

Article

Faecal Sludge Derived Products as Fertilizer for Lettuce Cultivation in Urban Agriculture

Surendra K Pradhan^{1,2,3*}, Olufunke Cofie¹, Josiane Nikiema¹, Helvi Heinonen-Tanski³

¹International Water Management Institute, PMB, CT 112, Accra, Ghana

²Department of Built Environment, Aalto University, P.O. Box 02150, Tietotie 1E, 02150 Espoo, Finland

³Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, P.O. Box 1627, 70211 Kuopio, Finland

* Corresponding author Email: pradhan@uef.fi, +358400973372

Abstract: Faecal sludge (FS) contains a significant amount of plant nutrients. After drying and composting, FS has been used as soil ameliorant in several countries. Use of FS-based compost on lettuce may meet reservations due to possible microbiological contamination. The objectives of this research are: (1) to determine the fertilizer value of different formulations of sawdust and faecal sludge compost (SDFS) pellets, (2) to compare the effect of these SDFS formulations with poultry manure, commercial compost, mineral fertilizer and non-fertilization on lettuce cultivation. The SDFS products were made by enriching and pelletized with ammonium sulphate, mineral-NPK or ammonium sulphate + muriate of potash + triple super phosphate. Lettuce was cultivated in a greenhouse and in an open field. The result showed that the fresh weight obtained from all SDFS pellets with/without enrichments were higher than those obtained from commercial compost, poultry manure, mineral fertilizer or no fertilizer. Cultivation in the open field gave higher yields than those in the greenhouse. No helminth eggs were detected in composts or lettuces. Some faecal coliforms were detected in lettuces despite fertilization treatments. A properly treated fecal sludge-based fertilizer can be a sustainable solution for lettuce production which helps urban and peri-urban agriculture.

Keywords: composting, enriched organic fertilizers, hygiene, soil fertilization, sustainable production, yield quality

1. Introduction

In several African countries, large amounts of faecal sludge (FS) are disposed of in the environment without appropriate treatment, creating high risks for public health due to enteric pathogens [1] and polluting waters. On the other hand, FS contains valuable plant nutrients (i.e. 8.2 g N/L, 1.1 g P/L and 2.2 g K/L) [2, 3]. Due to its high fertilizer value, FS is widely used in agriculture with/without treatments in several countries including Ghana [4,5]. Since the use of untreated FS can pose a high health risk [5,6], it is important to treat/sanitize it before fertilizer use. FS recycling for agriculture can be practiced by de-watering and composting [7,8].

The International Water Management Institute (IWMI) developed a new process of enrichment and pelleting of dried FS (DFS) compost with the aim to make FS an efficient fertilizer, which is easy to handle. Although, co-compost has been produced using municipal solid waste and DFS, its low N-content (only 1%) was the main constraint to its adoption by farmers [5]. Mixing mineral fertilizer with compost (organo-mineral fertilizer) increases the nutrient concentrations. Furthermore, palletisation using mechanical pressure [9] enhances the value and homogeneity of the nutrient concentrate. The transportation costs of compost pellets are lower compared to those of the bulky organic compost fertilizer [10].

Application of higher amounts of fertilizer is expected to increase soil fertility and agricultural yields. Higher yields are important to feed the increasing population in sub-Saharan Africa. A shift of many dwellers from rural areas to urban centres will increase the need for sanitation facilities and faecal sludge management. Moreover, agriculture in and around urban areas is becoming a means of livelihood for many people [11]. Particularly, urban vegetable production has become the main source of income for thousands of producers in many urban cities in developing countries [12]. The production of lettuce and other vegetables can also take place in the greenhouse though that practice is not currently prominent in Ghana. Evaluation of the greenhouse production of lettuce could provide good insight into how this can be practiced in urban areas of Ghana [13].

Recycling of organic matter is essential for maintaining and/or improving soil fertility and soil quality to increase agricultural yield. The combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizer has shown to improve the productivity of maize and cabbage compared to either the use of organic or mineral fertilizer only [14-16]. However, it is not enough well known the fertilization value of pelletized N enriched DFS compost on lettuce. Lettuce can be cultivated for many rotations per year so the application of organo-mineral fertilizer would be good for long-term sustainability. The agronomic trials of this work were done both in the greenhouse and field to be able to compare the quantity and quality of the yields in both cases and to find out how the environmental factors influence the efficiency of the treatments applied.

The main objective of this work was to test if dried faecal sludge products could serve as valuable fertilizers so that it would be possible to improve sanitation and recycle as sustainable nutrients. Therefore, we have tested the fertilization value of eight fertilization treatments. These treatments included four different dried/dewatered faecal sludges (FSs) (1) plain sawdust + dried faecal sludge compost (SDFS), (2) SDFS enriched with ammonium sulphate (AM + SDFS), (3) SDFS enriched with NPK (NPK + SDFS) and (4) SDFS enriched with ammonium sulphate + muriate of potash and triple superphosphate (AMT + SDFS) and conventional fertilizers (5) poultry manure (PM), (6) commercial compost (AC), (7) mineral NPK fertilizer and (8) non-fertilization. The enriched SDFS fertilizers were pelletized. Cultivations were done in two sequenced cultivations and only the first cultivation was fertilized. We evaluated the size of both lettuce yields and the quality of first lettuce yield and the residual soil chemical properties after the second yield, where the fertilization was done only before the first cultivation. The lettuce was selected as a test crop because it is relatively important in urban cultivation in Ghana.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Source of fertilizer materials: FS and compost preparation and chemical properties of fertilizers

Faecal sludges were collected from septic tanks of public toilets and discharged into drying beds in Ghana (5°33' N). The faecal sludge was dewatered and dried under sunlight for 2-3 days. Following that the dewatered FS (DFS) was gamma radiated (20kGy) for 48 hrs. Then DFS was mixed with sawdust (SD) (1:3) and this mixture was composted. Composting time could continue until the compost temperature became stabilized, which time took for 100 days.

