

Association between Neuropathic Pain Characteristics and DNA Methylation of *TRPA1* in Human Peripheral Blood

Shiho Takenaka,¹ Norihiko Sukenaga,¹ Mai Imasaka,² Masaki Ohmuraya,² Yuka Matsuki,³ Lynn Maeda,⁴ Yumiko Takao,¹ Munetaka Hirose¹

¹ Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Hyogo College of Medicine, Hyogo, Japan

² Department of Genetics, Hyogo College of Medicine, Hyogo, Japan

³ Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimatology, Faculty of Medicine Sciences, University of Fukui, Fukui, Japan

⁴ Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management, Nishinomiya Municipal Central Hospital, Hyogo, Japan

Correspondence to: Munetaka Hirose, MD, PhD, Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Hyogo College of Medicine, 1-1 Mukogawa-cho, Nishinomiya, Hyogo 663-8501, Japan

Tel: +81-798-45-6392; Fax: +81-798-45-6392

E-mail: mhirose@hyo-med.ac.jp

Abstract

1) Background: Elucidation of epigenetic mechanisms correlating with neuropathic pain in humans is crucial for the prevention and treatment of this treatment-resistant pain state. In the present study, associations between neuropathic pain characteristics and DNA methylation of the *transient receptor potential ankyrin 1 (TRPA1)* gene were evaluated in chronic pain patients and preoperative patients. 2) Methods: Pain and psychological states were prospectively assessed in patients who suffered chronic pain or were scheduled for thoracic surgery. Neuropathic characteristics were assessed using the Douleur Neuropathique 4 (DN4) questionnaire. DNA methylation levels of the CpG island in the *TRPA1* gene were examined using whole blood. 3) Results: Forty-eight adult patients were enrolled in this study. Increases in DNA methylation rates at CpG -51 showed positive correlations with increases in the DN4 score both in preoperative and chronic pain patients. Combined methylation rates at CpG -51 also significantly increased together with increase in DN4 scores. 4) Conclusions: Neuropathic pain characteristics are likely associated with methylation rates at the promoter region of the *TRPA1* gene in human peripheral blood.

Key words: Neuropathic pain; Postoperative pain; Thoracic Surgery, Video-Assisted.

1. Introduction

Neuropathic pain, which is caused by a lesion or disease of the somatosensory nervous system, is a painful condition contributing to depression, anxiety and poor quality of life. Although associations between epigenetic changes and neuropathic pain have been examined in animal models of neuropathic pain, these have not been well evaluated in humans [1, 2]. Elucidation of epigenetic mechanisms correlating with neuropathic pain in humans is crucial for the prevention and treatment of this treatment-resistant pain state.

Epigenetic alterations include histone modifications, DNA methylation, and non-coding RNAs [1]. DNA methylation levels of CpG at -628 bp of the first exon of *transient receptor potential ankyrin 1 (TRPA1)* in whole blood was previously shown to be associated with heat or pressure pain thresholds in healthy humans [3-5]. Associations between methylation rate of CpG and neuropathic pain, however, have not been evaluated, although increases in DNA methylation levels of CpG at -51 bp of *TRPA1* (GRCh37/hg19, Chr8:72987870) in whole blood have been shown to have a significant correlation with neuropathic pain characteristics in chronic pain patients [6].

The Douleur Neuropathique 4 (DN4) questionnaire, which includes ten pain characteristics, was developed to screen for neuropathic pain [7, 8]. These neuropathic pain characteristics reportedly correlate with pain intensity, depression and anxiety in patients with chronic pain [9, 10] and cancer pain [11, 12].

To reveal the associations of DNA methylation of *TRPA1* with neuropathic pain and psychological variables, we examined neuropathic pain characteristics and psychological states, and measured the methylation rate at the promoter region of the *TRPA1* gene, including CpG -51 in the whole blood of patients who suffered chronic pain or were scheduled to undergo thoracic surgery for lung cancer.

