1 Review

4 5

6

7

8

2 Giant Viruses – Big Surprises

3 Nadav Brandes1 and Michal Linial2,*

- ¹ The Rachel and Selim Benin School of Computer Science and Engineering, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel; nadav.brandes@mail.huji.ac.il
- ² Department of Biological Chemistry, The Alexander Silberman Institute of Life Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel; michall@cc.huji.ac.il
- 9 * Correspondence: michall@cc.huji.ac.il; Tel.: +972-02-6585425

10 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

11 Abstract: Viruses are the most prevalent infectious agents, populating almost every ecosystem on 12 earth. Most viruses carry only a handful of genes supporting their replication and the production of 13 capsids. It came as a great surprise in 2003 when the first giant virus was discovered and found to 14 have a >1Mbp genome encoding almost a thousand proteins. Following this first discovery, dozens 15 of giant virus strains across several viral families have been reported. Here, we provide an updated 16 quantitative and qualitative view on giant viruses and elaborate on their shared and variable 17 features. We review the complexity of giant virus proteomes, which include functions traditionally 18 associated only with cellular organisms. These unprecedented functions include components of the 19 translation machinery, DNA maintenance, and metabolic enzymes. We discuss the possible 20 underlying evolutionary processes and mechanisms that might have shaped the diversity of giant 21 viruses and their genomes, highlighting their remarkable capacity to hijack genes and genomic 22 sequences from their hosts and environments. This leads us to examine prominent theories 23 regarding the origin of giant viruses. Finally, we present the emerging ecological view of giant 24 viruses, found across widespread habitats and ecological systems, with respect to the environment 25 and human health.

Keywords: Amebae viruses; Viral evolution; Protein domains; Mimivirus; dsDNA viruses; Translation machinery; Pandoravirus; NCLDV.

28

29 1. Giant viruses and the viral world

Viruses are cell infecting agents present in almost every ecosystem. Questions regarding viral origin and early evolution alongside all living organisms (Bacteria, Archaea and Eukarya) are still widely open, and relevant theories remain speculative [1-4]. As viruses are exceptionally diverse and undergo rapid changes, it is impossible to construct an ancestral lineage tree for the viral world [5-9]. Instead, virus families are categorized according to the nature of their genetic material, mode of replication, pathogenicity, and structural properties [10].

At present, the viral world is represented by over 8,000 reference genomes [11]. The International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) provides a universal virus taxonomical classification proposal that covers ~150 families and ~850 genera, with many viruses yet unclassified [12]. This collection provides a comprehensive, compact set of virus representatives.

Inspection of viral genomes reveals that most known viruses have genomes encoding only a few proteins. Actually, 69% of all known viruses have less than 10 proteins encoded in their genomes (Figure 1). It is a common assumption that viruses demonstrate near-optimal genome packing and information compression, presumably in order to maximize their replication rate, number of progenies, and other parameters that increase infectivity [13,14]. However, a debate is still ongoing over the generality of these phenomena [15], and there is a non-negligible percentage of larger viruses (Figure 1). On the far end of the distribution, there are the viruses with hundreds of genes, most of Peer-reviewed version available at Viruses 2019, 11, 404; doi:10.3390/v110504

2 of 13

- 47 them belong to giant viruses. Specifically, while only 0.3% of currently known viral proteomes have
- 48 500 or more proteins, they encode as much as 7.5% of the total number of viral proteins (Figure 1B).



49 50

51

52

53

54

Figure 1. Number of proteins encoded by viruses. (A) The number of encoded proteins (y-axis) in all 7,959 viral representatives, ranked in descending order. (B) Partitioning of the 7,959 viral proteomes by the number of encoded proteins. The 0.3% viral proteomes with the highest number of proteins (over 500) encode 7.5% of the total number of viral proteins.

55 56

57 2. The discovery of giant viruses

58 The first giant virus, Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus (APMV), was discovered in 2003 [16]. 59 Its size was unprecedented, being on the scale of small bacteria or archaea cells [17]. Unlike any 60 previously identified virus, APMV could be seen with a light microscope [18,19]. Initially it was 61 mistaken for a bacterium, and recognized as a virus only ten years after its isolation [20]. Up to this 62 day, most of its proteins remain uncharacterized [21,22]. Notably, even more than a decade after the 63 discovery of APMV, the identification of giant viruses is sometimes still involved with confusion, as 64 illustrated in the discovery of the Pandoravirus inopinatum [23] that was initially described as an 65 endoparasitic organism, and Pithovirus sibericum [24] that was also misinterpreted as an archaeal 66 endocytobiont (see discussion in [20,25]).

67 In the following years from the initial discovery of APMV (2003), many additional giant viral 68 species have been identified and their genomes fully sequenced. Most giant viral genomes have been 69 obtained from large-scale metagenomic sequencing projects covering aquatic ecosystems (e.g., 70 oceans, pools, lakes and cooling wastewater units) [26,27]; others sequenced from samples extracted 71 from underexplored geographical and ecological niches (e.g., the Amazon River, deep seas and forest 72 soils) [28-31]. Despite the accumulation of many more giant virus representatives, the fraction of 73 uncharacterized proteins remains exceptionally high [32]. Many of these uncharacterized proteins 74 were also considered ORFans (i.e., no significant match to any other sequence). However, with 75 proteomes of closely related species, the fraction of ORFans obviously drops. For example, 93% of 76 the Pandoravirus salinus proteins, the first representative of this family [33] were reported as 77 ORFans. However, with the complete proteomes of 5 additional Pandoravirus species (inopinatum,

eer-reviewed version available at Viruses 2019, 11, 404; doi:10.3390/v110504

3 of 13

macleodensis, neocaledonia, dulcis, and quercus) the number of ORFans dropped to 29% (i.e., with a
 substantial similarity to at least another Pandoravirus protein sequence). Still, the vast majority of

80 Pandoravirus proteins remain uncharacterized.

81 At present, there are over a hundred giant virus isolates which reveal fascinating and 82 unexpected characteristics. These extreme instances on the viral landscape challenge the current 83 theories on genome size and compactness in viruses, and provide a new perspective on the very 84 concept of a virus and viral origin [4].

