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Abstract

Background: While investigating the real-time impedance at acupuncture points (acupoints), it was found that regular sinusoidal waves were present that corresponded to the pulsing of certain organs, such as respiration and duodenal waves, the stomach’s slow waves, and also the heart’s beating.

Methods: This study investigated such respiration waves at lung-related acupoints to clarify their relation to the respiration pacesetter mechanism. The impedance at key acupoints was monitored in real time while the patients’ breathing slowed after exercise.

Results: In all 7 patients studied, the respiration and heart-beat waves matched the rates in the corresponding organs at rest, and did not vary markedly due to exercise. In 3 of the 7 patients, their post-exercise respiration rate exactly matched that of their duodenal waves, but then dropped, stepwise, back to their usual respiration rate. In the other 4 patients, their post-exercise respiration rate did not reach that of their duodenal waves, so this pattern was not triggered.

Conclusion: The results suggested that as well as the brainstem respiration pacesetter, there was also a separate “pace signal” present which remained constant and seemed to define the respiration rate when at rest. It is currently unknown what mechanism causes the respiration rate to increase due to exercise. But these results suggest that the brainstem pacesetter is sometimes guided by the duodenal pace signal instead of the lung pace signal, which may explain how the pacesetter is able to jump to a higher rate, even though its chemoreceptor inputs may be unchanged.
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Introduction
The intelligent tissue theory [1,2] states that real-time organ information is reflected in the bodily tissue at meridian locations, and that this is the phenomenon that acupuncture utilizes to achieve its effect of immediately correcting organ malfunction.

It is thought that the organ information is communicated via electromagnetic waves, and that the communication rate is therefore considerably faster than that achievable via the nervous system (theoretically, 670 times faster [3]) and such waves are capable of conveying fine detail related to an organ’s function.

Part of the organ information found in all bodily tissue consists of sinusoidal waves which appear to relate to the regular pulsing in the function of the heart, lungs, stomach, and the duodenum,[1,4] and may therefore relate in some way to the electrical pacesetters for those organs. The current study aimed to explore the relationship between these sinusoidal waves in the tissue at lung-related acupuncture points (acupoints) and the brainstem respiration pacesetter.

The Theory
It has been shown that the impedance at an acupoint is proportional to the strength of the related organ’s function. [1] When a change of impedance occurs at any skin location, this indicates that there must have been a physical change in the structure of the skin and/or the tissue beneath, possibly including its fluid content.

Historically in Chinese acupuncture, it has been noted that when an organ is stressed in any way, this produces signs and symptoms at that organ’s key acupoints or along its meridian, which may include the tissue at that location feeling tender when pressed, or the skin being unusually warm or cold, or becoming reddened, or for boils, other anomalies, or stiff or painful joints or even shooting pains to occur. [5]

The inference is that when an organ is stressed and this results in an impedance change at its key acupoints, the accompanying change in physical structure that produces that
impedance change is also what causes the above anomalies, such as the tenderness when pressed (which is akin to the feeling when tissue has been bruised; i.e. damaged).

Such tenderness can clear in around one second when the related organ is treated with acupuncture,[4,6] which demonstrates how responsive the local tissue is to changes in the related organ’s function.

This responsiveness also produces real-time physical patterns in the bodily tissue at meridian locations that reflect the regular pulsing of some organs, such as sinusoidal waves that reflect the stomach’s slow waves, the duodenal waves, the respiration pattern, and also the heart’s beat. All these waves can be readily detected in the impedance at acupoints, and have been shown not to be artefact of any kind.[1,4] It has also been shown that there is a variable phase shift in such waves, whose phase can vary by as much as 2 seconds,[4] which has been attributed to the variable amount of time it takes for the local tissue to interpret the organ information and produce a change in itself to reflect that information.[4]

These waves are not thought to be a reflection of the known electrical pacesetters in those organs, since their frequency is often different to that of the pacesetter; but instead they appear to reflect the target rate for the related electrical pacesetter to adopt when the patient is at rest (as demonstrated in this current paper). To distinguish these sinusoidal waves from the electrical pacesetters, the author has named them “pace signals”.

