

1 Article

2

# Exploring the reliability and validity of the

3

# Huntington's Disease Quality of Life Battery for

4

# Carers (HDQoL-C) within a Polish population

5

6 Agnieszka Bartoszek <sup>1\*</sup>, Aimee Aubeeluck <sup>2</sup>, Edward Stupple <sup>3</sup>, Adrian Bartoszek <sup>4</sup>,  
7 Katarzyna Kocka<sup>1</sup>, Barbara Ślusarska<sup>1</sup>

8

9 <sup>1</sup>Department of Family Medicine and Community Nursing, Medical University of Lublin, Staszica 6 Str.,  
10 20-081 Lublin, Poland; agabartoszek@wp.pl (A.B.); katarzyna48@op.pl(K.K.); basiaslusarska@gmail.com  
11 (B.Ś.)

12 <sup>2</sup> School of Health Science, Royal Derby Hospital, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom;  
13 Aimee.Aubeeluck@nottingham.ac.uk (A.A.)

14 <sup>3</sup> Division of Psychology, University of Derby, United Kingdom; E.J.N.Stupple@derby.ac.uk(E.S.)

15 <sup>4</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Medical University of Lodz, Poland;  
16 adrianbartoszek96@gmail.com(Ad.B.)

17 \* Correspondence: agabartoszek@wp.pl; Tel.: +48-814-486-810

18

19 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

20 **Abstract:** Huntington's disease (HD) is a rare genetic neurodegenerative disorder that causes  
21 motor disorders, neuropsychiatric symptoms and a progressing deterioration of cognitive  
22 functions. Complex issues resulting from the hereditary nature of HD, the complexity of symptoms  
23 and the concealed onset of the disease have a great impact on the quality of life of family carers. The  
24 caregivers are called as "forgotten people" in HD family, also in genetic counseling. This study  
25 aims to explore the reliability and validity of the Huntington's Disease Quality of Life Battery for  
26 carers (HDQoL-C) within a Polish population. 90 carers recruited from the Enroll-HD study in  
27 Polish research centres of the European Huntington's Disease Network completed a polish  
28 translation of the HDQoL-C. Data was subjected to Principle Components Analysis and reliability  
29 measures. The Polish version of the shortened versions of the HDQoLC is similarly valid compared  
30 to the original English version and suitable for use within this population. The HDQoL-C has  
31 previously demonstrated a wide range of benefits for practitioners in capturing and  
32 understanding carer experience and these benefits can now be extended to Polish speaking  
33 populations.

34 **Keywords:** Huntington's disease; quality of life; family caregiving; reliability and validity; factor  
35 analysis; Poland

---

36

## 37 1. Introduction

38 Huntington's disease (HD) is a rare genetic neurodegenerative disorder caused by the mutation  
39 of the IT15 gene which codes the huntingtin protein located on the short arm of chromosome 4 [1].  
40 The clinical presentation of the disease includes motor disorders, neuropsychiatric symptoms and a  
41 progressing deterioration of cognitive functions. The motor symptoms consist of involuntary  
42 choreatic movements and impaired saccadic eye movements. The symptoms that appear at the  
43 subsequent stages of the disease are dystonia, dysarthria, dysphagia, rigidity and bradykinesia,  
44 leading to death in 15-20 years [2,3]. The most frequent causes of death are aspiration pneumonia,

45 injuries resulting from falls and suicides, which are recorded twice as often as in the total population  
46 [4]. In the juvenile form, usually presenting itself with mental degradation, motor disorders occur  
47 later, the course of the disease is more acute, followed by death after 8-10 years from the onset of the  
48 first symptoms. The range of neuropsychiatric symptoms in HD is within 33-76%, of which the most  
49 frequent are: depression, anxiety disorders, irritability, apathy, obsessive-compulsive disorders and  
50 psychotic symptoms [5,6]. Cognitive disorders include memory deterioration, slowed down  
51 thinking processes, disorders of executive and visual and spatial functions, problems with  
52 organisation, planning and multitasking, difficulties with decision-making and with dealing with  
53 new situations [7].

54 Despite the fact that diagnosing HD is traditionally based on motor symptoms, the highest  
55 impact on patients and carers is exerted by mental state and behaviour changes [8,9] as well as  
56 cognitive disorders, which may appear as early as 12-15 years before the diagnosis and delay the  
57 accurate diagnosis of HD [10,11].

