Wheat varietal differences in below ground biomass revealed by a semi-quantitative estimation of wheat root DNA in soil samples

Huw Jones[1], Steven Bentley[1], Lydia Smith[1], Alison Karley[2], Tracy Valentine[2], Charlotte White[3], Rhys Ashton[4], Lesley Boyd[1]

[1]NIAB, Huntingdon Road, Cambridge, CB3 0LE, UK
[2]James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, Scotland, UK
[3]ADAS Gleadthorpe, Meden Vale, Mansfield, Nottinghamshire, NG20 9PD, UK
[4] Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, AL5 2JQ, UK

Corresponding author: Huw Jones (huw.jones@niab.com)

Abstract

Root research on field grown crops is hindered by the difficulty of estimating root biomass in soil. Root washing, the current standard method is laborious and expensive. Biochemical methods to quantify root biomass in soil, targeting species-specific DNA, have potential as a more efficient assay. We combined an efficient DNA extraction method, designed specifically to extract DNA from soil, with well-established quantitative PCR methods to estimate the root biomass of twenty-two wheat varieties grown in field trials over two seasons. We also developed an assay for estimating root biomass for black-grass, a common weed of wheat cultivation.

Two robust qPCR assays were developed to estimate the quantity of plant root DNA in soil samples, one specific to wheat and barley, and a second specific to black-grass. The DNA qPCR method was comparable, with high correlations, with the results of root washing from soil cores taken from winter wheat field trials. The DNA qPCR assay showed both variety and depth as significant factors in the distribution of root biomass in replicated field trials.

The results suggest that these DNA qPCR assays are a useful, high throughput tool for investigating the genetic basis of wheat root biomass distribution in field grown crops, and the impact of black-grass root systems on crop production.

Keywords: Root biomass, wheat, field crops, black-grass, high-throughput

 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

1. Introduction

In the UK wheat is the single largest cereal crop, nationally accounting for 65% of total cereal production (DEFRA, 2017). The increasing global demand for wheat means that plant breeders are faced with the challenge of improving grain production against a scenario of changing environmental conditions, rising costs and dwindling resources. These considerations drive global agriculture towards reduced input regimes, particularly a decreased dependence upon nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium (NPK) fertilisers. While, historically, wheat breeding has focussed on the impact of above ground plant characteristics on yield, these challenges now increase the need to understand how root growth and root interactions with the soil environment; biological, chemical and physical, work together to influence yield (den Herder *et al*., 2010). Plant architecture genes such as *Rht* (reduced height) increase grain yield through a repartitioning of biomass to the grain, increasing the harvest index, but their effect on partitioning of biomass between roots and shoots is less well understood. In addition to genetic differences, many agronomic practices are known to influence root establishment and biomass development, e.g. position in a crop rotation, nitrogen application and timing, cultivation type, seed rate, sowing date and plant growth regulator applications (Hoad, 2001; Bayles *et al*., 2002).

Root phenotyping is a rapidly developing field (George *et al*., 2014) with particular attention paid to traits that may influence drought resistance (Wasaya *et al*., 2018) and nitrogen use efficiency (Rosolem *et al*., 2017). Field observations in trench walls are laborious (Carter *et al*., 2019) and observations through buried tubes (mini rhizotrons) require site preparation and complex calibrations (Postic *et al*., 2019). The current standard method of quantify root biomass is to wash roots free from the soil and quantify as root length per unit volume of soil (White *et al*., 2015). Image analysis methods aid data capture (Zhu et al., 2011; Bauhus & Messier, 1999), but the washing process is laborious, time consuming and hence expensive. The results obtained by these methods are informative with regards the proportions of fine to coarse roots, but results may not be transferable between different soil types (Kücke *et al*., 1995). Field root phenotyping of wheat, using a ‘core break – root count’ method, showed considerable variation for deep root traits (Wasson *et al*., 2014). Non-invasive geophysical methods, such as ground penetrating radar and electrical resistivity tomography, have been successful in measuring large tree roots (Butnor *et al*., 2001, Paglis, 2013). However, these procedures are currently less informative for plants with fine root structures, where the root dimensions are similar to those of soil aggregates and pores (Amato *et al*., 2009). However

 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

root electrical capacitance has been shown to correlate with root mass for barley in glasshouse experiments (Dietrich *et al*., 2013) and electrical resistance tomography has been used to measure soil profile drying which is, in turn, a proxy for root activity (Whalley *et al*., 2017).

The use of rhizotron-based systems for root characterisation are well established (James *et al*., 1985), and being amenable to automation allow for repeated measurements during plant development (Lobet & Draye, 2013). However rhizotrons, being artificial environments, are somewhat removed from the field environment. Root biomass correlations between rhizotron and field were found to be high during the vegetative growth phases, but low during the reproductive growth phases (Watt *et al*., 2013). Allied to rhizotrons are X-ray computed tomography (CT) systems capable of visualising detailed root structures in soil. Industrial micro-CT systems with resolutions of 500 nm or less (Mooney *et al*., 2012; Xu *et al*.,2018), coupled with automated systems for sample presentation and data processing (Mairhofer *et al*., 2012), are also a valuable tool for root phenotyping in rhizotrons.

Quantitative, species-specific DNA detection methods, coupled with robust soil extraction techniques, have been deployed to identify and quantify roots in soil. Real-time PCR has been used to differentiate between grassland species in mixtures of roots washed from soil (Mommer *et al*., 2008), to quantify root ratios (Zhang et al., 2014) and to measure roots from a mixed population of meadow grasses (Riley *et al*., 2010, Haling *et al*., 2011; Haling *et al*., 2012). Detecting roots by DNA-based methods is however not straightforward (Mommer *et al*., 2011): soil contains humic acids that are known to inhibit PCR by binding $MgCl_2$, so appropriate modification of DNA extraction methods is required. The concentration of plant DNA in soil has been shown to decline rapidly after plant death (Riley *et al*., 2010; Bithell *et al*., 2015; Pierre *et al*., 2018), therefore the plant DNA in soil samples is largely derived from live roots. As roots comprise a small part of the total soil volume the most suitable PCR targets are those present at high copy number in the plant genome, e.g. ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer (rDNA ITS) regions. DNA-based assays targeting rDNA ITS were successfully used to assess root development under drought-conditions in Australian wheat varieties (Huang *et al*., 2013), assessing the root health of sugar-cane ratoons (Pierre *et al*., 2018) and investigating root responses to phosphorous fertility status (McDonald *et al*., 2017)