85

86 **3. Definition of giant viruses**

87 Attempts to distinguish giant viruses from other large viruses remain somewhat fuzzy [34,35]. 88 Any definition for giant viruses would necessarily involve some arbitrary threshold, as virus size, 89 whether physical, genomic or proteomic, is clearly a continuum (Figure 2). Giant viruses were 90 initially defined by their physical size as allowing visibility by a light microscope [32]. In this report, 91 we prefer a proteomic definition, even if somewhat arbitrary. We consider giant viruses as Eukaryote-92 infecting viruses with at least 500 protein-coding genes (Figure 2). Of the 7,959 curated viral genomes 93 (extracted from NCBI Taxonomy complete genomes), 24 represented genomes meet the threshold, 94 among them 5 bacteria-infecting will not be further discussed. The 19 eukaryote-infecting viruses are 95 the genuine giant viruses (Table 1).

Recall that reported proteome sizes are primarily based on automatic bioinformatics tools, which
may differ from the experimental expression measurements (e.g., Mimivirus (APMV) [36]).
Moreover, physical dimension is not in perfect correlation with the number of proteins or genome
size. For example, Pithovirus sibericum, which was recovered from a 30,000-year-old permafrost

100 sample [24], is one of the largest viruses by its physical dimensions (1.5 μm in length and 0.5 μm in

- 101 diameter). However, it is excluded from this report, as its genome encodes only 467 proteins.
- 102





106

107

108

Figure 2. Distribution of viral proteome and genome sizes, colored by host taxonomy. There are 24 represented genomes that meet the threshold of \geq 500 proteins among them 5 bacteria-infecting and 19 eukaryote-infecting viruses (dashed red line).

eer-reviewed version available at *Viruses* 2019, 11, 404; <u>doi:10.3390/v1105040</u>

4 of 13

109 4. Classification of giant viruses and the question of origin

110 All giant viruses belong to the superfamily of nucleocytoplasmic large DNA viruses (NCLDV), 111 which was substantially expanded following the discoveries of giant viruses [37,38]. The NCLDV 112 superfamily had traditionally been comprised of the following families: Phycodnaviridae, 113 Iridoviridae, Poxviridae, Asfarviridae, and Ascoviridae [39,40], for which a common ancestor had 114 been proposed [41,42]. Following the inclusion of additional giant virus taxonomy groups 115 (Mimiviradae, Pandoravirus and Marseillevirus) into the NCLDV superfamily, there remained only 116 a handful of genes shared by the entire superfamily. Additional disparities in virion shapes and 117 replication modes among NCLDV has led to the conclusion that the superfamily is not necessarily a 118 taxonomic group, and that NCLDV families are more likely to have evolved separately [43-45].

119 Table 1. Giant viruses

		Genome			
Genome ^a	Accession	length	# of	Host ^b	Year ^c
		(kb)	proteins		
Mi-Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus	NC_014649	1181.5	979	Pz, Ver	2010
Mi-Acanthamoeba polyphaga moumouvirus	NC_020104	1021.3	894	Pz, Ver	2013
Ph-Acanthocystis turfacea chlorella virus 1	NC_008724	288.0	860	Algae	2006
Mi-Cafeteria roenbergensis virus BV-PW1	NC_014637	617.5	544 Pz		2010
Pi-Cedratvirus A11	NC_032108	589.1	574 Pz		2016
Ph-Chrysochromulina ericina virus	NC_028094	473.6	512	Algae	2015
Mi-Megavirus chiliensis	NC_016072	1259.2	1120	Pz, Ver	2011
UC-Mollivirus sibericum	NC_027867	651.5	523	Pz	2015
Ph-Orpheovirus IHUMI-LCC2	NC_036594	1473.6	1199	Algae	2017
Pa-Pandoravirus dulcis	NC_021858	1908.5	1070	Pz	2013
Pa-Pandoravirus inopinatum	NC_026440	2243.1	1839	Pz	2015
Pa-Pandoravirus macleodensis	NC_037665	1838.3	926	Pz	2018
Pa-Pandoravirus neocaledonia	NC_037666	2003.2	1081	Pz	2018
Pa-Pandoravirus quercus	NC_037667	2077.3	1185	Pz	2018
Pa-Pandoravirus salinus	NC_022098	2473.9	1430	Pz	2013
Ph-Paramecium bursaria Chlorella virus 1	NC_000852	330.6	802	Algae	1995
Ph-Paramecium bursaria Chlorella virus AR158	NC_009899	344.7	814	Algae	2007
Ph-Paramecium bursaria Chlorella virus FR483	NC_008603	321.2	849	Algae	2006
Ph-Paramecium bursaria Chlorella virus NY2A	NC_009898	368.7	886	Algae	2007

120 ^aFamilies: Mi, Mimiviridae; Ph, Phycodnaviridae; Pi, Pithoviridae; Pa, Pandoraviridae; UC, uncharacterized. ^bPz,

121 protozoa; Ver, vertebrates. ^cYear of genome submission to NCBI.

Two models have been proposed for the evolvement of giant viruses. According to the reductive model, an ancestral cellular genome has reduced in size, leading to dependence of the resulted genome on host cells. The presence of genes carrying cellular functions in almost any giant virus (e.g. translation components) [46] is consistent with this model. An alternative and more accepted theory argues for an expansion model. According to this model, current giant viruses have originated from eer-reviewed version available at *Viruses* **2019**, *11*, 404; <u>doi:10.3390/v110504</u>

5 of 13

smaller ancestral viruses carrying only a few dozens of genes, and through gene duplications and
horizontal gene transfer (HGT), have rapidly expanded and diversified [44,47-49]. This model agrees
with metagenomic studies and the wave of giant virus discoveries in recent years (e.g. [31]).

