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Abstract: Polymer materials are increasingly being used for sliding machine elements due to their numerous advantages. They are used even where they are deformed and in such a state they interact frictionally e.g. in machine hydraulics or lip seals. Few publications deal with the influence of deformation, which is the effect of e.g. assembly on tribological properties of polymeric material. This deformation can reach up to $\varepsilon \approx 20\%$ and is achieved without increasing the temperature of the polymeric material. The paper presents the results of investigations in which high-density polyethylene (PE-HD) was maintained in deformation by means of a special grip (holder). The wear of the sample was significantly higher than that of the undeformed sample. This effect persisted even after partial relaxation of the stress in the sample after 24 hours. Additional investigations were carried out to explain the obtained results. There were the microscopic observations of the surface after friction, measurements of microhardness and free surface energy. Changes in the value of surface free energy and a significant decrease in microhardness with deformation under tension were observed. Strained material had a different surface appearance after friction and a different size and form of wear products. It was indicated that it is probable that the cohesion of the material will decrease and that the character of the wear process will change as a result of tension. Tension without heating of polymeric material (PE-HD), e.g. as a result of assembly, has been qualified as a hazard to be taken into account when designing and analysing polymeric sliding elements.
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1. Introduction

Polymer-based sliding elements are increasingly being used in mechanical engineering. This is a result of their favourable properties such as a possibility to work without lubrication, low coefficient of friction when working with steel, high chemical resistance, easy shaping and low cost of producing elements, especially in mass production. Polymer sliding elements also appear where they are deformed during tribological interaction. Stryczek et al. conduct tests on the use of polymer materials in machine hydraulics [1]. The result of this work is, among other things, a prototype of a hydraulic cylinder. As a result of the oil pressure, the cylinder is deformed and in this state, it works in conjunction with the seal (Figure 1a). Another example is PE-UHMW (ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene) wires used in spine stabilisers [2]. The wiring subjected to stress and strain keeps the vertebrae in position and interacts frictionally with the metal rods during the patient’s movements (Figure 1b). Another example can be lip seals, which after mounting on the shaft are deformed and in this state interact frictionally with the metal surface (Figure 1c). Sliding elements made of polymeric materials can also be used in modern underwater robots where they are deformed as a result of hydrostatic pressure [3].
In the literature, there are many publications describing attempts to obtain a polymeric material with more favourable tribological properties by tension. This type of treatment consisted in the introduction of significant deformation values amounting to several hundred percent. They were carried out by drawing polymeric plates at elevated temperature [4–6] during extrusion or at room temperature by stretching the samples on a testing machine [7–9]. The above-mentioned studies showed that the polymeric material subjected to tension has a different coefficient of friction and wear coefficient in interaction with steel compared to the unstrained material.

Few works concern the relatively low value of the deformation obtained at room temperature, i.e. the situation corresponding to the deformation resulting from the assembly. Cayer-Barrioz et al. studied the interaction of a steel roll with a strained polymeric rope [10]. The polymer lip seals, which are strained as a result of shaft mounting, have received a great deal of attention because they are often used in mechanical engineering. Many studies and simulations have been developed and presented, thanks to which strain and stress in shaft-seated seals have been determined [11–15]. Their aim was to obtain the possibility of forecasting frictional resistance and durability of seals. In these analyses, however, the possible influence of strain on tribological properties of the polymeric material was not taken into account.

When stretching at room temperature to a strain of several dozen percent, various phenomena and processes occur in semi-crystalline polymers. For small values of deformation, local slippages within the lamellas and between the lamellas were observed, and after exceeding the yield point, the set of local slippages transforms into a widespread crystallographic slippage and inter-lamellar shear [16]. The separation of crystalline areas from each other causes the formation of empty spaces in the amorphous zone of so-called cavitation [17]. The cavities are stable (they do not become closed) immediately after reaching the yield point and they have an elliptical shape (for PE-HD stretched to deformation 100% the minor semi-axis is 22÷40 nm) [18]. Researchers point out that after PE-HD injection the top-layer had a different structure and therefore in the top layer the cavities appeared already at the deformation ε = 0.15% [19]. The influence of cavitation on wear was signalled in a publication describing the wear of a stretched polymeric rope [10]. The cavities arranged next to each other, separated by fibrils, create stress cracks. They are the precursors of fissures. Stress cracks are mainly associated with amorphous polymers, but they also appear in semi-crystalline polymers (e.g. in PE-HD) [20]. The fibrils in semi-crystalline polymers reach the transverse dimension of the order of 200 nm [21]. Cracking is used to produce membranes [22] and nanocomposites [23]. Volynskyi, Yarysheva and Bakeev used the method consisting in applying a thin metallic layer to the polymer material and observing its shape after subjecting to tension [24]. Using this technique, the authors showed the transfer of polymer mass from the depth of the material to the surface layer [25].

