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Abstract: With the pressure of the increasing density of urban areas, some public infrastructures are moving to the underground to free up space above, such as utility lines, rail lines and roads. In the big data era, the three dimensional (3D) data can be beneficial to understand the complex urban area. Comparing to spatial data and information of the above ground, we lack of the precise and detailed information about underground infrastructures, such as the spatial information of underground infrastructure, the ownership of underground objects and the interdependence of infrastructures in the above and below ground. How to map reliable 3D underground utility networks and use it in the land administration? First, to explain the importance of this work and find a possible solution, this paper observes the current issues of the existing underground utility database in Singapore. A framework for utility data governance is proposed to manage the work process from the underground utility data capture to data usage. This is the backbone to support the coordination of different roles in the utility data governance and usage. Then, an initial design of the 3D underground utility data model is introduced to describe the 3D geometric and spatial information about underground utility data and connect it to the cadastral parcel for land administration. In the case study, the newly collected data from mobile Ground Penetrating Radar is integrated with the existing utility data for 3D modelling. It is expected to explore the integration of new collected 3D data, the existing 2D data and cadastral information for land administration of underground utilities.
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1. Introduction

Rapid urbanization creates a strong need to optimize land use in densely populated cities. Attention is thus shifting from the very limited available space above ground to generation and increased use of underground spaces. Comparing to the above ground, underground is an unseen space. The trench for the building and maintenance of underground infrastructure needs a large amount of cost, as well as faces with high risks. A prerequisite for including the underground in urban planning is the availability of sufficiently complete, accurate and up-to-date 3D maps of the underground. However, such maps are not yet widely available, if at all, and the required data acquisition is much more challenging than for spaces above ground.

With a population of more than five million living in an area of 720 square kilometres, Singapore has revealed a plan for placing infrastructure underground. Currently, a data sharing platform, which is called GeoSpace, is maintained by Singapore Land Authority (SLA) and used by government
agencies (e.g., utility owners, land developers, and land owners) to establish a 2D map of Singapore underground including utility services. Figure 1 shows an example in Marina Bay region of Singapore includes water supply, sewage, drainage, telecommunication and power grid networks. All the existing data are the 2D format. All of them overlay each other to make a chaotic visualization.

Figure 1. An example of utility data in Marina Bay region of Singapore (Source: Singapore Land Authority, 2018).

To observe the existing data, we zoom in to a corner of the Marina Bay region. Figure 2a presents five layers of different power grid networks. In the real world, the five different power grid networks may be located at the same place and different depth. But these data have the same x, y value in the database, which is impossible to identify them in the vertical space and distinguish them in 2D. All of the existing data is as-build data. We can not trust them to present the real situation of underground utility networks. From figure 2b, the limited attributes are provided from the current database. Only the main water pipes have a diameter. Most of them have 2D geospatial information. Also, data owners have more details of existing utility data. But most of them are 2D data as well. Depending on the requirement of the application, some data owners try to collect 3D data. There are some issues during the data capture to usage. Without the utility survey standard, some of them only use the traditional survey method to get the 3D points data of pipelines and overlay on the existing data. Nobody can guaranty the quality of these data. Meanwhile, because of the limitation of the existing data model, it is difficult to integrate 3D data with the existing 2D data. The update is once per six months. In general, some issues prevent these data from being sufficient for urban planning, land administration, and on-site work. In fact, many existing databases, not only the ones in Singapore, contribute incompletely to the spatial understanding of the underground because of similar restrictions. In particular:

- The data are often only 2D i.e., lacking depth information entirely, or 2.5D (i.e. featuring depth as an attribute to a horizontal position rather than as an independent coordinate). Furthermore, the depth information may be sparse with depths measured at few locations only, e.g. at accessible manholes, and it may be ambiguous because it is not always clear whether the values represent
depth relative to a specific surface with unknown elevation or height relative to an established height datum.

- It is unknown whether the data represents the current situation, the possibly different as-built state, or just the as-designed state. Furthermore, the geometric accuracy and the completeness of the area often unknown.
- Much of the attribute information (e.g. diameter, material, installation date) required to support specific applications are not available or not represent the appropriate level of detail.
- There is a lack of standards for organizing the data and semantic information of underground utilities, impairing data sharing and use of the shared data.

