Assessing options for remediation of contaminated mine site drainage entering the River Teign, Southwest England

Abigail Jordan[1], Rachel Hill[1], Adrienne Turner[1], Tyrone Roberts[1], Sean Comber[1]*

 * Corresponding author: sean.comber@plymouth.ac.uk

[1] School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Plymouth, Drakes Circus, Plymouth, PL4 8AA.

Abstract

The river Teign in Devon has come under scrutiny for failing to meet Environmental Quality Standards for ecotoxic metals due to past mining operations. A disused mine known as Bridford Barytes mine, has been found to contribute a significant source of Zn, Cd and Pb to the river. Recently, studies have been focused on the remediation of such mine sites using low-cost treatment methods to help reduce metal loads to the river downstream. Red mud is a waste product from the aluminium industry, the utilization of this resource has proven an attractive low-cost treatment method for adsorbing toxic metals. Adsorption kinetics and capacity experiments reveal metal removal efficiencies of up to 70% within the first 2 hours when red mud is applied in pelletized form. Biochar is another effective adsorbent with the potential to remove >90% Zn using agricultural feedstock. Compliance of the Teign has been investigated by analysing dissolved metal concentrations and bioavailable fractions of Zn to assess if levels are of environmental concern. By applying a Real-World Application Model, this study reveals that compressed pellets and agricultural biochar offer an effective, low-cost option to reducing metal concentrations and thus improving the quality of the river Teign.

Keywords: trace metals; mine remediation; zinc; red media; biochar

1.   INTRODUCTION

Historic mining in the southwest of England has left a legacy of environmental and socio-economic impacts. Whilst mining operations have largely ceased throughout Devon and Cornwall, impacts have persisted resulting in localised contamination and elevated metal concentrations in soils, sediment, and waters. In England, pollution from mine waste affects over 1,700km of rivers [1] with the potential to reduce the quality of drinking water and threaten sensitive aquatic ecosystems. This legacy presents a challenge in achieving the requirements set out by the Water Framework Directive (WFD) *(Directive 2000/60/EC)* which has established Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for specific pollutants such as arsenic (As), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), chromium (Cr) and manganese (Mn), Priority Substances such as lead (Pb) and Priority Hazardous Substances such as cadmium (Cd). Meeting the standards and protecting the quality of our water bodies is therefore of fundamental importance.

The river Teign, sourced in Dartmoor, Devon, is at risk of not meeting the requirements set out by the WFD and forms the focus of this study. Exploitation of mineral resources at a local disused mine in Bridford, known as Bridford Barytes mine, have contributed to elevated concentrations of potentially toxic metals. Mining for baryte (barium sulphate) took place between 1855 and 1958, however prior to this, Pb-Zn mining occurred within the catchment [2]. Both episodes have been responsible for releasing potentially ecotoxic metals into the river Teign and monitoring data has consistently shown exceedances in metal concentrations, particularly Zn which presents the basis for this investigation.

Metals sourced from mining operations are typically discharged from mine adits, where Acid Mine Drainage (AMD) is generated releasing trace metals into the environment with potentially adverse effects on the ecology. AMD is produced when sulphide-bearing minerals released from mining activities are exposed to atmospheric conditions. The most common sulphide mineral in this process is pyrite (FeS_2). The oxidation of pyrite leads to the generation of sulphate and an increase in proton acidity [3], this reaction is responsible for considerable increases in acidity within the natural environment. Due to this increase in acidity, pH associated with AMD is typically below 4.0 [4], in which metals are highly soluble and easily mobilised, commonly these metals include Mn, Cr, Cd, Zn, Pb and As.

Zn is one of the most encountered WFD specific pollutants from mining activities. It is a metal both essential and toxic to organisms, monitoring the concentration of Zn at the catchment scale is therefore critical to help sustain and preserve the environment. Notably, Zn is often present in high concentrations due to the background geology; this presents unique complications in assessing the risk of impacts. However, studies have shown that Zn in

sediments of the river Teign and estuary are not entirely naturally occurring and are derived from mining pollution [2]. These elevated levels of Zn have been attributed to the episodes of Ba and Pb-Zn mining throughout the Teign catchment including a major source at Bridford Barytes mine. In catchments affected by AMD, Zn is commonly present in its most ecotoxic form Zn^{2+}, as is the case with the river Teign. The release of this hydrated Zn ion into the environment is toxic to aquatic biota at elevated concentrations, with reports of reproductive and developmental responses in fish and other aquatic organisms [5]. With regards to human health, long term excessive exposure has been identified as a contributing factor to chronic diseases, a decrease in immune system function and even infertility [6,7]. Preventing such adverse effects to aquatic life and human health is the driving force behind environmental legislation.

Over the years, growing concern for the environment and human health has led to an increase in legislation governing pollution associated with the mining industry. The WFD has become one of the most influential pieces of EU law concerning water pollution and the quality of our water bodies. The directive is built upon the principles of sustainable development and requires the development of management strategies referred to as River Basin Management Plans (RBMP). It also requires member states to classify the ecological quality of waters as either high, good, or moderate; with pass/fail Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for chemicals of concern. To achieve good status, all the chemical and ecological parameters have to be 'good' as it is a one out-all out assessment. Currently the lower Teign catchment only achieves 'moderate' status for failing to meet the standards required for good ecological classification and for periodic failures of the Zn EQS [8]. Consequently, understanding the contribution of Zn to this river catchment and undertaking appropriate mitigation is key to meeting the demands of the WFD and is the rationale behind this study.