The phenomena and processes occurring during the cold deformation of polymeric materials can influence the friction and wear of the polymeric material. An additional premise behind the choice of this problem is the fact that the influence of deformation on tribological properties was indicated for other materials - metals [26]. Therefore, the authors decided to investigate the influence of cold deformation on the tribological properties of polymeric materials. The authors already signalled this issue in earlier publications [27,28], and have now prepared a set of studies focusing on PE-HD – a polymeric material that proved to be sensitive to tension.
2. Materials and Methods

The works carried out included tests of wear, microhardness and surface free energy. The results of tribological tests showed that the wear of PE-HD significantly increases after the polymer material is deformed by tension. The studies on microhardness and surface free energy were designed to provide information that would help to explain the behaviour of the polymer. In order to obtain information on the influence of deformation on the adhesive component, tests of surface free energy were carried out. Microhardness measurements helped to evaluate the influence of deformation on the cohesion of the polymeric material.

2.1. Samples

In the studies, high-density polyethylene (PE-HD) was used. Preliminary investigations have shown that this polymeric material is susceptible to deformation by tension and its wear after applying tension significantly increases. This polymer is used for the production of sliding elements such as sliding sleeves and seals.

The samples for tribological tests during tensile testing had a shape similar to the outline of the so-called “paddle” presented in the EN ISO 527:2012 standard for the determination of mechanical properties at static tension. As the space at the test stands was limited, the shape of the sample was reduced and slightly modified. The research was carried out during frictional interaction in the direction parallel and perpendicular to the direction of the tensile force vector. Therefore, two types of samples suitable for both situations were prepared (Figure 2). Samples were cut from 2 mm thick plates. The gripping parts of the samples placed in properly profiled callipers ensured that the samples were securely clamped. Measurements were made in the measuring section distant from the grip section to avoid analysis of the material in the notch impact area.

![Figure 2: Sample dimensions used for measurements during tension: (a) interaction parallel to the direction of the tensile force vector; (b) interaction perpendicular to the direction of the tensile force vector.](image)

2.3 Maintenance of deformation

When a polymer sample is strained at room temperature, e.g. on a testing machine, and then removed from the grip and left freely, the deformation may change. This is due to the viscoelastic properties of the polymeric materials. Depending on the type of polymer and the strain value, the sample can be fully or partially restored to its original form. Sliding elements and seals remain stretched after assembly. To reproduce this situation, the polymer samples should remain in a deformed state during the test, so they had to be held in grips to prevent them from returning to their original form.

Special handles with PLA (polylactide) callipers were designed and manufactured in 3D printing technology (Figure 3). The callipers had sockets to ensure that the paddle part of the sample is locked in place. The shape of the grip (holder) was adapted to each test stand in order to avoid collisions with the elements of the measuring instrument.

The change in callipers spacing, and thus in the tension of the sample, was achieved by turning the nuts on the bolt. The nuts in the tension grip were turned manually. Therefore, it is difficult to precisely determine the deformation rate of the samples. Based on the time during which the
deformation took place (turning the nuts) and the obtained value of the deformation, it can be
estimated that the deformation took place at a speed of about 5-10 mm/min.

Figure 3: Sample grip for stretching samples and maintaining deformation during measurements. The
callipers of the holder were made using a 3D printer.

2.4. Deformation measurement

The samples used in the study had the shape of so-called “paddles”. The part between the grip
and the measuring part was rounded. Due to variable stiffness along the length of the sample in areas
adjacent to the gripping parts, the strain after tension was different from that at the centre of the
measuring part. For this reason, deformation was not determined by the distance between the callipers
but was checked at the place where it was intended to be measured during tribological tests.