Black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides. Huds*) is an annual weed which presents a major problem to European cereal growers. Relatively low populations of 8-12 plants m^{-2} have been

 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

shown to have a significant impact on wheat grain yields (Naylor, 2008). An efficient method by which to measure root development of the crop and the weed is required to understand competition for water and nutrients in the field. While partitioning of total root biomass between weed and crop species in washed roots can be carried out using a variety of techniques (Mommer *et al*., 2011), including visual observation (Zhang *et al.*, 2018), infra-red spectroscopy (Meinen & Rauber, 2015) and biochemical analysis of plant waxes (Dawson *et al*., 2000), species can only be reliably distinguished by sequencing the rDNA ITS region (Linder *et al*, 2000). Species-specific quantitative PCR has been used to quantify root biomass of a single species in perennial grass swards (Haling *et al*, 2012) and to determine the ratio of different species within mixed sward samples (Haling *et al.*, 2011).

In this study we have developed semi-quantitative DNA-based assays able to estimate root biomass of field grown wheat varieties and black-grass using root DNA extracted from soil core samples. We compared this qPCR assay to the results obtained with standard root washing procedures for estimating root biomass from soil cores. The qPCR-assay was then used to compare differences in root biomass between wheat varieties, at different depths in field trials grown over two seasons. We discuss the power and limitations of this method, and outline the potential of this technology as a tool for plant breeders, agronomists and root biologists.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

2. Results

2.1 Development of wheat and black-grass specific qPCR assays for soil extracted DNA

The soils for which DNA extraction methods were developed had textures described as sandy loam, sandy silt loam, silt loam, silty-clay loam, clay loam and fine loam over clay. We found the PowerSoil DNA extraction kit yielded DNA of sufficient quantity and quality to carry out qPCR, however, the DNA yield was not sufficient to assess DNA concentration or quality on an agarose gel. Single copy gene targets did not give reliable PCR results using genomic DNA (data not shown), however when PCR was carried out using primers targeting the ribosomal internally transcribed spacer (ITS) region amplification products were obtained for the majority of soil samples tested. The calibration of the qPCR system showed the expected log – linear response between concentration and Ct (cycle threshold). Amplification efficiencies were between 0.982 - 1.135 across all plates, with correlation coefficients in the range 0.981 – 0.995 over a five decade range of 1000 µg/µl to 0.1 µg/µl. An analysis of variance of RBD values obtained from the technical, DNA replications showed no significant difference between RBD values ($F= 0.13$, $p = 0.722$).

Wheat primers were tested for specificity against a range of field crops grown in the UK. Amplicons were obtained for wheat and barley DNA, but there was no reaction with maize, oilseed rape or faba bean DNA. The wheat primers were also tested against black-grass and found to produce no amplification. With the black-grass primers amplicons were obtained only with black-grass DNA, there was no amplification with wheat and barley DNA. Soil extracts for cores taken from an area of bare soil within the 2012 trial site gave no PCR amplification with wheat ITS primers.

2.2 Comparison between the DNA-based and root washing assays

Root biomass, as measured by the DNA-based PCR assay (RBD; µg dry roots / g air dried soil) was compared to root length density (RLD: cm/cm^3) at the 4 depths taken through the soil profile in the 2012 and 2014 trials (Figure 1; Table 1). High Pearson correlations were found in both the 2012 ($r = 0.7947$; $df = 10$; $p = 0.002$) and the 2014 ($r = 0.674$; $df = 22$; $p < 0.001$) trials, while combining the data from the two seasons gave a value of $r = 0.702$ ($df = 34$, $p < 0.001$). Examining the wheat varieties independently also showed good correlations between RBD and RLD measurements; Alchemy $r = 0.918$ ($df = 2$, $p = 0.082$), Glasgow $r = 0.762$ ($df = 10$, $p = 0.004$), Oakley $r = 0.735$ ($df = 14$, $p < 0.001$) and Viscount $r = 0.992$ ($df =$

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

2, p = 0.007). The DNA qPCR method therefore provided a good estimate of root biomass, even at the lower depths where lower RLDs were found.

2.3 Comparison of root biomass between wheat varieties and soil depth in the 2012 pilot trial

A one-way ANOVA of the 2012 RLD data indicated that differences in root content by depth were highly significant ($F = 182.9$; $p < 0.001$), with RLD values decreasing with soil depth, but that differences between varieties were not significant ($F = 0.17$; $p = 0.846$). A one-way ANOVA of the 2012 RBD data also highlighted significant differences in root biomass by depth ($F = 6.83$; $p < 0.003$), but not between varieties ($F = 1.03$; $p = 0.375$). However, the low p value for RBD ($p = 0.360$) compared to RLD ($p = 0.839$) suggests that RBD measurements on a series of larger field trials might offer a better prospect of discriminating among wheat varieties than RLD.

2.4 Comparison of root biomass between wheat varieties and soil depth in the 2014 and 2015 trials

For soil cores sampled from the 2014 and 2015 trials a linear mixed model analysis of RBD showed highly significant differences between varieties, depths and the interactions between varieties x depth, but no significant difference between years (Table 2). However, a variety x year effect was seen, indicating that the root biomass produced by each wheat variety differed between the 2014 and 2015 field trials.

In general, the highest RBD values were found in the upper soil profiles and the lowest values at depth, with all 22 wheat varieties tested (Figure 2, Supplementary Table 2). At each depth RBD varied between 0.7-721 µg /g (0-250 mm), 0.9 - 394 µg /g (250-500 mm), 0.0 - 119 µg /g (500-750 mm) and 0.0 - 42.3 µg /g (750-1000 mm). More than 50% of the measured RBD was in the upper 500 mm of the soil profile in all, but two of the plots sampled in each field trial (data not shown). The proportion of RBD in the upper 500 mm of the soil profile averaged 79% in 2014 and 88% in 2015. Regression analysis showed that a quadratic fit best described the variation in RBD with depth, for all varieties. The regression equations were integrated and used to calculate D_{50} and D_{95} by the method of Schenk and Jackson, (2005). The values for D_{50} had a range of 274-620 mm below the soil surface, with a mean of 459 mm. The values for D_{95} had a range of 695-976 mm below the soil surface, with a mean of 876 mm. The mean results over two years are shown in Table 3 and the full results are given in Supplementary Table 3. The values for D_{50} and D_{95} allow rapid identification of

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

shallow rooting and deep rooting varieties, and indicate that varieties Norman and SHW Xi19 / (Xi19 // SHW-218) >18 are shallow rooting, while varieties Cadenza and Xi 19 are deep rooting.