The EQS established by the WFD are based upon recommendations from the United Kingdom Technical Advisory Group (UKTAG) and are monitored closely by the Environment Agency. They are derived from present scientific understanding of the conditions needed for a healthy water environment and utilise ecological data from thousands of sites across the UK. The revised standard for Zn in freshwaters is currently 10.9 µg/l bioavailable plus the ambient background concentration 2.9 µg/l [9]. Importantly, the chemical form of zinc is greatly influenced by the hydrological and physiochemical conditions of the water [10]. Metal-concentrations, pH conditions and amount of organic matter all control the bioavailability and toxicity of zinc [11], not considering the bioavailable fraction of zinc may result in an under or over estimation of the risks posed by the metal. These influences are therefore an important consideration when assessing if a water body is in fact 'failing' due to the presence of the metal and is consequently an environmental concern.

Practical and cost-effective treatment for mine water is topical and extensive research has been undertaken to assess the application of different treatment methods in the UK. The degree of environmental pollution generated by AMD is highly variable, meaning treatment must be flexible and specific to each site. Passive methods to remove heavy metal ions are currently favoured due to their low cost and local availability, with techniques including constructed wetlands, limestone for neutralisation, precipitation, and adsorption [12].

Red media is an emerging low-cost technology which utilises bauxite residue from the aluminium mining process to form pellets which have the capacity to adsorb heavy metals [13]. The pellets can be pre-treated to increase the efficiency of adsorption, simultaneously offering flexibility in the design and operation of the method. Importantly, studies have shown that the pre-treatment of pellets is essential in the adsorption process and hence determines the overall effectiveness of removing heavy metals from mine water [14]. This report aims to evaluate the feasibility of each treatment method, weighing up the benefits and costs to see which method will be most applicable for reducing metal loads to the Teign. Principally it focuses on Zn, however the removal efficiencies for the priority substances Cd and Pb have also been considered for comparison.

Ultimately, information collated on these studies of different treatment methods are employed by the competent authorities (Environment Agency and Coal Authority) to develop and build mine water treatment schemes to clean up our waters where the quality has been compromised by pollution from abandoned mine sites [1]. Currently, one of the greatest challenges in treating pollution generated from AMD is finding a method that meets the expectations of efficiency, cost, and sustainability. The objective of this study is to assess the necessity of Zn, Cd and Pb removal in the river Teign and evaluate the efficiency of treatment methods that utilise red media. The results will enable an assessment of the practicalities associated with reducing Zn loads to the catchment, and an overall more comprehensive understanding of adopting low-cost adsorption treatments to mine sites in the UK.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area

The study is based on a former baryte mine in Bridford, situated south west of Exeter (SX83148643). The mine is located within the Teign valley on the north eastern edge of Dartmoor (Figure 1) where metalliferous mineral deposits have been extracted since the

bronze age due to the presence of a large granite batholith. Mineral deposits in the area consist mainly of shales, mudstones, cherts and tuffs, also known as the Culm measures; these deposits contain the Ba-Pb-Zn loads [15]. Initially, Pb mining took place at the site dated at around 1804, however, low profits moved production to Ba in 1855, with final abandonment of the mine in 1958 [2,16].


Figure 1. Map showing the location of Bridford baryte mine which is situated on the north eastern edge of Dartmoor within the Teign catchment along with the Environment Agency sampling points and mean zinc concentrations (2000-2020 data).

Mine water discharged from the main adit is channelled to the Bridford beck via an Environment Agency monitoring point. The Bridford beck is a tributary of the Rookery Brook sourced in Dartmoor which flows downstream approximately 1 km into the river Teign, both water courses currently exceed the Zn EQS [14,16]. However, these tributaries comprise a small area of the catchment (approximately 6km^2 out of 540km^2 for the Teign catchment [17]) and at first instance, seem unlikely to contribute greatly to the elevated Zn concentrations of the Teign.

2.2 Red Media Technology

Red Media Technology has been trialling the capability of 'Red Mud' (RM) for adsorbing heavy metals from discharged mine waters. Millions of tonnes of hazardous RM waste is produced each year as a by-product of the aluminium industry; the utilization of this material therefore supports the concept of waste-recycling. Importantly, it is highly alkaline with a pH of 10-13, the red colour comes from the presence of oxidised iron which comprises up to 60% of the mass of the product [18]. The RM is in pellet form, pre-treatment of the pellets via heat and acid treatment has been found to increase adsorption and the removal efficiency of heavy metals [19]. Previous laboratory studies have investigated the capabilities of four different types of pellet which have undergone treatment: Compressed (CP), fired (FP), fired-acid-etched (FAE) and a new powdered pellet (PP). These treatment methods have been described and their adsorption efficiencies compared and outlined in this methodology. They have also been compared with a field scale trial using the RM and another treatment method utilising biochar, a carbon-rich material produced from plant biomass.

2.3 Types of Pellet

2.3.1   Compressed Pellet

Compressed pellets have been tested in the laboratory and during a field scale trial by Hill *(2016)* [14] and Comber *(2015)* [16] respectively. CP have been compacted under high pressure, forming small and crumbly pellets of varying sizes (Figure 2a) [14]. They have lost porosity during compaction and have a high surface area; however, the field trial shows that the pellets lack structural integrity and suffered degradation during the experiment [16].

2.3.2   Fired Pellet

Lab based experiments using fired pellets have been conducted by Hill *(2016)* [14] and Turner *(2017)* [13]. Production of the FP involves heating in a kiln at 1050°C for 2 hours and allowed to cool for a further 2 days [14]. The pellets are more uniform in size, with a coarse texture and an overall lower surface area compared with the compressed pellets (Figure 2b) [13].

2.3.3   Fired Acid Etched Pellet

The adsorption efficiency of the fired acid etched pellets have been tested by Turner *(2017)* [13], where they are described as small, bright orange pellets with a powdery texture and a smoother surface produced from etching (Figure 2c).

2.3.4 Powdered Pellet

The powdered pellets described by Turner *(2017)* [13], are similar in appearance to the compressed pellets, with a cylindrical shape, powdery texture, and a dark orange colour, fired at 800°C.


