Viscoelastic behaviour from complementary forced-oscillation and micro-creep tests
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Abstract
There is an important complementarity between experimental methods for the study of high-temperature viscoelasticity in the time and frequency domains, that has not always been fully exploited. Here we show that parallel processing of forced-oscillation data and microcreep records, involving consistent use of either Andrade or extended Burgers creep-function models, yields a robust composite modulus-dissipation dataset spanning a broader range of periods than either technique alone. In fitting this dataset, the alternative Andrade and extended Burgers models differ in
their partitioning of strain between the anelastic and viscous contributions. The extended Burgers model is preferred because it involves a finite range of anelastic relaxation times, and accordingly a well-defined anelastic relaxation strength. The new strategy offers the prospect of better constraining the transition between transient and steady-state creep, or equivalently, between anelastic and viscous behaviour.

Introduction

It is well known that there is, in principle, a valuable complementarity between experimental methods for the study of high-temperature viscoelasticity in the time domain and in the frequency (or period) domain (e.g., [1]). In practice, time-domain microcreep studies have been used to constrain the value of steady-state viscosity for use in modelling the results of sub-resonant forced oscillation studies [2, 3]. In our laboratory, consistency between forced-oscillation and microcreep data has been used as evidence of linearity of mechanical behaviour [4], and microcreep studies have been used to provide a qualitative or quantitative indication of the extent to which the inelastic strain is recoverable on removal of the applied stress [5, 6]. However, we have not yet fully exploited the valuable complementarity between forced-oscillation and microcreep methods. The purpose of this investigation is to explore how results obtained in our laboratory from the two methods might best be combined to take advantage of the complementarity.

If a steady stress is suddenly applied at time $t = 0$, i.e. $\sigma(t) = H(t)$ where $H$ is the Heaviside step function, the resulting strain is specified by the creep function $J(t)$. Provided only that the mechanical behaviour is linear, the strain $\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_0 \exp(i\omega t - \delta)$ associated with a stress $\sigma(t) = \sigma_0 \exp(i\omega t)$, that is sinusoidally time-varying with
angular frequency $\omega$, may be calculated by superposition of the strains resulting from a series of consecutive step-function changes in stress each of infinitesimal amplitude. In this way, it is established that

$$\varepsilon(t) = J^*(\omega)\sigma(t)$$

(1)

where the (complex) dynamic compliance $J^*(\omega)$ is related to the creep function $J(t)$ by

$$J^*(\omega) = i\omega \int_{(0,\infty)} J(\xi) \exp(-i\omega \xi) d\xi$$

(2)

(e.g., [1, 7]).

In the representation of linear viscoelastic behaviour, the alternative Andrade and extended Burgers creep functions $J(t)$, respectively,

$$J(t) = J_U + \beta \eta^\alpha + t/\eta$$

(3)

and

$$J(t) = J_U \{ 1 + A \int_{(-\infty,\infty)} D(\ln \tau) [1 - \exp(-t/\tau)] d\ln \tau \} + t/\eta$$

(4)

are widely used.

Distributions $D_B(\ln \tau)$ and $D_P(\ln \tau)$ of anelastic relaxation times, appropriate for monotonic dissipation background and a superimposed peak, respectively, along with the associated modulus dispersion, are:

$$D_B(\ln \tau) = \alpha \tau^\alpha(\eta_1^\alpha - \eta_2^\alpha), \text{ for } \eta_L \leq \tau \leq \eta_H, \text{ and zero elsewhere}$$
\[ D_r(\ln \tau) = \sigma^{-1}(2\pi)^{1/2}\exp\{-[\ln(\tau/\tau_p)/\sigma]^2\}. \]  

(5)

[8, 9]. The duration of transient creep involving grain-boundary sliding has been identified with the Maxwell time \( \tau_M = \eta J_U \) [10]. Accordingly, consistent with insights from more recent micromechanical modelling of grain-boundary sliding [11] and our recent practice [6], we here set \( \tau_H = \tau_M \).

