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Abstract:

Chemical processing of organic material in aqueous atmospheric aerosols and cloudwater is known to form secondary organic aerosols (SOA), although the extent to which each of these processes contributes to total aerosol mass is unclear. In this study, we use GAMMA 5.0, a photochemical box model with coupled gas and aqueous-phase chemistry, to consider the impact of aqueous organic reactions in both aqueous aerosols and clouds on isoprene epoxydiol (IEPOX) SOA over a range of pH for both aqueous phases, including cycling between cloud and aerosol within a single simulation. Low-pH aqueous aerosol, in the absence of organic coatings or other morphology which may limit uptake of IEPOX, is found to be an efficient source of IEPOX SOA, consistent with previous work. Cloudwater at pH 4 or lower is also found to be a potentially significant source of IEPOX SOA. This phenomenon is primarily attributed to the relatively high uptake of IEPOX to clouds as a result of higher water content in clouds as compared to aerosol. For more acidic cloudwater, the aqueous organic material is comprised primarily of IEPOX SOA and lower-volatility organic acids. For both cloudwater and aqueous aerosol, pH is the most significant factor considered in this study in determining the mass of aqueous phase organic acids and IEPOX SOA. Other factors, such as the time of day or sequence of aerosol-to-cloud or cloud-to-aerosol transitions, contribute to less than 15% difference in the final aqSOA fractional composition. The potential significance of cloud
processing as a contributor to IEPOX SOA production could account for discrepancies between predicted IEPOX SOA mass from atmospheric models and measured ambient IEPOX SOA mass, or observations of IEPOX SOA in locations where mass transfer limitations are expected in aerosol particles.
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### 1. Introduction

Aerosols are known to affect human health, air quality, and climate and are ubiquitous in the atmosphere. They can also act as condensation nuclei to form cloud droplets, and evaporation of liquid water from the cloud droplets allows for cycling between cloud droplets and aqueous aerosols [1–4]. Cycling between these two aqueous regimes can occur on timescales of seconds to minutes, significantly shorter than the typical lifetime of aerosols, which is roughly one week [5,6]. Although the composition of aqueous aerosols can vary considerably, liquid water and aqueous organic material are a significant portion of atmospheric aerosol mass [7–9], and much of the aqueous-phase organic material that comprises aerosols is known to be secondary. The cycling between aqueous aerosols and cloudwater provides two different aqueous environments in which aqueous secondary organic aerosol material (aqSOA) can form [10,11]. Since both environments are aqueous, the same chemical processes occur in both. However, different processes are dominant due to the difference in water content and therefore dilution of nonvolatile components, with aqueous aerosols having less water content per volume of air than cloudwater where clouds are present [12]. For example, relatively lower water content and generally lower pH are typical of aqueous aerosols, and high concentrations of organic material can limit the availability of oxidants. This results in dark (non-
photochemical) reactions, such as acid-catalyzed accretion reactions and organosulfate formation, being more dominant in aerosol water than in cloudwater [8]. Typical cloudwater pH can range between 3-6, as measured at the Whiteface Mountain Field Station summit observatory in Wilmington, NY, USA [13,14], whereas aerosol particles tend to be considerably more acidic [15].

