

## Article

# Development of Fortified Citrus Olive Oils: From Their Production to the Nutraceutical Properties on the Cardiovascular System

Lorenzo Flori <sup>2§</sup>, Monica Macaluso <sup>1§</sup>, Isabella Taglieri <sup>1</sup>, Chiara Sanmartin <sup>1,3,\*</sup>, Cristina Sgherri <sup>1</sup>, Marinella De Leo <sup>2,3</sup>, Valerio Ciccone <sup>4,5</sup>, Sandra Donnini <sup>4,5</sup>, Francesca Venturi <sup>1,3</sup>, Luisa Pistelli <sup>2,3</sup>, Alma Martelli <sup>2,3</sup>, Vincenzo Calderone <sup>2,3</sup>, Lara Testai <sup>2,3,\*</sup> and Angela Zinnai <sup>1,3,§</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment (DAFE), University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80, 56124 Pisa, Italy monica.macaluso@phd.unipi.it (MM), chiara.sanmartin@unipi.it (CS); cristina.sgherri@unipi.it (CSg); isabella.taglieri@for.unipi.it (IT), francesca.venturi@unipi.it (FV), angela.zinnai@unipi.it (AZ).

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacy, University of Pisa, Via Bonanno Pisano 6, 56126 Pisa, Italy marinella.deleo@unipi.it (MDL), luisa.pistelli@unipi.it (LP); lara.testai@unipi.it (LT); vincenzo.calderone@unipi.it (VC), alma.martelli@unipi.it (AM), lorenzo.flori@phd.unipi.it (LF)

<sup>3</sup> Interdepartmental Research Center “Nutraceuticals and Food for Health”, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80, 56124 Pisa, Italy

<sup>4</sup> Department of Life Science, University of Siena, Via Aldo Moro 2, 53100 Siena, Italy sandra.donnini@unisi.it (SD), ciccone3@student.unisi.it (VCi)

<sup>5</sup> Toscana Life Sciences Str. del Petriccio e Belriguardo 35, 53100 Siena, Italy

# These authors contributed equally.

§ These authors contributed equally.

\* Correspondence: chiara.sanmartin@unipi.it (CS); Tel: +39-050-2216626; lara.testai@unipi.it (LT); Tel: +39-050-2219906

## Abstract

Recently the use of food by products as natural sources of biologically active substances has been extensively investigated especially for the development of functional foods fortified with natural antioxidants. Due to their content of bioactive compounds, such as carotenoids, flavonoids and limonoids, *Citrus* peels could be suitable to formulate enriched olive oils able to boost a healthy nutrition. The aim of this study was: (i) to determine the compositional and sensory profiles of the *Citrus* olive oil and (ii) to evaluate its nutraceutical properties in rats with high fat diet-induced-metabolic syndrome and oxidative stress. The results obtained show the potential of using the *Citrus* peels as a source of bioactive compounds to improve the sensory profile as well as the phytochemical composition of olive oil. We demonstrated that the production system of *C. aurantium* olive oil and *C. limon* olive oil improves their organoleptic properties without altering their beneficial effects, which, like control extra virgin olive oil, showed protective effects on glucose and serum lipid levels, metabolic activity of adipocytes, myocardial tissue functionality, oxidative stress markers and endothelial function at blood vessel level.

**Keywords:** extravirgin olive oil; cryogen; *Citrus* genus; phytochemical; nutraceutical value; *Citrus x aurantium*; *Citrus limon*; cardiovascular protection

## 1. Introduction

*Citrus* genus (belonging to Rutaceae family) provides popular fruits worldwide consumed as fresh products and used for production of fruit juices. Further, its by-products are a source of important bioactive compounds with potential for animal feed, manufactured foods and health care [1].

*Citrus* fruits, including sour orange (*Citrus x aurantium* L.) and lemon (*C. limon* (L.) Osbeck), represent an important source of pharmacologically active secondary metabolites, mainly produced by the plants as self-defense against insects and microbial attacks [2].

Due to their phytochemical content, *Citrus* fruits exhibit interesting properties in health protection and disease prevention. They contain high levels of flavonoids, carotenoids and limonoids. Of note, approximately 95% of flavonoids is represented by flavanones, in particular naringenin, hesperetin and eriodictyol [3]. Epidemiological, clinical and preclinical evidence point out their nutraceutical benefits on cardiovascular system, indeed vasorelaxing and cardioprotective effects have been observed with fruit juices and with purified *Citrus* flavanones [3–7]. Recently, naringenin and hesperetin have been demonstrated to be endowed with SIRT1-mediated anti-ageing properties, able to increase the life-span in nematode and yeast models [8–12]. Similar results have been reported with bergamot juice or other *Citrus* fruits [9]. Furthermore, a number of studies suggest that *Citrus* fruits contribute to cardiovascular health preservation through an improvement of cardiometabolic profile, reducing total and LDL cholesterol and triglycerides in plasma and containing the body weight gain associated with an high fat diet [13–15]. Moreover, epidemiological and clinical studies suggest that consumption of flavonoid rich foods could potentially improve human health and well-being, due to protective effect against degenerative diseases [16].

*Citrus* fruits are also a good carotenoid source, indeed at least 110 different carotenes and xanthophylls have been detected [17]. These pigments, responsible for the color of peel and pulp of many *Citrus* fruits, are very important components of photosynthesis and are involved in preventing the photo oxidation [2,17]. Carotenoids are well known for their vitamin action (vitamin A) as well as for providing positive health effects and reducing the risk of diseases, namely tumors, heart and ophthalmological disease [2,18].

Limonoids are another significant class of biologically active compounds in *Citrus*, especially in *C. mitis* and *C. sinensis*. that through stimulation of the enzyme glutathione S-transferase (GST) plays anticancer effects [2] as well as other various pharmacological properties such as antimicrobial, antioxidant, antidiabetic and insecticidal [19].

Within this framework, medical and public communities are considerably focusing their attention on foods containing bioactive compounds, thereafter there is an increasing interest in formulate healthy functional foods enriched with nutraceutical compounds useful for the prevention of chronic diseases [20,21]. Fortified food (FF) is indeed one of the most effective tool to improve the diet nutritional intake for several reasons: (i) FF could be available to many people by selecting the most appealing food form; (ii) FF is sociably acceptable since people opt for no changing in food characteristics or diet; (iii) FF could be rapidly introduced and its advantages are fastly reached too; (iv) FF are generally though triskless and cost-effective [22].

On this basis, recently Zinnai's group produced by means an innovative method some fortified olive oils in which, peels or leaves of *Citrus* (*C. aurantium* or *C. limon*) were cryomacerated and pressed with olive fruits [23,24] in order to obtain a food enriched of nutraceutical value typical of *Citrus* fruits. The addition to olives of *Citrus* cryomacerated by product (peels or leaves) during olive oil extraction process enables to produce oils with a great level of biologically active substances. In particular, the specific composition as well as the sensorial properties of the obtained oil varied as a function of the considered fortifying agent. Indeed, the organoleptic profile of the fortified oils was profitably improved in terms of smell complexity and hedonic response, when compared with the control [23,24].

The aim of this research was: (i) to determine the compositional and sensory profiles of the *Citrus* olive oils (COOs); and (ii) to evaluate their nutraceutical properties in rats with high fat diet-induced-metabolic syndrome and oxidative stress.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Plant Material

*Citrus x aurantium* and *C. limon* fruits were collected at full maturity during crop season 2018/2019 in the *horti simplicium* of the monks of the Charterhouse of Pisa (Certosa di Pisa located in Calci), where they are not subjected to any agronomic treatment. The olives (Moraiolo cv; Leccino cv) were collected during crop season 2018/2019 and supplied by an organic private Tuscan farm (Azienda Agricola Val Di Lama, Pontedera (PI), Italy) and characterized according to the procedure reported by [25] (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Olive fruit characterization. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  confidence interval (n=3) at p=0.05.

Parameter	
Maturity index (0:7)	4.1 $\pm$ 0.1
Dry Matter (%)	51.57 $\pm$ 0.03
Oil Content (% d.m.)	19.70 $\pm$ 0.04

### 2.2 Citrus Peels Cryomaceration and Citrus Olive Oil Extraction

*Citrus* fruits were manually peeled (total average thickness of periderm layer: 2 mm) using a ceramic blade to prevent the initiation of oxidative processes, according to [25].

To avoid the oxidation of the bioactive compounds present in the peels and maximize their extraction, the peels stayed in contact with solid carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2,s</sub>) in equiponderal quantities for 24 h [25].

After cryomaceration, peels were directly added to olives (25% w/w) before milling in order to obtain the COOs. The olive oil extraction was performed by means of a micro olive oil mill (Spremioliva mod. C30, Mori-TEM srl, Italy). The working conditions and the technical features of the micro oil mill were described in a previous paper [23].

**Table 2** - Sample codes used to identify the olive oil formulations developed

Sample Codes	Description
CEVOO	Control Extra Virgin Olive Oil
CaOO	Olive Oil obtained with 25% w/w of <i>Citrus aurantium</i> peels
ClOO	Olive Oil obtained with 25% w/w of <i>Citrus limon</i> peels

### 2.3 Citrus Oils Chemical Analyses

#### 2.3.1 Chemicals

Acetic acid, ethanol, sodium carbonate, ethoxyethane, iso-octane, chlorane 37.0%, sodium hydroxide 0.1 N, sodium thiosulphate 0.01 N, potassium iodide, starch indicator solution 1.0%, ABTS (2,2'-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)), 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)phenol, Trolox (6-Hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid), TrisHCl (2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol chlorane) were supplied by Sigma Aldrich (Milan, Italy). 3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoic acid was purchased from Carlo Erba (Milan, Italy). 3,3-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-benzofuran-1(3H)-one 1% and Folin–Ciocalteu reagent were obtained from Titolchimica (Pontecchio Polesine, Italy).

Methanol, *n*-hexane, and formic acid for HPLC analyses were purchased from VWR (Milan, Italy). HPLC grade water (18 mΩ) was obtained by a Mill-Ω purification system (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA).

CellLytic™ MT Cell Lysis Reagent was from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Streptavidin-conjugated HRP, 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB), Eukitt® Quick-hardening mounting medium fibrinogen, thrombin and VEGF were from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). Tissue-Tek O.C.T. was from Sakura (San Marcos, CA, USA). Anti-COX-2 was from Origene (Rockville, MD, USA) [TA313292]; anti-ALDH1A1 was from Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom) [ab9883]; anti-Catalase and anti- $\beta$ -actin were from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany) [C0979 and A2228]; anti-mPGES-1 was from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA) [160140]; anti-iNOS, anti-eNOS, p65, p22-phox and anti-CD40 were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA) [sc-7271, sc-8008, sc-271968 and sc-975].

### 2.3.2 Chemical Quality Standards

The quality parameters defined in the trade standard (Free acidity, peroxide index and spectrophotometric indices) were measured according to the official analytical methods [26].

### 2.3.3 Total Phenol

Total phenol (TP) concentration was measured by Folin-Ciocalteu method and expressed as gallic acid equivalent. TP extraction was performed in methanol according to [27], then the extracts were stored under inert atmosphere at  $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  until use.

### 2.3.4 Free-Radical Scavenging Capacity (FRSC)

FRSC was determined using both the DPPH assay (FRSC<sub>DPPH</sub>) [28] and the ABTS assay (FRSC<sub>ABTS</sub>) [29]. The radical solution was prepared according to [30], while the Trolox dose-response curve used was in the 0.2–1.5 mM range. FRSC was calculated as Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant capacity (TEAC) per mL of extract [24].

