration, principally consisting of a low magnitude increase in “pain-specific”
171 behaviours and/or isolation. Although the majority of these behaviours are short-lived (i.e. observed
172 with the greatest frequency in the first 30 min to 1 hr following castration), some particular
173 behaviours such as increased tail wagging and/or scratching tend to develop later in the post-
174 operative period and have been observed to be increased for up to 2-5 days post-procedure in some
175 studies [54,57], although not in others [24,68]. Overall in review, when comparing castrated piglets
176 with sham handled controls, variation in general postures and non-specific behaviours have been
177 marginal and/or conflicting, and are generally not considered reliable indicators of piglet pain[24,65].

178 Early studies identified a number of behaviours thought to be indicative of pain in piglets,
179 including changes in posture, position and nursing behaviour, with reduced standing and increased
180 lying away from heat, and reduced nursing in the early hours (3 – 6 hrs) following the procedure as
181 compared with uncastrated controls, effects that were ameliorated by use of lignocaine local
182 anaesthesia prior to castration [55,94,95]. A subsequent study[82], however, reported differently,
183 documenting decreased lying, increased sitting and increased nursing in piglets post-castration as
184 compared with uncastrated controls. In all cases, however, the authors reported that effects, although

185 statistically significant, were marginal and/or of low magnitude. Hay et al.[65] introduced a detailed
186 ethogram for behavioural assessment of piglets post-castration. This included recording a range of
187 indices of piglet posture and position, as well as 'non-specific' behaviours (such as suckling, walking,
188 running, sleeping, playing, exploring, aggression), "pain-specific" behaviours (detailed above) as
189 well as "social cohesion" (isolation and desynchronization). Using this ethogram and scan sampling
190 over 5 days, in a study of piglets 5 days of age (n=84) following castration, increased "pain-specific"
191 behaviours were documented involving greater incidences of prostration, stiffness, trembling,
192 huddled-up posture and tail wagging as well as increased social isolation and de-synchronisation,
193 during the first 2.5 hrs following castration in castrated versus sham-handled piglets. Scratching and
194 tail wagging were increased at later time points and remained elevated for 2-4 days. There were no
195 significant changes in other variables, and it was concluded that general postures changes and non-
196 specific activities were not reliable indicators of pain in piglets post-castration[65]. A number of
197 studies have used similar ethograms and / or assessment of "pain-specific" behaviours to investigate
198 post-operative piglet pain since this time (Table 4 and 5). These have reported changes in "pain-
199 specific" behaviours and social isolation, generally detectable only during the earliest assessment
200 periods up to 180 min following castration. A recent study examining shorter time intervals identified
201 significant changes in "pain-specific" behaviours were only present over the first 30 min post-
202 castration[44]. Most studies have reported minimal [65,94] or no significant effect on suckling, and
203 all studies have reported no effects of castration on piglet weight gain when performed on neonatal
204 piglets > 3 days of age (Table 4). Longer term behavioural effects have been variably reported. Hay
205 et al.[65] reported scratching was increased with maximum frequency from 24-48 hrs post-
206 operatively, and tail wagging was increased for 4 days. Wemelsfelder and van Putten[80] also
207 documented increased tail wagging in the days following castration in 4-week old piglets. However,
208 piglets in both these trials had also undergone prior tail docking, and it was hypothesised that
209 prolonged tail wagging could be related to exacerbation of tail stump hyperalgesia. Viscardi et al.
210 [54,57] recorded a significant increase in tail wagging, peaking at 24 hrs in non-tail-docked piglets,
211 with no significant difference in scratching behaviour. Others have reported no significant differences
212 in scratching or tail wagging in castrated piglets as compared with non-castrated controls up to four
213 days post-castration[24,68].

214 Pre-treatment with local anaesthetic or NSAID analgesic has been shown to result in significant
215 differences in certain pain-related behaviour in treated piglets less than 2 weeks of age in some trials,
216 [44,46,55] but not others[25,57,78]. McGlone et al.[55] reported that although the changes in behaviour
217 were only minor, piglets castrated without local anaesthetic were observed to display significantly
218 reduced standing, increased lying and reduced nursing behaviours compared to piglets administered
219 lignocaine via injection prior to castration. Hansson et al.[28] documented reductions in total "pain-
220 specific" behaviours in piglets administered both lignocaine and meloxicam (but not alone) prior to
221 castration as compared with untreated piglets. Sutherland et al.[46] examined the behavioural
222 responses of piglets after castration and found that untreated animals spent significantly more time
223 lying without contact (isolation) compared with piglets given topical anaesthetic via wound
224 instillation during the procedure. In contrast, an alternative study[78] reported that lignocaine
225 injection prior to castration resulted in *increased* "pain-specific" behaviour in the first hours after
226 castration as compared with sham or unhandled controls, or NSAID-treated piglets. This was
227 predominantly due to a significant increase in huddling up in the early hours after the procedure,
228 and a significant increased incidence of tail-wagging evident particularly over the first 3 days. It was
229 hypothesised that either the effect of the lignocaine wore off so quickly that it had no post-operative
230 analgesic effects or the sensation of the lignocaine wearing off may have resulted in increased tail-
231 wagging in piglets. Yun et al.,[23] also reported increased tail-wagging in the first 10 min post
232 castration piglets in piglets castrated under lignocaine or general anaesthesia. In this this case
233 however, tail-wagging was also similarly increased in non-castrated piglets but not in piglets
234 castrated without anaesthesia or analgesia, as compared with pre-operative values. Increased tail
235 wagging in the early hours following the procedure was also reported following post-operative use
236 of lignocaine hydrochloride spray to the wound [25] as compared with untreated castrated piglets. It

237 was hypothesised that the high proportion of alcohol in the product and / or its acidic pH may have
238 contributed to afferent nerve sensitisation. Such effects if present, may be preventable using buffering
239 agents[96,125] and / or formulations that do not include high alcohol concentrations. Increased tail
240 wagging was not evident in the early hours following castrated in piglets treated via wound
241 instillation with a combination topical wound anaesthetic and antiseptic formulation (containing
242 lignocaine along with bupivacaine), as compared with untreated piglets or pre-operative values [46]
243 (M.Sheil, unpublished observations, manuscript in preparation). In this situation, it could be
244 hypothesised that bupivacaine provides longer-acting sensory nerve blockade that may mitigate any
245 sensation as the shorter-acting lignocaine wears off. Using focal assessment and an amalgamated
246 global “pain-specific” behaviour score, Keita et al.[27] documented reduced scores at 2 and 4 hr post-
247 castration between Meloxicam-treated piglets versus those without treatment, however, there were
248 not significant effects at 30 mins, 1 hr or 24 hrs. Little or no difference in pain-related behaviour was
249 seen after castration performed with or without general anaesthesia[23,46]. This is not unexpected,
250 as general anaesthetics act primarily to prevent pain perception at the cortical level, however, they
251 have little impact on the local cytokine response to tissue trauma that induces afferent nerve
252 sensitisation and the development of post-operative pain, as detailed above. Hence, post-surgical
253 inflammatory pain develops as general anaesthetic effects wear off.

254 It is notable that the majority of studies that have identified changes in “pain-specific”
255 behaviours in the early hours following castration have been performed using direct observation with
256 scan sampling and / focal assessment as opposed to continuous video recording techniques. From a
257 scientific perspective, continuous behavioural observation is generally considered the gold standard
258 for pain evaluation in animals, as it allows detection of deviation in normal behaviour and is
259 considered to have the sensitivity to detect subtle or short duration behaviours[97]. Performed using
260 video recording and off-line analysis, it also avoids the potential for confounding by observer effects
261 on animal behaviour, and other limitations of live observations, such as reduced number of duration
262 and frequency behaviours observed. However, video-recording may be impaired by 2-
263 dimensionality, parallax error and shadowing. Furthermore, behaviours may be missed when
264 animals are grouped, hidden or off-screen, such as may occur frequently in a farrowing pen. Such
265 factors may all contribute to reduce sensitivity of video-recording methods to the detection of subtle
266 behavioural changes such as are seen in neonatal piglets in the early post-operative period. It is
267 notable that no significant differences in “pain-specific” behaviours between castrated and sham-
268 handled neonatal piglets were evident in the first 2 hrs following castration in trials using video-
269 recording techniques[54,57] as opposed to those using direct observation [28,44,65,68]. Data from
270 these trials suggest that video-recording techniques may have high sensitivity to detect tail-wagging,
271 however, lower sensitivity to detect other “pain-specific” behaviours such as tremors, spasms,
272 huddling up, prostration or stiffness in neonatal piglets. Although 2 trials [9,35] using direct
273 observation methods also failed to detect significant differences in “pain-specific” behaviour in
274 piglets post-castration as compared with sham-handled piglets these trials only examined a narrow
275 range of “pain-specific” behaviours (scotting and huddling up) as compared with the full range
276 detailed by Hay et al.[65] and involved relatively low piglet numbers per group. This suggests that
277 the studies may have been under powered, and / or that important pain-specific behaviours such as
278 tremors/trembling, prostration, spasms, stiffness and tail-wagging may have been missed. There are
279 limited validation studies on behavioural methodologies to detect piglet pain associated with
280 castration, however, Hay et al.[65] compared 10-min scan samples to continuous sampling on pain
281 behaviours associated with castration and reported no difference in results when utilizing a scan or
282 continuous methodology. Additionally, Burkemper[25] has reported low inter-observer error
283 following observer training for direct observation of pain-associated behaviours. New studies are
284 underway[98], using video recording techniques with event monitoring software, and comparing
285 continuous versus scan sampling at various intervals, to better understand the sensitivity and
286 repeatability of this method. New or alternative methods of behavioural assessment such as
287 examining gait, locomotor performance, and latency to move are also being explored[23,66].