Figure 2. Red media pellets which have all been pre-treated. **(A)** Compressed Pellets [14]. **(B)** Fired Pellets [14]. **(C)** Fired acid etched pellets [13].

2.4 Current studies using Red Media Technology products

Laboratory studies have been undertaken to assess the removal efficiency of pre-treated pellets [13,14]. Samples were collected from several different locations of the water course at Bridford along with *in situ* measurements of pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen content and redox potential. For the purpose of this study, only data from samples taken at the mine adit have been utilised. CP, FP, FAE and PP were supplied by Red Media Technologies to determine their metal removal efficiency and suitability to a mine environment [20]. An

adsorption kinetics experiment tested the rate of analyte adsorption by adding the mine water to 200g of RM pellets, a full outline of this methodology can be found in the Electronic Supporting Information (ESI, S1). Starting and final analyte concentrations of the mine water were analysed using Inductively Coupled Plasma instruments such as ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry) and ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometry), the removal efficiencies were then calculated after 2 hours for Cd, Pb and Zn. Briefly, ICP-OES was used for samples with metals in the mg/l range and ICP-MS for metals in the µg/l range. Certified Reference Materials (Enviromat, EPL-3), internal control samples and blanks were determined within each batch of samples to ensure data quality. Recoveries for Zn, Pb and Cd were 100% +/- 10% and precision for the 3 replicate analyses for each sample were typically less than 5% relative standard deviation [13,14]. The pH was also tested at the start and end of the experiment to reveal any neutralising capabilities of the pellets [13].

As well as a kinetics experiment, an adsorption capacity column experiment was undertaken to determine the adsorption behaviour and optimum capacity of the pellets in mg of metal sorbed/kg of media used. The adsorption capacity was calculated using the starting concentrations of the elements, the amount of solution which had flowed through them and the weight of pellets within the column (ESI, S2).The highest capacity achieved for each metal has been recorded [14].

A field scale trial of the removal efficiency of toxic metals using the pellets was undertaken by Comber *(2015)* [16] in conjunction with Red Media Technology at Bridford Barytes mine, Bridford. The trial period was a duration of 3 months to assess the performance of the pellets on a realistic timescale. The experiment consisted of a $1m^3$ tank containing compressed pellets; mine water was delivered to the tank and samples were taken throughout the operation, including pH readings. Metal concentrations were determined by ICP-MS.

Analyte concentration data collected from the laboratory tests and field scale trial have been used to calculate the metal removal efficiency of each pellet form, as well as the adsorption capacity and pH neutralising capability; the results will allow an evaluation of which pellet is most suitable for reducing the Zn load from Bridford to the river Teign.

2.5 Alternative Treatment Method using Biochar

Biochar is a black, carbon rich solid produced by thermal decomposition of biomass, similarly to charcoal. Typically, it has a wide range of characteristics which depend upon the feedstock used; this affects the chemical and physical properties of the biochar and consequently how

it acts as an adsorbent. A lab study carried out by Roberts *(2018)* [21], tests the sorption capabilities of pelletized biochar supplied by the United Kingdom Biochar Research Centre (UKBRC). Varying forms of feedstock were tested at different pyrolysis temperatures (550°C and 700°C) including forestry waste, municipal waste, and agricultural waste. Following a similar methodology to the experiments using red media, samples of mine water were taken from the adit and used in an adsorption kinetics experiment and a titration capacity experiment. Metal concentrations were analysed by ICP-MS and ICP-OES as described above.

2.6 River Teign Metal Concentrations

The Environment Agency (EA) act as the competent authority to implement the requirements set out by the WFD and closely monitor the quality of water courses within England. Data provided by the EA's water quality archive has been extracted to determine the mean concentrations of the river Teign for dissolved Zn from 2000 to 2020. Analysing total dissolved metal concentrations forms the first stage of a tiered approach to assessing the classification of a water body in the UK [10], if the Teign exceeds the standard EQS value of 13.8, then it will progress to the next tier. Bioavailability data is accessible after 2015 from EA monitoring data, identification of the bioavailable concentration of the metal allows direct comparison with the bioavailable EQS (10.9 μg/l for zinc) and forms the second tier for assessing compliance of the Teign with the WFD.

Several sample locations along the course of the river Teign have been selected to represent changing dissolved metal concentrations downstream from Bridford mine (ESI, S3). Closest to the mine adit is the Rookery brook tributary which flows into the Teign. Further downstream east of Canonteign is the Beadon brook past Wheal Exmouth mine site. Discharges sourced from Bridford mine and Wheal Exmouth are intercepted by the Teign at Chudleigh Bridge. Dissolved concentrations of Zn, Cd and Pb were obtained for these selected sites from the EA, as well as some bioavailable data for Zn, calculated using the physiochemical parameters DOC (Dissolved Organic Carbon), pH and Ca/Hardness (ESI, S8). Notably, concentrations of Cd and Pb were frequently below the limit of detection (LOD), particularly from 2000-2010, these results therefore have a high uncertainty.

2.7 Real-World Application Model

Using mean bioavailable metal concentration data and flow data from Chudleigh, the average load of Zn, Cd and Pb into and within the river Teign at Chudleigh has been calculated using

the "Real-World Application Model" – (*Hill 2016*) [14] (ESI, S10). Acquiring the load data has enabled the determination of the tonnes of pellets/biochar feedstock required to reduce Zn levels below the EQS at Chudleigh.

3   RESULTS

3.1 Removal Efficiency Results

Zn concentration data at Bridford mine adit is presented in figure 3. The results show Zn concentrations over a 24-hour period influenced by the different pellet forms (ESI, S4).