130To account for the limited number of homologous genes among giant viruses, different HGT131mechanisms have been proposed. The amebae host in particular is often described as a melting pot132for DNA exchange [50] that leads to chimeric genomes.

133 Additional important players are virophages, small double-stranded DNA viruses that 134 hitchhike the replication system of giant viruses following coinfection of the host, and are considered 135 parasites of the coinfecting giant viruses [51]. A rich network of mobile genetic elements contributes 136 to the host-virus coevolution [52]. Virophages and other mobile elements could facilitate HGT 137 process, including interviral gene transfer, thereby have the potential of shaping the genomes of giant 138 viruses and impact their diversity [20,53,54]. Additional agents that play a role in the rapid dynamics 139 of giant viral genomes are a specific class of canonical transposable elements, which normally act in 140 cellular organisms. The discovery of transpovirons with sequences that are reminiscent to a CRISPR-141 Cas system propose their contribution to host antivirus [55].

142 The majority of genes in giant viruses and specifically Mimiviridae have originated from the 143 cells they parasitize mostly amoebal and bacteria. Based on Phylogenetic trees, it is likely that 144 extensive HGT events led to their chimeric genomes. It was also suggested that the spectrum of hosts 145 may be larger than anticipated [56]. Therefore, comparative genomics over giant viruses which infect 146 the same host is unlikely to unambiguously resolve questions of gene origin, namely, whether shared 147 genes have originated from a common viral ancestor or the host. Thus, the degree of similarity among 148 giant viruses infecting different hosts is of a special interest. For example, the phyletic relationship 149 between Mimiviridae (which infect Acanthamoeba) and Phycodnaviridae (infecting algae) was 150 investigated, and it was found that the algae-infecting Chrysochromulina ericina virus (CeV, Table 151 1) showed moderate resemblance to the amebae-infecting Mimivirus [57]. As a result, it was 152 suggested to reclassify CeV as a new clade of Mimiviridae rather than Phycodnaviridae. However, a 153 later discovery of another algae-infecting Phycodnaviridae virus (Heterosigma akashiwo virus, 154 HaV53) has provided a coherent phyletic relationship among Phycodnaviridae, thereby questioning 155 this reclassification [48].

In summary, the taxonomy of giant viruses, as all viruses, is still very unstable, and rapidly updated with new discoveries [30]. The origin and ancestrally of giant viruses have remained controversial with questions of origin are also unresolved [35]. Many newly discovered giant viruses are not compatible with the notion of a single common ancestor, and some giant viruses remain completely undetermined [4].

161

162 5. Common features

163 Despite the ongoing debate on their origin, giant viruses still share some important features. All 164 giant viruses belong to the double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) group, as all NCLDV families. The total 165 genome size of all the giant viruses listed in Table 1 is at least 288 Kbp (Figure 2). These giant viruses 166 are classified into several families: Mimiviridae, Pithoviridae, Pandoraviridae, Phycodnaviridae and 167 the Mollivirus genus [20,24,58].

168 All amoebae-infecting giant viruses rely on the non-specific phagocytosis by the amoebae host 169 [56]. Interestingly, a necessary condition for phagocytosis is a minimal particle size (~0.6 μm, [59]). 170 As amebae (and related protozoa) are naturally fed on bacteria, it is likely that this minimal size for 171 inducing phagocytosis has become an evolutionary driving force for giant viruses. This fact, together 172 with the largely uncharacterized genomic content of giant viruses, may suggest that much of the 173 content in the genomes of giant viruses serves only for volume filling to increase their physical size. 174 Giant viruses share not only the cell entry process. When they exist the host cells during lysis,

as many as 1,000 virions are released from each lysed host via membrane fusion and active exocytosis[60], which are relatively rare exit mechanisms in viruses.

Other than these genome and cell-biology similarities, other features of giant viruses are mostlyfamily-specific. For example, virion shapes and symmetries, nuclear involvement, duration for the

Peer-reviewed version available at Viruses 2019, <u>11, 404; doi:10.3390/v110504</u>

6 of 13

infection cycle and the steps in virion assembly, substantially vary among viruses from differentfamilies [20,61,62].

181 182

183 6. Proteome complexity and functional diversity

The majority of the giant virus proteomes remain with no known function (Figure 3). Actually, the fraction of uncharacterized proteins reaches 65%-85% of all reported proteins in giant viral proteomes, many of them are ORFans. The most striking finding regarding the proteomes of giant viruses is the presence of protein functions that are among the trademarks of cellular organisms, and are never detected among other viruses. To exemplify the complexity of proteome functions in giant viruses, we examine the proteome of the Cafeteria roenbergensis virus (CroV), which infects the marine microzooplankton community in the Gulf of Mexico.

CroV was sequenced in 2010 as the first representative of an algae-infecting virus in the Mimiviridae family. Unexpectedly, despite its affiliation with a recognized viral family, the majority of its proteins show no significant similarity to any other known protein sequence. Of the remaining proteins that show significant BLAST hits to other proteins from all domains of life, 45% are eukaryotic sequences, 22% are from bacteria, and the rest are mostly from other viruses, including other Mimivirus strains. A similar partition of protein origin applies across other members of the Mimiviridae family.

198 The CroV proteome includes a rich set of genes involved in protein translation [63]. These genes 199 include multiple translation factors, a dozen of ribosomal proteins, tRNA synthetases, and 22 200 sequences encoding 5 different tRNAs [63]. As the lack of translation potential is considered a 201 hallmark of the virosphere, the presence of translational machinery components raised a debate on 202 the very definition of viruses [64,65]. Similar findings were extended to other giant virus strains of 203 the Tupanvirus genus in the same Mimiviridae family, which were recently isolated in Brazil [66]. 204 The two viruses have 20 ORFs related to tRNA aminoacylation (aaRS), ~70 tRNA sequences decoding 205 the majority of the codons, 8 translation initiation factors, and elongation and release factors. The 206 theory that translation optimization is an evolutionary driving force in viruses [67] may in part 207 explain the curious presence of translation machinery in giant viruses.