2. Results

2.1. Patient demographics

Table 1 shows the demographics of the 48 patients. Among preoperative patients (n=24), five patients had pain at the site of surgery before thoracic surgery for lung cancer, where pain assessments were performed. Patients with chronic pain (n=24) had chronic low back pain (n=16) and postherpetic neuralgia (n=8). There were no significant differences in age ($P = 0.2264$) and body mass index (BMI) ($P = 0.9562$) between preoperative and chronic pain patients. There were significant differences in sex ($P = 0.0417$), Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) scores representing pain intensity ($P < 0.0001$), DN4 scores ($P < 0.0001$), and Self-Rating Questionnaire for Depression (SRQ-D) scores for evaluating the state of depression between the two patient groups ($P = 0.0465$). State-Trait Anxiety Inventory 1 (STAI-1) scores for assessing anxiety levels were not significantly different between preoperative and chronic pain patients ($P = 0.1762$) (Table 1).

2.2. Neuropathic pain characteristics in preoperative patients and chronic pain

patients

In preoperative patients, one patient (4.2%) had neuropathic pain, and five patients (20.8%) had non-neuropathic pain. In chronic pain patients, neuropathic pain was observed in four patients with postherpetic neuralgia (50.0%) and in six patients with chronic low back pain (37.5%). Non-neuropathic pain was observed in three patients with postherpetic neuralgia (37.5%), and eight patients with chronic low back pain (50.0%).

2.3. *Univariate analysis between DNA methylation rates, NRS, DN4 and SRQ-D scores*

There were significant differences in DNA methylation rates of CpG at -105, -97, -53, -51, -19 and -17 of the first exon of the *TRPA1* in the peripheral blood of preoperative and chronic pain patients (Fig. 1). Mean methylation rate of these six CpG sites also showed significant differences between preoperative and chronic pain patients (Fig. 1). DNA methylation rates at CpG -51 were positively associated with the DN4 scores both in chronic pain patients and preoperative pain patients (Table 2). Combined methylation rates at CpG -51 were also significantly associated with the DN4 scores (Table 2, Fig. 2). Furthermore, combined methylation rates at the other CpG sites examined in all patients showed positive correlations with the NRS and DN4 scores (Table 2). Combined methylation rates at CpG -105 and CpG -51 were significantly associated with SRQ-D scores (Table 3).

2.4. *Associations between DNA methylation rates and pain states in all patients*

Pain intensity was graded as no pain, mild-moderate pain and severe pain in 24, eight and 16 patients, respectively. In patients with severe pain, all the examined CpG sites showed significant increases in combined methylation rates compared to those in patients without pain (Fig. 3A). The number of patients without neuropathic pain characteristics (DN4 score = 0) was 22, that with non-neuropathic pain (DN4 score = 1-3) was 8, and that with neuropathic pain (DN4 score \geq 4) was 18. Combined DNA methylation rates at CpG -53, CpG -51 and CpG -17 significantly increased in the order of the increase in DN4 score (Fig. 2B). Mean methylation rate of the six CpG sites also significantly increased in the order of the increase in DN4 score (Fig. 3B).

2.5. *Associations between DNA methylation rates and psychological states in all patients*

Normal, borderline and mild depression states were seen in 34, nine and five patients, respectively. Combined methylation rates at CpG -105 and CpG -51, and also mean methylation rate in patients with mild depression were significantly higher than in normal patients (Fig. 4A). Twenty and 28 patients, respectively showed low and high anxiety levels. There were no significant differences in methylation rates between patients with low and high anxiety levels (Fig. 4B).

3. Discussion

Several previous reports have stated that the level of DNA methylation, which is one of the principal mechanisms of epigenetic changes, in the peripheral blood of humans correlates with chronic pain in patients with persistent postsurgical pain [13-15], fibromyalgia [16], and chronic widespread musculoskeletal pain [17]. Associations between neuropathic pain and DNA methylation, however, have not been well evaluated. Our results showed a positive correlation between neuropathic pain states and DNA methylation levels of CpG -97, -53 and -51 in the promoter region of *TRPA1* in the whole blood, which corresponds to the relationship between the DN4 score and DNA methylation rate at CpG -51 of *TRPA1* in chronic pain patients shown in our previous study [6]. These results suggest that DNA methylation at the promoter region of *TRPA1* in peripheral blood might be associated with the presence of neuropathic pain characteristics in humans.

