Alternative Methods For Preheating Outdoor Ventilation Air
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ABSTRACT Growing popularity of smart and integrated buildings requires a review of methods to optimize the preheat of ventilation air. An integrated system permits using heat exchangers located in the mechanical room or in the future even using an exterior wall as a heat exchanger. One may ask the question how does the earth-air heat exchanger (EAHX) technology fits into this function. EAHX has many advantages but also has many unanswered questions. Some of the drawbacks are: a possible entry of radon gas, high humidity in the shoulder seasons as well as the need for two different air intake sources with a choice that depends on the actual weather conditions. While in winter, the EAHX may be used continuously to ensure thermal comfort, in other seasons, its operation must be automatically controlled. To generate the missing information about the EAHX technology we reviewed literature and examined two nearly identical EAHX systems, placed either in ground next to the building or under the basement slab. Effectively, the information provided in this paper, shows advantages of merging both these approaches while the EAHX should be placed under the house or near the basement foundation.
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1. Introduction

As fraction of smart buildings in the market steadily grows, the integration of some sub-systems may change the economics of the traditional solutions. In this paper, we are focused on pre-heating of the outdoor ventilation air and specifically on design and performance of the Earth Air Heat Exchanger (EAHX). Recent information on the need of two different air intake sources [1] and automatic control systems [2], changed the economics and warrant a broader review of EHX technology.

Conversely, in these instances when unfavorable soil conditions or risk of radon gas exists, or when hydronic heating system is used, the pre-heat of ventilation air be located on the return of heating water [3, 4]. To facilitate discussion on choices for pre-heat air, we decided to examine construction and performance of two similar EAHX systems: one in ground and the other placed under the basement slab.

2. Literature review

It is now a standard practice to heat or cool the fresh air between the point of intake and the entry to the mechanical room [5]. This can be done with earth-air heat exchanger (EAHX) [6]. The use of earth for cooling air was already known in historic Greece and Persia [8, 9], yet, recently it became popular for a different reason, namely for the energy conservation.

A number of publications on this topic exist; Pfafferot [10] or Szymanski and Wojtkowiak [6] who analyzed one year of EAHX performance, Żukowski [8] discussed different applications and


3. Experimental set-up

The experimental set-up used in this research project, includes a direct intake of outdoor air though a wall and two remote intakes, both placed next to each other. One EAHX system was placed under the building and had the pipe slope ascending with drainage down pipe in ¾ distance to the mechanical room, while the other was placed outside the building and had the slope descending with the drainage down pipe in the middle of the length.

Each EAHX is provided with temperature and humidity sensors connected to the data-logger. Measurements of earth temperatures are performed in two ranges (a) -25°C to 0°C with precision of ±0.3°C and (b) from 0°C to 40°C with precision of ±0.1°C. Relative humidity is measured in the range 10%-90% with precision of ±3%. Measurements are recorded every 5 minutes. One of these ground heat exchangers is located under the building (EAHX 1) and the other outside the building (EAHX 2, see the schematic shown in Figure 1).

The symbols used in this Figure: V1, V2, V3 – are the air valves with actuators, T1in, T2in – are the air temperature sensors on inlets, T1out, T2out – the same on outlets, AF1, AF2 – air flow meters; air handling unit’s elements: Fin – supply fan, Fout – exhaust fan, R – recuperator, H – water heater, C – water cooler.

**Figure 1.** An experimental set-up with two ground air heat exchangers (EAHX) connected with the air handling unit. EAHX 1 is placed under the building, EAHX 2 the same system but placed outside the building. (From Romanska-Zapala [1]).