### 2.3.5 Intensity of Bitterness (IB)

The extraction of bitter compounds was performed from  $1.00 \pm 0.01$  g of samples using of 6 mL extraction columns (Sep-Pak C18 Classic Cartridge, Waters Corporation) and the IB was measured according to [31].

### 2.3.6 Carotenoids and Chlorophylls

Total carotenoids and chlorophylls were evaluated from the absorption spectrum of the pigment extract in cyclohexane, at 470 and 670 nm respectively, according to [32].

### 2.3.7 Extraction and Detection of Tocopherols (Vitamin E)

Tocopherol were extracted in the dark as reported in literature [33,34].  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -, and  $\delta$ - tocopherol isoforms were determined by isocratic RP-HPLC (Shimadzu apparatus model LC-20AD; electrochemical detector Metrohm model 791, Varese, Italy; glassy carbon electrode) and LC Solution software (Shimadzu) for the integration of peaks [35]. Detection was performed at +0.6 V and at  $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ , with a Nova Pak C $^{18}$  4  $\mu\text{m}$  column (3.9 X 150 mm) [35,36]. The extracts were eluted with 95% methanol containing 20 mM LiClO $_4$  at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. For the identification and quantification of peaks, a calibration curve was prepared using standard mixtures of  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -, and  $\delta$ - tocopherol provided by Sigma (Milan, Italy) in the range of 25 to 75 ng [35].

### 2.3.8. HPLC-PDA/UV-ESI-MS/MS Analyses of Olive Oil Samples

All olive oil samples were subjected to the same extraction procedure in order to obtain their polar fraction. Two mL of each sample were first dissolved in 2 mL of *n*-hexane, then extracted with 4 mL of MeOH-H $_2$ O 70% v/v shaking with a vortex mixer for 3 min. The suspensions were kept for 30 min at room temperature, thus centrifuged for 10 min at 1145 x g. Each hydroalcoholic phase was extracted again with 2 mL of *n*-hexane using a vortex mixer for 3 min, then left for 30 min at room temperature, and centrifuged (10 min at 1145 x g). The hydroalcoholic extracts of each sample were

finally dried and dissolved in MeOH at a final concentration of 2.0 mg/mL for injection in the LC-MS system.

The HPLC-PDA/UV-MS equipment was composed by a Surveyor autosampler and a Surveyor LC pump, interfaced with a Surveyor PDA/UV detector and a LCQ Advantage ion trap mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan, San Jose, CA, USA). The phenolic extracts (20 µL) were eluted on a Synergi Fusion-RP column, 4.6 × 150 mm, 4 µm particle size (Phenomenex, Milan, Italy) using mixture of methanol (solvent A) and formic acid in water 0.1% v/v (solvent B), at flow rate of 0.8 mL/min with a splitting system of 2:8 to MS detector (160 µL/min) and PDA detector (640 µL/min), respectively. A linear gradient of increasing 5% to 70% A was developed within 65 min. PDA/UV data were registered in a wavelength range of 200-600 nm, with 254, 280, and 325 nm as preferential channels. The ESI interface was used in negative ion mode and molecules were detected in a scan range of  $m/z$  150-2000. Ionization conditions were optimized as previously reported [37].

### 2.3.9 Statistical Analysis of Chemical Data

Data are expressed as the means of three independent experiments. The significance of differences among means was determined by one-way ANOVA (CoStat, Version 6.451, CoHort Software, Pacific Grove, CA, USA). Comparisons among means were performed by the Tukey's test ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Xcalibur 3.1 software was used for the analyses of UV and MS data.

## 2.4 Sensory Analysis

### 2.4.1. Quantitative Descriptive Analysis

Ten trained assessors (6 women and 4 men, age range 23-60 years) members of the expert panel of the Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment (DAFE) of the University of Pisa performed the sensory assessment of samples.

Before the tasting sessions, a preliminary and specific training session was arranged for the assessors [38], aimed not only to the panel training but also to design the optimal method for the sensory evaluation of *Citrus* olive oils. Thereafter, the trained panel was involved in a *consensus panel* focused on the selection and definition of the proper descriptors. Starting from the set of quantitative parameters previously developed by [23,24], a final set of 23 attributes for *Citrus* olive oil assessment was individuated by agreement among panelists (Figure 1).



**Figure 1** Sensory wheel developed for the descriptive evaluation of the *Citrus* olive oils.

Tasting trials were performed under the conditions described by [23,24]; each panelist rated the intensity of each parameter on a scale from 0 (no perception, minimum) to 9 (maximum), using a technical evaluation sheet based on the sensory wheel reported in figure 1.

2.4.2 Statistical Analysis of Sensory Data

Sensory wheel was created using XLSTAT version 2019.4.1 (Addinsoft Inc. 244 Fifth Avenue, Suite E100, New York, N.Y. 10001). Sensory data were treated using the statistical software Big Sensory Soft 2.0 (ver. 2018).

2.5 *In Vivo* Evaluation of Nutraceutical Value of Olive Oils

*In vivo* experiments were carried out according to European (EEC Directive 2010/63) and Italian (D.L. 4 March 2014 n.26) legislation (144/2019-PR, 18/02/2019); moreover, ARRIVE guidelines have been put into practice. Animals were housed in cages with food and water *ad libitum*, and they were exposed to a 12 h dark/light cycle. The experiments were conducted on male Wistar rats (ENVIGO) with a body weight between 342 g and 350 g.

The animals were randomly divided into five groups (5 animals per group) and treated for 21 days: first group was treated with a standard diet (STD, ENVIGO; for composition see Table 3); second group was treated with a high fat diet (HFD, SAFE; for composition see Table 3 ).

**Table 3.** Composition of the two diets used: standard diet (STD) and high fat diet (HFD)

	STD	HF
Protein (%)	14.3	12.9



Fat (%)	4.0	19.2
Carbohydrate (%)	48.0	50.2
Calories from Protein (%)	20.0	12.1
Calories from Fat (%)	13.0	40.7
Calories from Carbohydrate (%)	67.0	47.2
Na (mg/kg)	1000.0	2234.1
K (mg/kg)	6000.0	5284.3
Mg (mg/kg)	2000.0	1294.0
Ca (mg/kg)	7000.0	6312.5
Mn (mg/kg)	100.0	47.7
Fe (mg/kg)	175.0	253.2
Cu (mg/kg)	15.0	18.5
Zn (mg/kg)	70.0	48.7
P (mg/kg)	6000.0	5144.2
Cl (mg/kg)	3000.0	3508.1
Vitamin A (IU/g)	6.0	7.4
Vitamin E (IU/kg)	120.0	29.8
Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> (IU/g)	0.6	1.0
Vitamin K <sub>3</sub> (mg/kg)	20.0	13.3
Vitamin B <sub>1</sub> (mg/kg)	12.0	4.2
Cholesterol (mg/kg)	-	12488

Third group was treated with HFD + EVOO 5.5% p/p (HFD + EVOO) mixed in powder feed. The amount of EVOO was calculated on the basis of daily intake of polyphenols suggested by the regulatory authorities [39]. Fourth group was treated with HFD + CaOO 5.5% p/p (HFD + CaOO) mixed in powder feed. Fifth group was treated with HFD + ClOO 5.5% p/p (HFD + ClOO) mixed in powder feed. Water intake was evaluated daily, instead the body weight and the food intake 3 times a week for each animal. At the end of the treatment each animal was deprived of food and after 24 h was anesthetized with Thiopental Sodium (70 mg/kg, MSD animal health). Blood pressure was measured using a sphygmomanometric (non-invasive) method commonly called Tail Cuff. The blood was collected, through the caudal vein, to perform blood glucose test (Glucocard G meter, Menarini Diagnostics). Subsequently the animals were sacrificed with an overdose of Thiopental Sodium. Blood was collected in tubes with the anticoagulant EDTA (BD Vacutaine) by intracardiac puncture and organs (heart, aorta, liver, abdominal adipose tissue and brown adipose tissue) were removed, weighed and stored for functional, enzymatic and biochemical investigations.

Blood was immediately used for the evaluation of lipid panel (total, HDL and LDL cholesterol and triglycerides) by using Cobas b101 instrument (Roche Diagnostics). Blood samples were centrifuged at 3200 RPM for 10 min, obtaining plasma, which was frozen at -20 °C for subsequent analysis.

Then, blood samples were collected from 5 animals per group and results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Cardiovascular risk was calculated as ratio between total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol levels. One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test was used to compare groups for statistical differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

### 2.5.1 Functional Analysis of Cardiac Mitochondrial Membrane Potential

Hearts deriving from animals 21 days-treated were cut into small 2/3 mm<sup>3</sup> pieces in ice cold isolation buffer (composition: Sucrose 250 mM, Tris 5 mM, EGTA 1 mM; pH 7.4). The pieces were homogenized with Ultra-Turrax (model: IKA, T-18 Basic). After 3 homogenization cycles of about 20 s, the first centrifugation was carried out: 1090 × g, 3 min, 4 °C. The supernatant obtained was centrifuged at 11970 × g, 10 min, 4 °C. The pellet was preserved and resuspended in an isolation buffer without EGTA and centrifuged using the previous conditions. The pellet obtained, corresponding to the mitochondrial fraction, was resuspended in 400 µL and transferred to a frozen eppendorf. The mitochondrial protein concentration in the supernatant was determined spectrophotometrically by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, USA), using a microplate reader (EnSpire, PerkinElmer, USA).

The membrane potential ( $\Delta\Psi_m$ ) of the isolated mitochondria was determined using a potentiometric method. The lipophilic cation tetraphenylphosphonium (TPP<sup>+</sup>), used for this procedure, was detected with a TPP<sup>+</sup> sensitive mini electrode (WPI, TipTPP, USA), coupled to a reference electrode (WPI, FL, US) and using a data acquisition software (BiopacInc California, USA). Then, mitochondria (1 mg protein/mL) were added to the incubation buffer (composition: KCl 120 mM, K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 5 mM, Hepes 10 mM, Succinic Acid 10 mM, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 2 mM, TPP<sup>+</sup>Cl<sup>-</sup> 10 µM; pH 7.4), and they were continuously stirred with a small magnet to generate a mitochondrial suspension.

The membrane potential value was calculated according to the following experimental equation derived from Nernst equation (1):

$$\Delta\varphi_m = 60 \times \log \frac{\frac{V_0[TPP^+]_0}{[TPP^+]_t} - V_t - K_0P}{V_mP + K_iP} \quad (1)$$

Where  $\Delta\Psi_m$  is the mitochondrial membrane potential (mV),  $V_0$  is the volume of the incubation medium before the addition of mitochondria,  $V_t$  is the volume of the incubation medium after the addition of the mitochondria,  $V_m$  is the volume of the mitochondrial matrix (taken as 1 µL/mg protein),  $[TPP^+]_0$  and  $[TPP^+]_t$  represent, respectively, the TPP<sup>+</sup> concentrations recorded before addition and at time t, P is the protein concentration expressed in mg,  $K_0$  and  $K_i$  are apparent external and internal partition coefficients of TPP<sup>+</sup> (14.3 µL/mg and 7.9 µL/mg, respectively). The mitochondrial membrane potential was evaluated on 5 different animals per group, and data were expressed as mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test was used to compare groups for statistical differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

### 2.5.2 Evaluation of Citrate Synthase Activity on Adipose Tissue

Frozen adipose tissues deriving from 21 days-treated rats were homogenized on ice with an ultra-turrax homogenizer (IKA-Werke GmbH & Co., Germany) in a cold buffer (composition: Sucrose 250 mM, Tris 5 mM, EGTA 1 mM, Triton X-100 0.02%; pH 7.4). Then, homogenates were centrifuged at 12000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C (EuroClone, Speed Master 14 R centrifuge, Italy). The supernatant was used for determination of the citrate synthase activity, and the protein concentration in the supernatant was determined spectrophotometrically by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, USA), using a microplate reader (EnSpire, PerkinElmer, USA). Then, proteins were diluted in Tris-buffer 100 mM (pH 8.2) containing 5,5'-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic) acid (DTNB, 100 µM) and acetyl-coenzyme A (100 µM). The assay was performed in 96 multi-well plates (1 µg of proteins per well) and the reaction was initiated by addition of oxaloacetic acid 500 µM. The absorption of the reaction product was measured spectrophotometrically at 30 °C and 412 nm every 30 s for 15 min. Citrate synthase activity was determined by comparing the activity in the samples to that of known concentrations of the isolated enzyme (Sigma-Aldrich, USA).