The real and negative imaginary parts of the associated dynamic compliance \( J^\ast(\omega) = J_1(\omega) - iJ_2(\omega) \) for the Andrade model are

\[
J_1(\omega) = J_U + \beta \Gamma(1+n)\omega^n \cos(n\pi/2) \\
J_2(\omega) = \beta \Gamma(1+n)\omega^n \sin(n\pi/2) + 1/\eta \omega.
\]

(6)

The corresponding quantities for the extended Burgers model are

\[
J_1(\omega) = J_U \{1 + \Delta \int_{(\infty,\infty)} D(ln\tau)d\ln\tau/(1+\omega^2\tau^2)\} \\
J_2(\omega) = \omega J_U \Delta \int_{(\infty,\infty)} \tau D(ln\tau)d\ln\tau/(1+\omega^2\tau^2) + 1/\eta \omega
\]

(7)

In equivalent parameterisations, the Maxwell time \( \tau_M = \eta J_U \) takes the place of viscosity \( \eta \).

The (stiffness) modulus \( M(\omega) \) and strain energy dissipation \( Q^{-1}(\omega) \) then follow as

\[
M(\omega) = [J_1^2(\omega) + J_2^2(\omega)]^{1/2} \text{ and } Q^{-1}(\omega) = J_2(\omega)/J_1(\omega).
\]

(8)

Accordingly, it is seen that, in principle, the creep function \( J(t) \) and the dynamic compliance \( J^\ast(\omega) \) contain identical information concerning the mechanical behaviour. In practice, however, there are two important qualifications. Forced-oscillation measurements of shear modulus \( G \) (or Young’s modulus \( E \)) and associated strain-
energy dissipation $Q^{-1}$ involve comparison of stress and strain signals of prescribed frequency or period ($T_o$) that are intensively sampled - even at short periods. In contrast, microcreep records, of much longer duration, are normally much more sparsely sampled. Thus in our laboratory, forced-oscillation and microcreep records are routinely sampled at frequencies of $128/T_o$ and 1 Hz, respectively. Accordingly, forced-oscillation data are expected to better resolve the behaviour at short timescales, whereas microcreep records will better resolve the behaviour at long timescales. Furthermore, our microcreep testing protocol involving successive switching of the torque between steady values 0, +L, 0, -L, and 0, has the capacity to distinguish between recoverable (and therefore anelastic) and permanent (viscous) strains, as demonstrated below. Forced-oscillation records comprising multiple complete cycles of oscillation have no such capacity to distinguish between anelastic and viscous behaviour.

**Materials and Methods**

The processing of experimental forced-oscillation data

In our laboratory, shear modulus $G$ and dissipation $Q^{-1}$ are measured at each prescribed oscillation period $T_o$ by comparing the complex torsional compliances (rad (Nm)$^{-1}$) measured on complementary experimental assemblies containing, respectively, the cylindrical specimen of interest and a control specimen of known, nearly elastic, shear modulus [12]. Calculation of the compliance differential between the two assemblies serves to eliminate the contribution to the overall compliance from ceramic/steel torsion rods in series mechanically with the specimens. Accordingly, the compliance differential is the difference in compliance between the metal-jacketed specimen and a similarly jacketed control specimen of either polycrystalline alumina
or a sapphire crystal. The compliances of the respective assemblies are routinely corrected for the small perturbation caused by interaction between the gas (argon) pressure medium and the central plate moving between the pair of relatively closely spaced outer fixed plates of the capacitance displacement transducers [13]. The force exerted by the gas medium on the central plate perturbs the observed compliance both by bending the lever arms on which the transducer central plates are mounted and by contributing to the torque. If the space between two parallel circular plates of radius $R$, is occupied by gas (argon) of density $\rho$ and viscosity $\eta$, the force $F(t)$ on the plate with normal displacement $d(t) = d_0 \exp(i\omega t)$ is

$$F(t) = -\{\pi \rho \omega^2 R^4/8h[(b/2)^{1/2}\tanh(b/2) - 1]\} \times d(t) \quad (9)$$

with

$$b = (1+i)h/\delta, \text{ and boundary layer thickness } \delta = (2\eta/\rho \omega)^{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