In addition to translation, numerous CroV proteins are associated with the transcription machinery. Specifically, The CroV proteome contains several subunits of the DNA-dependent RNA polymerase II, initiation, elongation, and termination factors, the mRNA capping enzyme, and a poly(A) polymerase. Presumably, the virus can activate its own transcription in the viral factory foci in the cytoplasm of its host cell [43].

Another unexpected function detected in CroV is the DNA repair system, specifically of UV radiation damage and base-excision repair. Other DNA-maintenance functions found in CroV include helicase and topoisomerases (type I and II), suggesting a regulation on DNA replication, recombination and chromatin remodeling.

Other rich set of functions related to protein maintenance include chaperons [69] and the ubiquitin-proteasome system [70]. Interestingly, some of these genes seem to be acquired from bacteria (e.g. a homolog of the E. coli heat-shock chaperon). Another rich collection of sugar-, lipidand amino acid-related metabolic enzymes were also found [17,71] which occupy 13% of the CroV proteome (Figure 3).

222 It appears that the CroV proteome covers most functions traditionally attributed to cellular 223 organisms, including: protein translation, RNA maturation, DNA maintenance, proteostasis and 224 metabolism. Although CroV exemplifies many widespread functions in giant viruses, each strain has 225 its own unique functional composition. For example, the most abundant group of giant viruses in 226 ocean metagenomes, the Bodo saltans virus (BsV), was recently identified and classified into the same 227 microzooplankton-infecting Mimiviridae family [72]. Unlike the other family members, BsV does not 228 have an elaborate translation apparatus or tRNA genes, but it carries proteins active in cell membrane 229 trafficking and phagocytosis, yet more unprecedented functions discovered in viruses.

230

Peer-reviewed version available at Viruses 2019, 11, 404; doi:10.3390/v1105



Uncharacterized Ankyrin domain - F-box domain Morn repeats Metabolic enzymes Others

231 232 233

234

235

236

Figure 3. Protein function categories in 6 giant virus representatives from three families: Mimiviridae (Mi), Pandoviridae (Pa) and Phycodnaviridae (Ph). In all the proteomes, the majority of proteins are uncharacterized. Short repeated domains are abundant in the proteomes of amebae-infecting giant viruses [71].

237 7. The emerging ecological view

Viruses are the most abundant entities in nature. In marine and fresh water habitats, there are millions of viruses in each milliliter of water [73]. However, the collection of virus isolates is often sporadic, especially for those without clinical or agricultural relevance. The accelerated pace in the discovery of giant viruses reflects the increasing number of sequencing projects of exotic environmental, including metagenomic projects [31,74].

Giant viruses have been isolated from various environmental niches and distant geographic places, revealing their global distribution and diversity. Current evidence suggests that the representation of giant viruses is underexplored, especially in soil ecosystems [30] and unique ecological niches [75,76]. In fact, ~60% of the giant viral genomes were completed after 2013 (Table 1). Many more virus–host systems that were reported for the last 5 years, are still await isolation and characterization [77].

The hosts of contemporary isolates include mainly protozoa, specifically amoeba (Table 1). However, the prevalence of amoeba as hosts may in part be attributed to sampling bias, specifically to the widespread use of amoebal coculture methods for testing ecological environments [27] (Table 1).

Despite their prevalence, the impact of giant viruses on human health deserve further investigation [21]. A cross-talks between giant viruses and activation of the innate immune cell system in human was reported [78]. Many viruses, including giant viruses were sequenced as part of the large-scale gut microbiome sequencing projects [79] but their composition and dynamic are yet to be determined [80]. Reports on the presence of sequences of giant viruses in the blood, the presence of antibodies against viral proteins, and their association with a broad collection of diseases (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis, unexplained pneumonia, lymphoma) are accumulating [81].

The presence of giant viruses in almost any environment, including extreme niches and manmade sites (e.g., sewage and wastewater plants) suggests that the ecological role of these fascinating viruses and their impact on human health are yet to be determined.

- 263
- 264 Author Contributions: The authors contributed to writing and manuscript design.
- 265 **Funding:** This research received no external funding.
- Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank the support to M.L. from Yad Hanadiv. We are grateful to Elixirinfrastructure tools and resources that were used throughout.