For the enrichment process, the mature composts were grounded, and one category was enriched with mineral-NPK and another category was enriched with $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$, muriate of potash and super triphosphate to achieve 3% N content. These fertilizers were mixed with gamma-radiated starch or pre-gelatinized starch (3%) and finally pelletized. Details of the process are described by Nikiema et al [8]. Mineral fertilizer (NPK), poultry manure (PM) and ACARP commercial compost (AC) were purchased

from the local market. The SDFS, PM, AM, AS+SDFS pellet, NPK+SDFS pellet and AMT+SDFS pellet contents were analysed for NPK before application (Table 1).

Table 1. Treatment code and chemical properties of different types of fertilizer. NA= not applicable.

Fertilization treatments	Code	N	P	K	C
		g/kg			
Control, no fertilization	CNTRL	0	0	0	0
Mineral fertilizer, NPK 15-15-15, Yara, Ghana	NPK	150	60	120	NA
Commercial compost from municipal organic waste, ACARP, Ghana	AC	21	23	13	270.2
Poultry manure	PM	11	14	7	70.2
Co-compost produced using dried faecal sludge and sawdust	SDFS	16	11	5	110
SDFS enriched with ammonium sulphate pellet	AM+SDFS	30	11	5	110
SDFS enriched with NPK 15-15-15 pellet	NPK+SDFS	30	17	16	110
SDFS enriched with ammonium sulphate + muriate of potash (KCl)+triple super phosphate pellet	AMT+SDFS	30	12	24	110

2.2. Cultivation trials

Greenhouse and field trials were conducted at the Valley View University, Accra, Ghana (5°47'N, 0°7'W) between February–April. The annual precipitation in Accra was 810 mm and the mean annual temperature was 26.4 °C. The soil used in the experiment was a well-drained savannah soil classified as Ochrosols or Ferric Acrisols/Ferric Lixisols with a sandy-loam texture [17]. After the first experimental cultivation period, the same pots and field sites were used in the second cultivation without fertilization in order to test the residual effect of all the fertilization treatments.

2.2.1. Physico-chemical analyses of soils

Soils were sampled from the top layer 15 cm for analyses before and after cultivations. The soils were air-dried, sieved with a sieve series of 8-mm and 0.2-mm. The organic carbon (OC) was determined from soil samples that passed through the 0.5-mm sieve. The other parameters were determined from soils passed 8 mm but not 0.2 mm.

The soil cation exchange capacity (CEC), available nitrogen (N), available phosphorus (P) and available potassium (K), ammonium (NH₄-N), nitrate (NO₃-N), total P, total-K, exchangeable calcium (Ca), exchangeable magnesium (Mg), and micronutrients available zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), and boron (B) were analysed following standard procedures [18]. The pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured using USDA-USCC methods [19]. Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined as described by Walkley and Black [20]. The total N was determined with the Kjeldahl method [21].

2.2.2. Experimental setup and cultivation

Seeds of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*, cultivar Eden, Technisem, France) were nursed under greenhouse and open field conditions. The experiment was based on the application of N at a rate of 126 kg N/ha for the first cultivation period, which corresponds to the N-application used successfully for lettuce [22] and about what is commonly used in Ghana.

The experiments were done as four parallels.

2.2.2.1. Greenhouse experiment

The greenhouse was made of a transparent polyvinyl chloride roof allowing about 12 hrs of solar radiation daily and all four sides were covered by a mosquito net to prevent animal damages but to allow air circulation. Polyethylene pots with the surface area of 0.07 m² were used for the experiment. Each pot was filled with about 15 kg of the air-dried and sieved (2 mm sieve) topsoil (0-15 cm). The experiment consisted of eight fertilization treatments as four replicates making a total of 32 pots, completely randomised in the greenhouse.

After two weeks all 32 pots received their appropriate fertilization and the seedlings were transplanted three days after the fertilizer application. In the field, there were six plants per bed at a spacing of 30 cm × 30 cm within and between rows. Tap water was used for watering of greenhouse pots to maintain the moisture content of soils at 40-60%.

Two insecticides were applied twice for each cultivation cycle. They were (1) Attack Botanical Insecticide, Emamectin benzoate (1.9%), Non-Hazardous Ingredients (98.1%) Iprochem company Ltd, China and (2) Akape (Anty Ataa) broad-spectrum insecticide (a. i.) imidacloprid 200 g/L Iprochme Company LTD China.

After the harvesting of the first lettuce yields, the new seedlings were planted to the same pots as used in the first cultivation. The new lettuce plants were cultivated similarly as the first cultivation excluding that there were no new fertilizations.

2.2.2.2. Field experiment

Correspondingly in the open field, 32 plots were prepared, each with an area of 0.5 m² (1m × 0.5 m) with 50 cm distance between plots. There were the same eight fertilization treatments with four replicates each design as a randomized complete block design. The same insecticide applications were used as in greenhouse experiments.

The similar cultivations without new fertilization regimes were followed to establish the residual effect of the fertilization in open field conditions were under the greenhouse. The second cultivations received the same number of plants and agronomic practices as in the case of the first cultivations.

2.3. Harvesting the lettuces and their chemical analyses

Both the first and the second lettuce yields from both greenhouse and open field were harvested after a growth time of 48 days. The fresh weights were measured immediately after harvesting. Similarly, oven drying at 60 °C to determine dry weight was started in harvesting day and it took for four days.

Dried lettuce samples were grounded, and 0.5 g was taken for chemical analyses. The total N of lettuces was determined with the Kjeldahl method [21]. The other parameters of lettuces were determined using the same methods as with soils [18].

2.4. Hygiene analyses of lettuces and composts

The fresh lettuce samples were washed thoroughly with tap water to remove dirt as is normally done before consumption. These lettuces were homogenized and diluted to sterilized water and these dilutions were inoculated on Chromocult coliform agar (Merck KGA 64271 Darmstadt, Germany) to detect potential coliforms. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 – 48 hours [21]. All colonies with purple to blue-black colour were counted and presented as CFU/g faecal coliforms, but no further confirmation identification was done.

