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Abstract

The purpose of this systematic review is to provide second language acquisition (SLA) researchers with an overview of research trends in this field in the last ten years (2009-2019). In doing so, three international peer-reviewed journals, Studies in Second Language Acquisition, Language Teaching Research, and The Modern Language Journal, were selected. 150 articles were systematically selected and analyzed. The analysis of data resulted in identifying six descriptive themes. Results showed that some areas of second language acquisition still need further exploration while some areas are of great interest for researchers. Some suggestions for future research as well as implications of the study were discussed in detail.
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Introduction

Second language acquisition is the acquisition of a new language beyond one’s first language. Although researchers and scholars distinguish between learning and acquisition, these two words are used interchangeably in SLA research. Not only are learning and acquisition used interchangeably. Second language and foreign language are used in a similar fashion as well (Chen, 2018). Second language acquisition (SLA) has experienced an enormous expansion in terms of research and methodology since its inception (Pica, 2005). This increase of research is one of the most influential reasons for researchers to branch out into areas that previously were unexplored. As the number of studies in SLA increase, the number of topics, language teaching methodologies and theories increases, too. Pica (2005, p. 1) mentioned this wide range of enhancement in SLA and research and stated that “the field has become increasingly bidirectional and multi-faceted in its applications.” This wide spectrum needs to be summarized in an organized and systematic way to let researchers keep up with the pace and find out what has already been done in the past and what needs to be done in the future.

Larsen-Freeman (2018b) explained the history of the modern-day study of second language acquisition (SLA) briefly. “The modern-day study of SLA” established by the help of Corder (1967) and Selinker (1972) moved to recent ideas of teaching second language such as form-focused instruction (Larsen-Freeman, 2018b, p. 56-57). The author is also concerned with the complexity of the acquisition of a second language in modern days. This complexity is due to various effective factors that not only seem to act independently, are dependent on each other as well. “This means that while some SLA researchers will continue to identify new variables, others will not simply focus on one component of a complex developing system but rather will look at the changing relationships among many of them” (Larsen-Freeman, 2018b, p. 59).

In doing so, this systematic review focuses on research in SLA by focusing on topics and findings of studies published in three international, peer-reviewed empirical journals, Language Teaching Research,
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Studies in Second Language Acquisition, and The Modern Language Journal, during the past ten years (2009 – September 2019). The purpose of this systematic review is to provide researchers with an overview of various aspects of SLA research that have been considered by researchers and scholars as hot topics or hot areas of research at least in the past decade. Although “various strategies, techniques, methods, tools, and technologies” have been applied by teachers to ease convoluted language learning process, there is still a need for further exploration of some aspects (Karami, 2019, p. 60).

This review answers two research questions by focusing on topics and focus of articles. The research questions are as follows:

1) What basic topics seem to be of interest to researchers in your discipline in the past decade?

2) What trends in the topics of interest during that time?

Methodology

To investigate topics of interest and research areas in the field of second language acquisition (SLA), a systematic review was conducted using three international, peer-reviewed journals. Language Teaching Research, Studies in Second Language Acquisition, and The Modern Language Journal were selected due to their strong focus on SLA, their ranking, their peer-review policy, their impact factor, and their popularity among SLA researchers and scholars.

Total number of 1306 articles including, research studies, literature reviews, research reports, and so on were published in these journals during the past ten years from 2009 up to September 15, 2019. Editorial notes or some other types of articles published by editors or guest editors such as introduction to this issue by editors have been excluded from this number. Figure 1 shows the number of articles published by each journal separately from 2009 to September 2019.
Since the intended number of articles to be included in this systematic review was 150 in total and 50 from each journal, the articles were selected from each journal based on the following table:

**Table 1. Article Search Criteria.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Journal</th>
<th>Total Number of Articles Published in Each Journal (2000 – September 2019)</th>
<th>Selection Criteria</th>
<th>Total Number of Articles Selected from Each Journal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Studies in Second Language Acquisition</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>Every 10\textsuperscript{th} article $511/50 = 10$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Teaching Research</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>Every 7\textsuperscript{th} article $362/50 = 7$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Modern Language Journal</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>Every 9\textsuperscript{th} article $433/50 = 9$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first journal (Studies in Second Language Acquisition) has four issues for each year with six to eight articles in each issue. This journal publishes *A Word from the Editor* in each issue as well as
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Research Reports, SLA Cover and Front Matter, and SLA Cover and Back Matter. The author of this systematic review focused on the main body of each issue and articles published under the name of Research Article.