The mechanical room has rotational heat exchanger with 1850 m³/h flow and 80 percent efficiency, heating coil 3.25 kW and water cooling with 4.22 kW power. The experiments reported below were performed with air flow measured to be 400 m³/h on average and air speed in EAHAX pipe with internal diameter of 185 mm, about 4.1 m/s. Temperature was measured with 25 sensors.
within the system and 72 in the soil adjacent to the EAHX. The automatic steering and control system (BACS) was designed and built at the University, based on the study “Assumptions and requirements for the measurement system; the architectural and construction design of the Małopolska Energy Efficient Building Laboratory. The heat exchangers were 60 and 59 m long of 200 mm diameter PVC pipe was either starting at a depth of 1.6 m with a downward 2% slope to a depth of 2.5 m or the opposite, starting at the depth of 2.5 m and ascending to 1.6 m. Water drainage wells were installed in some distance from the end.

Both air inlets are on the North side of the building. An exhaust for air is located on the roof. As the selected technical characteristics of EAHX represent a typical case allowing one to focus the analysis on efficiency of the EAHX solution and means of control and steering. The automatic control is necessary for the EQM technology as the exterior temperature changes combined with thermal mass of the building may cause more frequent switches between heating and cooling modes [19].

Figure 2 shows the lay-out of the pipes in both EAHX with temperature and humidity measurements made in one moment to highlight how instantaneous measurements may vary and where the vertical measurements of soil temperature were made. One may observe that both inlets and outlets of these two EAHX are placed next to each other and that measurements of soil temperatures will permit to see the effect of heat exchangers on the soil temperatureres.

Figure 2. Schematic lay-out of both EAHX shown against the plan of the building. The temperature and relative humidity measurements in the EAHX pipes and their codes (HZ) are shown as well as vertical distribution of soil temperatures under the building (WA) and outside the building (WD). Temperature profile R1A6 is measured far from the heat exchangers and represent undisturbed soil temperature under the building.

4. Reference temperature curves under insulated slab

Figure 3a shows a vertical cross-section through an insulated slab and Figure 3b locations of vertical temperature measuring points vs the EAHX pipe as well as positions of the measurement points on the reference soil temperature line.
Figure 3a. Vertical cross-section through an insulated slab; Figure 3b locations of vertical temperature measuring points vs the EAHX 1 pipes as well as positions of the measurement points on the reference soil temperature line.

The slab on ground has a total thickness 1020 mm and comprises of the following layers:

- Ceramic plates 30 mm
- Concrete finishing layer 40 mm
- Slab on ground with reinforced concrete 500 mm (placed on protective film covering)
- High density extruded polystyrene (XPS) 150 mm (placed on water resistant barrier)
- Light weight concrete - 300 mm

Furthermore, to eliminate heat flow from the building to EHX2 placed in vicinity of the building one added a 1000 mm wide 150 mm vertical layer of the extruded polystyrene thermal insulation.

Figure 4: Schematic cross-section through temperature measurement for EAHX 1 and the reference soil temperature. Measurement points are located at dept of 10 mm, 510 mm (below concrete slab), 610 mm, 670 mm (below thermal insulation) 1770 mm and the last at 3370 mm

One can observe that 500 mm thick concrete layer modify the temperature but does not provide a smooth curve such as one obtained under 150 mm thick layer of a thermal insulation. The first meter of soil is assisting in a significant manner the provision of a stable and slowly varying temperature in summers, much lower than the air temperature. Differences between the three lowest curves in
Figure 4 are small indicating that any advantage of deeper placement of the EAHX pipe may not be justified by an increased cost of the deeper installation.

5. Temperature in the EAHX pipe versus the reference curve

Having established the reference temperature curves one may compare temperatures of the earth-to-air heat exchanger (EAHX) with those in the soil on similar depth under the building. This is shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Temperatures in the beginning (left) and in the middle of the EAHX pipe (right) with the reference temperatures on two levels and air temperature (gray background).

Figure 5b shows that temperatures in the middle of the EAHX are much closer to the soil reference level than in the beginning of the heat exchanger pipe. Yet, all air temperatures in the EAHX pipe show oscillations, perhaps smaller than their temperature but still large enough to indicate that only a partial modification of air temperature took place. Yet, one often forgets that temperature field under the building varies in 3 dimensions, two of them being the distance from the edge of the building and the third a depth below the slab.

