Differences in leaf water use efficiency among soybean cultivars not well correlated with carbon isotope ratios

James Bunce 1*

1 USDA-ARS Adaptive Cropping Systems Lab (retired), Beltsville, MD, USA; buncejames49@gmail.com
* Tel.: 410-271-8177

Abstract: High intrinsic water use efficiency (WUEi), the ratio of leaf photosynthesis to stomatal conductance, may be a useful trait in adapting crops to water-limited environments. Carbon isotope ratios can be a useful indicator of WUEi, but might not be well correlated with it if the plants compared differ substantially in mesophyll conductance. In this study, six cultivars of soybeans previously shown to differ in WUEi in indoor experiments were grown in the field in Beltsville, Maryland, and mid-day WUEi was measured on nine clear days during mid-seasons of two years. Measurement dates were chosen for diverse temperatures, and air temperatures ranged from 21 to 34 °C on the different dates. Corrected carbon isotope delta values for 13C (CID) were determined on mature, upper canopy leaves harvested during early pod filling each year. WUEi differed among cultivars both years and the differences were consistent across measurement dates. Correlations between mean WUEi and CID were not significant in either year. It is concluded that consistent cultivar differences in WUEi exist in these soybean cultivars under field conditions, but that carbon isotope ratios may not be useful in identifying them.
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1. Introduction

With projected increased frequency of drought, and decreased availability or increased cost of water for agriculture, increasing the efficiency of water use in agriculture is an important goal [1]. In addition to crop management strategies, inherent increases in crop water use efficiency (WUE) could be useful in reaching this goal. For a given leaf to air difference in water vapor pressure (VPD), the ratio of photosynthesis to transpiration, termed leaf water use efficiency, is inversely related to the ratio of substomatal CO2 concentration to ambient CO2 concentration (Ci/Ca) [1]. The realization that the discrimination between isotopes of carbon in CO2 in leaf photosynthetic CO2 fixation was related to Ci/Ca [2] led to many tests of intraspecific relationships between corrected isotope delta values for 13C (CID) and crop WUE. Significant correlations between CID and crop WUE have been found in many crop species, such as wheat [3], peanut [4], tomato [5, 6], cowpea [7], cotton [8], barley [9], and sugar beet [10]. However, partly because of correlations between crop WUE and leaf size, plant size, and leaf CO2 assimilation rate in some species, improved crop WUE has been no guarantee of increased yield in dry conditions [1, 11]. Clearly, other plant variables must also be managed. Sinclair [12] has argued that stomatal response properties limiting transpiration at high VPD, which would increase WUE, would have yield benefits in many agricultural species and environments.

In spite of common correlations between CID and crop WUE, in some cases CID has not been correlated with leaf gas exchange measurements of Ci/Ca [5, 13, 14]. This type of result is of concern
for the general usefulness of CID as a selection tool to change $C_i/C_a$. Warren et al. [15] argued that mesophyll conductance ($g_m$) to CO$_2$ movement from the sub-stomatal air space to the site of fixation inside the chloroplast varied enough among species to disrupt relationships between CID and $C_i/C_a$. Barbour et al. [16] argued that variation in $g_m$ in barley disrupted correlations between CID and WUE, as did Gioliani [13] in rice. Seibt et al. [17] also emphasized that CID was not directly related to the $C_i/C_a$ ratio, but to the $C_i/C_c$ ratio, where $C_c$ is the CO$_2$ concentration at the site of fixation inside the chloroplast. Easlon et al. [18] provided evidence of the importance of genetic variation in $g_m$ to CID in Arabidopsis thaliana. Because $g_m$ may vary with temperature [19, 20], light [21] and $C_i$ [22], it is to be expected that CID may not always correlate highly with leaf $C_i/C_a$.