The value of deformation was determined by measuring the distance between the imprints of the
indenter used to measure microhardness using the Knoop method. The imprints were oriented in a
direction perpendicular to the direction of the tensile force vector. The initial distance between markers
(Figure 4) was determined for an undeformed sample. The measurement was repeated after the sample
deformation. The difference in distance between the markers allowed to determine the deformation $\varepsilon$.

This way of determining the deformation allowed us to obtain a precise value of the deformation in the
place where further measurements were made, i.e. microhardness, surface free energy or wear.

The tests were carried out to determine the deformations that could occur during assembly. Four
values of tensile strain were adopted: 2%, 5%, 20% and 50%. For deformations below $\varepsilon = 2\%$, in the
polymers elastic deformations prevail. According to the recommendations, the deformation of plastic
parts in machines and equipment should not exceed 2%. Therefore, a deformation range of up to 2%
can be defined as acceptable, tolerable and safe. A deformation of $\varepsilon = 5\%$ is outside the acceptable
range. Such deformation may occur, among other things, in an improperly mounted element. Tensile
deformation $\varepsilon = 20\%$ can occur during assembly in some machine parts, e.g. in the lip seal of the shaft.
In the case of deformation $\varepsilon = 50\%$, it is difficult to find a machine element in which such deformation
would be the result of the assembly. However, this deformation can be achieved by deliberately
deforming the sliding element. Tests conducted for $\varepsilon = 50\%$ deformation can provide information on
whether cold deformation significantly affects the tribological properties of the analysed polymer.
and can be used as a technology used in the production of sliding elements. In addition, measurements taken for such a high deformation may contribute to a deeper explanation of the friction and wear of deformed machine elements.

2.5 Measurement of wear

Wear tests were carried out on a test stand in the combination of a roll and a block. The rotating steel roll worked with a polymer sample kept in a deformed state (Figure 5). The volume of material removed was calculated based on the roller’s dimensions and the measured length of the groove created in the polymer sample (according to norm ASTM G77-17).

![Figure 5: The combination of stretched polymer - steel roller when measuring wear in the direction: (a) parallel; (b) perpendicular to the direction of the tensile force vector.](image)

The step motor shaft is equipped with a 30 mm diameter and 3 mm wide stainless steel X8CrNiTiS18-9 roller. The roughness of the cylindrical surface of the roll was Ra 0.85-1.25 µm (Ct = 0.25; Lm = 1.25). The combined slippage speed was 0.33 m/s.

The load on the roll was selected so that at the end of the interaction (when the groove was about 5 mm long) the average pressure was about 3 MPa. The load on the roll was 49 N. The friction path was selected experimentally so that the length of the groove during the tests does not exceed the assumed value of 5 mm. This length of groove ensured a sufficient distance between the roll and the edge of the sample. The friction path was 2,000 m.

When presenting the wear results, it was decided to calculate the wear coefficient $K_w$. This ratio is often used by manufacturers of sliding materials and is given in the product documentation. The $K_w$ indicator is determined by the following formula:

$$K_w = \frac{V}{F_N S}$$

where: $V$ - volume of used material [mm$^3$], $F_N$ - normal force (load) during friction [N], $S$ - friction path [m].

2.6. Microhardness

The results of wear tests show that the direction in which frictional interaction was carried out was important for the deformed polymer. Therefore, a method that would provide information depending on the setting of the indenter was sought for hardness testing. The position of an indenter relative to the material is important in the Knoop method because the indenter is a diamond-shaped pyramid (Figure 6). When measuring the imprint, the size of only one (longer) diagonal is determined.
Figure 6: Imprint obtained from the Knoop microhardness test.

Shimadzu HMV-2 microhardness tester was used in the tests. The measurements were carried out by keeping the samples in a deformed state using a grip (Figure 7). For the Knoop microhardness measurements, the lowest load (98.07 mN) and the lowest load time (5 s) were used. The measured diagonal lengths of the indents ranged from about 170 \(\mu m\) (for undeformed material) to about 220 \(\mu m\) (for deformed material). Using the known angle of the indenter (172°30') and trigonometric relationships, the depth of the imprint after the removal of the indenter was calculated. It ranges from approximately 5 \(\mu m\) to 7 \(\mu m\).