The flotation and sedimentation method following a modified US-EPA method [23] was used to determine the helminth eggs in all composts and lettuces. Ten grams (dry weight) of the sample was homogenized with 1 L water and a small portion of detergent (washing powder) using a blender. The sample was left to stand for overnight. The supernatant was sucked up the next day and the sediments centrifuged at 1450 rpm for 3 minutes and regrouped. Zinc sulphate solution (0.573 g/mL) was added to the sediment and centrifuged again at 1450 rpm for 3 minutes. The supernatant was transferred into a 1 L container, filled with distilled water and left for overnight.

In the next day, sediments were centrifuged at 1600 rpm for 3 minutes and re-suspended with acid/alcohol (5.1 mL H_2SO_4 + 330 mL $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ + 664.9 mL water) 60% v/v and ethyl acetate 40% v/v. The mixture was then centrifuged at 2200 rpm for 3 minutes. At this stage, three layers were clearly visible. The upper layers were carefully removed and about 1 mL of the sediment liquid in the tube was observed under a light microscope. The shapes and sizes of the eggs were recorded as described in the WHO's Integrated Guide to Sanitary Parasitology [24].

2.5. Taste Assessment

Triangle and ordinal taste testing of the lettuce were conducted using the established procedure [25]. A taste panel included 24 individuals whose ability to recognize basic tastes (sweet, sour, salty and bitter) had been confirmed. The panel consisted of nine women and 15 men between the ages of 25 to 40 years. The tasting sessions were organized under daylight in the Valley View University, Accra, Ghana. Panel members could drink freely water and use any differences as texture or colour of lettuce during the tasting process.

In three triangle tests, the participants were served three lettuce samples in plates marked with blind code numbers. In the first test, two lettuce samples from SDFS fertilizations and one sample from NPK fertilization were served. In the second test, two lettuce samples were served from SDFS fertilizations and one sample from NPK + SDFS pellet fertilization. In the third triangle test, two lettuce samples were served from NPK + SDFS pellet fertilizations and one sample from NPK fertilization. In this session, the tasters had to determine (or guess), which sample was different.

In the ordinal test, the participants were served three lettuce samples fertilized with NPK, SDFS fertilizers and NPK + SDFS pellet fertilizer and labelled with blind codes. The participants were asked to evaluate which sample they preferred to the first, second and third position.

2.6. Statistical Analyses

All analyses were done in SPSS (IBM, 20th edition). First, two-way ANOVA was used to analyse the effect of the different fertilization treatments on the fresh and dry matter yield of the lettuce under the greenhouse and the field. Secondly, a paired t-test was used to analyse the effect of the two-experimental conditions (greenhouse and field) on the fresh and dry matter yield. The paired t-test was used also to test the residual effect of the different nutrient sources on the yield of the second season. A two-way ANOVA test or paired t-test was also performed to test the treatments residual effect of the fertilizers on the soil physicochemical properties.

3. Results

3.1. Fertilization treatment effect on the first and second fresh biomass of lettuces cultivated in the field or in greenhouse

All fresh lettuce yields obtained from open field were higher than the corresponding yields obtained from the greenhouse. The highest lettuce fresh yields were obtained if the fertilization was done with different sawdust + dried faecal sludge (SDFS) compost products and these fertilizations gave the high yields in the second cultivation. The highest lettuce yields were obtained when fertilized with ammonium sulphate enriched SDFS (AM + SDFS) or enriched with ammonium sulphate + muriate of potash + triple super phosphate SDFS pellet. All the SDFS fertilizations gave significantly higher yields ($p < 0.05$) than mineral fertilizer NPK, PM = poultry manure and AC = ACARP commercial compost. The lettuce yield obtained without any fertilization was still lower (Table 2).

In the greenhouse experiment, all SDFS products gave similar first biomass yields. Moreover, all the SDFS based fertilizers and PM fertilization gave a significantly higher ($p = 0.001$) biomass than the commercial compost (AC), NPK and non-fertilized control.

Table 2. Fresh weight of lettuce from two consecutive cultivations under field and greenhouse conditions. Values present means and standard errors of the mean for fresh weights as ton/ha (N = 4). The different letters show statistic differences in the rows. The codes are as presented in Table 1.

No SDFS-fertilizers					SDFS-fertilizers			
Fertilization	CTRL	NPK	AC	PM	SDFS	AM+SDFS	NPK+SDFS	AMT+SDFS
Field								
The first and fertilized cultivation	3.85±0.95d	4.85±0.59b	5.55±0.71b	4.99±1.40b	7.65±0.76a	7.35±1.51a	5.99±0.74b	7.35±1.80a
The second residual fertilization	4.15±0.57	5.31±0.53	4.72±0.84	5.48±0.84	5.2±1.4	4.79±0.81	5.92±0.76	4.58±0.55
<i>P value</i> between the 1 st and the 2 nd	0.61	0.91	0.06	0.37	-	0.98	0.42	0.92
Greenhouse								
The first and fertilized cultivation	2.48±0.32ab	3.32±0.37ab	3.06±0.25ab	4.60±0.36a	4.10±0.45a	4.38±0.06a	5.03±0.45a	3.74±0.45a
The second residual fertilization	1.80±0.33	1.53±0.80	1.60±0.40	4.20±0.30	2.70±0.40	1.61±0.34	1.72±0.45	2.40±0.33
<i>P value</i> between the 1 st and the 2 nd yields	0.99	0.99	0.00	0.93	0.99	0.00	0.99	0.99

In the field, fresh biomass of the second cultivation was similar to the yield of the first cultivation. The fresh biomass yields from AMT + SDFS and the SDFS fertilizations were slightly higher (not significant, *P* = 0.09) than those from control (no fertilization) and the PM evidently due to high standard error (Table 2).

On the contrary, in the greenhouse result, all lettuce yields of the second yield were much lower than the yields of the first cultivation and the yields were also much lower than those produced from the open field. Thus, the mean lettuce yields from SDFS fertilization was 7.65 g/plant (DW) in the field while it was only 2.70 g/ plant (DW) in the greenhouse.

3.2. Effect of different planting conditions on the fresh and dry weight of lettuce

The total biomass yields of fresh lettuce were significantly higher ($p < 0.0001$) in the field than those obtained in the greenhouse. Leaf area was greater in greenhouse lettuce compared to those in the field (data not shown). The lettuce produced in the greenhouse had light green leaves while the leaves grown in the field were dark green.