The assay was performed on 5 animals per group. Citrate synthase activity was expressed in mU/µg protein. Data were analyzed by a computer fitting procedure (software: GraphPad Prism 5.0). Results were expressed as mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test was used to compare groups for statistical differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

### 2.5.3 Western Blot



Western blot was performed on tissue samples as described previously [40]. Samples were maintained in CellLytic<sup>TM</sup>MT supplemented with 2 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and 1x Protease inhibitor cocktail for mammalian cells (Sigma Aldrich). Protein extraction from aortas started with disruption and homogenization using the TissueLyser II (#85300 Qiagen). Samples were frozen/unfrozen two times in liquid nitrogen and then sonicated on ice for a total of 2 min, with a 15 s run and 15 s pause to limit sample heating. Cell lysates were centrifuged at 16000 × g for 20 min at 4 °C and the supernatants were then collected. Protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay kit (#23227 Thermo Fisher Scientific). Electrophoresis (50 µg of protein/sample) was carried out in 4–12%Bis-Tris Gels (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Proteins were then blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes, incubated overnight with primary antibodies and then detected by enhanced chemiluminescence system (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA). Results were normalized to those obtained by using an antibody against β-actin. All experiments were performed at least three times. Immunoblots were analyzed by densitometry using NIH Image J 1.48v software, and the results, expressed as arbitrary density units (ADU) ± SD, were normalized to β-actin. One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test was used to compare groups for statistical differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

#### 2.5.4 Tube Formation from Vessel Rings

Vessel sprouting from rat aorta was evaluated as described previously [41].

Rat aortas were isolated in sterile conditions, and perivascular fibrous tissue was removed under a dissecting microscope. Rings 1–2 mm long were produced and rinsed in serum-free medium (DMEM4500; Euroclone, Milan, Italy). Vessel fragments were then included in a fibrin gel obtained by adding 400 µL of a human fibrinogen solution (3 mg/mL in DMEM4500 medium) into each well of 24-multiwell plates. Once vessel rings were positioned in the well with the lumen oriented horizontally in the center of the solution, gelation of the fibrinogen was induced with bovine thrombin (15 units/mL). After 20 min, 400 µL of EGM-2 medium was added with antibiotics (100 units/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin) and 10% FBS were added to each well. After 24 h, the medium was removed, the gels washed, and substances were added to the medium supplemented with VEGF (25 ng/mL). The organ culture was kept at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Each experimental point was run in triplicate.

Quantitative evaluation of newly formed structures was carried out at day 3. Wells were observed with an inverted microscope and photographed. The sprouting were evaluated from 3 vessel rings from at least 3 different animals per group, and data were expressed as vessel length ± SD. One-way ANOVA was used to compare groups for statistical differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

#### 2.5.5 RNA Isolation and Quantitative RT-PCR

Samples were maintained in CellLytic<sup>TM</sup>MT supplemented with 2 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and 1x Protease inhibitor cocktail for mammalian cells (Sigma Aldrich). RNA isolation and quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed on tissue samples. RNA extraction from tumor samples started with disruption and homogenization using the TissueLyser II (#85300 Qiagen). Total RNA was prepared using RNeasy Plus Kit (#74134 Qiagen) following manufacturer's instructions. One µg RNA was reverse transcribed using QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (#205313 Qiagen) and quantitative RT-PCR was performed using QuantiNovaSYBR Green PCR Kit (#208056 Qiagen) in a Rotor-Gene qPCR machine (Qiagen). Fold change expression was determined by the comparative Ct method ( $\Delta\Delta C_t$ ) normalized to GAPDH expression. qRT-PCR data are represented as fold change relative to STD group, which was assigned to 1, and expressed as mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA was used to compare groups for statistical differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

#### 2.5.6 Immunohistochemical Analysis

Seven- $\mu$ m-thick cryostat sections from tissue samples were used for immunohistochemical staining with anti-CD40 antibody. Cryostat sections were firstly fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min and incubated for 10 min in 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, washed (3  $\times$  5 min) in PBS (without Ca<sup>++</sup> and Mg<sup>++</sup>) and then incubated with goat serum 5% for blocking background staining. Rabbit monoclonal anti-CD40 antibody diluted 1:100 in PBS with 0.05% goat serum was applied for 18 h at 4 °C. Sections were then washed (3  $\times$  5 min in PBS, 0.05% goat serum) and incubated for 60 min in the appropriate species-specific biotinylated secondary antibodies (goat anti rabbit IgG). Following washings (3  $\times$  5 min in PBS, 0.05% goat serum), the sections were incubated for 10 min in streptavidin-conjugated HRP. After this incubation, sections were exposed to 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB, detection kit, Millipore, Milan, Italy) for 8 min to produce a brown reaction product. Sections were then counterstained in hematoxylin and mounted in Eukitt® Quick-hardening mounting medium.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Oil Chemical Characterization

The characterization of *CaOO* and *ClOO* obtained by means of the addition of *Citrus* peels to olives during the oil extraction has been performed against the control one (*CEVOO*), taking into account not only the quality criteria specified in the trade standard, but also the phytochemical features due to the detected bioactive compounds.

##### 3.1.1. Legal Quality Parameters

The quality parameter defined in the trade standard aim to classify oil into each of the different definitions [42]. As reported in Table 4, even though the milled olives were quite ripe, with a maturity index of 4.1 on a scale of 7.0 (see par. 2.1, Table 1), the olive oil samples were characterized by legal quality parameters (free acidity, peroxide index and spectrophotometric values) lower than the maximum limits established by EU Regulation [26] for extravirgin olive oil (*EVOO*).

**Table 4.** Chemical characterization of the control (*CEVOO*), *Citrus x aurantium* peel olive oil (*CaOO*), *Citrus limon* peel olive oil (*ClOO*) and *EVOO* legal limits Regulation [26].

	<i>EVOO</i> [26]	Significance level <sup>1</sup>	<i>CEVOO</i>	<i>CaOO</i>	<i>ClOO</i>
Free acidity (% oleic acid w/w)	$\leq 0.80$	**	0.74 <sup>a</sup>	0.60 <sup>b</sup>	0.74 <sup>a</sup>
Peroxide index(mEq. O <sub>2</sub> /kg oil)	$\leq 20.00$	**	8.90 <sup>a</sup>	9.60 <sup>a</sup>	7.90 <sup>b</sup>
K <sub>232</sub>	$\leq 2.50$	***	1.98 <sup>c</sup>	2.32 <sup>b</sup>	2.39 <sup>a</sup>
K <sub>270</sub>	$\leq 0.22$	**	0.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.18 <sup>b</sup>
$\Delta K$	$\leq 0.01$	n.s.	0.00	0.00	0.00

<sup>1</sup>Significance level - \*\*\*: P<0.001; \*\*: P<0.01; ns: not significant (p 0.05). Within the same row, parameters sharing the same letter do not have a significantly different mean value.

Free acidity range was from 0.60 (*CaOO*) to 0.74 % of oleic acid (*ClOO* and *CEVOO*), probably because of the high ripeness degree of the raw material. The peroxide index was always below 10 mEq O<sub>2</sub>/kg oil, indicating a good degree of quality; namely *ClOO* showed the lowest peroxide value (7.9 mEq O<sub>2</sub>/kg oil): peroxides play a key role in cellular physiology due to their capacity to affect DNA, protein and lipid functioning[24][43].

##### 3.1.2. Phytochemical Composition

Chlorophylls and carotenoids are strictly related to the olive oil color, a quality parameter which can influence consumer choice and at the same time can be linked to olive variety, processing treatments as well as to storage conditions [24,44–46]. These two pigments are also very important for their probable beneficial effects on human health [46]. The results about the total carotenoid content were significantly different among the three oils (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Phytochemical characterization of control (CEVOO), *Citrus x aurantium* olive oil (CaOO) and *Citrus limon* olive oil (CLOO).

	Significance level <sup>1</sup>	CEVOO	CaOO	CLOO
Total carotenoid (ppm lutein)	***	4.62 <sup>b</sup>	8.38 <sup>a</sup>	4.09 <sup>c</sup>
Total chlorophylls (ppm pheophytin)	***	9.93 <sup>a</sup>	6.47 <sup>c</sup>	7.06 <sup>b</sup>
$\alpha$ -tocopherol (ppmVitamin E)	n.s.	117	123	110
$\gamma$ -tocopherol (ppmVitamin E)	n.s.	3.4	3.6	2.0
$\delta$ -tocopherol (ppmVitamin E)	n.s.	0.53	1.8	1.2
Total phenols (ppm gallic acid)	n.s.	144	140	139
FRSC <sub>ABTS</sub> ( $\mu$ mol TEAC/mL)	***	0.40 <sup>a</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>
FRSC <sub>DPPH</sub> ( $\mu$ mol TEAC/mL)	***	0.34 <sup>a</sup>	0.24 <sup>b</sup>	0.26 <sup>b</sup>
Hydroxytyrosol (ppm)	***	0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.02 <sup>c</sup>	0.04 <sup>b</sup>
Tyrosol (ppm)	***	2.7 <sup>a</sup>	1.6 <sup>b</sup>	1.2 <sup>c</sup>
Intensity of Bitterness	***	0.85 <sup>a</sup>	0.84 <sup>a</sup>	0.48 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Significance level - \*\*\*: P<0.001; ns: not significant (p 0.05). Within the same row, parameters sharing the same letter do not have a significantly different mean value

As expected, CaOO exhibited the greatest carotenoid content, which was almost two times higher than the CLOO. Considering the other family of oil pigments, the total chlorophyll, the three oils showed significant differences; notably the highest concentration of chlorophyll was observed in the CEVOO, while the chlorophyll concentration of the *Citrus* olive oils ranged from 7.06 mg/kg (CLOO) to 6.47 mg/kg (CaOO).


The health-promoting features of olive oil are the results of its specific composition including a balanced content of monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids and minor components, like phenolic compounds and tocopherols, which are both important for the nutritional quality of the product, as well as for its sensory profile and shelf life [45]. Thereafter tocopherol profile and phenolic content of the *Citrus* olive oils were both determined.

In the experimental conditions considered, the addition of *Citrus* cryomacerated peels did not show any significant modification among oil samples, neither in terms of quantity of total tocopherols, neither considering the different isoforms, alfa, gamma and delta.

Concerning the total phenol content, no significant differences were recorded among the sample, with value ranging around 140 ppm of gallic acid. Nevertheless, both *CaOO* and *ClOO* showed lower concentrations of hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol than the *CEVOO*. These data are confirmed by the free-radical scavenging capacity (FRSC), indeed the *Citrus* olive oils showed a lower value than the control one with both analytical methods.

The phenolic composition of olive oil affects its sensory properties too, because some phenols, (i.e. the secoridoid derivatives, such as oleuropein and ligstroside derivates) are thought to determine the bitterness and pungency of olive oil [31], thereafter also the compounds associated to olive oil bitterness have been evaluated (Intensity of Bitterness, IB). According to Table 5, *CaOO* showed the highest IB (0.84), together with the Control one, while the lemon peel olive oil was significantly lower (IB = 0.48).