288 On this basis, our group recently examined pre- and post-operative pain-related behaviour in
289 castrated piglets 3-5 days of age with and without wound instillation of topical anaesthesia during
290 the procedure, across two separate trial sites (M. Sheil, unpublished observations). Direct observation
291 using trained blinded observers was used, with scan assessments of posture and position (including
292 pain-specific postures and positions, such as prostration, huddled-up, hunched standing, stiffness
293 and isolation) as well as behaviours (including “non-specific” and “pain-specific” behaviours) which
294 were recorded every 10 min for 3 hrs in the morning and 2 hrs in the afternoon; pre-castration and
295 over the first 36 hrs post-castration. In addition, focal assessments of “pain-specific” behaviours were
296 separately made pre-castration and at 1, 15, 30, 60, 90 min and, 2, 4, 6, 8, 24 and 30 hr post-castration.
297 Our results accord with those of Gottardo et al.[44], who, using similar methods, reported increased
298 total “pain-specific” behaviour evident predominantly in the first 30 min after castration, which was
299 mitigated by pre-administration of analgesic medication or post-surgical topical anaesthetic
300 medication. Also using similar methods, Hansson et al.[28] reported reduced total “pain-specific”
301 behaviours in the first 70 min period following castration in neonatal piglets administered both
302 NSAID and local anaesthetic prior to castration. These results suggest that this method currently
303 provides the most consistent, repeatable method of identifying acute post-operative pain, and
304 documenting pain-mitigation in the early minutes and hours following castration in neonatal piglets.
305 We did not find a difference in “pain-specific” behaviours between groups at later times, based on
306 focal sampling, however, scores at later times were similar to pre-operative values. This is consistent
307 with findings reported by Yun [23] and associates, who, reported increased pain-related behaviours
308 in the first 10 minutes and to a lesser extent at 60-70 minutes following castration, but not at other
309 time points measured over 24 hours in castrated piglets as compared with non-castrated piglets and
310 / or pre-operative values. Using scan and/or focal assessment methods, Keita et al.[27], Hanson et
311 al.[28] and Burkemper et al.[25] have previously reported relatively increased “pain-specific”
312 behaviour at later time periods following castration in untreated as compared with
313 analgesia/anaesthesia-treated piglets, however pre-operative baseline values were not reported in the
314 piglets under study, nor were sham-handled groups included.

315 Interestingly, we observed that most piglets were sleeping (~55%) or suckling (~20%) during
316 baseline (pre-operative) scan observations. A prominent increase in piglet sleeping was evident the
317 afternoon following castration. A similar finding has been reported by Viscardi et al. [54,57] who
318 similarly compared piglet behaviour pre- and post-castration. An increase in piglet sleeping has
319 otherwise been infrequently reported as a post-operative behavioural disturbance in piglets although
320 it is, however, a well-documented response to aversive stimulation in neonates[99,100] and
321 neuroactive steroids such as allopregnanolone, and endogenous neuropeptides such as β -endorphin,
322 released in response to stress, are known to have potent sedative properties[101-105]. The majority
323 of previous trials have examined piglet behaviour comparing castrated with sham-handled animals,
324 rather than using a piglet’s pre-castration behaviour as its own control. As handling and restraint are
325 aversive to piglets (resulting in a neuro-endocrine and opiate-neuropeptide stress response),
326 increased sleeping following handling and restraint may be common to both castrated and sham
327 handled animals. This could explain a lack of difference in sleep between sham-handled and
328 treatment groups in previous trials. Kluivers-Poodt et al.[78], for example reported a large proportion
329 (70-75%) of piglets sleeping during scan assessments the afternoon following castration or sham
330 handling, however there were not significant differences between castrated and sham-handled
331 piglets. Trends for increased lying, with reduced standing, walking, exploring etc, and /or reduced
332 active behaviours following castration, where reported, (Table 4 ,5) could all be consequent upon an
333 increase in piglets sleeping following handling, rather than being indicative of post-castration pain.
334 It is interesting to note that buprenorphine administration prior to handling or castration resulted in
335 a significant reduction in inactive behaviours (including sleep) and increased active behaviours in
336 the 8 hrs following castration or sham handling in neonatal piglets[54]. Buprenorphine is reported to
337 disrupt sleep and decrease adenosine concentrations in sleep-regulating brain regions of the Sprague
338 Dawley rat, [101,102] such that it could be hypothesised to have similarly disrupted sleep following
339 aversive stimulation in piglets. A sedative response to aversive stimulation in piglets, if present,

340 could explain the relatively low proportion of piglets exhibiting “pain-specific” behaviours over the
341 same period, and contribute to the challenges detecting pain (and determining the efficacy of pain
342 mitigation strategies) using behavioural observation methods at these later time points. Increased
343 tail-wagging and scratching are the most consistently reported behavioural disturbances evident
344 during later time periods, particularly in docked piglets, however, scratching may not be seen to a
345 significant extent for 24 hrs.

346 It is concluded that the expression of pain in neonatal piglets is subtle and confounded by
347 behavioural responses to handling stress. Pain assessment is confounded by the lack of a validated
348 assessment method, which has resulted in variability in the methodological approach taken in trials
349 to date, and in the reported results. This is concerning because of the potential to underestimate both
350 the degree of pain experienced by neonatal piglets, and the ameliorating effects of analgesic
351 medicines. In review, direct observation of piglet behaviour, pre- and post-castration using frequent
352 scan and / or focal assessment and an ethogram that includes and is targeted to observation of known
353 “pain-specific” postures, positions and behaviours, including; tremors/trembling, spasms,
354 prostration, huddled up or hunched posture, stiffness, tail-wagging, scratching, and isolation,
355 currently appears to provide the optimal method to most consistently identify a difference in acute
356 pain-induced behaviour between castrated and non-castrated piglets, and investigate the potential
357 efficacy of analgesics or anaesthetic medicines in the acute post-operative period. Tail wagging and
358 scratching are the most consistently reported behavioural anomalies at later time points and appear
359 to be equally well documented via continuous recording with off-line analysis or direct observational
360 methods. These variables may however indicate irritation or itch rather than pain, particularly if
361 present in the absence of other pain indicators (such as hyperalgesia) and appear to be exacerbated
362 in piglets that are tail-docked.

Table 4. Summary of behavioral studies in neonatal piglets following castration

Authors	Piglets Number, age,	Castration experimental groups	Measurement method	Significant findings (NSE = no significant effect)
McGlone and Hellman[55]	20; 14 days	CAST; Sham-handled (SHAM); Lidocane (L)	Time lapse video recording; 3 hr pre- and 3 hr post-castration. Event recorder monitored general postures, position and feeding behaviour	3 hr post-op ↓ standing; ↑ lying (away from heat); ↓ nursing in CAST piglets (low magnitude no effect on weight gain)
McGlone et al. [94]	100; 1, 5, 10, 15 & 20 days	CAST; SHAM	Time lapse video recording. 24 hours post-op. A digital timing and data summary program[106] was used to measure the duration of each behavior Ethogram based on [55]	↓ standing and ↑ lying and ↓ nursing 6 hr post-castration in CAST piglets (low magnitude), no effect on weight gain.
Carroll et al. [77]	90, 3-12 days	CAST, SHAM	Time-lapse video recording (WJ-HD500A, 3-min scan sample immediately after castration for 2 h. Observed for “active” (running walking), lying, lying under the heat, sitting, sitting under the heat, standing, standing under the heat, and nursing (mutually exclusive).	NSE on the time that pigs spent nursing, lying, standing, or sitting, Trend (P = 0.08) for CAST to be less active than SHAM. Overall age effect (P = 0.01) on the time that pigs spent standing, such that 3-d-old pigs stood more than 6-, 9-, or 12-d-old pigs. No effect on weight gain.
Taylor et al. [82]	84; 3, 10, 17 days	CAST; SHAM	Time-lapse video recording; Scan sampling. Proportion of total behaviours scored at 10 min intervals Monitored general postures, location nursing and active/inactive behaviours.	↑ standing or sitting and ↓ lying 0-2 hr post-castration in CAST piglets; ↓ lying and ↑ nursing in next 22 hrs. No significant effect (NSE) position (all effects low magnitude no effect on weight gain)
Hay et al. [65]	84; 5 days	CAST; SHAM *Previously taildocked	Detailed Ethogram: Posture, location, non-specific and pain-specific activity/behaviours and social	First 2.5 hr; ↑ “pain-specific” behaviours (prostration, huddled up, stiffness & trembling), ↑tail wagging ↑isolation and desynchronization ↓

			isolation/desynchronization. Direct observation. Scan sampling every 10 min immediately post-op & 2 hr each morning and evening for 5 days	suckling/udder massage, ↑ awake inactive in CAST piglets; 2 - 4 days - ↑scratching, tail wagging; Through-out - ↑walking and huddled up. Low magnitude ↑ kneeling otherwise NSE on postures or weight gain
Moya et al. [68] Exp 1	20; 5-8hrs post-op	CAST; SHAM	Direct observation, Scan sampling every 3 min for 3 hr (5 – 8 hr post op); ethogram based on [65]	↑ total “pain-specific” behaviours (↑huddling up); ↓ walking; ↑ udder massage/exploratory activity and scratching (NSE posture or position)
Moya et al. [68]Exp 2	20; 4 days	CAST; SHAM	Direct observation, Scan sampling every 3 min for 2 hr each morning and evening for 4 days; ethogram based on [65]	↑ total “pain-specific” behaviours (↑huddled up; tremors; spasms) first 0-2.5hr; Later time points ↓ sitting and ↑ trend for isolation. (Tail-wagging not recorded)
Keita et al. [27]	150; mean 5 days	CAST; Meloxicam (M);	Direct observation, Focal assessment (poresence/absence) of “pain-specific” behaviours” based on [65] (prostration, tremors (trembling), tail movements and isolation) at 30 min, 1, 2, 4 and 24 hr post-castration;	Greater proportion showed total global pain score ‘0’ in M vs CAST at 2 and 4 hrs (NSE 30 min, 1 or 24 hrs)
Kluyvers-Poodt et al. [78]	160; 3 – 5 days	CAST; SHAM; unhandled; Lignocaine (L); M; L + M *not tail docked	Direct observation, Scan sampling; 12 min intervals for 3.5 hr each morning and afternoon for 4.5 days; Ethogram based on [65], tail-wagging scored separately from other pain-specific behaviours	↑“pain-specific” behaviours (2 - 6hrs), ↑ tail-wagging in L group (3 days). ↑ sleeping and inactive behaviours in all groups in first 2-6 hr post-castration. NSE suckling behaviour
Hansson et al. [28]	398; 1 – 7 days	CAST; L; M; L + M	Direct observation scan sampling; each 10 mins for 70 mins. Ethogram based on [65,68,80].	↓ total “pain-specific” behaviours (huddled up, stiffness, prostration, tremors/trembling, spasms, scratching) L+M group day 1 post castration.
Gottardo et al. [44]	196; 4 days	CAST; SHAM; 2% topical tetracaine hydrochloride (THCL); 6% THCL; M;	Direct observation, scan sampling 1 min intervals for 0 – 30 min & 60 – 90 min post-castration; Ethogram based on [68]	↑ total “pain-specific” behaviour (tremors, scratching, hunching, tail-wagging) CAST group, ↑isolation CAST and THCL groups; ↑ standing