Over the half of chronic pain patients had neuropathic pain in the present study. The number of neuropathic pain characteristics are also reportedly high in patients with postherpetic neuralgia [18, 19] or chronic low back pain [20, 21]. On the other hand, few patients showed neuropathic pain in preoperative patients in the present study. Even in preoperative patients whose DN4 score and DNA methylation rate were relatively low compared to chronic pain patients, the methylation rate at CpG -51 was significantly associated with the DN4 score in preoperative patients, same as in the results of chronic pain patients. Given that the significant relationship between DN4 scores and DNA methylation rates at CpG -51 of *TRPA1* shown in our preliminary study [6], this site might be predominantly associated with neuropathic pain characteristics.

Gombert et al. [4] reported that an increase in CpG -628 methylation significantly correlates with a decrease in the pressure pain threshold in healthy subjects. The methylation rate at CpG -51, however, showed no correlation with pressure pain threshold [4]. Since a low pressure pain threshold is observed in patients with neuropathic pain [22, 23], further investigations are needed to evaluate the association between pressure pain threshold and DNA methylation at CpG -51 in patients under treatment for neuropathic pain.

Increases in the methylation rates of *TRPA1* tended to be associated with pain intensity or depression, but not with anxiety, in the present study. Although neuropathic pain characteristics correlate with pain intensity and depression [9-12], there might be no clear relationship between *TRPA1* methylation rates, pain intensity and depression. Therefore, DNA methylation of the *TRPA1* is unlikely a confounder for pain intensity or depression in neuropathic pain states.

A limitation of this study is that the origin of the *TRPA1* gene in whole blood is unclear. *TRPA1* expression as a nociceptor at primary sensory neurons plays pivotal roles in the development and maintenance of neuropathic pain [24, 25]. On the other hand, *TRPA1* expressed in immune cells also contributes to chronic pain [26]. Although methylation rates of the *TRPA1* promoter region can be a biomarker for neuropathic pain, the origin of the *TRPA1* gene in peripheral blood do not necessarily correlate with the peripheral nervous system and immune cells [27, 28]. Further investigations are needed to reveal the origin of the *TRPA1* gene.

Another limitation of this study is that the effects of drugs used for neuropathic pain on methylation rates of the *TRPA1* gene cannot be excluded. Following to the guidelines for the pharmacological management of neuropathic pain [29], anti-convulsants, anti-depressants, or opioids were prescribed for treatment of neuropathic pain in the present study. There is a growing body of evidence suggesting that the significance of DNA methylation in drug dependence [30]. Further investigations are required to elucidate whether DNA methylation of *TRPA1* is directly associated with mechanisms of neuropathic pain or is caused by other effects including treatments.

In conclusion, DNA methylation rates at CpG islands of the promoter region of *TRPA1* are likely associated with neuropathic pain characteristics in humans.

4. Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hyogo College of Medicine (#0239) and was registered in the UMIN Clinical Trials Registry (UMIN000014908).

4.1. Population

A total of 48 patients who were under treatment for chronic pain (n=24), defined as pain that lasted or recurred for more than three months, or were scheduled for thoracic surgery for lung cancer (n=24), were enrolled in this prospective study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Eligibility criteria were age over 20 years and American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I–III.

Exclusion criteria included presence of a psychiatric or neurologic disorder, liver or renal dysfunction, and previous thoracic surgery. All the enrolled participants completed psychological and pain assessments at the pain clinic for patients with chronic pain, or at the inpatient ward for preoperative patients at Hyogo College of Medicine Hospital. The present study included the previous data of 12 patients with chronic pain who participated in our preliminary study [6], under the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Hyogo College of Medicine (#0239).

