1 Article

# Integrated Assessment of the Quality of Sediments of Open Pit Lakes and the Impact on the Environment

# Viniciu Fagundes Barbara <sup>1,\*</sup>; Daniela de Melo e Silva <sup>2</sup>, Rosana Gonçalves Barros <sup>3</sup>, Aldo Muro Jr. <sup>4</sup> and Nelson Roberto Antoniosi Filho <sup>5</sup>

- 6 <sup>1</sup> Instituto Federal de Goiás; viniciu.fagundes@gmail.com
- 7 <sup>2</sup> Universidade Federal de Goiás; silvadanielamelo@gmail.com
- 8 <sup>3</sup> Instituto Federal de Goiás; rosana.ifg@gmail.com
- 9 <sup>4</sup> Instituto Federal de Goiás and Universitá di Pisa; aldo.muro@ing.unipi.it; aldo.muro@ifg.edu.br
- 10 <sup>5</sup> Universidade Federal de Goiás; niliantoniosi@gmail.com
- 11 \* Correspondence: murojr@gmail.com; Tel: +55 62 99992-2424

12 Abstract: The mining industry is known for the intense environmental impacts it triggers, especially 13 when it is developed in an open environment. Pit lakes are formed in depleted deposits and may be 14 promising opportunities for use by society as well as troubling environmental liabilities. While these 15 artificial basins are increasing numerically in many parts of the world, they are still little known 16 researchers in the Environmental Sciences, which makes their environmental management 17 challenging. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the environmental quality of 18 sediments from three deactivated open-pit gold mines, located in the Mara Rosa, Brazil, through 19 chemical, ecotoxicological and genotoxicology analyses. For this purpose, we collected samples in 20 the dry season boom, and subsequently, we analysed metals. In sequence, acute ecotoxicological 21 and a genotoxicology test (comet assay) were developed with Danio rerio fishes, in concentrations of 22 3.12%; 6.25%; 12.5%; 25%; 50% and 100%, in addition to the control group. The results indicated that 23 the three lakes are environmentally compromised, especially Lago Azul, whose waters and 24 sediments are undergoing an intense process of geological conditioning. Our results did not verify 25 the ecotoxicity of the sediments of any of the lakes, only behavioural alterations in the test organisms 26 exposed to the concentrations of 25%, 50% and 100% of the samples obtained in the Lago Azul. 27 About the sediments, DNA damage at Danio rerio was detected in the three investigated 28 environments, although fishes kept in the water sampled at Lago Azul presented the most extension 29 of DNA damages.

- 30 Keywords: acid mine drainage; contaminated areas; environmental; heavy metals; public health
- 31

# 32 1. Introduction

33 Because of the increasing demand for minerals, mining activity has become one of the leading 34 industries developed by many countries. Brazil, which holds some of the most significant geological 35 potentials in the world, stands out in this context and should considerably expand its mining 36 complexes in the coming years. However, the environmental management challenges of the sector 37 are substantial and require joint efforts across society. Cases of accelerated decline in the quality of 38 natural resources such as soil, surface water, and groundwater in mined areas are not uncommon, 39 especially in the post-operation phase of mining projects, which are often abandoned without all the 40 recovery measures being implemented. Gold mines stand out in this context, as gold is usually 41 associated with sulfate rocks originally in chemical equilibrium that when in contact with water and 42 air, can foster the emergence of acid mine drainage (AMD). AMD has a high potential for 43 environmental degradation, as it is acidic and rich in dissolved toxic metals, responsible for the 44 emergence of numerous contaminated areas around the world (Antunes et al., 2007).

45 Mining pit lakes, although environmentally complex, have been considered for use as 46 recovery alternatives or in selected human activities. However, studies that have sought to measure

47 the degree of environmental compromise of these artificial lakes are still rare in the literature 48 (Mollema et al., 2015). Esteves (2011) clarify that sediments are one of the most critical components 49 associated with aquatic ecosystems, as they constitute a temporary cumulative stock of toxic chemical 50 compounds. Even if the water column initially presents good quality, contaminated sediments in the 51 bottom can be resuspended through anthropic processes such as water pumping or even natural 52 processes such as thermal stratification (Edberg et al., 2012) and consequently reintroduce toxic 53 elements into the water, making it potentially harmful to living things. Depending on the intended 54 use of pit lakes, more profound assessments are needed to understand better the potential risks 55 associated with these environments.