		ketoprofen (K); tolfenamic acid		inactive all groups except K and SHAM in first 30 mins. NSE 60 – 90 min period
Sutherland et al. [46]	36; 3 days	CAST; SHAM; topical anaesthetic	Direct observation, 1 min scan sampling for 180 min post-castration; ethogram based on [68,106] incl. Lying with or without contact, suckling behavior, general postures and “pain-specific” behaviours (huddled up or scratching).	↑ lying without contact in the CAST group
Sutherland et al. [22]	70; 3 days	CAST; SHAM; General anaesthesia (GA)-(CO ₂ /O ₂); NSAID	1 min scan sampling 0-30, 60-90 and 120-150 minutes post castration; ethogram as per [46] based on [68,107]	↑ lying without contact; CAST first 30 mins thereafter CAS+CO ₂ piglets spent more time lying without contact than other treatments. ↑ total “pain-specific” behavior (scratching, huddling, hunched), CAS+CO ₂ , 0-30mins.
Viscardi et al. [56]	19; 5 days	CAST; M + EMLA® cream, M + Placebo cream, saline+ EMLA® cream, saline + placebo cream, prior to surgical castration, tail docking and i.m. iron injection.	Video recording 1 hr pre-; 0 – 8 hr and 24 hr post-castration; analysed 15mins per hour, ethogram based on [65], behaviours analyzed separately, and grouped into “active” and “inactive” categories	↑ inactive behaviors and ↑tail-wagging all groups first 6 hours post castration and docking as compared with pre-castration and docking. ↑isolation in piglets castrated without treatment as compared with treatment groups. (NSE individual “pain-specific” behaviours other than tail wagging, however small sample size).
Viscardi and Turner [57]	120; 5 days	CAST; SHAM; M; K. *not tail docked	Video recording 1 hr pre-; 0 – 8 hr and 24 hr post-castration; analysed 15 mins per hour; ethogram adapted from [65] as above. Behaviours analyzed separately, and grouped into “active” “inactive” and “pain” categories. “Pain” included; trembling, stiffness, spasms, tail wagging, and rump scratching	At 0hr, ↑active behaviours (walking standing); At 5hr, ↑ suckling; At 7 hr ↑sleep compared with pre-op, (all groups); At 2, 7 and 24 h post-castration ↑tail-wagging and “pain” behaviour, CAST, M, and K groups. (Note “pain” category included tail-wagging). (NSE scratching or other individual pain-specific behaviours)

Yun et al. [23]	143; 5 days	CAST; No castration (left in trolley) (NoC); M; L; GA (isoflurane + M)	Video recording, analysed 10 min/hr, pre- (-1 hr), 0, 1 2 hr and 24 and 36 hr post-castration; ethogram based off [65,68,94] and others; behaviors analysed separately. *scans were delayed if piglets were sleeping or feeding.	Comparing pre and post castration, behaviours different only during the first 10 minute observation in both CAST and NoC piglets, but not different after 1 hr. Comparing CAST versus NoC- at 0 hr, ↑ prostration and ↓ aggression and tail wagging in CAST. At 1 hr ↑ prostration and abn walking, otherwise NSE at any time points. M, L and GA piglets; 0-2hr ↑ leg crossing vs NoC, ↓ abn walking and prostration M v CAST. At 2hrs ↑ tail wagging GA vs NoC. Otherwise NSE
Viscardi and Turner [54]	60; 5 days	CAST; SHAM (+saline) CAST+buprenorphine; SHAM+buprenorphine *not tail docked	Video recording 1 hr pre-; 0 – 8 hr and 24 hr post-castration; ethogram based on [65] behaviors analyzed separately, and grouped into “active” and “inactive” and “pain” categories. “Pain” included; trembling, stiffness, spasms, tail wagging, and rump scratching	↑ sleeping and ↓ walking, standing and active behaviours 4-7 hours as compared with 0hr all groups. ↑ active behaviours Buprenorphine versus other groups 0-7 hrs. ↑ tail-wagging and “pain” behaviours 24 h post-castration, CAST versus SHAM group. NB: “pain” category included tail-wagging.
Burkemper et al. [25]	235; 3 – 7 days	CAST; Lidocane spray (LS); oral M; LS + oral M	Direct observation, Scan sampling each 5 min for 5 hr period for 3 days post op; total pain and 5 “pain-specific” behaviours based on [65](tail wag, tremble, huddle, prostrate, scratch)	↑ total pain-specific behaviours max 0-1hr post castration. No significant difference observed in behaviour between treatment groups. (Trend for ↑ pain-specific behaviour in LS group)
Langhoff et al.[34]	245; 4 - 6 days	CAST; M, flunixin (F), metamizole (MET) or carprofen, respectively, administered 15 to 30 min before manipulation.	post surgical behaviour (0-60 min and 180-240 min after castration/handling)	Tail wagging, drooping the tail and changing the position were reduced in M and F piglets

Table 5. Summary of statistically significant results ($p < 0.05$) from behavioral studies examining posture, position, activity & pain-related behaviours in neonatal piglets post-castration as compared with sham-handled piglets. (Arrows indicate statistical significance. NSE = No significant effect of treatment).

Authors		Posture			Position		Activity		Pain-specific behaviours	Weight gain
Compared to sham-castrated piglets < 2 weeks of age	Time of post-operative assessment	Lying	Standing	Sitting	Isolation	Heat-lamp/(position in crate)	Suckling/nursing	Active/inactive behaviours		
McGlone and Hellman[55]	0-3 hrs	Minor ↑	Minor ↓	-	-	Minor ↓	Minor ↓	-	-	NSE
McGlone et al. [94]	0-6 hrs	Minor ↑	Minor ↓	-	-	Minor ↓	Minor ↓	-	-	NSE
Taylor et al.[82]	0-2hrs 2-22hrs	Minor ↓ Minor ↓	Minor ↑ NSE	Minor ↑ NSE	NSE NSE	NSE NSE	NSE Minor ↑	-	-	-
Carroll et al. [77]	0-2hr	NSE	NSE	-	-	NSE	NSE	NSE	-	NSE
Hay et al. [65]	5 days	NSE	NSE	NSE	↑ 0- 2.5 hr	NSE	↓ 0- 2.5 hr	↑ awake inactive 0- 2.5hr ↑ walking through-out	↑ total, ↑prostration, stiffness, trembling tail- wagging 0-2.5 hr; ↑huddled up ↑ scratching tail-wagging for 2-4 days	NSE
Moya et al. [68] Exp 1	5-8hrs	NSE	NSE	NSE	↓	NSE	↑Trend	↓ walking & ↑ exploratory behaviour	↑ total, ↑ huddled up ↑scratching	NSE
Moya et al. [68] Exp 2	4 days	NSE	NSE	↓(throu gh-out)	↑Trend	NSE	NSE	Trend ↓ active behaviours	↑ total, ↑ huddled up, spasms, trembling (0-2.5hrs)	NSE
Keita et al. [27]		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	↑ total (prostration, tremors/trembling, tail movements and isolation) 2 and 4hr post castration.	NSE

Kluivers-Poodt et al. [78]		NSE	NSE	NSE	NSE	NSE	NSE	↑sleeping and inactive behaviours (all groups) Day1pm	↑ total Day 1 pm (2- 6hrs) (huddled, stiffness, spasms, prostrated, tremors/trembling) ↑tail wagging Day 5am only (Lidocaine group ↑tail wagging day 1 - 3, and 5 am).	NSE
Gottardo et al. [44]		NSE	NSE	NSE	↑ 30 min post-op	NSE	NSE	↑ standing inactive (30 min post-op)	↑ total (tremors, hunching, scratching, tail-wagging) for 30 min post-castration	NSE
Sutherland et al. [46]		-	-	-	↑ 180 min post-op	-	NSE	NSE	NSE (limited range = huddled up or scratching)	NSE
Sutherland et al. [22]		-	NSE	NSE	↑ 30 min post-op	-	NSE	NSE	NSE (limited range = huddled up or scratching)	NSE
Viscardi et al. [56]	Day-1 – 24hrs	NSE	NSE	NSE	↑0-7hrs (versus Day-1)	-	NSE	↑ 0-7hrs (versus Day-1)	↑ tail-wagging otherwise NSE (individual)	-
Viscardi and Turner [54]	Day-1 – 24hrs	NSE (various time effects)	NSE (various time effects)	NSE	NSE	-	NSE	↑sleeping and lying ↓walking, standing and active behaviours 4-7 hours as compared with 0hr all groups.	↑ total 24 hr (↑tail-wagging)	NSE
Viscardi and Turner[57]	Day-1 – 24hrs	Various time effects	Various time effects	NSE	↑ various	-	NSE	↑active behaviours 0 and 24hrs as compared with various other times both groups	↑ total and tail-wagging 2, 7 and 24 hr (Note total “pain” score predominantly increased due to increased tail-wagging)	-

1 6.0 Post-operative mechanical nociceptive testing.

2 Quantitative Sensory testing is a long established and validated method of assessing the efficacy
3 of local anaesthesia and wound analgesia in laboratory research and clinical settings[108]. The flexion
4 reflex, or nociceptive withdrawal reflex, is a reflex response to a nociceptive stimulus resulting in
5 withdrawal of a limb or body part from a painful stimulus, which may be abolished by effective local
6 anaesthesia or analgesia. In the setting of tissue injury, the release of chemical mediators such as SP,
7 prostaglandins and bradykinin involved in the inflammatory response, increase sensitization of
8 neurons to nociceptive signals resulting in the development of hyperalgesia and a reduction in the
9 threshold for the nociceptive reflex response[109]. Afferent nerve sensitisation resulting in
10 hyperalgesia is considered the primary pathological mechanism underlying the development of post-
11 operative inflammatory pain[10]. The threshold for eliciting the flexion reflex may be clearly
12 measured, including in rats[110], and pigs[111] and used to assess the development of hyperalgesia
13 and the efficacy of anaesthetic or analgesic interventions. The reflex is evoked by stimulation of small
14 calibre A6 or C fibre primary afferents which transmit noxious information. The absence of the reflex
15 response and/or a measurable change in the reflex threshold may be detected using a variety of
16 stimuli including needlestick, heat pads, calibrated or electronic Von Frey Filaments and/or Pressure
17 Algometry.