Overall, the reliable and accurate 3D data of utility networks is sorely demanded. Therefore, the Singapore-ETH Centre together with the SLA and the Geomatics Department of the City of Zurich have started a related project under the name “Digital Underground” [1]. The initial goals of this project are to develop a road map, a data model and a concept for deriving a unified and complete 3D map of the relevant underground structures (in particular of utilities and spaces like corridors or tunnels). Collecting best practices for underground utility mapping is a special focus within the project. Figure 3 describes the workflow of data governance for 3D underground utility mapping. In the data capture, different types of survey techniques (e.g. Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), Gyro-based system) are explored and compared to find the optimal underground utility survey approach. After the data processing, the newly collected data should be integrated into the existing database aiming to improve the information of underground utility. As the backbone of the 3D underground utility map, the 3D consolidated database of underground utilities should be developed for data storage. This is a loop workflow. The data capture could improve and update the database. At the same time, the underground utility database should provide information to support data capture. In order to organize these four steps, we need two main components in the data governance. One is the...
framework to manage different roles and communication between them in data governance. The other is the underground utility data model, which is a conceptual model to describe the structure and content of geodata independent from the used hard- and software systems. It will provide the standard for the presentation of geometrical information, data quality management and various applications. This paper focuses on the design of the framework of data governance and underground utility data model. To ensure legal compliance, efficiency, and resilience of these utility networks, the reliable 3D underground utility data could shed light on their ownership and operation [2]. Then, the underground utility data can be used in various applications. To provide sufficiently and consistently accurate information about underground utilities, it is necessary to fill the gap between engineering practices and mapping disciplines. Meanwhile, we need to find the solution of how to use the existing data and integrate it with newly collected data.

Figure 3. Workflow of underground utility mapping.

Here we focus on underground utilities, ignoring other underground structures which eventually need to be represented in the same 3D database as the utilities. This work aims at bridging the gap between underground utility surveying and data governance for land administration. Our proposal addresses the following:

- **The organization of different phases and roles from data capture to usage.** It is necessary to make a clear definition of different roles. During this work process, the communication between different roles (e.g., data producers, owners and users) is very important.
- **Different roles have different rights to access, change, delete or add data.** These permissions must be defined and maintained administratively.
- **Building and updating the 3D map of the underground requires integration of datasets of a different type, quality and source.** Data may originate from recent surveying e.g., using GPR or self-contained sensors tracking their movement through a pipe. Data for building a map may
also be derived from other databases. This integration requires handling various data formats, and quantifying and properly taking into account the respective data quality.

- The underground data need to be convertible into the data formats required by a variety of different applications and end users without loss of relevant information.

Subsequently, we first introduce related works on 3D underground utility data acquisition and reviews the underground utility data governance for land administration in some countries or regions. In section 3 we propose a framework to resolve the above issues about data governance and explain the design of a 3D underground utility data model. In section 4 we briefly summarize a Singapore case study covering the work process from large scale GPR-based data acquisition to 3D visualization. We conclude with a summary and an outlook on future work.

2. Related Works

2.1. The technologies for 3D underground utility data acquisition

Information about the buried utility networks can be retrieved without any excavation underground utility mapping using non-destructive technologies. However, this is more challenging than above ground mapping. Established approaches for surveying (e.g. photogrammetry, laser scanning, total station measurements or global positioning system) require clear line-of-sight between the instrument and the points to be measured, or between these points and the satellites. They are applicable to (parts of) utility networks while those are exposed in an open pit, e.g. during construction. In some special cases, and with considerable effort, it may even be possible to use such technologies inside buried utilities. However, underground utility mapping comprising detection, location and identification of buried utilities requires approaches without excavation [3,4]. Subsurface geophysical technologies [5,6], such as Ground Penetrating Radar or Electromagnetic Locators can be used for this purpose [4,7]. Also, gyroscope-based system [8] are available for measuring the trajectory of certain utilities (newly laid pipelines with a suitable radius through which the measurement system can travel). Table 1 lists the technologies used for utility mapping. In this paper, we focus on GPR due to its popularity in underground utility mapping [4] and on a gyroscope-based system as it is not limited by the depth of the pipeline, by nearby other utilities or by electromagnetic disturbances [8].

