# Another Criterion For The Riemann Hypothesis

Frank Vega

*CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France*

**Abstract**

Let's define $\delta(x) = (\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} - \log\log x - B)$, where $B \approx 0.2614972128$ is the Meissel-Mertens constant. The Robin theorem states that $\delta(x)$ changes sign infinitely often. For $x \geq 2$, Nicolas defined the function $u(x) = \sum_{q > x} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right)$ and proved that $0 < u(x) \leq \frac{1}{2 \times (x-1)}$. We define the another function $\varpi(x) = \left(\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} - \log\log\theta(x) - B\right)$, where $\theta(x)$ is the Chebyshev function. Using the Nicolas theorem, we demonstrate that the Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality $\varpi(x) > u(x)$ is satisfied for all number $x \geq 3$. Consequently, we show that when the inequality $\varpi(x) \leq 0$ is satisfied for some number $x \geq 3$, then the Riemann Hypothesis should be false. Moreover, if the inequalities $\delta(x) \leq 0$ and $\theta(x) \geq x$ are satisfied for some number $x \geq 3$, then the Riemann Hypothesis should be false. In addition, we know that $\lim_{x\to\infty} \varpi(x) = 0$ because of $\lim_{x\to\infty} \delta(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\theta(x)}{x} = 1$.

*Keywords:* Riemann hypothesis, Nicolas theorem, Chebyshev function, prime numbers
*2000 MSC:* 11M26, 11A41, 11A25

## 1. Introduction

In mathematics, the Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$ [1]. Let $N_n = 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 11 \times \cdots \times p_n$ denotes a primorial number of order $n$ such that $p_n$ is the $n^{th}$ prime number. Say $\mathsf{Nicolas}(p_n)$ holds provided

$$\prod_{q \mid N_n} \frac{q}{q-1} > e^{\gamma} \times \log\log N_n.$$

The constant $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, log is the natural logarithm, and $q \mid N_n$ means the prime number $q$ divides to $N_n$. The importance of this property is:

**Theorem 1.1.** *[2], [3].* $\mathsf{Nicolas}(p_n)$ *holds for all prime number* $p_n > 2$ *if and only if the Riemann Hypothesis is true.*

In mathematics, the Chebyshev function $\theta(x)$ is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

where $p \leq x$ means all the prime numbers $p$ that are less than or equal to $x$. We know this:

*Email address:* vega.frank@gmail.com (Frank Vega)

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 6 July 2021 doi:10.20944/preprints202002.0379.v8

**Theorem 1.2.** *[4].*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\theta(x)}{x} = 1.$$

Let's define $H = \gamma - B$ such that $B \approx 0.2614972128$ is the Meissel-Mertens constant [5]. We know from the constant $H$, the following formula:

**Theorem 1.3.** *[6].*

$$\sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right) = \gamma - B = H.$$

For $x \geq 2$, Nicolas defined the function $u(x)$ as follows

$$u(x) = \sum_{q>x} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Nicolas showed that

**Theorem 1.4.** *[3]. For $x \geq 2$:*

$$0 < u(x) \leq \frac{1}{2 \times (x-1)}.$$

Let's define:

$$\delta(x) = \left(\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} - \log\log x - B\right).$$

Robin theorem states the following result:

**Theorem 1.5.** *[7]. $\delta(x)$ changes sign infinitely often.*

In addition, the Mertens second theorem states that:

**Theorem 1.6.** *[5].*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \delta(x) = 0.$$

We define another function:

$$\varpi(x) = \left(\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} - \log\log\theta(x) - B\right).$$

Putting all together yields the proof that the inequality $\varpi(x) > u(x)$ is satisfied for a number $x \geq 3$ if and only if $\mathsf{Nicolas}(p)$ holds, where $p$ is the greatest prime number such that $p \leq x$. In this way, we introduce another criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis based on the Nicolas criterion.

## 2. Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *The inequality $\varpi(x) > u(x)$ is satisfied for a number $x \geq 3$ if and only if $\mathsf{Nicolas}(p)$ holds, where $p$ is the greatest prime number such that $p \leq x$.*

*Proof.* We start from the inequality:

$$\varpi(x) > u(x)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} - \log\log\theta(x) - B\right) > \sum_{q > x}\left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Let's add the following formula to the both sides of the inequality,

$$\sum_{q \leq x}\left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right)$$

and due to the theorem 1.3, we obtain that

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \log\log\theta(x) - B > H$$

because of

$$H = \sum_{q \leq x}\left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \sum_{q > x}\left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) = \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{q \leq x}\left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Let's distribute it and remove $B$ from the both sides:

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) > \gamma + \log\log\theta(x)$$

since $H = \gamma - B$. If we apply the exponentiation to the both sides of the inequality, then we have that

$$\prod_{q \leq x} \frac{q}{q-1} > e^{\gamma} \times \log\theta(x)$$

which means that Nicolas($p$) holds, where $p$ is the greatest prime number such that $p \leq x$. The same happens in the reverse implication. □

**Theorem 2.2.** *The Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality $\varpi(x) > u(x)$ is satisfied for all number $x \geq 3$.*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of theorems 1.1 and 2.1. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *If the inequality $\varpi(x) \leq 0$ is satisfied for some number $x \geq 3$, then the Riemann Hypothesis should be false.*

*Proof.* This is an implication of theorems 1.4, 2.1 and 2.2. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *If the inequalities $\delta(x) \leq 0$ and $\theta(x) \geq x$ are satisfied for some number $x \geq 3$, then the Riemann Hypothesis should be false.*

 doi:10.20944/preprints202002.0379.v8

*Proof.* If the inequalities $\delta(x) \leq 0$ and $\theta(x) \geq x$ are satisfied for some number $x \geq 3$, then we obtain that $\varpi(x) \leq 0$ is also satisfied, which means that the Riemann Hypothesis should be false according to the lemma 2.3. □

**Lemma 2.5.**

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \varpi(x) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We know that $\lim_{x\to\infty} \varpi(x) = 0$ for the limits $\lim_{x\to\infty} \delta(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\theta(x)}{x} = 1$. In this way, this is a consequence from the theorems 1.6 and 1.2. □

## References


[1] P. B. Borwein, S. Choi, B. Rooney, A. Weirathmueller, The Riemann Hypothesis: A Resource for the Afficionado and Virtuoso Alike, Vol. 27, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.

[2] J.-L. Nicolas, Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler et hypothese de Riemann, Séminaire de Théorie des nombres DPP, Paris 82 (1981) 207–218.

[3] J.-L. Nicolas, Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler, Journal of number theory 17 (3) (1983) 375–388. doi:10.1016/0022-314X(83)90055-0.

[4] T. H. Grönwall, Some asymptotic expressions in the theory of numbers, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 14 (1) (1913) 113–122. doi:10.2307/1988773.

[5] F. Mertens, Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie., J. reine angew. Math. 1874 (78) (1874) 46–62. doi:10.1515/crll.1874.78.46.
URL https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1874.78.46

[6] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 19 (2) (2007) 357–372. doi:10.5802/jtnb.591.

[7] G. Robin, Sur l'ordre maximum de la fonction somme des diviseurs, Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou Paris 82 (1981) 233–242.