18 Von Frey filaments or 'hairs' are a set of calibrated filaments that bend when a certain pressure
19 is reached, allowing a reproducible mechanical stimulus to be delivered, graduating from that
20 inducing a light-touch sensation through to a pain-weighted stimulation of skin or tissues. Electronic
21 [von Frey anaesthesiometers](#) are also available. Using an electronic von Frey anaesthesiometer,
22 Herskin and Rasmussen[112] have described thresholds of mechanical nociception in the pelvic
23 limb of pigs, using four categories of behavioural response (from slight leg movements to kicking) to
24 detect and grade the threshold response. In addition to laboratory studies in humans, pigs and
25 experimental animals, modified techniques have been developed for use "in the field" for assessment
26 of pain and pain-alleviation in association with surgical husbandry wounds in livestock species.
27 Applied to skin in proximity to a wound at time points before and after surgery, an animals response
28 to a fixed light touch and pain-weighted von-Frey filament stimuli can be graded (via NRS) from a
29 nil response (0) through to; a local twitch (1), or partial (2) or full body (3) nociceptive withdrawal
30 response. The development of hyperalgesia lowers the threshold for a response, resulting in a greater
31 response score to application of the same stimulus. This method has provided a sensitive, consistent
32 and repeatable method of documenting the development of post-operative wound hyperalgesia and
33 assessing the efficacy of topical or local anaesthetic-induced wound anaesthesia / analgesia in a range
34 of livestock species following surgical husbandry procedures, including mulesing, tail docking
35 and/or castration in lambs[30,113,114], castration and dehorning in calves[29,115,116]. Using this
36 technique, a heightened nociceptive motor response to stimulation of a surgical husbandry wound
37 has been documented in the minutes and hours following the procedure, in lambs, calves and piglets,
38 as compared with sham handled animals, and / or with pre-operative assessments, indicative of the
39 development of post-operative hyperalgesia. Pre-operative use of injected local anaesthetic
40 (lignocaine) and / or immediate post-operative use of topical local anaesthetic applied to the wound
41 has resulted in a significant reduction in nociceptive withdrawal responses evident within 1-3 min of
42 application, and continuing in the minutes and hours following the procedure, indicative of
43 significant wound anaesthesia or hypoaesthesia[30-32,113-116]. Where present, this has been
44 associated with evidence of reduced post-operative pain-related behaviour in treated animals over
45 the same period.

46 In pigs, this method has been shown to elicit similar and measurable responses to those reported
47 in human studies, and is sensitive to the effects of local anaesthetic agents[111] (Table 6). Von Frey
48 filaments have been employed in studies to assess the efficacy of pain mitigation in piglets following
49 surgical castration[31,32]. Wound sensitivity testing involved the use of von Frey monofilaments of
50 weights 4g and 300 g and an 18-gauge needle to stimulate the wound and surrounding skin at

51 predetermined sites prior to treatment and then at defined periods of time afterwards. Involuntary
52 nociceptive motor responses were scored using an NRS as above. Topical anaesthesia using a
53 lignocaine, bupivacaine adrenalin combination formulation was found to provide rapid wound
54 anaesthesia and subsequent effective wound analgesia, with treated pigs displaying significantly
55 reduced responses compared to untreated animals[31,32] within one minute and continuing 2-4
56 hours post operatively, and showing similar responses to wound stimulation as sham-treated
57 piglets[32]. Pre-operative lidocaine injection (scrotal and intra-testicular), also induced early wound
58 hypoaesthesia, with reduced responses as compared with untreated piglets for up to 1 hour following
59 castration.

60 As an alternative to von Frey filaments and needlestick stimulation, pressure algometry involves
61 applying a force to a point and measuring the pressure at which a withdrawal response is elicited
62 using a pressure algometer. Both A and C fibers mediate pain induced by pressure stimulation[108].
63 Acute pain in piglets following castration and the impact of local and topical anaesthesia (tetracaine)
64 has also been assessed by pressure algometry[44]. Efficacy of pain relief was assessed prior to and
65 during a 300 min period after castration by scrotal skin pressure sensitivity, amongst other methods.
66 Increasing pressure was applied to a designated point on the skin of the scrotum adjacent to the
67 incision site and the pressure point by which a physical or vocalisation response was elicited was
68 recorded. Results were consistent with behavioural results in which reduced pain related behaviours
69 documented in the first 30 min following the procedure were more prominent in NSAID than topical
70 tetracaine-treated piglets. While one study investigating wound sensitivity in calves found a good
71 agreement between both Von Frey filament stimulation and pressure algometry[29], other
72 comparative studies in piglets (M. Sheil, unpublished observations) found pressure algometers were
73 relatively insensitive due to the soft nature of the scrotal tissues. The pressure device induced
74 discernible indents or trauma to the soft tissues at the site without consistently eliciting a response.
75 Janczak et al.[117] examined factors affecting mechanical (nociceptive) thresholds in piglets and the
76 stability and repeatability of measures of mechanical (nociceptive) thresholds in piglets when using
77 a hand held algometer to examine potentially confounding factors. These investigators reported that
78 mechanical (nociceptive) thresholds can be used both for testing the efficacy of anaesthetics and
79 analgesics, and for assessing hyperalgesia in chronic pain states in research and clinical settings,
80 however identified that in piglets age and weight affected responses to pressure algometry,
81 particularly in the first week of life.

82 Whilst the number of reports of quantitative nociceptive response testing in neonatal piglets post
83 castration are limited, direct sensory testing using needlestick and von Frey stimulation with NRS
84 grading of the nociceptive withdrawal reflex response, has thus to date proven consistent, repeatable,
85 sensitive and specific to the pathophysiological process generating pain, and is concluded to provide
86 the optimal method currently available for assessing post-operative hyperalgesia secondary to
87 peripheral afferent nerve sensitisation following castration in neonatal piglets.

88 Quantitative sensory testing allows assessment of an animal's response to noxious stimuli,
89 (nociception) as an indicator of the peripheral afferent nerve sensitisation that underlies the
90 development of post-operative pain, but does not necessarily indicate the more complex cortical
91 perception of pain, i.e. the experience of pain in the absence of a direct stimulus. Combining the use
92 of QST with assessment of spontaneous pain-related behaviour is recommended when assessing pain
93 mitigation strategies, such as to provide evidence of reduced experience of pain, as well as reduction
94 in its primary underlying pathophysiological mechanism.

95 **Table 6.** Summary of studies assessing wound sensitivity after castration

96

Authors	Piglets Age, number	Castration experimental groups	Measurement method	Significant findings
Lomax et al.[32]	40; 3 – 5 days	Castration without anaesthesia (CAST); sham-castrated (SHAM); topical anaesthetic	von Frey filaments (4g and 300g) and 18G needle; testing immediately after, 1 min, & every 30 min up to 4 hr; grading on NRS for involuntary motor response	Significantly ↓ NRS scores up to 4 hr post-castration
Gottardo et al. [44]	196; 4 days	CAST; SHAM; local anaesthesia - 2% topical tetracaine (THCL) hydrochloride & 6% THCL; analgesia – M & ketoprofen (KET) & tolfenamic acid	Pressure algometry (Pressure Rate Onset Device) with pressure ranging between 0.1-20 kg/cm ² ; testing 300 min post-castration	↓ sensitivity in injectable analgesia-treated piglets vs other treatments
Sheil et al. [31]	40; 3 – 7 days	Topical anaesthetic; CAST	von Frey filament (300g) and pin-prick; testing 1 min & 1, 2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 hr post-castration; grading on NRS for involuntary nociceptive response based on [32]	Statistically significant difference between treated and CAST groups at 1 min and up to 2 hr post-castration

7.0 Other measures of pain

Several alternative methods to assess perioperative pain in piglets have also been described.

A **piglet grimace scale (PGS)** was recently proposed as an alternative method to assess castration and tail docking pain in piglets[56]. Similar methodologies have previously been developed and validated for a variety of livestock species, including sheep[118] and horses[119]. The piglet PGS was developed following analysis and comparison between still images of piglet faces captured at various stages after surgical castration and the concurrent presence/absence of behaviours indicative of piglet pain. **Facial actions indicative of pain were considered to be (i) drawing back of the ears from a forward position; (ii) the presence of a bulge of skin on the snout in response to cheek tightening; and (iii) orbital tightening**[56]. This initial study reported a strong correlation between PGS score and behavioural activity in animals in the first several hours after castration[56]. Some doubts about the robustness of this method to consistently detect *pain* in neonatal piglets currently exist though. In a follow-up study applying the PGS, there were not significant differences between sham handled and castrated piglets, and a potential cofounder in the form of piglet body weight was identified, suggesting that facial grimacing may also indicate weakness or stress related to lower body weight rather than pain [54]. It was also documented that administration of buprenorphine significantly reduced facial grimace scores as compared with both sham-handled and untreated castrated piglets. As buprenorphine also reduced sleep and increased the activity state of both sham handled and castrated piglets, this suggests the possibility that piglet activity state (as opposed to pain) may also impact facial grimace scores. The second issue relates to inter-user operability with one study[44] revealing that the PGS method was too unreliable for use in comparative evaluation of piglet pain. It failed to show consistent inter-observer reliability in scoring in 2 of the measures while the 3rd measure, orbital tightening, did not differentiate the positive and negative control. This is therefore considered to be a promising new development however further experience and validation is needed for use in in-field trials of piglet castration pain and analgesic efficacy.