56 Ecotoxicological analyses are understood as advanced alternatives for the monitoring and 57 evaluation of the natural environment. Once, they add aspects to environmental assessments, serving 58 as a basis for the establishment of cause and effect relationships. In this way, making it possible to 59 anticipate the potential risks to the natural environment if it comes in contact with toxic elements. 60 Gagnaire et al. (2015) clarify that sediment particles usually adsorb chemical elements such as metals 61 or dissolved between their pores, which makes the ecotoxicological evaluation of this component 62 important. However, although some research has evaluated the chemical quality of mining pit lakes 63 sediments around the world, studies that have sought to analyse their chemical and ecotoxicological 64 aspects together are still few and recent. Moreover, studies involving the genotoxicity potential 65 associated with pit lakes sediments are unknown. Therefore, the main objective of the present study 66 was to evaluate the environmental quality of sediments of three deactivated gold mines by chemical, 67 ecotoxicological and genotoxicity analyses.

## 68 2. Materials and Methods

The city of Mara Rosa (Goiás, Brazil) has lived with gold mining in alluvial prospects for decades, but in recent years, this rudimentary activity has given rise to large open-pit mining companies that have settled in the region but are currently deactivated. The environmental liabilities arising from the mining practices developed in the municipality were not satisfactorily alleviated, being constituted mainly by three pit lakes located in the rural area, in which artificial lakes were formed. In this study, these environments are called Cava Maior (approximately 1.7 ha), Cava Menor (0.7 ha), and Lago Azul (2.8 ha) (Figure 1).

Pit Lakes *Maior* and *Menor*, mined until 1995 and were isolated and partially surrounded by introduced vegetation, and they present some points with exposed rocks. The Lago Azul was also formed in the 90 s, and it is the central tourist spot of Mara Rosa, having a deposit of sterile and a solid bench almost 40 meters in height in its direct surroundings, exposed to rainwater and atmosphere.

- 81
- 82
- 83
- 84
- 85
- 86
- 87
- 88



near its northern margin, with a depth of about 10 m, and another, which constitutes its mostconsiderable part, formed by a depression that reaches 46 m depth.

These figures demonstrate depths that, if compared to the surface areas of the three wells studied, are disproportional, a common feature in the case of mining lakes. In this sense, according to authors such as Skipperud et al. (2013), the morphometry of mining lakes is the result of a combination of factors such as geological conditions of mineral deposits, excavation technology and depth of groundwater, which makes each pit unique in morphometric terms.

121

The results of the metals in the water and sediments are listed in Tables 1 to 3.

- 122

   123
   **Table 1.** Insert Table 1

   124
   **Table 2.** Insert Table 2

   125
   **Table 3.** Insert Table 3
- 126

127 In terms of water quality, the three environments presented similar behaviour: the 128 concentrations of almost all the quantified metals were predominantly increased in the direction of 129 the surface to the bottom, a typical result for mining an. The waters of the pit lakes Maior and Menor 130 were compromised qualitatively, as several analytes exhibited concentrations above the established 131 maximum limits: aluminium, arsenic, cadmium, copper, iron, and manganese in the first; and 132 aluminium, copper, and manganese in the latter. In this case, we expected that the elements are in 133 disagreement with the Brazilian legislation and they were practically the same for both lakes, once 134 because they are located in the same geological environmental. As water quality is usually a reflection

135 of the chemical composition of the soil with which water has contact (Gagnaire et al., 2015), we 136 concluded that both wells presented similar geochemical conditioning.

In Lake *Azul*, its waters presented high concentrations of aluminium, arsenic, cadmium, lead, cobalt, copper, chromium, iron, manganese, nickel, and zinc. Considering the acidity of its waters (pH <4.50), the presence of large amounts of rocks and barren areas, exposed to the weather, in its immediate vicinity and the probable processes of evaporation concentration. It is verified that the water volume accumulated in its interior is submitted to more intense methods of mineralisation and geological control, compared to those observed in the other two environments surveyed.

Bowel weathering that favours environmental contamination has also been proven in several studies, such as those conducted by several authors. Lottermoser et al. (2005), for example, identified an intense degradation process at the Mary Kathleen Mine (Australia), finding that open rocks released chemical elements such as copper and nickel, which alter the water quality of a uranium pit.