58 Due to the autosomal dominant type of inheritance HD is passed over from generation to generation  
59 and has damaging effects both on the patients and their carers/families, who usually become  
60 responsible for caring for the family member with HD [12]. The disease's trajectory in the family may  
61 last up to 30 years or even more [13]. The disease being passed from generation to generation leads  
62 to situations when for each patient there are 20 people affected by the disease in various ways, such  
63 as the risk of HD, issues related to care or the effects of the disease on the family [14].  
64 Complex issues resulting from the hereditary nature of HD, the complexity of symptoms and the  
65 concealed onset of the disease [15] have a great impact on the quality of life of family carers [16],  
66 who are aware that the disease might develop in other family members. Carers blame themselves for  
67 passing the disease on to the next generation [13,17-19].

68 For each child in a family with a parent having HD the risk of inheriting the gene is 50%, [12] while  
69 diagnostic and preclinical trials clearly confirm the genetic status of a person [1]. Carers fear that  
70 they might be forced to provide care for several generations, for a few people at the same time, and  
71 such a situation might last for years [20].

72 There are few studies which directly investigate the impact of HD on the quality of life (QoL) of  
73 family carers [21]. The existing studies demonstrate that the quality of life of carers is seriously  
74 compromised due to their burden [22] these capture a unique sense of long-term isolation and  
75 frustration [23] the performed role and conflicting roles, on the one hand requiring caring for the  
76 partner and, on the other, for the children, who they need to protect from unexpected behaviour,  
77 irritability or aggression of the HD patient [24], insufficient resources to perform the care duties is  
78 also of essence[25-27]. Carers experience substantial changes in communication with HD patients  
79 [28] and their ability to perform care and parental functions deteriorates as the disease progresses  
80 [29,30] and as their physical and mental health declines [18].

81 The quality of life of carers is considerably reduced due to the lack of access to specialised  
82 medical care, while medical personnel are often unaware of the immense impact of HD on the family  
83 and unprepared to solve specific problems resulting from the complex changes in HD families [31].  
84 Feeling abandoned by the system, carers describe their experiences with medical professionals as "a  
85 lone journey"[27,32]. Family carers were described as "the forgotten" both in the families with HD  
86 [33], and in the genetic counselling system [34].

87 HD is currently incurable and there are no effective treatment methods, the concept of the quality of  
88 life is particularly important due to the unique burden carried by carers. Using specific  
89 questionnaires to evaluate the QoL of carers makes it possible for physicians and researchers to  
90 assess the emotional and physical functioning and lifestyle from the carer's perspective, and does  
91 not provide an objective interpretation [21]. It offers a possibility to assess changes in the QoL of  
92 carers over time, especially as despite many promising treatment-options, the disease is currently  
93 incurable, and one thing is certain: the path towards the solution is long [35].

94 The existing literature highlights the burden that family carers may face in supporting a loved  
95 one living with HD but as yet there is no validated quality of life measure to assess the impact of

96 caring on the QoL of family members caring for a loved one with HD in Poland. In Poland there is a  
97 lack of studies on the impact of HD on the quality of life of family carers.

98 According to our knowledge, this is the first study with the participation of Polish family carers  
99 on such a numerous group with the use of a specific scale for evaluating the quality of life of carers  
100 in HD. Thus far only two reports referring to Polish carers of HD patients have been produced  
101 [26,36].

102 The aim of this study was therefore to validate a translated version of the Huntington's Disease  
103 Quality of Life battery for carers (HDQoL-C)[16] for use in Poland.

## 104 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 105 *2.1. Instrumentation*

106 The HDQoL-C is a multidimensional, disease-specific and subjective health related quality of  
107 life tool that incorporates the individual's physical health, psychological state, level of  
108 independence, social relationships and personal beliefs. The scale was developed as an outcome  
109 measure and in addition can be used to assess subjective QoL in family carers of people with HD.  
110 Cronbach's alpha scores for the three components of the original HDQoL-C scale demonstrate good  
111 internal consistency - 0.801 (Practical aspects of caregiving); 0.844 (Satisfaction with life) and 0.885  
112 (Feelings about living with HD), with test re-test reliability for the same components being 0.86; 0.90;  
113 0.92 respectively [16]. The scale demonstrates good congruent validity, good face validity and robust  
114 content validity. It has been translated and validated with success into French and Italian also[21].  
115 The HDQoL-C is being used in ongoing Euro-Huntington's Disease Burden studies measuring the  
116 impact of Huntington's Disease in several European countries and in the USA. To develop the Polish  
117 version of the HDQoL-C, forward-backwards translation methods were applied to the original  
118 English version of the scale. The translation did not show any errors in translation.