The compliance differential is also corrected for any small differences between the dimensions and jacketing of the two specimens. Established procedures for the routine processing of such forced-oscillation data for each of a series of oscillation periods $T_0$, approximately logarithmically equally spaced at each temperature $T$, are outlined in previous publications [12, 13]. All such $G$ and $Q^{-1}$ data obtained at relatively high temperatures and oscillation periods of $1-1000$ s with $\log Q^{-1} > -2.2$ are then usually fitted by a non-linear least-squares procedure to an extended Burgers creep-function model (e.g., [14]).
The processing of complementary torsional micro-creep records

Torsional microcreep tests in our laboratory, conducted on the same assembly as for the forced-oscillation measurements, involve the application of a torque of amplitude 0, +L, 0, -L, and 0, for successive time intervals typically each of 2000 s duration [4]. The first segment is used to estimate and correct for any linear drift, leaving a four-segment record of 8000 s duration within which the torque is switched at times $t_i$, ($i = 1$, 2, 3, 4). The switching of the torque can be modelled as the superposition of Heaviside step functions of appropriate sign $s_i$ (+1 at $t_1$ and $t_4$, -1 at $t_2$ and $t_3$).

The motion of the central transducer plate relative to the pair of fixed plates following each such switching of the steady torque is well approximated by the expression

$$d(t) = d_0[1 - \exp(-\kappa t) + \gamma t]. \quad (11)$$

The force exerted by the gas medium on the moving plate is found from an analysis similar to that of [13] to be

$$F(t) = -3\pi R^4 \eta \gamma d_0/2h^3 - 3\pi \kappa^2 \rho R^4 d_0 \exp(-\kappa t)/\{8h[(b/2)^1 \tan(b/2) - 1]\} \quad (12)$$

with

$$b = h/\delta, \text{ and boundary layer thickness now } \delta = (\eta/\kappa \rho)^{1/2}. \quad (13)$$

In eq. (12), the first term on the right-hand side is the force associated with the steady rate $d_0 \gamma$ of plate separation, whereas the second term relates to the transiently
enhanced rate $\kappa d_0 \exp(-\kappa t)$ of plate separation. Representative second-segment microcreep data have been fitted to eq. (11) allowing calculation of, and correction for the influence of the forces (eq. 12) exerted on the moving transducer plates. The resulting perturbations are of order 0.001 $\mu$m at $t = 1$ s – negligible when compared with displacement amplitudes of 50-100 $\mu$m. By comparison the correction for forced-oscillation displacement amplitudes of similar magnitude at the shortest period of 1.28 s is of order 0.1 $\mu$m – large enough to justify their routine correction.

Accordingly, the raw microcreep data are first processed to obtain a quantity termed the instantaneous torsional compliance $S_{\text{spec}}(t)$, being the time dependent twist (radian) per unit torque (Nm) for the specimen assembly containing the polycrystalline specimen sandwiched between torsion rods within the enclosing metal jacket. Subtraction of the corresponding twist per unit torque for the reference assembly, $S_{\text{ref}}(t)$, in which a control specimen (either Lucalox$^\text{TM}$ polycrystalline alumina or sapphire) of known properties is substituted for the specimen, eliminates the unwanted contribution from the steel and alumina torsion rods. The difference $S_{\text{rel}}(t)$ is thus the twist of the jacketed specimen relative to that of the jacketted control specimen, yet to be corrected for any (usually minor) differences in geometry. This difference signal $S_{\text{rel}}(t)$ is fitted to a function $S_{\text{fit}}(t)$ that is the superposition of the responses to each of the torque switching episodes, prescribed by the appropriate creep function $J(t')$:

$$S_{\text{fit}}(t) = \sum_{i=1, k} S_i J(t_i')$$
where \( t'_i = t - t_i \) is the time elapsed since the \( i \)-th switching of the torque, for time \( t \) belonging to the \( k \)-th \((k = 1, 4)\) segment of the 4-segment record.