Isoprene is the most abundant nonmethane hydrocarbon gas in the atmosphere and a major source of aqSOA [16–18]. One of the most significant pathways of aqSOA formation from isoprene is through the gas-phase photo-oxidation of isoprene to form isoprene epoxidiols (IEPOX) [19,20]. IEPOX SOA, which in part consists of tetrols and organosulfates, is formed via the acid-catalyzed ring-opening of the epoxide followed by nucleophilic addition of water or anions (e.g., HSO₄⁻). In laboratory studies, IEPOX SOA has been found to form most efficiently at low pH as a result of the acid-catalyzed ring-opening step. The low pH and high nucleophile concentrations in aqueous aerosol provide a favorable environment for IEPOX SOA formation [21]. However a number of scenarios which are believed to be common for aqueous aerosols, such as organic coatings, liquid-liquid phase separation or low-viscosity aerosols [22–29], are known to result in mass transfer limitations which inhibit uptake of IEPOX into aqueous aerosols, limiting subsequent IEPOX SOA formation. Despite this, IEPOX SOA is observed ubiquitously in the environment [19,20,29,30]. It is therefore possible that cloudwater may be a greater source of IEPOX SOA than previously believed [8]. Although the lower acidity and sulfate concentrations of cloudwater would make the rate of IEPOX SOA formation in cloudwater lower than in aqueous aerosol, other factors, such as high liquid water content and lack of organic coating- or viscosity-based mass transfer limitations, may promote SOA formation [31,32].
Currently, some regionals models cannot accurately predict atmospheric aqueous organic material due to uncertainties in SOA production despite the availability of detailed chemical models representative of atmospheric processes [3,7,33]. Such discrepancies between models and observed measurements may be attributed, in part, to the lack of consideration of the cycling between cloudwater and aqueous aerosol in the models. Although several models have shown that SOA formation does occur in cloudwater, the extent to which SOA is produced as compared to formation in aqueous aerosol is unclear since very few models represent both processes [8,34–37]. In this work, we aim to compare IEPOX SOA production in both cloudwater and aqueous aerosols while considering the cycling that can occur between the two phases upon evaporation or condensation of water. We used a recently updated version of GAMMA (Gas-Aerosol Model for Mechanism Analysis), a photochemical box model developed by the McNeill group, to quantify IEPOX SOA formation. We previously used GAMMA and a related model, simpleGAMMA, for predicting IEPOX SOA in aqueous aerosols, and the results were in good agreement with ambient measurements [21,38–41]. The recent update to GAMMA includes uptake of IEPOX and subsequent formation of SOA in cloudwater, allowing for the direct comparison of the efficiency of IEPOX SOA formation in both aqueous environments, and captures the coupled effect of the two modes of processing in a single simulation.

2. Methods

In this study, all simulations are based on conditions taken from ambient measurements at Whiteface Mountain and performed in GAMMA, as described in the following sections [13].

2.1. GAMMA 5.0
GAMMA is a photochemical box model developed by the McNeill group, which includes gas phase and aqueous phase species of organic material. The reactions and tracked species in GAMMA are summarized and discussed by McNeill et al. (2012) [21]. The evolution of each species is represented by

\[ \frac{dP_i}{dt} = -k_{mt,i}a_L P_i + \frac{k_{mt,i}a_L}{H_i} C_i + \sum_j r_{ij,\text{gas}} + E_i - D_i \]  

(1)

\[ \frac{dC_i}{dt} = \frac{k_{mt,i}}{RT} P_i - \frac{k_{mt,i}}{H_iRT} C_i + \sum_j r_{ij,\text{aq}} \]  

(2)

where \( P_i \) is the concentration of species \( i \) in the gas phase, \( C_i \) is the concentration of species \( i \) in the aqueous phase, \( k_{mt,i} \) is the gas-aqueous phase mass transfer coefficient, \( a_L \) is the aqueous aerosol liquid volume fraction, \( H_i^* \) is the effective Henry’s Law constant, \( E_i \) is the emission rate, \( D_i \) is the deposition rate, \( R \) is the gas constant, \( T \) is temperature, and \( r_{ij,\text{gas}} \) and \( r_{ij,\text{aq}} \) are the gas and aqueous phase reactions of species \( i \) respectively [42]. As seen in Equations 1 and 2, the gas and aqueous phases of a species \( i \) are coupled in GAMMA by the mass transfer terms. In GAMMA 5.0, these equations represent the temporal evolution of aqueous species in both aerosol and cloudwater and mass transfer of gas phase species to and from aerosol and cloudwater. More detailed information regarding GAMMA, including Henry’s constants used and equations calculating uptake of gas-phase species, can be found in previous work using older versions of GAMMA [21,43].