Table 1. Data capture methods for underground utility services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Use case</th>
<th>Typical (primary) data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional surveying</td>
<td>Open pit</td>
<td>Sparse point trajectory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser scanning &amp; photogrammetry</td>
<td>Open pit, buried utilities</td>
<td>Dense point cloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR &amp; PCL</td>
<td>Buried utilities</td>
<td>Radargram, set of points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMU-based system</td>
<td>Buried, newly built</td>
<td>Dense point trajectory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marker tagging</td>
<td>Buried</td>
<td>Sparse set of points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.1. Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)

GPR is a widely used technology for characterizing structures in the underground. It is based on recording the delay and power of electromagnetic (EM) signals scattered and reflected at discontinuities of the permittivity. Such discontinuities are associated with differences in materials or differences in material properties allowing to detect e.g. man-made objects, holes, and layers of different composition or water content in the underground [9,10]. GPR is used for a variety of applications, among them geophysical exploration, archaeology, and inspection of buried utility networks [11,12]. Depending on the type of transmitted signals, impulse radar systems and continuous wave radar systems are distinguished, with the former being more common [13]. The penetration depth, i.e., the maximum depth at which discontinuities can be detected using GPR is on the order of a few centimeters to a few tens of meters, depending on the soil characteristics, transmission power, signal stacking time.
and the frequency which typically range from 10 MHz to 4 GHz. Lower frequencies require bigger antennas but facilitate higher penetration depths. Higher frequencies, on the other hand, yield better spatial resolution and thus allow correctly locating smaller objects or distinguishing objects at smaller distances [11]. 3D information is obtained by moving the radar antennas along the ground surface, recording data quasi-continuously, and subsequently analyzing the data tomographically. Figure 4a shows two examples of GPR instruments, one being integrated with a mobile mapping trailer, and the other one a manually pushed cart.

Although GPR measurement can be very accurate, the responses may vary according to the measurement. A so-called B-scan (i.e. a 2D distance-depth representation of the underground), see Figure 4b for an example, can be very challenging and normally done by an experienced radargram analyst. The experience can be generated from a series of signal traces along a trajectory. B-scan normally represented by black and white colour indicative the different signal strengths and polarities of the objects. These signals are analyzed for anomalous responses. If the positions of these anomalies form a linear line, it is interpreted as a utility feature. The interpretation of B-scan is subjected to the expertise of the radargram analyst or GPR specialist. Such interpretation experience can be gained from regular used of system proper training provided by the manufacturer or consultant.

![GPR Instruments](image1)

![GPR Data](image2)

**Figure 4.** Examples of GPR instruments (a) and GPR data (b); the data show a radargram of a longitudinal cross section of the top-most about 2.85 m along an asphalt paved road (bottom), a perpendicular cross section of one lane (top right) and the top view of the scanning tracks covered by GPR measurements (top left).

### 2.1.2. Gyroscope-based systems

Utilities with a diameter of more than about 5 cm through which a probe can travel may be accessible to mapping with an inertial measurement unit (IMU). The IMU measures the 3-axis acceleration and 3-axis rotation rates which can be integrated over time yielding position and orientation changes of the unit. If the unit is mounted within a probe and the probe travels through the utility (typically a pipe), it can record the trajectory of the probe – and thus the 3D coordinates of points along the axis of the utility [8].

The potential benefits of such a measurement system are that (i) it can acquire the as-built information of the suitable utilities even if they are buried at a depth exceeding the penetration depth of GPR, (ii) the location can be geometrically more accurate than using above-ground measuring technologies for the location of underground structures, (iii) it can acquire data irrespective of the properties of the surrounding underground (e.g. soil composition, water content) and of electromagnetic fields, and (iv) that the probe can be equipped with additional sensors capturing more information than just the coordinates (e.g. diameter, the radius of curvature, corrosion). Major disadvantages are that (i) only pipes with sufficient diameter, sufficient minimum radius or curvature and accessibility can be measured, (ii) depending on the measurement system, the pipe needs to
be empty during the measurement i.e., the service of the utility is interrupted, (iii) the accuracy of
the 3D coordinates degrades rapidly with time such that only short parts of the utility, with known
coordinates of the start and end point, can be measured if high accuracy is needed, and (iv) additional
provisions may be required, e.g. short periods through which the probe remains stationary while
moving fast at others. Figure 5b shows an example of such a probe and a 3D map of utilities mapped
using it.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** An example of a Gyroscope-based pipeline measurement system (a) and the 3D map of the
measured pipes (b).