In the 2014 trial RLD data was only obtained for two of the 22 wheat varieties. Therefore an analysis of variation between wheat varieties using the RLD data was not undertaken.

2.5 Influence of key genetic traits on RDB values

The varieties under test varied in their seasonal growth habit, in their status at the semi-dwarfing, *Rht* loci, the photoperiod response, *Ppd* loci and the presence/ absence of the rye translocation (1B/1R) (Supplementary Table 1). Highly significant differences ($F= 18.67$, $p < 0.001$) were found in RBD values between varieties with different seasonal growth habits, with spring types having the greater average RBD within the soil profile, followed by alternative and winter types. Variation at the *Rht* loci was also associated with variation in the RBD phenotype ($F= 2.71$, $p < 0.050$), with *Rht* showing a significant interaction with trial year ($F = 3.61$, $p = 0.013$). No significant variation in the RBD values was accounted for by the presence or absence of the rye translocation ($F = 0.47$, $p = 0.506$), or variation at the *Ppd* loci ($F = 1.73$, $p=0.096$).

The variation in RBD values associated with the *Rht* loci was significant in 2014 ($p < 0.001$), but not in 2015 ($p =0.128$). In 2014, wheat varieties harbouring wild type alleles and *Rht2* had greater average RBD throughout the soil profile than those harbouring *Rht1* and *Rht8*; this trend was not observed in the 2015 data. These observations may be linked to differences in the weather conditions at the 2014 and 2015 test sites. In 2014 the winter and spring temperatures were uncharacteristically high (anomaly 1.8ºC and 1.6 ºC) relative to the thirty year average (1981-2010), while conditions in 2015 were closer to the thirty year average (anomaly 0.3ºC and 0.2ºC) (http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climate/uk/summaries/) (Supplementary Table 4).

2.6 Heritability of the RBD phenotype

Broad sense heritability for total RBD in the soil profile was calculated as 0.16, while the heritability of RBD was 0.11 in the upper 250 mm of the soil profile, 0.21 in the profile at 250-500 mm depth, 0.00 in the profile at 500-750 mm depth and 0.43 in the profile at 750-

 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

1000 mm depth. These results suggest that RBD, particularly RBD at depth should be amenable to selection by plant breeders.

2.7 Black-grass observations

In 2015 soil cores were taken within the wheat trial from areas with 'low', 'moderate' and 'high' black-grass. Black-grass RBD values were 0.0 µg/ g dry soil in 'low' black-grass areas (no discernible black-grass foliage observed), between 0.0 and 2.5 µg/ g dry soil in 'moderate' black-grass areas (50 black-grass heads m^{-2}) and between 1.9 and 18.2 µg/ g dry soil in 'high' black-grass (300 black-grass heads m^{-2}) areas (Table 4). The RBD of wheat roots in these soil cores was also measured, being similar to the RBD values obtained in the full 2015 wheat variety trial. In the soil cores taken from the 'high' density black-grass area, over 70% of the black-grass root RBD was in the top 250 mm of the soil profile, while in the 'moderate' density black-grass area, over 90% of the root biomass was in this upper profile. Three observations do not allow any conclusions to be drawn on whether 'high' black-grass densities inhibit wheat root development, but our results show that this technique could be of value in larger, crop-weed competition studies.

3. Discussion

Traditional root washing methods used to assess root development in field experiments are both time consuming and costly. In this study we have developed a robust, semi-quantitative PCR method to reliably measure root biomass of wheat and the major weed of cereal crops, black-grass, down to soil depths of 1 metre. We show that the qPCR assay can distinguish wheat from among most other major agricultural crops, and from black-grass. The ability to exclude weed roots from the total root density represents an advance over conventional root washing methods, while the ability to quantify black-grass root biomass relative to wheat root biomass will be useful in competition experiments to determine the impact of weeds on wheat production.

Despite the inherent variation present within the PCR technology (Karlen *et al.*, 2014), the estimate of root biomass as determined by RBD correlated extremely well with classical root washing RLD measurements in both the 2012 and 2014 field trials. In general root density decreased with soil depth. However the RBD assay did identify distinct differences between wheat varieties in root distribution through the soil profile, some varieties from the 22 tested

being better at producing roots at depth, with a significant interaction between varieties and depth being observed in both the 2014 and 2015 field trials. A variety x year effect was also observed, indicating that root production was significantly influenced by the different climatic and environmental growing conditions prevalent in the 2014 (Burkees Field, silty clay loam) and 2015 (Willow Tree Field, silt loam / sandy silt loam) trials.

Compared with current methods we can see that the RBD assay has both strengths and weaknesses. Cores can be taken at any point in the growing season, allowing root biomass accumulation in the field to be assessed through-out the growing season. The soils assayed in this study had textures described as sandy loam, sandy silt loam, silt loam, silty clay loam, clay loam and fine loam over clay, with RBD working equally as well in all these soil types. Basically the method can be implemented in any soil that can pass through a mill. Removal of roots by washing from heavy soils requires prolonged sample pre-treatment with sodium hexametaphosphate solution and use of a hydropneumatic elutriation system (Thivierge *et al*., 2015).

The RBD assay makes the assumption that the ratio of ribosomal DNA to genomic DNA does not differ between wheat varieties or the developmental stage of the plant (Huang *et al*., 2013). For example, in older roots the cortex dies leaving only the stele, thus older, larger roots may be under-represented by the RBD assay. Conversely, very fine roots, which are difficult to wash from soil samples, may be under-represented in the RLD assay (Sierra *et al*., 2003). Clearly the RBD method does not allow a detailed dissection of root architecture; for example rooting angles or the ratio of fine to coarse roots. However, the DNA based method does allow root development to be studied in field situations through-out the growing season, with processing time being less than that required for soil washing assays.