Regardless of variation in $g_m$, leaf WUE at a given VPD would be proportional to $C_i/C_a$ [23]. The ratio $C_i/C_c$ depends on the ratio of photosynthesis to stomatal conductance, which is termed intrinsic leaf water use efficiency (WUE$_i$) [17]. While operational $C_i$ is somewhat conservative in the steady-state over changes in light and temperature [24] it certainly varies with VPD in many cases. It is somewhat disconcerting that genotypic differences in responses of transpiration to VPD in soybeans, identified in controlled environment tests and field tested in North Carolina [25-27] were not evident when tested in California [28]. In this study, cultivars of soybean identified in tests in indoor controlled environment chambers as differing in $C_i/C_a$ and WUE$_i$ at a single VPD were grown in the field in Beltsville, Maryland over two years. Leaf gas exchange was measured on nine clear days in mid-summer, chosen to have a wide range of air temperatures. Cultivars were compared for steady-state values of WUE$_i$ to determine whether any cultivar differences in WUE$_i$ were consistent across measurement days and years. Mature leaves harvested at early pod fill were analyzed for CID values for tests of correlations between CID and the mean leaf WUE$_i$ of the cultivars.

2. Results

Air and leaf temperatures during the leaf gas exchange measurements both ranged from 21 to 34 °C on the nine different dates (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2), and ASD values ranged from 0.9 to 2.2 kPa. The correlation coefficient between ASD and air temperature was 0.399, which was not significant ($P = 0.288$).

The cultivar x date interaction term was significant for WUE$_i$ in 2017 (Table 1), but was not significant in 2018 (Table 2), nor was it significant for A or $g_s$ in either year. Despite the significant cultivar x date interaction for WUE$_i$ in 2017, the cultivars were clearly divided into two consistent groups of cultivars with contrasting WUE$_i$ on all of the measurement dates (Fig. 1). Holt, Ripley and Fiskeby V all had higher WUE$_i$ than did Ford and Wabash on each date. In 2017, the three cultivars with high WUE$_i$ had both higher A and lower $g_s$ than the two cultivars with low WUE$_i$ (Table 3). In 2018, Holt and Fiskeby V again had higher WUE$_i$ than Ford and Wabash, while Spencer had low WUE$_i$, similar to Ford and Wabash (Fig 2). Relationships between absolute values of A, $g_s$ and WUE$_i$ were unclear in 2018, because Spencer had high A, but low WUE$_i$, and Wabash, with low WUE$_i$ also had low $g_s$ (Table 4).
Table 1. Analysis of variance for measurements of WUE\textsubscript{i} of five soybean cultivars measured on five dates in 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1812</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1796</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar x Date</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.0178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Analysis of variance for measurements of WUE\textsubscript{i} of five soybean cultivars measured on four dates in 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar x Date</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Intrinsic leaf water use efficiency (WUE\textsubscript{i}) in five cultivars of soybeans measured on five dates in 2017. Air temperatures (°C) during the measurements on each date are provided.
Figure 2. Intrinsic leaf water use efficiency (WUEi) in five cultivars of soybeans measured on four dates in 2018. Air temperatures (°C) during the measurements on each date are provided.

Table 3. Mean values of A, gs and WUEi of five soybean cultivars measured on five dates in 2017. Values within columns followed by different letters were significantly different at P = 0.05, using a protected LSD test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>WUEi (μmol mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>A (μmol m² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>gs (mol m² s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiskeby V</td>
<td>28.2 b</td>
<td>27.9 b</td>
<td>0.990 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford</td>
<td>22.0 c</td>
<td>25.3 c</td>
<td>1.149 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>30.6 a</td>
<td>29.6 a</td>
<td>0.967 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripley</td>
<td>30.9 a</td>
<td>28.9 ab</td>
<td>0.936 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabash</td>
<td>23.7 c</td>
<td>24.7 c</td>
<td>1.043 ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Mean values of $A$, $g_s$ and WUE$_i$ of five soybean cultivars measured on four dates in 2018. Values within columns followed by different letters were significantly different at $P = 0.05$, using a protected LSD test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>WUE$_i$ ($\mu$mol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$A$ ($\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$g_s$ (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiskeby V</td>
<td>29.2 a</td>
<td>36.2 b</td>
<td>1.24 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford</td>
<td>26.1 b</td>
<td>31.6 c</td>
<td>1.21 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>30.0 a</td>
<td>39.6 a</td>
<td>1.32 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer</td>
<td>27.0 b</td>
<td>39.0 a</td>
<td>1.44 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabash</td>
<td>26.2 b</td>
<td>29.8 c</td>
<td>1.14 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant differences among cultivars in CID values occurred both years, although differences were larger in 2017 than 2018 (Table 4). In 2017, Holt had a smaller (more negative) value than the other four cultivars. In 2018, Holt and Spencer had the smallest values. In neither year was there a significant correlation between mean WUE$_i$ averaged over the measurement dates and CID (Fig. 3). In 2017, the correlation coefficient was 0.647, with $P = 0.238$. In 2018, the correlation coefficient was 0.133, with $P = 0.832$.  