At present, GPR seems to be paramount importance for mapping the underground utilities.
However, there are others current technology that overcome the shortcoming of GPR available on
the market, such as laser scanning or gyro-based system. No single detection technique can detect
the entire type of utilities in every location. Hence, GPR is not the only solutions for underground
utility mapping, using more technologies increases the detection capability, coverage, efficiency and
accuracy. Irrespective of the data acquisition technologies chosen, the information extracted from
the measurements, in particular 3D locations, needs to be integrated with attributes of the respective
utilities, e.g. type and dimension, in a geospatial database to support 3D visualization, urban planning
and other applications.

2.2. The review of underground utility data governance

Some utility data models has been developed for storage, visualization, exchange, analysis in the
geospatial domain. Obviously, the general data model is not enough to reach all the requirements from
different users. In order to develop the 3D data model for the land administration of underground
utilities, this work reviews the underground utility data governance in land administration from some
countries and the existing data models that are related to underground utility networks and land
administration.

2.2.1. Underground utility data governance for land administration

The rapid urbanization and increasing complexity of urban spaces worldwide present an urgent
need to provide much more and precise information for land usage. Obviously, 2D cadastral
information and visualization are not enough for current land administration. During the past decade,
a number of works have been conducted to study on the 3D cadastre from various aspects, such as
legal, organization and technique [14–16]. The Land Administration Domain Model (LADM) [17] is an
important legal framework to define and integrate concepts and terminology of Land Administration
for 3D representations. As an international standard, the LADM provides a flexible conceptual schema
from three main aspects: organizations, rights and spatial in formations [15]. The integration of
2D and 3D information in the LADM can provide solutions for 3D cadastre. However, the LADM
only has two classes (LA_LegalSpaceutilityNetwork and ExPhysicalUtilityNetwork) specifically describe
information about the underground utility, which is not enough to define the 3D geometric and
topological characteristics and support to land administration of underground utility.

In recent years, some researchers or government agencies begin to consider the cadastre for
underground infrastructures. To analyze the impact of 4D cadastres in the registration of underground
utilities, Dönert et al. compared the physical and legal registration of utilities in three countries (Turkey,
The Netherlands and Queensland, Australia). Obviously, all of them are supported by a 4D cadastral registration. Pouliot and Girard provided a discussion about the integration of underground utility networks in the land administration system. Based on the case study of Quebec, they discussed three key questions in the following:

- Do we need to register underground objects?
- Should underground networks be registered in the Land Register, with the same specifications as land parcels?
- Which information should be part of the registration process?

Some countries and institutions have implemented or at least conceptualized the 3D mapping of underground utility network and their management in a related cadastral system. For instance, the Canton of Zurich started to establish a comprehensive Canton-wide utility cadastre map based on the Cantonal Act on Geoinformation of 2011 [19], derived from the Federal Act on Geoinformation of 2007 [20] and the Cantonal Regulation on Utility Cadastre of 2012 [21]. The regulation sets a deadline for each municipality to deliver and maintain a digital utility map latest until 2021. The City of Zurich has its own utility cadastre since 1999 and set up a governance framework with the corresponding utility providers [22]. Figure 6 shows an example of the utility map of the City of Zurich. The utility cadastre is a subset of the utility documentation of the utility owners. The most important media are included: gas, water, sewage, district heating, power, and telecommunications. SIA 405 [23] is a well-defined standard by SIA (Swiss society of engineers and architects) for the exchange and publication of utility data. The data model LKMap, part of SIA 405, was introduced to define a unified visualisation/presentation of the utility map. The data is automatically delivered through well defined interfaces at least once a week by the utility owners to the cadastre operator (GeoZ) (central data storage). The utility owners are surveying and using partly 3D coordinates. During the exchange of information between owners and the operator, the information is not yet considered.

![Utility map of City of Zurich](image_url)

Figure 6. Utility map of City of Zurich (Source: Geomatik + Vermessung Stadt Zurich).

A number of laws related to the exchange of information on utility location exist in the Netherlands. In 2018, the law for storage and exchange of underground utility information was amended. To accommodate the changes introduced by that law as well as the EU INSPIRE guidelines, the KLIC-WIN program was launched. KLIC-WIN is a program (initiated by the digging sector in The Netherlands) that guides, develops and implements changes triggered by the introduction of both the WIBON, which is the law on information exchange of above ground and underground networks, and the new EU INSPIRE guidelines for utility network information retrieval. KLIC-WIN aims to introduce some changes that are required to comply with the new WIBON law and INSPIRE guidelines:
• Representation of utility information according to a new information model.
• The ability to (optionally) centrally store utility information at Kadaster.
• The gradual change of utility data formats for delivery to end users (from raster now to vector data in 2019 and/or beyond).