Despite the limitations, the RBD assay would allow cost effective (Supplementary Material part 5) estimation of root biomass within the soil profile, supporting studies of rooting behaviour between different wheat genotypes and an exploration of the effects of differing agricultural practices on root development. In developing this RBD assay as a standard method to be adopted by the research community we would seek to develop standardised calibration materials and agreement on the basis by which results are declared, that would allow comparable results to be shared by the root research community.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

4. Materials and methods

4.1 Wheat variety trial root-soil core sampling

Soil samples were collected from wheat variety field trials over three growing seasons, soil cores being taken from within each variety plot (Table 5). In 2012 a three variety trial was grown with one plot per variety. In 2014 and 2015, eighteen wheat varieties and four breeders' lines were grown, with three replicate plots per genotype. The varieties grown in each trial are given in Supplementary Table 1. The wheat varieties were planted in a randomised complete block field trials design (Supplementary Materials Part 3). In 2012 soil cores were also taken from adjacent, uncultivated areas of the site. Soil data for each site was taken from the LANDIS Land information system (Landis, 2014; Supplementary Materials Part 2).

Ten soil cores, measuring 1 m depth x 30 mm diameter, were sampled from each 10 x 2 m plot in accordance with standardised methods (White *et al*. 2015). The soil cores were sampled when the wheat crop had reached growth stage (GS) 51-65 (Zadoks *et al.*, 1974). Five cores were sampled within the rows and five were taken between the rows, in accordance with the spatial sampling as proposed by Bengough *et al.* (2000). The cores were divided into four portions, representing 250 mm depth intervals in the soil profile. The four sections from the ten plot cores were bulked into a single sample representing a depth interval, giving one sample at each of four depths per plot.

Soil cores were taken in the 2012 pilot trial and a subset of the 2014 trial for both root washing estimates of root length density (RLD) and for root biomass DNA (RBD) estimations using a semi-quantitative PCR assay developed in this study. Soil cores were taken in the 2014 and 2015 trial for RBD analyses. In the 2012 trial, cores were taken for RBD and RLD analysis from one replicate plot of each of three varieties; Alchemy, Oakley and Viscount, while in the 2014 trials cores were taken from three replicate plots of two varieties; Glasgow and Oakley. To assess black-grass root biomass additional cores were taken in the 2015 trial from three areas in the 'discard' crop surrounding the trial (variety Crusoe). These areas were judged by visual inspection as having high, moderate and low density black-grass populations: the black-grass population was estimated by counting the number of individuals within four quarter m^2 quadrats.

4.2 Wheat varieties assessed for root biomass

 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

The wheat varieties grown in the 2014 and 2015 trials were selected based on genotypic diversity and phenotypic information from rhizotube experiments undertaken on a collection of 100 wheat varieties and breeders lines (Greenland et al., 2017). In addition, the two breeders lines SHW Xi19 / (Xi19 // SHW-218)>18 and SHW Xi19 / (Xi19 // SHW-218)>19 were included. These backcross-derived lines from the cross (Xi19 / (Xi19 // SHW-218)) were each descended from different BC_1 plants (plants XS-218>18 and XS218>19, respectively). SHW-218 is a synthetic hexaploid wheat supplied by CIMMYT, with the published pedigree Ceta / *Ae squarrosa* (895) (Gosman *et al*., 2014). Two near-isogenic lines (NIL) that harboured variation at the *Rht* (reduced height) locus in the background of variety Mercia were supplied by the Genetic Resources Unit, Norwich, UK. Additional data (including seasonality, *Rht*, presence or absence of the rye translocation 1B/1R and the predicted photoperiod response) on these varieties is provided by Alison Bentley (*pers. Comm*; Supplementary Table 1).

4.3 Extraction of roots from soil samples by root washing

RLD were carried out at ADAS, Gleadthorpe on the cores sampled in the 2012 field trial, and at Rothamsted Research (RRes) on a subset of cores sampled in the 2014 field trial. RLD was not measured on the 2015 soil cores. The roots were extracted from the soil cores using a standard root washing system (Delta-T Devices Ltd, Burwell, Cambridge) and collected on a 550 µm wire mesh filter (ADAS) or 500 µm sieve (RRes). Root length was assessed using WinRHIZO software (Regent Instruments Inc. Sainte Foy, Qc, Canada) (White *et al*., 2015). Root biomass determined by soil washing were expressed as root length density (RLD), expressed as the length of roots recovered per volume of soil (cm/cm^3).

4.4 Extraction of DNA from soil samples

Soil samples were frozen within three hours of collection and stored at -18°C. Samples were dried at 30°C in a re-circulating oven for a minimum of 72 hours. The dried soil was milled using a Humboldt H4199.5F soil mill fitted with a 2 mm screen. The milled soil was sub-sampled by quartering to yield a laboratory sample. DNA was extracted from two 0.25 g portions of soil using a PowerSoil DNA extraction kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, USA.) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocols; thus technical, DNA duplicates were obtained for each milled soil sample. The PowerSoil DNA extraction kit has been reliably reported to achieve DNA yields from soil equivalent to methods used in a commercial testing

 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

laboratory (Haling *et al*., 2011). While weighing the 0.25g portions of soil we noted the presence of a small number of visible, but not necessarily evenly distributed, root fibres of up to 5mm within the milled soil.

4.5 Preparation of root DNA calibration materials

We calibrated our RBD assay using DNA taken from lypholised roots of wheat variety Xi19 grown in horticultural sand and harvested at growth stage 20-23 (Zadoks *et al*., 1974). Root material was washed free of sand, rapidly frozen on 'dry ice', freeze dried, milled to a powder in a domestic coffee mill and stored at -18^{o}C. DNA was extracted from 100 mg of dried root using the modified Tanksely method (Fulton et al., 1995) and re-suspended in 100 µl Tris – EDTA, pH8.0 at 1mg/µl. DNA standards were prepared from this reference DNA as a series of ten-fold dilutions, allowing calibration in a five decade range of 1000 µg/µl to 0.1 µg/µl. Black-grass calibration standards were prepared in the same way.