The number of articles in each issue in the second journal (Language Teaching Research) was around eight with one number above or below. So, the first article from each issue was selected with disregarding Editorial Notes, Editorial Introduction, and Notes on Contributors. In other words, the first article in the main body of each issue were considered to be included in this systematic review.

The Modern Language Journal is published in four issues with one supplemental issue each year. Each issue includes both research articles and review papers. Since the number of articles published in each issue is around seven to ten, the author decided to choose the first article from each issue. Figure 1 shows an overview of the systematic search process.

Figure 1. An overview of the systematic search process of articles in three international, peer-reviewed journals from 2009-2019

Following the selection criteria of articles from three international, peer-reviewed journals, a table (see Appendix I, II, and III) was constructed to capture the most important information of articles such as their focus, type, data collection method, and results.

Thematic analysis, which was defined by Braun and Clarke (2006, p. 6) as “a method for identifying, analysing, and reporting patterns (themes) within data,” was used to analyze data. In doing
so, a table was provided (see Appendix IV) by following recommended steps of Braun and Clarke (2006).

Careful analysis of data led to six descriptive themes.

**Identified Themes**

1) **Language Teaching Strategies and Pedagogical Recommendations for Teachers**

It seems that teaching strategies and pedagogical practices are of the greatest concern for researchers in the field of second language acquisition. The total number of studies that have put language teaching strategies and pedagogical practices into consideration is 63 which is 42% of the total articles (150) that were selected to be included in this study. Language teaching strategies and pedagogical practices that include more than one-third of research studies are but not limited to strategies and practices such as peer-interaction, form-focused instruction, explicit and implicit instruction, assessment strategies, feedback, task repetition, input and output-based instruction, task-based language teaching, language teaching materials, student engagement, teacher’s knowledge, grammar pedagogy, teachers’ professional development, and so on.

2) **Language Skills, Subskills, and Development**

The second most important area of research for second language acquisition researchers is language skills and subskills. Listening, speaking, reading, and writing as main skills or macro skills of a language and vocabulary, grammar, pronunciation as subskills or micro skills of a language. The results of the thematic analysis showed that 45 articles out of 150 (30%) fall in this category. Within that 30%, 18 out of 46 articles (39.13%) have focused on grammar and its integration with other areas such as reading, writing, phonology, and so on. Studies about vocabulary and its integration into other areas such as vocabulary development, vocabulary and reading, vocabulary acquisition and phonology, and so on stand second with 15 out of 46 (32.60%) studies.
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Figure 3. Research contributions to language skills, subskills, and language development within past ten years

3) Advanced Influential Factors in Second Language Acquisition

Factors such as the learner’s motivation, attitudes, aptitudes, self-efficacy, language competence, awareness, learners’ perception, individual differences, inner speech, learners’ attention, and pragmatics are some examples of these influential factors. The thematic analysis shows that 18 out of 150 articles (12%) can be considered as advanced influential factors in second language acquisition and ranked third most important research area in this systematic review.

4) Memory and Language Development

Working memory and its integration with other areas such as cognition and metacognition are the most considerable area that researchers focus on in this category. The thematic analysis shows that 13 out of 150 articles (almost 8%) in this field are related to memory and language development.

5) Segmental and Supersegmental Features

Segmental and supersegmental features of a language such as phonology, intonation, pause, and so on with their integration into other areas of a language cover almost 4% (7 articles) of the total number (150).
6) Social Aspects in Second Language Acquisition

Social aspects such as cultural aspects of second language acquisition, its related theories such as sociocognitive theory, and its integration with other areas of language such as listening comprehension cover 4% (6 articles) of the total number (150).

Conclusions

To answer the first research question which is about the basic topics of interest to researchers in the field of Second Language Acquisition (SLA), figure 4 was provided. This figure shows topics of interest to SLA researchers based on the identified themes. Each theme has been presented with its relevant percentage to clearly represent the importance of the topic.