Control and *Citrus* EVOO extracts were also analysed by HPLC coupled with a photodiode array (PDA)/UV and electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometer (MS). Chromatograms generated by MS detector in negative ion mode are shown in Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** HPLC-ESI-MS/MS profiles, registered in negative ion mode, of control (CEVOO), *Citrus x aurantium* peel (CaOO), and *Citrus limon* peel (CIOO) olive oil extracts.

The LC-MS profile of CEVOO showed the presence of 9 compounds identified as elenolic acid (**1**), oleacein (**4**), hydroxytyrosol acyclodihydroelenolate (**6**), oleacin isomer (**8**), oleuropein aglycone (**9**), luteolin (**11**), oleuropein aglycone isomer (**12**), ligstroside aglycone (**13**), and apigenin (**14**). These results are in agreement with previous studies about phenol content of EVOO [47–49]. Compared to CEVOO, LC-MS analyses of *Citrus* EVOO extracts revealed some differences, especially due to the enrichments of molecules deriving from sour orange and lemon peels. In particular, in the *CaOO* extract a significant intense peak (compound **15**) was observed, that was identified as diosmetin, a methoxyflavone previously reported in *Citrus* peel [50]. Compound **15** was detected also in the *ClOO* extract, together with other representative peaks. Indeed, *ClOO* extract exhibited a more complex profile compared to *CaOO*, with five major peaks attributable to limonoid aglycones and identified as limonexic/isolimonexic acid (**3**), citrusin isomers (**5** and **7**), limonin (**10**), and nomilinic acid (**16**). Limonoids are tetranortriterpenoids found in *Citrus* seeds, pulp, and peels, known as bitter compounds [51]. According to their polarity, limonoids aglycones occur mostly in *Citrus* peel, while glycoside forms are most abundant in pulp [19]. All compounds were tentatively identified by comparison of their elution order, UV, full MS, and fragmentation pattern (MS/MS) data (Table 6) with those reported in the literature.

**Table 6.** Chromatographic, UV, and ESI-MS/MS data of chemical constituents detected in control (CEVOO), *Citrus x aurantium* peel (*CaOO*), and *Citrus limon* peel (*ClOO*) olive oil extracts.

Peak*	Compound	<i>t<sub>R</sub></i> (min)	MW (u)	[M-H] <sup>-</sup> ( <i>m/z</i> )	[M+HCOO] <sup>-</sup> ( <i>m/z</i> )	MS/MS ions**	UV	Sample
<i>Secoiridoids</i>								
<b>1</b>	Elenolic acid (EA)	33.5	242	241		209, 165, 139,	248	CEVOO
						127, 121, 101,		<i>CaOO</i>
						95		<i>ClOO</i>
<b>2</b>	Hydroxylated elenolic acid	36.0	258	257		225, 181, 137	243	<i>CaOO</i>
								<i>ClOO</i>
<b>4</b>	Oleacein, isomer I (oleuropein aglycone decarboxymethyl or 3,4- DHPEA-EDA)	37.9	320	319	365	199	250	CEVOO
<b>6</b>	Hydroxytyrosol acyclodihydroelenolate (HT-ACDE)	43.0	382	381		363, 349, 335,	239, 277	CEVOO
						303, 285, 245,		<i>CaOO</i>
						151		
<b>8</b>	Oleacin, isomer II	44.1	320	319	365	199, 181, 153,	250	CEVOO
						111		<i>CaOO</i>
								<i>ClOO</i>
<b>9</b>	Oleuropein aglycone (3,4- DHPEA-EA)	48.0	378	377		345, 307, 275,	247, 286	CEVOO
						149		<i>CaOO</i>
<b>12</b>	Oleuropein derivative	52.8	378	377		333, 301, 181,	249, 277	CEVOO
						275, 149		<i>CaOO</i>
								<i>ClOO</i>



13	Ligstroside aglycone ( <i>p</i> -HPEA-EA)	52.8	362	361	335, 317, 291, 259	276	CEVOO <i>Ca</i> OO <i>Cl</i> OO
<i>Flavones</i>							
11	Luteolin	51.1	286	285	267, 241, 217, 199, 175, 151	267, 352	CEVOO <i>Ca</i> OO <i>Cl</i> OO
14	Apigenin	55.0	270	269	241, 225, 201, 183, 181, 151	268, 342	CEVOO
15	Diosmetin	54.9	300	299	284, 271, 227	268, 344	<i>Ca</i> OO <i>Cl</i> OO
<i>Limonoids</i>							
3	Limonic/isolimonic acid	37.7	502	501	457, 413, 371, 279	215	<i>Cl</i> OO
5	Citrusin (isomer I)	41.7	546	545	501, 457, 397, 353	215	<i>Cl</i> OO
7	Citrusin (isomer II)	43.2	546	545	501, 457, 397, 353	215	<i>Cl</i> OO
10	Limonin***	48.4	470	469	515, 469, 427, 411	210	<i>Cl</i> OO
16	Nomilinic acid	58.1	532	531	489, 471, 427, 369, 307	210	<i>Cl</i> OO

\* Peak number correspond to those showed in Figure 2. \*\* Product ions are produced by fragmentation of deprotonated molecules [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.\*\*\* Product ions were generated by fragmentation of [M+HCOO]<sup>-</sup> adduct.

Among constituents detected in all samples, compounds **1**, **2**, **4**, **6**, **8**, **9**, **12**, and **13** were secoiridoids, while **11**, **14** and **15** were identified as flavone aglycones, and **3**, **5**, **7**, **10**, and **16** were limonoids in aglycone form. Compound **1** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 33.5 min), detected in all samples as a broad peak but only in traces in *Cl*OO, showed λ<sub>max</sub> at 248 nm and a deprotonated molecular ion [M-H]<sup>-</sup> at *m/z* 241. MS/MS experiments generated a base ion peak at *m/z* 139 and other diagnostic product ions at *m/z* 209 and 165, according to data previously reported for elenolic acid [48]. Compound **2** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 36.0 min), detected in *Ca*OO and in *Cl*OO, differed from **1** for the presence of a hydroxyl group ([M-H]<sup>-</sup> at *m/z* 257). Fragmentation pattern of the deprotonated molecule showed typical product ions at *m/z* 181 and 137, leading to identify **2** as hydroxylated elenolic acid [48]. Another elenolic acid derivative was detected in CEVOO and *Ca*OO extracts. Peak **6** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 43.0 min) had molecular weight 382 u, as indicated by deprotonated molecule [M-H]<sup>-</sup> at *m/z* 381. Product ion at *m/z* 349 (base peak ion), generated by the loss of a CH<sub>3</sub>OH molecule, and other product ions at *m/z* 363, 245, and 151 are consistent with the fragmentation pattern of hydroxytyrosol acyclodihydroelenolate [52]. Compound **8** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 44.1 min), present in all analyzed oil samples, was characterized by a deprotonated molecule [M-H]<sup>-</sup> at *m/z* 319, whose fragmentation pattern showed diagnostic peak at *m/z* 199 due to the loss of hydroxytyrosol unit, observed for oleacine [48]. An isomer of oleacine, presenting same MS and MS/MS data, was detected in a very small amount only in CEVOO (compound **4**, *t<sub>R</sub>* = 37.9 min, [M-H]<sup>-</sup> at *m/z* 319). These isomers are probably due to open and closed ring forms [47]. Similarly, compounds **9** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 48.0 min) and **12** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 52.8 min) showed the same deprotonated molecule [M-H]<sup>-</sup> at

$m/z$  377; MS/MS of **9** evidenced diagnostic peaks at  $m/z$  345, 307, and 275 typical of oleuropein aglycone in carboxylic form [48], while fragmentation pathway of **12** was characterized by a base ion peak at  $m/z$  333 and products ions at  $m/z$  301 and 181, previously reported for an oleuropein derivative as probable fragments derived from rearrangement [47]. Full MS of peak **13** indicated 362 u molecular weight ( $[M-H]^-$  at  $m/z$  361), suggesting the presence of a ligstroside aglycone, as confirmed by characteristic product ions at  $m/z$  317 (due to the loss of carboxylic unit), 291 (base ion peak), and 259, in agreement with previous MS data [47]. In addition to secoiridoids, three flavone aglycones were detected in the analyzed oil samples, as deduced by UV absorptions at 267-268 and 342-352 nm. Full MS scan of compounds **11** ( $t_R = 51.1$  min) and **14** ( $t_R = 55.0$  min) showed deprotonated molecules  $[M-H]^-$  at  $m/z$  285 and 269, respectively. MS/MS of both parent ions are in agreement with fragmentation profile of luteolin and apigenin, respectively [53]. Both flavones were previously reported in olive oil [47,54]. Peak **15** ( $t_R = 54.9$  min) was detected only in *Citrus* oil samples, suggesting it originated by *Citrus* peels. Compound **15** had molecular weight 300 u, as indicated by full scan MS ( $[M-H]^-$  at  $m/z$  299). MS/MS experiments produced a base peak ion at 284 u due to the loss of a methyl group, suggesting **15** to be diosmetin, a methoxy flavone luteolin derivative, isolated from *Citrus* peel [50]. The chromatogram of *CIOO* exhibited five peaks (**3**, **5**, **7**, **10**, and **16**) that were not found in the other samples, suggesting to be typical of lemon peel. All compounds had close molecular weight and similar fragmentation patterns in the MS/MS experiments, suggesting they belonged to the same class. Compound **3** ( $t_R = 37.7$  min) showed deprotonated molecule  $[M-H]^-$  at  $m/z$  501, together with product ions at  $m/z$  457 and 413 due to the consecutive losses of two carboxylic units ( $[M-H-44-44]^-$ ). Thus, compound **3** was tentatively identified as limonexic acid or its constitutional isomer isolimonexic acid [55]. Compounds **5** ( $t_R = 41.7$  min) and **7** ( $t_R = 43.2$  min) had the same molecular weight 546 u and superimposable fragmentation pathways with ions at  $m/z$  501, 457 and 397 generated by the successive losses of two  $CO_2$  molecules and an acetyl group, suggesting **5** and **7** to be two isomer forms of citrussin [19]. Compound **10** ( $t_R = 48.4$  min) was revealed as formate adduct  $[M+HCOO]^-$  at  $m/z$  515, which fragmentation generated a base ion peak at  $m/z$  469 due to the loss of a formic acid, thus was tentatively identified as limonin [14]. Full mass spectrum of peak **16** showed deprotonated molecule  $[M-H]^-$  at  $m/z$  531 and ion fragments at  $m/z$  471 and 427 generated by the loss of an acetyl and then of a carboxyl group. These data led to identify **16** as nomilinic acid [14]. Compounds **3**, **5**, **7**, **10**, and **16** are limonoids in aglycone form, common in *Citrus* peel and seeds [19]. Few peaks remained unidentified. Peaks **a** ( $t_R = 44.4$  min,  $[M-H]^-$  at  $m/z$  201) and **c** ( $t_R = 58.0$  min,  $[M-H]^-$  at  $m/z$  329) were detected only in *CaOO*, while peak **b** ( $t_R = 50.1$  min,  $[M-H]^-$  at  $m/z$  301) was found in *CIOO*. Based on the analysis of mass fragmentation patterns, these molecules does not appear related to secondary metabolites common found in *Citrus* peel or in olive oil, thus they remained unidentified.

### 3.1.3. Sensory Profiles

As reported in Table 7, the differences highlighted among the three olive oils (*CEVOO*, *CaOO*, *CIOO*) were significant for most of the considered parameter.

**Table 7-** Two-way ANOVA calculated for all the parameters evaluated by panelists during tasting sessions for the three olive oils with the panelists and addition of *Citrus* peels as main effects.