We have explored the option of weighting the fit by specifying an uncertainty in \( S_{rel}(t) \) proportional to \( \log t'_i \), \( t'_i \) being the time elapsed since the most recent \((k\)-th\) switching of the torque. The effect is to weight the data early in each segment relatively more heavily – in order to strengthen the connection with the forced-oscillation data. For the same reason, it may be desirable, as explored in the Results section, to fix certain parameters in the creep function model at values constrained by the forced oscillation data. For reasons of parametric economy, the Andrade creep function (eq. 3) has thus far been preferred over the extended Burgers alternative (eq. 4) for use in eq. (14) [6].

The Andrade creep function \( J(t) \) associated with this time-domain fit is then Laplace transformed to obtain the corresponding complex dynamic compliance at selected periods \( T_0 \) (those of the forced-oscillation experiments within the range 1-1000 s, and similarly chosen periods within the range 1000-10000 s). The further processing of the microcreep data then proceeds exactly as for the forced-oscillation data. For the purpose of reconciling the results of forced-oscillation and microcreep tests, the critical step in the processing of the microcreep record is therefore the fitting of the differential compliance \( S_{rel}(t) \) to eq. (14).

A normally very small correction is then applied to the Laplace transform of \( S_{rel}(t) \) for any differences in geometry between the two assemblies, to obtain the relative dynamic torsional compliance \( S_{rl}(T_0) \). \( S_{rl}(T_0) \) is added to the dynamic torsional compliance \( S_{jc}(T_0) \) calculated \emph{a priori} for the jacketed control specimen from forced-
oscillation data for the relevant jacket metal, along with data concerning the viscoelasticity of polycrystalline alumina, or appropriate temperature-dependent elastic properties of single-crystal sapphire. The result is an estimate of the dynamic torsional compliance $S_{js}(T_o)$ of the jacketted specimen. The reciprocal of $S_{js}$, the torsional stiffness (Nm rad$^{-1}$), is then corrected for the stiffness of the jacket (inclusive of any foil wrapper used to control redox conditions), and inverted to obtain the (complex) dynamic torsional compliance $S_0(T_o)$ of the bare cylindrical specimen from which its shear modulus $G$ and dissipation $Q^{-1}$ are calculated. At each stage of this process, conducted within the period domain, the dynamic torsional compliance, fitted to an Andrade creep function, allows construction of an associated virtual microcreep record by superposition of the responses to the successive episodes of torque switching (through eq. 14). The results for $S_0(t)$, $S_{jc}(t)$, $S_{js}(t)$ and $S(t)$ are intended to provide a clear indication of the relative contributions of the control specimen and jacket (inclusive of any liner) to the observed behaviour.

Concerning recoverability of the strain, we first examine the use of the Andrade creep function in eq. (14) for the fit to the instantaneous torsional compliance within the second segment of the 4-segment record as follows

$$S_{fit}(t) = \sum_{i=1,2} s_i J(t_i') = \beta [ (t-t_1)^n - (t-t_2)^n ] + (t_2-t_1)/\eta.$$  \hfill (15)

For $t \gg t_i \ (i = 1, 2)$, this expression becomes approximately

$$S_{fit}(t) \approx n \beta (t_2-t_1)^{n-1} + (t_2-t_1)/\eta.$$  \hfill (16)
Thus, as $t \to \infty$ with $n < 1$, the first term in eq. (16) becomes vanishingly small, indicating that the strain associated with the $\beta \tau^n$ term in the Andrade creep function is ultimately recoverable.

Accordingly, the fraction $f_R$ of the total inelastic strain $\beta \tau^n + t/\eta$ at time $t$ that is ultimately recoverable following removal of the applied torque is

$$f_R = \frac{\beta \tau^n}{\beta \tau^n + t/\eta} = \frac{1}{1 + t^{1-n}/\beta \eta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Such an estimate can be made for the Andrade model $S_{\text{in}}(t)$ fitted to the compliance difference $S_{\text{rel}}(t)$ between the raw microcreep records right through to the Andrade model fitted to the final $S_i(T_o)$ data – with closely consistent results concerning the fraction of recoverable strain.