Result of the field cultivation shows that the AMT + SDFS fertilization gave a significantly higher ($p < 0.001$) dry biomass yield compared to the other sources of fertilizers (Table 3). Additionally, AM + SDFS, NPK + SDFS and the NPK fertilizers gave similar yields, and these yields were significantly higher than the yields obtained from control, poultry manure and commercial compost fertilizations. The dry matter (%) yield of lettuce was significantly higher in lettuces cultivated in the greenhouse compared to the field for all treatments (Table 3).

3.3. Nutrient concentration in lettuces produced by different fertilizations

The mineral nutrient concentrations in the lettuces cultivated in the open field and the greenhouse are presented in Table 4. The result showed that total N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn and Mn in all the treatments were rather similar to those of the control. The contents of N, K, Ca and Mn were higher in lettuce dry weights produced in the greenhouse than if they were produced in the field (Table 4).

Table 3. The dry matter (%) of the first yield of lettuce cultivated in the field and greenhouse using different fertilization treatments. Values are means and standard errors of the mean (N = 4). Different letters show statistically significant differences in rows. See Table 1 for the codes.

Site	Sawdust + faecal sludge fertilizers							
	CTRL	NPK	AC	PM	SDFS	AM+SDFS	NPK+SDFS	AMT+SDFS
Field	5.20 ± 0.50c	6.31 ± 0.33b	5.4 ± 0.52c	5.40 ± 0.8c	4.70 ± 0.05c	6.40 ± 0.31b	5.90 ± 0.45b	7.10 ± 0.23a
Greenhouse	9.20 ± 0.30a	8.70 ± 0.40a	7.20 ± 0.60c	8.90 ± 0.30a	7.30 ± 0.40c	7.70 ± 0.2b	6.50 ± 0.35d	8.00 ± 0.01b
P-value	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.015	0.001	0.000	0.06	0.003

Table 4. Nutrient composition (g/kg DW) in the lettuce produced using different fertilizer materials. The codes are presented in Table 1. Values represent means and standard deviations. N = 4.

Fertilizers	CTRL	NPK	AC	PM	SDFS	AM+SDFS	NPK+SDFS	AMT+SDFS
In open field								
Total N	22.4±2.7	24.4±1.3	24.1±3.5	24.9±1.4	25.3±2.1	23.5±1.3	21.5±3.9	23.9±2.8

Total P	8.1±1.3	7.6±2.2	8.2±0.9	9.2±1.4	8.0±1.4	8.3±1.5	10.7±1.2	8.1±0.6
Total K	35.1±9.3	36.4±7.2	34.2±4.6	46.5±7.3	32.4±4.4	37.1±2.7	33.0±9.1	32.2±9.1
Ca	4.9±2.6	6.4±2.1	7.3±2.8	7.1±2.5	9.2±2.3	6.9±4.1	4.5±3.1	5.7±3.5
Mg	5.2±0.6	5.9±0.6	5.4±0.7	6.2±1.1	6.2±0.8	5.7±1.6	5.0±0.7	5.0±2.7
Fe	2.8±1.1	6.0±1.5	3.3±1.3	3.1±0.1	2.6±1.0	5.2±2.2	7.6±1.2	5.0±2.1
Zn	0.2±0.1	0.2±0.1	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.0	0.2±0.1	0.2±0.1	0.2±0.1
Mn	0.2±0.1	0.2±0.1	0.2±0.1	0.1±0.0	0.2±0.0	0.2±0.0	0.2±0.1	0.2±0.0
In greenhouse								
Total N	34.4±2.7	33.7±2.9	33.4±2.3	37.0±3.7	35.1±2.6	37.6±3.9	35.8±2.6	34.1±1.3
Total P	6.6±0.6	5.6±2.0	7.2±1.2	6.5±1.1	6.5±0.6	6.7±1.9	6.8±1.1	5.9±0.8
Total K	37.9±2.8	30.6±1.4	37.5±5.6	35.4±4.2	48.7±2.0	39.4±4.3	40.1±3.9	38.7±2.4
Ca	7.9±3.1	9.2±1.4	8.9±1.6	8.2±1.1	8.6±2.6	10.7±1.9	11.8±1.4	10.0±1.2
Mg	7.1±0.5	7.1±0.6	6.7±0.5	6.7±0.7	7.6±0.6	8.1±0.7	7.8±0.4	7.6±0.3
Fe	4.2±0.8	5.0±1.2	3.5±1.6	3.7±1.2	4.3±1.1	3.4±0.2	3.9±1.7	3.8±0.6
Zn	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.0	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1
Mn	0.6±0.1	0.5±0.4	0.7±0.3	0.6±0.1	0.5±0.3	0.7±0.1	0.7±0.2	0.7±0.2

3.4. Effect of fertilization on soil physico-chemical properties

Physico-chemical properties of the soil before and after cultivated are presented in Table 5. The total N, organic carbon and total K in soil did not change during the cultivation but nitrate concentrations of some greenhouse soils had increased. Ammonium nitrogen concentration was higher in open field soils fertilized with SDFS fertilizers, municipal compost and poultry manure than when fertilized with mineral NPK or control (Table 5).

Total P was reduced after cultivation and the EC values in greenhouse soils were significantly higher than the EC values of field soils after both harvestings (Table 5).

Table 5. Physio-chemical properties of the soil before cultivation and after the second cultivations. (N=4). ND = not done. Different letters in the same row are significant difference ($p < 0.05$) from each other. See the codes in Table 1.