4.6 PCR quantification of root DNA in soil samples

Primers and fluorescent reporter probes were designed that targeted the wheat internal transcribed spacer region within the 5.8S ribosomal RNA gene (Table 6). The target sequence was acquired from NCBI Genbank AF438186.1 *Triticum aestivum* (Sharma *et al*., 2002), and the primers and fluorescent reporter probes were designed using Primer3 (Untergrasser *et al*., 2012). The primers were tested for specificity by PCR using DNA extracted from wheat, barley, faba bean, maize, oilseed rape and black-grass. The PCR products were visualised on a 1% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (0.1µg ethidium bromide/ml of gel solution). A black-grass target sequence was acquired from NCBI Genbank KM523760.1 (Soreng *et al*., 2015), and primers and fluorescent reporter probes designed using Primer3 (Table 6). The black-grass primers and fluorescent reporter probes were tested for specificity using DNA extracted from black-grass**,** wheat and barley.

Wheat root DNA from soil extracts was quantified by real time PCR using an ABI 7900, running triplicate 6 µl reactions comprising 1.0 µl template DNA, 0.5 µl primers-probe solution, with primers and fluorescent reporter probes at 5 mM, 2.5 µl Thermo Fisher Scientific ABsolute Blue qPCR ROX Mix and 2.0 µl water (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 2014). Amplification was carried out using 10 min activation at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15sec at 95°C and 60sec at 60°C, monitoring fluorescence at each cycle. The soil DNA extracts were quantified in a series of 15 PCR batches (384 well). The quantity of wheat root

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

in each extract was calculated using SDS software (version 2.2, Applied Biosystems) with reference to serial dilutions of the reference DNA standard included with every batch. Soil DNA extracts were allocated to plates in plot number order, such that all technical replications of all soil depth samples from a plot were allocated before including extracts from the next plot. The quantity of root DNA (Root Biomass DNA – RBD) in each sample was expressed as wheat root dry weight (µg) per weight of air dried soil (g), rather than describing roots by reference to a quantity of DNA per unit mass of soil.

4.7 Data analysis

All qPCR data were processed using Applied Biosystems SDS 2.2, and the results collated and analysed in Microsoft Excel. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out using Genstat 12.1.0.3338, and correlations and regressions using R-stat (version 3.0.1). All statistical analyses were carried out on original data, without prior averaging of technical duplicates. As part of the data quality control process we inspected the technical DNA duplicates for gross errors likely to have arisen from sampling large root fibres in one of the two technical duplicates. Three measurements (out of 1056) were removed that had RBD values greater than 500 µg/g, being at least ten-fold higher than their paired DNA technical replicate sample.

Where comparisons were made between estimates of RLD and RBD, correlations were calculated in R-stat. Data from the 2014 and 2015 wheat variety trials were subject to analysis by REML linear mixed model implemented in Genstat using a model:

$$RBD_{ijkl} = \mu + v_i + d_j + y_k + vd_{ij} + vy_{ik} + dy_{jk} + vdy_{ijk} + r_{jk} + t_{jkl} + p_m + e_{ijklm}$$

Where B_{ijkl} is The RBD of the ith variety in the jth year in the kth field replication in the lth technical replication; variety, depth and year were treated as fixed effects while field and technical replication and plate allocation were treated as random effects

When the model was amended to include additional data (a) (e.g. seasonality, *Rht* etc) the variety term was nested within additional data.

$$RBD_{ijkl} = \mu + a_h + a_h v_i + d_j + y_k + ad_{hj} + ay_{hk} + dy_{jk} + ady_{hjk} + avy_{hik} + avd_{hij} + avdy_{hijk} + r_{jk} + t_{jkl} + p_m + e_{hijklm}$$

Where B_{ijkl} is The RBD of the ith variety in the jth year in the kth field replication in the lth technical replication; additional data, variety, depth and year were treated as fixed

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

effects while field and technical replication and PCR batch were treated as random effects

388

389 The RBD data was regressed against the root depth for each variety profile and modelled for
390 the best fit using the 'poly' function in R, applying linear, quadratic or cubic models, and
391 selecting the model yielding the lowest residual as the best fit. The coefficients calculated
392 from the results of these regressions were used to generate equations to predict RBD at depth.
393 Integration of these equations allowed calculation of the proportion of RBD within a defined
394 range of soil depths, which in turn allowed prediction of the soil depth containing 50% and
395 95% of all roots (D_{50} and D_{95}) (Schenk and Jackson, 2005) using 'solver' in Microsoft Excel.

396 Estimates of variance were obtained by fitting a linear mixed model in R using the lme4
397 package (Bates *et al*, 2015) and the model:

$$RBD_{ijkl} = \mu + v_i + y_j + vy_{ij} + r_{jk} + t_{jkl} + e_{ijklm}$$

Where RBD_{ijkl} is The RBD of the i^{th} variety in the j^{th} year in the k^{th} field replication in the l^{th} technical replication

398

399 All effects, apart from the mean (μ) were treated as random effects. Variance components
400 associated with the random effects (variety, v; year, y; field replicate, r; technical replicate, t
401 and the error term, e) were estimated using REML as implemented in the lmer function.
402 Broad sense heritabilities were calculated using equations 1 and 2 from Piepho and Möhring
403 (2007):

404
$$H^2 = v_v \Big/ \left(v_v + \frac{v_{vy}}{2} + \frac{v_{vyr}}{6} + \frac{v_{vyrt}(base\ error)}{12}\right)$$

405

Acknowledgements:

We are grateful for funding from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council under grant BB/H014381/1, Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board under reference AHDB RD-2008-3575: "New wheat root ideotypes for improved resource use efficiency and yield performance in reduced input agriculture" and funding through the strategic research programme funded by the Scottish Government's Rural and Environment Science and Analytical Services Division.

References

Amato M, Bitella G, Rossia R, Gómezc JA, Lovelli S, Gomes JJF (2009) Multi-electrode 3D resistivity imaging of alfalfa root zone. *European Journal of Agronomy* **31**:213–222

Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, **67**: 1-48.<doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01>

Bayles RA, Napier BAS, Leaper D (2002). Variety as a factor in the response of winter wheat to silthiopham seed treatment. Proceedings of BCPC Conference Pests and Diseases 2002, 515 -520

Bauhus J. & Messier C. (1999). Evaluation of Fine Root Length and Diameter Measurements Obtained Using RHIZO Image Analysis. *Agronomy Journal* **91**: 142-147.