The inference of these discoveries is that the bodily tissue in the vicinity of a particular meridian constantly produces physical changes in itself to reflect the real-time states of the related organ. This fact alone is remarkable, and could provide new insights into some areas of physiology. But further, the author has produced a detailed analysis [7] which suggests that this mechanism may have enabled the organ systems of a primitive animal to guide the evolution of that animal’s body. It is suggested that it was this process that resulted in there now being organ-related tracts of tissue in every bodily location, which are known today as the meridians and can be stimulated to affect the function of the related organs.[7]
**Methods and materials**

Seven patients were selected from the author’s Chinese acupuncture practice. They all received acupuncture routinely, and usually attended clinic for a treatment at intervals ranging from 3 to 6 weeks, depending on the level of stress in their life; none took pharmaceuticals routinely; and at the time of the recordings, all were healthy.

The real-time impedance was monitored at the following acupoints. With patient 1, the range of acupoints monitored was wider. These included bilateral Kidney 3 (Taixi), left Lung-6 (Kongzui), left Lung-9 (Taiyuan), left Stomach-19 (Burong), and left Stomach-36 (Zusanli). This wider range of acupoints was used to determine if the impedance at these acupoints included features pertinent to the study. It was determined that it was only necessary to monitor the impedance at left Lung-6 to obtain the data necessary for this study. However, an interesting phenomenon was noted in the impedance at left Stomach-19 (which is discussed below). Therefore, with patients 2-7, the acupoints monitored were left Lung-6; and 3 pairs of electrodes were also placed across the left Stomach-19 acupoint (at 6 mm intervals perpendicular to the meridian), to monitor for the repetition of this phenomenon in the other patients. The acupoints on the left were chosen for purely practical reasons, the equipment being positioned on the patient’s left side, so that cable sway was less of an issue while monitoring the left acupoints.

A thermistor was also placed under each patient’s nose to record their breathing cycle. And their pulse rate was monitored by placing an oximeter on their finger and taking readings every 10 seconds.

The acupoints were first located by an acupuncturist with 14 years experience in Chinese acupuncture, then the location of lowest impedance was verified electrically, and this was used as the test location.

At each acupoint, a pair of custom-made electrodes were used, set at a distance of 6 mm apart (the second acting as a control), and a standard ECG electrode was attached at about 5 cm from each acupoint, as an earth. A 40 kHz 200 mv sine wave was passed through the electrodes, and the voltage monitored. A custom-made unit converted the monitored voltages to DC, amplified them, then passed these to a data logger which sampled the voltages at 1 kHz. The thermistor was attached to a simple voltage divider circuit and a
direct current passed through it. The voltage across the thermistor was monitored by another data logger, also sampling at 1 kHz. An Access database and macro was used to control the data loggers and convert the voltage samples into kΩ and Celsius values, before they were imported into Matlab and filtered to produce the plots.

An accompanying online dataset [8] contains links to documents that describe in detail all the equipment and techniques used, including circuit diagrams for the custom-built units, how to construct the custom electrodes, how to reliably locate acupoints electrically; and the Access macro and Matlab scripts are also included.[8]

An initial 190 second recording was made while the patient sat relaxed. The patient then stood, ran on the spot for 3 minutes, then immediately sat down and relaxed while all the states were recorded for 600 seconds. For this second recording, the sample rate was reduced to 500 Hz, to reduce the amount of data and the filtering time. During the recordings, the patient rested their left arm on their left leg, so as to minimize the risk of movement artefact on the left LU-6 electrode.

Towards the end of this period, the patient was asked to hold their breath for around 15-20 seconds. This was marked by the patient pressing a footswitch to mark the start and end point. The exact points were later verified by studying the breathing cycle plot, and these points were marked on the following plots with vertical green lines.