For the alternative extended Burgers model, the fraction $f_R$ of the inelastic strain that is recoverable varies with elapsed time $t$ as

$$f_R = \Delta[I(-\infty, \infty) \text{D(ln}\tau)[1-\exp(-t/\tau)]d\ln\tau]/[\Delta[I(-\infty, \infty) \text{D(ln}\tau)[1-\exp(-t/\tau)]d\ln\tau + t/\tau_M]$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Results

Reconciliation of forced-oscillation and microcreep data with the Andrade model

Our established procedure for the processing of forced-oscillation data was applied to representative results, obtained at $1200^\circ$C and 200 MPa, for a specimen assembly containing an Ni$_{70}$Fe$_{30}$-sleeved Ti-doped Fo$_{90}$ olivine specimen and for a reference
assembly containing a similarly sleeved sapphire control specimen [15]. The fact that the forced-oscillation data best resolve the behaviour at relatively short periods, was highlighted by the experience of fitting the Andrade model to forced-oscillation data spanning the period range 1 – 1000 s. It proved possible to refine the values of the parameters $J_U$, $n$, and $\beta$, but for the viscosity $\eta$, only a minimum value near $0.4 \times 10^6$ GPa.s compatible with the data could be established. The resulting period-dependent values of the shear modulus $G$ and dissipation $Q^{-1}$, thus derived from forced-oscillation data, will later be compared with the same quantities inferred from the microcreep records.

The previously described procedure for the processing of microcreep data [6] was applied to the complementary microcreep record (Fig. 1) for the same specimen and reference assemblies under the same conditions ($1200^\circ$C and 200 MPa). The viscoelastic behaviour, calculated a priori, for the jacketed sapphire control specimen (‘jc’ in Fig. 1b) and the behaviour of the reference assembly as a whole (‘ref’ in Fig. 1a) reveal a close approach to perfectly elastic behaviour. Small departures from the elastic ideal are attributable to the high-temperature viscoelasticity of the metallic materials of jacket and liner, and of the ceramic torsion rods.

The Andrade creep-function model was fitted through eq. (14) to the compliance differential $S_{rel}(t)$ between the two assemblies, with (‘w’) and without (‘u’) the weighting described in the Materials and Methods section, and with (‘f’) and without the exponent $n$ fixed at the value $(0.24(2))$ provided by the Andrade fit to the forced-oscillation data. Overall, the resulting ‘u’, ‘uf’, ‘w’, and ‘wf’ fits represent $S_{rel}(t)$ almost equally well. Accordingly, only the ‘wf’ fit is displayed in Fig. 1.
**Figure 1.** The processing of the 4-segment microcreep record for a Ti-doped synthetic olivine polycrystal tested at 1200°C [15]. (a) Raw microcreep data for the specimen (‘spc’) and reference (‘ref’) assemblies, along with their difference (‘rel’) fitted with weighting described in the text to an Andrade model (‘fit’) with exponent $n$ from the Andrade fit to the period-dependent shear modulus and dissipation measured in the parallel forced-oscillation tests (i.e. the ‘wf’ model). The curve labelled ‘rl’ represents the Andrade fit to the differential dynamic torsional compliance corrected in the period domain for minor geometrical differences between the two assemblies. (b) Virtual microcreep records representing further stages in the processing of the microcreep data as described in the text: ‘rl’ as in panel (a), ‘jc’ for the jacketed sapphire control specimen, ‘js’ for the jacketed specimen, and ‘s’ for the bare olivine specimen.