To highlight this point we show in Figure 5 the profile of temperature on similar depth but at a different distance from the building’s edge.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Measurements on the axix A (under the house) show the variation on the first three measurement profiles and coming closer to the reference temperature at some distance.

As Figure 6 show the temperature profile in the soil surrounding the EAHX pipes, we realize that there a multidirectional temperature field and a small but visible temperature change as a function of the distance from the building edge. Furthermore, temperature variations inside the EAHX pipes
were reflected by the temperature of the soils and only at a certain distance (curve f) the effect of the EAHX pipe disappeared leaving the smooth curve of the soil temperature changes. These factors must be taken into consideration when evaluating the optimum length of the EAHX pipe.

6. EAHX length with multibranch pipe arrangement

Amanowicz [17] analyzed EAHX with a few low diameter pipes finding the influence of geometrical parameters on the flow characteristics and on the total pressure losses in particular.

His sensitivity analysis considers variable number of parallel pipes, pipes length and main pipe diameter. He showed that the main pipes diameter that is 40% larger than are 1.4 the parallel pipes diameter can result in significant reduction of total pressure losses and improved airflow uniformity.

This was more important than branch-pipe length. Long pipes had 10 to 30 percent better uniformity in the airflow division but also 15 to 30% higher resistance. These observations (experimental and CFD) are in a good agreement with our observations (Figure 7).

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** Effect of distance from the inlet measured every 5 m on temperature and relative humidity of air in the EAHX pipe at CUT. The graph shows these relations measured on July 20, 2017 (filled circles) and on January 7, 2017 and values for both EAHX tested (marked with x).

Figure 7 shows the whole length of the EAHX from ground level to the ground level so the virtual length of the underground path exceeds the 60 m of the actual heat exchanger pipe. This Figure explains some differences reported in the previous paper, namely the differences between measured values of temperature and relative humidity in the EAHX pipes. System 1, placed under the building has more uniform soil conditions that system 2 that is exposed to the exterior climate.

In summer, there appears to be no difference in the temperature measured by both heat exchangers that drops from the initial 30 to 20 °C over the first 30 m of the path. Finally even though one may observe slow changes of temperature over the whole 60 m of the EAHX length the change on the first 30 m is much higher than on the second 30 m. For both the summer and winter we get about 75-80% change in the first 30 m distance. We may say that in the studied case the length of 30 m is sufficient for the design purposes.
Yet this question cannot be answered without addressing the floor area that the studied case relate to. When considering the reduction of air speed to a typical 2 m/s from the current 4.1 m/s and for the current floor area of 423 m² and using the air change rate of 0.35 ach (minimum for health purposes) for the room height of 2.7 m one obtains about 225 m² floor area.

7. Comparing performance of EAHX 1 with EAHX 2

Figure 8 shows temperature and relative humidity in the outdoor air as well as in the two EAHX systems in one week of January 2017.

![Figure 8](image)

Figure 8. Temperature and relative humidity in the outdoor air (EAHX input, color: blue) as well as the output from the EAHX1 (color: orange) and EAHX2 (color: black) during five days in January 2017.

One may observe that with the outdoor temperature falling from near zero to minus 19 °C, there is a slight decrease of the temperature in the EAHX pipes. The absolute humidity appears to be constant and relative humidity follows the temperature changes in the EAHX pipes. The temperature of the EAHX 1 that is under the building is a few degree higher in the winter.

8. Calculating performance of the EAHX

In this section we will calculate the ability to provide the heating or cooling energy by the EAHX 1 and 2 on the base of measured temparture and humidity and mean air velocity of the air coming and leaving the EAHX.