Furthermore, Serbia extends its LADM based country profile to include utility information for utility network cadastre [24]. Based on this data model, they will develop a system to register and maintain the ownership of underground utility network. The United Kingdom begins the registry of underground utilities and create a national underground assets mapping platform in 2018. Moreover, Canada has developed 3D maps of underground utility networks as well [16,25]. All of the current work is just beginning and ongoing. This is a new challenge topic in recent years.

2.2.2. The 3D data model for underground utility networks

The CityGML utility network ADE [26] focuses mainly on three aspects: (i) the general 3D geometric of network components; (ii) the 3D topographical structure of the entire utility network; (iii) the functional information of different types of the network [26,27]. Based on the general concepts of the utility network, different types of utility networks can be implemented with their specific function [26]. Moreover, the interdependence between utility network features and city objects can be presented in 3D space [28]. Because this data model is an extension of CityGML [29], which is the popular standard for 3D city modelling (e.g. building), is beneficial to integrate information of utility network to the infrastructures to support urban planning and the other city studies. However, it does not consider the accuracy of the data. Some works begin to extend the existing data model to consider much more details about utility networks, such as [30] represent geographical uncertainties of utility locations based on CityGML Utility Network ADE.

The Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) utility model [31] is an ISO standard for data exchange of buildings in the architecture and civil engineering domain [26]. In the utility part, it describes 2D and 3D geometries of utility elements. Meanwhile, tow different ways of connection are defined to describe the relationship between supply service components within the building, which is a logical and physical connection. In addition, it has a comprehensive semantic definition of utility network objects. However, this standard only focuses on the building level and lacks spatial information.

The INSPIRE Data Specification on Utility and Government Services – Technical Guidelines [32] organize the basic information of utility network and administrative service of utility networks in a city or country range. It is a part of INSPIRE, which is a standard of the European Union to describe the spatial information of infrastructures. However, the INSPIRE Utility networks lack of definition of 3D geometric information of utility networks.

ESRI Utility Network model [33] provides a GIS-based utility solution to represent the basic logical and physical structure of all types of utility networks, which is composed of edges and junctions. This model is a general utility data model to represent the 2D geometric information and connections of the utility networks.

Until now, there is not an international standard that has been widely used for 3D modelling of underground utility [34]. Although some of existing standardized data models have been developed to integrate multi-utility networks, they can not guarantee the information to be reliable [2]. In order to develop a comprehensive utility database, we have the challenge to integrate different types of utility datasets from multiple surveying techniques, as well as the existing 2D data. Table 2 compares four popular utility data model relevant to the objectives of this work. Obviously, most of the existing utility data models are to focus on the 3D representation, include 3D geometric and topological information. The existing data models provide a good reference to describe the geometric and spatial information of utility networks in 3D. Nevertheless, none of them considers the accuracy of data of underground utility networks. On the one side, the survey technique directly impacts on the data accuracy. But industry service providers are not aware of these extensive standards usually[2]. On the other side,
different applications might use data at different levels of accuracy. Hence, we need an ideally 3D utility data model to support mapping procedures and control accuracy of underground utility network data.

**Table 2.** Comparison of model characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CityGML Utility Network ADE</th>
<th>ArcGIS Utility Networks</th>
<th>INSPIRE Utility Networks</th>
<th>IFC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3D representation modelling</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3D geometries</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Topological aspects</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Hierarchical modelling</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Administration</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data quality management</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: no support, .: basic support, +: sophisticated support, ++: comprehensive support.

On the basis of their discussion and the situation of Singapore, it is necessary to register the utility segments as the legal objects in the land administration system, which helps to identify the ownership of underground utility. An integral approach needs to be developed based on legislative and technology solutions. It is essential to establish a degree of reliability and consistency between data produced by different service providers. It is essential to standardize the practices regarding the use of those techniques and various information management. In the underground utility data model, land parcel, as an important role in the land administration, should be connected to the underground utility networks[16,35].