The optimal Andrade model (‘fit’), thus fitted to $S_{rel}(t)$ was transformed to the period ($T_o$) domain for all steps in the further processing, as described previously. $S_{rel}(T_o)$ was first corrected for minor geometrical differences between the two assemblies (‘rl’), and then added to the torsional compliance (‘jc’) for the jacketed control specimen (Fig. 1b). The result (‘js’) is thus a robust estimate of the behaviour of the jacketed specimen which then requires a modest correction for the contribution of the enclosing NiFe liner and steel jacket – modelled as mild steel – to isolate the behaviour (‘s’) of the bare specimen. Although the processing of $S_{rel}(t)$, to obtain
ultimately the shear modulus and dissipation, was conducted within the period domain, at each step a virtual four-segment microcreep record (respectively labelled ‘rl’, ‘jc’, ‘js’, and ‘s’) was calculated by superimposing the appropriately time-delayed versions of the Andrade creep function fitted to the period-dependent complex compliance $S_i(T_o)$ with $i = \text{rl'}$, ‘jc’, ‘js’, and ‘s’ (Fig. 1b).

For the alternative ‘u’, ‘uf’, ‘w’, and ‘wf’ Andrade models, the RMS misfits range narrowly between $0.67 \times 10^{-5}$ rad/Nm and $0.72 \times 10^{-5}$ rad/Nm – amounting to ~6% of the unrelaxed compliance $J_U$. The associated residuals displayed in Fig. 1new are dominated by offsets of order $10^{-5}$ rad/Nm between successive segments of the record and by noise, typically of 5-10 s period. Such noise on the raw displacement time series results from imperfect discrimination by the pairs of displacement transducers against flexural disturbance of the assembly caused by the convective motions of the dense gas pressure medium within the internal furnace.
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**Figure 2.** The residual $S_{rel}(t) - S_{fit}(t)$ versus time for the alternative ‘u’, ‘uf’, ‘w’, and ‘wf’ Andrade models described in the text for (a) the entire 4-segment record, and (b) the first 100 s of the first segment.

Divergence between the alternative Andrade models at short times (Fig. 2b) reflects the strong covariance between the Andrade model parameters $J_U$, $n$, and $\beta$ with
correlation coefficients > 0.9 in magnitude. The somewhat divergent behaviour amongst the various Andrade creep functions for t < 50 s (Fig. 2b) highlights the limited capacity of microcreep data to resolve mechanical behaviour at relatively short periods.

The complex dynamic compliance for the bare specimen, ultimately derived from the microcreep data, provides estimates through eqs. (6 and 8) of the shear modulus $G$ and dissipation $Q^{-1}$, which are compared in Fig. 2 with the corresponding quantities from forced-oscillation data obtained for the same experimental assemblies at the same temperature. As expected, there is a broad consistency between the results obtained from the data acquired in the period (or frequency) and time domains – especially at the longer periods. The divergence at short periods between the results derived from forced-oscillation data and microcreep records is evidently reduced by according more weight to the $S_{rel}(t)$ observations soon after each torque switching event (Fig. 3) - more so than by using the forced-oscillation data to constrain the value of the exponent $n$ for the fits to the microcreep $S_{rel}(t)$.

**Figure 3.** A comparison of (a) shear modulus $G$ and (b) associated strain-energy dissipation $Q^{-1}$ for the NiFe-sleeved synthetic Ti-doped Fo$_{90}$ olivine specimen at 1200°C - derived from forced-oscillation data (solid symbols and curve labelled ‘fo’) and from the 4-segment microcreep record (open symbols, appropriately labelled,
representing the results obtained with the alternative ‘u’, ‘uf’, ‘w’, and ‘wf’ Andrade fits to $S_{rel}(t)$.

Accordingly, the shear modulus and dissipation deriving from forced-oscillation data and weighted microcreep records have been combined as follows for fitting an Andrade model to the composite dataset. The 1 Hz sampling of the microcreep record becomes more intensive than that ($128/T_o$) for forced-oscillation for periods greater than 100 s. Accordingly, modulus and dissipation inferred from the ‘wf’ fit to the microcreep $S_{rel}(t)$ records for the chosen periods $> 200$ s, are used to supplement the forced-oscillation data for periods within the range $1 – 1000$ s. The forced-oscillation and microcreep datasets thus overlap for periods of 200-1000 s, beyond which period, information derives solely from the microcreep records of 8000 s total duration. It is evident from Fig. 4 that the optimal Andrade models adequately represent the composite datasets spanning four decades in period.