Informed consent was obtained (see the consent form in the dataset [8]). This study was conducted in the UK. IRAS Project ID: 274498. The Medical Research Council was consulted but it was determined that NHS Research Ethics Committee approval was not required. Note that the IRB is an entirely USA-based organization whose requirements do not apply in the UK.

Results and discussion

The raw experimental data is available online in a dataset,[8] which includes the Matlab scripts used to filter the data to produce the plots. Matlab’s findpeaks function was used to locate the peaks in each plot, so that wave analysis could be performed. Such values are given as mean ± SD (all calculations are included in the accompanying dataset [8]). The results are summarized in Tables 1-3.
**Table 1.** Lung pace signal and respiration wavelengths (seconds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient, sex, age</th>
<th>rates at rest</th>
<th>Average for 1st 30 seconds after exercise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lung PS</td>
<td>Resp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, M, 35</td>
<td>4.09±0.09 *</td>
<td>3.77±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, M, 59</td>
<td>4.04±0.55</td>
<td>3.35±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, M, 45</td>
<td>4.00±0.64</td>
<td>4.60±0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, F, 45</td>
<td>3.80±0.64</td>
<td>3.12±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, M, 49</td>
<td>3.81±0.70</td>
<td>4.28±1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, M, 52</td>
<td>3.99±0.67</td>
<td>4.73±0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, M, 49</td>
<td>4.20±0.82</td>
<td>4.87±0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* With patient 1, an initial recording was not made to capture the values at rest, so the rest values were obtained from the last 100 seconds of the 10 minute recording.

† Figure obtained from ST-19, since LU-6 sensor was faulty on patient 5 after exercise.

**Table 2.** Heart pace signal and pulse rates (beats per minute)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient, sex, age</th>
<th>rates at rest</th>
<th>Average for 1st 30 seconds after exercise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>He PS</td>
<td>Pulse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, M, 35</td>
<td>67.70±6.19 ‡</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, M, 59</td>
<td>64.62±8.92</td>
<td>68.47±1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, M, 45</td>
<td>65.29±4.15</td>
<td>65.63±1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, F, 45</td>
<td>60.21±4.00</td>
<td>56.72±2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, M, 49</td>
<td>59.96±2.63 §</td>
<td>60.05±2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, M, 52</td>
<td>58.44±1.00</td>
<td>52.63±1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, M, 49</td>
<td>58.55±0.37</td>
<td>61.26±2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‡ With patient 1, an initial recording was not made to capture the values at rest, so the rest values were obtained from the last 100 seconds of the 10 minute recording.

§ Figure obtained from ST-19, since LU-6 sensor was faulty on patient 5 after exercise.
Table 3. Pace signal wavelengths for other organs (seconds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient, sex, age</th>
<th>rates at rest</th>
<th>Average for 1st 30 seconds after exercise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stomach slow wave</td>
<td>Duodenal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, M, 35</td>
<td>9.21±1.44**</td>
<td>2.39±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, M, 59</td>
<td>9.96±0.46</td>
<td>2.08±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, M, 45</td>
<td>9.03±0.64</td>
<td>2.61±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, F, 45</td>
<td>10.07±1.62</td>
<td>2.52±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, M, 49</td>
<td>8.91±1.06††</td>
<td>2.70±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, M, 52</td>
<td>10.25±1.74</td>
<td>2.59±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, M, 49</td>
<td>9.24±1.49</td>
<td>2.48±0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the stomach’s slow wave is often assumed to have a wavelength of around 20 seconds. But intracellular recordings were made in 2011 that found these slow waves to be 10.4 ±1.7 seconds.[4,9]

In the first 30 seconds after exercise, the average changes in the pace signal rates across all 7 patients, were as follows. There was a slight increase in the lung pace signal rate, of 5.34±4.87 %. Whereas there was a notable increase in the actual respiration rate, of 22.81±15.25 %. There was a slight increase in the heart pace signal rate, of 6.74±7.33 %. Whereas there was a notable increase in the actual pulse rate, of 53.43±17.71 %. There was a decrease in the stomach’s slow wave pace signal rate, of 13.75±11.61 %. And there was a slight decrease in the duodenal “pace signal” rate, of 0.73±16.07 %.

