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Abstract

Corona viruses cause extensive SARS epidemics via super spread events (SSE). Due to variation in infection risk and heterogeneity of reproduction numbers specific distinction between SSE’s and typical case events is essential. SARS transmissions unveil a complex scenario in which SSE’s are shaped by multiple factors. Specific screening strategies for infection emergence within potential super spreading groups will help to efficiently control the SARS-2 pandemic and alleviate the partially effective general restriction measures.
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1. Introduction

In many ways to control better the SARS-2 pandemic, post epidemic investigations of previous SARS should be looked in depth. SARS-2-Covid-19 is also an animal originating coronavirus that crossed species barriers to infect human (1). The initially investigated l strains of SARS-2 (covid-19) had also low potential for transmissibility and thus infectivity like in SARS-1 (1-2). SARS-1 coronavirus caused potentiated epidemics due to super spread events that elevated unexpectedly the basic and effective reproduction numbers (3). The lesson that must have been learned with SARS-1 epidemic is that even taking all restrictive contact measures to minimize below one the self sustaining threshold, these alone cannot withhold the epidemic (3).

2. The previous SARS epidemics and the SARS-2 pandemic

In many ways the reservoirs of infection of SARS-1 may be similar to SARS-2 (1-3). Identification of animal and insect vectors that transmit the disease, identification and control of alternative routes of transmission like fecal-oral route, and identification of super spreader groups of potential patients will help to minimize the epidemiology extend seen with SARS-2 worldwide. Even when trying to lower the reproduction numbers, the minimization of time from community diagnosis of infection to prompt hospital isolation was the key to control progression of epidemic of SARS-1. This was because it was important to minimize the probability that another super spread event (SSE) might occur (3).

3. The 20/80 rule

In nature, epidemics follow the 20 / 80 rule (4). Likewise in human population, due to heterogeneity of exposure to infection, the 20 % core population for reasons such as reduced immune status (e.g. haemodialysis patients) and exposure to vectors transmitting the disease (e.g. cockroaches and roof rats) (3-5) as seen with SARS-1 may contribute at least to 80% of the total transmission potential (4). What has been learned from SARS-1 is that control programs that failed to identify and provide a targeted infection diagnosis in potential SSE groups of population were inefficient to control the epidemic in population (3-4).
4. The prevention of SSE’s

By preventing SSE’s may enable to overcome initial low SARS virus infectiveness capability that dismantles the epidemiology attempts to statistically lower the reproduction numbers only by perusing high risk isolation and obsolete restriction of contact measures as carried out in countries like Italy and Greece (3). As seen in Beijing and Singapore the vast majority of infected individuals were barely infective and as traced for a much smaller percentage of high infectivity, only the 6% of population was highly infectious contrasting many published SARS models (2-3). Other ways of potentiating infectivity for coronaviruses within hosts may provide explanations of enormous outbreaks (6). Cross species barrier transmission and genetic adaptation within hosts may promote infectivity of coronaviruses in humans (7), Thus focus on identification of specific potential super spreader groups within population by targeted diagnosis is urgently needed (table 1). Otherwise equivocal results like persistence of epidemic involving innocent victims isolated at home or hospital may procure (2-4, 8-9, 11-12). In many ways, a normal infection must be distinguished from a super spread infection and different equations govern each case (3). In SARS-1 epidemic, the coronavirus infectiousness occurred mostly at late stages of infection (3-4), whereas in SARS-2, viruses are transmitted at pre-symptomatic stages (15). Likewise with H1N1 transmission (16), accurate diagnosis of covid-19 in potentially asymptomatic super spreaders within potential groups may help to contain the magnitude of large outbreaks (17).

SARS-2 coronavirus has evolved to cause a prolonged pandemic through complex epidemiology routes. In many ways this serious SARS-2 pandemic that causes both social and economic catastrophe globally, must remind us Dr John Snow, a noble obstetrician in 1854 that stopped cholera epidemic only with his high skills in preventative epidemiology and negligible technological aid. In nowadays with such high technology utilized for diagnosis, clinical observation is also essential.

5. Conclusions

SARS-2 pandemic needs containment of super spread events in a more fastidious way. This can be achieved by early diagnosis of pre-symptomatic infected individuals within potential super spreading groups. These groups however should be allocated promptly. Prevention of SARS large outbreaks is more essential, especially due to the
unfortunate pandemic of SARS-2. The lack of efficient vaccination and therapeutic protocols makes the need of efficient prevention even more needful as SARS-2 virus follows complex infectious patterns.
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Table 1.

Potential groups of coronaviruses super spreaders within human population*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Potential route of transmission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis virus positive patients</td>
<td>Airborne (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis positive patients</td>
<td>Airborne (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV positive patients</td>
<td>Airborne and urine - fecal oral (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients receiving haemodialysis</td>
<td>Airborne (droplets by nebulizer) and fecal oral (2,3,11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSA Staphylococcus aureus acquisition</td>
<td>Constant Worn Glove Contact Transmission (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinovirus co-infections</td>
<td>Airborne (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal (Salmonella enteritis) co-infections</td>
<td>Fecal – oral transmission (9,13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent contact with wild animal reservoirs</td>
<td>Airborne and fecal – oral (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(including domestic animals) and birds**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction area workers</td>
<td>Air particles (2-3, 13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In both community and hospital environment. **Including slaughter houses, pet shops, animal and bird collectors and breeders, cow and pig farmers.