**Figure 4.** A comparison of shear modulus $G$ and associated strain-energy dissipation $Q^{-1}$ for the NiFe-sleeved synthetic Ti-doped Fo$_{90}$ olivine derived from forced-oscillation data (solid symbols,’fo’) and the microcreep record (open symbols, ‘mcp-w’) (Fig. 1). The curves represent the optimal Andrade fit to the combined dataset as explained in the text. The label ‘mcp-w’ relates to microcreep results deriving from a weighted Andrade fit to the 4-segment differential compliance $S_{rel}(t)$ record without
forced-oscillation constraint on the exponent n. (a) and (b) $G$ and $\log Q^{-1}$ versus log (period, $T_0$, s) at 1200°C.

Towards reconciliation of forced-oscillation and microcreep data: the extended Burgers model

The previously described processing with the Andrade creep function of complementary forced-oscillation data and microcreep records for the NiFe-sleeved Ti-doped Fo$_{90}$ olivine, yields a composite $(G, Q^{-1})$ dataset spanning four decades in period that is well represented by the final optimal Andrade model (Fig. 3). However, because the Burgers model is regarded as physically more transparent than the Andrade model, if parametrically less economical, it is of interest to assess the feasibility of using the extended Burgers model throughout the parallel processing of forced-oscillation data and microcreep records.

That possibility is examined with reference to the same 1200°C olivine dataset in Fig. 5. As for the Andrade model, alternative extended Burgers fits to $S_{rel}(t)$ were explored – with (‘w’) and without (‘u’) weighting, and with (‘f’) and without forced-oscillation constraint of the parameter $\alpha$. Again the alternative models diverged significantly only for times < 50 s, and such divergence was minimised by weighting, more so than through imposition of a forced-oscillation constraint on $\alpha$. The extended Burgers model ‘w’, with parameters $J_U$, $\Delta$, $\alpha$, and $\tau_M$ (but not $\tau_L$) each refined within a modest uncertainty, evidently provides a satisfactory fit to the $S_{rel}(t)$ record (Fig. 5). The RMS misfit of $0.72 \times 10^{-5}$ rad/Nm is comparable with that for the Andrade model.
Figure 5. As for Fig. 1, but now involving (a) use of the extended Burgers rather than Andrade model for a weighted (‘w’) fit of $S_{rel}(t)$, and (b) in representation by virtual microcreep records of the derived quantities $S_{a}(T_0)$, $S_{jc}(T_0)$, $S_{js}(T_0)$, and finally $S_{d}(T_0)$.

The values of shear modulus and dissipation deriving from the alternative ‘u’, ‘uf’, ‘w’, and ‘wf’ fits of the extended Burgers model to the four-segment $S_{rel}(t)$ record are compared with the corresponding quantities from forced-oscillation data in Fig. 6. As for the Andrade fit to $S_{rel}(t)$, the results are broadly consistent, especially at long periods. At short periods, the microcreep results diverge significantly from the forced oscillation data, but less so for the weighted fits.

Figure 6. As for Fig. 3, but now involving use of the extended Burgers rather than Andrade model for the alternative fits of $S_{rel}(t)$. 
The values of $G$ and $Q^{-1}$ for periods of 200-10000 s derived from the microcreep records processed with the Burgers model are combined with those from the forced-oscillation data to construct a composite $(G(T_0), Q^{-1}(T_0))$ dataset. The optimal fit of the extended Burgers model to the composite dataset is compared with the constraining data in Fig. 7. As for the Andrade model (Fig. 4), the extended Burgers creep function evidently provides a satisfactory representation of the composite dataset spanning four decades in period.

**Figure 7.** As for Fig. 4, but with the composite dataset for 1200°C, deriving from the forced-oscillation data and microcreep (‘w’) records, fitted to an extended Burgers model.