		After harvesting soils in the field							
	Before cultivation	CTRL	NPK	AC	PM	SDFS	AM+SDFS	NPK+SDFS	AMT+SDFS
Total N (g/kg)	1.4±0.2	2.3±0.2	2.1±0.7	2.3±0.8	2.4±0.2	1.7±0.5	2.3±0.7	2.7±0.5	2.8±0.5

NH ₄ (mg/kg)	ND	283±158ab	283±158ab	518±103	468±115ac	406±16abc	532±77c	570±163c	487±35bc
NO ₃ (mg/kg)	ND	63±6	74±16	65±9	66±17	76±10	68±7	69±2	75±11
Total P (mg/kg)	700	213.8±14.1	209.0±10.1	179±40	198.8±44.8	204.0±79.8	169.5±48.8	170.0±41.1	184.5±35.8
TK (g/kg)	1.0	1.2±0.0	1.2±0.2	1.1±0.1	1.0±0.2	1.0±0.1	1.2±0.1	1.1±0.1	1.0±0.2
pH 1:1	6.9±0.1	6.1±0.2	6.1±0.2	6.0	6.2±0.1	6.0±0.5	5.7±0.4	6.0±0.5	5.7±0.4
EC 1:1 µS/cm	160	177.5±28.7	177.5±28.7	273±138	152.5±25.0	225.0±99.8	192.5±36.9	140.0±49.7	172.5±61.8
O/C%	1.8±0	1.7±0.3	1.4±0.3	1.9±0.5	1.5±0.4	1.7±0.4	1.7±0.2	1.8±0.3	1.4±0.3
O/M%	1.3	2.9±0.5	2.5±0.5	2.2±1	2.7±0.7	2.8±0.6	2.6±0.4	2.8±0.6	2.7±0.1
CEC (cmol/kg)	ND	8.6±0.2	8.7±0.7	9±1	8.8±0.4	8.9±0.7	9.1±0.8	8.7±1.3	8.7±0.8
After harvesting soils in the greenhouse									
Total N (g/kg)		2.7±0.2	2.6±0.8	3.6±0.3	3.1±0.6	3.4±0.3	3.1±0.4	3.4±0.2	3.2±0.3
NH ₄ (mg/kg)		389±21b	118±21	121±19	75±6a	89±12ab	74±2a	84±11ab	91±3
NO ₃ (mg/kg)		152±24	152±24	84±25	94±12	61±14	146±13	77±8	86±25
Total P (mg/kg)		126.5±48.5	217±49	209±25	205.0±39.4	212.0±26.7	195.5±37.2	194.8±48.9	196.3±47.4
TK (g/kg)		1.0±0.0	1±0	0.8±0.2	0.9±0.1	1.0±0.1	0.9±0.2	1.0±0.1	1.0±0.0
pH 1:1		5.9±0.5	6±0.1	7±0	6.7±0.2	6.6±0.2	5.7±0.1	6.3±0.3	6.1±0.
EC 1:1 (µS/cm)		648±176	648±176	463±206	490±174	493±238	528±227	405±147	618±77
O/C%		2.2±0.5	2.2±0.5	1.5±0.9	1.1±0.1	2.2±1.0	1.9±0.2	2.0±0.8	1.0±0.6
O/M%		3.9±0.9	3.9±0.9	2.1±1.4	1.9±0.1	1.2±0.2	2.9±0.5	3.4±1.3	2.3±0.9
CEC (cmol/kg)		8.4±0.5	8	9±1	8.7±0.7	9.2±1.1	9.3±0.6	9.3±0.7	9.0±0.8

3.5. Hygiene of lettuces and helminth eggs in lettuces and fertilizers

All lettuce leaves excluding lettuce cultivated in a greenhouse and fertilized with AM+SDFS contained faecal coliforms more than the detection limit (Table 6). No helminth eggs were found (0 egg/10g) in any DFS based fertilizers and in any lettuces obtained by any fertilization treatments.

Table 6. Log10 numbers and their standard deviations for faecal coliforms in fresh lettuce fertilized with different treatments. Numbers are as colony forming units (CFU/g) (N = 4). BDL= below detection limit (10 CFU/g). See Table 1 for the codes.

Fertilizer	Field	Greenhouse
Control	4.5±0.2	3.0
NPK	4.6±0.1	4.1±0.2
PM	4.6±0.2	3.8
AC	4.5±0.1	4.8±0.1
SDFS	4.5±0.1	4.0±0.4
AM+SDFS	4.6±0.0	BDL
NPK+SDFS	4.8±0.0	3.9±0.4
AMT+SDFS	4.6±0.1	4.1

3.6. Effect of fertilization on the taste of lettuce

The result of the taste assessment of lettuce produced in the greenhouse showed that there were no differences ($p < 0.005$) in tastes of lettuces using different fertilizer materials. Out of the 23 participants, 15-20 reported no taste difference of lettuce fertilized with SDFS compost, SDFS pellet and NPK in triangle test. However, the tasters preferred NPK + SDFS fertilized lettuce to lettuce grown with composted SDFS or NPK ($p < 0.05$). In the ordinal taste test, there were no significant preferences between the different lettuce samples irrespective of the type of fertilization.

4. Discussion

4.1. Fertilization treatment effect on the fresh biomass of lettuces cultivated in the field or in greenhouse

SDFS products produced higher fresh lettuce yields than the other fertilizer treatments tested. The higher yields obtained with SDFS containing fertilizers could be caused by the fact that the combination of mineral N with organic matter in SDFS derivatives was more efficient in meeting the high N requirement of lettuce as a leafy vegetable than that only organic N or only mineral N [26].

In the field cultivation, lettuce production in second cultivation was also similar to the first which showed that there were still residual fertilizers which can be uptake by the 2nd crops. Results from the application of the PM and AC were not significantly different from each other and from the control treatment (Table 2). This statement showed that the residual effect on fertilized soil is relatively small for second crops, at least during so short period as used in this experiment.

In greenhouse cultivation, the lettuce biomass yields in second cultivation were low and similar except AC and AM+SDFS treatment.

4.2. Effect of different planting conditions on the fresh weight of lettuce

The fresh biomass of lettuce was significantly higher ($p < 0.0001$) in the field than those obtained in the greenhouse. The higher biomass in the field might be because of greater light intensity and space for root growth compared to growing in pots in the greenhouse. Unfortunately, light intensity data were not available. The larger leaf area in greenhouse lettuce compared to those in the field may have been caused by higher shadowing. The colour of lettuce leaves in the greenhouse was light

green while the leaves grown in the field were dark green. This could be due to the higher chlorophyll content in the field crops (not assessed). This is also supported by the fact that the N content is higher in lettuce cultivated in the field compared to the greenhouse as reported that leaves produced under shed contained less protein compared to the leaves produced under the direct sun [27].