doi:10.20944/preprints201904.0172.v1

8 of 13

Peer-reviewed version available at Viruses 2019, 11, 404; doi:10.3390/v11050

268	Co	onflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
269 270	Re	oferences
271	1	
272	1.	Holmes, E.C. What does virus evolution tell us about virus origins? J Virol 2011, 85, 5247-5251,
273		doi:10.1128/JVI.02203-10.
274	2.	Forterre, P. The origin of viruses and their possible roles in major evolutionary transitions. Virus
275		<i>Res</i> 2006 , <i>117</i> , 5-16, doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2006.01.010.
276	3.	Yutin, N.; Koonin, E.V. Evolution of DNA ligases of nucleo-cytoplasmic large DNA viruses of
277		eukaryotes: a case of hidden complexity. Biol Direct 2009, 4, 51, doi:10.1186/1745-6150-4-51.
278	4.	Forterre, P. Viruses in the 21st Century: From the Curiosity-Driven Discovery of Giant Viruses to
279		New Concepts and Definition of Life. Clin Infect Dis 2017, 65, S74-S79, doi:10.1093/cid/cix349.
280 281	5.	Moreira, D.; Lopez-Garcia, P. Ten reasons to exclude viruses from the tree of life. <i>Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2009 , <i>7</i> , 306-311, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2108.
282	6.	Brüssow, H. The not so universal tree of life or the place of viruses in the living world. <i>Philosophical</i>
283		Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 2009 , 364, 2263-2274.
284	7.	Bamford, D.H.; Grimes, J.M.; Stuart, D.I. What does structure tell us about virus evolution? Current
285		opinion in structural biology 2005 , 15, 655-663.
286	8.	Domingo, E.; Escarmis, C.; Sevilla, N.; Moya, A.; Elena, S.; Quer, J.; Novella, I.; Holland, J. Basic
287		concepts in RNA virus evolution. The FASEB Journal 1996, 10, 859-864.
288	9.	Koonin, E.V.; Yutin, N. Origin and evolution of eukaryotic large nucleo-cytoplasmic DNA viruses.
289		Intervirology 2010 , 53, 284-292, doi:10.1159/000312913.
290	10	. Murphy, F.A.; Fauquet, C.M.; Bishop, D.H.; Ghabrial, S.A.; Jarvis, A.W.; Martelli, G.P.; Mayo,
291		M.A.; Summers, M.D. Virus taxonomy: classification and nomenclature of viruses; Springer Science &
292		Business Media: 2012; Vol. 10.
293	11	. Stano, M.; Beke, G.; Klucar, L. viruSITE-integrated database for viral genomics. Database (Oxford)
294		2016 , 2016, doi:10.1093/database/baw162.
295	12	. Lefkowitz, E.J.; Dempsey, D.M.; Hendrickson, R.C.; Orton, R.J.; Siddell, S.G.; Smith, D.B. Virus
296		taxonomy: the database of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV). Nucleic
297		Acids Res 2018, 46, D708-D717, doi:10.1093/nar/gkx932.
298	13	. Pybus, O.G.; Rambaut, A. Evolutionary analysis of the dynamics of viral infectious disease. <i>Nat</i>
299		<i>Rev Genet</i> 2009 , <i>10</i> , 540-550, doi:10.1038/nrg2583.
300	14	. Pérez-Losada, M.; Arenas, M.; Galán, J.C.; Palero, F.; González-Candelas, F. Recombination in
301		viruses: mechanisms, methods of study, and evolutionary consequences. <i>Infection, Genetics and</i>
302	4 -	Evolution 2015, 30, 296-307.
303 204	15	Brandes, N.; Linial, M. Gene overlapping and size constraints in the viral world. <i>Biol Direct</i> 2016,
304 205	17	11, 26, doi:10.1186/s13062-016-0128-3.
206	10	La Scola, B.; Audic, S.; Robert, C.; Jungang, L.; de Lamballerie, X.; Drancourt, M.; Birtles, K.;
307		dai:10.1126/seionee 1081867
302	17	Regult D. Audia S. Robert C. Abargal C. Ronasta D. Ogata U. La Scala R. Surgar M.
300	1/	Claverie IM The 12-mershase genome sequence of Minimizinus Science 2004 306 1244 1250
310		doi:10.1126/science 1101485
510		u01.10.1120/3CICHUC.1101400.

9 of 13

311 18. Xiao, C.; Chipman, P.R.; Battisti, A.J.; Bowman, V.D.; Renesto, P.; Raoult, D.; Rossmann, M.G.

- 312 Cryo-electron microscopy of the giant Mimivirus. J Mol Biol 2005, 353, 493-496,
 313 doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2005.08.060.
- 314 19. Van Etten, J.L.; Meints, R.H. Giant viruses infecting algae. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 1999, 53, 447-494,
 315 doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.53.1.447.
- 20. Abergel, C.; Legendre, M.; Claverie, J.M. The rapidly expanding universe of giant viruses:
 Mimivirus, Pandoravirus, Pithovirus and Mollivirus. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2015, *39*, 779-796,
 doi:10.1093/femsre/fuv037.
- 21. Abrahão, J.S.; Dornas, F.P.; Silva, L.C.; Almeida, G.M.; Boratto, P.V.; Colson, P.; La Scola, B.; Kroon,
 E.G. Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus and other giant viruses: an open field to outstanding
 discoveries. *Virology journal* 2014, *11*, 120.
- 22. Campos, R.K.; Boratto, P.V.; Assis, F.L.; Aguiar, E.R.; Silva, L.C.; Albarnaz, J.D.; Dornas, F.P.;
 Trindade, G.S.; Ferreira, P.P.; Marques, J.T. Samba virus: a novel mimivirus from a giant rain
 forest, the Brazilian Amazon. *Virology journal* 2014, *11*, 95.
- 325 23. Antwerpen, M.H.; Georgi, E.; Zoeller, L.; Woelfel, R.; Stoecker, K.; Scheid, P. Whole-genome
 326 sequencing of a pandoravirus isolated from keratitis-inducing acanthamoeba. *Genome Announc*327 2015, 3, doi:10.1128/genomeA.00136-15.
- 328 24. Legendre, M.; Bartoli, J.; Shmakova, L.; Jeudy, S.; Labadie, K.; Adrait, A.; Lescot, M.; Poirot, O.;
 329 Bertaux, L.; Bruley, C., et al. Thirty-thousand-year-old distant relative of giant icosahedral DNA
 330 viruses with a pandoravirus morphology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014, *111*, 4274-4279,
 331 doi:10.1073/pnas.1320670111.
- 25. Claverie, J.M.; Abergel, C. From extraordinary endocytobionts to pandoraviruses. Comment on
 Scheid et al.: Some secrets are revealed: parasitic keratitis amoebae as vectors of the scarcely
 described pandoraviruses to humans. *Parasitol Res* 2015, 114, 1625-1627, doi:10.1007/s00436-0144079-2.
- 26. Andreani, J.; Verneau, J.; Raoult, D.; Levasseur, A.; La Scola, B. Deciphering viral presences: two
 novel partial giant viruses detected in marine metagenome and in a mine drainage metagenome. *Virol J* 2018, *15*, 66, doi:10.1186/s12985-018-0976-9.
- 27. Aherfi, S.; Colson, P.; La Scola, B.; Raoult, D. Giant Viruses of Amoebas: An Update. *Front Microbiol*2016, 7, 349, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00349.
- 28. Andrade, A.; Arantes, T.S.; Rodrigues, R.A.L.; Machado, T.B.; Dornas, F.P.; Landell, M.F.; Furst,
 C.; Borges, L.G.A.; Dutra, L.A.L.; Almeida, G., et al. Ubiquitous giants: a plethora of giant viruses
 found in Brazil and Antarctica. *Virol J* 2018, *15*, 22, doi:10.1186/s12985-018-0930-x.
- 29. Dornas, F.P.; Assis, F.L.; Aherfi, S.; Arantes, T.; Abrahao, J.S.; Colson, P.; La Scola, B. A Brazilian
 Marseillevirus Is the Founding Member of a Lineage in Family Marseilleviridae. *Viruses* 2016, *8*,
 76, doi:10.3390/v8030076.
- 347 30. Schulz, F.; Alteio, L.; Goudeau, D.; Ryan, E.M.; Yu, F.B.; Malmstrom, R.R.; Blanchard, J.; Woyke,
 348 T. Hidden diversity of soil giant viruses. *Nat Commun* 2018, *9*, 4881, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07335349 2.
- 31. Backstrom, D.; Yutin, N.; Jorgensen, S.L.; Dharamshi, J.; Homa, F.; Zaremba-Niedwiedzka, K.;
 Spang, A.; Wolf, Y.I.; Koonin, E.V.; Ettema, T.J.G. Virus Genomes from Deep Sea Sediments
 Expand the Ocean Megavirome and Support Independent Origins of Viral Gigantism. *MBio* 2019,
- 353 10, doi:10.1128/mBio.02497-18.