For transitions between cloudwater and aqueous aerosols, a unitless scaling factor, \( f_w \), is calculated as the ratio of the liquid water fraction in cloudwater to the liquid water fraction in aqueous aerosol. This factor is assumed to be \( 5.9 \times 10^4 \) for this study, determined from ambient measurements for cloudwater and from E-AIM model II, a thermodynamic model which can calculate equilibrium concentrations of aqueous phase species in aerosols, for aerosol [44,45].
liquid water fraction is assumed to be constant except at these transitions. When transitioning from aqueous aerosol to cloudwater, all condensed phase species concentrations are scaled by a factor of $f_{w}$, except for water, which is set to 55.5 mol L$^{-1}$. When transitioning from cloudwater to aqueous aerosol, concentrations of condensed phase species, except water, are instead scaled by a factor of $f_{w}$. The water concentration and relative humidity are set back to the initial conditions determined from E-AIM. The aerosol particle radius is set back to the initial radius, specified as 48 nm for this work, as all simulations in this study start with aqueous aerosols before cycling. Both transitions are treated as instantaneous by GAMMA, and chemistry of evaporation, other than that due to dilution and concentration, is not considered. Conditions that differ for aqueous aerosol and cloudwater are summarized in Table 1. All conditions shown in this table are specified for this work and can be changed based on the aqueous system of interest, except for the water concentration and relative humidity for cloudwater.

**Table 1.** Difference in conditions for aqueous aerosol mode and cloudwater mode for this study in GAMMA 5.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aqueous aerosol</th>
<th>Cloudwater</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid water fraction</td>
<td>$1.36 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^3$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water concentration</td>
<td>46.1 mol L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>55.5 mol L$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of particle or cloud droplet</td>
<td>48 nm</td>
<td>10 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aqueous processing of IEPOX is represented in GAMMA as a branching reaction with 2-methyltetrols (tetrol) and IEPOX organosulfate (IEPOX OS) as products. Following Eddingsaas et al.
[32], the branching ratio of 0.4 at highest measured acidity is used for IEPOX OS for each GAMMA simulation. Additionally, 36% of the tetrols of IEPOX SOA is assumed to partition to the gas phase, by taking an average of measured ambient data points from previous studies of volatility of these species [46]. IEPOX OS is assumed to be nonvolatile, and as such serves as a proxy for other potential low-volatility species such as IEPOX OS oligomers [28]. An effective Henry’s Law constant of $3 \times 10^7$ mol L$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1}$ is used for IEPOX partitioning to the aqueous phase, based on GAMMA simulations of the laboratory data of Nguyen et al. (2014) [31]. The formation of IEPOX SOA is dependent on pH and sulfate concentration, both of which change during the aerosol-cloudwater cycling as previously described [32,39]. Note that no mass transfer limitations are considered for the aerosol calculations, making our calculations of IEPOX SOA formation during the aerosol portion of the aerosol-cloud cycle an upper bound.

2.2. Simulation conditions

Initial conditions are based on published conditions for Whiteface Mountain, including initial conditions for gas phase concentrations, temperature, pressure, and humidity summarized in Table S1 and Table S2 [13]. Initial conditions for aqueous phase inorganic ion concentrations are determined using E-AIM and the assumed initial aerosol pH. Each simulation was 12 hours long, from 6 AM to 6 PM. However, the times at which the aqueous aerosol and the cloudwater phases are present vary between runs. In the first set of simulations, aqueous aerosols are present in the first six hours and cloudwater is present in the final six hours, with the transition between the two occurring at 12 PM. In the second set of simulations, aqueous aerosols are present in the first three hours and the last three hours, while cloudwater is present in the middle six hours. Thus, these simulations also
consider cycling from cloudwater back to aqueous aerosol. The times at which GAMMA is in cloudwater mode for these two sets of simulations is shown in Figure 1. In each set of simulations, pH was varied between subsequent runs from 1 to 4 for aqueous aerosols and 3 to 6 for cloudwater, which includes typical ranges for each, but set constant within each throughout the duration of each aerosol or cloudwater phase [8,21,36,47,48]. Photolysis rates for Whiteface Mountain are determined from Troposphere Ultraviolet and Visible (TUV) radiation model version 5.3 and are assumed to be the same throughout the cloud parcel. These rates were updated for each minute of the GAMMA simulations.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Times at which GAMMA is in each aqueous mode for the three sets of simulations considered in this work.