3. Design of the 3D Data model for Underground Utility Networks

3.1. A Framework for Utility Data Governance

From data capture to usage, the whole work process includes several participants in different stages. Hence, in order to improve the communication between different organizations at each phase, our previous work [2] proposed a framework for underground utility data governance. After observing the current work process in Singapore, this framework has been improved to organize the entire work process (Figure7). This framework consists of five roles that are listed in the following:

- Data producer is the surveying constructor and/or surveyor in the data regulatory bodies’ organization. In the utility survey phase, data producer captures data in the field work and submit data to the utility network database.
- Data owner manages their collected data. This role could be companies or data regulatory bodies.
- Data regulatory bodies are government agencies, such as SLA or Public Utilities Board (PUB) of Singapore. They manage their utility data based on their utility network data model. The data regulatory bodies should provide clear permission for data integrator to use and the predefined subset of utility data.
- Data integrator integrates all kinds of utility network data and manages the utility cadastre information in a city or country. In the phase of utility cadastre management, the data integrator should provide the required information for the application to users. This role builds a bridge between the data regulatory bodies and users.
- Data users can use utility data for utility cadastre management applications.

In this work process, the surveyor as data producer captures data during the field work. After that, the data will be submitted to data owner (e.g. PUB) who needs to manage their own utility networks data. According to the requirements of government, the utility data will be submitted to data regulatory bodies (e.g. PUB and SLA). There are two options for data submission. A general utility network data model will be designed as a standard to manage underground utility data for data regulatory bodies. If the data regulatory body has not any utility data model, they can use this standard data model. If
they have their utility data model, they can continue to use it or change to use the standard one. A consolidated 3D utility data model will be designed to support utility cadastre management. The data integrator (e.g. SLA) needs to integrate data of different kinds of utility networks. The LADM plays as a connection component to build a relationship between the general utility network data model and utility cadastre data model in the utility cadastre management. Meanwhile, the LADM will connect the underground utility network to the land administration of above ground. At last, the underground utility data model should support applications in land administrative management.

Figure 7. The framework of underground utility network data governance.
3.2. 3D Underground Utility Data Model for Land Administration

Current work focuses on the conceptual design of a 3D underground utility data model and connects it to land administration. In order to understand the demands of underground utility data users, a workshop was organized to learn the work process and needs of land administration in Singapore. This studying includes four application domains, land acquisition and purchase, planning and coordination, land transfer and sale, and land leasing. Currently, the existing data sources are the hardcopy of the utility network, 2D CAD and 2D geospatial information. There is an urgent demand of 3D geospatial information of underground utility and space to evaluate underground environment and support reallocation, land sales and the other applications. Therefore, the 3D underground utility data model includes three packages to organize the basic information and structure of utility networks, utility survey information, and the land administration information (Figure 8). In order to connect 3D underground utility data model to the information of land administration, these three packages inherit from Singapore cadastral data model and LADM (ISO 19152). Meanwhile, the geometric and spatial definition are inherited from Spatial schema data model ([36]).

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 8.** The overview of packages of 3D underground utility data model.

The *Utility Networks* package describes the basic information of utility networks, includes geometric, spatial and physical information. Based on the partonomy (part–whole) relationships, this work defines the hierarchy of utility networks in three levels (Figure 9). The macro-level is the whole utility networks, which is described by *UtilityNetwork* class with the basic information of utility networks, such as the type, material of utility networks. The meso-level is the surface of the utility networks, which is the part of the utility networks. The surface could be the tunnel, duck, manhole and the other types of space in the utility networks. Hence, the aims of *UtilityNetworkSurface* class are to describe the types and 3D geometric information (e.g. diameter) of surface. The micro-level is the basic elements of utility networks, includes nodes and segments of utilities. The node is a connection point in the network, which is defined by *UtilityNetworkNode* class. The segment is the line segment of the utility, which is defined by *UtilityNetworkSegment* class. The relationship between micro and meso
level helps to transform 2D to 3D data as well. Figure 10 shows the relationships of different classes in the Utility Network package and basic attributes of each class. The values of utility networks type inherit from LA_LegalSpaceUtilityNetwork in the LADM (ISO 19152) [17].

![Diagram of utility network](image1)

**Figure 9.** Multilevel structure of utility network.

![Diagram of utility network classes](image2)

**Figure 10.** The classes diagram of utility networks.
The `LA_UtilityNetworks` class aims to describe the land administration information of utilities. On one side, it connects to the utility network surface in order to identify the land administration information of different parts of utility networks. On the other side, it connects to the cadastral parcel from Singapore cadastral data model and LADM [17]. The spatial relationship is used to describe the relationship of cadastral parcels and utilities, includes contain, cross and touch. This class could support ownership management of utilities and land administration management.