### 119 *2.2. Participant and Procedure*

120 Participants were recruited among carers taking part in the Enroll-HD study in Polish research  
121 centres of the European Huntington's Disease Network. Contact with carers was also established via  
122 the Polish Huntington's Disease Association during the annual conference in Warsaw, Poland  
123 devoted to HD, via carers involved in adding new members to the surveyed group, and through the  
124 "forum – HD zamki" website. The selection criteria of carers for the study was age  $\geq$  18 years, the  
125 presence of a patient living at home and written consent to participate in the study. All carers who  
126 took part in the study had a loved one under their care who had tested positive for HD.  
127 From 100 carers contacted directly, through post or electronic mail, 90 responded to the invitation to  
128 take part in the study, which was carried out from June 2015 to December 2016. 18 of 20 carers  
129 answered a re-test questionnaire after a 2-week interval to gather data on test-re-test reliability.  
130 Because, HD is a rare disease and there is no detailed data indicating the prevalence of disease in  
131 Poland, the sample size is likely to be representative for the aim of this study. Ethical approval to  
132 conduct this study was granted by the Bioethics Committee of the Medical University of Lublin,  
133 Poland, (Protocol number KE-0254/134/2015). A written informed consent was obtained from each  
134 participant.

### 135 *2.3. Data Analysis*

136 Principal components analysis (PCA) was used to explore the inter-relationship between the  
137 variables on the HDQoL-C. Bartlett's test of sphericity and the Kaiser– Meyer–Oklin (KMO) measure  
138 of sampling adequacy were also used to assess the suitability of the data for PCA.  
139 The Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficient was used to assess the internal consistency of the scale. A reliability  
140 threshold level was considered acceptable when greater than 0,70. Reproducibility assesses if an  
141 instrument produces the same results on repeated administrations when respondents have not

142 changed. The reliability coefficient was computed by correlating instrument scores for the two  
 143 administrations.

144 **3. Results**

145 *3.1. Respondent Characteristics*

146

147 The sample comprised 90 carers. 57.8 % of carers were the main carers of a HD patient. The  
 148 carer ages ranged between 20 and 80 years, with a mean of 48.78 years. Most carers were women  
 149 (68%) and weren't carers before (81%). 57.8 % carers were married and 41.1% had a child with a risk  
 150 of disease. Mean length of caring for an HD affected family member was 7.81 years. Table 1 presents  
 151 the characteristics carers in family with HD.

152

153 **Table 1.** Characteristic of the researched group family carers (n= 90).

Characteristics of family carers	N	%
Women	61	68
Age (years)	48.78 (±15.21)	
Main carer	52	57.8
Marital status		
Married	52	57.8
Single	17	18.9
Widowed	13	14.4
Partnership	5	5.6
Divorced	3	3.3
Family situation		
Number of years since HD knowledge in family	11.76 (± 10.4)	
Have children at risk	37	41.1
Relation with HD patient		
Husband/wife	31	34.4
Parent	26	28.9
Child	14	15.6
Other	10	11
Sibling	8	8.9
Partner	1	1.2
Carer background		
Carer has previously cared any other HD affected person	17	19
Duration of caring (in years)	7.81 (±8.48)	

154

155

156 *3.2. Principle Components Analysis and Reliability*

157

158 In line with the English language version, separate Principle Components Analyses were  
 159 conducted. Section One of the questionnaire is comprised of demographic information and so was  
 160 not included in the analysis. For Section Two the Kaiser-Meyer Olkin measure of sampling adequacy  
 161 showed that the sample was factorable (KMO=. 714). Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was highly  
 162 significant ( $\chi^2 = 210.4$ , df=36,  $p<.001$ ), and low off-diagonal values in the anti-image correlation  
 163 matrix demonstrated that the data were suitable for factor analysis [37]. The analysis revealed two  
 164 factors. The cross loadings displayed for item QoL2\_2 in Factor 1 may indicate cause for concern as it

165 also negatively loaded on to factor 2 at -.334. Table 2 outlines the Pattern Matrix of rotated factor  
 166 loadings for section 2.

167

168 **Table 2.** Pattern Matrix of rotated factor loadings for section 2.