In these calculations $\varphi$ – is the relative humidity of air, $p$ – atmospheric pressure of air [Pa], $x$ – absolute humidity of air [kg/m³], $T$ - air temperature [°C] ($T_{inlet}$ – on inlet to EAHX and $T_{outlet}$ – on exit from EAHX), $M$ - rate of the air mass flow [kg/s], $V$- mean flux [m³/s] here 0,11111m³/s that corresponds to 400 m³/h, $I$ – Enthalpy [kJ/kg] ($I_{inlet}$ – on inlet to EAHX and $I_{outlet}$ – on exit from EAHX), $P$-power [kW] and the following equations were used:

$$x=0,622\left(\frac{\varphi p_s}{p-\varphi p_s}\right)$$

$$p_s=611,2e^{((17,58°T)/(241,2°T))}$$

$$I=1,0049T+2486,5+1,905I_{inlet}-0,0016T^2x$$

$$P=(I_{outlet}-I_{inlet})M,$$

Where: $M=Vp/(287,05((T_{inlet}+T_{outlet})/2+273,15))$
Figure 9. Monthly calculation of heating (+) and cooling (-) capability (indexes) of each of the tested EAHX.

Figure 9 shows the calculated capabilities of both EAHX 1 and 2 showing that EAHX 1 located under the building produced more heating energy in winter and cooling in summer than EAHX 2 located in the ground adjacent to the building. We have called it heating index because in months March through September we may observe that both heating and cooling capability should be used and as previously discussed by Romanska-Zapala [1] one needs to employ an automatic steering for either heating or cooling and selection of outdoor inlet or EAHX.

9. Discussion on performance of EAXH1 and EAHX 2

Figure 10. Measurements on the axix D (outside the house) show the smaller variation between different temperature profiles but much larger differences between winter and summer than measured on the axix A.

The above presented permit drawing a few conclusions. The exit temperature of both heat exchangers varied throughout the year. During the spring, temperature steadily increased reaching in the summer 17 - 19°C, while in the autumn it decreased reaching in the winter 6 – 7 °C. The differences in construction of two tested heat exchangers were small, namely two 45 degree connectors instead of two with 90 degree angles, ascending EAHX 1 versus descending EAHX 2, however, the difference in performance between persisted through all seasons. As EAHX system 1
(located under the house) showed better performance than system 2, located outside the house one may conclude that the main reason was use of 150 mm thick high performance thermal insulation.

Looking at the variation of performance between different parallel segments of the EHAX 1 pipes (under the house) one may also observe that they were located too close to each other.

Finally, one may observe that placing the EAHX under layer of thermal resistance of Rsi about 5 (m²k)/W or U-value 0.2 K/(m²K) is comparable with the effect of the deeper placement of the EAHX. This is shown in Figure 11 on temperature profiles measured in the axia D (open soil) on depth of 2.67 and 3.67 m.

![Figure 11. Temperature measured on the axia D outside the building.](image)

One can observe in Figure 11 that deeper placement of the EAHX slightly increases the thermal lag and flattens the yearly temperature oscillation to the amplitude displayed by the EAHX 1, i.e., come closer to the optimal comfort conditions.

10. Discussion on the EAHX technology

If there is no soil gas (radon) an EAHX can be placed directly under insulated concrete slab place on ground or in the basement floor. Figure 8 shows that in winter temperature of air coming from the EAHX number 1 is significantly warmer than that from the EAHX number 2. In a house, the air inlet can be located under the staircase of the entrance. Figures 10 and 11 show that the depth of 2 m or more is needed to fully benefit from EAHX placed in ground, yet the same performance was achieved with 0.7 m depth under the basement of the building (Figures 4 and 5). If EAHX is placed under the building it should be located as much as possible in the central area of the basement slab. Effect of the distance from the building edge was shown in Figure 6.