Quantitative Parameter		Significance level <sup>1</sup>	<i>CEVOO</i>	<i>CaOO</i>	<i>CIOO</i>
View	Clarity	***	3.80 <sup>b</sup>	6.43 <sup>a</sup>	6.57 <sup>a</sup>
	Green shadows	**	2.41 <sup>ab</sup>	3.84 <sup>a</sup>	1.20 <sup>b</sup>
	Yellow shadows	***	3.67 <sup>b</sup>	2.74 <sup>c</sup>	6.10 <sup>a</sup>
Smell	Smell intensity	n.s	4.26	5.34	4.23
	Green fruity	n.s.	0.27	0.44	0.33
	Ripe fruity	**	3.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.14 <sup>ab</sup>	0.64 <sup>b</sup>
	Cut grass	n.s	0.40	0.00	0.56

	Olive leaf	n.s	0.54	0.00	0.46
	Lemon	***	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	5.29 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>
	Orange	***	0.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	3.17 <sup>a</sup>
	Candied fruit	n.s	1.36	2.64	1.39
	Other	n.s.	1.71	0.86	0.00
Taste	Bitter	***	0.33 <sup>c</sup>	4.66 <sup>a</sup>	3.67 <sup>b</sup>
	Pungent	**	0.40 <sup>b</sup>	1.37 <sup>ab</sup>	3.29 <sup>a</sup>
	Sweet	***	3.43 <sup>a</sup>	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	0.27 <sup>b</sup>
	Aftertaste	n.s.	0.49	0.93	0.00
	Persistence	**	1.84 <sup>b</sup>	3.70 <sup>ab</sup>	4.09 <sup>a</sup>
Defects	Heating	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Mold/humidity	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Winey/vinegary	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Sludge	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Metallic	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Rancid	-	0.00	0.00	0.00

<sup>1</sup>Significance level - \*\*\*:  $P < 0.001$  ( $F = 8.49$ ); \*\*:  $P < 0.01$  ( $F = 5.09$ ); ns: not significant. Within the same row, parameters sharing the same letter do not have a significantly different mean value

The view features showed differences among samples statistically significant, due to the addition of cryomacerated *Citrus* peels to the olives. In particular the clarity of the *CaOO* was significantly higher than the control one. The addition of peels influenced also the shadows of the color, in particular the *CaOO* exhibited the higher value for the yellow shadows, as expected considering its carotenoid content.


The smell profile was affected by the treatment too, especially in terms of detected descriptors: the *CaOO* was characterized by a strong orange hint, while the *CIOO* exhibits a strong scent of lemon. According to the legal limits for EVOO [26], no defects were detected by the panel and all the samples obtained a good score for the fruity features, thus confirming their good quality.

The taste parameters highlighted a higher level of bitter and pungent in both the *Citrus* olive oil as well as a higher score for the persistence. The bitter perception of the samples could be due not only to the secoiridoid derivatives content, evaluated by the IB (see par. 3.1.2), but also to the limonoid content, which reached the highest value in the *CIOO*.

### 3.2. Nutraceutical Features of Citrus Olive Oils

#### 3.2.1 Effects of CEVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO* Supplementation on Cardiometabolic Profile in HFD-Fed Rats.

A diet containing high levels of saturated fats contributes to significantly increase the body weight. Indeed, in these experimental conditions, animals fed with STD for 3 weeks showed a body weight percentage increase of  $9.5 \pm 1.2\%$ , while animals fed with the HFD showed an increase of  $14.0 \pm 1.1\%$ . Animals supplemented with CEVOO to the HFD showed a slightly reduced (not statistically significant) gain in body weight ( $12.6 \pm 0.8\%$ ). In contrast, at the third week, animals fed with HFD+*CaOO* and HFD+*CIOO* showed a marked and significant containment of body weight gain ( $9.6 \pm 1.5\%$  and  $9.0 \pm 1.2\%$ , respectively), superimposable with that observed in animals fed with STD (Figure 3).



**Figure 3.** (A) The graph shows the time-course of the weight gain of the animals during the 3 weeks of treatment. (B) The histograms show the final weight gain of the animals at the third week of treatment (end of treatment). \* indicates a significant statistically difference between the HFD group and the STD group. § indicates significant statistically difference between HFD and HFD+CEVOO or HFD+CaOO or HFD+ClOO. The single symbol corresponds to  $P < 0.05$ ; the double symbol to  $P < 0.01$  and the triple symbol to  $P < 0.001$ .

Accordingly with the literature, HFD represents a well-known experimental model to reproduce metabolic syndrome in rodents. In fact, beside the effects on body weight, this diet contributes to generate an increase in blood glucose, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides, and a marked reduction in HDL levels [56][57].

Animals supplemented with CEVOO showed a marked reduction in blood glucose levels bringing them back to the standard values. ClOO and CaOO supplementation presented a similar profile (Table 6).

Total cholesterol levels were markedly increased in animals fed with HF diet. The addition of CEVOO modestly contributed to the lowering of total cholesterol levels, while both the *Citrus* enriched OOs were endowed with more evident hypo-cholesterolemic properties (Table 8).

A similar trend was observed for LDL levels, while no improvement was highlighted about HDL values. Therefore, the reduction in cardiovascular risk value (Total Cholesterol/ HDL Cholesterol) reflected the effects of supplementation on total cholesterol (Table 8). Finally, the addition of CEVOO as well as CaOO and ClOO markedly reduced plasma triglycerides (Table 8).

**Table 8.** The table shows data relating to the lipid and glycemic profile observed after 3 weeks of treatment.

	STD	HFD	HF+CEVOO	HF+CaOO	HF+ClOO
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	75.3 ± 3.1	111.4 ± 4.1 **	94.8 ± 7.1	73.5 ± 4.7 §§§	81.7 ± 10.7 §
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	77.3 ± 6.3	113.4 ± 13.4 *	64.4 ± 3.2 §	72.8 ± 14.8 §	68.7 ± 3.2 §
Cholesterol HDL (mg/dL)	48.7 ± 2.9	22.3 ± 1.9 ***	23.2 ± 3.5	22.5 ± 3.1	21.3 ± 2.3


Cholesterol LDL (mg/dL)	31.7 ± 10.0	60.4 ± 5.2 *	57.3 ± 6.7	42.6 ± 6.3	46.7 ± 12.2
Cholesterol non-LDL (mg/dL)	26.5 ± 1.2	88.8 ± 3.6 ***	71.5 ± 6.3 §	51.2 ± 5.2 §§§	60.3 ± 12.1 §§
Cardiovascular risk (Total Cholesterol / Cholesterol HDL)	1.6 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.4 ***	4.4 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 1.0
Blood Glucose (mg/dL)	64.3 ± 4.8	79.4 ± 8.9	62.8 ± 4.1	67.8 ± 10.1	52.5 ± 11.5

\* indicates a significant statistically difference between the HFD group and the STD group. § indicates significant statistically difference between HFD and HFD+CEVOO or HFD+CaOO or HFD+ClOO. The single symbol corresponds to  $P < 0.05$ ; the double symbol to  $P < 0.01$  and the triple symbol to  $P < 0.001$ .

HFD promoted a significant increase in liver tissue, the macroscopic analysis highlighted the presence of numerous fat deposits at this level. On the other hand, treatment with the oils did not show any significant effect on this parameter (data not shown).

Other organs, such as the heart, did not show any significant weight change associated with HFD.


Visceral adipose tissue increased in the group fed with HFD, while the experimental treatments did not significantly influence this parameter (Figure 4A). Conversely, HFD reduced the amount of brown adipose tissue as already reported in the literature [58] (Figure 4B). The addition of CEVOO to the HFD increased the amount of brown adipose tissue, ClOO and CaOO showed a similar effect (Figure 5B).



**Figure 4.** (A) The graph shows the average weight of adipose tissue (g) in relation to the weight of the animal (kg) at the end of 3 weeks of treatment, in the different types of diet. (B) The graph shows the average weight of brown adipose tissue (g) in relation to the weight of the animal (kg) at the end of 3 weeks of treatment. \* indicates a significant statistically difference between the HFD group and the STD group. § indicates significant statistically difference between HFD and HFD+CEVOO or HFD+CaOO or HFD+ClOO. The single symbol corresponds to  $P < 0.05$ ; the double symbol to  $P < 0.01$  and the triple symbol to  $P < 0.001$ .


Moreover, the activity of the citrate synthase enzyme was measured, at the level of the visceral adipose tissue, as a marker of the metabolic activity of adipocytes (Figure 5). As previously described

in literature, the HFD significantly reduced the activity of citrate synthase [57], while the addition of EVOO brought it back to standard levels. The addition of *Ca*OO and *Cl*OO increased the average activity of this enzyme but less markedly than CEVOO (Figure 5).



**Figure 5.** The graph shows the activity of the enzyme Citrate Synthase in the adipose tissue. \* indicates a significant statistically difference between the HFD group and the STD group. § indicates significant statistically difference between HFD and HFD+CEVOO or HFD+*Ca*OO or HFD+*Cl*OO. The single symbol corresponds to  $P < 0.05$ ; the double symbol to  $P < 0.01$  and the triple symbol to  $P < 0.001$ .

Finally, the myocardial tissue was used to evaluate the mitochondrial membrane potential. The mitochondrial membrane potential is a parameter closely correlated with the metabolic efficiency of heart mitochondria and therefore with their ability to produce ATP and tolerate “nocive insults”, as can be trusted in animals with a condition like metabolic syndrome. Normal  $\Delta\Psi$  is about -190 mV in a healthy animal, the HFD appeared to be significantly depolarized at -175 mV (Figure 6). The addition of CEVOO reported the  $\Delta\Psi$  to the standard values and *Ca*OO and *Cl*OO did it in similar way, suggesting that all three oils can effectively control the mitochondrial dysfunction associated with diet (Figure 6).




**Figure 6.** The graph shows the changes in mitochondrial membrane potential in different groups of animals. \* indicates a significant statistically difference between the HFD group and the STD group. § indicates significant statistically difference between HFD and HFD+CEVOO or HFD+*Ca*OO or HFD+*Cl*OO. The single symbol corresponds to  $P < 0.05$ ; the double symbol to  $P < 0.01$  and the triple symbol to  $P < 0.001$ .




### 3.2.2. Effects of CEVOO, CaOO and CIOO Supplementation on Aortic Tissue Levels of Oxidative Stress Markers and Endothelial Function

#### - Levels of Inflammatory and Oxidative Stress Markers


Maintenance of blood vessel integrity and function is an active process, mediated by the balance among several homeostatic, inflammatory and oxidant factors. In this study, no significant changed of blood pressure was observed in the treatment groups, indeed STD-fed group showed a pressure value superimposable with HFD-fed group (data not shown); probably longer time of treatment are required to highlight functional alterations at vascular level. However, the rat aortic tissues from all groups deriving from 21 days-treatment were used to measure the protein expression and mRNA levels of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), inducible NOS (iNOS), cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 (mPGES-1), catalase, superoxide dismutase-1 (SOD-1), p22phox, and aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A1 (ALDH1A1) via Western blot and qPCR (Figure 7-9). Compared with the STD group, the HFD significantly declined eNOS and ALDH1A1 protein levels, and increased the expression of iNOS, COX-2 and mPGES-1 in rat aortic tissues (Figure 7). CEVOO supplementation in the HFD reverted eNOS, ALDH1A1, iNOS and COX-2 expression levels, thus reducing the inflammatory profile of the tissues known to contribute to the vascular dysfunction. Of note, constituents from orange and lemon supplemented into EVOO showed a similar protective effect, restoring the levels of eNOS and ALDH1A1, and reducing those of iNOS, COX-2 and mPGES-1 in aortic tissues (Figure 7).