Figure 7: Measurement of microhardness of a deformed polymer subjected to tension.

The measurements included tests in the direction parallel and perpendicular to the direction of the tensile force. Due to the fact that the results of microhardness tests turned out to be very significant, therefore, apart from the assumed values of deformations (\(\varepsilon = 0\%, 2\%, 5\%, 20\%, 50\%)\), measurements were also made for other intermediate values.

2.7. Surface free energy

In order to evaluate adhesion in the combination of deformed polymer - steel, tests of surface free energy were carried out. The measurements were taken directly after applying tension to achieve deformations \(\varepsilon = 20\%\) and \(\varepsilon = 50\%\) and after 30 minutes of maintaining the deformation \(\varepsilon = 50\%\). The Kruss DSAHT12 goniometer was used in the study. Drops of four liquids were applied on the polymer sample: distilled water, diiodomethane, ethylene glycol and formamide. The angle between the droplet outline and the surface of the polymer sample was measured using a grip to keep the sample deformed. The value of surface free energy was determined using the Owens-Wendt-Rabel-Kaelble (OWRK) method.

2.8. Observation of the surface by means of SEM

Surfaces of interacting elements are subject to different changes resulting from processes and phenomena occurring on the contact surface during friction. The condition of the surface subjected to friction can give a lot of information helpful in explaining them, therefore, it was decided to include microscopic observations in the research. The investigations of sliding surfaces of polymeric samples after interaction with a steel roll were carried out with the use of a scanning electron microscope (SEM).
The space in which the sample was placed was very limited. The grips described above were too large to fit into the microscope socket. The polymer was kept stretched during microscopic observations thanks to a special base produced using a 3D printer (Figure 8a).

The sample was stretched in a standard grip (Figure 8b). Two holes were made in the measuring part, the polymer was placed in the grip and fixed with nuts (Figure 8c). Then the measured part was cut off from the gripping parts and the whole was placed in the microscope slot (Figure 8d).

Unfortunately, the method described above had a disadvantage because, after the procedure of transferring the sample to a smaller grip, the deformation was reduced by “removing the clearance” between the screw and the inner wall of the hole in the polymer. Measurements showed that for initial sample deformation \( \varepsilon = 20\% \) after cutting off the gripping parts, the final deformation was about 17-18\%. Despite the decrease in tensile strength, microscopic observations showed differences in surface appearance after the process of friction between deformed and undeformed materials. The assessment of the surface condition after friction was carried out for an undeformed polymer and after stretching to \( \varepsilon = 20\% \) deformation.

### 2.5 Statistical analysis of the results

In case of tests for each variant (parallel/perpendicular direction + strain value) the measurements were carried out for four polymeric samples. Immediately after the deformation, the sample together with the grip was mounted on the test stand. If the grip was placed on the stand incorrectly (e.g. in a slight incline), the result of the measurement was significantly different from the others. The Dixon test (Q test) was used to eliminate such results (vitiated with a substantial error). Other results were used to calculate the mean value (\( \bar{x} \)) and the expanded uncertainty (\( U \)):

\[
U = \frac{k \cdot S}{\sqrt{n}}
\]

where: \( k \) - coverage factor (the value of \( k = 2 \) used determines the probability of finding the actual value within ±U of 95\%), \( S \) - standard deviation, \( n \) - number of measurements. The results of the research were given in a form: \( \bar{x} \pm U \).
This paper focuses on the comparison of the behaviour of a deformed polymeric material with that of an undeformed polymer material. Therefore, by presenting the results, the percentage change of wear coefficient, microhardness and surface free energy was calculated:

\[
\Delta K_w = \frac{K_{we} - K_w}{K_w}
\]

(2)

where: \( K_w \) is the wear coefficient for the undeformed polymer, \( K_{we} \) is the wear coefficient for the deformed polymer.

\[
\Delta H_{K0,01} = \frac{H_{K0,01}\varepsilon - H_{K0,01}}{H_{K0,01}}
\]

(3)

where: \( H_{K0,01} \) - the microhardness of the undeformed polymer, \( H_{K0,01}\varepsilon \) - the microhardness of the deformed polymer.

\[
\Delta SFE = \frac{SFE\varepsilon - SFE}{SFE}
\]

(4)

where: \( SFE \) - surface free energy of undeformed polymer, \( SFE\varepsilon \) - surface free energy of the deformed polymer.