Bengough AG, Castrignano A, Pages L, van Noordwijk M. (2000) Sampling strategies, scaling, and statistics. in Root Methods: A Handbook Smit AL, Bengough AG, Engels C, van Noordwijk M, Pellerin S . van de Geijn SC Editors, Springer

Bithell SL, Tran-Nguyen LTT, Hearnden MN, Hartley DM. (2015) DNA analysis of soil extracts can be used to investigate fine root depth distribution of trees. *Annals of Biology Plants* **7**:plu091. doi:10.1093/aobpla/plu091.

Butnor JR, Doolittle JA . Kress L, Cohen S, Johnsen KH. (2001). Use of ground-penetrating radar to study tree roots in the southeastern United States. *Tree Physiology* **21:**1269–1278

Dawson LA, Mayes RW, Elston DA, Smart TS (2000) Root hydrocarbons as potential markers for determining species composition. *Plant Cell Environment* **23**:743–750

den Herder G, van Isterdael G, Beeckman T, De Smet I. (2010) The roots of a new green revolution. *Trends in Plant Science* **15**:600-7.

DEFRA (2017) Farming Statistics: Final crop areas, yields, livestock populations and agricultural workforce. Published December 2017 by Farming Statistics, Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, London, UK.

Dietrich RC, Bengough AG, Jones HG, White PJ. (2013) Can root electrical capacitance be used to predict root mass in soil? *Annals of Botany* **112**: 457–464

Fulton T.M, Chunwongse J, and Tanksley SD. (1995) Microprep protocol for extraction of DNA from tomato and other herbaceous plants. *Plant Molecular Biology Rep*orts **13**: 207–209.

George TS, Hawes C, Newton AC, McKenzie BM, Hallett PD. Valentine TA (2014). Field Phenotyping and Long-Term Platforms to Characterise How Crop Genotypes Interact with Soil Processes and the Environment. *Agronomy* **4**:242-278; doi:10.3390/agronomy4020242

Gosman N, Bentley AR, Horsnell R, Rose GA, Barber T, Howell _P, GriffithsS, Laurie DA, Turner Greenland AG. (2014) HGCA Project Report 534 Delivery of Ppd1 tools novel

allelic effects useful to UK / EU wheat improvement. http://cereals.ahdb.org.uk/publications/2014/october/20/delivery-of-ppd1-tools-novel-allelic-effects-useful-to-ukeu-wheat-improvement.aspx

Greenland AG, Bentley S, Jones H, Karley A, Lee D, Sherlock D, Valentine T, White C, Young P. (2017) New wheat root ideotypes for improved resource use efficiency and yield performance in reduced input agriculture. AHDB project report reference RD-2008-3575

Haling RE, Simpson RJ, McKay AC, Hartley D, Lambers H, Ophel-Keller K, Wiebkin S Herdina, Riley IT, Richardson AE. (2011) Direct measurement of roots in soil for single and mixed species using a quantitative DNA-based method. *Plant and Soil* **348**:123 – 137

Haling RE, Simpson RJ, Culvenor RA, Lambers H, Richardson AE. (2012) Field application of a DNA-based assay to the measurement of roots of perennial grasses *Plant and Soil* 358:183 – 199

Hoad SP, Russell G, Lucas ME, Bingham IJ (2001) The management of wheat, barley, and oat root systems. *Advances in Agronomy* **74**:193–246

Huang CY, Kuchel H, Edwards J, Hall S, Parent B, Eckermann P, Herdina, Hartley DM, Langridge P, McKay AC. (2013) A DNA-based method for studying root responses to drought in field-grown wheat genotypes. *Scientific Reports* **12**;3:3194. doi: 10.1038/srep03194.

James BR, Bartlett RJ, Amadon JF. (1985) A root observation and sampling chamber (rhizotron) for pot studies. *Plant and Soil* **85**:291-293

Karlen, Y, McNair, A Perseguers, S, Mazza, C, Mermod, N (2007) Statistical significance of quantitative PCR. *BMC Bioinformatics* :8: 1471-2105 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-8-131

Kücke M, Schmid H, Spiess A. (1995). A comparison of four methods for measuring roots of field crops in three contrasting soils. *Plant and Soil* **172**:63-71

Landis: Cranfield University 2014. The Soils Guide. Available: www.landis.org.uk. Cranfield University, UK. Last accessed January 2016

Linder CR, Moore A, Jackson RB (2000) A universal molecular method for identifying underground plant parts to species *Molecular Ecology* 9:1549-1559

Lobet G, Draye X. (2013) Novel scanning procedure enabling the vectorization of entire rhizotron-grown root systems. *Plant Methods*, **9**:1 doi:10.1186/1746-4811-9-1

Mairhofer S, Zappala S, Tracy SR, Sturrock C, Bennett M, Mooney SJ, Pridmore T. (2012) RooTrak: Automated Recovery of Three-Dimensional Plant Root Architecture in Soil from X-Ray Microcomputed Tomography Images Using Visual Tracking. *Plant Physiology*. **158**:561–569

McDonald GK, McKay A, Huang C, Bovil B. (2017) Using root DNA to assess responses to phosphorus by surface roots in wheat and barley. *Plant Soil* **421**:505. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3468-6

Meinen C, Rauber R. (2015) Root discrimination of closely related crop and weed species using FT MIR-ATR spectroscopy. *Frontiers in Plant Science*; **6**: 765.