The PP show a steady decline in Zn concentration within the first 2 hours from 11600µg/l to 8900µg/l, at 24 hours the final concentration is 616µg/l. The FAE pellets show a similar trend in the first 2 hours with concentrations falling from 11300µg/l to 8410µg/l, at 24 hours Zn concentration is 311µg/l. The CP exhibit the steepest decline in Zn concentration and hence the fastest removal rate with concentrations decreasing from 9643µg/l to 575µg/l in just 2 hours. Concentrations fall to 43.8µg/l at 24 hours. Finally, the FP show the slowest decrease in Zn concentrations within the first 2 hours (9643 - 1388µg/l). However, afterwards, concentrations rapidly decline to 68.9µg/l at 24 hours. These results reveal that the adsorption efficiency is strongly influenced by the pre-treatment of the pellets.

Figure 3. Zinc concentrations over a 24-hour period influenced by the different pellets. A=CP, B=FAE, C=PP, D=FP.

Levels of pH of the mine water during the experiments show that all the pellets have neutralising capabilities and produce alkaline conditions (Table 1). The CP and FP have a greater pH increase compared with the other pellets, particularly the FP which have the largest pH increase of 4.68. Although, the duration of the FAE pellets and PP was much lower at only 6 hours.

Table 1. pH changes of the mine adit water using the different types of pellet. Raw data extracted from Turner (2017) [13] and Hill (2016) [14].

Duration	pH of mine adit water			
	Compressed pellets (CP)	Fired pellets (FP)	Fired acid-etched pellets (FAE)	Powdered pellets (PP)
Start of experiment (0 hour)	4.65	4.65	3.78	4.59
End of experiment	7.80 (53 hours)	9.33 (53 hours)	5.5 (6 hours)	7.14 (6 hours)

The removal efficiencies for Zn have been calculated using starting and final Zn concentrations and are summarised in table 2 (ESI, S5). The results show the CP to have the fastest rate of removal for Zn within the first 2 hours, achieving a high removal efficiency at 53 hours. The FP achieve the highest removal efficiency at 53 hours despite the slowest decrease in Zn concentrations at the beginning of the experiment. The PP and FAE pellets show slightly lower removal efficiencies than the other pellets at 24 hours, but still reach a removal efficiency of 95%+.

Table 2. Efficiency of the different pellets for adsorbing Zn at 2, 24 and 53 hours [13,14]

Hours	Removal Efficiency for zinc (%)			
	Compressed	**Fired**	**FAE**	**Powdered**
2	73.7	22.0	25.6	23.3
24	99.5	99.3	97.2	94.7
53	99.8	99.9	-	-

The high removal efficiency of the CP is supported by the field scale trial conducted by Comber *(2015)* [16]. Figure 4 shows the removal efficiency of the pellets over a 3-month period, the results reveal >80% of the Zn is removed within the first 10 days of the experiment. After this period, the removal efficiency gradually falls until it remains at below 40% after 40 days. Cd and Pb follow a similar trend but with a marked increase in removal after 70 days. These results suggest that the RM pellets require at least 2 hours to be efficient and achieve >70% removal, uptake is reduced greatly up to 24 hours and beyond (ESI, S6).


Figure 4. Graph showing results from the field scale trial. Removal efficiency of the compressed pellets for adsorbing filtered zinc, cadmium and lead over a three-month period at Bridford Barytes mine. Data taken from Comber (2015) [16].

When compared with the priority substances Cd and Pb (Figure 5), Zn appears to have the most similar adsorption rate to Cd, which is highest when influenced by the CP and lowest with the FP and FAE pellets. The results show Pb to have the greatest removal compared to Cd and Zn with all the pellets, especially the CP which exhibit nearly 100% removal efficiency. Notably, the data only shows results for a 2-hour duration; the FP are recognized to have the slowest removal efficiency during this time despite the greatest overall removal efficiency as demonstrated by figure 3 [13].


Figure 5. Column chart showing the removal efficiencies of Zn, Cd and Pb with the different pellet types over a 2-hour period.

The results for the different biochar feedstock are shown in figure 6 at pyrolysis temperatures of 550°C and 700°C (ESI, S7). Overall, the feedstock with the highest removal efficiency after 2 hours is the agricultural waste (Miscanthus straw pellet, wheat straw pellet and oil seed rape) with analyte removal of over 80%. Forestry waste and municipal waste have the lowest removal efficiency compared to the other types of feedstock. Pb is the most effectively removed analyte with a removal rate of >90% for the agricultural waste, whereas Zn has the lowest removal efficiency for all the biochar feedstock. Notably, there is no real difference between the removal efficiency at pyrolysis temperatures of 550°C and 700°C, except lead has a slightly higher removal efficiency at a temperature of 700°C.

Figure 6. Column charts showing the removal efficiency of biochar at pyrolysis temperatures of 550°C (A) and 700°C (B) for Zn, Cd and Pb [21].

3.2 River Teign Metal Concentration Results

Selected sample locations downstream of Bridford mine are presented in figure 7 with average dissolved Zn concentrations at each locality calculated from 2000-2020. Estimates of Zn concentrations at the adit have been made: 11,170µg/l [22], 8,911µg/l [14], 11, 200µg/l [13] and 11,400µg/l [16]. These values show that the adit acts as a point source of consistently high Zn values of around 11,000µg/l. Downstream of the adit, mine waters enter the Rookery brook where average Zn concentrations are 471.8µg/l, this is considerably higher than upstream values of 49µg/l documented by Hill *(2016)* [14]*,* owing to the mine discharge from Bridford. Further downstream, Zn concentrations are reduced to 205.5µg/l at Beadon brook and then to 32.7µg/l at Chudleigh bridge. Whilst Zn levels are observed to decline downstream, they

remain above the EQS of 13.8µg/l throughout the course of the Teign before entering the lower estuary where levels are reduced to 4.8µg/l.