Additionally, Antunes et al. (2007) investigated the water quality of an abandoned Portuguese mine and found manganese, iron, aluminium, uranium, and strontium above permitted limits. Hrdinka et al. (2013) analysed the water quality of Lake Hromnice, located in the Czech Republic, formed after the closure of a long period of mining activities, and the authors observed increasing concentrations of calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, iron, and aluminium.

152 Regarding the results of the chemical characterisation of the sediments, it was verified that 153 the geological control of the wells studied exerts a strong influence on the chemical quality of this 154 environmental compartment, as the concentrations of the analysed analytes were much higher in the 155 bottom of the pit lakes. In this sense, Pit lake Minor sediments presented high levels of cadmium, 156 copper, chromium, mercury, and nickel, and Pit lake Maior presented barium, cadmium, cobalt, and 157 nickel. Blue Lake, in turn, offers a higher degree of environmental compromise than the other two, 158 as barium, cadmium, lead, copper, chromium, nickel, and zinc were detected in its sediments several 159 times above the legal limits in force (Table 3).

According to Delgado-Martin et al. (2013), sediments are usually critical in terms of concentrations of potentially toxic chemical elements, which occur mainly because of their high sorption capacity associated with particle accumulation. To avoid soil contamination is crucial to consider the potential contaminants in the soil.

164 Some studies on pit lakes have had geochemical approaches that included sediment analyses, 165 such as those conducted by several authors. So, Lottermoser et al. (2005), for example, investigated 166 the transfer of contaminants from deposits of rock and mineralised soil deposits into sediments and 167 other environmental components of an Australian uranium mine. They observed accelerated mineral 168 wear processes in the area as a whole, especially in rocks and walls of the open pit mine, which 169 favoured the mobility of metals and negatively altered the quality of the pit lake water. In the 170 sediments and as evidenced in this research, the authors also observed high concentrations of 171 analytes such as arsenic, copper, nickel, lead, and zinc.

Mollema et al. (2015) evaluated the potential of an old Dutch pit for public supply purposes. For that, they developed a study to characterise the quality of its water and sediments. It was found that the average concentrations of potentially toxic metals in the bottom particles increased considerably between 2000 and 2010, mainly the cadmium, nickel, and zinc analytes, as observed in the Greater and Lesser Minig Pit Lakes and mostly in the Blue Lagoon. Triantafyllidis and Skarpelis (2006) analysed several samples from the Kirki Mine, Greece, including sediments. As observed for

Lago Azul, marked acidity and high concentrations of toxic elements were found in the waters andat the bottom of the lake, such as iron, lead, zinc, arsenic, and copper.

In general, the authors who investigated the chemical quality of sediments of mining pit lakes highlight the high influence of rainwater and air in this process, as they are weathering elements that, when they are exposed to sulfide minerals present in the soil and near mines, trigger acid drainage. This understanding can be applied to the Greater and Lesser Minig Pit Lakes and the Blue Lagoon, as they constitute environmental liabilities that have not been satisfactorily alleviated and that, as a consequence, they present geological outcrops and piles of tailings directly exposed to the elements, in particular, this last.

In the ecotoxicological tests carried out for sediments, we did not observe the mortality of any test organism. However, samples from Lago Azul at concentrations of 25.0% and more frequently from 50.0% and 100.0%, showed significant behavioural effects on the exposed individuals, who presented moments of intense agitation followed by brief lethargy, behaviour that corroborates the low chemical quality of the sediments of this pit, as already described.

192 Studies involving ecotoxicological trials of cavity sediments are still rare. According to 193 Antunes et al. (2007), for example, low toxicity results from cava bottom samples were also observed. 194 Those authors evaluated the environmental degradation of a Portuguese uranium mine through the 195 acute seasonal toxicity of water and sediment of the pit lake. The synergistic potential of these 196 substances was measured using algae (*P. subcapitata*), microcrustaceans (*D. magna* and *D. longispina*), 197 and dipterous (C. riparius). Regarding the sediments, acute toxicity was not observed for the tested 198 species, except for D. longispina. Aluma et al. (2011) used Daphnia magna microcrystalline eggs in the 199 sediment toxicity analysis of an Ohio mine area. For this purpose, they were incubated in containers 200 containing water in the presence of substrates with and without metallic contaminants from DAM,

201 observing 0% hatching at the highest concentrations of the analytes of environmental interest.