3. Results

3.1 Impact of deformation direction on PE-HD wear

After analysing the results of wear measurements, it turned out that the expanded uncertainty range in most cases covered the range of ± 10% of the average value. Therefore, the change was considered significant when it was greater than or equal to 20%. The tables indicate in orange the results for which the mean value for a given deformation differed by 20% or more from the mean value for an undeformed polymer. The results are divided into two groups obtained during frictional interaction in the direction parallel and perpendicular to the direction of the tensile force vector.

The results showed a significant effect of tensile stress on the wear of the polymer material when the direction of friction was parallel to the direction of the tensile force vector. In the case of deformation \( \varepsilon = 20\% \), the wear coefficient was 5.5 times higher than in the case of undeformed polymer (Table 1 and Figure 9). With such deformation (\( \varepsilon = 20\% \)), the wear coefficient was the highest. Further increase in deformation to \( \varepsilon = 50\% \) led to a reduction in the wear coefficient. In addition, a significant increase in the wear coefficient (51%) was already recorded for deformation \( \varepsilon = 2\% \).

Table 1: Test results on the wear of deformed polymer (working with steel, tension, parallel direction, \( v = 0.33 \text{ m/s, } T_0 = 23\,^\circ \text{C} \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \varepsilon )</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>2%</th>
<th>5%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( V ) [mm³]</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_w ) [10^{-5} \text{ mm}³/\text{N}·\text{m}]</td>
<td>±0.02</td>
<td>±0.09</td>
<td>±0.16</td>
<td>±0.18</td>
<td>±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta K_w )</td>
<td>+51%</td>
<td>+220%</td>
<td>+554%</td>
<td>+386%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 9: Wear coefficient ($K_w$) for deformed polymer (working with steel, tension, parallel direction, $v = 0.33 \text{ m/s}$, $T_0 = 23\, ^\circ\text{C}$).

When the direction of friction was perpendicular to the direction of the tensile force vector, the deformation also affected the polymer wear (Table 2 and Figure 10). Significant effect of tension on PE-HD wear was observed from deformation $\varepsilon = 2\%$. After lengthening, the wear coefficient increased most at $\varepsilon = 20\%$ (increase by 289\%).

The results showed that the deformation of polymeric material has a significant influence on its wear. The wear coefficient ($K_w$) increases as the deformation increases.

In summary, it can be concluded that PE-HD polyethylene is very sensitive to tension. Differences depending on the direction of friction were visible. When working in the direction parallel to the direction of the tensile force vector, the changes in the wear coefficient were even several times greater than in the perpendicular direction. For deformation $\varepsilon = 2\%$ the differences between the results for the two directions were still small, whereas for $\varepsilon \geq 5\%$ they were clearly visible.

Table 2: Test results on the wear of deformed polymer (working with steel, tension, perpendicular direction, $v = 0.33 \text{ m/s}$, $T_0 = 23\, ^\circ\text{C}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>2%</th>
<th>5%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V$ [mm$^3$]</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_w$ [$10^{-5} \text{mm}^3/\text{N}\cdot\text{m}$]</td>
<td>±0.02</td>
<td>±0.02</td>
<td>±0.01</td>
<td>±0.13</td>
<td>±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta K_w$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+41%</td>
<td>+62%</td>
<td>+289%</td>
<td>+216%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10: Wear coefficient ($K_w$) of deformed polymer (working with steel, tension, perpendicular direction, $v = 0.33 \text{ m/s}$, $T_0 = 23\, ^\circ\text{C}$).
3.1.3. Impact of 24-hour maintenance of tension on wear

Seals and sliding elements made of polymers are deformed during assembly. During operation, the deformation practically does not change. At room temperature, the polymers, which are viscoelastic materials, undergo stress relaxation. Significant differences in wear occurred for the test samples immediately after tension. Due to stress relaxation after a certain period of time after deformation, the polymer wear may be different.