The results of this systematic review show that teacher and teaching strategies are of the greatest importance for researchers and scholars in the field of second language acquisition. This figure represents the importance of language teaching strategies and pedagogical recommendations for SLA researchers within the past ten years and shows that 42% of studies focus on teachers as the key role in second language classrooms. The second most important area of research focuses on skills and subskills of a language such as listening, speaking, grammar, and so on. Factors such as motivation, attitude, self-efficacy, and some other factors that fall in this category ranked third. Research around memory placed fourth, segmental and supersegmental features ranked fifth, and social aspects such as culture have considered to be the least important areas of research for researchers.
Figure 4. The importance of research areas for second language researchers

Figure 5 displays the number of theme-related studies published each year. The results show that the number of studies related to language skills, subskills, and development increased dramatically in 2018 although these areas have always been in the forefront of researchers’ attention. It is also obvious from this figure that the number of articles related to language teaching strategies and pedagogical recommendations for teachers have stayed the same within the last three years. The number of articles about memory and language development decreases while it seems that social aspects and advanced influential factors are getting more attention from researchers.
Implications of the study

This literature review can help second language researchers and scholars to get an overview of what topics seem to be important for second language researchers, what has been done, and what areas need further investigation. The results of this systematic review clarify the importance of areas of second language acquisition that researchers are most concerned with. It seems that researchers tend to focus more on the combination of different aspects rather than focusing on just one specific area. Researchers emphasized on this and argued that strategies can not lead to the development of language unless they are integrated with each other (Elleman & Oslund, 2019). This also highlights the idea that different parts of a language cannot be separated from each other and cannot be taught in isolation that is in line with the argument of Larsen-Freeman (2018b, p. 63) about future directions in second language acquisition research in which language development needs to be understood “from a system perspective.”
The results of this systematic review of research in the field of second language acquisition (SLA) also show that the roles of social aspects, memory, and segmental and supersegmental features in language development remain unexplored and need further exploration. Larsen-Freeman (2018b, p. 67) mentioned these areas as future directions in SLA research and stated that not only will SLA researchers “continue to inquire into the cognitive, the social, and the sociocognitive dimensions of the SLA process,” but also will “look into the ever-changing relationships among the components within the language learner’s developing system.” For example, pragmatic which is crucial for successful language learning needs to be taught in context. Providing appropriate context for second language learners can help them to bridge between the meaning of words and sentences and “what the speaker intended to communicate” (Papafragou, 2018, p. 167). So, focusing on less explored areas such as social and cultural aspects can lead to more successful language learning.