**Figure 7. Map showing selected sample locations along the river Teign downstream of Bridford mine. Average dissolved Zn concentrations (µg/l) at each locality are shown from 2000-2020.**

Dissolved concentrations of Zn, Cd and Pb recorded at the sample locations have been collated to show the changing metal concentrations between 2000 and 2020 (ESI, S8); the results are presented in Figure 8. The Rookery brook PTCW (Prior to Confluence With river Teign) data shows Zn levels to initially be declining followed by a slight upward trend after 2013. Concentrations still greatly exceed the EQS with levels of 407µg/l in 2016, almost 30 times the EQS. Cd and Pb show a similar trend of levels greatly exceeding the EQS despite an overall decline in recent years. Downstream at Beadon brook, Zn concentrations fluctuate yet show a general decline to 138.9µg/l in 2018; this is still 10 times above the EQS. Cd levels are comparable to Zn with declining concentrations of 1.64µg/l in 2018, 20 times above the Cd EQS of 0.08µg/l. Meanwhile, Pb levels remain consistently below the EQS of 7.2µg/l, with levels recorded at 1.71µg/l in 2019. Further along the river Teign at Chudleigh bridge, metal

concentrations are significantly lower than the previous localities. However, dissolved Zn remains above the EQS and shows rising levels since 2014 to 42µg/l in 2019; this is still 3 times the EQS. Cd follows a similar trend but appears to be steadily declining in recent years to 0.19µg/l, 2 times the EQS. Pb levels, however, continue to stay below the EQS at 2.65µg/l.


Figure 8. Dissolved metal concentrations (µg/l) compared with specific EQS along sample points of the river Teign. Row A shows concentrations of Zn, Cd and Pb at Rookery brook from 2011 to 2016. Row B shows metal concentrations downstream at Beadon brook from 2012 to 2019. Row C shows concentrations from Chudleigh bridge from 2011 to 2019. Data collected from the Environment Agency water quality archive.

Identifying the bioavailable fraction forms the 2nd tier of assessing the risks posed by a pollutant. Concentrations of bioavailable Zn for 3 sample locations have been calculated with the Biomet tool using DOC, pH and hardness data provided from the EA open data (ESI, S9). DOC ranged between 2.8 and 4.7mg/l, pH ranged from 7.24 to 7.41 and hardness ranged

from 24.2 to 37.3mg/l. Together, these results show that the bioavailable Zn fraction is high and exceeds the EQS at both Chudleigh bridge and Beadon brook (Figure 8). Although, the data suggests that these levels are beginning to decline. For the river Teign at Preston, bioavailable Zn has stayed closely below the EQS, suggesting that the bioavailable proportion of Zn decreases downstream where it is less impacted by discharges from Bridford Barytes mine and Wheal Exmouth.


Figure 8. Annual mean bioavailable Zn levels for the river Teign at Chudleigh bridge (A), Beadon Brook (B) and Preston (C), compared to the bioavailable EQS for Zn. Data calculated from EA water quality archive [8].

3.3 Real-World Application Model

The mean bioavailable concentration of Zn at Chudleigh Bridge was 18.1µg/l in 2019. This was combined with flow data at Chudleigh (5.32m^3/s) to estimate the annual load from Bridford downstream to the river Teign; the load was calculated to be 1210kg/yr. As well as this, the capacity of the pellets was retrieved from adsorption capacity experiments (ESI, S10). The CP were found to have a capacity of 8743mg/kg for Zn, and 35.40mg/kg for Cd. The duration of

the experiment for the FP was limited, therefore maximum adsorption capacities were not reached, however the highest recorded capacities were >150mg/kg for Zn and >1.56mg/kg for Cd [14]. The most efficient biochar from the experiment was agricultural biochar, with a capacity of 11000mg/kg [23]. Compared to the capacity of the wood biochar which has been observed at 395.8mg/kg [24]. The results from the model are shown in table 3; estimates of the costs of the pellets have been calculated on the basis that 1 tonne of pellets costs £88.95 to dispose of at landfill [13].

Table 3. Table showing the amount of pellets/biochar feedstock required in tonnes/yr to lower Zn levels below the EQS at Chudleigh, based on EA metal concentrations in 2019.

Treatment Method	Tonnes/yr required (assuming 100% efficiency)	Tonnes/yr required based on removal efficiencies from this study	Cost
Compressed pellet (CP)	138	383 (36% efficiency after 3 months)	£34,067 a year
Fired pellet (FP)	8064	8064 (99.9% efficiency after 53 hours)	£717,292 a year
Agricultural biochar	110	137.5 (80% efficiency after 2 hours)	£12,230
Wood biochar	3056	15,280 (20% efficiency after 2 hours)	£1,359,156

The results from the model reveal that the agricultural biochar costs the least amount to reduce Zn levels in the Teign. However, the removal efficiency was only tested up to 2 hours, therefore this is not a realistic value as the removal efficiency is expected to drop over time. The CP would have a more realistic application as the field scale trial showed the removal efficiency to drop to 36% after 3 months in the water; despite this drop, only 383 tonnes of pellets a year would be needed to reduce the Zn levels, costing £34,067. The lower capacity of the FP and lack of removal efficiency data over a longer duration makes it an infeasible treatment method costing £717,292 to dispose of at landfill. The wood biochar has the lowest removal efficiency and would require 15,280 tonnes a year, again an infeasible method. Using the CP, reducing Cd levels below the EQS would require 898 tonnes/yr of pellets, amounting to £79,877; this would cost more than 2 times the cost of reducing Zn levels. Dissolved Pb concentrations were below the EQS and therefore not considered in the model.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Pellet Removal Efficiency