Since time is an important parameter in the analysis of deformed polymeric materials, additional tribological investigations were carried out. The aim was to explain how the materials tested for the purposes of this study will behave after a certain period of time. The polymer samples were deformed and left in the grip for 24 hours. During the period up to 24 hours stress relaxation occurs intensively and the stress in the polymeric material decreases. The samples were stretched to deformation of $\varepsilon = 20\%$ because in the previous stage of the research the observed changes in wear were significant at this value. After 24 hours from the introduction of the deformation, wear tests were carried out, in which the friction was in the direction parallel to the direction of the tensile force vector.

The obtained results showed a significant change in the PE-HD wear coefficient. Comparing the results (Table 3 and Figure 11) for $\varepsilon = 20\%$ deformation immediately after stretching and 24 hours, the PE-HD wear coefficient is approximately 50% higher than for immediate deformation and 879% higher than for undeformed samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>20% + 24h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V$ [mm$^3$]</td>
<td>±0.02</td>
<td>±0.18</td>
<td>±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_w$ [10$^{-5}$ mm$^3$ N$^{-1}$m$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta K_w$</td>
<td>±0.02</td>
<td>±0.18</td>
<td>±0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta K_w$ = +554% +879%

Figure 11: Wear coefficient ($K_w$) for deformed polymer (working with steel, tension, parallel direction, deformation maintained for 24h, $v = 0.33$ m/s, $T_0 = 23^\circ$C).

3.2 Impact of deformation on microhardness

The results of measurements in the form of average values of microhardness and their percentage change for the parallel and perpendicular setting of the indenter are presented in Table 4. For measurements perpendicular and parallel to the direction of deformation, the tendency of microhardness change with deformation was similar. Microhardness decreased with the deformation.
For this reason, the graphs are limited to showing the results of parallel direction measurements (Figure 12).

Analysing the results of microhardness measurements, it can be noticed that the decrease in microhardness was observed already with a small deformation $\varepsilon = 2\%$. During the test, the microhardness meter indenter was positioned parallel and perpendicular to the direction of the tensile/compressive force vector. The differences between the microhardness values obtained in both cases were insignificant. The change in microhardness is the greatest in the deformation range $\varepsilon = 0\%\div 2\%$ and becomes smaller as the deformation increases.

**Table 4:** Results of microhardness tests for polymers deformed by tension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction of indenter</th>
<th>$\varepsilon = 0%$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon = 2%$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon = 5%$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon = 20%$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon = 50%$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HK 0.01 Parallel</td>
<td>5.14 $\pm$ 0.12</td>
<td>4.27 $\pm$ 0.15</td>
<td>4.01 $\pm$ 0.17</td>
<td>3.31 $\pm$ 0.16</td>
<td>3.15 $\pm$ 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$HK 0.01 Parallel</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-17%</td>
<td>-22%</td>
<td>-35%</td>
<td>-38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK 0.01 Perpendicular</td>
<td>4.72 $\pm$ 0.18</td>
<td>4.05 $\pm$ 0.14</td>
<td>3.69 $\pm$ 0.09</td>
<td>3.09 $\pm$ 0.18</td>
<td>3.10 $\pm$ 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$HK 0.01 Perpendicular</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-14%</td>
<td>-22%</td>
<td>-35%</td>
<td>-34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 12:** Results of microhardness tests for PE-HD deformed by tension.

Similarly to the wear tests, microhardness tests were carried out on samples maintained in deformation for 24 hours. The microhardness of the samples was measured before deformation, immediately after deformation and after maintaining deformation for 24 hours.

The results are presented in the form of a table (Table 5) and a graph (Figure 13) presenting microhardness values and percentage changes in relation to the value obtained for an undeformed sample. Microhardness of PE-HD after 24 hours increases by about 21% in relation to the value obtained in the measurement directly after deformation. The microhardness of PE-HD is lower by 23% in comparison to an undeformed sample.
Table 5: Results of microhardness tests for polymers maintained in deformation for 24 hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ε</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>20% + 24h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HK 0.01</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHK 0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-36%</td>
<td>-23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13: Results of microhardness tests for PE-HD maintained in deformation for 24 hours.