4.2. *Pain assessments*

Pain intensity was assessed using a NRS. The NRS, which consists of assessment using a 0 – 10 point scale, was used to assess pain intensity at rest. The lowest value (0) was labeled ‘no pain’ and the highest value (10) was labeled ‘worst imaginable pain’. Pain intensity was divided into three grades, namely NRS = 0: no pain, NRS = 1 – 3: mild-moderate pain, and NRS \geq 4: severe pain.

We used the DN4 questionnaire to discriminate neuropathic pain from other pain states [7, 8]. The DN4 questionnaire evaluates 10 items: characteristics of pain (burning (1), painful cold (2), electric shocks (3)), symptoms in the region of pain (tingling (4), pins and needles (5), numbness (6), itching (7)), localized pain (hypoesthesia to touch (8), hypoesthesia to pricking (9)) and pain caused or increased by brushing in the painful area (10). Items #1 to #7 of the DN4 questionnaire are answered by interviewing patients, and items #8 to #10 require examination of patients. DN4 score is a total count of these 10 items for each patient, and the cut-off value for the diagnosis of neuropathic

pain is a score of 4/10 [7, 8]. DN4 scores were graded as three levels, i.e. DN4 of 0: no pain characteristics, DN4 of 1-3: non-neuropathic pain, and DN4 \geq 4: neuropathic pain.

4.3. *Psychological assessments*

The SRQ-D was used to evaluate the state of depression [31], and the STAI-1 was used to assess anxiety levels [32]. SRQ-D scores were graded as three levels: SRQ-D \leq 9: normal, SRQ-D = 10 – 15: borderline, and SRQ-D \geq 16: mild depression. STAI-1 scores were graded as STAI-1 < 40: low anxiety, and STAI \geq 40: high anxiety levels.

4.4. *Preoperative blood examination*

To examine the DNA methylation rates at the CpG site of the *TRPA1* gene, peripheral blood was collected from each patient after conducting the interviews and physical examinations for pain and psychological assessments, and stored at -80°C until analyzed. Genome-wide assays of DNA methylation were performed using the Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, U.S.A.) by G&G Science Co., Ltd. (Fukushima, Japan), and 6 β -values in the CpG-island at CpG -105, CpG -97, CpG -53, CpG -51, CpG -19, and CpG -17 (Chr8:72987924, Chr8:72987916, Chr8:72987872, Chr8:72987870, Chr8:72987838, and Chr8:72987836: GRCh37/hg19) of the *TRPA1* gene were selected in chronic pain patients. Each β -value represents the methylation rate of each analyzed CpG site. In preoperative patients, bisulfite next-generation sequencing NGS analysis was used to detect the DNA methylation rate of CpG islands from -203 to -17 at the promoter site of *TRPA1* (Takara Bio Inc. Kusatsu,

Japan). Since both methods of HumanMethylation450 and NGS analysis use bisulfite, results of methylation rate correspond to each other. DNA methylation rate reportedly shows a moderate to strong correlation between two methods using HumanMethylation450 and bisulfite NGS analysis [33].

4.5. *Statistics*

All statistical testing was two-sided with a significance level of 5% and was performed using JMP Pro version 13.1.0 software (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, United States). We performed univariate regression analysis to investigate associations between pain states, psychological states, and the rate of DNA methylation. The Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Wilcoxon test or chi-square test was used to compare patient demographics, pain and psychological states.

Funding: M.H. was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research KAKENHI (18K08875) and the Research Basis Formation Supporting Project for Private University (S1411041).

Conflicts of Interests: The authors declare no conflicts of interest in relation to this work.

Abbreviations

ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists

BMI Body mass index;
DN4 Douleur Neuropathique 4 questionnaire
NRS Numerical Rating Scale
SRQ-D Self-Rating Questionnaire for Depression
STAI-1 State-Trait Anxiety Inventory 1
TRPA1 Transient receptor potential ankyrin 1