The data from this study suggests the most suited pellet for removing Zn loads from Bridford are the CP. Fast adsorption rates allow >70% of the metal to be absorbed within the first 2 hours of experimentation. This efficiency is supported by the field-scale trial, with rapid adsorption of Zn within the first 20 days (>80%). At the end of the 3-month trial, the removal efficiency drops to <40%, suggesting that the capacity of the pellets had not been exhausted. However, precipitation becomes the dominant process blocking sorption sites and consequently lowering the adsorption efficiency [22]. The higher removal efficiency of the CP can be attributed to its higher surface area of $27.9 m^2$/g compared with other pellets (35 times greater than the FP) [14]. Notably, the FP have a slower initial removal efficiency, yet remove 99.9% of Zn at 53 hours. Both pellets cause an immediate pH increase when added to solution, although the FP result in the highest pH increase of 4.68, enabling the formation of precipitates such as iron hydroxide to further drive metal removal. Whilst the adsorption kinetics experiments have identified the CP and FP as having the greatest removal efficiency, the faster removal rate of the CP means a lower phase-contact time is needed between the pellets and water; this makes it more suited to a real-world application. Pb is observed to adsorb more strongly than Zn and Cd, this is possibly due to its greater partition coefficient [25].

The results from the experiment are supported by other studies using RM pellets [26]. Crushed pellets with a greater surface area (like the CP) have been found to be most efficient, with enhanced metal adsorption taking place at an optimum pH of 5/6 for Zn. Significant uptake of Zn has been documented within the first few hours of experimentation, with a less pronounced uptake after 24 hours [27], in line with the results from this study. Interestingly, the FAE pellets had a lower removal efficiency compared to the CP and PP; however other studies have proved acid treatment to be highly effective in aiding adsorption [18].

4.2 Biochar Removal Efficiency

Previous studies have demonstrated the remediation potential of Biochar, particularly as a soil modification where application has been seen to reduce bioavailability of toxic metals and simultaneously promote plant growth. Maximum removal efficiencies (>95%) have been observed at high pyrolysis temperatures (650°C) which greatly influence the success of the treatment method [28]. Other parameters such as contact time, particle size and the type of biochar feedstock used have also been considered as important factors.

Results from this study have shown the type of feedstock to be an important influence on the removal efficiency of Zn, Cd and Pb, rather than pyrolysis temperature. Forestry feedstock

19

had the lowest removal efficiency whilst agricultural waste had the overall highest. This can be explained by the pyrolysis temperature at which the biochar is produced at. Higher temperatures produce a higher ash content which raises the pH and consequently aids metal adsorption, with maximum adsorption recorded at pH 5 [29], similarly to the RM pellets. This ash component is accountable for significant Pb immobilisation, explaining why Pb had the greatest adsorption rate in the experiment. Forestry waste has a low ash content and hence low adsorption rates. Other studies support this concept where lower pH (7.9) has been observed in wood biochars, compared to other feedstock which significantly increases the pH to 9 and above [24].

4.3 River Teign Compliance

High metal concentrations do not automatically mean that a water body is failing, disproportionate results could lead to unnecessary investment in treatment methods to reduce metal concentrations when the toxicity is overestimated. However, dissolved Zn concentrations exceed standards at all sample locations downstream of Bridford mine, suggesting it to be a significant source of Zn to the river Teign. Bioavailable data shows that Zn is present in its most ecotoxic form, exceeding the bioavailable EQS all the way downstream to Preston, over 10km from Bridford mine. Bioavailability data therefore helps identify hotspots of high Zn levels such as Beadon Brook and Chudleigh where levels are of environmental concern; the metal is available for biological uptake and present at a concentration that may be harmful to plants and animals. Physiochemical parameters that control the bioavailability of a metal include DOC, pH and hardness. Optimal conditions for bioavailable Zn consist of a DOC ranging between 2.48 and 22.9mg/l and a pH between 5.7 and 8.4 [30]; results from this study reveal conditions from the Teign at Chudleigh to have a pH of 7.24-7.41, and a DOC ranging between 2.8 and 4.7mg/l.

Despite this, assessing the compliance of a water body is complex with many factors to consider. South Devon has naturally high occurring concentrations of heavy metals including Zn owing to its metalliferous background geology. Existing high Zn levels may result in the development of tolerant species that can hyperaccumulate metals [31]. Therefore, the effects of Zn may not be as damaging to ecosystems as studies suggest.

Cd levels in the Teign also exceed the EQS and are rising at some of the sample locations. Independently, the impacts of Cd and resulting effects on ecosystems are beyond the scope of this project. However, the synergistic effects of metals such as Zn, Cd and Pb together have been documented and observed to increase fish mortality [5]. It is therefore important to investigate the effects of combinations of metals to assess the threats posed to the environment.

4.4 Application to Bridford Mine

According to the real -world application model, the CP and agricultural biochar are the most promising treatment methods for adsorbing Zn at Bridford mine. The removal efficiency of the RM pellets is a result of pre-treatment which affects the porosity, surface area and adsorption capacity of the pellets. The CP have the highest adsorption capacity due to their larger surface area and would ultimately require less production and lower disposal costs. The FP have a much lower adsorption capacity, resulting in the need for 58 times more tonnes of pellets a year compared to the CP. Hill (2016) [14] and Turner (2017) [13] similarly found that you would need 44 times more FP than CP to efficiently remove Zn at the mine site. However, despite the slower adsorption rate of the FP, its ability to significantly raise pH may prove useful for increasing precipitation reactions and consequently removing metals via the formation of hydroxides. The PP have potential for effectively removing metals at Bridford, however adsorption capacity data and a field scale trial would be necessary.

Despite the success of the CP, the field scale trial by Comber (2015) [16] highlighted a few issues that may affect the pellets ability to act as an adsorbent. Firstly, the pellets lacked rigidity, resulting in a loss of structural integrity during the trial; this is problematic for a realistic application of the treatment method. Also, the precipitation of ochre (iron hydroxide) resulted in a build-up of iron on the pellet surface, blocking adsorption sites. Although, it also leads to an increase in co-precipitation of other metals, thus limiting the mobility of dissolved metals in the mine water [32].