So, if placement under the building is not possible, placement next to the drainage pipe at the foundation is the preferred location. In the latter case, a traditional practice of insulating the basement wall on the first 60 cm below ground and than placing a 1.2 m strip of 50 mm thick, extruded polystyrene almost horizontally i.e. with a slope of 2 – 3 percent. (Any water resistant foam with a similar U-value can be used instead of polystyrene)

The length of the EAHX pipe should be at least 25 – 30 m. Figure 7 support literature findings [17] and shows that 30 m length provided a sufficient reduction of air temperature variation. The length of EAHX may further be shortened if one uses wide collecting pipe with two to four much smaller branches. For instance in NY demonstration house [18] we had 3 m pipe with 100 mm diameter with two 45 degree dividers collecting four 10 m long with diameter 25 mm PVC pipes placed 200 mm apart.

In another NY project [3,4] where no drainage was needed on 3 sides of building that was located on a slope, the underground pipe was short and the bulk of the pre-heat was achieved on an interior heat exchanger built on return from the hydronic heating. This type of solution can also be used in
retrofitting buildings where there are no basements or water problems in the existing basements. In case of water seepage through the basement wall, one must excavate soil next to the building and provide exterior thermal insulation with drainage [19] and of course either water or air heat exchanger.

Use of EAHX have an obvious appeal: they can provide reduce the energy needed for air conditioning and increase the feeling of comfort. Yet the EAHX must be used together with an air bypass that takes air directly from the outside. Having a choice between two sources of fresh air requires a control system. The most unsophisticated system for a small house may comprise of a differential temperature sensor with a motorized valve can controls the mixing of air coming from two sources. This valve should also have a manual override. The next devices in the mechanical room are dehumidifier and dust particle removal. This will improve air quality.

Figure 9 shows that during 6 months for EAHX 1 and 8 months for EAHX 2 one needs both heating and cooling. That indicates the need for switching between the two air sources. As power available from the EAHX1 in Figure 9 is calculated per hour, it implies that is varies from 4 kW to zero, while the fan operation took 75 W, so the control system must include two elements: (a) fraction of time when fresh air is delivered, and (b) the mixing ratio between air delivered from the EAHX and air delivered from the direct air intake. One must remember that the ventilation air is added to the unplanned air flow (UAF or air infiltration ratio) and that in ideal case the UAF is experimentally determined for specific building.

Elsewhere [20], we have discussed what criterion of air-tightness should be set to permit significant role of natural (uncontrolled) air infiltration to the building. This obviously will decide upon the setting of the criteria for (a) and (b) as much as cost of installation and operation versus potential to save electrical energy. One should also remember that using EAHX in cold climates, requires equipment that does freeze up in the winter and cost of maintenance, and in particular that air filters typically need cleaning or replacing every 6–12 months.

On the advantage side of EAHX technology is the capability to increase the amount of ventilation air during the periods when the temperature of the outdoor air is within a few degrees of the desired room temperature. Experience form the state of California indicates that over-ventilation of dwellings with outdoor air has multiple positive effects: it replaces a night cleaning of the dwellings, improves their feeling of thermal comfort and reduces the need to open windows when the weather is not suitable for it. As in integrated hydronic heating or cooling systems always comes with a water buffer tank, placing an air coil in each of them for some pre- conditioning intake air permits to broaden the range of air temperatres in which the occupant may use the over-ventilation feature.

Finally, there is another consideration with importance related to the size of the building, namely the complexity of the ventilation system. While making a central mechanical ventilation with a controlled supply and uncontrolled exhaust is easy for a small building, it is not easy for multi-story residential building [21]. The next section of this paper expands on pre-heat of the ventilation air for the future buildings.

11. A look into the future

The future technology will deal with a building that collaborates with the smart grid in which one of the critical subsystems is the hybrid ventilation, i.e., that included both mechanical or natural ventilation. In past, over-pressurizing the indoor air was not allowed because of the risk of water vapor condensation when air is carried into the building enclosure. Yet, as the current low energy buildings can be designed with an adequate control of air flow in walls, an unbalance of indoor and outdoor pressures can easily be tolerated. So, looking from the building physics point of view, one may use an indoor air overpressure in summer and under-pressure in winter because in both cases the air transport goes from lower concentration of water vapor towards the higher one.