169

Item	Content	Factor	
		1	2
Qol2_3	How often do you have access to professionals that have specialized knowledge of HD and understand its implications?	.827	-.072
Qol2_4	How much support are you given by health care professionals?	.788	-.030
Qol2_6	How often do you have access to appropriate care facilities?	.766	.004
Qol2_7	How often do you receive any practical support you need?	.754	.036
Qol2_2	How often do you receive appropriate help from social services?	.610	-.334
Qol2_1	How often are you restricted by the need to maintain a regimented daily routine?	.039	.816
Qol2_8	How often do you experience a conflict of interest between what you want and what your HD affected relative wants?	.067	.741
Qol2_5	How often does the inherited nature of HD further complicate your caring role?	-.117	.667
Qol2_9	How often do you sleep well?	-.087	.337

170

171 Internal consistency was analysed using Cronbach's Alpha. The items in Factor 1 demonstrated high  
 172 reliability (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .81$ ). Factor 2 demonstrated moderate reliability (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .58$ ), which  
 173 increased to  $\alpha = .64$  if item Qol2\_9 was deleted.

174

175 The PCA for Section 3 showed a Kaiser-Meyer Olkin measure of sampling adequacy indicating that  
 176 the sample was factorable (KMO= .858). Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was highly significant ( $\chi^2 = 354.3$ ,  
 177  $df=28$ ,  $p<.001$ ), and low off-diagonal values in the anti-image correlation matrix demonstrated  
 178 that the data were suitable for factor analysis. The analysis produced a two-factor solution, however  
 179 the second factor only featured a single item (Qol3\_7). Table 3 outlines the Pattern Matrix of rotated  
 factor loadings for section 3.

180

**Table 3.** Pattern Matrix of rotated factor loadings for section 3.

Item	Content	Factor	
		1	2
Qol3_2	How satisfied are you with what you achieve in life?	.860	-.128
Qol3_8	How satisfied are you with your overall quality of life?	.825	.246
Qol3_4	How satisfied are you with how safe you feel?	.811	.223
Qol3_1	How satisfied are you with your health?	.792	.000

Qol3_6	How satisfied are you with your own happiness?	.763	.329
Qol3_5	How satisfied are you with feeling a part of your community?	.656	.373
Qol3_3	How satisfied are you with your close relationships with family or friends?	.566	.464
Qol3_7	How satisfied are you with the treatment that your HD affected relative receives?	.019	.926

181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191

The items in Factor 1 demonstrated high reliability (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .90$ ).  
PCA for section 4 indicated a Kaiser-Meyer Olkin measure of sampling adequacy such that the sample was factorable (KMO=.795). Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was highly significant ( $\chi^2 = 569.2$ ,  $df=136$ ,  $p<.001$ ), and low off-diagonal values in the anti-image correlation matrix demonstrated that the data were suitable for factor analysis [38]. A four-factor solution was indicated, however, the items in the fourth factor were problematic with high cross loadings. Table 4 outlines the Pattern Matrix of rotated factor loadings for section 4.

**Table 4.** Pattern Matrix of rotated factor loadings for section 4

Item	Content	Factor			
		1	2	3	4
Qol4_7	I feel sad or depressed	.775	.052	.310	.097
Qol4_17	I feel like I don't know who I am anymore	.765	.087	-.017	.006
Qol4_8	I feel stressed	.749	.051	.143	.129
Qol4_5	I feel exhausted	.729	.126	.186	-.161
Qol4_16	I feel that i have had a "duty of care" forced on me	.711	-.166	-.269	.213
Qol4_10	I feel my own needs are not important to others	.645	-.063	.400	-.157
Qol4_3	I feel isolated	.592	.213	.282	-.154
Qol4_4	I feel there is hope for the future	.036	.797	.287	.004
Qol4_11	I feel comforted by the belief that one day there will be a cure for HD	.024	.773	-.155	.160

Qol4_13	I feel comforted by my beliefs	.060	.674	.084	.253
Qol4_9	I feel worried about the genetic consequences of HD	.038	-.024	.793	.131
Qol4_1	I feel guilty	.220	.080	.590	-.006
Qol4_6	I feel supported	.176	.386	.474	.125
Qol4_12	I feel that HD brought something positive to my life	-.029	.334	.076	.701
Qol4_15	I feel that HD has made me a stronger person	.166	.404	.246	.673
Qol4_2	I feel financially disadvantaged	.424	.179	.170	-.583
Qol4_14	I feel that I can cope	.282	.322	.467	.546

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

Internal consistency was again analysed using Cronbach's Alpha. The items in Factor 1 demonstrated high reliability (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .85$ ). Factor 2 demonstrated -good reliability (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .70$ ), Factor 3 had moderate reliability (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .51$ ). Factor 4 was moderately reliable (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .56$ ), however, if item QoL4\_2 was excluded the reliability of this sub-scale increased considerably (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .78$ ).