202 The results obtained for the percentage of DNA in the tail can be observed in Figure 2.



203

Figure 2. Percentage of DNA in the Tail for the samples of sediments of the Cava Menor, Cava Maior and LagoAzul

Comparatively, the deposits of Lago Azul presented greater genotoxicity than those of the other two environments, with 82% DNA damage at 100% concentration (C6). Cava Menor exhibits 30.27% (C6) of DNA percentage in the tail and the most significant 23.53% (C6). Compared to their respective negative control groups, fishes exposed to the Lago Azul sediments demonstrated increased DNA damages due to from the concentration of 12.5% (C3), while in the Pit lake *Menor* and *Maior*, the interference in genetic level was only perceived from 50% (C5) in the first and 100% (C6) on the last.

Collins et al. (2008) explained that a genotoxic agent could cause DNA strand breaks, and when they occur, their fragments of various sizes migrate after an electrophoretic run, forming a comet visible in the Comet Assay. DNA damage can be detected even with short exposures and is a clue of acute intoxication promoted by the association of contaminants with exposed organisms.

Then we verified that sediments of the three pit lakes presented genotoxic potential, results that corroborate with chemical data. Zinc, for example, usually acts synergistically with other elements, such as cadmium, and potentiates the degrading effects on exposed organisms. So, cadmium can acutely affect kidneys, liver, pancreas, gonads, and lungs of fishes and trigger problems associated with changes in calcium metabolism, liver damage, and adverse effects on the cardiovascular system.

According to Zagatto and Bertoletti (2008), it was verified that several of the metals detected in the pit lakes examined in this research, are cumulative in organisms and can cause organic changes in their nervous, respiratory, digestive, intestinal, and cardiovascular systems, bone marrow and kidney interference, and destruction of mucous membranes and internal organs. In addition to several types of cancer and that aluminium has a high toxicological potential. Barium, even in small amounts, can cause diarrhoea, respiratory distress, changes in blood pressure and heart rate, paralysis, and muscle weakness in living beings.

In the case of arsenic, a recognised toxic element, that mining is one of the primary sources of this contaminant and that its effects on organisms include the development of many types of cancer, intestinal diseases, vascular diseases, peripheral neuropathies, and diabetes.

233

### 234 4. Conclusions

The waters and sediments of the investigated pit lakes presented concentrations of several toxic chemical elements above the maximum values established by Brazilian legislation, such as arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead, and mercury, which increased in the direction of the surface at the bottom and higher in the sediments. The three pit lakes are environmentally compromised, especially Lago Azul, undergoing intense geological conditioning.

The results of the bioassays did not show the ecotoxicity of the sediments of any of the pit lakes but only behavioural changes in the organisms exposed to the concentrations of 25%, 50%, and 100% of the samples from Lago Azul. However, comet assay demonstrated that pit lakes are environmentally compromised, as fishes showed a gradual increase in the number of cells with t most significant amount of DNA damage, mainly for Lago Azul. Therefore, the evaluated pit lakes exhibit environmental liabilities that demand the attention of public managers and mining industry regarding the risks they present, due to their current environmental conditions.

247

248 **5.** Acknowledments: Universidade Federal de Goiás and Ministério Público do Estado de Goiás.