Simulation 1 ends with the condensed phase as cloudwater while Simulation 2 ends with the condensed phase as aqueous aerosol. The difference in aqueous environments results in difficulty in comparing the final concentrations after 12 hours of simulation, due to the revolatilization of some semivolatile organics that occurs upon transition from the cloud to the aerosol. For this reason, another set of simulations, called Simulation 1*, is performed where an additional minute is added.
on to the end of Simulation 1. The condensed phase transitions from cloudwater to aqueous aerosol in that additional minute, similar to the 3 PM transition in Simulation 2, such that the two aqueous aerosol phases at the end of simulations 1* and 2 are more easily compared.

3. Results

Concentrations of aqueous phase species throughout the 12 hours for all simulations are compared for different aerosol and cloud pH. Figure 2 shows the aqueous phase organic acids, carbonyl-containing VOCs (CVOCs), IEPOX (labelled IEPOXaq), and IEPOX SOA (labelled IEPOXpathway) for a Simulation 1 run with aerosol pH 1 and cloud pH 4. Organic acids include glyoxylic acid, oxalic acid, pyruvic acid, acetic acid, formic acid, glycolic acid, succinic acid, and malonic acid and their ionized forms. CVOCs include glyoxal, methylglyoxal, glycolaldehyde, and other aldehydes.
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**Figure 2.** Organic acids, CVOCs, IEPOX, and IEPOX SOA mass concentrations in Simulation 1 with aerosol pH 1 and cloud pH 4

IEPOX and organic acids need to form in the gas phase prior to partitioning to the aerosol phase, resulting in CVOCs dominating at short simulation times due to different initial rates of formation.
After six hours of photochemistry in the gas and aqueous aerosol phases, IEPOX SOA largely dominates, consistent with previous studies [21,38]. However, there is a sharp increase in the mass of volatile species in the aqueous phase per volume of air following the transition to cloudwater. Since the liquid water fraction for cloudwater is approximately $10^4$ times greater than for aqueous aerosol in this work, aqueous phase concentrations are diluted by this factor, $f_w$, as well, creating a large concentration gradient for mass transfer into the condensed phase. This behavior is observed for both Simulations 1 and 2 at every pH considered. After one hour of cloudwater processing, organic acids are the most abundant species of these four groups, also as expected due to the greater availability of oxidants in cloudwater [8]. However, IEPOX SOA concentrations continue to increase as well, due in part to gas-phase isoprene emissions that persist throughout the day.

Due to the known dependence of IEPOX SOA formation on pH, varying cloud pH in the second half of Simulation 1 is considered and shown in Figure 3. In this figure, only IEPOX SOA and organic acids are compared since they are found to be the most abundant organic species besides IEPOX as observed in Figure 2. The concentrations of these species are plotted after the first six hours of the simulation (aqueous aerosol processing), and the organic mass is found to be 99% IEPOX SOA for aerosols of pH 1. After the initial aqueous aerosol processing, the figure shows four separate cases of cloud processing for the next six hours of the simulation, at cloudwater pH ranging from 3 to 6. Although there is some IEPOX SOA formation at cloud pH 5 and 6, due to the acid catalysis requirement of the IEPOX SOA mechanism it is more limited than the production of organic acids via the oxidation of CVOCs. However, for more acidic cloudwater, IEPOX SOA formation increases until it makes up almost 50% of aqSOA at the completion of the simulation, for cloudwater pH 3. Furthermore, for cloudwater pH 3, less than 15% of the total mass of IEPOX SOA after the 12 hours...
of simulation was formed during the first six hours of the simulation (i.e. in the aqueous aerosol phase), suggesting the significance of cloudwater processes for IEPOX SOA formation.
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**Figure 3.** Organic acids and IEPOX SOA in the aqueous phase in Simulation 1 with aerosol pH 1 and cloud pH 3 – 6. The graphs for cloud pH 3 – 6 indicate composition at the end of the simulation at 6 PM. Concentrations are given in mass per volume of air parcel.