204

### 3.3. Test-Re-test

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

18 caregivers filled questionnaire again after 2 weeks. Coefficients are presented in the Table 5. All components present high statistically significant correlation ( $p < 0.001$ ) with satisfying coefficient of determination ( $r^2 > 0.6$ ).

**Table 5.** Test-retest correlations for Sub-scales

Sub-scales	II	III	IV
II	0.82	-	-
III	-	0.92	-
IV	-	-	0.83

205

### 4. Discussion

206

207

208

209

210

211

By measuring QoL in this Polish population we were able to build on our understanding of the issues surrounding caregiving in HD in order to establish ways of improving QoL for this carer group. The translation of the HDQoL-C into an additional language means the scale can be used even more widely, allowing for further comparisons across Europe. The need to translate and adapt QoL instruments for use in languages other than the source language (usually English) has increased

212 with the internationalization of clinical trial programmes and cross-cultural research. For example,  
213 the ISPOR Task Force[37]note the importance of evidencing similarities in measurement properties  
214 between all versions of the same tool to pool analysis and facilitate comparability between countries.  
215 The HDQoL-C, has previously been translated into French and Italian [21] and the addition of this  
216 Polish translation has the potential to further our understanding of any cross-cultural differences in  
217 the resources, policies and practices that may influence the QoL HD family carers on a global level.  
218 The Polish version of the shortened versions of the HDQoL-C is similarly valid compared to the  
219 original English version. The scale instrument showed satisfactory face validity with little missing  
220 data (1,1%). The Cronbach's alpha coefficients demonstrate moderate to good reliability. There were  
221 two items, "How often do you sleep well?" (section 2, question 9) and "I feel financially  
222 disadvantaged" (section 4, question), that if removed increased the reliability of the subsection they  
223 sit within. The question "How often do you sleep well?", has consistently reduced factor reliability  
224 even in the original version and was kept in due to the emphasis that carers have placed on it despite  
225 not rotating well in the factor analysis. It may be that this question needs refinement in terms of  
226 wording to improve reliability or that the subjectivity of this item is difficult to articulate. With  
227 regards to the statement "I feel financially disadvantaged" it may be that this statement does not  
228 translate cross culturally or is not relevant for a Polish population. It may also be the case that the  
229 Polish carers were less focused on financial disadvantage than on the heritable or familiar elements  
230 of their experience of caring for someone with HD.  
231 It should also be emphasised that the contact with carers during collecting data for the study was of  
232 a unique nature and had a therapeutic effect on them. They expressed that they were pleased that  
233 their roles were recognised, as most measures focus on HD patients. This is in line with evidence  
234 from [16] who also observed a cathartic benefit of engaging with family carers, many of whom  
235 described completing the questionnaire as an intervention itself.

236 A lack of items bias in English and Polish translations confirms the scale's multi-lingual,  
237 multi-cultural consistency and indicates that the scale is easily applicable in other languages. The  
238 Polish version of the HDQoL-C demonstrated good internal consistency and congruent validity.  
239 Further validation, such as test-retest validity and sensitivity to changes, would enhance this  
240 validation process.  
241

## 242 5. Study limitations

243 Although this is the first study in Poland with the use of the HD-specific quality of life  
244 questionnaire for family carers, it has some limitations. The group of carers was quite small (90  
245 family carers, only 10 did not respond to the invitation to study) due to the rare occurrence of HD. It  
246 should be emphasized that access to individual caregivers is difficult and it is only possible during a  
247 conference that is organized annually by the Polish Huntington's Disease Association (only those  
248 who benefit from such support) and an online forum during which there is no possibility of direct  
249 conversation. The most important thing, however, is that during conversations at the conference and  
250 in subsequent telephone conversations, the carers emphasized that just filling out the questionnaire  
251 and contacting researchers was a positive experience for them, thanks to which they had the  
252 opportunity to verbalize their feelings and difficulties related to the role of a caregiver. This is  
253 confirmed by the comments at the end of the questionnaire, in which the caregivers thanked for  
254 noticing them and understanding. At the same time, they emphasized that they do not have the  
255 closest people with whom they could talk, because the family avoids topics about HD. Of the entire  
256 study group, only 7 people did not leave contact, which also indicates their needs. The group of 90  
257 respondents was also created thanks to carers who willingly gave contact to other caregivers.  
258