- 249 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 250 Appendix B

П

251 Table 1. Chemical elements and sediments at Pit Lake *Menor*.

Concentration of Chemical Elements – Pit Lake <i>Menor</i>					10	Maximum Allowable Values	
Chemical Element	Water (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )			Sediment	LQ	Water <sup>1</sup>	Sediment <sup>2</sup>
	0.20 m	9.00 m	18.00 m	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )		(mg L-1)	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )
Al	0.34±0.00	0.35±0.00	0.95±0.02	13933.52±1006.18	0.046	0.1	-
Sb	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.005	0.005	-
As	0.02±0,00	0.01±0.00	0.01±0.00	5.20±0.72	0.008	0.01	5.9
Ва	0.03±0.00	0.03±0.00	0.05±0.00	233.06±14.16	0.011	0.7	-
В	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>3.92±0.33</td><td>0.054</td><td>0.5</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>3.92±0.33</td><td>0.054</td><td>0.5</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>3.92±0.33</td><td>0.054</td><td>0.5</td><td>-</td></lq<>	3.92±0.33	0.054	0.5	-
Cd	<lq< td=""><td>0.001±0.000</td><td>0.006±0.000</td><td>6.62±0.12</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.6</td></lq<>	0.001±0.000	0.006±0.000	6.62±0.12	0.001	0.001	0.6
Ca	82.02±0.72	82.65±0.67	87.48±0.72	5034.71±575.06	0.563	-	-
Pb	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>7.11±0.54</td><td>0.004</td><td>0.01</td><td>35.0</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>7.11±0.54</td><td>0.004</td><td>0.01</td><td>35.0</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>7.11±0.54</td><td>0.004</td><td>0.01</td><td>35.0</td></lq<>	7.11±0.54	0.004	0.01	35.0
Со	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>15.61±0.33</td><td>0.005</td><td>0.05</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>15.61±0.33</td><td>0.005</td><td>0.05</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>15.61±0.33</td><td>0.005</td><td>0.05</td><td>-</td></lq<>	15.61±0.33	0.005	0.05	-
Cu	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	0.04±0.00	0.05±0.00	136.10±0.55	0.005	0.009	35.7
Cr	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>65.32±0.95</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.05</td><td>37.3</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>65.32±0.95</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.05</td><td>37.3</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>65.32±0.95</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.05</td><td>37.3</td></lq<>	65.32±0.95	0.003	0.05	37.3
Fe	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.64±0.02</td><td>21550.45±302.71</td><td>0.339</td><td>0.3</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.64±0.02</td><td>21550.45±302.71</td><td>0.339</td><td>0.3</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.64±0.02	21550.45±302.71	0.339	0.3	-
Р	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.02	0.03	-
Mg	37.30±0.50	37.30±0.30	39.35±0.40	12069.70±134.60	0.155	-	-
Mn	$0.15 \pm 0.00$	0.16±0.00	0.33±0.00	452.90±3.25	0.108	0.1	-
Hg	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.19±0.09</td><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.19±0.09</td><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.19±0.09</td><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<>	0.19±0.09	0.047	0.0002	0.17
Мо	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>2.34±1.22</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>2.34±1.22</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>2.34±1.22</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></lq<>	2.34±1.22	0.01	-	-
Ni	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>46.08±2.99</td><td>0.012</td><td>0.025</td><td>18.0</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>46.08±2.99</td><td>0.012</td><td>0.025</td><td>18.0</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>46.08±2.99</td><td>0.012</td><td>0.025</td><td>18.0</td></lq<>	46.08±2.99	0.012	0.025	18.0
Κ	10.24±0.12	10.20±0.08	9.97±0.08	4817.49±7.16	0.648	-	-
Ag	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.03	0.01	-
Se	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.013	0.01	-
Na	13.01±0.52	12.67±0.10	12.67±0.06	289.55±13.84	0.208	-	-
V	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>45.72±2.01</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.1</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>45.72±2.01</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.1</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>45.72±2.01</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.1</td><td>-</td></lq<>	45.72±2.01	0.023	0.1	-
Zn	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>70.42±0.74</td><td>0.032</td><td>0.18</td><td>123.0</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>70.42±0.74</td><td>0.032</td><td>0.18</td><td>123.0</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>70.42±0.74</td><td>0.032</td><td>0.18</td><td>123.0</td></lq<>	70.42±0.74	0.032	0.18	123.0

252

 $253 \quad \text{Legend: Values in black are above the permitted Brazilian values / LQ: Limit of Quantification / ^1 - Values (Marcon Values - Va$ 

to water Class 2 (CONAMA 357/2005) /  $^{2}$  – Values of CONAMA Resolution 454/2012 (BRASIL, 2012).