3.2 Effect of deformation on the surface free energy of PE-HD

The results of measurements of surface free energy of polyethylene PE-HD showed a slight influence of deformation on the change of its value. In Table 6, the results for which the mean value obtained for a given deformation was higher by 10% or more than the mean value obtained for a pair containing an undeformed polymer are indicated in orange in Table 6. Such a change in the value of surface free energy was accepted as significant because of the expanded uncertainty range, which was ± 5% of the mean value.

Table 6: Results of surface free energy tests for deformed polymers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ε</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>50% +30 min.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFE (mJ/m²)</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔSFE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+8%</td>
<td>+6%</td>
<td>+16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14: Results of surface free energy tests for PE-HD.
For PE-HD after tension to $\varepsilon = 20\%$ and also to $\varepsilon = 50\%$ the surface free energy increased by 8% and 6% respectively (compared to the value obtained for the undeformed sample). Maintaining the deformation caused an increase in the value of free surface energy by about 10%, which in the end constituted about 16% more than for the undeformed sample (Figure 14).

### 3.3 Microscopic observations of the sample surface after friction

Figure 15 shows photographs taken after the friction process showing undeformed and deformed PE-HD samples. The obtained images are presented by juxtaposing photographs of undeformed and deformed samples. In the case of undeformed PE-HD polyethylene, the size of wear products did not exceed 50 $\mu$m (Figure 15a). The surface after friction shows grooves/furrows directed parallel to the direction of the interaction, which may indicate the dominance of abrasive wear (Figure 15b and Figure 15c).

In the case of PE-HD deformed to $\varepsilon = 20\%$, the size of wear products was much greater as compared to undeformed polymer (Figure 15d). They were “pressed/dented” into the surface layer of the material. Observing the sliding surface of a deformed polymer, it seems as if it is “rubbed/spread” on the surface during interaction with a steel roll (Figure 15e and Figure 15f).

![Figure 15. Selected SEM microscopic images of PE-HD surface after frictional interaction with a steel roll: (a), (b) and (c) - undeformed polymer; (d), (e) and (f) - polymer deformed to $\varepsilon = 20\%$.](image)

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1 Correlation between wear, microhardness and surface free energy test results

An attempt was made to explain how the deformation affects the wear of PE-HD. The results of tribological studies, microhardness and surface free energy (Table 7) were compared. Additionally, microscopic images were commented on and the issue of microstructural defects was raised. Analysing the results of wear and microhardness tests a correlation between these values can be seen.
Table 7: Summary of test results of wear ($K_w$), surface free energy ($SFE$) and microhardness ($HK\ 0.01$) for tensile deformed PE-HD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>2%</th>
<th>5%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_w$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($10^{-5}\ mm^3$ N m$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>±0.02</td>
<td>±0.09</td>
<td>±0.16</td>
<td>±0.18</td>
<td>±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta K_w$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+51%</td>
<td>+220%</td>
<td>+554%</td>
<td>+386%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$HK\ 0.01$</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±0.12</td>
<td>±0.15</td>
<td>±0.17</td>
<td>±0.16</td>
<td>±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta HK\ 0.01$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-17%</td>
<td>-22%</td>
<td>-35%</td>
<td>-38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SFE$</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mJ/m²)</td>
<td>±1.4</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
<td>±1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta SFE$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+8%</td>
<td>+6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When analysing the changes in the wear coefficient after maintaining the polymer in the deformation for a certain period of time, a correlation between the microhardness and the wear coefficient can be observed (Table 8). Microhardness increases when the polymer is maintained in a deformed state. At the same time, the wear coefficient is increasing. The value of free surface energy increased after maintaining the polymer in a deformed state. The wear coefficient also increases with time. It seems that the increase of surface free energy in PE-HD causes an increase in the share of adhesive wear to such an extent that it eliminates the effect associated with the increase in microhardness. Therefore, the question of the link between microhardness and wear is not clear.