259

260

261 **6. Practice Implications**

262 Interest in the QoL of carers is crucial due to the practical, socio-economic aspects. In the  
263 situation when care-related costs are borne mainly by carers and not the State budget, incapacities of  
264 carers generate unplanned expenses for medical and social assistance [39]. Due to the fact that carers  
265 feel like a forgotten group in HD families, it is necessary to promote quality of life in primary  
266 healthcare. The time of caring for a patient with HD is much longer than in other neurodegenerative  
267 diseases and the need for environmental care is also longer. It is recommended to study the quality  
268 of life of HD caregivers in primary healthcare in order to implement appropriate support  
269 procedures at various stages of the disease, the more so that carers can also get ill and pass defective  
270 genes to their children.

271 **7. Research Recommendations**

272  
273 This study is part of a wider project on family carers in HD in Poland. Further research, with  
274 quantitative and qualitative approach, can identify other areas of quality of life for HD families.  
275 Moreover, due to the multitude of symptoms (multifaceted disease) conducting interdisciplinary  
276 research would allow a better understanding of the needs of family carers and this would translate  
277 into providing them with practical support. Therefore, further research based on mixed  
278 methodology and done within multidisciplinary teams is recommended.

279 **8. Conclusions**

280 A lack of item bias in English and Polish translations confirms the scale's multi-lingual,  
281 multi-cultural consistency and indicates that the scale is easily applicable in other languages. The  
282 Polish version of the HDQoL-C demonstrated good internal consistency and congruent validity.  
283 Further validation, such as sensitivity to changes, would enhance this validation process. The  
284 HDQoL-C has demonstrated a wide range of benefits for practitioners in capturing and  
285 understanding carer experience and these benefits can now confidently be extended to Polish  
286 speaking populations.

287 **Availability of data and materials:** The HDQoL-C is the property of Dr Aimee Aubeeluck, CPsychol, FHEA.  
288 It has been developed for use by family members, researchers and clinicians and can be used and adapted  
289 freely for the benefit of improving the quality of life of families living with Huntington's Disease. To use the  
290 scale, contact is required: aimee.aubeeluck@nottingham.ac.uk and cite in any subsequent write up.

291 **Author Contributions:** The co-authors had together contributed to the completion of this article. Specifically, it  
292 follows their individual contribution: Conceptualization, A.B. and A.A.; Methodology, E.S.; Ad.B.; Data  
293 curation, A.B., Ad.B.; Project administration, Ad.B. and K.K.; Supervision, A.A. and B.S.; Writing—original  
294 draft, A.A., A.B., Ad.B. and E.S.; Writing—review and editing, B.S. and K.K.

295 **Funding:** This research received no external funding.

296 **Acknowledgments:** We thank to all the carers who consented to devote their valuable time to participation in  
297 the study, to Dr Daniel Zielonka, the coordinator of European Huntington's Disease Network in Poland, and  
298 the Polish Huntington's Disease Association for their continuous support.

299 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

300

301 **References**

302

- 303 1. MacDonald, M.E.; Ambrose, C.M.; Duyao, M.P.; Myers, R.H.; Lin, C.; Srinidhi, L.; Barnes, G.; Taylor,  
304 S.A.; James, M.; Groot, N.; et al. A novel gene containing a trinucleotide repeat that is expanded and  
305 unstable on Huntington's disease chromosomes. *Cell* **1993**, *72*, 971–983.
- 306 2. Ross, C.A.; Aylward, E.H.; Wild, E.J.; Langbehn, D.R.; Long, J.D.; Warner, J.H.; Scahill, R.I.; Leavitt,

307                   Stout, J.C.; Paulsen, J.S.; et al. Huntington disease: Natural history, biomarkers and prospects for  
308                   therapeutics. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **2014**, *10*, 204–216.

309                   3. Novak, M.J.U.; Tabrizi, S.J. Huntington's disease. *BMJ* **2010**, *340*, 34–40.