The `Utility Survey` class aims to organize utility survey information. It could help to manage survey status and accuracy of data. The `Utility Survey` class inherits attributes of the survey from Singapore cadastral data model. Furthermore, the ground conditions and survey methods are related to the accuracy of data directly. Hence, the `Utility Survey` class integrates information from Standard and Specification for Utility Survey in Singapore [37]. Meanwhile, the `Utility Survey` class builds the connection between utility networks and `LA_Point`, `LA_BoundaryFace` and `LA_SpatialSource` in the `Surveying and Representation` package. The `Evaluate` attribute describes the method to check the accuracy of surveying data. If the accuracy of the data is unknown, the value of `Evaluate` is null. In future work, the accuracy level should be defined to be based on the depth level, soil condition and survey method.

4. Case study

This initial study aims to integrate of GPR data and the existing underground utility data and land cadastral data in the form of the geospatial database. It aims to find a reasonable work process to bridge the gap between data capture and application. Moreover, this implementation can help to improve the design of a 3D data model for underground utility.

4.1. Study area and datasets

This initial study was conducted at around Lorong 2, 3 and 4 at Toa Payoh, which is located in the northern part of Singapore. This is one of the pilot study sites in our project to deploy mobile mapping platform, namely Pegasus: Stream\(^1\) combines a Stream EM GPR and Leica Pegasus Two photo and laser scanner for massive 3D mapping of above and underground features. The data captured by the Pegasus: Stream is geo-referenced using on-board GNSS receiver and IMU and a distance measurement instrument (DMI). The Stream EM GPR contains large number of array antennae, with dual frequencies (200MHz and 600MHz). The antennae transmit and receive in two distinct polarizations (HH and VV), allowing the reconstruction of 3D underground utility network with a single pass of the GPR. Table 3 shows the technical specification of the Stream EM GPR.

| Overall weight | 228 kg (500 lbs) |
| Positioning | Survey wheel and/or GPS or Total Station |
| Max. acquisition speed | 15 kph (9mph) |
| Scan Rate per Channel (@512 samples/scan) | 87 scans/sec |
| Scan Interval | 17 scans/m @ 200 MHz |
| Antenna Footprint | 33 scans/m @ 600 MHz |
| Number of Channel | Width 1.84m |
| Antenna Central Frequencies | 38 |
| Number of Channel | 200 MHz (34 channels) |
| Antenna Spacing | 600 MHz (4 channels) |
| Antenna Polarization | 6cm |

\(^1\) [https://idsgeoradar.com/products/ground-penetrating-radar/pegasus-stream](https://idsgeoradar.com/products/ground-penetrating-radar/pegasus-stream)
The scanning site is a 1.8 km long bi-directional 4-lane asphalt road in an inland area of Singapore that has seen development since the 1960s. This study was conducted to investigate the feasibility of GPR for large scale underground utility mapping for the purpose of improving the quality of existing utility map information. The data were collected at a driving speed of about 15 km/h. All the acquired data were post-processed and interpreted to detect and extract underground utilities using a commercial off-the-shelf processing software come along with the GPR system. At the current stage, we do not use point cloud data of above ground. The identified utilities were then transferred to CAD/GIS format with x, y, z value as points and lines for 3D data modelling and visualization using the same processing software. Figure 11 shows an example of GPR data in CAD (Figure 11a) and GIS (Figure 11b) format.

![GPR data in CAD and GIS format.](image)

The existing datasets from Geospace and cadastral data from Singapore Land Authority were used as secondary data to obtain or improve the attributes of utilities that were extracted from the radargram and to explore the relationship between the above land administration information and underground utilities. These existing utility data are as-build data from utility services (e.g.: power, water, gas, telecommunication and sewerage) and cadastral information in 2D form. Of these datasets, it contains only a small portion of the information that has diameter with updated time and type. It possesses challenges for land planning with such limited information.

4.2. 3D Visualisation

To develop the 3D utility data model for land administration, the underground utilities need to be connected to the land parcels. Figure 12 explains the work process in this case study. The data model is designed in UML and exported to XML format, which can be imported into ArcGIS as a geodatabase schema. Based on the database schema, the GPR data can be loaded as utility network components in polyline and point. According to the information from the existing utility data and GPR data, the utilities can be modelled in 3D (multipath). The 3D modelling is realized manually in the ArcScene and CityEngine.