**Figure 7.** Effects of CEVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO* diet supplementation on homeostatic, inflammatory and oxidant factors in aortic vessel tissues of HFD fed rats. (A) Western blot analysis of homeostatic factors (eNOS, ALDH1A1), inflammatory factors (iNOS, COX-2, mPGES-1) and oxidant factors (Catalase, SOD-1 and p22phox) in aortic vessel tissues. Each lane contains 50  $\mu$ g of total proteins obtained from aortic vessels of rats treated as designated. (B) Quantification of western blots. Data are reported as a ratio of arbitrary density unit (ADU) target protein/ $\beta$ -actin, and are means  $\pm$  standard deviation ( $n=3$ ); \* $p<0.05$ , \*\* $p<0.01$ , \*\*\* $p<0.001$  vs STD; §  $p<0.05$ , §§ $p<0.01$ , §§§ $p<0.001$  vs HFD.


On the contrary, Catalase, SOD-1 and p22-phox protein levels were not significantly affected by HFD nor by HFD supplemented with CEVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO* (Figure 9). Of note, HFD promoted a weak decrease in expression levels of the two antioxidant enzymes, Catalase and SOD-1, and increased that of the most relevant source of reactive oxygen species, p22phox. The addition to HFD of CEVOO or *CaOO* or *CIOO* reverted this trend.



**Figure 8.** Effects of CEVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO* diet supplementation on oxidant factors in aortic vessel tissues of HFD fed rats. Quantification of western blots for Catalase, SOD-1 and p33phox reported in


Figure 7 panel A. Data are reported as a ratio of arbitrary density unit (ADU) target protein/ $\beta$ -actin, and are means  $\pm$  standard deviation (n=3).

Consistently, at transcription level, we observed an increase of COX-2 and a decrease of ALDH1A1 in aortic tissues of rats fed with HFD, which were reverted by the supplement with EVOO, CaOO or ClOO. Expression of SOD-1 did not appear significantly affected in response to any dietetic treatments in rat aortic tissues (Figure 9).



**Figure 9.** mRNA expression of COX-2, ALDH1A1 and SOD-1 in aortic vessel tissues of rats fed with HFD with/without CEVOO, CaOO and ClOO. Quantitative PCR analysis of COX-2, ALDH1A1 and SOD-1 in aortic vessel tissues. Data are reported as fold change expression, determined by the comparative Ct method ( $\Delta\Delta C_t$ ) normalized to GAPDH expression, and are means  $\pm$  standard deviation (n=3). STD group was assigned to 1; \*p<0.01 vs STD; § p<0.05, §§p<0.01 vs HFD.


However, although no significant changes in enzymes involved in the control of redox status in vascular tissues were observed, the HFD increased protein levels with adducts of 4HNE, a product of lipid peroxidation, while CEVOO, CaOO and ClOO significantly reduced the 4HNE-adducts, suggesting an antioxidant effect of the diet at the vascular level (Figure 10).



**Figure 10.** Effects CEVOO, CaOO and ClOO diet supplementation on 4HNE protein adducts in aortic tissues from HFD fed rats. Western blot analysis of 4HNE adducts. Each line contains 50  $\mu$ g of total proteins obtained from aortic vessels of rats treated as designated.  $\beta$ -actin is reported as loading control.

### - Endothelial Function


HFD-induced oxidative stress has been associated with endothelial dysfunction [59]. In order to investigate the contribute of CEVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO* on endothelial function, in aortic rings from rats feed with HFD with/without EVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO* the endothelial cell sprouting activity was assessed. Aortic rings dissected from rats deriving from treatment and cultured in a fibrin matrix spontaneously generate outgrowths of branching microvessels. HFD significantly impaired outgrowths of branching microvessels in response to the angiogenic factor VEGF 25 ng/mL (Figure 11). In aortic rings from EVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO*, VEGF significantly promoted sprouting of microvessels, suggesting that the EVOO and olive oils enriched with *Citrus* fruits, possessing a protective effect on endothelium, contribute to the maintaining of total blood vessel integrity and functionality (Figure 11).



**Figure 11.** CEVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO*, promote vessel sprouting from aortic rings of HFD fed rat. Vessel sprouting from rat aorta rings at day 3 of incubation: all groups are treated with VEGF (25 ng/mL). Vessel length is expressed as the number of grid units covering the surface occupied by pseudocapillaries in each well. n=4 rats. Each experimental point is run in triplicate.

### - Histological Assessment of CD40 in Aortic Vessel Tissue

CD40 staining was performed to investigate the effects of CEVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO* on inflammatory/immune cell infiltration in aortic vascular wall. Photomicrographs of aortic vascular walls from all experimental groups revealed that expression of CD40 was absent in STD, CEVOO, *CaOO* and *CIOO* groups, while HFD group showed CD40 positive staining (Figure 12).



**Figure 12.** Effect of CEVOO, CaOO and C/OO supplementation on aortic vascular wall inflammation in HFD fed rats. Immunohistochemical evaluation of snap frozen sections from rat aortic tissues from STD, HFD, HFD+CEVOO, HFD+CaOO and HFD+C/OO. Arrow in HFD panel shows staining for CD40. One representative section is shown of three rats/experimental group. Scale bar 50 μm, original magnification X60.

#### 4. Discussion

In our study, two fortified oils, namely CaOO and C/OO, obtained by cryomacerated peels of *Citrus* (*C. aurantium* and *C. limon*, CaOO and C/OO, respectively) added to olives during the oil extraction process were examined to determine whether the beneficial effects of EVOO, in terms of compositional and organoleptic properties as well as cardiovascular risk factors reduction, were maintained or eventually increased.

According to [25,60,61] if a cryogen, like solid carbon dioxide, is added to a vegetal matrix, the intracellular water freezes. Consequently, cellular membranes collapse, due to the density difference between ice and liquid water, thus cellular compounds spread in the liquid phase. Moreover, after sublimation the gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> forms an inert gas layer under the vegetal material protecting by oxidation the bioactive compounds present in *Citrus* peels.

As previously reported by [23,24], the use of cryomacerated *Citrus* byproduct for the enrichment of olive oils seems to be useful also to improve the sensory profile of the oils, due to the ability of the cryomaceration technology coupled to the cold pressing of olives to preserve the peculiar aromatic scents of *Citrus* in the product, which exhibited a complex sensory profile.

The technological process used makes it possible an enrichment of oils with biologically active substances, mainly from *Citrus* skin, such as carotenoids and phenols. Compared to the Control (CEVOO), CaOO exhibited a higher content of carotenoids (+ 81%), whose health-giving properties have long been well known.

According to previous studies, LC-MS analyses of CEVOO evidenced that secoiridoids, such as oleuropein and ligstroside derivatives, were the most representative constituents, that occur in the oil in form of aglycons due to the hydrolysis of their glycosides during oil extraction process [47]. Among phenol compounds, two flavone aglycons apigenin and luteolin were detected. Compared to



CEVOO, *CaOO* showed the presence of diosmetin, a flavone aglycone that usually can be found in orange peel also in form of glycoside, such as diosmetin 6,8-di-C-glucoside [62]. The chemical profile of *ClOO* was characterized by the presence of five typical *Citrus* limonoids in form of aglycones, having a low polarity that can explain their presence in an apolar medium such as olive oil.

Cardiovascular complications related to metabolic syndrome are increasing in various societies worldwide, and the consumption of a HFD is considered a major cause in the development of these complications [63,64]. In the present study, rats treated with a HFD developed dyslipidemia and overweight, showed a significant reduction of adipocyte metabolism and myocardial mitochondrial functionality and a significant increase of vascular inflammatory and oxidative stress factors; indicating it as a reliable model to study possible nutraceutical interventions on cardiovascular risk factors.

Accordingly with literature, a supplementation with EVOO contributes to ameliorating these parameters [65]; indeed in our experimental conditions, CEVOO reduced plasma lipid levels in HFD-fed rats. The *Citrus* olive oils showed a similar profile on cardio-metabolic parameters and a more evident containment of the body weight gain. As regards the adipose tissue, growing body of evidence points to the key role of brown adipose tissue in the HFD-induced obesity [66]. Indeed, a reduction of brown adipose tissue has been reported in obesity conditions [58,66] and suggests that metabolic functions of these adipocytes can be partially compromised. Conversely, a transplantation of brown adipose tissue can promote the expression of various genes involved in fatty acid oxidation and raise protein expression of browning markers [66]. Of note, the supplementation with CEVOO increased the amount of brown adipose tissue, and a similar behaviour was observed with *ClOO* and *CaOO*.

Further, as described in literature, the HFD significantly reduced the activity of citrate synthase enzyme [57], while the addition of CEVOO brought it back to standard levels. *CaOO* and *ClOO*, although less markedly, increased the average activity of this enzyme.

Finally, the mitochondrial dysfunction of myocytes is closely related to metabolic syndrome. In this condition a lower metabolic efficiency of mitochondria and therefore a reduced ability to produce ATP can deeply compromise the tolerance of myocardium towards different kinds of pathological "insults" [67].  $\Delta\Psi$  value is a useful parameter for evaluating the mitochondrial function.  $\Delta\Psi$  value was about -190 mV in STD-fed animals, while in HFD-fed animals the mitochondrial membrane was significantly depolarized ( $\Delta\Psi$  about -175 mV).

Very interestingly, *CaOO* and *ClOO*, similarly to CEVOO, improved  $\Delta\Psi$  value in mitochondria obtained from cardiac tissues, suggesting that these oils are able to reduce myocardial dysfunction associated with such type of diet.

No significant change of blood pressure was observed between STD and HFD diet, probably, as reported in literature, longer times of treatment should be attempted [68]. However, despite the normotensive condition, prevented pro-inflammatory markers and endothelial dysfunction in aortic vessels by restoring the vascular tissue anti-inflammatory markers have been observed.

With respect to blood vessels, the integrity and function of blood vessels plays a key role in the overall assessment of cardiovascular function. Indeed, vascular wall represents the first front between the absorbed nutrients and the tissues of the body, and failure of this system results in many cardiovascular diseases such as atherosclerosis and tissue ischemia [61]. Excessive amounts of reactive oxygen species, known to exert a number of adverse effects on vessel function, may irreversibly inactivate protein kinases and/or phosphatases in vascular wall affecting the barrier function of vessels [64–66]. Similarly, inflammation and the increase of inflammatory mediators in blood vessel wall lead to the development and progression of cardiovascular diseases [67]. In this study, significant differences were observed with respect to inflammatory markers in the aorta tissues among groups treated with HFD and HFD + CEVOO, *CaOO* or *ClOO*. Indeed, in HFD fed rats, expression of COX-2, mPGES1, and iNOS was higher than in the standard diet fed rats, and the HFD + CEVOO, *CaOO* or *ClOO* groups showed a remarkable decrease of the inflammatory status of rat aorta, while increasing protective vascular markers, in line with other findings [69,70]. No significant alterations were observed for enzymes involved in the control of redox state. Other studies have also



come to similar conclusions with respect to EVOO performance on redox state in *in vivo* studies [71]. On the contrary, in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies, the phenolic extracts of EVOO, have exhibited antioxidant effects [72]. Here, no clear effects were observed from CEVOO, CaOO or CIOO supplementation in HFD on antioxidant enzymes expression in aortic tissues, at least for the enzymes studied, however expression of 4HNE, a well-known by-product of lipid peroxidation endowed of atherogenic properties, widely accepted as a stable marker for oxidative stress, was higher in HFD group compared with HFD supplemented with oils, suggesting a functional and protective effect of the oils on the whole antioxidant profile of aortic tissues. In agreement with this observation, expression of ALDH1A1, an aldehyde scavenging enzyme, including 4HNE, was significantly increased in aortic tissues of HFD + EVOO, CaOO or CIOO treated groups compared with the HFD group.