310                   4. Robins Wahlin, T.-B.; Larsson, M.U.; Luszcz, M.A.; Byrne, G.J. WAIS-R Features of Preclinical  
311                   Huntington's Disease: Implications for Early Detection. *Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord.* **2010**, *29*, 342–350.

312                   5. Epping, E.A.; Paulsen, J.S. Depression in the early stages of Huntington disease Huntington disease:  
313                   beyond motor symptoms. *Neurodegener. Dis. Manag.* **2011**, *1*, 407–414.

314                   6. van Duijn, E.; Kingma, E.M.; van der Mast, R.C. Psychopathology in Verified Huntington's Disease  
315                   Gene Carriers. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* **2007**, *19*, 441–448.

316                   7. Nopoulos, P.C. Huntington disease: A single-gene degenerative disorder of the striatum. *Dialogues  
317                   Clin. Neurosci.* **2016**, *18*, 91–98.

318                   8. Paulsen, J.S. Cognitive impairment in Huntington disease: Diagnosis and treatment. *Curr. Neurol.  
319                   Neurosci. Rep.* **2011**, *11*, 474–483.

320                   9. Eddy, C.M.; Parkinson, E.G.; Rickards, H.E. Changes in mental state and behaviour in Huntington's  
321                   disease. *The Lancet Psychiatry* **2016**, *3*, 1079–1086.

322                   10. Williams, J.K.; Kim, J.I.; Downing, N.; Farias, S.; Harrington, D.L.; Long, J.D.; Mills, J.A.; Paulsen, J.S.  
323                   Everyday cognition in prodromal huntington disease. *Neuropsychology* **2015**, *29*, 255–267.

324                   11. Stout, J.C.; Paulsen, J.S.; Queller, S.; Solomon, A.C.; Whitlock, K.B.; Campbell, J.C.; Carlozzi, N.; Duff,  
325                   K.; Beglinger, L.J.; Langbehn, D.R.; et al. Neurocognitive Signs in Prodromal Huntington Disease.  
326                   *Neuropsychology* **2011**, *25*, 1–14.

327                   12. Williams, J.K.; Skirton, H.; Barnette, J.J.; Paulsen, J.S. Family carer personal concerns in Huntington  
328                   disease. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **2012**, *68*, 137–146.

329                   13. Williams, J.K.; Skirton, H.; Paulsen, J.S.; Tripp-Reimer, T.; Jarmon, L.; McGonigal Kenney, M.; Birrer,  
330                   E.; Hennig, B.L.; Honeyford, J. The emotional experiences of family carers in Huntington disease. *J.  
331                   Adv. Nurs.* **2009**, *65*, 789–798.

332                   14. Hayden, M.R.; Ehrlich, R.; Parker, H.; Ferera, S.J. Social perspectives in Huntington's chorea. *South  
333                   African Med. J.* **1980**, *58*, 201–3.

334                   15. Hocaoglu, M.B.; Gaffan, E.A.; Ho, A.K. The Huntington's Disease health-related Quality of Life  
335                   questionnaire (HDQoL): A disease-specific measure of health-related quality of life. *Clin. Genet.* **2012**,  
336                   81, 117–122.

337                   16. Aubeeluck, A.; Buchanan, H. The Huntington's Disease quality of life battery for carers: Reliability and  
338                   validity. *Clin. Genet.* **2007**, *71*, 434–445.

339                   17. Vamos, M.; Hambridge, J.; Edwards, M.; Conaghan, J. The Impact of Huntington's Disease on Family  
340                   Life. *Psychosomatics* **2007**, *48*, 400–404.

341                   18. Pickett, T.; Altmaier, E.; Paulsen, J.S. Caregiver Burden in Huntington's Disease. *Rehabil. Psychol.* **2007**,  
342                   52, 311–318.

343                   19. Soltysiak, B.; Gardiner, P.; Skirton, H. Exploring supportive care for individuals affected by  
344                   Huntington disease and their family caregivers in a community setting. *J. Clin. Nurs.* **2008**, *17*, 226–234.

345                   20. Aubeeluck, A.V.; Buchanan, H.; Stupple, E.J.N. 'All the burden on all the carers': exploring quality of  
346                   life with family caregivers of Huntington's disease patients. *Qual. Life Res.* **2012**, *21*, 1425–1435.

347                   21. Aubeeluck, A.; Dorey, J.; Squitieri, F.; Clay, E.; Stupple, E.J.N.; De Nicola, A.; Buchanan, H.; Martino,  
348                   T.; Toumi, M. Further evidence of reliability and validity of the Huntington's disease quality of life  
349                   battery for carers: Italian and French translations. *Qual. Life Res.* **2013**, *22*, 1093–1098.