In order to get the related land administration information, the utility networks data can be integrated with cadastral parcel through their spatial relationships. Because the existing cadastral data is in 2D, the current work only considers the pipeline within the cadastral parcel in 2D. In order to improve the accuracy of data in 3D, the current cadastral data has to be extended to 3D so as to support more spatial relationships (e.g. cross and touch). Figure 13 shows an example of 3D visualization of utilities with objects above ground. As shown in the figure, the selected pipeline is highlighted in pink.
The information shown in the pop window includes spatial data from GPR and other attributes about underground utility survey and land cadastral information above ground.

Figure 13. A result example of 3D visualization with land administration information.

4.3. Discussion

This is a simple implementation to explore the work process of 3D modelling of underground utility from the GPR data and existing 2D data. Because GPR cannot capture the diameters, material and some attributes of utilities, it is necessary to extract these information from the GeoSpace database for 3D modelling. Depending on the spatial relationship (e.g. overlap, within) of the GPR data and existing utility data, some of the utilities from GPR data can be connected to the existing utility data. Because of two main limitations, there is a big challenge to improve the accuracy of data during the manual integration of the GPR and existing data. First, the existing utility data is as-build data which
may not be reliable enough for updating work. Second, the existing utility data is in 2D data, which is difficult to identify utilities accurately. Hence, the future work needs to find the solution to detect much more attributes of utilities from GPR data. In addition, the tentative integration of underground utility and land cadastral data helps to improve the development of the data model for land administration.

5. Conclusions

This paper proposes to develop a consolidated 3D data model of underground utilities for land administration. The work includes two parts. On the one hand, a framework for data governance is designed to organize the workflow of utility data survey, management and application through five roles. Through the understanding of current workflow in the utility data usage, this work needs to clearly define the operations and rights of each role in the work process of 3D underground utility mapping. On the other hand, a 3D data model of underground utilities is designed with 3D spatial information, i.e. utility survey information, and land administration information of underground utilities. In order to fill the gap between data capture and usage, this data model has the following main tasks:

- Integrating utility networks data from varying non-destructive surveying technologies. Moreover, it proposes an idea to manages the data accuracy based on the parameters, ground condition and other information during the field survey. This is a first step towards bridging the gap between data acquisition and data management for 3D underground utility mapping.
- Integrating the existing data and GPR data. As mentioned earlier, GPR data cannot get the diameters and types of utilities. This way helps to improve the attributes of utilities from GPR data. Moreover, it is also a process to transform utility data from 2D to 3D.
- In the data integration, the key step is to connect the utility network data model with the LADM for 3D cadastral management of underground utility in Singapore. It is useful to support ownership management applications and build the relationship between utilities and land parcels. Such a reliable and consolidated centralized repository of underground utility data will provide a crucial basis for land administration of underground infrastructures.

A case study is implemented based on the GPR data from the large scale mobile underground utility mapping. The initial implementation transform GPR data from CAD to GIS format and 3D visualization of utilities based on the 3D utility data model. In order to get land administration information, the utility networks have been connecting to the cadastral parcel. The accuracy and details of utility networks need to be improved in future work, such as the spatial relationship between utilities and cadastral parcels. To fully support the land administration of underground space, the 3D utility data model should eventually be extended to include other underground objects and infrastructures in the future, such as underground substations, pedestrian links, common services tunnels, road and rail networks, etc.

This is an ongoing work and in the initial stage. Two main aspects of limitations need to be improved in future work. First, for the accuracy of utility data. Obviously, the GPR data is not enough to provide comprehensive 3D underground utility networks. The other kinds of data (e.g. Gyroscope) should be integrated to provide more precise attributes for underground utilities. Moreover, the details of the shapes and structures of utilities need to be improved. Second, the next step of the data model development will improve the definition of land administration for underground utilities. Additionally, in order to develop a comprehensive underground utility database, it is necessary to explore the methods to use the existing data and integrate it with newly collected data. A showcase will be developed to realize land administration of underground utility based on a 3D underground utility data model. This will work with a selected agency as data regulatory body and the preferred data integrator. They will help us to evaluate and improve the framework and definition of the data model. After that, recommendations from this showcase will be used to extend the data model include other underground infrastructures and develop the platform of underground space management to support various applications in Singapore.
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