Mommer L, Wagemaker N, de Kroon H, Ouborg NJ (2008) Unravelling belowground plant distributions: a real time PCR method for quantifying species proportions in mixed root samples. *Molecular Ecology Notes* **8**:947–953

Mommer L, Dumbrell AJ, Wagemaker CAM, Ouborg NJ. (2011). Below ground DNA-based techniques: untangling the network of plant root interactions. *Plant and Soil* **348**:115–121 DOI 10.1007/s11104-011-0962-0

Mooney SJ, Pridmore TP, Helliwell J, Bennett MJ. (2012) Developing X-ray Computed Tomography to non-invasively image 3-D root systems architecture in soil .*Plant and Soil* **352**: 1-22

Naylor REL. (2008) Weed Management Handbook. John Wiley & Sons

Paglis CM. (2013) Application of Electrical Resistivity Tomography for Detecting Root Biomass in Coffee Trees. *International Journal of Geophysics* http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/383261

Piepho HP and Mohring J (2007) Computing Heritability and Selection Response From Unbalanced Plant Breeding Trials. *Genetics* **177**: 1881–1888

Pierre JS, Giblot-Ducray D, McKay AC, Hartley DM, Perroux JM, Rae AL (2018) DNA based diagnostic for the quantification of sugarcane root DNA in the field. *Scientific Reports* **8**:16720 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34844-3

Postic F, Beauchêne K, Gouache D and Doussan C. (2019) Scanner-Based Minirhizotrons Help to Highlight Relations between Deep Roots and Yield in Various Wheat Cultivars under Combined Water and Nitrogen Deficit Conditions. *Agronomy* **9:**297; doi:10.3390/agronomy9060297

Riley IT, Wiebkin S, Hartley D, McKay AC (2010) Quantification of roots and seeds in soil with real-time PCR. *Plant and Soil* **331**:151-163

Rosolem CA, Ritz K, Cantarella H, Hawkesford MJ, Whalley WR and Mooney SJ. (2017) Enhanced Plant Rooting and Crop System Management for Improved N Use Efficiency. in: Sparks, D. L. (ed.) Advances in Agronomy Vol.146 Academic Press Inc Elsevier Science.

Sharma SK, Rustgi SK, Balyan HS Gupta,PK. (2002) Intraspecific sequence variation in the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of ribosomal DNA in common wheat and wild barley. *Barley Genetics Newletter* **32**:38-45

Schenk HJ, Jackson RB (2005) Mapping the global distribution of deep roots in relation to climate and soil characteristics. *Geoderma* **126**:129–140

Sierra CA, Del Valle JI, Orrego SA (2003) Accounting for Fine Root Mass Sample Losses in the Washing Process: A Case Study from a Tropical Montane Forest of Colombia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* **19**:599-601

Soreng RJ, Gillespie LJ, Koba H, Boudko K, Bull RD (2015) Molecular and morphological evidence for a new grass genus, Dupontiopsis (*Poaceae* tribe *Poeae* subtribe *Poinae* s.l.), endemic to alpine Japan, and implications for the reticulate origin of *Dupontia* and *Arctophila* within *Poinae* s.l *Journal of Systematic Evolution* **53**: 138-162

Thermo Fisher Scientific (2014) Product Information, Thermo Scientific ABsolute Blue qPCR ROX Mix

Thivierge M-N, Angers D, Chantigny MH, Seguin P, Vanasse A. (2015) Root Traits and Carbon Input in Field-Grown Sweet Pearl Millet, Sweet Sorghum, and Grain Corn. *Agronomy Journal* **108**:459-471

Untergrasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG (2012) Primer3 - new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**:e115

Wasayah A, Zhang X, Fang Q, Yan Z. (2018) Root Phenotyping for Drought Tolerance: A Review *Agronomy* **8**:241; doi:10.3390/agronomy8110241

Wasson AP, Rebetzke GJ, Kirkegaard JA, Christopher J, Richards RA, Watt M. (2014) Soil coring at multiple field environments can directly quantify variation in deep root traits to select wheat genotypes for breeding. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**: 6231–6249 doi:10.1093/jxb/eru250

Watt M, Moosavi S, Cunningham SC, Kirkegaard JA, Rebetzke GJ, Richards RA. (2013) A rapid, controlled-environment seedling root screen for wheat correlates well with rooting depths at vegetative, but not reproductive, stages at two field sites. *Annals of Botany* **112**: 447–455

Whalley WR, Binley A, Watts CW, Shanahan P, Dodd IC, Ober ES, Ashton RW, Webster CP, White RP and Hawkesford MJ. (2017) Methods to estimate changes in soil water for phenotyping root activity in the field. *Plant and Soil* **415**:407-422.

White CA, Sylvester-Bradley R, Berry PM (2015) Root length densities of UK wheat and oilseed rape crops with implications for water capture and yield. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. **66**:2293-303 doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv077

Xu Z, Valdes C, Clarke J. (2018) Existing and Potential Statistical and Computational Approaches for the Analysis of 3D CT Images of Plant Roots. *Agronomy* **8**:71; doi:10.3390/agronomy8050071

Zadoks JC, Chang TT, Konzak CF (1974) EUCARPIA Bulletin No. 7. European Association for Research on Plant Breeding, EUCARPIA Secretariat, Agricultural Research Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 2462 Martonvásár, Brunszvik u. 2., Hungary

Zhang C, Postma JA, York LM, Lynch JP. (2014). Root foraging elicits niche complementarity-dependent yield advantage in the ancient "three sisters" (maize / bean / squash) polyculture. *Annals of Botany*, **114**, 1719-1733. doi:10.1093/aob/mcu191

Zhang T, Fan B, Wang P (2018) Barnyardgrass Root Recognition Behaviour for Rice Allelopathy. *Agronomy* **8**:39; doi:10.3390/agronomy8040039

Zhu J, Ingram PA, Benfey PN, Elich T. (2011) From lab to field, new approaches to phenotyping root system architecture. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **14**:310–317

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

Table 1: DNA-based (RBD; µg dry roots / g air dried soil) and root washing assays (RLD: cm/cm3) for wheat varieties in 2012 and 2014 field trials. (Pearson's correlation between RBD and RLD for all varieties is 0.702 (df = 34, p-value = <0.001))