350 22. Aubeeluck, A.; Buchanan, H. Capturing the Huntington's disease spousal carer experience: a  
351 preliminary investigation using the 'Photovoice' method. *Dementia* **2006**, *5*, 95–116.

352 23. Aubeeluck, A.; Moskowitz, C.B. Huntington's disease. Part 3: family aspects of HD. *Br. J. Nurs.* **2008**,  
353 *17*, 328–331.

354 24. Røthing, M.; Malterud, K.; Frich, J.C. Caregiver roles in families affected by Huntington's disease: a  
355 qualitative interview study. *Scand. J. Caring Sci.* **2014**, *28*, 700–705.

356 25. Roscoe, L.A.; Corsentino, E.; Watkins, S.; McCall, M.; Sanchez-Ramos, J. Well-Being of family  
357 caregivers of persons with late-stage Huntington's disease: Lessons in Stress and coping. *Health*  
358 *Commun.* **2009**, *24*, 239–248.

359 26. Domaradzki, J. Family caregivers' experiences with healthcare services – a case of Huntington's  
360 disease. *Psychiatr. Pol.* **2016**, *50*, 375–391.

361 27. Skirton, H.; Williams, J.K.; Jackson Barnette, J.; Paulsen, J.S. Huntington disease: Families' experiences  
362 of healthcare services. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **2010**, *66*, 500–510.

363 28. Hartelius, L.; Jonsson, M.; Rickeberg, A.; Laakso, K. Communication and Huntington's disease:  
364 Qualitative interviews and focus groups with persons with Huntington's disease, family members,  
365 and carers. *Int. J. Lang. Commun. Disord.* **2010**, *45*, 381–393.

366 29. Keenan, K.F.; Miedzybrodzka, Z.; van Teijlingen, E.; McKee, L.; Simpson, S.A. Young people's  
367 experiences of growing up in a family affected by Huntington's disease. *Clin. Genet.* **2007**, *71*, 120–129.

368 30. Williams, J.K.; Ayres, L.; Specht, J.; Sparbel, K.; Klimek, M. Lou Caregiving by teens for family  
369 members with Huntington disease. *J. Fam. Nurs.* **2009**, *15*, 273–294.

370 31. Skirton, H.; Glendinning, N. Using research to develop care for patients with Huntington's disease. *Br.*  
371 *J. Nurs.* **1997**, *6*, 83–90.

372 32. Etchegary, H. Healthcare experiences of families affected by Huntington disease: Need for improved  
373 care. *Chronic Illn.* **2011**, *7*, 225–238.

374 33. Kessler, S. Forgotten person in the huntington disease family. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **1993**, *48*, 145–150.

375 34. Decruyenaere, M.; Evers-Kiebooms, G.; Boogaerts, A.; Demyttenaere, K.; Dom, R.; Fryns, J.P. Partners  
376 of mutation-carriers for Huntington's disease: Forgotten persons? *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **2005**, *13*, 1077–  
377 ~~1085~~, R.A.C. Huntington's disease: A clinical review. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* **2010**, *5*, 40.

378 36. Banaszkiewicz, K.; Sitek, E.J.; Monika, R.; Sołtan, W.; Jarosław, S.; Szczudlik, A. Huntington's disease  
379 from the patient, caregiver and physician's perspectives: three sides of the same coin? *J Neural Transm*  
380 **2012**, *119*, 1361–1365.

381 37. Wild, D.; Grove, A.; Martin, M.; Eremenco, S.; McElroy, S.; Verjee-Lorenz, A.; Erikson, P. Principles of  
382 good practice for the translation and cultural adaptation process for patient-reported outcomes (PRO)  
383 measures: Report of the ISPOR Task Force for Translation and Cultural Adaptation. *Value Heal.* **2005**, *8*,  
384 94–104.

385 38. Tabachnick, B., Fidell, L. *Using multivariate statistics.*; Pearson/Allyn & Bacon, 1996; ISBN 0205459382.

386 39. Ciałkowska-Kuźmińska, M.; Kasprzak, M. Obciążenie opiekunów jako czynnik delegowania opieki do  
387 instytucji psychogeriatrycznych. *Psychogeriatría Pol.* **2012**, *9*, 69–76.

388

389

390

391

392