Field scale trials of biochar treatment have shown that the effectiveness decreases over time (biochar ageing effect) [33]. However, unlike the CP, biochar is persistent in the environment and its application may be prolonged. This is particularly the case with high temperature biochars which have a greater carbon stability [34], making it a more effective adsorbent. Biochar therefore offers an attractive remediation alternative to the RM pellets. Although, the effects of potentially hazardous substances in biochars because of the feedstock used and the pyrolysis process are still largely unknown [35].

Notably, RM is a toxic by-product of the aluminium production process. Each year, 90 million tonnes of RM are produced globally, making it widely available as an adsorbent [18]. Due to its hazardous nature, disposal is costly, valued at £88.95 per tonne as from April 2018 [13]. Currently, the pellets can be disposed of in mine tailings in agreement with the EA, however, where this is not possible, they are sent to an inert landfill. One viable solution to reduce disposal costs would be to drain the pellets after use to achieve a greater % of dry weight [14]. Also, to further increase the efficiency of the pellets, a cell-based system could be used where

pellets are placed successively next to each other. This design would ensure that pellet capacity is not all exhausted at once, prolonging their effect of metal removal.

Adsorption is an economical remediation technique, owing to the abundance of waste materials, their low cost, and high capacities. It is a much more practical option for mine sites than the current most widely used treatment method activated carbon (AC). AC is inaccessible for most remediation projects due to its high cost, which is typically more than 1000 Euros/tonne, equivalent to £914.281/tonne [36]. The metal-removing capabilities shown by the RM pellets and biochar are therefore more suited to application at Bridford mine than limited methods like activated carbon.

Realistically, for the river Teign to comply with water quality standards, other inputs need to be addressed. Whilst Bridford mine is a significant source of Zn, it cannot solely be accounted for the failure of Zn levels downstream in the Teign. Other mine inputs like Wheal Exmouth near Canonteign are a potentially major source of Zn as shown by the high concentrations at Beadon brook downstream of the mine site. During the peak of mine operation (between 1851 and 1874), outputs of Pb and Zn are estimated to be 11,759 tonnes and 1589 tonnes respectively [2]. Treatment of mine water at Wheal Exmouth is necessary to reduce metal concentrations below the EQS, particularly in the case of Cd which would require 898 tonnes of pellets a year applied at Bridford alone to reduce levels below the EQS. Moreover, mine adits only represent point sources of pollution, diffuse sources such as runoff from tailings and road surfaces should also be investigated for their contribution to Zn, Cd and Pb levels.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This study has highlighted the long-term impact of historical mining on our local water resources, demonstrating the need for protection and assurance of water quality, implemented by key legislation like the WFD.

The consistent exceedance of Zn and Cd environmental quality standards in the river Teign has formed the rationale for evaluating potential treatment methods. Adsorption techniques for mine remediation are topical due to their low cost and abundance; this study has proven the potential for pelletized RM and biochar as effective adsorbents. Pellets with a greater surface area and higher adsorption capacity such as the CP demonstrate high removal efficiencies for Zn, Cd and Pb. Agricultural biochar formed at high pyrolysis temperatures has also been observed as a promising material for removing ecotoxic metals. Limited data on the FAE pellets and PP meant that their application to a mine site could not be determined, however, they do exhibit neutralizing capabilities as well as effective adsorption.

Treatment methods need to follow the principle of sustainable development by improving the status of a water body whilst considering the costs and benefits of their application. Reusing the hazardous RM as an adsorptive material supports this concept of sustainability, especially the CP which can be disposed of at only £34,067; this is much more economically viable than other treatment methods like activated carbon.

Acknowledgements

Declaration of interest

The authors declare NO conflict of interest associated with this work either financial, personal or otherwise.

References

1. Defra and Environment Agency (2015) South West River Basin Management Plan. Available online at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/south-west-river-basin-district-river-basin-management-plan [Accessed 8/4/2020]
2. Simons, B., Pirrie, D., Rollinson, G.K. and Shail, R.K. (2011) Geochemical and mineralogical record of the impact of mining on the Teign estuary, Devon, UK.
3. Akcil, A. and Koldas, S., (2006) Acid Mine Drainage (AMD): causes, treatment and case studies. *Journal of cleaner production*, *14*(12-13), pp.1139-1145.
4. Johnson, D.B. and Hallberg, K.B. (2005) Acid mine drainage remediation options: a review. *Science of the total environment*, *338*(1-2), pp.3-14.
5. Younger, P.L. and Wolkersdorfer, C (2004) Mining impacts on the fresh-water environment: technical and managerial guidelines for catchment scale management. *Mine water and the environment*, *23*, p.s2.
6. Zhang, X., Yang, L., Li, Y., Li, H., Wang, W. and Ye, B. (2012) Impacts of lead/zinc mining and smelting on the environment and human health in China. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, *184*(4), pp.2261-2273.
7. Anne-Marie, D. and Emmanuelle, P.G. (2020) Zinc isotope composition as a tool for tracing sources and fate of metal contaminants in rivers. *Science of The Total Environment*, p.138599
8. Environment Agency Data Catchment Explorer (2019). Available online at https://environment.data.gov.uk/catchment-planning/OperationalCatchment/3451 [Accessed 06/07/2020]
9. Defra (2014) Water Framework Directive implementation in England and Wales: new and updated standards to protect the water environment. Available online at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/307788/river-basin-planning-standards.pdf [Accessed 06/07/2020]
10. Comber, S.D.W., Merrington, G., Sturdy, L., Delbeke, K. and Van Assche, F. (2008) Copper and zinc water quality standards under the EU Water Framework Directive: The use of a tiered approach to estimate the levels of failure. *Science of the Total Environment*, *403*(1-3), pp.12-22.
11. Valencia-Avellan, M., Slack, R., Stockdale, A. and Mortimer, R.J.G. (2017) Understanding the mobilisation of metal pollution associated with historical mining in a carboniferous upland catchment. *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*, *19*(8), pp.1061-1074.
12. Kalin, M. (2004) Passive mine water treatment: the correct approach?. *Ecological Engineering*, *22*(4-5), pp.299-304.