With all patients, the lung pace signal continued unchanged while the patient consciously held their breath. Figure 1 shows the lung, duodenal, and heart pace signals while patient 1 held his breath. This same pattern was repeated with all 7 patients (see dataset-figures 1B to 7B [8]).

** With patient 1, an initial recording was not made to capture the values at rest, so the rest values were obtained from the last 100 seconds of the 10 minute recording.

†† Figures obtained from ST-19, since LU-6 sensor was faulty on patient 5.
Figure 1. Shows the impedance at left LU-6 on patient 7, after exercise. Bandpass filters were applied to show the lung, duodenal, and heart pace signals, as captured within the impedance.

As expected, with all patients there was a notable increase in the respiration rate after exercise (22.81±15.25 %), but with each patient the lung pace signal remained fairly stable, with only a slight average increase amongst all 7 patients (5.34±4.87 %). And similar results were obtained for the heart pace signal and the pulse rate.

These results also confirmed that the pace signals are not merely artefact derived from either the muscular or electrical activity of the related organ. Indeed, the fact that the lung pace signal continued when a patient consciously held their breath, reinforces this notion.

So, what function do these sinusoidal waves have, and where are they generated?

It is clear that the rate of an organ’s pace signal does not always equate to the rate of that organ’s electrical pacesetter, but that there was an approximate correlation while the patient was at rest. On average, the difference between the rate of the lung pace signal and the respiration rate (i.e. the respiration pacesetter) while the patient was at rest, was only 2.72±16.31 %.
But there is also an inherent problem in comparing the pace signal (as reflected in the tissue at an acupoint) with that organ’s pacesetter. It has been shown that when such organ information is reflected at an acupoint, there is a variable delay in the pattern produced in the tissue, which can sometimes be as much as 2 seconds.[4] This produces a variable phase shift in these meridian reflections of the pace signals.

**The correlation between the lung pace signal and the breathing pattern while at rest**

Figure 2’s top plot shows the lung pace signal at left LU-6 superimposed on the breathing pattern of patient 7 while relaxed. If it is assumed that the pace signal somehow guides the respiration pacesetter, it would still not be expected for the two signals in this plot to be in phase, due to the variable delay introduced in the reflection of pace signals at meridian locations. And indeed, in many places in the plot, there does seem to be a fairly consistent phase shift. Overall, the average phase shift was 1.17±1.44 seconds, with a maximum negative shift of -2.39 seconds, and a maximum positive shift of 3.40 seconds (calculations included in the dataset [8]).

![Graph showing the correlation between the lung pace signal and breathing pattern](image)

**Figure 2.** Shows the impedance at left LU-6 on patient 7, while relaxed. The upper plot superimposes the breathing pattern (as captured at the nostril) upon the lung pace signal (as reflected in the tissue at left LU-6).
And the lower plot shows the lung pace signal at LU-6 and at 6 mm perpendicular to the acupoint’s centre, to demonstrate the variable phase shift here.

To demonstrate the variability of the delay in the pace signal (as reflected in the meridian tissue), Figure 2’s lower plot shows the pace signal as reflected at left LU-6 and also at 6 mm medial to the acupoint, on patient 7. It should be remembered that the source signal (the actual pace signal) would be the same in both cases, but when the local tissue reflects this at these two locations, which are only 6 mm apart on the skin, clear phase shifts are sometimes introduced, which could only be created due to the delay in the local tissue interpreting the original signal. Overall (between 0 and 190 seconds), the average phase shift was 0.13±0.49 seconds, with the maximum shifts being -1.26 and 1.76 seconds (see the dataset [8] for these calculations).

This also serves to demonstrate that the pace signals picked up in the impedance at acupoints could not be due to artefact of any kind, including electrical, since if they were, they would always be exactly in phase.