Similarly, the second yields in the greenhouse were clearly lower than the yields in the field. These lower yields may have been caused by the fact that the mean salinity (measured as EC) of greenhouse soils was as high as 537 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (mean in all greenhouse soils) while the EC in the open field the mean of all soils was 189 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (counted from the data of Table 5). This difference is significant in a paired t-test ($p = 3.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$). Evidently, the availability of water due to too low irrigation reduced highly the second yields of lettuce in the greenhouse.

4.3. Effect of different fertilizers and planting conditions on the dry matter yield and chemical quality of lettuce

In the field, AMT + SDFS fertilization gave a higher ($p < 0.001$) dry biomass yield compared to the other fertilizers. The high dry matter biomass in mineral + SDFS based fertilization might be because of better mineralization in the soil. This argument supports by the residual chemical result presented in Table 5 where mineral + SDFS fertilized open field soils contained more ammonium nitrogen but less phosphorus.

The good yields obtained from an open field of the present work confirm the results presented by earlier researchers who used human faecal fertilizers for radish and capsicum [28] or okra [29] in water-stressed tropical areas where yields were similar if fertilization was done with human waste or animal manure. The mixture of composted human faeces and urine gave better yields than pure urine [29]. The organic matter, as well as the plant nutrients of co-composted faecal sludge products may have better fertilizer value for soil (Table 1) as discussed in the literature [28-30].

The dry matter of lettuce was significantly higher in lettuces cultivated in the greenhouse compared to the field. The higher dry matter content might have caused by limited sunlight in the greenhouse, which resulted in the formation of thin and elongated leaves that were found to move in the direction of the rising sunlight [31]. The lettuce with high dry matter-%, pale colour and elongated leaves are not attractive to buyers. In Ghana, the field cultivation of lettuce would be more profitable since the fresh yields were higher in the field than in the greenhouse (Table 2). In addition, the cultivation in the greenhouse pots may be more expensive since greenhouse cultivation needs more investment costs than the cultivation in the open field.

The N, K, Ca and Mn content (in DW) were higher in lettuce produced in the greenhouse than if they were produced in the field. It should be noted that lettuce grown in the greenhouse had lower fresh weights (Table 2) but higher dry matter-% (Table 3) than the lettuce grown in the field. The N-content in Table 4 includes leaf protein, nitrate and non-protein nitrogen compounds. Similarly, the Mn-concentration was clearly higher in lettuces produced in the greenhouse than in those produces in the field. Thus, possible the Mn-accumulation showed higher in lettuce produced in the greenhouse compared to those produced in the field.

4.4. Effect of fertilization on soil physico-chemical properties

Nutrient requirements of plants depend on the type of crop, soil and weather conditions etc. The effect of these different fertilization regimes on soil chemical properties are evaluated with N, P, K, pH, EC, OC, OM and CEC contents. The nitrate concentrations of some greenhouse soils had increasing which may indicate an increased risk of nitrate leaching to groundwater and surface waters [33]. Ammonium nitrogen concentration was higher in open field soils fertilized with organic-based fertilizers i.e. SDFS fertilizers, municipal compost and poultry manure than when fertilized

with mineral NPK and control. This might be due to improved mineralization efficiency in soil fertilized with waste-based fertilizer.

On the other hand, the total P was reduced after cultivation and this might be because of plant uptake was higher than the P supplemented. The soil analyses after cultivations showed that the soils fertilized with co-compost products had higher NH_4 compared to the control and NPK fertilization.

In general, there is a negative balance of NPK in Sub-Saharan soil and it depleted the soil [30]. Sustainable agricultural management practices such as the use of organic amendments are recommended since the addition of organic amendments improved soil physical properties and chemical properties e.g. organic carbon and this effect can be long-lasting [34-37]. It would be interesting to study if a higher use of SDFS fertilizers and thus also a higher P fertilization could give higher yields and lead to an increase in soil P-concentration. The EC values in greenhouse soils were almost three times higher than the EC values of field soils after both harvestings (Table 5). This change may indicate that there has been a shortage of water at least during the second cultivation of lettuces which can also explain the low lettuce yields in the greenhouse.

4.5 Hygienic quality of lettuces and their taste

Faecal coliforms were detected in lettuce fertilized with almost all treatment. Evidently, the sources of faecal coliforms were not fertilizers used since coliforms were found also in lettuce fertilized with mineral NPK or cultivated without any fertilization. This result indicates that there can be a risk of coliform contamination in vegetables grown near the soil surface and this risk did not depend on how it was fertilized or if it was cultivated in the greenhouse or in the field. However, the result suggests that the lettuce needs to be washed properly before consuming.

The faecal coliform log₁₀ numbers are higher than those considered acceptable levels in Canada [38] or the USA [39], where standards stipulate faecal coliforms <1000 CFU g⁻¹ (= 3 as Log₁₀ numbers). We, anyhow, did not further analyse if the colonies found belonged to *Escherichia coli* or to some other species. Similar levels of total coliform and *E. coli* have been reported in USA in packed lettuce and spinach leaves which were not disinfected [40]. In USA, it is recommended that the disinfection procedure of lettuce should be done by using chlorine or other disinfection procedures and this is often done on the commercial scale [41].

The taste assessment of lettuce produced in the greenhouse showed that there were no differences ($P < 0.005$) in tastes of lettuces using different fertilizer materials. The finding of this experiment was similar to what was found of tasting experiment of cabbages produced using human urine fertilization, mineral fertilizer or no fertilization [25].

5. Conclusions

This study provides evidence that the use of treated faecal sludge-based fertilizer can be a good solution for food security in West Africa. This practice can also improve soil quality and reduce environmental pollution. Furthermore, waste-based fertilizer could allow for poor farmers a possibility to save money since mineral fertilizers have high prices. Faecal sludge-based fertilizer could be commercialized as it has been successfully done in Uganda [38] and in Haiti and Kenya [39]. In these areas, farmers are willing to pay a reasonable price for these fertilizers and they can then sell their yields.