Endothelial dysfunction, that is an important factor in the development and progression of cardiovascular diseases, leading to atherogenesis and plaque formation [73] has been studied with the rat aortic ring culture model [74]. Using this assay, we found that the HFD inhibited VEGF-induced endothelial cell sprouting, while supplementation of the diet with CEVOO, CaOO or CIOO reverted this inhibitory effect. The data suggest a protective activity of the three oils that might be associated with both their anti-inflammatory activity and their ability to modulate the lipid profile in serum of HFD fed rats, and therefore the endothelial integrity of the vessels [69]. Indeed, LDL, especially its oxidized form, promotes cytokine production from monocytes and endothelial cells, and on the surface of endothelial cells increases several adhesion molecules, including CD40 expression, associated with inflammation and endothelial dysfunction [75]. Further, CD40 decreases NO and increases ROS production by endothelial cells, inhibiting angiogenesis and contributing to the pathogenesis of cardiovascular diseases [75]. Immunohistochemical investigation confirmed the protective effects of CEVOO, CaOO and CIOO. In fact, while HFD increases CD40 expression in vascular aortic wall, the groups receiving the supplementation with the oils did not show any expression of CD40.

Taken together, these results suggest that the enrichment of *Citrus* olive oils by means of their typical active metabolites, usually absent in the olive oils, possess a superimposable profile compared to CEVOO and sometimes an enhanced nutraceutical value, especially on body weight gain and lipidic profile.

## 5. Conclusions

*Citrus* peels can be considered as a source of bioactive compounds useful to improve the sensory profile as well as the phytochemical composition of olive oils. Indeed, we demonstrated that the technology adopted to produce *Citrus* oils, enriches them with functional and bioactive compounds typical of *Citrus* peel and furthermore improves their organoleptic properties without altering their beneficial effects. Indeed, like Control extra virgin olive oil, CaOO and CIOO showed protective effects on glucose and serum lipid levels, metabolic activity of adipocytes, myocardial tissue functionality, oxidative stress markers and endothelial function at blood vessel level.

**Author Contributions:** “Conceptualization, A.Z., F.V., S.D. and L.T.; methodology, V.Ci., L.F. and M.M.; formal analysis, C.S.; investigation, C.Sg. M.M., M.D.L.; resources, I.T.; data curation, M.M., I.T., V.Ci., A.M.; writing—original draft preparation, L.T., L.F., S.D. and M.D.L.; writing—review and editing, V.C., C.S. and S.D.; supervision, F.V.; project administration and funding acquisition, A.Z. and L.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript”.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Regione Toscana: NOÈ Project (Grant Numbers: “Progetti di ricerca e sviluppo delle MPMI”, FESR 2014-2020, CUP 7429.31052017.113000079;) and VALE Project (Grant 1 “Progetti di ricerca e sviluppo”, FESR 2014-2020, cod. CUP D56G18000160009). This research was also funded by Fondo Europeo di Sviluppo Regionale (FESR): project Italia-Francia Marittimo 2014-2020 MARE DI AGRUMI Tourist branding and biotechnologies for the development of enterprises on a common resource: CITRUS—[CUP C26D16007240007].

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Roohbakhsh A, Parhiz H, Soltani F, Rezaee R, Iranshahi M. Molecular mechanisms behind the biological effects of hesperidin and hesperetin for the prevention of cancer and cardiovascular diseases. *Life Sci.* 2015;124:64–74.
2. Idoko A. Exploitative Beneficial Effects of Citrus Fruits. In: Peshawar M, Amanullah, editors. Citrus - Health Benefits and Production Technology. 2019. p. 31–55.
3. Testai L, Calderone V. Nutraceutical value of citrus flavanones and their implications in cardiovascular disease. *Nutrients.* 2017;9(5):1–13.
4. Testai L, Martelli A, Marino A, D'Antongiovanni V, Ciregia F, Giusti L, et al. The activation of mitochondrial BK potassium channels contributes to the protective effects of naringenin against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2013;85(11):1634–43.
5. Testai L, Martelli A, Cristofaro M, Breschi MC, Calderone V. Cardioprotective effects of different flavonoids against myocardial ischaemia/reperfusion injury in Langendorff-perfused rat hearts. *J Pharm Pharmacol.* 2013;65(5):750–6.
6. Saponara S, Testai L, Iozzi D, Martinotti E, Martelli A, Chericoni S, et al. (+/-)-naringenin as large conductance Ca<sup>2+</sup>-activated K<sup>+</sup> (BK Ca) channel opener in vascular smooth muscle cells. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2006;149(8):1013–21.
7. Testai L, Pozzo E Da, Piano I, Pistelli L, Gargini C, Breschi MC, et al. The citrus flavanone naringenin produces cardioprotective effects in hearts from 1 year old rat, through activation of mitoBK channels. *Front Pharmacol.* 2017;8(FEB):1–11.
8. Testai L, Piragine E, Piano I, Flori L, Da Pozzo E, Miragliotta V, et al. The Citrus Flavonoid Naringenin Protects the Myocardium from Ageing-Dependent Dysfunction: Potential Role of SIRT1. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2020;2020.
9. Da Pozzo E, Costa B, Cavallini C, Testai L, Martelli A, Calderone V, et al. The Citrus Flavanone Naringenin Protects Myocardial Cells against Age-Associated Damage. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2017;2017.
10. Piano I, D'antongiovanni V, Testai L, Calderone V, Gargini C. A nutraceutical strategy to slowing down the progression of cone death in an animal model of retinitis pigmentosa. *Front Neurosci.* 2019;13(MAY):1–8.
11. Guo C, Zhang H, Guan X, Zhou Z. The anti-aging potential of neohesperidin and its synergistic effects with other citrus flavonoids in extending chronological lifespan of *saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4742. *Molecules.* 2019;24(22).
12. Miler M, Živanović J, Ajdžanović V, Oreščanin-Dušić Z, Milenković D, Konić-Ristić A, et al. Citrus flavanones naringenin and hesperetin improve antioxidant status and membrane lipid compositions in

- the liver of old-aged Wistar rats. *Exp Gerontol.* 2016;84:49–60.
13. Shen CY, Wan L, Wang TX, Jiang JG. Citrus aurantium L. var. amara Engl. inhibited lipid accumulation in 3T3-L1 cells and *Caenorhabditis elegans* and prevented obesity in high-fat diet-fed mice. *Pharmacol Res.* 2019;147(July):104347. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2019.104347>
  14. De Leo M, Piragine E, Pirone A, Braca A, Pistelli L, Calderone V, et al. Protective Effects of Bergamot (*Citrus bergamia* Risso & Poiteau) Juice in Rats Fed with High-Fat Diet. *Planta Med.* 2020;86(3):180–9.
  15. Morrow NM, Burke AC, Samsoondar JP, Seigel KE, Wang A, Telford DE, et al. The citrus flavonoid nobiletin confers protection from metabolic dysregulation in high-fat-fed mice independent of AMPK. *J Lipid Res.* 2020;61(3):387–402.
  16. Hasan A, Janabi W, Kamboh AA, Saeed M, Xiaoyu L, Majeed F, et al. Flavonoid-rich foods (FRF): A promising nutraceutical approach against lifespan-shortening diseases. *Iran J Basic Med Sci.* 2020;23(2):140–53.
  17. Alquézar B, Rodrigo M, Zacarías L. Carotenoid biosynthesis and their regulation in citrus fruits. *Tree For Sci Biotechnol.* 2008;2(1):23–37.
  18. Johnson EJ. The Role of Carotenoids in Human Health. *Nutr Clin Care.* 2002;5(2):56–65. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1046/j.1523-5408.2002.00004.x>
  19. Gualdani R, Cavalluzzi MM, Lentini G, Habtemariam S. The Chemistry and Pharmacology of Citrus Limonoids. *Molecules.* 2016;21(11):1530. Available from: <http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/21/11/1530>
  20. Venturi F, Sanmartin C, Taglieri I, Nari A, Andrich G, Terzuoli E, et al. Development of phenol-enriched olive oil with phenolic compounds extracted from wastewater produced by physical refining. *Nutrients.* 2017;9(8).
  21. Sanmartin C, Taglieri I, Venturi F, Macaluso M, Zinnai A, Tavarini S, et al. Flaxseed Cake as a Tool for the Improvement of Nutraceutical and Sensorial Features of Sourdough Bread. *Foods.* 2020;9(2):204. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/9/2/204>
  22. Allen L, De Benoist B, Dary O, Hurrell R. GUIDELINES ON FOOD FORTIFICATION WITH MICRONUTRIENTS. Allen L, De Benoist B, Dary O, Hurrell R, editors. World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2006. 341 p.
  23. Ascrizzi R, Taglieri I, Sgherri C, Flamini G, Macaluso M, Sanmartin C, et al. Nutraceutical oils produced by olives and citrus peel of Tuscany varieties as sources of functional ingredients. *Molecules.* 2019;24(1).
  24. Sanmartin C, Taglieri I, Macaluso M, Sgherri C, Ascrizzi R, Flamini G, et al. Cold-Pressing Olive Oil in the Presence of Cryomacerated Leaves of Olea or Citrus: Nutraceutical and Sensorial Features. *Molecules.* 2019;24(14):2625.
  25. Venturi F, Bartolini S, Sanmartin C, Orlando M, Taglieri I, Macaluso M, et al. Potato Peels as a Source of Novel Green Extracts Suitable as Antioxidant Additives for Fresh-Cut Fruits. *Appl Sci.* 2019; Available

from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/9/12/2431>

26. EC, European Commission. Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1348/2013 of 16 December 2013 amending Regulation (EEC) No 2568/91 on the characteristics of olive oil and olive-residue oil and on the relevant methods of analysis. *Off J Eur Union*. 2013;L338(L338):31–67. Available from: [http://faolex.fao.org/cgi-bin/faolex.exe?rec\\_id=032212&database=FAOLEX&search\\_type=link&table=result&lang=eng&format\\_name=@ERALL](http://faolex.fao.org/cgi-bin/faolex.exe?rec_id=032212&database=FAOLEX&search_type=link&table=result&lang=eng&format_name=@ERALL)
27. Sanmartin C, Venturi F, Macaluso M, Nari A, Quartacci MF, Sgherri C, et al. Preliminary Results About the Use of Argon and Carbon Dioxide in the Extra Virgin Olive Oil (EVOO) Storage to Extend Oil Shelf Life: Chemical and Sensorial Point of View. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol*. 2018;120(9).
28. Brand-Williams W, Cuvelier ME, Berset C. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. *LWT - Food Sci Technol*. 1995;28(1):25–30.
29. Sgherri C, Micaelli F, Andreoni N, Baldanzi M, Ranieri A. Retention of phenolic compounds and antioxidant properties in potato bread obtained from a dough enriched with a powder from the purple cv. Vitelotte. *Agrochimica*. 2016;60(4):312–28.
30. Fellegrini N, Ke R, Yang M, Rice-Evans C. Screening of dietary carotenoids and carotenoid-rich fruit extracts for antioxidant activities applying 2,2'-azinobis(3-ethylenebenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid radical cation decolorization assay. In 1999. p. 379–89. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0076687999990377>
31. Gutiérrez Rosales F, Perdiguero S, Gutiérrez R, Olías JM. Evaluation of the bitter taste in virgin olive oil. *J Am Oil Chem Soc*. 1992;69(4):394–5. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1007/BF02636076>
32. Minguez-Mosquera IM, Rejano-Navarro L, Gandul-Rojas B, SanchezGomez AH, Garrido-Fernandez J. Color-pigment correlation in virgin olive oil. *J Am Oil Chem Soc*. 1991;68(5):332–6.
33. Sgherri C, Kadlecová Z, Pardossi A, Navari-Izzo F, Izzo R. Irrigation with diluted seawater improves the nutritional value of cherry tomatoes. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2008;56(9):3391–7.
34. Gimeno E, Castellote A., Lamuela-Raventós R., de la Torre M., López-Sabater M. Rapid determination of vitamin E in vegetable oils by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr A*. 2000;881(1–2):251–4. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0021967300002193>
35. Tarchoune I, Sgherri C, Eddouzi J, Zinnai A, Quartacci MF, Zarrouk M. Olive leaf addition increases olive oil nutraceutical properties. *Molecules*. 2019;24(3).
36. Galatro A, Simontacchi M, Puntarulo S. Free radical generation and antioxidant content in chloroplasts from soybean leaves exposed to ultraviolet-B. *Physiol Plant*. 2001;113(4):564–70. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1034/j.1399-3054.2001.1130416.x>
37. Braca A, Sinisgalli C, De Leo M, Muscatello B, Cioni PL, Milella L, et al. Phytochemical profile, antioxidant and antidiabetic activities of *Adansonia digitata* L. (Baobab) from Mali, as a source of health-