255

256

257

258

259

260 Table 2. Chemical elements and sediments at Cava Maior.

261

Concentration of Chemical Elements – Pit lake Maior						Maximum Allowable Values	
Chemical Element	Water (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )			Sediment	LQ	Water <sup>1</sup>	Sediment <sup>2</sup>
	0.20 m	15.50 m	31.00 m	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )		(mg L-1)	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )
Al	0.33±0.00	0.33±0.00	$0.49 \pm 0.08$	16000.19±948.06	0.046	0.1	-
Sb	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.005	0.005	-
As	0.01±0.00	0.01±0.00	0.01±0.00	0.46±0.23	0.008	0.01	5.9
Ва	$0.06 \pm 0.00$	0.06±0.00	$0.06 \pm 0.00$	663.09±43.46	0.011	0.7	-
В	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>4.73±0.21</td><td>0.054</td><td>0.5</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>4.73±0.21</td><td>0.054</td><td>0.5</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>4.73±0.21</td><td>0.054</td><td>0.5</td><td>-</td></lq<>	4.73±0.21	0.054	0.5	-
Cd	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.001±0.000</td><td>11.23±0.19</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.6</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.001±0.000</td><td>11.23±0.19</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.6</td></lq<>	0.001±0.000	11.23±0.19	0.001	0.001	0.6
Ca	29.50±0.80	29.90±0.32	29.41±0.14	3656.64±153.31	0.563	-	-
Pb	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>15.18±0.57</td><td>0.004</td><td>0.01</td><td>35.0</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>15.18±0.57</td><td>0.004</td><td>0.01</td><td>35.0</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>15.18±0.57</td><td>0.004</td><td>0.01</td><td>35.0</td></lq<>	15.18±0.57	0.004	0.01	35.0
Со	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>99.84±1.61</td><td>0.005</td><td>0.05</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>99.84±1.61</td><td>0.005</td><td>0.05</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>99.84±1.61</td><td>0.005</td><td>0.05</td><td>-</td></lq<>	99.84±1.61	0.005	0.05	-
Cu	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	0.04±0.00	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	10.87±0.10	0.005	0.009	35.7
Cr	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>29.60±0.32</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.05</td><td>37.3</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>29.60±0.32</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.05</td><td>37.3</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>29.60±0.32</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.05</td><td>37.3</td></lq<>	29.60±0.32	0.003	0.05	37.3
Fe	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>37946.33±390.98</td><td>0.339</td><td>0.3</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>37946.33±390.98</td><td>0.339</td><td>0.3</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>37946.33±390.98</td><td>0.339</td><td>0.3</td><td>-</td></lq<>	37946.33±390.98	0.339	0.3	-
Р	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.02	0.03	-
Mg	13.65±0.51	13.48±0.14	13.02±0.07	9314.83±77.08	0.155	-	-
Mn	0.16±0.00	0.16±0.00	0.33±0.00	509.53±3.57	0.108	0.1	-
Hg	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.160±0.01</td><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.160±0.01</td><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.160±0.01</td><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<>	0.160±0.01	0.047	0.0002	0.17
Мо	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.002±0.000</td><td>3.02±0.31</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.002±0.000</td><td>3.02±0.31</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.002±0.000	3.02±0.31	0.01	-	-
Ni	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>19.84±0.37</td><td>0.012</td><td>0.025</td><td>18.0</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>19.84±0.37</td><td>0.012</td><td>0.025</td><td>18.0</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>19.84±0.37</td><td>0.012</td><td>0.025</td><td>18.0</td></lq<>	19.84±0.37	0.012	0.025	18.0
K	6.90±0.28	6.79±0.03	6.79±0.03	5992.26±8.15	0.648	-	-
Ag	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>21.65±0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>21.65±0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>21.65±0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<>	21.65±0.02	0.03	0.01	-
Se	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>2.33±1.53</td><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>2.33±1.53</td><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>2.33±1.53</td><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<>	2.33±1.53	0.013	0.01	-
Na	26.66±0.20	26.75±0.43	27.36±0.31	214.96±14.30	0.208	-	-
V	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>70.14±0.53</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.1</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>70.14±0.53</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.1</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>70.14±0.53</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.1</td><td>-</td></lq<>	70.14±0.53	0.023	0.1	-
Zn	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>98.56±1.79</td><td>0.032</td><td>0.18</td><td>123.0</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>98.56±1.79</td><td>0.032</td><td>0.18</td><td>123.0</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>98.56±1.79</td><td>0.032</td><td>0.18</td><td>123.0</td></lq<>	98.56±1.79	0.032	0.18	123.0

262

263 Legend: Values in black are above the permitted Brazilian values / LQ: Limit of Quantification / 1– Values

264 to water Class 2 (CONAMA 357/2005) /  $^2$  – Values of CONAMA Resolution 454/2012 (BRASIL, 2012).