Table 8: Summary of test results on wear ($K_w$), surface free energy ($SFE$) and microhardness ($HK\ 0.01$) for PE-HD maintained in deformation for a time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>20%+24h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_w$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($10^{-5}\ mm^3$ N m$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>±0.02</td>
<td>±0.18</td>
<td>±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta K_w$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+554%</td>
<td>+879%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$HK\ 0.01$</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±0.45</td>
<td>±0.18</td>
<td>±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta HK\ 0.01$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-36%</td>
<td>-23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SFE$</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mJ/m²)</td>
<td>±1.4</td>
<td>±1.2</td>
<td>±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta SFE$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+6%</td>
<td>+16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The decrease in microhardness should probably be associated with a reduction in the cohesion forces of the polymeric material. Reduced cohesion makes it easier to pull out pieces of material during interaction with a steel roll. Changes in microhardness have a higher percentage than changes in surface energy. This may lead to the conclusion that it is the modification of cohesion forces that has a greater impact on the increase of wear. It should be noted, however, that the adhesive wear is also involved in the process of polymer-metal wear. Therefore, small changes in surface energy can lead to significant changes in wear.

4.2 Defects due to tension vs wear

The change of microhardness and surface energy along with the tension of the polymer material is connected with the modification of its structure. The deformation contributes to crystallographic slip and interlamellar shear. Tests conducted earlier [29] showed that at $\varepsilon = 5\%$ deformation, an increase in wear was observed for tension and not for compression. Therefore, it can be presumed that increased wear is associated with phenomena characteristic of tensile stress. Cavities and stress cracks are only observed in tension. It is likely that these defects appearing in the polymer contribute to increased wear. This is confirmed by the studies presented in the literature, in which the influence of cavities on the wear of tensile fibre was indicated [10]. It seems very possible that defects of this kind support the separation of successive pieces of material during wear. In addition, wear takes place in the near-surface layer, where defects of the polymer material may occur even at slight deformations [19].

4.3 Analysis of microscopic images

Microscopic images of the sliding surface of the polymer show the differences between the variant where the polymer was undeformed and the variant where it was stretched. On the surfaces of PE-HD samples deformed by tension, “creases, crinkles” or “worn-out flakes” of the polymer were observed. These observations seem to indicate that the material under investigation is plasticized. On the sliding surface of an undeformed PE-HD sample, grooves and furrows were observed which were not so visible on the surface of the deformed polymer. It can be concluded that the tension of the sample caused a decrease in the share of abrasion in the process of wear. This is confirmed by a photo of the wear products. For deformed PE-HD, the wear products are pressed into the polymer and are larger in size than for undeformed polymer.

5. Conclusions

The results of tests carried out within the framework of this study have shown that tension applied to PE-HD contributes to the change of friction coefficient and wear coefficient during interaction with steel. The tension of PE-HD leads to increased wear and reduced microhardness of the polymer. In addition, this is all the more dangerous as these changes will occur at a deformation of $\varepsilon = 2\%$, which is usually considered acceptable in the design recommendations.

Deformation by tension significantly affects, among other things, the wear of a polymer in the interacting pair: a steel roll - a polymer plate. The increase of the wear coefficient in relation to the value obtained for the undeformed polymer was even 5.5 times ($\varepsilon = 20\%$). Changes in polymer properties occur already at deformation resulting from tension up to $\varepsilon = 2\%$. In this situation, microhardness decreased by 17% and wear increased by 51%. This is important information as the deformation range up to $\varepsilon = 2\%$ is considered safe and acceptable when designing various elements.

The direction of interaction in relation to the tension force vector is important. Greater changes concern the sliding interaction in the parallel direction. The tensile wear of PE-HD was significantly higher when applied parallel to the direction of the tensile force than when applied perpendicularly.

Keeping PE-HD in a deformed state with applied tension for a certain period of time results in a different material behaviour than in measurements carried out immediately after deformation. In the case of PE-HD, after a time, the wear was higher than in the case of measurements carried out...
directly after deformation. This is important, for example, for seals that are deformed during installation and function as they are during their lifetime. The described effect does not diminish with time, the seal can be characterized by increased wear even after a considerable period of time after assembly.

The deformation is unfavourable in terms of polymer properties. It causes a significant increase in wear and a decrease in microhardness. The deformation of a polymer material does not appear to be a way to improve its properties. It should be treated as a hazard that must be taken into account when designing sliding elements that are strained during assembly.

After deformation due to tension, the changes include both friction components describing the metal-polymer interaction, i.e. the mechanical and adhesive component. Any attempt to explain the effect of tension on friction and wear should refer to both of these components.
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