References

1. Penas C, Navarro X. Epigenetic Modifications Associated to Neuroinflammation and Neuropathic Pain After Neural Trauma. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2018; 12: 158. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2018.00158.
2. Machelska H, Celik MÖ. Recent advances in understanding neuropathic pain: glia, sex differences, and epigenetics. *F1000Res* 2016; 5: 2743. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.9621.1.
3. Bell JT, Loomis AK, Butcher LM, Gao F, Zhang B, Hyde CL, Sun J, Wu H, Ward K, Harris J, Scollen S, Davies MN, Schalkwyk LC, Mill J; MuTHER Consortium, Williams FM, Li N, Deloukas P, Beck S, McMahon SB, Wang J, John SL, Spector TD. Differential methylation of the TRPA1 promoter in pain sensitivity. *Nat Commun* 2014; 5: 2978. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3978.
4. Gombert S, Rhein M, Eberhardt M, Münster T, Bleich S, Leffler A, Frieling H. Epigenetic divergence in the TRPA1 promoter correlates with pressure pain thresholds in healthy individuals. *Pain* 2017; 158: 698-704. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000815.
5. Achenbach J, Rhein M, Gombert S, Meyer-Bockenkamp F, Buhck M, Eberhardt M, Leffler A, Frieling H, Karst M. Childhood traumatization is associated with differences in TRPA1 promoter methylation in female patients with multisomatoform disorder with

pain as the leading bodily symptom. *Clin Epigenetics* 2019; 11: 126. doi: 10.1186/s13148-019-0731-0.

6. Sukenaga N, Ikeda-Miyagawa Y, Tanada D, Tunetoh T, Nakano S, Inui T, Satoh K, Okutani H, Noguchi K, Hirose M. Correlation Between DNA Methylation of TRPA1 and Chronic Pain States in Human Whole Blood Cells. *Pain Med* 2016; 17: 1906-10. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnv088.

7. Bouhassira D, Attal N, Alchaar H, Boureau F, Brochet B, Bruxelle J, Cunin G, Fermanian J, Ginies P, Grun-Overdyking A, Jafari-Schluep H, Lantéri-Minet M, Laurent B, Mick G, Serrie A, Valade D, Vicaut E. Comparison of pain syndromes associated with nervous or somatic lesions and development of a new neuropathic pain diagnostic questionnaire (DN4). *Pain*. 2005; 114: 29-36. DOI: 10.1016/j.pain.2004.12.010.

8. Matsuki Y, Sukenaga N, Miyagi K, Tsunetoh T, Mizogami M, Shigemi K, Maeda L, Hirose M. Reliability and validity of the Japanese translation of the DN4 Diagnostic Questionnaire in patients with neuropathic pain. *J Anesth* 2018; 32: 403-8. doi: 10.1007/s00540-018-2495-7.

9. Bouhassira D, Letanoux M, Hartemann A. Chronic pain with neuropathic characteristics in diabetic patients: a French cross-sectional study. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e74195. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074195.

10. VanDenKerkhof EG, Stitt L, Clark AJ, Gordon A, Lynch M, Morley-Forster PK, Nathan HJ, Smyth C, Toth C, Ware MA, Moulin DE. Sensitivity of the DN4 in Screening for Neuropathic Pain Syndromes. *Clin J Pain* 2018; 34: 30-36. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0000000000000512.
11. Golan-Vered Y, Pud D. Chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain and its relation to cluster symptoms in breast cancer patients treated with paclitaxel. *Pain Pract* 2013; 13: 46-52. doi: 10.1111/j.1533-2500.2012.00554.x.
12. Reis-Pina P, Acharya A, Lawlor PG. Cancer Pain With a Neuropathic Component: A Cross-sectional Study of Its Clinical Characteristics, Associated Psychological Distress, Treatments, and Predictors at Referral to a Cancer Pain Clinic. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2018; 55: 297-306. doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.08.028.
13. Chidambaran V, Zhang X, Martin LJ, Ding L, Weirauch MT, Geisler K, Stubbeman BL, Sadhasivam S, Ji H. DNA methylation at the mu-opioid receptor gene (OPRM1) promoter predicts preoperative, acute, and chronic postsurgical pain after spine fusion. *Pharmacogenomics Pers Med* 2017; 10: 157-68. doi: 10.2147/PGPM.S132691.
14. Stephens KE, Levine JD, Aouizerat BE, Paul SM, Abrams G, Conley YP, Miaskowski C. Associations between genetic and epigenetic variations in cytokine genes and mild persistent breast pain in women following breast cancer surgery. *Cytokine* 2017; 99: 201-13. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2017.07.006.