In comparing IEPOX SOA formation at various pH for Simulation 1, the average formation rates at each pH for both aqueous aerosol and cloudwater were calculated. These results are plotted in Figure 4. As expected due to the role of acid catalysis in aqueous-phase processing of IEPOX, increasing pH in all cases for both cloudwater and aqueous aerosol while keeping the other constant results in a slower rate of IEPOX SOA formation [19]. Additionally, for cloud pH 5 and 6, the formation rates in cloudwater for every case are less than that of aerosol pH 1, consistent with previous analysis [8]. However, this trend changes when cloud pH is reduced to 4, where formation of IEPOX SOA in cloudwater at all pH is comparable to formation in aerosol pH 1. At cloud pH 3, IEPOX SOA formation rates in cloudwater are approximately 10 times greater than in aerosol pH 1. Thus, due to the relatively large liquid water content of clouds, cloudwater processing can be a significant source of IEPOX SOA at pH ≤ 4, whereas stronger acidity is required for efficient IEPOX
SOA formation in aqueous aerosols [21,22]. Although large IEPOX SOA production rates are observed for cloudwater, it should be noted that the rates are taken as an average over the entire six hours after cycling from aerosol. This includes the sharp increase due to rapid mass transfer of IEPOX into the aqueous phase seen shortly after the six-hour point of the simulation. Since this sharp increase does not occur again throughout the remainder of the six hours, the average formation rate is skewed high due to the beginning of the six hours. If the cloudwater phase were to run for longer than six hours, the average rate would decrease. On the other hand, aerosol-cloud cycling may occur on shorter timescales [49,50], in which case this initial dilution effect would be more prominent. Even a small amount of time of IEPOX processed in cloudwater can lead to considerable SOA growth.

Figure 4. Comparison of IEPOX SOA formation rates in Simulation 1 for aerosol pH 1 – 4 and cloud pH 3 – 6. Rates are averaged over the entire six hours of the corresponding phase. Each individual point corresponds to the IEPOX SOA formation rate in cloudwater in the second half of the simulation with the pH of the aerosol in the first half of the simulation represented by the shape and color of the point as described in the legend. The same colors are used in the horizontal dashed lines corresponding to the formation in aqueous aerosols at the same pH in the first half of the simulation.

A similar analysis was performed for Simulation 2, where organic acids, CVOCs, IEPOX, and IEPOX SOA concentrations were compared at aerosol-cloud transitions. Figure 5, which tracks these four groups of species, shows a sharp increase in aqueous phase organic material shortly after
transitioning to cloudwater, similar to Simulation 1. When cycling back to aqueous aerosol, a drop in aqueous phase organic material is observed due to the decrease in water content in the aerosol, creating a concentration gradient driving partitioning back to the gas phase. This drop is not observed for all species due to varying volatilities of aqueous-phase organics. This is more clearly seen in Figure 6, which shows the fraction of organic acids and IEPOX SOA for two cases of Simulation 2: (1) aqueous aerosol processing at pH 4, cloudwater at pH 4, and aqueous aerosol again at pH 4; and (2) aqueous aerosol processing at pH 4, cloudwater at pH 6, and aqueous aerosol again at pH 4. The primary difference in composition between the initial and final composition of the aqueous aerosol after cloudwater processing is the lack of more volatile acids, such as pyruvic acid, in the final composition. The species that remain in the aqueous phase have higher Henry’s Law constants and increases in concentration throughout the three hours of aqueous aerosol processing [21].