- promoting compounds. *Molecules*. 2018;23(12):1–18.
38. Venturi F, Andrich G, Sanmartin C, Scalabrelli G, Ferroni G, Zinnai A. The expression of a full-bodied red wine as a function of the characteristics of the glass utilized for the tasting. *CYTA - J Food*. 2014;12(3):291–7.
  39. Bellumori M, Cecchi L, Innocenti M, Clodoveo ML, Corbo F, Mulinacci N. The EFSA Health Claim on Olive Oil Polyphenols: Acid Hydrolysis Validation and Total Hydroxytyrosol and Tyrosol Determination in Italian Virgin Olive Oils. *Molecules*. 2019;24(11):2179. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/24/11/2179>
  40. Ciccone V, Terzuoli E, Donnini S, Giachetti A, Morbidelli L, Ziche M. Stemness marker ALDH1A1 promotes tumor angiogenesis via retinoic acid/HIF-1 $\alpha$ /VEGF signalling in MCF-7 breast cancer cells\.. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res*. 2018;37(1):1–16.
  41. Finetti F, Donnini S, Giachetti A, Morbidelli L, Ziche M. Prostaglandin E2 primes the angiogenic switch via a synergic interaction with the fibroblast growth factor-2 pathway. *Circ Res*. 2009;105(7):657–66.
  42. Aparicio R, Harwood J. Handbook of Olive Oil. 2nd ed. Aparicio R, Harwood J, editors. Handbook of Olive Oil. Springer US; 2000.
  43. Tamma G, Valenti G. Evaluating the Oxidative Stress in Renal Diseases: What Is the Role for S-Glutathionylation? *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2016;25(3):147–64. Available from: <http://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/ars.2016.6656>
  44. Taamalli A, Gómez-Caravaca AM, Zarrouk M, Segura-Carretero A, Fernández-Gutiérrez A. Determination of apolar and minor polar compounds and other chemical parameters for the discrimination of six different varieties of Tunisian extra-virgin olive oil cultivated in their traditional growing area. *Eur Food Res Technol*. 2010;231(6):965–75.
  45. Condelli N, Caruso MC, Galgano F, Russo D, Milella L, Favati F. Prediction of the antioxidant activity of extra virgin olive oils produced in the Mediterranean area. *Food Chem*. 2015;177:233–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.01.001>
  46. Moyano MJ, Heredia FJ, Meléndez-Martínez AJ. The color of olive oils: The pigments and their likely health benefits and visual and instrumental methods of analysis. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf*. 2010;9(3):278–91.
  47. De La Torre-Carbot K, Jauregui O, Gimeno E, Castellote AI, Lamuela-Raventós RM, López-Sabater MC. Characterization and quantification of phenolic compounds in olive oils by solid-phase extraction, HPLC-DAD, and HPLC-MS/MS. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2005;53(11):4331–40.
  48. García-Villalba R, Carrasco-Pancorbo A, Oliveras-Ferraro C, Vázquez-Martín A, Menéndez JA, Segura-Carretero A, et al. Characterization and quantification of phenolic compounds of extra-virgin olive oils with anticancer properties by a rapid and resolute LC-ESI-TOF MS method. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*. 2010;51(2):416–29.



49. Pérez-Trujillo M, Gómez-Caravaca AM, Segura-Carretero A, Fernández-Gutiérrez A, Parella T. Separation and identification of phenolic compounds of extra virgin Olive Oil from *Olea Europaea* L. by HPLC-DAD-SPE-NMR/MS. Identification of a new diastereoisomer of the aldehydic form of oleuropein aglycone. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2010;58(16):9129–36.
50. Brito A, Ramirez JE, Areche C, Sepúlveda B, Simirgiotis MJ. HPLC-UV-MS profiles of phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of fruits from three citrus species consumed in Northern Chile. *Molecules.* 2014;19(11):17400–21.
51. Da Pozzo E, De Leo M, Faraone I, Milella L, Cavallini C, Piragine E, et al. Antioxidant and antisenescence effects of bergamot juice. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2018;2018.
52. Obied HK, Karuso P, Prenzler PD, Robards K. Novel secoiridoids with antioxidant activity from Australian olive mill waste. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2007;55(8):2848–53.
53. Fabre N, Rustan I, De Hoffmann E, Quetin-Leclercq J. Determination of flavone, flavonol, and flavanone aglycones by negative ion liquid chromatography electrospray ion trap mass spectrometry. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom.* 2001;12(6):707–15.
54. Rekik O, Ben Mansour A, Bouaziz M. Evaluation of phenolic composition and antioxidant activity changes in olive flowers during development using HPLC/DAD and LC-MS/MS. *Electrophoresis.* 2018;39(13):1663–72.
55. Patil JR, Jayaprakash GK, Murthy KNC, Chetti MB, Patil BS. Characterization of *Citrus aurantifolia* bioactive compounds and their inhibition of human pancreatic cancer cells through apoptosis. *Microchem J.* 2010;94(2):108–17. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2009.09.008>
56. Moreno-Fernández S, Garcés-Rimón M, González C, Uranga JA, López-Miranda V, Vera G, et al. Pepsin egg white hydrolysate ameliorates metabolic syndrome in high-fat/high-dextrose fed rats. *Food Funct.* 2018;9(1):78–86. Available from: <http://xlink.rsc.org/?DOI=C7FO01280B>
57. Wang L, Fan W, Zhang M, Zhang Q, Li L, Wang J, et al. Antiobesity, Regulation of Lipid Metabolism, and Attenuation of Liver Oxidative Stress Effects of Hydroxy- $\alpha$ -sanshool Isolated from *Zanthoxylum bungeanum* on High-Fat Diet-Induced Hyperlipidemic Rats. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2019;2019:1–13. Available from: <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/omcl/2019/5852494/>
58. Xu X, Ying Z, Cai M, Xu Z, Li Y, Jiang SY, et al. Exercise ameliorates high-fat diet-induced metabolic and vascular dysfunction, and increases adipocyte progenitor cell population in brown adipose tissue. *Am J Physiol Integr Comp Physiol.* 2011;300(5):R1115–25. Available from: <https://www.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/ajpregu.00806.2010>
59. Dow CA, Stauffer BL, Greiner JJ, DeSouza CA. Influence of habitual high dietary fat intake on endothelium-dependent vasodilation. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2015;40(7):711–5. Available from: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/apnm-2015-0006>
60. Zinnai A, Venturi F, Quartacci MF, Sanmartin C, Favati F, Andrich G. Solid carbon dioxide to promote

the extraction of extra-virgin olive oil. *Grasas y Aceites*. 2016;67(1).

61. Zinnai A, Venturi F, Sanmartin C, Taglieri I, Andrich G. The utilization of solid carbon dioxide in the extraction of extra-virgin olive oil: VOO/EVOO yield and quality as a function of extraction conditions adopted. *Agro Food Ind Hi Tech*. 2015;26(3).
62. Mencherini T, Campone L, Piccinelli AL, García Mesa M, Sánchez DM, Aquino RP, et al. HPLC-PDA-MS and NMR Characterization of a Hydroalcoholic Extract of *Citrus aurantium* L. var. amara Peel with Antiedematogenic Activity. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2013;61(8):1686–93. Available from: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jf302815t>
63. Kratz M, Baars T, Guyenet S. The relationship between high-fat dairy consumption and obesity, cardiovascular, and metabolic disease. *Eur J Nutr*. 2013;52(1):1–24. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00394-012-0418-1>
64. Cercato C, Fonseca FA. Cardiovascular risk and obesity. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. 2019;11(1):74. Available from: <https://dmsjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13098-019-0468-0>
65. Flori L, Donnini S, Calderone V, Zinnai A, Taglieri I, Venturi F, et al. The Nutraceutical Value of Olive Oil and Its Bioactive Constituents on the Cardiovascular System. Focusing on Main Strategies to Slow Down Its Quality Decay during Production and Storage. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(9):1962. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/11/9/1962>
66. Shankar K, Kumar D, Gupta S, Varshney S, Rajan S, Srivastava A, et al. Role of brown adipose tissue in modulating adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance in high-fat diet fed mice. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2019;854:354–64. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0014299919301499>
67. Chen D, Li X, Zhang L, Zhu M, Gao L. A high-fat diet impairs mitochondrial biogenesis, mitochondrial dynamics, and the respiratory chain complex in rat myocardial tissues. *J Cell Biochem*. 2018;119(11):9602–9602. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jcb.27068>
68. Taylor LE, Gillis EE, Musall JB, Baban B, Sullivan JC. High-fat diet-induced hypertension is associated with a proinflammatory T cell profile in male and female Dahl salt-sensitive rats. *Am J Physiol Circ Physiol*. 2018;315(6):H1713–23. Available from: <https://www.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/ajpheart.00389.2018>
69. Souza P, Marcadenti A, Portal V. Effects of Olive Oil Phenolic Compounds on Inflammation in the Prevention and Treatment of Coronary Artery Disease. *Nutrients*. 2017;9(10):1087. Available from: <http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/9/10/1087>
70. Summerhill V, Karagodin V, Grechko A, Myasoedova V, Orekhov A. Vasculoprotective Role of Olive Oil Compounds via Modulation of Oxidative Stress in Atherosclerosis. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2018;5. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fcvm.2018.00188/full>
71. Katsarou AI, Kaliora AC, Papalois A, Chiou A, Kalogeropoulos N, Agrogiannis G, et al. Serum lipid profile and inflammatory markers in the aorta of cholesterol-fed rats supplemented with extra virgin olive oil, sunflower oils and oil-products. *Int J Food Sci Nutr*. 2015;66(7):766–73. Available from:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/09637486.2015.1088936>

72. Visioli F, Franco M, Toledo E, Luchsinger J, Willett WCC, Hu FBB, et al. Olive oil and prevention of chronic diseases: Summary of an International conference. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2018;28(7):649–56. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0939475318301261>
73. Davignon J, Ganz P. Role of endothelial dysfunction in atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. 2004;109(23 SUPPL.).
74. Aplin AC, Fogel E, Zorzi P, Nicosia RF. Chapter 7 The Aortic Ring Model of Angiogenesis. *Methods Enzymol*. 2008;443(08):119–36.
75. Chen C, Chai H, Wang X, Jiang J, Jamaluddin MS, Liao D, et al. Soluble CD40 ligand induces endothelial dysfunction in human and porcine coronary artery endothelial cells. *Blood*. 2008;112(8):3205–16.