This review can also help language teachers and educators to find out more about their key roles in second language classrooms. Researchers still believe that teachers play the most important role in the process of language teaching and learning. So, researchers investigate ways to improve teachers’ roles and boost their impact on the process of language learning. Teachers and the teaching strategies that they implement in their classrooms can be successful if they are based on analytical and theoretical perspectives. Teachers should know that they have access to plenty of research studies that are scientific, and theory based. So, they should “remain well-informed and tenacious as a way of keeping fully up to date with recent changes in pedagogic principles to disseminate knowledge” (Karami, 2016, p. 242). Second language teachers and educators can implement these strategies, techniques, and methods to smooth out difficulties that language learners might suffer in the process of second language acquisition.
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A sample of data from Studies in the Second Language Acquisition journal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Focus of Article</th>
<th>Data Collection Method</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lopez-Serrano, de Larros, &amp; Marchon (2019)</td>
<td>Language reflection fostered by individual 12 writing tasks: Developing a theoretically motivated and empirically based coding system</td>
<td>The connection between L2 writing process, its reflection on language and language development</td>
<td>Argumentative essay writing of 21 EFL learners were analyzed</td>
<td>Participants were concerned about lexical knowledge. They also paid more attention to clauses and larger structures in writing. The authors suggested theoretical frameworks for future research as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nakata and Suzuki (2019)</td>
<td>Effects of masking and spacing on the learning of semantically related and unrelated words</td>
<td>The relationship between learning semantically related words simultaneously and vocabulary acquisition</td>
<td>Vocabulary intervention (pre-test, post-test design)</td>
<td>Words that were semantically related “led to more interference errors than unrelated items” (p. 237)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Reid, Trofimovich, &amp; O’Brien (2019)</td>
<td>Social attitudes and speech ratings: Effects of positive and negative bias on multilanguage listeners’ judgments of second language speech</td>
<td>The relationship between social bias manipulation on listening comprehension of native listeners</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>“Findings cast doubt on the relative stability of L2 speech ratings and point to the importance of social context and generational differences in untrained raters’ assessments of L2 speaking performance” (p. 419).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Baills, Suarez-Gonzalez, Gonzalez-Fuente, &amp; Posto (2019)</td>
<td>Observing and producing pitch gestures facilitates the learning of Mandarin Chinese tones and words</td>
<td>The effects of having knowledge about metaphoric gestures and its effects on learning L2 suprasegmental features</td>
<td>106 participants were taught pitch gestures</td>
<td>Gaining knowledge about the metaphoric gestures is a beneficial strategy in the acquisition of suprasegmental features</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A sample of data from Language Teaching Research journal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Focus of Article</th>
<th>Data Collection Method</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lin and Lee (2019)</td>
<td>Pedagogical suitability of data-driven learning in ESL grammar classes: A case study of Taiwanese students</td>
<td>Grammar</td>
<td>52 EFL learners were divided into one control group and two experimental groups. Covariance analysis was used to analyze data.</td>
<td>The results of the study showed no significant difference between control group and two experimental groups. “The overall results lend support to the legitimacy of practicing DDL in different educational areas” (p. 341).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kang, Sok, &amp; Han (2019)</td>
<td>Thirty-five years of ISLA on form-focused instruction: A methodological synthesis</td>
<td>Form-focused instruction</td>
<td>88 quasi-experimental studies were selected from six journals. The authors analyzed articles based on five variables including context, research design, participants, intervention, and outcome measures.</td>
<td>The results showed that since 2000, the number of studies, pretest and posttest design studies, and the number of implicit instructions in studies have increased although there are still some weaknesses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jung, Kim, Lee, Calhey, Carver, &amp; Skalsky (2019)</td>
<td>Learner perception of multimodal synchronous computer-mediated communication in foreign language classrooms</td>
<td>Learner perception, technology, and intercultural competence</td>
<td>55 participants from three different universities were selected. Questionnaire, interview, transcripts of students’ discussion were used to collect data.</td>
<td>Students’ attention during instruction and cultural issues were identified to be effective on students’ attitudes towards synchronous computer-mediated communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hirakawa, Salyova, &amp; Endo (2019)</td>
<td>Explicit instruction, input flood or study abroad: Which helps Japanese learners of English acquire adjective ordering?</td>
<td>Grammar (learners’ acquisition of adjective ordering restrictions)</td>
<td>56 students participated in this study and divided into two study groups and received different interventions. Pretest-posttest design was used to collect and analyze data.</td>
<td>The only group that outperformed other groups was explicit instruction group. The authors “claim that positive evidence alone does not guarantee L2 acquisition of AOR” (p. 158).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix III

A sample of data from The Modern Language Journal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Suzuki, Nakata, &amp; Dekeyser (2015a)</td>
<td>Optimizing Second Language Practice in the Classroom: Perspectives from Cognitive Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Focus of Article: Language teaching and strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Data Collection Method: Participants were divided into four groups. Pretest, immediate posttest, and delayed posttest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Results: “The best test scores were obtained when the exercises had served the purpose of retrieval, although this advantage shrank in the delayed posttest (where scores were poor regardless of treatment condition). On average 70% of the posttest errors produced by the learners who had tackled the exercises by trial-and-error were duplicates of incorrect responses they had supplied at the exercise stage, which indicates that corrective feedback was often ineffective” (p. 551)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Focus of Article: Biliteracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Data Collection Method: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Results: “This article concludes by suggesting improvements in policy and directions for future research that might contribute to addressing these inequities” (p. 271).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix IV

A sample of theme table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Language Teaching strategies and Pedagogical Recommendations for teachers</th>
<th>Memory and Language Development</th>
<th>Social Aspects in Second Language Acquisition</th>
<th>Advanced Influential Factors in Second Language Acquisition</th>
<th>Segmental and Super-segmental Features</th>
<th>Language skills, subskills, and Language Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>