15. Chidambaran V, Zhang X, Geisler K, Stubbeman BL, Chen X, Weirauch MT, Meller J, Ji H. Enrichment of Genomic Pathways Based on Differential DNA Methylation Associated With Chronic Postsurgical Pain and Anxiety in Children: A Prospective, Pilot Study. *J Pain* 2019; 20: 771-785. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2018.12.008.
16. Ciampi de Andrade D, Maschietto M, Galhardoni R, Gouveia G, Chile T, Victorino Krepischi AC, Dale CS, Brunoni AR, Parravano DC, Cueva Moscoso AS, Raicher I, Kaziyama HHS, Teixeira MJ, Brentani HP. Epigenetics insights into chronic pain: DNA hypomethylation in fibromyalgia-a controlled pilot-study. *Pain* 2017; 158: 1473-1480. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000932.
17. Livshits G, Malkin I, Freidin MB, Xia Y, Gao F, Wang J, Spector TD, MacGregor A, Bell JT, Williams FMK. Genome-wide methylation analysis of a large population sample shows neurological pathways involvement in chronic widespread musculoskeletal pain. *Pain* 2017; 158: 1053-1062. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000880.
18. Bouhassira D, Chassany O, Gaillat J, Hanslik T, Launay O, Mann C, Rabaud C, Rogeaux O, Strady C. Patient perspective on herpes zoster and its complications: an observational prospective study in patients aged over 50 years in general practice. *Pain* 2012; 153: 342-9. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2011.10.026

19. Pica F, Gatti A, Divizia M, Lazzari M, Ciotti M, Sabato AF, Volpi A. One-year follow-up of patients with long-lasting post-herpetic neuralgia. *BMC Infect Dis* 2014; 14: 556. doi: 10.1186/s12879-014-0556-6.
20. Attal N, Perrot S, Fermanian J, Bouhassira D. The neuropathic components of chronic low back pain: a prospective multicenter study using the DN4 Questionnaire. *J Pain* 2011; 12: 1080-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2011.05.006.
21. Sivas F, Uzun Ö, Başkan B, Bodur H. The neuropathic pain component among patients with chronic low back-radicular pain. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil* 2018; 31: 939-946. doi: 10.3233/BMR-160786.
22. Gierthmühlen J, Henrich F, Hüllemann P, Klein T, Lötsch J, Maier C, Oertel B, Schuh-Hofer S, Tölle TR, Treede RD. Pathophysiological mechanisms of neuropathic pain: comparison of sensory phenotypes in patients and human surrogate pain models. Vollert J, Magerl W, Baron R, Binder A, Enax-Krumova EK, Geisslinger G. *Pain* 2018; 159: 1090-1102. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001190.
23. Held M, Karl F, Vlckova E, Rajdova A, Escolano-Lozano F, Stetter C, Bharti R, Förstner KU, Leinders M, Dušek L, Birklein F, Bednarik J, Sommer C, Üçeyler N. Sensory profiles and immune-related expression patterns of patients with and without neuropathic pain after peripheral nerve lesion. *Pain* 2019; 160: 2316-2327. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001623.