265

266

267

268

269

270

# 271

# 272 **Table 3.** Chemical elements and sediments at Lago Azul.

273

	Concentration of Chemical Elements – Lago Azul					Maximum Allowable Values	
Chemical Element	Water (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )			Sediment	LQ	Water <sup>1</sup>	Sediment <sup>2</sup>
	0.20 m	23.00 m	46.00 m	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )		(mg L-1)	(mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )
Al	$1.14\pm0.03$	$1.14 \pm 0.00$	20.58±0.21	31147.88±510.03	0.046	0.1	-
Sb	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>0.005</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.005	0.005	-
As	0.009±0.002	$0.01 \pm 0.00$	0.02±0.00	3.36±1.50	0.008	0.01	5.9
Ва	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	0.03±0.00	2011.52±38.81	0.011	0.7	-
В	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>5.14±0.41</td><td>0.054</td><td>0.5</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>5.14±0.41</td><td>0.054</td><td>0.5</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>5.14±0.41</td><td>0.054</td><td>0.5</td><td>-</td></lq<>	5.14±0.41	0.054	0.5	-
Cd	0.007±0.000	0.007±0.000	0.031±0.000	34.78±0.06	0.001	0.001	0.6
Ca	43.98±1.84	44.59±0.31	96.36±1.08	1097.05±17.91	0.563	-	-
Pb	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><math>0.04 \pm 0.00</math></td><td>75.76±1.31</td><td>0.004</td><td>0.01</td><td>35.0</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><math>0.04 \pm 0.00</math></td><td>75.76±1.31</td><td>0.004</td><td>0.01</td><td>35.0</td></lq<>	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	75.76±1.31	0.004	0.01	35.0
Со	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	0.29±0.00	6.35±0.07	0.005	0.05	-
Cu	$0.16 \pm 0.00$	$0.16 \pm 0.00$	$0.54 \pm 0.00$	526.51±7.39	0.005	0.009	35.7
Cr	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.06±0.00</td><td>978.08±7.09</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.05</td><td>37.3</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.06±0.00</td><td>978.08±7.09</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.05</td><td>37.3</td></lq<>	0.06±0.00	978.08±7.09	0.003	0.05	37.3
Fe	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>4.39±0.05</td><td>102618.60±406.91</td><td>0.339</td><td>0.3</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>4.39±0.05</td><td>102618.60±406.91</td><td>0.339</td><td>0.3</td><td>-</td></lq<>	4.39±0.05	102618.60±406.91	0.339	0.3	-
Р	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.02</td><td>0.03</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.02	0.03	-
Mg	40.17±1.76	40.50±0.24	130.86±1.33	15746.37±147.52	0.155	-	-
Mn	$1.31 \pm 0.01$	$1.32 \pm 0.01$	3.85±0.01	205.69±1.16	0.108	0.1	-
Hg	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.047</td><td>0.0002</td><td>0.17</td></lq<>	0.047	0.0002	0.17
Мо	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>18.65±1.14</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>18.65±1.14</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>18.65±1.14</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></lq<>	18.65±1.14	0.01	-	-
Ni	0.26±0.00	0.26±0.00	1.33±0.00	125.01±1.30	0.012	0.025	18.0
К	8.69±0.32	8.71±0.03	14.07±0.04	13473.13±129.35	0.648	-	-
Ag	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.03	0.01	-
Se	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>3.21±2.02</td><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>3.21±2.02</td><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>3.21±2.02</td><td>0.013</td><td>0.01</td><td>-</td></lq<>	3.21±2.02	0.013	0.01	-
Na	7.97±0.33	7.98±0.04	20.03±0.20	707.56±0.84	0.208	-	-
V	<lq< td=""><td><lq< td=""><td>0.02±0.00</td><td>142.04±1.20</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.1</td><td>-</td></lq<></td></lq<>	<lq< td=""><td>0.02±0.00</td><td>142.04±1.20</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.1</td><td>-</td></lq<>	0.02±0.00	142.04±1.20	0.023	0.1	-
Zn	0.85±0.01	0.87±0.01	3.51±0.01	291.41±1.45	0.032	0.18	123.0

274

275 Legend: Values in black are above the permitted Brazilian values / LQ: Limit of Quantification / 1– Values

276 to water Class 2 (CONAMA 357/2005) / <sup>2</sup> – Values of CONAMA Resolution 454/2012 (BRASIL, 2012).