Such a design has too completely different air flow patterns. In summer, one takes the warm and humid outdoor air, cools it in a mechanical heat exchanger, dehumidify and remove dust particles before it enters to the air handling unit delivering air with positive pressure. The clean supply is delivered to the house of each dwelling in a multi-unit building by a valve with controlled
flow rate under specified e.g., 10 Pa over-pressure. The overpressure created by a programmable ventilator in the air handler will result in natural exhaust ventilation through bathroom and kitchen ventilation openings and through the individual ventilation system that will be provided in the exterior walls of the solar exposed rooms. The water vapor retarder is also used to reduce moisture ingress from the interior. These ventilation cavities are also used for individual ventilation that works automatically under night ventilation scheme, and may also be operated manually.

In winter, the warm air is removed through exterior (drainable) cavities that would also act as the energy exchangers. Yet for this system to provide an effective humidity control in summer one needs a layer of capillary active material that would provide a moisture buffering capability. Such materials are being developed now.

Concluding remarks

Originally, in Europe, the EAHX was considered a separate element of the design that could be added to the passive house approach. In America, the concept of EAHX could not compete with already established use air conditioning. Thus, this research was designed to fill information into the gaps in EAHX design. Yet, while performing this research, we noticed a dramatic increase of popularity of modern hydronic systems, there was a reason to review the role of ventilation [20]. On the other hand, in the cold climate analysis, the role of the coupling between the house and earth was dramatically increased when the cluster design replaced the analysis of a single, energy efficient house. In effect, the value of the above presented research became high again because it highlights a new component of the integrated approach, namely the computer based informatics.

Computer-based informatics has recently joined the building science to respond jointly with to the need of holistic evaluation of building energy performance. To realize how important is today the possible merger of these two disciplines, let us quite the requirements for students of the Electrical and Computer Engineering Departmento fo our Univerity:

• Knowledge of application of the mathematical methods in modeling, analysis and synthesis of the control and steering systems and in particular in automatics’ algorithms and their optimization
• Knowledge of development trends and new achievements in automatics and in particular in the artificial intelligence for application to control and steering systems
• Knowledge of micro processing and programmed systems for measuring, control and steering as used in the electrotechnical applications

Automatics discussed in this work is applied to near zero energy housing, where one can optimize reduction of energy with integrated control and steering system while maintaining good indoor environment. Yet, the currently used technology of energy efficient buildings, called passive houses plus, i.e., passive measures with some contribution of solar gains, already came to the economic maturity, i.e., to the diminishing returns on increased investing.

As an example, we can take an advanced Canadian detached house that uses 70 kWh/ (m² a) of total energy. Heating, cooling, ventilation and heating domestic water, all of them depending on climate, cover about 50 percent of the energy use and the house services and entertainment, independent of the climate, cover the remaining 50 percent of energy. In effect, when one increases thermal insulation and airtightness of the building with 20 percent, the energy consumption is reduced by 10 percent, only. Prevailing technology of passive houses with a solar contribution, provides significant reduction of energy in warm climates but reached its economical limit in cold climates.
A review of low energy buildings [1, 22] indicated that the constant indoor temperature eliminated any contribution of thermal mass to the energy balance. To restore the thermal mass contribution, we decided to integrate heating and cooling with the building structure and to use the adaptable climate approach for indoor spaces. This approach is discussed elsewhere [23]. Observe that system integration again increases the role of the modern building automatics.

We may conclude that the next generation of low energy buildings becomes a part of the fourth industrial revolution. The first one used the steam created by a process of burning coal, the second brought us automobiles propelled by the gasoline, the third brought us electricity generated in energy centers and the current industrial revolution is merging decentralized sources of energy with information technology. In this process the buildings are not only generating energy but also storing it. This is a development specific to the cold climates where each building alone cannot reach zero energy level, yet a cluster of buildings in combination with ground energy storage and solar radiation may give us zero energy status.
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