eer-reviewed version available at Viruses 2019, <u>11, 40</u>4; <u>doi:10.3390/v110504</u>

10 of 13

- 32. Colson, P.; La Scola, B.; Levasseur, A.; Caetano-Anolles, G.; Raoult, D. Mimivirus: leading the way
 in the discovery of giant viruses of amoebae. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2017, *15*, 243-254,
 doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2016.197.
- 357 33. Philippe, N.; Legendre, M.; Doutre, G.; Coute, Y.; Poirot, O.; Lescot, M.; Arslan, D.; Seltzer, V.;
- Bertaux, L.; Bruley, C., et al. Pandoraviruses: amoeba viruses with genomes up to 2.5 Mb reaching
 that of parasitic eukaryotes. *Science* 2013, 341, 281-286, doi:10.1126/science.1239181.
- 360 34. Claverie, J.M.; Abergel, C. Mimiviridae: An Expanding Family of Highly Diverse Large dsDNA
 361 Viruses Infecting a Wide Phylogenetic Range of Aquatic Eukaryotes. *Viruses* 2018, 10,
 362 doi:10.3390/v10090506.
- 363 35. Colson, P.; Levasseur, A.; La Scola, B.; Sharma, V.; Nasir, A.; Pontarotti, P.; Caetano-Anolles, G.;
 364 Raoult, D. Ancestrality and Mosaicism of Giant Viruses Supporting the Definition of the Fourth
 365 TRUC of Microbes. *Front Microbiol* 2018, *9*, 2668, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02668.
- 366 36. Legendre, M.; Santini, S.; Rico, A.; Abergel, C.; Claverie, J.M. Breaking the 1000-gene barrier for
 367 Mimivirus using ultra-deep genome and transcriptome sequencing. *Virol J* 2011, *8*, 99,
 368 doi:10.1186/1743-422X-8-99.
- 369 37. Yutin, N.; Wolf, Y.I.; Raoult, D.; Koonin, E.V. Eukaryotic large nucleo-cytoplasmic DNA viruses:
 370 clusters of orthologous genes and reconstruction of viral genome evolution. *Virol J* 2009, *6*, 223,
 371 doi:10.1186/1743-422X-6-223.
- 372 38. Koonin, E.V.; Yutin, N. Evolution of the Large Nucleocytoplasmic DNA Viruses of Eukaryotes
 373 and Convergent Origins of Viral Gigantism. *Adv Virus Res* 2019, 103, 167-202,
 374 doi:10.1016/bs.aivir.2018.09.002.
- 375 39. Andreani, J.; Aherfi, S.; Bou Khalil, J.Y.; Di Pinto, F.; Bitam, I.; Raoult, D.; Colson, P.; La Scola, B.
 376 Cedratvirus, a Double-Cork Structured Giant Virus, is a Distant Relative of Pithoviruses. *Viruses*377 2016, *8*, doi:10.3390/v8110300.
- 40. Wilhelm, S.W.; Coy, S.R.; Gann, E.R.; Moniruzzaman, M.; Stough, J.M. Standing on the Shoulders
 of Giant Viruses: Five Lessons Learned about Large Viruses Infecting Small Eukaryotes and the
 Opportunities They Create. *PLoS Pathog* 2016, *12*, e1005752, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005752.
- 41. Iyer, L.M.; Aravind, L.; Koonin, E.V. Common origin of four diverse families of large eukaryotic
 DNA viruses. *J Virol* 2001, *75*, 11720-11734, doi:10.1128/JVI.75.23.11720-11734.2001.

42. Nasir, A.; Kim, K.M.; Caetano-Anolles, G. Giant viruses coexisted with the cellular ancestors and
represent a distinct supergroup along with superkingdoms Archaea, Bacteria and Eukarya. *BMC Evol Biol* 2012, *12*, 156, doi:10.1186/1471-2148-12-156.