Infra-red thermography (IRT) measurement of skin temperature has also been used as a non-invasive method to assess pain responses in piglets with conflicting results reported [28,39,41,45,66]. Animals in pain lose heat from the body's periphery, measurable by IRT, due to activation of the SNS causing vasoconstriction and redirection of blood flow to the internal organs[120]. Thus, piglets experiencing significant pain via surgical castration should display quantifiably lower skin temperatures than sham-castrated piglets or piglets treated with effective pain mitigation strategies. Consistent with this hypothesis, skin temperature dropped to a greater extent immediately following castration in untreated piglets as compared with sham-handled animals and those administered both lidocaine and meloxicam prior to castration[41]. **Also**, cranial temperatures in **piglets** castrated and tail-docked **following nursing from** meloxicam-treated sows, were found to be significantly higher than temperatures recorded in piglets **which had nursed from** placebo-treated sows up to 60 hrs after castration[39]. However, there were not significant differences between groups in IRT values at other sites (ear or snout-tip). **However**, these results conflict with an earlier study that found ear temperatures were *increased* in untreated piglets compared to piglets treated with meloxicam (*i.m.*) and / or intra-testicular lidocaine prior to castration[28]. Skin temperature measured using IRT at the wound site did not differ significantly between groups. Similarly, a report examining effect of NSAID treatment, (administered to the sow prior to husbandry procedures in piglets) found *decreased* skin temperatures in piglets of sows treated with NSAID compared with piglets from placebo-treated sows at 2 and 4 hrs post-procedure, with no difference between groups at 1 hr, or from 7-24 hrs following the procedure[45]. Furthermore, this conflicted with eye temperature recordings in the same cohort which were increased at 1 hr in the NSAID versus the placebo group, but not significantly different between groups from 2 and 4 hrs or up to 30 hrs following procedures. These investigators also identified significant temperature differences between male and female piglets, and a seasonal variation in skin and eye temperature recordings.

A confounder to IRT measurements in this setting is that body temperature is also affected by the post-surgical inflammatory response (i.e. not only the SNS response to pain). Lonardi et al. [66], examined rectal temperature and eye temperature in castrated versus sham handled piglets and documented that there was an increase in both rectal and eye temperature over time following castration or sham handling and, although some values were numerically higher in castrated animals, there were no significant differences between the two groups. The increase in eye temperature correlated with the increase in rectal temperature. It was noted that body temperature is reported to increase in response to anxiogenic or stress-inducing stimuli or injury (surgery and trauma) secondary to endogenous inflammatory activation[121-123]. Inflammatory mediators such as TNF- α and IL-1 β are considered the main endogenous pyrogens[121]. These endogenous pyrogens are increased in piglets 3 hr after castration or sham handling[68]. It was considered that this may explain the tardive hyperthermia observed in the study in both castrated and handled piglets, although other external factors interfering with body temperature such as exposure to heat lamps or time from milk intake could not be excluded.

NSAIDs have anti-inflammatory and associated direct anti-pyretic effects and thus may have a lowering effect on temperature that may confound assessment of any effect due to mitigation of the SNS response to pain. [This is further complicated by differences in doses and methods of administration employed in trials, as well as pharmacokinetic parameters of different NSAIDs\[124\] and a relative lack of detail regarding effective therapeutic range for anti-inflammatory effects in neonatal piglets.](#) General anaesthetics may also have direct effects on body temperature and peripheral vasodilation. Local anaesthetics generally do not have significant direct anti-pyretic effects, however, are commonly administered with adrenalin, which may cause peripheral vasoconstriction and similarly confound skin temperature assessment. Yet another confounder is the relationship between the body's temperature and circadian rhythms with day/night cycles influencing body temperature results in meloxicam-treated and untreated castrated piglets[39].

In view of the lack of consistency in results to date, and multiple confounders, thermography does not currently appear to provide a reliable indicator of *pain* in neonatal piglets' post-castration, particularly following administration of local anaesthesia with adrenalin. Thermography may be more reliable for assessment of pain or pain mitigation in non-surgical settings.

8.0 Conclusion

Sensitive, specific and well validated methods of assessing pain provide the cornerstone for developing effective analgesic medications. Unfortunately, there are few such methods available for assessing pain associated with castration in neonatal piglets. This is confounded by the neonatal piglet's physiological response to restraint, handling and surgical stress due to tissue trauma, and the seemingly subtle, and short-lived expression of pain in the post-operative period. An understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of currently available methods for pain assessment is critical to identifying and developing effective pain mitigation strategies in neonatal piglets. Employing methodologies that lack specificity or reliability risks underestimating both piglet pain, and the efficacy of pain-relieving medications, and creates welfare concerns associated with unproductive or counter-productive research. In the absence of a validated "gold standard" method of assessment, different methods are required and, indeed, this is a foundational requirement for any treatment method seeking regulatory approval. This review has discussed the potential strengths and weaknesses of a range of currently available methods of pain assessment in the context of examining the efficacy of different anaesthetic and/or analgesic treatment options in field trial settings.

Based on the detailed review of different methods for assessing perioperative pain associated with surgical castration of piglets, this review concludes that:

- there is a relatively short-lived (0-3hr) physiological response to castration in neonatal piglets, however physiological parameters lack specificity for pain, and may be significantly confounded by the surgical stress response as well as response to restraint and handling. They do not provide a reliable method for assessment of pain-alleviating efficacy of general or local anaesthetic interventions. Due to differences in mechanisms of action, these parameters may however provide a more reliable method to assess efficacy of NSAIDs where confounding variables are adequately controlled.
- pain control during piglet castration may be evidenced most consistently and reliably by a reduction in spontaneous nociceptive motor response during the procedure such as by NRS or VAS scoring of intensity of motor response.
- measurement of piglet vocal response to castration provides a second method for assessing pain control in piglets during the procedure. Variables including; peak dB, total vocal (dB/time) response, the frequency (Hz) of call with the highest intensity (dB(A)), and the rate of high frequency calls (>1000Hz), or stress calls as documented by Stremodo®, appear to provide the most consistent or reliable parameters for detection of a significant reduction in vocal response.
- for both nociceptive motor and vocal response assessments care should be taken to ensure piglets are settled prior to commencing procedures and recordings to provide a consistent baseline. It is also suggested that measures be adopted to minimise confounding factors (such as piglet responses to restraint and / or extraneous environmental stimulation) by targeting / limiting the assessment period as closely as possible to the time of acute pain generation. This is considered particularly important if studies are required in the field situation as opposed to acoustically separated environments.
- post-operative pain control is most effectively evidenced by documenting a combination of reduced peripheral afferent nerve sensitisation with an associated reduction in pain-related behaviour.
- peripheral nerve sensitization (hyperalgesia) is currently most reliably and consistently documented in neonatal piglets using nociceptive threshold testing with Von Frey and needlestick as opposed to pressure algometry.
- post-operative pain-related behaviour may be variable, subtle and short-lived. Careful planning of variables and time points to be measured as well as power is required. The most consistently reported behavioural changes indicative of acute pain in piglets post castration include; “huddling up”, “prostration”, “hunching”, “stiffness” (lying or of gait), “spasms”, “tremors/trembling”, “isolation”, “tail-wagging” and “scratching”(as defined above), which are most evident in the first 30 min to 1 hr following castration. The most consistently reported abnormalities of “pain-specific” behaviour at later timer points are tail-wagging and “scratching”. It is noted however that both tail-wagging and scratching may indicate itch or irritation as opposed to pain, particularly if present in the absence of other indicators of pain (such as presence of hyperalgesia) at these later time points. They may be exacerbated in piglets that are also tail docked.
- other methods in development such as facial grimace scores and thermography, hold promise in many situations however do not currently appear to provide a reliable or consistent method of documenting pain or pain mitigation in neonatal piglets following castration.

It is hoped that this review may assist the future development of more standardized methods of assessing pain mitigation in neonatal piglets, assist investigators to optimise (reduce and refine)

future analgesic efficacy trials in this field, and support the development and evaluation of innovative effective and practical approaches to improve piglet welfare where surgical castration is still utilised in commercial pig facilities worldwide.

Funding: Funding for this review was provided by Animal Ethics Pty Ltd. Yarra Glen, Victoria, NSW Australia.

Acknowledgments: No acknowledgements

Conflicts of Interest: Dr Meredith Sheil is founding Director of Animal Ethics Pty Ltd, and inventor of Tri-Solfen®, topical anaesthetic formulation. Dr Polkinghorne was funded by Animal Ethics Pty Ltd for this project.

References

1. Rault, J.-L.; Lay, D.C.; Marchant-Forde, J.N. Castration Induced Pain in Pigs and Other Livestock. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* **2011**, *135*, 214-225.
2. Andresen, O. Boar taint related compounds: androstenone/skatole/other substances. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica* **2006**, *48*, S5.
3. Castrum consortium. CASTRUM—Pig Castration for Traditional and Conventional Products: A Report on Methods and their Impacts on Animal Welfare, Meat Quality and Sustainability of European Pork Production Systems. Final Report. 2016. Available online: <https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/5fe8db00-dbb8-11e6-ad7c-01aa75ed71a1>
4. Prunier, A.; Bonneau, M.; Von Borell, E.H.; Cinotti, S.; Gunn, M.; Fredriksen, B.; Giersing, M.; Morton, D.B.; Tuytens, F.A.M.; Velarde, A. A review of the welfare consequences of surgical castration in piglets and the evaluation of non-surgical methods. *Animal Welfare* **2006**, *15*, 277-289.
5. Fredriksen, B.; Font, I.F.M.; Lundstrom, K.; Migdal, W.; Prunier, A.; Tuytens, F.A.; Bonneau, M. Practice on castration of piglets in Europe. *Animal* **2009**, *3*, 1480-1487, doi:10.1017/S1751731109004674.
6. von Borell, E.; Baumgartner, J.; Giersing, M.; Jaggin, N.; Prunier, A.; Tuytens, F.A.; Edwards, S.A. Animal welfare implications of surgical castration and its alternatives in pigs. *Animal* **2009**, *3*, 1488-1496, doi:10.1017/s1751731109004728.
7. Dunshea, F.R.; Colantoni, C.; Howard, K.; McCauley, I.; Jackson, P.; Long, K.A.; Lopaticki, S.; Nugent, E.A.; Simons, J.A.; Walker, J., et al. Vaccination of boars with a GnRH vaccine (Improvac) eliminates boar taint and increases growth performance. *J Anim Sci* **2001**, *79*, 2524-2535, doi:10.2527/2001.79102524x.
8. Morgan, L.; Itin-Shwartz, B.; Koren, L.; Meyer, J.S.; Matas, D.; Younis, A.; Novak, S.; Weizmann, N.; Rapaic, O.; Abu Ahmad, W., et al. Physiological and economic benefits of abandoning invasive surgical procedures and enhancing animal welfare in swine production. *Sci Rep* **2019**, *9*, 16093, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-52677-6.
9. Bonneau, M.; Weiler, U. Pros and Cons of Alternatives to Piglet Castration: Welfare, Boar Taint, and Other Meat Quality Traits. *Animals (Basel)* **2019**, *9*, doi:10.3390/ani9110884.
10. Amaya, F.; Izumi, Y.; Matsuda, M.; Sasaki, M. Tissue injury and related mediators of pain exacerbation. *Curr Neuropharmacol* **2013**, *11*, 592-597, doi:10.2174/1570159X11311060003.
11. National Research Council (US) Committee on Recognition and Alleviation of Pain in Laboratory Animals. Chapter 2, Mechanisms of Pain. In *Recognition and Alleviation of Pain in Laboratory Animals*, National Academies Press: Washington (DC), 2009.
12. Brennan, T.J.; Vandermeulen, E.P.; Gebhart, G.F. Characterization of a rat model of incisional pain. *Pain* **1996**, *64*, 493-501, doi:10.1016/0304-3959(95)01441-1.
13. Cook, S.P.; McCleskey, E.W. Cell damage excites nociceptors through release of cytosolic ATP. *Pain* **2002**, *95*, 41-47, doi:10.1016/s0304-3959(01)00372-4.
14. Tsuda, M.; Koizumi, S.; Inoue, K. Role of endogenous ATP at the incision area in a rat model of postoperative pain. *Neuroreport* **2001**, *12*, 1701-1704, doi:10.1097/00001756-200106130-00036.
15. Coutaux, A.; Adam, F.; Willer, J.C.; Le Bars, D. Hyperalgesia and allodynia: peripheral mechanisms. *Joint Bone Spine* **2005**, *72*, 359-371, doi:10.1016/j.jbspin.2004.01.010.