Trial	Rep	Depth	Variety	RBD	RLD
Terrington 2012 Pilot experiment	A	0-250	Alchemy	41.2	3.2
	A	250-500	Alchemy	8.4	2.1
	A	500-750	Alchemy	5.3	1.4
	A	750-1000	Alchemy	0.2	0.6
	A	0-250	Oakley	9.1	3.0
	A	250-500	Oakley	6.1	1.7
	A	500-750	Oakley	1.2	0.9
	A	750-1000	Oakley	9.1	0.6
	A	0-250	Viscount	40.8	3.2
	A	250-500	Viscount	13.2	1.8
	A	500-750	Viscount	6.1	1.1
	A	750-1000	Viscount	0.9	1.0
Terrington 2014	A	0-250	Glasgow	62.8	6.5
	A	250-500	Glasgow	27.4	3.4
	A	500-750	Glasgow	7.1	1.1
	A	750-1000	Glasgow	2.1	1.1
	B	0-250	Glasgow	7.7	4.5
	B	250-500	Glasgow	4.6	2.6
	B	500-750	Glasgow	3.1	1.3
	B	750-1000	Glasgow	5.8	0.8
	C	0-250	Glasgow	12.0	4.9
	C	250-500	Glasgow	10.4	3.1
	C	500-750	Glasgow	2.6	1.6
	C	750-1000	Glasgow	2.7	0.8
	A	0-250	Oakley	49.4	5.5
	A	250-500	Oakley	12.4	2.1
	A	500-750	Oakley	26.8	0.9
	A	750-1000	Oakley	34.5	0.4
	B	0-250	Oakley	54.5	5.9
	B	250-500	Oakley	5.6	2.6
	B	500-750	Oakley	2.7	1.5
	B	750-1000	Oakley	9.4	0.7
	C	0-250	Oakley	79.0	5.4
	C	250-500	Oakley	52.5	2.5
	C	500-750	Oakley	13.2	1.2
	C	750-1000	Oakley	1.4	0.5

Table 2: Effects of experimental terms on RBD (µg dry roots / g air dried soil) among 22 wheat varieties over two trial years, shown by linear mixed model analysis implemented in REML (d.f. = degress of freedom, F pr, F-statistic probability)

Fixed term	Wald statistic	d.f.	F statistic	F pr
Year	0.09	1	0.09	0.759
Depth	300.84	3	100.28	<0.001
Variety	137.58	21	6.55	<0.001
Year.Depth	6.54	3	2.18	0.088
Year.Variety	98.26	21	4.68	<0.001
Depth.Variety	134.80	63	2.14	<0.001
Year.Depth.Variety	90.84	63	1.44	0.012

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

575 **Table 3: Estimates of the soil depths (mm) containing 50% and 95% of all roots (D_{50} and D_{95}) for each variety. (A table of**
576 **D50 and D95 for each year is given in Supplementary Table 4)**

Depth (mm)	D_{50}	D_{95}
Wheat Variety		
Alchemy	-492	-936
Avalon	-597	-952
Beaver	-482	-872
SHW Xi19 / (Xi19 // SHW-218) >18	-274	-738
SHW Xi19 / (Xi19 // SHW-218) >19	-613	-976
Buster	-398	-961
Cadenza	-573	-953
Cappelle Desprez	-451	-827
Glasgow	-305	-907
Hereward	-392	-904
Mercia	-458	-759
Mercia Rht8	-359	-887
Mercia Rht8 D1	-567	-915
Norman	-380	-782
Oakley	-442	-844
Paragon	-514	-812
Rialto	-312	-903
Robigus	-448	-841
Savannah	-453	-695
Soissons	-486	-893
Spark	-488	-966
Xi19	-620	-948
Overall mean	-459	-876

577

578

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

579 **Table 4: The biomass of wheat and black-grass roots measured at four different depths in the soil profile using the DNA-**
580 **based assay (RDB), sampled from three black-grass population densities**

Black-grass population	Depth	Black-grass	Wheat
	(mm)	µg/ g soil	µg/ g soil
Low black-grass infestation 0 heads per m^2	0-250	0.0	98.9
	250-500	0.0	77.9
	500-750	0.0	69.3
	750-1000	0.0	21.8
Medium black-grass infestation 50 heads per m^2	0-250	2.5	32.5
	250-500	0.1	16.5
	500-750	0.0	49.9
	750-1000	0.0	21.5
High black-grass infestation 300 heads per m^2	0-250	18.2	42.7
	250-500	1.9	8.9
	500-750	2.1	3.8
	750-1000	2.4	4.8

581
582
583 **Table 5: Wheat field trials sampled for root quantification**

Site	Year	Trial design	Grid reference	Soil series	Soil texture
Terrington St Clement, Norfolk (Pilot expt.)	2012	Three varieties in one field replication	TF 496 226	Wisbech	Coarse Silt
Burkees Field, Eastland Bank, Walpole St Andrew, Norfolk	2014	Eighteen varieties and four breeders' lines in three field replications	TF 500 184	Blacktoft	Silty Clay loam
Willow Tree Field, Burman Farm, Terrington St Clement, Norfolk	2015	Eighteen varieties and four breeders' lines in three field replications	TF 537 239	Wisbech	Silt loam / sandy silt loam

584

585 **Table 6: Primers and fluorescent reporter probe designed for wheat and black-grass semi-quantitative PCR assay**

Target	Primer Code	Sequence
Wheat detection		
Forward	TritITS2_F	CAACCACCCTCATCGGGAAT
Reverse	TritITS2_R	TCGGATGCACTGCGTTGATA
Internal oligo	TritITS2_Probe	[JOE]GACCGAAGATCGGGCTGCCG[TAM]
Black-grass detection		
Forward	AlopITS2_F	CAAATACGCTCCCACGTCCT
Reverse	AlopITS2_R	GCACTGCGGTAAGTAAAGCG
Internal oligo	AlopITS2_Probe	[6FAM]GTCACGAAAGGGGCGGTGGG[TAM]

586
587

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1



588

589 **Figure 1**: Correlations between root washing (RLD) and DNA based assay (RBD) showing
590 varieties as letters and depths by colours. Depth represented in order dark red (0 – 250) >
591 blue (250 – 500) > green (500 – 750) >orange (750 – 1000).

592

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1



593



594

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1



595



596

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2019 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1



597



598

599 Figure 2: The distribution of root biomass (µg /g roots in dry soil) for varieties by depth in
600 each of the two growing seasons. Δ = 2014, □ = 2015 'Synthetic 18' = SHW Xi19 / (Xi19 //

 doi:10.20944/preprints201906.0176.v1

SHW-218) >18, 'Synthetic 19' = SHW Xi19 / (Xi19 // SHW-218) >19 (A table of means for each year is given in Supplementary Table 3).