24. Basso L, Altier C. Transient Receptor Potential Channels in neuropathic pain. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2017; 32: 9-15. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2016.10.002.
25. Koivisto A, Jalava N, Bratty R, Pertovaara A. TRPA1 Antagonists for Pain Relief. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* 2018; 11: pii: E117. doi: 10.3390/ph11040117.
26. Sahoo SS, Majhi RK, Tiwari A, Acharya T, Kumar PS, Saha S, Kumar A, Goswami C, Chattopadhyay S. Transient receptor potential ankyrin1 channel is endogenously expressed in T cells and is involved in immune functions. *Biosci Rep* 2019; 39: pii: BSR20191437. doi: 10.1042/BSR20191437.
27. Thierry AR, El Messaoudi S, Gahan PB, Anker P, Stroun M. Origins, structures, and functions of circulating DNA in oncology. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2016; 35: 347-76. doi: 10.1007/s10555-016-9629-x.
28. Braun PR, Han S, Hing B, Nagahama Y, Gaul LN, Heinzman JT, Grossbach AJ, Close L, Dlouhy BJ, Howard MA 3rd, Kawasaki H, Potash JB, Shinozaki G. Genome-wide DNA methylation comparison between live human brain and peripheral tissues within individuals. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2019; 9: 47. doi: 10.1038/s41398-019-0376-y.
29. The Committee for the Guidelines for the Pharmacologic Management of Neuropathic Pain (Revised) of JSPC, eds. *Guidelines for the Pharmacologic Management of Neuropathic Pain* 2nd ed. Tokyo: Shinko Trading Co. Ltd.; 2016.

30. Mahna D, Puri S, Sharma S. DNA methylation signatures: Biomarkers of drug and alcohol abuse. *Mutat Res* 2018; 777: 19-28. doi: 10.1016/j.mrrev.2018.06.002.
31. Rockliff BW. A brief self-rating questionnaire for depression (SRQ-D). *Psychosomatics* 1969; 10: 236-43. DOI: 10.1016/S0033-3182(69)71734-0.
32. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE. *Manual for State–Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)* Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press. 1970.
33. Miyake K, Kawaguchi A, Miura R, Kobayashi S, Tran NQV, Kobayashi S, Miyashita C, Araki A, Kubota T, Yamagata Z, Kishi R. Association between DNA methylation in cord blood and maternal smoking: The Hokkaido Study on Environment and Children's Health. *Sci Rep.* 2018; 8: 5654. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-23772-x.

Table 1 Patient demographics

	All patients (n=48)	Preoperative patients (n=24)	Chronic pain patients (n=24)
Age (yrs)	68.8 ± 10.2	67.9 ± 9.0	69.7 ± 11.5
BMI (kg·m ⁻²)	23.2 ± 3.1	23.2 ± 3.0	23.2 ± 3.3
Gender (M/F), n	21/27	14/10	7/17*
NRS score	1 [0 – 6]	0 [0 – 0]	6 [3 – 7]**
DN4 score	1 [0 – 3]	0 [0 – 1]	3 [1 – 4]**
SRQ-D score	7 [4 – 13]	4 [3 – 8]	8 [4 – 15]*
STAI-1 score	41 [31– 50]	45 [37– 53]	39 [28– 50]

Data are presented as mean ± SD or median [25-75 percentile]. BMI, body mass index; DN4, Douleur Neuropathique 4 questionnaire; NRS, numerical rating scale; SRQ-D, Self-Rating Questionnaire for Depression; STAI, State Trait Anxiety Index. * $p < 0.05$ and ** $p < 0.01$ vs. preoperative patients were considered statistically significant.

Table 2 Univariate regression analyses for associations between pain states and DNA methylation levels of CpG islands at *TRPA1* gene

<i>TRPA1</i> CpG island	NRS score, β (<i>P</i> value)			DN4 score, β (<i>P</i> value)		
	All patients	Pre -operative patients	Chronic pain patients	All patients	Pre -operative patients	Chronic pain patients
CpG -105 methylation	0.505 (0.0003**)	-0.132 (0.5485)	0.290 (0.1694)	0.631 (<0.0001**)	0.268 (0.2063)	0.520 (0.0093**)
CpG -97 methylation	0.346 (0.0174*)	-0.018 (0.9342)	0.131 (0.5427)	0.432 (<0.0022**)	-0.228 (0.2836)	0.425 (0.0385*)
CpG -53 methylation	0.674 (<0.0001**)	0.001 (0.9767)	0.036 (0.8677)	0.574 (<0.0001**)	-0.291 (0.168)	0.195 (0.3622)
CpG -51 methylation	0.708 (<0.0001**)	-0.019 (0.9326)	0.393 (0.0573)	0.721 (<0.0001**)	0.518 (0.0095**)	0.532 (0.0075**)
CpG -19 methylation	0.326 (0.0255*)	-0.080 (0.7158)	-0.016 (0.9427)	0.329 (0.0224*)	-0.251 (0.2359)	0.157 (0.4642)
CpG -17 methylation	0.740 (<0.0001**)	0.081 (0.7136)	-0.006 (0.9776)	0.591 (<0.0001**)	-0.065 (0.7631)	-0.016 (0.9405)
Mean methylation	0.725 (<0.0001**)	-0.048 (0.8264)	0.225 (0.2912)	0.695 (<0.0001**)	0.1804 (0.3989)	0.475 (0.0191*)