16. Cunha, T.M.; Verri, W.A., Jr.; Silva, J.S.; Poole, S.; Cunha, F.Q.; Ferreira, S.H. A cascade of cytokines mediates mechanical inflammatory hypernociception in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2005**, *102*, 1755-1760, doi:10.1073/pnas.0409225102.
17. Jankowski, M.P.; Koerber, H.R. Chapter 2 - Neurotrophic factors and nociceptor sensitization In *Translational Pain Research: From Mouse to Man*, Kruger, L., Light, A.R., Eds. CRC Press/Taylor and Francis: 2010.
18. Mizumura, K.; Sugiura, T.; Katanosaka, K.; Banik, R.K.; Kozaki, Y. Excitation and sensitization of nociceptors by bradykinin: what do we know? *Exp Brain Res* **2009**, *196*, 53-65, doi:10.1007/s00221-009-1814-5.
19. Raja, S.N.; Meyer, R.A.; Campbell, J.N. Peripheral mechanisms of somatic pain. *Anesthesiology* **1988**, *68*, 571-590, doi:10.1097/00000542-198804000-00016.
20. American Veterinary Medical Association. Literature Review on the Welfare Implications of Swine Castration. Available online: <https://www.avma.org/resources-tools/literature-reviews/welfare-implications-swine-castration> (accessed on 8th June 2020).
21. De Briyne, N.; Berg, C.; Blaha, T.; Temple, D. Pig castration: will the EU manage to ban pig castration by 2018? *Porcine Health Management* **2016**, *2*, 29.
22. Sutherland, M.A.; Davis, B.L.; Brooks, T.A.; Coetzee, J.F. The physiological and behavioral response of pigs castrated with and without anesthesia or analgesia. *J Anim Sci* **2012**, *90*, 2211-2221, doi:10.2527/jas.2011-4260.
23. Yun, J.; Ollila, A.; Valros, A.; Larenza-Menzies, P.; Heinonen, M.; Oliviero, C.; Peltoniemi, O. Behavioural alterations in piglets after surgical castration: Effects of analgesia and anaesthesia. *Res Vet Sci* **2019**, *125*, 36-42, doi:10.1016/j.rvsc.2019.05.009.
24. Kluivers-Poodt, M.; Houx, B.B.; Robben, S.R.; Koop, G.; Lambooi, E.; Hellebrekers, L.J. Effects of a local anaesthetic and NSAID in castration of piglets, on the acute pain responses, growth and mortality. *Animal*. **2012**, *6*(9), 1469-1475, doi:10.1017/S1751731112000547
25. Burkemper, M.C.; Pairis-Garcia, M.D.; Moraes, L.E.; Park, R.M.; Moeller, S.J. Effects of Oral Meloxicam and Topical Lidocaine on Pain associated Behaviors of Piglets Undergoing Surgical Castration. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci* **2019**, 10.1080/10888705.2019.1590717, 1-10, doi:10.1080/10888705.2019.1590717.
26. Dzikamunhenga, R.S.; Anthony, R.; Coetzee, J.; Gould, S.; Johnson, A.; Karriker, L.; McKean, J.; Millman, S.T.; Niekamp, S.R.; O'Connor, A.M. Pain management in the neonatal piglet during routine management procedures. Part 1: a systematic review of randomized and non-randomized intervention studies. *Anim Health Res Rev* **2014**, *15*, 14-38, doi:10.1017/S1466252314000061.
27. Keita, A.; Pagot, E.; Prunier, A.; Guidarini, C. Pre-emptive meloxicam for postoperative analgesia in piglets undergoing surgical castration. *Vet Anaesth Analg* **2010**, *37*, 367-374, doi:10.1111/j.1467-2995.2010.00546.x.
28. Hansson, M.; Lundeheim, N.; Nyman, G.; Johansson, G. Effect of local anaesthesia and/or analgesia on pain responses induced by piglet castration. *Acta Vet Scand* **2011**, *53*, 34, doi:10.1186/1751-0147-53-34.
29. Lomax, S.; Windsor, P.A. Topical anesthesia mitigates the pain of castration in beef calves. *J Anim Sci* **2013**, *91*, 4945-4952, doi:10.2527/jas.2012-5984.
30. Lomax, S.; Dickson, H.; Sheil, M.; Windsor, P.A. Topical anaesthesia alleviates short-term pain of castration and tail docking in lambs. *Aust Vet J* **2010**, *88*, 67-74, doi:10.1111/j.1751-0813.2009.00546.x.

31. Sheil, M.L.; Chambers, M.; Sharpe, B. Topical wound anaesthesia: efficacy to mitigate piglet castration pain. *Aust Vet J* **2020**.
32. Lomax, S.; Harris, C.; Windsor, P.A.; White, P.J. Topical anaesthesia reduces sensitivity of castration wounds in neonatal piglets. *PLoS One* **2017**, *12*, e0187988, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0187988.
33. Marsalek, P.; Svoboda, M.; Smutna, M.; Blahova, J.; Vecerek, V. Neopterin and biopterin as biomarkers of immune system activation associated with castration in piglets. *J Anim Sci* **2011**, *89*, 1758-1762, doi:10.2527/jas.2010-3157.
34. Langhoff, R.; Zols, S.; Barz, A.; Palzer, A.; Ritzmann, M.; Heinritzi, K. Untersuchungen über den Einsatz von Schmerzmitteln zur Reduktion kastrationsbedingter Schmerzen beim Saugferkel [Investigation about the use of analgesics for the reduction of castration-induced pain in suckling piglets]. *Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr.* **2009**, *122*, 325-332.
35. Reiner, G.; Schollasch, F.; Hillen, S.; Willems, H.; Piechotta, M.; Failing, K. Effects of meloxicam and flunixin on pain, stress and discomfort in male piglets during and after surgical castration. *Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr* **2012**, *125*, 305-314.
36. Zöls, S.; Ritzmann, M.; Heinritzi, K. Einfluss von Schmerzmitteln bei der Kastration männlicher Ferkel [Effect of analgesics on the castration of male piglets]. *Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr.* **2006**, *119*, 193-196.
37. Schwab, S.; Follrich, B.; Kurtev, V.; Keita, A. Ketoprofen - practical use and efficacy for post-surgical analgesia in piglet castration. *Tierärztliche Umschau* **2012**, *67*, 207-213.
38. Wavreille, J.; Danard, M.; Servais, V.; Art, T.; Nicks, B.; Laitat, M. Effect of preoperative meloxicam or tolfenamic acid administration on stress and pain induced by surgical castration in piglets *Journees Recherche Porcine* **2012**, *44*, 275-276.
39. Bates, J.L.; Karriker, L.A.; Stock, M.L.; Pertzborn, K.M.; Baldwin, L.G.; Wulf, L.W.; Lee, C.J.; Wang, C.; Coetzee, J.F. Impact of transmammary-delivered meloxicam on biomarkers of pain and distress in piglets after castration and tail docking. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, e113678, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113678.
40. Courboulay, V.; Hemonic, A.; Gadonna, M.; Prunier, A. Effect of local anesthesia or anti-inflammatory treatment on pain associated with piglet castration and on labour demand. *Journées de la recherche Porcine en France* **2010**, *42*, 27-34.
41. Bonastre, C.; Mitjana, O.; Tejedor, M.T.; Calavia, M.; Yuste, A.G.; Ubeda, J.L.; Falceto, M.V. Acute physiological responses to castration-related pain in piglets: the effect of two local anesthetics with or without meloxicam. *Animal* **2016**, *10*, 1474-1481, doi:10.1017/S1751731116000586.
42. Nyborg, P.Y.; Sørig, A.; Lykkegaard, K.; Svendsen, O. Nociception after castration of juvenile pigs determined by quantitative estimation of c-Fos expressing neurons in the spinal cord dorsal horn. *Dansk Veterinærtidsskrift* **2000**, *83*, 16-17.
43. Svendsen, O. Castration of piglets under carbon dioxide (CO₂) anaesthesia. *Journal of Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics* **2006**, *29*, 54-55.
44. Gottardo, F.; Scollo, A.; Contiero, B.; Ravagnani, A.; Tavella, G.; Bernardini, D.; De Benedictis, G.M.; Edwards, S.A. Pain alleviation during castration of piglets: a comparative study of different farm options. *J Anim Sci* **2016**, *94*, 5077-5088, doi:10.2527/jas.2016-0843.
45. Coetzee, J.F. Evaluation of the transmammary delivery of Firocoxib in sows to alleviate pain associated with piglet castration, teeth clipping and tail docking.; Report NPB #16-118 National Pork Board Iowa: Iowa, USA, 2019. Available at: <https://www.pork.org/research/evaluation-transmammary-delivery-firocoxib-sows-alleviate-pain-associated-piglet-castration-teeth-clipping-tail-docking/>