The study showed that a high and even higher amount of lettuce can be produced using SDFS based fertilizer compared to other tested fertilizers. Similarly, lettuce produced in the open field had a higher yield with better quality compared to it was produced in the greenhouse. The taste and chemical quality of lettuces obtained with SDFS fertilizers were good. No helminth eggs were detected in SDFS products or lettuces. A few *E. coli* colonies (not confirmed) were found in all lettuces

independently of fertilization. Therefore, it is recommended to wash properly with clean, disinfected water before consuming.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization has been made by SKP, OC and JN. The methodology has been made by all authors. The practical analysis and the data analyses and writing are done by SKP, OC, HHT and JN. Original draft preparation and its reviewing and edition have been done by all authors. Project Administration was done by JN. Funding acquisition has been done by OC.

Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge the support of the following people: Dr. Pay Drechsel for reading the manuscript and giving valuable comments. Mr. Solomon Addai and staff of Valley View University, Accra, Ghana for their support in the experimental work; Sebastian Yanira for support with data analysis. Funding was provided by CGIAR research program on Water, Land and Ecosystems (WLE).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Schöningh, C.; Stenström, T-A. Guidelines for the Safe Use of Urine and Faeces in Ecological Sanitation Systems. Report 1. EcoSanRes. Programme. Stockholm Environment Institute, Sweden. 2004.
2. Asare, I.; Kranjac-Berisavljevic, G.; Cofie, O. Faecal sludge application for agriculture in Tamale, Ghana. *Urban Agric. Mag.* 2003, 10, 32-33.
3. Cofie, O.; Kone, D.; Rothenberger S.; Moser, D.; Zurbrugg, C. Co-composting of faecal sludge and organic solid waste for agriculture: Process dynamics. *Wat. Res.* 2009, 43, 4665-4675.
4. Cofie, O.; Kranjac-Berisavljevic, G.; Drechsel P. The use of human waste for peri-urban agriculture in Northern Ghana. *Renew. Agric Food Systems* 2005. 202, 73-80.
5. Adamtey, N.; Cofie, O.; Ofosu-Budo, K.G.; Ofosu-Anim, J.; Laryea, K.B.; Forster, D. Effect of N-enriched co-compost on transpiration efficiency and water-use efficiency of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under controlled irrigation. *Agric. Wat. Manag.* 2010. 97, 995-1005.
6. Koné, D.; Cofie, O.; Zurbrugg, C.; Gallizzi, K.; Moser, D.; Drescher, S.; Strauss, M. Helminth eggs inactivation efficiency by faecal sludge dewatering and co-composting in tropical climates. *Wat. Res.* 2007, 41, 4397-4402.
7. Strauss, M.; Drescher, S.; Zurbrugg, C.; Montangero, A.; Cofie, O. Co-composting of faecal sludge and municipal organic waste for urban and peri-urban agriculture in Kumasi, Ghana. Final Report submitted to the French Foreign Ministry 2003, Available online: https://www.eawag.ch/fileadmin/Domain1/Abteilungen/sandec/publikationen/SWM/Co-composting/Strauss_2003.pdf (accessed on 03/04/2019).
8. Nikiema, J.; Cofie, O.; Impraim, R.; Adamtey, N. Processing of Fecal Sludge to Fertilizer Pellets Using a Low-Cost Technology in Ghana. *Environ. Pollut.* 2013, 24, 70-87.
9. Lehtikangas, P. Quality Properties of Pelletised Sawdust, Logging Residues, and Bark. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 2001, 20 (5), 351-60.
10. Eghball, B.; Power, J.F. Beef cattle feedlot manure management. *J. Soil Wat. Conserv.* 1994, 49, 113-122.
11. FAO. Profitability and sustainability of urban and peri-urban agriculture. Agricultural management, marketing and finance. Occasional paper 19. 2007. Available online: <http://www.fao.org/3/a-a1471e.pdf>. (accessed on 03/04/2019).
12. Dittoh, S.; Bhattarai, M.; Akuriba, M.A. Micro irrigation based vegetables farming income, employment and food security in West Africa. In *Global food security. Emerging issues and economic implications*. Hanjira, M.A. Ed. Nova science publishers Inc. New York, USA, 2013; pp 173-199.
13. Elings, A.; Saavedra, Y.; Nkansah, G.O. Strategies to Support the Greenhouse Horticulture Sector in Ghana. Wageningen UR Greenhouse Horticulture. Report GTB-1353. Wageningen. 2015. Available online: <http://ghanaveg.org/wp-content/uploads/Strategies-to-Support-Greenhouse-horticulture.pdf?bdc6> (accessed on 03/04/2019).
14. Adamtey, N. N-enrichment of recycled waste for peri-urban maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivation and its effects on the soil environment. PhD thesis. School of Research and Graduate Studies. Faculty of Sciences. University of Ghana, Legon. 2010.