13. Turner, A (2017) An assessment of the capability of red mud pellets at adsorbing heavy metals, with evaluation of its suitability at Bridford Mine to reduce heavy metal concentrations to the River Teign. Postgraduate dissertation. University of Plymouth.
14. Hill, R. (2016) An Investigation Into The Ability Of Different Red Mud Media To Adsorb Metals From Mine Adit Water To Reach Environmental Quality Standards. Postgraduate Dissertation. University of Plymouth.
15. Merefield, J.R., (1987) Heavy metals in Teign Valley sediments: ten years after. *Proceedings of the Ussher Society*, *6*(4), pp.529-535.
16. Comber, S (2015) Report of pilot scale feasibility field trial for metal removal at Wheal Augusta, Bridford, Devon.
17. Wright, C (2017) Revegetation: an investigation of soil contamination and plant community composition at Bridford Mine, Teign Valley, UK. Postgraduate Dissertation. University of Plymouth.
18. Bhatnagar, A., Vilar, V.J., Botelho, C.M. and Boaventura, R.A., (2011) A review of the use of red mud as adsorbent for the removal of toxic pollutants from water and wastewater. *Environmental technology*, *32*(3), pp.231-249.
19. Banks, V.J. and Palumbo-Roe, B.(2010) Synoptic monitoring as an approach to discriminating between point and diffuse source contributions to zinc loads in mining impacted catchments. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, *12*(9), pp.1684-1698.
20. RMT (2020) Red Media Technologies, Colchester, Essex. https://www.redmediatech.com/. Accessed 7/7/2020.
21. Roberts, T (2018) How does Biochar influence the mobility of heavy metals Lead, Zinc and Cadmium in mine wastes? Postgraduate Dissertation. University of Plymouth.
22. Robinson, A (2019) Removal Mechanisms of Trace Metals from Acid Minewaters Using Sorptive Media. Postgraduate Dissertation. University of Plymouth.
23. Chen, X., Chen, G., Chen, L., Chen, Y., Lehmann, J., McBride, M.B. and Hay, A.G. (2011) Adsorption of copper and zinc by biochars produced from pyrolysis of hardwood and corn straw in aqueous solution. *Bioresource technology*, *102*(19), pp.8877-8884.
24. Enunwa, L (2015) Effect of Biochar feedstock material on the adsorption of zinc from contaminated mine water. Postgraduate Dissertation. University of Plymouth.
25. Abdus-Salam, N. and Adekola, F.A., (2005) The influence of pH and adsorbent concentration on adsorption of lead and zinc on a natural goethite. *African Journal of science and technology*, *6*(2).
26. Gupta, V.K. and Sharma, S. (2002) Removal of cadmium and zinc from aqueous solutions using red mud. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *36*(16), pp.3612-3617.
27. Ayala, J. and Fernández, B. (2019) Treatment from abandoned mine landfill leachates. Adsorption technology. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, *8*(3), pp.2732-2740.
28. Nichols, C (2015) Efficiency of biochar to reduce heavy metal bioavailability and increase ryegrass growth: effect of pyrolysis temperature, feedstock material, application rate and earthworms. Postgraduate Dissertation. University of Reading.
29. Kołodyńska, D., Wnętrzak, R., Leahy, J.J., Hayes, M.H.B., Kwapiński, W. and Hubicki, Z.J.C.E.J. (2012) Kinetic and adsorptive characterization of biochar in metal ions removal. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *197*, pp.295-305.
30. De Schamphelaere, K.A., Lofts, S. and Janssen, C.R. (2005) Bioavailability models for predicting acute and chronic toxicity of zinc to algae, daphnids, and fish in natural surface waters. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry: An International Journal*, *24*(5), pp.1190-1197.
31. Balafrej, H., Bogusz, D., Triqui, Z.E.A., Guedira, A., Bendaou, N., Smouni, A. and Fahr, M., (2020) Zinc Hyperaccumulation in Plants: A Review. *Plants*, *9*(5), p.562.
32. Bowell, R.J. and Bruce, I. (1995) Geochemistry of iron ochres and mine waters from Levant Mine, Cornwall. *Applied Geochemistry*, *10*(2), pp.237-250.
33. O'Connor, D., Peng, T., Zhang, J., Tsang, D.C., Alessi, D.S., Shen, Z., Bolan, N.S. and Hou, D. (2018) Biochar application for the remediation of heavy metal polluted land: a review of in situ field trials. *Science of the total environment*, *619*, pp.815-826.
34. Puga, A.P., Abreu, C.A., Melo, L.C.A., Paz-Ferreiro, J. and Beesley, L(2015) Cadmium, lead, and zinc mobility and plant uptake in a mine soil amended with sugarcane straw biochar. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *22*(22), pp.17606-17614.
35. Fellet, G., Marchiol, L., Delle Vedove, G. and Peressotti, A. (2011) Application of biochar on mine tailings: effects and perspectives for land reclamation. *Chemosphere*, *83*(9), pp.1262-1267.
36. Kurniawan, T.A., Chan, G.Y., Lo, W.H. and Babel, S. (2006) Comparisons of low-cost adsorbents for treating wastewaters laden with heavy metals. *Science of the total environment*, *366*(2-3), pp.409-426.