46. Sutherland, M.A.; Davis, B.L.; Brooks, T.A.; McGlone, J.J. Physiology and behavior of pigs before and after castration: effects of two topical anesthetics. *Animal* **2010**, *4*, 2071-2079, doi:10.1017/S1751731110001291.
47. Walker, B.; Jaggin, N.; Doherr, M.; Schatzmann, U. Inhalation anaesthesia for castration of newborn piglets: experiences with isoflurane and isoflurane/NO. *J Vet Med A Physiol Pathol Clin Med* **2004**, *51*, 150-154, doi:10.1111/j.1439-0442.2004.00617.x.
48. Kohler, I.; Moens, Y.; Busato, A.; Blum, J.; Schatzmann, U. Inhalation anaesthesia for the castration of piglets: CO2 compared to halothane. *Zentralbl Veterinarmed A* **1998**, *45*, 625-633.
49. Horn, T.; Marx, G.; von Borell, E. [Behavior of piglets during castration with and without local anesthesia]. *Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr* **1999**, *106*, 271-274.
50. Leidig, M.S.; Hertrampf, B.; Failing, K.; Schumann, A.; Reiner, G. Pain and discomfort in male piglets during surgical castration with and without local anaesthesia as determined by vocalization and defence behavior. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* **2009**, *116*, 174-178.
51. White, R.G.; DeShazer, J.A.; Tressler, C.J.; Borchert, G.M.; Davey, S.; Waninge, A.; Parkhurst, A.M.; Milanuk, M.J.; Clemens, E.T. Vocalization and physiological response of pigs during castration with or without a local anesthetic. *J Anim Sci* **1995**, *73*, 381-386, doi:10.2527/1995.732381x.
52. Marx, G.; Horn, T.; Thielebein, J.; Knubel, B.; von Borell, E. Analysis of pain-related vocalization in young pigs. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **2003**, *266*, 687-698.
53. Chenery, A.L.; Alhallaf, R.; Agha, Z.; Ajendra, J.; Parkinson, J.E.; Cooper, M.M.; Chan, B.H.K.; Eichenberger, R.M.; Dent, L.A.; Robertson, A.A.B., et al. Inflammasome-Independent Role for NLRP3 in Controlling Innate Antihelminth Immunity and Tissue Repair in the Lung. *J Immunol* **2019**, *203*, 2724-2734, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1900640.
54. Viscardi, A.V.; Turner, P.V. Efficacy of buprenorphine for management of surgical castration pain in piglets. *BMC Vet Res* **2018**, *14*, 318, doi:10.1186/s12917-018-1643-5.
55. McGlone, J.J.; Hellman, J.M. Local and general anesthetic effects on behavior and performance of two- and seven-week-old castrated and uncastrated piglets. *J Anim Sci* **1988**, *66*, 3049-3058, doi:10.2527/jas1988.66123049x.
56. Viscardi, A.V.; Hunniford, M.; Lawlis, P.; Leach, M.; Turner, P.V. Development of a Piglet Grimace Scale to Evaluate Piglet Pain Using Facial Expressions Following Castration and Tail Docking: A Pilot Study. *Front Vet Sci* **2017**, *4*, 51, doi:10.3389/fvets.2017.00051.
57. Viscardi, A.V.; Turner, P.V. Use of Meloxicam or Ketoprofen for Piglet Pain Control Following Surgical Castration. *Front Vet Sci* **2018**, *5*, 299, doi:10.3389/fvets.2018.00299.
58. Sutherland, M.A. Welfare implications of invasive piglet husbandry procedures, methods of alleviation and alternatives: a review. *N Z Vet J* **2015**, *63*, 52-57, doi:10.1080/00480169.2014.961990.
59. O'Connor, A.; Anthony, R.; Bergamasco, L.; Coetzee, J.; Gould, S.; Johnson, A.K.; Kriker, L.A.; Marchant-Forde, J.N.; Martineau, G.S.; McKean, J., et al. Pain management in the neonatal piglet during routine management procedures. Part 2: grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations. *Anim Health Res Rev* **2014**, *15*, 39-62, doi:10.1017/S1466252314000073.
60. Lykkegaard, K.; Lauritzen, B.; Tessem, L.; Weikop, P.; Svendsen, O. Local anaesthetics attenuates spinal nociception and HPA-axis activation during experimental laparotomy in pigs. *Res Vet Sci* **2005**, *79*, 245-251, doi:10.1016/j.rvsc.2004.11.017.
61. Kehlet, H. Surgical stress: the role of pain and analgesia. *Br J Anaesth* **1989**, *63*, 189-195, doi:10.1093/bja/63.2.189.

62. Molina, P.E. Opiate modulation of hemodynamic, hormonal, and cytokine responses to hemorrhage. *Shock* **2001**, *15*, 471-478, doi:10.1097/00024382-200115060-00011.
63. Desborough, J.P. The stress response to trauma and surgery. *Br J Anaesth* **2000**, *85*, 109-117, doi:10.1093/bja/85.1.109.
64. Marchant-Forde, J.N.; Lay, D.C., Jr.; McMunn, K.A.; Cheng, H.W.; Pajor, E.A.; Marchant-Forde, R.M. Postnatal piglet husbandry practices and well-being: the effects of alternative techniques delivered separately. *J Anim Sci* **2009**, *87*, 1479-1492, doi:10.2527/jas.2008-1080.
65. Hay, M.; Vulin, A.; Génin, S.; Sales, P.; Prunier, A. Assessment of pain induced by castration in piglets: behavioral and physiological responses over the subsequent 5 days. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* **2003**, *82*, 201-218.
66. Lonardi, C.; Scollo, A.; Normando, S.; Brscic, M.; Gottardo, F. Can novel methods be useful for pain assessment of castrated piglets? *Animals (Basel)* **2015**, *9*, 871-877.
67. O'Connor, T.M.; O'Connell, J.; O'Brien, D.I.; Goode, T.; Bredin, C.P.; Shanahan, F. The role of substance P in inflammatory disease. *J Cell Physiol* **2004**, *201*, 167-180, doi:10.1002/jcp.20061.
68. Moya, S.L.; Boyle, L.A.; Lynch, P.B.; Arkins, S. Effect of surgical castration on the behavioral and acute phase responses of 5-day-old piglets. *Applied Animal Behavior Science* **2008**, *111*, 113-145.
69. Aloisi, A.M.; Buonocore, M.; Merlo, L.; Galandra, C.; Sotgiu, A.; Bacchella, L.; Ungaretti, M.; Demartini, L.; Bonezzi, C. Chronic pain therapy and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis impairment. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **2011**, *36*, 1032-1039, doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2010.12.017.
70. Zacharieva, S.; Borissova, A.M.; Andonova, K.; Stoeva, I.; Matrozov, P. Role of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) on the corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)-induced ACTH release in healthy men. *Horm Metab Res* **1992**, *24*, 336-338, doi:10.1055/s-2007-1003327.
71. Liu, J.P.; Clarke, I.J.; Funder, J.W.; Engler, D. Evidence that the central noradrenergic and adrenergic pathways activate the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in the sheep. *Endocrinology* **1991**, *129*, 200-209, doi:10.1210/endo-129-1-200.
72. Labrie, F.; Giguere, V.; Proulx, L.; Lefevre, G. Interactions between CRF, epinephrine, vasopressin and glucocorticoids in the control of ACTH secretion. *J Steroid Biochem* **1984**, *20*, 153-160, doi:10.1016/0022-4731(84)90202-4.
73. Slominski, A.T.; Zmijewski, M.A.; Zbytek, B.; Tobin, D.J.; Theoharides, T.C.; Rivier, J. Key role of CRF in the Skin Stress Response System. *Endocrin Reviews* **2013**, *34*, 827-884.
74. Saller, A.M.; Werner, J.; Reiser, J.; Senf, S.; Deffner, P.; Abendschön, N.; Weiß, C.; Fischer, J.; Schorwerth, A.; Miller, R.; Zablotski, S.Y.; Bergmann, S.; Erhard, M.H.; Ritzmann, M.; Zöls, S.; Baumgartner, C.; Local anesthesia in piglets undergoing castration—A comparative study to investigate the analgesic effects of four local anesthetics on the basis of acute physiological responses and limb movements. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*(7): e0236742. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236742>
75. Harris, J.A. Using c-fos as a neural marker of pain. *Brain Res Bull* **1998**, *45*, 1-8, doi:10.1016/s0361-9230(97)00277-3.
76. Prunier, A.; Mounier, M.; Hay, M. Effects of castration, tooth resection, or tail docking on plasma metabolites and stress hormones in young pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* **2005**, *83*, 216-222.
77. Carroll, J.A.; Berg, E.L.; Strauch, T.A.; Roberts, M.P.; Kattesh, H.G. Hormonal profiles, behavioral responses, and short-term growth performance after castration of pigs at three, six, nine or twelve days of age. *Journal of Animal Science* **2006**, *84*, 1271-1278.