- 43. Fischer, M.G.; Allen, M.J.; Wilson, W.H.; Suttle, C.A. Giant virus with a remarkable complement
 of genes infects marine zooplankton. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010, 107, 19508-19513,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1007615107.
- 44. Yutin, N.; Wolf, Y.I.; Koonin, E.V. Origin of giant viruses from smaller DNA viruses not from a
 fourth domain of cellular life. *Virology* 2014, 466-467, 38-52, doi:10.1016/j.virol.2014.06.032.
- 391 45. Koonin, E.V.; Yutin, N. Multiple evolutionary origins of giant viruses. *F1000Res* 2018, 7,
 392 doi:10.12688/f1000research.16248.1.
- 393 46. Arslan, D.; Legendre, M.; Seltzer, V.; Abergel, C.; Claverie, J.M. Distant Mimivirus relative with a
- 395 108, 17486-17491, doi:10.1073/pnas.1110889108.

Peer-reviewed version available at Viruses **2019**, *11*, 404; <u>doi:10.3390/v11050</u>

11 of 13

- 47. Iyer, L.M.; Balaji, S.; Koonin, E.V.; Aravind, L. Evolutionary genomics of nucleo-cytoplasmic large
 DNA viruses. *Virus Res* 2006, *117*, 156-184, doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2006.01.009.
- 48. Maruyama, F.; Ueki, S. Evolution and Phylogeny of Large DNA Viruses, Mimiviridae and
 Phycodnaviridae Including Newly Characterized Heterosigma akashiwo Virus. *Front Microbiol*2016, 7, 1942, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01942.
- 401 49. Filee, J.; Chandler, M. Gene exchange and the origin of giant viruses. *Intervirology* 2010, *53*, 354402 361, doi:10.1159/000312920.
- 50. Boyer, M.; Yutin, N.; Pagnier, I.; Barrassi, L.; Fournous, G.; Espinosa, L.; Robert, C.; Azza, S.; Sun,
 S.; Rossmann, M.G., et al. Giant Marseillevirus highlights the role of amoebae as a melting pot in
 emergence of chimeric microorganisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, *106*, 21848-21853,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.0911354106.
- 51. Desnues, C.; La Scola, B.; Yutin, N.; Fournous, G.; Robert, C.; Azza, S.; Jardot, P.; Monteil, S.;
 Campocasso, A.; Koonin, E.V., et al. Provirophages and transpovirons as the diverse mobilome of
 giant viruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012, *109*, 18078-18083, doi:10.1073/pnas.1208835109.
- 410 52. Koonin, E.V.; Krupovic, M. Polintons, virophages and transpovirons: a tangled web linking 411 viruses, transposons and immunity. *Curr Opin Virol* **2017**, *25*, 7-15, doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2017.06.008.
- 412 53. Campbell, S.; Aswad, A.; Katzourakis, A. Disentangling the origins of virophages and polintons.
 413 *Curr Opin Virol* 2017, 25, 59-65, doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2017.07.011.
- 54. La Scola, B.; Desnues, C.; Pagnier, I.; Robert, C.; Barrassi, L.; Fournous, G.; Merchat, M.; SuzanMonti, M.; Forterre, P.; Koonin, E., et al. The virophage as a unique parasite of the giant mimivirus. *Nature* 2008, 455, 100-104, doi:10.1038/nature07218.
- 55. Levasseur, A.; Bekliz, M.; Chabriere, E.; Pontarotti, P.; La Scola, B.; Raoult, D. MIMIVIRE is a
 defence system in mimivirus that confers resistance to virophage. *Nature* 2016, *531*, 249-252,
 doi:10.1038/nature17146.
- 420 56. Moreira, D.; Brochier-Armanet, C. Giant viruses, giant chimeras: the multiple evolutionary
 421 histories of Mimivirus genes. *BMC Evol Biol* 2008, *8*, 12, doi:10.1186/1471-2148-8-12.
- 57. Gallot-Lavallee, L.; Blanc, G.; Claverie, J.M. Comparative Genomics of Chrysochromulina Ericina
 Virus and Other Microalga-Infecting Large DNA Viruses Highlights Their Intricate Evolutionary
 Relationship with the Established Mimiviridae Family. *J Virol* 2017, *91*, doi:10.1128/JVI.00230-17.
- 58. Yoosuf, N.; Pagnier, I.; Fournous, G.; Robert, C.; La Scola, B.; Raoult, D.; Colson, P. Complete
 genome sequence of Courdo11 virus, a member of the family Mimiviridae. *Virus Genes* 2014, 48,
 218-223, doi:10.1007/s11262-013-1016-x.
- 59. Rodrigues, R.A.L.; Abrahao, J.S.; Drumond, B.P.; Kroon, E.G. Giants among larges: how gigantism
 impacts giant virus entry into amoebae. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2016, *31*, 88-93,
 doi:10.1016/j.mib.2016.03.009.
- 60. Silva, L.; Andrade, A.; Dornas, F.P.; Rodrigues, R.A.L.; Arantes, T.; Kroon, E.G.; Bonjardim, C.A.;
 Abrahao, J.S. Cedratvirus getuliensis replication cycle: an in-depth morphological analysis. *Sci Rep*2018, *8*, 4000, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22398-3.
- 434 61. Suzan-Monti, M.; La Scola, B.; Barrassi, L.; Espinosa, L.; Raoult, D. Ultrastructural characterization
- of the giant volcano-like virus factory of Acanthamoeba polyphaga Mimivirus. *PLoS One* 2007, 2,
 e328, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000328.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 15 April 2019

Peer-reviewed version available at *Viruses* **2019**, *11*, 404; <u>doi:10.33</u>90/v11050