The author’s hypothesis was that the pace signals only serve to provide a target resting rate to an organ’s electrical pacesetter. Therefore an exact correlation between the lung pace signal and the breathing pattern would not be expected, as would be expected between an electrical pacesetter and an organ’s muscular activity. And due to the variable delay introduced by whatever mechanism the local tissue uses to reflect the pace signals, an exact comparison between this and the breathing pattern is not possible anyway. However, Figure 2 shows that there was an approximate correlation between the lung pace signal and the breathing pattern in patient 7 while at rest, which pattern was repeated in the other 6 patients.

**The spikes in the pace signal**

As well as the frequencies of the pace signals, another notable feature of them in the recordings was the clear spikes in the amplitude at key moments. For example, in Figure 1, when the patient resumed breathing after holding his breath, the amplitude of the lung pace signal increased by a factor of about 3, for about 3 cycles. Similar patterns occurred with all the patients, though the location of the spike in relation to them holding their breath, varied (see the dataset-figures 1B-7B [8]). And similar spikes also occurred at other times.
in the recordings (dataset-figures 1A-7A). Since these may shed light on the nature of the pace signals, these amplitude spikes are analysed below.

Artefact or not?

Is it possible that these spikes are merely movement artefact, due to the patient moving which caused either a change of pressure at the electrodes, or cable sway?

The LU-6 acupoint was located on the left arm, and during the recording, all the patients sat with their left arm resting on their left leg, and the cables were secured to guard against cable sway.

At some instances, there was a simultaneous increase in the amplitude of all the pace signals, but in many other instances, there was a spike in the amplitude of just one of the pace signals, and no corresponding spike in the others (see dataset-figures 1B-7B [8]).

For example, in the dataset-figure 1B [8], on the same plot, dips in the lung pace signal coincide with spikes in the duodenal pace signal (at 206, 339 and 443 seconds), and at other times, there are simultaneous spikes in both pace signals (at 115, 186 and 249 seconds). And the plot of the heart pace signal also has spikes that do not appear on the other pace signal plots (at 330, 440 etc). And such features can be seen in the plots for the other patients (see dataset-figures 2B to 7B [8]).

These characteristics rule out artefact. In all the plots, the signal was taken from the same electrode and filtered to produce the different pace signal plots. Therefore, if any features in the signal were due to movement artefact, the features would affect all the frequencies. But instead, these spikes appear sometimes at one frequency and sometimes at another.

Further, in many of the plots, the spikes and dips often coincide with a change in the overall impedance detected at that same electrode. But at some instances, this impedance rises, while at others it falls (see dataset-figures 1A to 7A [8]). This also suggests that these features are not merely the product of artefact, since, if they were, the effects on all the impedance values (in the pace signals, and also in the overall impedance) would be similar in all instances.

For example, at 150 and 250 seconds in the dataset-figure 1A [8], the lung pace signal features a spike in its amplitude of around $10\Omega$, which coincides with a sharp drop in the
overall impedance of around 25Ω; while at 450 seconds, there is a significant dip in the amplitude of the lung pace signal, which coincides with a sharp rise in the overall impedance of around 20Ω. It is notable that the change in the overall impedance is significantly larger than the change in the amplitude of the pace signal, which confirms that the change in the overall impedance is not merely a product of the spike in the pace signal’s amplitude, but that both these features are more likely to have been different manifestations of a momentary change in the organ’s (in this case, the lungs) function.

Note that such spikes appear to be produced by the local tissue interpreting the pace signal, since they are absent in the same pace signal when it is reflected at other locations at the same instant (see dataset-figures 1B2 and 7E2). Therefore these spikes demonstrate another aspect of the local tissue interpreting organ information.