Data are presented as median [25-75 percentile]. DN4, Douleur Neuropathique 4 questionnaire; NRS, numerical rating scale; TRPA1; transient receptor potential ankyrin

1. * $P < 0.05$ and ** $P < 0.01$ were considered statistically significant.

Table 3 Univariate regression analyses for associations between psychological states and DNA methylation levels of CpG islands at TRPA1 gene

<i>TRPA1</i> CpG island	SRQ-D score, β (<i>P</i> value)			STAI-1 score, β (<i>P</i> value)		
	All patients	Pre-operative patients	Chronic pain patients	All patients	Pre-operative patients	Chronic pain patients
CpG -105 methylation	0.364 (0.0119*)	0.439 (0.0360*)	0.206 (0.3347)	0.057 (0.7036)	0.264 (0.2241)	0.187 (0.3824)
CpG -97 methylation	0.053 (0.7250)	-0.464 (0.0259*)	0.146 (0.4952)	-0.084 (0.5707)	-0.236 (0.2782)	0.124 (0.5645)
CpG -53 methylation	0.246 (0.0958)	-0.523 (0.0104*)	0.252 (0.2357)	-0.235 (0.1124)	-0.167 (0.4453)	-0.037 (0.8646)
CpG -51 methylation	0.452 (0.0014**)	0.602 (0.0024**)	0.230 (0.2794)	-0.033 (0.8269)	0.470 (0.0238*)	0.067 (0.7563)
CpG -19 methylation	0.115 (0.4401)	-0.468 (0.0243*)	0.202 (0.3444)	-0.236 (0.1098)	-0.143 (0.5139)	-0.167 (0.4359)
CpG -17 methylation	0.237 (0.1092)	-0.416 (0.0481*)	0.033 (0.8780)	-0.284 (0.0527)	-0.300 (0.1677)	-0.236 (0.2669)
Mean methylation	0.329 (0.0238*)	0.090 (0.6827)	0.257 (0.2254)	-0.161 (0.2790)	0.165 (0.4526)	0.046 (0.8300)

Data are presented as median [25-75 percentile]. SRQ-D, Self-Rating Questionnaire for Depression; STAI, State Trait Anxiety Index; TRPA1; transient receptor potential

ankyrin 1. * $P < 0.05$ and ** $P < 0.01$ were considered statistically significant.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Comparison of DNA methylation rates between preoperative and chronic pain patients

** $p < 0.01$ vs. preoperative patients was considered statistically significant.

Figure 2: Association between DN4 score and DNA methylation rate at CpG -51 of *TRPA1* in all patients

Preoperative patients (gray circle) and chronic pain patients (black circle).

Figure 3: Associations between DNA methylation rates of *TRPA1* and pain intensity (A) and neuropathic pain state (B) in all patients

Differences in pain intensity with * $p < 0.05$ and ** $p < 0.01$ vs. no pain were considered statistically significant (A). Differences in neuropathic pain state with * $p < 0.05$ and ** $p < 0.01$ vs. no pain characteristics, and # $p < 0.05$ and ## $p < 0.01$ vs. non-neuropathic pain were considered statistically significant (B).

Figure 4: Associations between DNA methylation rate of *TRPA1* and depression (A) and anxiety (B) in all patients

Differences in depression status with $*p < 0.05$ vs. normal were considered statistically significant (A).