78. Kluivers-Poodt, M.; Zonderland, J.J.; Verbraak, J.; Lambooij, E.; Hellebrekers, L.J. Pain behaviour after castration of piglets; effect of pain relief with lidocaine and/or meloxicam. *Animal* **2013**, *7*, 1158-1162, doi:10.1017/S1751731113000086..
79. Dobromylskyj, P.; Flecknell, B.D.; Lascelles, B.D.; Livingston, A.; Taylor, P.; Waterman-Pearson, A. Pain assessment. In *Pain management in animals*, Flecknell, P., Waterman-Pearson, A., Eds. Wiley-Blackwell Saunders: China, 2001; pp. 53-76.
80. Wemelsfelder, F.; van Putten, G. *Behavior as a possible indicator for pain in piglets*; 1985.
81. Weary, D.M.; Braithwaite, L.; Fraser, D. Vocal response to pain in piglets. *Applied Animal Behavior Science* **1998**, *56*, 161-172.
82. Taylor, A.A.; Weary, D.M.; Lessard, M.; Braithwaite, L. Behavioural responses of piglets to castration: the effect of piglet age. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* **2001**, *73*, 35-43.
83. Marx, G.; Horn, T.; Thielebein, J.; Knubel, B.; von Borell, E. Analysis of pain-related vocalization in young pigs. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **2003**, *266*, 687-698.
84. Schon, P.C.; Puppe, B.; Manteuffel, G. Automated recording of stress vocalisations as a tool to documented impaired welfare in pigs. *Animal Welfare* **2004**, *13*, 105-110.
85. Puppe, B.; Schon, P.C.; Tuchscherer, A.; Manteuffel, G. Castration-induced vocalisation in domestic piglets, *Sus scrofa*: Complex and specific alterations of the vocal quality. *Applied Animal Behavior Science* **2005**, *95*, 67-78.
86. Schon, P.C.; Puppe, B. Linear prediction coding analysis and self-organizing feature map as tools to classify stress calls of domestic pigs (*Sus scrofa*). *J Acoust Soc Am* **2001**, *110*, 1425-1431.
87. Taylor, A.A.; Weary, D.M. Vocal responses of piglets to castration: identifying procedural sources of pain. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* **2000**, *70*, 17-26, doi:10.1016/s0168-1591(00)00143-x.
88. Gutzwiller, A. Castration of piglets under local anaesthesia. *Agrarforschung (Switzerland)* **2003**, *10*, 10-13.
89. Molony, V.; Kent, J.E. Assessment of acute pain in farm animals using behavioral and physiological measurements. *J Anim Sci* **1997**, *75*, 266-272, doi:10.2527/1997.751266x.
90. Mellor, D.J.; Stafford, K.J. Acute castration and/or tailing distress and its alleviation in lambs. *N Z Vet J* **2000**, *48*, 33-43, doi:10.1080/00480169.2000.36156.
91. Almond, K.L.; Fainberg, H.P.; Lomax, M.A.; Bikker, P.; Symonds, M.E.; Mostyn, A. Substitution of starch for palm oil during gestation: impact on offspring survival and hepatic gene expression in the pig. *Reprod Fertil Dev* **2015**, *27*, 1057-1064, doi:10.1071/RD14058.
92. Crowe, M.A. *AHW.120 Review of literature on teh relief of pain in livestock undergoing husbandry procedures*; Meat and Livestock Australia Limited: North Sydney, 2011.
93. Hatfield, L.A. Neonatal pain: What's age got to do with it? *Surg Neurol Int* **2014**, *5*, S479-489, doi:10.4103/2152-7806.144630.
94. McGlone, J.J.; Nicholson, R.I.; Hellman, J.M.; Herzog, D.N. The development of pain in young pigs associated with castration and attempts to prevent castration-induced behavioral changes. *J Anim Sci* **1993**, *71*, 1441-1446, doi:10.2527/1993.7161441x.
95. Ison, S.H.; Clutton, R.E.; Di Giminiani, P.; Rutherford, K.M. A Review of Pain Assessment in Pigs. *Front Vet Sci* **2016**, *3*, 108, doi:10.3389/fvets.2016.00108.
96. Frank, S.G.; Lalonde, D.H. How acidic is the lidocaine we are injecting, and how much bicarbonate should we add? *Can J Plast Surg* **2012**, *20*, 71-73, doi:10.1177/229255031202000207.
97. Anil, L.; Anil, S.S.; Deen, J. Pain detection and amelioration in animals on the farm: issues and options. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci* **2005**, *8*, 261-278, doi:10.1207/s15327604jaws0804_3.

98. Wagner, B.; Cramer, C.; Park, R.; Moraes, L.; Viscardi, A.V.; Coetzee, H.; Pairis-Garcia, M.D. Evaluating behavior as a diagnostic tool to detect castration pain in piglets. *Animal* **2020**.
99. Emde, R.N.; Harmon, R.J.; Metcalf, D.; Koenig, K.L.; Wagonfeld, S. Stress and neonatal sleep. *Psychosom Med* **1971**, *33*, 491-497, doi:10.1097/00006842-197111000-00002.
100. Gunnar, M.R.; Malone, S.; Vance, G.; Fisch, R.O. Coping with aversive stimulation in the neonatal period: quiet sleep and plasma cortisol levels during recovery from circumcision. *Child Dev* **1985**, *56*, 824-834.
101. Gauthier, E.A.; Guzick, S.E.; Brummett, C.M.; Baghdoyan, H.A.; Lydic, R. Buprenorphine disrupts sleep and decreases adenosine concentrations in sleep-regulating brain regions of Sprague Dawley rat. *Anesthesiology* **2011**, *115*, 743-753, doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e31822e9f85.
102. Tsagarakis, S.; Navarra, P.; Rees, L.H.; Besser, M.; Grossman, A.; Navara, P. Morphine directly modulates the release of stimulated corticotrophin-releasing factor-41 from rat hypothalamus in vitro. *Endocrinology* **1989**, *124*, 2330-2335, doi:10.1210/endo-124-5-2330.
103. Lancel, M.; Faulhaber, J.; Schifflerholz, T.; Romeo, E.; Di Michele, F.; Holsboer, F.; Rupprecht, R. Allopregnanolone affects sleep in a benzodiazepine-like fashion. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **1997**, *282*, 1213-1218.
104. Luttinger, D.; Nemeroff, C.B.; Mason, G.A.; Frye, G.D. Enhancement of ethanol-induced sedation and hypothermia by centrally administered neurotensin, B-endorphin and bombesin. *Current Biology* **2016**, *26*, 2446-2455.
105. Nath, R.D.; Chow, E.S.; Wang, H.; Schwarz, E.M.; Sternberg, P.W. C. elegans stress-induced sleep emerges from the collection action of multiple neuropeptides. *Current Biology* **2016**, *26*, 2446-2455.
106. McGlone, J.J. A quantitative ethogram of aggressive and submissive behaviors in recently regrouped pigs. *J Anim Sci* **1985**, *61*, 559-565.
107. Sutherland, M.A.; Bryer, P.J.; Krebs, N.; McGlone, J.J. Tail docking in pigs; acute physiological and behavioral responses. *Animals (Basel)* **2008**, *2*, 292-297.
108. Curatolo, M.; Petersen-Felix, S.; Arendt-Nielsen, L. Sensory assessment of regional analgesia in humans: a review of methods and applications. *Anesthesiology* **2000**, *93*, 1517-1530, doi:10.1097/00000542-200012000-00025.
109. Ren, K.; Dubner, R. Inflammatory Models of Pain and Hyperalgesia. *ILAR J* **1999**, *40*, 111-118, doi:10.1093/ilar.40.3.111.
110. Fitzgerald, M.; King, A.E.; Thompson, S.W.; Woolf, C.J. The postnatal development of the ventral root reflex in the rat; a comparative in vivo and in vitro study. *Neurosci Lett* **1987**, *78*, 41-45, doi:10.1016/0304-3940(87)90558-1.
111. Castel, D.; Sabbag, I.; Meilin, S. The effect of local/topical analgesics on incisional pain in a pig model. *J Pain Res* **2017**, *10*, 2169-2175, doi:10.2147/JPR.S144949.
112. Herskin, M.; Rasmussen, J. Pigs in pain - porcine behavioural responses towards mechanical nociceptive stimulation directed at the hind legs. *Journal of Pain* **2010**, *1*, 175-176.
113. Lomax, S.; Sheil, M.; Windsor, P.A. Impact of topical anaesthesia on pain alleviation and wound healing in lambs after mulesing. *Aust Vet J* **2008**, *86*, 159-168; quiz CE151, doi:10.1111/j.1751-0813.2008.00285.x.
114. Lomax, S.; Sheil, M.; Windsor, P.A. Duration of action of a topical anaesthetic formulation for pain management of mulesing in sheep. *Aust Vet J* **2013**, *91*, 160-167, doi:10.1111/avj.12031.
115. Espinoza, C.; Lomax, S.; Windsor, P. The effect of a topical anesthetic on the sensitivity of calf dehorning wounds. *J Dairy Sci* **2013**, *96*, 2894-2902, doi:10.3168/jds.2012-5954.

116. Espinoza, C.A.; McCarthy, D.; White, P.J.; Windsor, P.A.; Lomax, S.H. Evaluating the Efficacy of a Topical Anesthetic Formulation and Ketoprofen, Alone and in Combination, on the Pain Sensitivity of Dehorning Wounds in Holstein-Friesian Calves. *Animal Production Science* **2016**, *56*, 1512.
117. Janczak, A.M.; Ranheim, B.; Fosse, T.K.; Hild, S.; Nordgreen, J.; Moe, R.O.; Zanella, A.J. Factors affecting mechanical (nociceptive) thresholds in piglets. *Vet Anaesth Analg* **2012**, *39*, 628-635, doi:10.1111/j.1467-2995.2012.00737.x.
118. Guesgen, M.J.; Beausoleil, N.J.; Leach, M.; Minot, E.O.; Stewart, M.; Stafford, K.J. Coding and quantification of a facial expression for pain in lambs. *Behav Processes* **2016**, *132*, 49-56, doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2016.09.010.
119. Dalla Costa, E.; Minero, M.; Lebelt, D.; Stucke, D.; Canali, E.; Leach, M.C. Development of the Horse Grimace Scale (HGS) as a pain assessment tool in horses undergoing routine castration. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, e92281, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092281.
120. McCafferty, D.J. The value of infrared thermography for research on mammals: previous applications and future directions. *Mammal Review* **2007**, *37*, 207-233.
121. Roth, J.; Rummel, C.; Barth, S.W.; Gerstberger, R.; Hubschle, T. Molecular aspects of fever and hyperthermia. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* **2009**, *29*, 229-245, doi:10.1016/j.iac.2009.02.005.
122. Olivier, B.; Zethof, T.; Pattij, T.; van Boogaert, M.; van Oorschot, R.; Leahy, C.; Oosting, R.; Bouwknecht, A.; Veening, J.; van der Gugten, J., et al. Stress-induced hyperthermia and anxiety: pharmacological validation. *Eur J Pharmacol* **2003**, *463*, 117-132, doi:10.1016/s0014-2999(03)01326-8.
123. Takakazu, O.; Kae, O.; Testuro, H. Mechanisms and mediators of psychological stress-induced rise in core temperature. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **2001**, *64*, 476-486.
124. Nixon, E.; Almond, G.W.; Baynes, R.E.; Messenger, K.M. Comparative Plasma and Interstitial Fluid Pharmacokinetics of Meloxicam, Flunixin, and Ketoprofen in Neonatal Piglets. *Front Vet Sci* **2020**, *7*, 82, doi:10.3389/fvets.2020.00082.
125. Coetzee, J.F. A Review of Analgesic Compounds Used in Food Animals in the United States. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice*. **2013** *29*(1),11–28.