Electrical artefact can also be ruled out. This may occur when the electrodes pick up the electrical activity of the pacesetters or the corresponding muscular activity in an organ. This is ruled out due to the variable phase shift that is present in the pace signals when reflected in the tissue at acupoints. But further, the smooth sinusoidal nature of these signals also helps to rule this out. For example, the heart pace signal (as with the other pace signals), is a smooth sinusoid pattern that roughly corresponds with the pulse rate when at rest (see dataset-figure 5C [8]). However, the heart has four chambers, which pulse in a particular sequence. This means that for each beat, there are usually 2 significant pulses, and one much smaller pulse. These would normally be detectible in a person’s pulse. If the heart pace signal were artefact, either produced by this pulse pattern, or by the electrical activity of the heart, its waveform would reflect these patterns. But instead, there is a single sine wave that corresponds to each beat. Therefore, if this pace signal plays any part in influencing the heart’s resting rate, it could only be influencing the overall speed of each beat, rather than the pattern of the separate pulses that make up each beat.

Further, an electrical pacesetter tends to produce periodic spikes, rather than smooth sinusoids, which also helps to indicate that the pace signals are a separate entity to the known electrical pacesetters.
The pace signal spikes that occurred in association with the patients holding their breath

With all 7 patients, associated with the act of holding their breath, amplitude spikes occurred in the pace signals. But the location of the spikes differed between patients. In 4 patients, notable spikes occurred between 10-30 seconds before they held their breath, which was when they were given the instructions on the procedure to use to signal the start and end of holding their breath. While in 4 patients (not necessarily the same ones) significant spikes occurred when they resumed breathing (which did not occur in the other 3 patients).

With patients 6 and 7, a change in the procedure was adopted to further rule out movement artefact. The previous patients were asked to press a footswitch to mark the start and end of when they held their breath. However, to rule out movement artefact due to the action of them pressing the footswitch, patients 6 and 7 were instead asked to rest their right hand on their right leg, and to simply flex their right fingers to mark these start and end moments; and they were asked to otherwise keep as still as possible. Since the electrodes were attached to their left arm, this minimized the risk of movement artefact.
Figure 3. Shows the impedance at left LU-6 on patient 6, after exercise. Bandpass filters were applied to show the lung, duodenal, and heart pace signals, as captured within the impedance. Spikes are seen in relation to the subject holding his breath.

Figure 3 shows these results with patient 6. At 473 seconds, there was a “false start” to the patient holding his breath, due to confusion over whether the fingers were to be extended or flexed to mark the start. The interesting result, is that about 30 seconds before the false start, and also 30 seconds before the actual procedure, there was a spike in the amplitude of the pace signals (both lungs and duodenal, whose functions are recognised to be closely related in Chinese medicine, since both the lungs and pancreas share the same “6 channel” meridian, the taiyin [10]). This moment (around 30 seconds prior to the procedure) was when instructions were given to the patient relating to the procedure.

Elsewhere, the author has written widely on the influence of the conscious mind on the organ functions. [11] And when considering the variety of the placement of these spikes between the different patients, the author suggests that, rather than reflecting a change in the lung (and duodenal) function due to the process of holding the breath, they reflect the effect on all the organs of the patients’ anticipation of the procedure. If this is the case, this would serve to demonstrate another facet of the body’s sensitive response to the conscious
mind, and provides another factor that needs to be considered when interpreting such results.

**Fast respiration may be guided by the duodenal pace signal**

In patients 1, 3 and 7, the initial respiration rate after exercise, matched that of their duodenal pace signal. After a period of relaxation, this rate then immediately dropped, stepwise, back to a rate that was nearer to their lung pace signal. In the other 4 patients, this same phenomenon was not present, which may simply be explained by the fact that their respiration rate did not rise to that of their duodenal pace signal, and therefore simply changed gradually (rather than step-wise), until it had slowed back down to near to their lung pace signal.

**Figure 4.** Shows the impedance at left LU-6 on patient 7, after exercise. A bandpass filter was applied to show the duodenal pace signal, as captured within the impedance; which is superimposed on the breathing pattern, as captured at the nostril.