**Figure 5.** Organic acids, CVOCs, IEPOX, and IEPOX SOA mass concentrations in Simulation 2 with aerosol pH 4 and cloud pH 4
Figure 6. Organic acids and IEPOX SOA in aqueous phase for Simulation 2 with aerosol pH 4 and cloud pH 4 and 6. The two bars labeled c4 and c6 at 6 PM correspond to Simulation 2 with cloud pH 4 and 6 respectively, as the starting and ending aerosol pH are the same while the intermediate cloud pH differs. Concentrations are given in mass per volume of air parcel.

For Simulation 2, cloud pH is observed to be the most important variable for IEPOX SOA formation. After three hours of aqueous aerosol processing, IEPOX SOA is more abundant than organic acids, as seen in Figure 6. However, the total aqSOA mass is $5.25 \times 10^{-5}$ μg m$^{-3}$, which is insignificant relative to a mass of 1.39 μg m$^{-3}$ and 2.13 μg m$^{-3}$ after cloudwater processing for cloud pH 4 and 6 respectively. This is due to the high aerosol pH, which is not favorable for IEPOX SOA formation. Meanwhile, the same pH for cloudwater is sufficient for some IEPOX SOA formation, though organic acid formation is still more dominant.

The final mass fractions of IEPOX SOA and organic acids for simulations 1, 1*, and 2 are shown in Figure 7. As previously noted, the end of Simulation 1 has most of the acids produced in cloudwater still in the aqueous phase, largely due to the relatively high water content in cloudwater. However, extending the simulation by one minute to cycle back to aqueous aerosol is sufficient for most of the volatile organic acids to partition to the gas phase, leaving primarily oxalic acid, succinic
acid, and IEPOX SOA in the aqueous phase. Furthermore, comparing the final mass fractions in simulations 1* and 2, the masses of these less volatile species are similar as well, with less than 15% difference in composition, despite the difference in times specified for cloud times. This difference can be attributed to several factors. Although isoprene emissions are assumed to be constant throughout the duration of this daytime-only simulation, the different times specified for aqueous aerosol and cloudwater results in the extent of partitioning to differ throughout the day. As more isoprene has been emitted cumulatively towards later times in the day, this may result in a greater accumulation of isoprene oxidation products when the liquid water fraction of the aqueous phase is lower later in the day, ultimately resulting in variations in IEPOX SOA formation. Another factor attributing to the difference in simulations 1* and 2 is the variation of photolysis rates throughout the day. Photolysis rates are highest at solar noon. This is optimal for organic acid formation and gas-phase IEPOX formation since the availability of oxidants drives both processes. Thus, cloudwater at this time of day would produce more organic acids, corresponding to Simulation 2, which notably has greater total organic acid mass than both simulations 1 and 1*. Nonetheless, the differences between the conditions of these simulations only contribute to a minor difference in final composition. As seen in Figure 7, pH has a much larger effect on composition than the times of day and sequence, provided that a similar amount of time is associated with both phases in each of the simulations.
Figure 7. Mass of aqueous phase organic acids and IEPOX SOA at the end of simulations 1, 1*, and 2 for pH 3 and 4.

5. Conclusions

The results of this work indicate that aqueous-phase chemistry in clouds may be a more significant source of IEPOX SOA than previously believed. Production in cloudwater could account for the discrepancy between the expected efficiency of uptake of IEPOX to aqueous aerosols, given mass transfer considerations, and the ubiquity of ambient IEPOX SOA. Since no aerosol-phase mass transfer limitations were considered in our simulations, our calculations of IEPOX SOA formation in aerosol water can be considered an upper bound.

Due to a lack of observations of IEPOX SOA tracers in cloudwater and the high variability of liquid water content and pH in both the aerosol and cloud phases, the percentage of IEPOX SOA produced in cloudwater and aqueous aerosol remains unclear [11,36,51]. The observed lack of correlation between SOA mass and several aerosol properties, including liquid water content and pH, for ambient data may also be clarified by considering production in clouds [52]. Due to its potentially
large contribution to total SOA mass, cloudwater and its efficiency in producing IEPOX SOA and other SOA should be considered when quantifying sources of organic aerosol.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Table S1: Cloudwater simulation conditions, Table S2: Gas phase initial number concentrations specified in GAMMA
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