The values of the various parameters for the optimal Andrade and extended Burgers models fitted to the composite $(G,Q^{-1})$ datasets are assembled in Table 1. The values of the unrelaxed compliance $J_U$ for the two models, and the parameters $n$ and $\alpha$ each approximately equal to $\partial \log Q^{-1}/\partial \log T_o$, are consistent within the formal uncertainties. The Maxwell relaxation times $\tau_M = \eta J_U$ are $1.9 \times 10^4$ s and $1.2 \times 10^4$ s for the Andrade and Burgers models, respectively. The longer Maxwell time (higher viscosity) for the Andrade model reflects the fact that the distribution of anelastic relaxation times extends to $\infty$ for the Andrade model. Accordingly, more (less) of
inelastic strain is treated as anelastic (viscous) for the Andrade creep function than for the Burgers model. As the ‘transient’ creep term $\beta t^n$ in the Andrade creep function is unbounded as $t \to \infty$, there is no finite anelastic relaxation strength. Accordingly, we compare the anelastic relaxation strength $\Delta = 1.2(2)$ for the extended Burgers model with the value $(1.6)$ of $\beta t^n/J_U$ evaluated at $t = \tau_M$ for the Andrade model. This comparison confirms the impression from comparison of the Maxwell times (or viscosities) that the Andrade model partitions the inelastic strain more strongly into the anelastic contribution than does the extended Burgers model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Andrade</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$J_U$, $10^{-1}$ GPa$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$\beta$, $10^{-2}$ GPa$^{-1}$ s$^{-n}$</td>
<td>$\eta$, $10^6$ GPa.s</td>
<td>$(\chi^2/2N)^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.186(3)</td>
<td>0.25(2)</td>
<td>0.26(2)</td>
<td>1.0(6)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burgers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_U$, GPa</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>$\Delta$</td>
<td>log($\tau_M$, s)</td>
<td>$(\chi^2/2N)^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.191(2)</td>
<td>0.26(2)</td>
<td>1.2(2)</td>
<td>4.1(2)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Parameters of the optimal Andrade and extended Burgers creep-function models fitted to the composite datasets comprising $N = 16$ ($G$,Q$^{-1}$) pairs for a Ti-doped Fo$_{90}$ specimen tested at 1200°C [15]. For the Burgers fit, log $\eta_1$ was fixed at -2.5.

Recoverability of the inelastic strain
Of the inelastic strain at time $t$ following the application of a steady torque, the fraction $f_R$ that is ultimately recoverable following removal of the applied torque has been evaluated through eqs. (17 and 18) for the Andrade and extended Burgers models, respectively. Consistent with the conclusion in the previous section that more (less) of the inelastic strain is attributed to the anelastic (viscous) terms in the Andrade creep function, $f_R(t)$ is consistently higher for the Andrade than for the extended Burgers model (Fig. 8).

**Figure 8.** Variation with elapsed time of the fraction of inelastic strain that is recoverable on removal of the applied torque for the optimal (a) Andrade and (b) extended Burgers models (Table 1) fitted to the respective composite ($G, Q^{-1}$) datasets.

**Discussion and Conclusions**

It has been demonstrated that complementary forced-oscillation and microcreep data can be processed with either the Andrade or extended Burgers creep-function models to yield consistent composite [$G(T_o), Q^{-1}(T_o)$] datasets. Such parallel processing of forced-oscillation data for periods of 1-1000 s and microcreep records of 10000 s total duration serves to extend from three to four decades the effective range of periods.
over which the modulus and dissipation are constrained. Despite such consistency between the composite \((G, Q^1)\) datasets, the Andrade and extended Burgers models differ substantially in their attribution of strain between the transient and steady-state terms in the respective creep functions. Because the transient creep and associated anelastic strain extend to infinite time in the Andrade model, relatively more of the inelastic strain is attributed to the anelastic term, with correspondingly higher viscosity and longer Maxwell time than for the Burgers model. The extended Burgers model is preferred for its greater clarity in prescribing a finite range of anelastic relaxation times and accordingly a finite anelastic relaxation strength. The method developed and demonstrated here has the potential for routine application in parallel processing of forced-oscillation data and microcreep records obtained in our laboratory with substantial benefit in better constraining microstrain viscoelastic behaviour at relatively long periods.
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