Figure 4 shows this pattern with patient 7. For the first 60 seconds, the duodenal pace signal’s rate was 2.93±0.33 seconds, while the breathing rate was 2.96± 0.16. The patient then sighed, and the breathing rate immediately dropped to 4.09±0.26 seconds (measured
over the following 29 seconds), while the duodenal pace signal continued relatively unchanged, at 2.20±0.46 seconds (during the same 29 second period). During this same period, the lung pace signal’s rate was 3.95±0.99 seconds.

All the pace signals, when reflected in the tissue at any meridian location, feature a variable phase shift (as described above). As can be seen in Figure 4, during the first 60 seconds, when this variable phase shift is allowed for, the breathing pattern matched the rate of the duodenal pace signal, wave for wave. And while the breathing followed the duodenal pace signal, the amplitude of that pace signal was increased (this can be seen more clearly in the dataset-figure 7D [8]).

The dataset-figure 1C and 1E [8] show the same pattern with patient 1. For the first 133 seconds, the duodenal pace signal’s rate was 2.53±0.44 seconds, while the breathing rate was 2.63±0.25. The patient then sighed, and the breathing rate immediately dropped to 3.13±0.53 seconds (measured over the following 58 seconds), while the duodenal pace signal continued relatively unchanged, at 2.69±0.57 seconds (during the same period). The patient then sighed again, and the breathing rate dropped to 4.35±0.96 seconds. Throughout, the lung pace signal rate was fairly stable at 4.04±0.29 seconds.

With patient 3, the thermistor became misplaced during the exercise period, and the breathing pattern was not captured reliably (see dataset-figures 3C and 3D [8]). However from the figures obtained, it was possible to cautiously deduce the respiration rate during the 1st 30 seconds after exercise, to be 2.17±0.17 seconds (see figure 3D and also the calculations for patient 3 in the dataset [8]). This matched the rate of his duodenal pace signal, of 2.15±0.18 seconds.

Immediately after exercise, patient 4’s breathing rate (2.83±0.17) was near to that of her duodenal pace signal (which was 2.34±0.75), but was not close enough to trigger the above phenomenon, and her breathing rate simply gradually slowed back to her normal rest rate (see the calculations for patient 4 in the dataset [8]).

**The spikes in the duodenal pace signal at Stomach-19**

In the 3 patients whose breathing rate after exercised, matched that of their duodenal pace signal, the amplitude of their duodenal pace signal (when reflected at certain acupoints)
had a notably increased amplitude, but only while the breathing rate matched that of the duodenal pace signal.

With patient 7, this increased amplitude was present at left LU-6 (see dataset-figure 7B). But with all three patients (1, 3 and 7), this increased amplitude was present in the vicinity of left ST-19.

The dataset-figure 1E [8] shows this with patient 1. Note that the increased amplitude was not present 6 mm from the acupoint’s centre. Dataset-figure 7E shows this with patient 7. Here, the most pronounced amplitude increase was at the location 12 mm lateral to the centre of the ST-19 acupoint; and in the same location, there was no corresponding increase in the amplitude of the lung pace signal.

With patient 3, the increased amplitude was in the general vicinity of left ST-19 (up to a radius of 18 mm), and ceased at around 80 seconds (see dataset-figures 3E and 3F [8]). And with the other 4 patients, whose breathing rate did not increase to that of their duodenal pace signals, there was no similar increase in the amplitude of the duodenal pace signals, as reflected at left ST-19, or elsewhere.

**A possible extra element to the respiration pacesetter mechanism**

The above observations suggest a previously unreported element of the respiration pacesetter mechanism.

Respiration (air passing into and out of the lungs) occurs due to pressure differences in the air and within the lungs; and the pressure within the lungs is modified due to the contraction and relaxing of the diaphragm and the external intercostal muscles.[12] To set the respiration rate, these muscles are controlled by the respiratory centre within a part of the brain known as the medulla oblongata, which acts upon information about the level of CO₂ in the blood gained from chemoreceptors in the brain, brainstem, carotid arteries and aortic arch.[12] However, on exercise the arterial PCO₂ does not increase, and it is not known what mechanism causes the respiration pacesetter to speed up during exercise (there are only unsatisfactory hypotheses).[13]

But the above observations suggest that when a notably faster respiration rate is required, the respiration pacesetter in the brainstem may be able to temporarily follow the duodenal
pace signal, rather than the lung pace signal; and that when the faster respiration rate is no longer required, the brainstem pacesetter returns to following the lung pace signal.