15. Pradhan, S.K.; Opuni, S.C.; Fosu, M.; Drechsel, P. Municipal organic waste management: challenges and opportunities in Tamale, Ghana. 36th WEDC International conference. 2013.
16. Wang, X.; Ren, Y.; Zhang, S.; Chen, Y.; Wang, N. Applications of organic manure increased maize (*Zea mays* L.) yield and water productivity in a semi-arid region. *Agric. Wat. Manag.* 2017, 187, 88–98.
17. ISSS/ISRIC/FAO. World Reference Base for Soil Resources. World Soil Resources Report 84, FAO, Rome. 1998.
18. Okalebo, J.R.; Gathua, K.W.; Woomer, P.L. Laboratory Methods for Soil and Plant Analysis: A Working Manual. TSBF, Nairobi, Kenya. 2002.
19. USDA-USCC. Test Methods for the Examination of Composts and Composting. Holbrook, NY: Composting Council Research and Education Foundation 2002, Available online: <https://compostingcouncil.org/wp-content/plugins/wp-pdfupload/pdf/34/TMECC%20Purpose,%20Composting%20Process.pdf> [accessed 22/03/2019].
20. Walkley, A.; Black, I.A. An examination of the Degradation method for determining organic carbon in soils: Effect of variations in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents. *Soil Sci.* 1934, 63, 251–263.
21. APHA-AWWA-WEF. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 22nd edn. APHA/AWWA/WEF, Washington, DC. 2001.
22. Montemurro, F.; Ciaccia, C.; Leogrande, R.; Ceglie, F.; Diacono, M. Suitability of different organic amendments from agro-industrial wastes in organic lettuce crops. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 2015, 102, 243–252. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10705-015-9694-5>
23. Schwartzbrod, J.; Mathieu, C.; Thevenot, M.T.; Baradel, J.M.; Schwartzbrod, L. Wastewater sludge: parasitological and virological contamination. *Wat. Sci. Technol.*, 1987, 19 (8), 33–40.
24. Hindiyeh, Y.M. WHO's Integrated Guide to Sanitary Parasitology. WHO-EM/CEH/121/E. 2004, Available online <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/116408/dsa606.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y> (accessed on 03/04/2019).
25. Pradhan, S.K.; Nerg, A-M.; Sjöblom, A.; Holopainen, J.K.; Heinonen-Tanski, H. Use of human urine fertilizer in cultivation of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) – impacts on chemical, microbial and flavor quality. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2007, 55, 8657–8663.
26. Richards, J.E.; Daigle, J-Y.; LeBlanc, P.; Paulin, R.; Ghanem, I. Nitrogen availability and nitrate leaching from organo-mineral fertilizers. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 1993, 73(2), 197–208.
27. Baldi, P.; Muthuchelianb, K.; La Porta, P. Leaf plasticity to light intensity in Italian cypress *Cupressus sempervirens* L.): Adaptability of a Mediterranean conifer cultivated in the Alps. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biology.* 2012, 117 (5), 61–69.
28. Grau, F.; Drechsel, N.; Hearing, V.; Trautz, D.; Weerakkody W.J.S.K.; Drechsel, P.; Marschner, B.; Dissanayake, D.M.P.S.; Sinnathamby, V. Impact of fecal sludge and municipal co-compost on crop growth of *Raphanus sativus* L. and *Capsicum annuum* L. under stress conditions. *Resources* 2017, 6(3), 26; <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources6030026>
29. Sangare, D.; Sou/Dakoure, M.; Hijikata, N.; Lahmar, R.; Yacouba, H.; Coulibaly, L.; Funamizu, N. Toilet compost and human urine used in agriculture: fertilizer value assessment and effect on cultivated soil properties, *Environm. Technol.* 2015 36:10, 1291–1298, DOI: 10.1080/09593330.2014.984774
30. Park, J.; Cho, K.H.; Ligaray, M.; Choi, M.J. Organic Matter Composition of Manure and Its Potential Impact on Plant Growth. *Sustainability* 2019, 11(8), 2346; <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11082346>
31. Terashima, I.; Miyazawa, S-I.; Hanba, Y.T. Why are Sun Leaves Thicker than Shade Leaves? Consideration based on Analyses of CO₂ Diffusion in the Leaf. *J. Plant Res.* 2001, 114, 93–105.
32. Tamme, T.; Reinik, M.; Roasto, M. Nitrates and Nitrites in Vegetables: Occurrence and Health Risks. In: *Bioactive Foods Promoting Health: Fruits and Vegetables*. Watson R.R., Preedy V.R. Eds. Academic Press; Salt Lake City, UT, USA: 2009, pp. 307–321.
33. Subedi, R.; Taupe, N.; Ikoyi, I.; Bertora, C.; Zavattaro, L.; Schmalenberger, A.; Leahy, J.J.; Grignani, C. Chemically and biologically mediated fertilizing value of manure-derived biochar. *Sci. Tot. Environm.* 2016, 550, 924–933.

34. Herencia, J.F.; García-Galavís, P.A.; Dorado, J.A.R.; Maqueda, C. Comparison of nutritional quality of the crops grown in an organic and conventional fertilized soil. *Sci. Hortic.* 2011, 129, 882–888. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.04.008.
35. Guo, L.; Wu, G.; Li, Y.; Li, C.; Liu, W.; Meng, J.; Liu, H.; Yu, X.; Jiang, G. Effects of cattle manure compost combined with chemical fertilizer on topsoil organic matter, bulk density and earthworm activity in a wheat–maize rotation system in Eastern China. *Soil and Tillage Res.* 2016, 156, 140–147.
36. CCME. Guidelines for compost quality – PN 1340. Canada Council of Ministers of the Environment. Winnipeg, Manitoba. 2005.
37. USEPA. Environmental regulations and technology. Control of pathogens and vector attraction in sewage sludge including domestic septage. Under Part 503. Appendix F and G. EPA/625/R-92-013. US Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development. National Risk Management Research Laboratory. Centre for Environmental Research, Cincinnati. USA, 1999.
38. Danso, G.; Otoo, M.; Ekere, W.; Ddungu, S.; Madurangi, G. Market Feasibility of Faecal Sludge and Municipal Solid Waste-Based Compost as Measured by Farmers' Willingness-to-Pay for Product Attributes: Evidence from Kampala, Uganda. *Resources* 2017, 6, 31. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources6030031>
39. Moya, B.; Sakrabani, R.; Parker, A. Realizing the Circular Economy for Sanitation: Assessing Enabling Conditions and Barriers to the Commercialization of Human Excreta Derived Fertilizer in Haiti and Kenya. *Sustainability* 2019, 11(11), 3154; <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11113154>.
40. Valentin-Bon, I.; Jacobson, A.; Monday, S.R.; Feng, P.C.H. Microbiological Quality of Bagged Cut Spinach and Lettuce Mixes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2008, 1240–1242.
41. Van Haute, S.; Sampers, I.; Holvoet, K.; Uyttendaele, M. Physicochemical Quality and Chemical safety of Chlorine as a Reconditioning Agent and Wash Disinfectant for Fresh-Cut Lettuce Washing. *Appl. Environm. Microbiol.* 2013, 79, 2850–2861.