12 of 13

- 437 62. Diesend, J.; Kruse, J.; Hagedorn, M.; Hammann, C. Amoebae, Giant Viruses, and Virophages
 438 Make Up a Complex, Multilayered Threesome. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2017, *7*, 527,
 439 doi:10.3389/fcimb.2017.00527.
- 63. Schulz, F.; Yutin, N.; Ivanova, N.N.; Ortega, D.R.; Lee, T.K.; Vierheilig, J.; Daims, H.; Horn, M.;
 Wagner, M.; Jensen, G.J., et al. Giant viruses with an expanded complement of translation system
 components. *Science* 2017, *356*, 82-85, doi:10.1126/science.aal4657.
- 64. Abrahao, J.S.; Araujo, R.; Colson, P.; La Scola, B. The analysis of translation-related gene set boosts
 debates around origin and evolution of mimiviruses. *PLoS Genet* 2017, *13*, e1006532,
 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006532.
- 65. Raoult, D.; Forterre, P. Redefining viruses: lessons from Mimivirus. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2008, *6*, 315319, doi:10.1038/nrmicro1858.
- 66. Abrahao, J.; Silva, L.; Silva, L.S.; Khalil, J.Y.B.; Rodrigues, R.; Arantes, T.; Assis, F.; Boratto, P.;
 Andrade, M.; Kroon, E.G., et al. Tailed giant Tupanvirus possesses the most complete translational
 apparatus of the known virosphere. *Nat Commun* 2018, *9*, 749, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03168-1.
- 451 67. Bahir, I.; Fromer, M.; Prat, Y.; Linial, M. Viral adaptation to host: a proteome-based analysis of
- 452 codon usage and amino acid preferences. *Mol Syst Biol* **2009**, *5*, 311, doi:10.1038/msb.2009.71.
- 68. Barik, S. A Family of Novel Cyclophilins, Conserved in the Mimivirus Genus of the Giant DNA
 Viruses. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J* 2018, *16*, 231-236, doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2018.07.001.
- 455 69. Yutin, N.; Colson, P.; Raoult, D.; Koonin, E.V. Mimiviridae: clusters of orthologous genes,
 456 reconstruction of gene repertoire evolution and proposed expansion of the giant virus family. *Virol*457 *J* 2013, *10*, 106, doi:10.1186/1743-422X-10-106.
- 458 70. Van Etten, J.L. Unusual life style of giant chlorella viruses. *Annu Rev Genet* 2003, *37*, 153-195,
 459 doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.37.110801.143915.
- 460 71. Deeg, C.M.; Chow, C.T.; Suttle, C.A. The kinetoplastid-infecting Bodo saltans virus (BsV), a
 461 window into the most abundant giant viruses in the sea. *Elife* 2018, 7, doi:10.7554/eLife.33014.
- 462 72. Shukla, A.; Chatterjee, A.; Kondabagil, K. The number of genes encoding repeat domain463 containing proteins positively correlates with genome size in amoebal giant viruses. *Virus Evol*464 2018, 4, vex039, doi:10.1093/ve/vex039.
- 465 73. Suttle, C.A. Marine viruses--major players in the global ecosystem. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2007, *5*, 801466 812, doi:10.1038/nrmicro1750.
- 74. Chatterjee, A.; Sicheritz-Ponten, T.; Yadav, R.; Kondabagil, K. Genomic and metagenomic
 signatures of giant viruses are ubiquitous in water samples from sewage, inland lake, waste water
 treatment plant, and municipal water supply in Mumbai, India. *Sci Rep* 2019, *9*, 3690,
 doi:10.1038/s41598-019-40171-y.
- 471 75. Campos, R.K.; Boratto, P.V.; Assis, F.L.; Aguiar, E.R.; Silva, L.C.; Albarnaz, J.D.; Dornas, F.P.;
 472 Trindade, G.S.; Ferreira, P.P.; Marques, J.T., et al. Samba virus: a novel mimivirus from a giant
 473 rain forest, the Brazilian Amazon. *Virol J* 2014, *11*, 95, doi:10.1186/1743-422X-11-95.
- 474 76. Yoshikawa, G.; Blanc-Mathieu, R.; Song, C.; Kayama, Y.; Mochizuki, T.; Murata, K.; Ogata, H.;
 475 Takemura, M. Medusavirus, a novel large DNA virus discovered from hot spring water. *J Virol*476 2019, 10.1128/JVI.02130-18, doi:10.1128/JVI.02130-18.
- 477 77. Wilhelm, S.W.; Bird, J.T.; Bonifer, K.S.; Calfee, B.C.; Chen, T.; Coy, S.R.; Gainer, P.J.; Gann, E.R.;
- Heatherly, H.T.; Lee, J., et al. A Student's Guide to Giant Viruses Infecting Small Eukaryotes: From
 Acanthamoeba to Zooxanthellae. *Viruses* 2017, 9, doi:10.3390/v9030046.

Peer-reviewed version available at *Viru*ses **2019**, <u>11, 40</u>4; <u>doi:10.3390/v110504</u>

13 of 13

- 480 78. Silva, L.C.; Almeida, G.M.; Oliveira, D.B.; Dornas, F.P.; Campos, R.K.; La Scola, B.; Ferreira, P.C.;
- 481 Kroon, E.G.; Abrahao, J.S. A resourceful giant: APMV is able to interfere with the human type I
- 482 interferon system. *Microbes Infect* **2014**, *16*, 187-195, doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2013.11.011.
- 79. Scarpellini, E.; Ianiro, G.; Attili, F.; Bassanelli, C.; De Santis, A.; Gasbarrini, A. The human gut
 microbiota and virome: Potential therapeutic implications. *Dig Liver Dis* 2015, 47, 1007-1012,
 doi:10.1016/j.dld.2015.07.008.
- 486 80. Popgeorgiev, N.; Temmam, S.; Raoult, D.; Desnues, C. Describing the silent human virome with
 487 an emphasis on giant viruses. *Intervirology* 2013, *56*, 395-412, doi:10.1159/000354561.
- 81. Colson, P.; La Scola, B.; Raoult, D. Giant Viruses of Amoebae: A Journey Through Innovative
 Research and Paradigm Changes. *Annu Rev Virol* 2017, *4*, 61-85, doi:10.1146/annurev-virology101416-041816.
- 491

492