**How might the physiology of this be achieved?**

The intelligent tissue theory states that bodily tissue is able to interpret organ information conveyed on an electromagnetic wave. This is not restricted to the meridians, but extends on either side of them; and since the meridians reach every part of the body, this suggest that all bodily tissue has this ability. The tissue studied so far is extremely responsive, as shown in the figures in this current paper alone. It is now accepted that connective tissue is capable of semiconduction,[14,15] hence this provides the conditions necessary for a genuine direct current to be present throughout the body’s connective tissue network, and it is assumed that the organ information is superimposed upon this current, as electromagnetic waves. Such waves travel through human connective tissue at a theoretical speed of 67,000 m/s.[3] This allows for the communication of organ information to every part of the body at a rate that is around 670 times faster than the nervous system is able to achieve.

One possibility is that each organ’s electrical pacesetter may also incorporate tissue that is able to interpret such organ information, and that it is this tissue that receives the information from the organ’s pace signal, which then sets the base rate of each electrical pacesetter. If this is the case, such interpretive tissue would be able to follow a quicker pace signal instead, when necessary, which might explain how the electrical respiration pacesetter was able to follow the duodenal pace signal, rather than the lungs’ pace signal, when a markedly increased respiration rate was necessary. In this situation, this still does not suggest what mechanism tells the pacesetter that an increase in pace is required, but only suggests a mechanism that may enable the pacesetter to jump to a higher rate.

A further question to be answered, is where are these pace signals produced? Are they produced in each organ, or elsewhere? In general, if they are produced in the related organ, it is possible that the lung pace signal may also be produced by the lungs, even though there is no electrical pacesetter within the lungs. In the author’s analysis of these and all related factors, he has concluded that the body is far more viscera centric than brain centric.[16] It is known that the organs are largely self-governing, and can function independently of the brain and spinal cord,[17,18] so the assumption that these pace
signals are generated by the organs (rather than within the brain) would seem to be a sensible starting point for this research.

Another possibility is that a range of pace signals is produced by a single organ, and all organs that pulse repetitively simply select the pace signal that is nearest to their requirements. However, it seems more likely that each organ produces its own pace signal, because, from this study, it was noted that when the lungs borrowed the duodenal pace signal, that pace signal had a notably increased amplitude at some stomach acupoints, but only while the lungs were utilizing the duodenal pace signal. If all the pace signals were produced independently of these organs, this pattern would not be expected.

**Prospects**

The study suggests exciting opportunities for research in other areas. As a part of the ongoing research into the respiratory pacemaker mechanism, the role of this extra pace signal might be further investigated. The impedance patterns at acupoints contain much detailed information, which is not always easy to interpret. But the fact that these pace-signal waves are predictable, and can also be related to the function of a particular organ, provides the opportunity to look for the corresponding variations in the local tissue at acupoints (and elsewhere). These would perhaps consist of regular microscopic patterns (which produce the waves seen in the impedance). The tissue at such locations could be studied in real time, to attempt to identify these regular physical changes. Once identified, the tissue could be further studied to attempt to determine how the tissue produces these patterns, and hence how the tissue interprets the organ information (the pace signal) in the electromagnetic waves.

This has implications far beyond the definition of how acupuncture works. The fact that organ information is conveyed on an electromagnetic wave and may play a vital role in regulating respiration, is, itself, an exciting discovery. But once confirmed in this area, there is the possibility that this previously unreported communication method may also play vital roles in other areas of physiology, and fill in gaps in the knowledge in those areas, just as it may help to do with the respiration pacemaker mechanism.
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