277

278

# 279 References

ALUMA, E.; HASSETT, R. P.; JOHNSON, K. Short communication: a 24 hour ecotoxicity test for acid mine
 drainage using hatching success in Daphnia magna. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental
 Management, 15: 231-234. 2011.

283	2.	ANTUNES, S. C.; DE FIGUEIREDO, D. R.; MARQUES, S. M.; CASTRO, B. B.; PEREIRA, R.; GONÇALVES,
284		F. Evaluation of water column and sediment toxicity from an abandoned uranium mine using a battery of
285		bioassays. Science of the Total Environment, 374: 252-259. 2007.

ASSOCIAÇÃO BRASILEIRA DE NORMAS TÉCNICAS – ABNT. Norma Brasileira de Regulamentação nº
 15.499: ecotoxicologia aquática – toxicidade crônica de curta duração – método de ensaio com peixes. São
 Paulo, SP: ABNT. 2007.

COLLINS, A.; SMITH, A.; SPEIT, G.; THYBAUD, V.; TICE, R. R. Recommendations for conducting the in vivo alkaline Comet assay. Mutagenesis, 18: 45-51. 2003.

- DELGADO-MARTIN, J.; JUNCOSA-RIVERA, R.; FALCÓN-SUÁREZ, I.; CANAL-VILA, J. Four years of continuous monitoring of the Meirama end-pit lake and its impact in the definition of future uses.
   Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 10: 1610-1618. 2013.
- EDBERG, F.; ANDERSON, A. F.; HOLMSTRÖM, S. J. M. Bacterial community composition in the water
  column of a lake formed by a former uranium open pit mine. Microbial Ecology, 64: 870-880. 2012.
- 296 7. ESTEVES, F. A. Fundamentos de Limnologia. 3. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Interciência, 2011.
- GAGNAIRE, B.; BADO-NILLES, A.; BETOULLE, S.; AMARA, R.; CAMILLERI, V.; CAVALIÉ, I.; CHADILI,
   E.; DELAHAUT, L.; KERAMBRUN, E.; ORJOLLET, D.; PALLUEL, O.; SANCHEZ, W. Former uranium
   mine-induced effects in caged roach: a multiparametric approach for the evaluation on in situ metal
   toxicity. Ecotoxicology, 24: 215-231. 2015.
- 301 9. GYORI, B. M.; VENKATACHALAM, G.; THIAGARAJAN, P. S.; HSU, D.; CLEMENT, M. V. Open Comet:
   302 An automated tool for comet assay image analysis. Redox Biology, 2: 457-465. 2014.
- HRDINKA, T.; SOBR, M.; FOTT, J.; NEDBALOVÁ, L. The unique environment of the most acidified
   permanently meromictic lake in the Czech Republic. Limnologica, 43: 417-426. 2013.
- MOLLEMA, P. N.; STUYFZAND, P. J.; JUHÁSZ-HOLTERMAN, M. H. A.; VAN DIEPENBEEK, P. M. J. A.;
   ANTONELLINI, M. Metal accumulation in an artificially recharged gravel pit lake used for drinking water
   supply. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 150: 35-51. 2015.
- 308
   12. SINGH, N. P.; MCCOY, M. T.; TICE, R. R.; SCHNEIDER, E. L. A simple technique for quantitation of low
   309
   levels of DNA damage in individual cells. Experimental Cell Research, 175: 184-191. 1988.
- 310 13. SKIPPERUD, L.; JØRGENSEN, A. G.; HEIER, L. S.; SALBU, B.; ROSSELAND, B. O. Po-210 and Pb-210 in
  311 water and fish Taboshar uranium mining pit lake, Tajikistan. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 123:
  312 82-89. 2013.
- 313 14. TRIANTAFYLLIDIS, S.; SKARPELIS, N. Mineral formation in an acid pit lake from a high-sulfidation ore
   314 deposit: Kirki, NE Greece. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 88: 68-71. 2006.
- 315 15. ZAGATTO, P. A.; BERTOLETTI, E. Ecotoxicologia Aquática: princípios e aplicações. São Carlos, SP: Rima.
  316 2008.