![Fig. 3](image-url)
Figure 3. Relationships between mean intrinsic leaf water use efficiency (WUE$_i$) and corrected isotope delta values for $^{13}$C (CID) among five cultivars of soybeans in 2017 and 2018. Correlations between WUE$_i$ and CID were non-significant at $P = 0.05$ in either year. See text for details.

3. Discussion

It is possible that differences in $g_m$ among the cultivars disrupted correlations between WUE$_i$ and CID. The difference in $C_i$ values between cultivars with high and low WUE$_i$ in the field each year was about 17 to 18 $\mu$mol mol$^{-1}$. Although mesophyll conductance to CO$_2$ was not measured in these experiments, it ranged from about 0.6 to about 2.6 mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in prior indoor measurements at 25 $^\circ$C in these cultivars [23]. Combined with an average value of A of about 30 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, the difference between $C_i$ and $C_c$ could have varied from about 11 to about 50 $\mu$mol mol$^{-1}$ among the cultivars. Because CID is related to $C_c$ rather than $C_i$, cultivar differences in $g_m$ could easily have caused poor correlations between CID and $C_i$ in this experiment. The larger intraspecific variation in $g_m$ in rice of about 10x [13] than found in wheat, about 2x [29] could be related to the higher correlation between CID and WUE$_i$ in wheat [3] than in rice [13]. Measurements of $g_m$ currently involve time-consuming leaf gas exchange procedures [30], so that measuring leaf WUE$_i$ itself is probably more efficient than trying to correct CID values for $g_m$ variation in order to estimate WUE$_i$.

Although WUE$_i$ varied substantially across measurement days, differences among cultivars were quite consistent across days and also over the two years. These results suggest that WUE$_i$ differences among these soybean cultivars were quite stable across a range of measurement temperatures and ASD, although maximum ASD values are not large in this environment. Any relationship between mean values of A or $g_s$, and WUE$_i$ suggested by the data for 2017 was disrupted in 2018. Cultivar differences in WUE$_i$ among these soybean cultivars were not consistently associated with differences in mean values of either A or $g_s$, but with operational $C_i$ values. Reasons for cultivar differences in operational $C_i$ are not known, but may be important for improvements in crop WUE.

4. Materials and Methods

In 2017, soybean cultivars Fiskeby V, Ford, Holt, Ripley, and Wabash were planted on June 21 at the South Farm of the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center. In 2018, the same cultivars were planted on June 26 in the same field, except that the cultivar Spencer was grown in place of Ripley. Seeds were obtained from the USDA soybean germplasm collection. These cultivars were chosen based on prior comparisons of their leaf gas exchange when grown indoors [23]. Fiskeby V, Holt, and Ripley had relatively high values of WUE$_i$, and Ford, Wabash and Spencer had relatively low values of WUE$_i$ [23] under the single measurement condition used in that study. The soil of the test site was a silt loam, with a water table at about 1.5 m depth, and with phosphorus and potassium contents adequate for soybeans according soil tests, and a pH of about 6.5. In these field tests, plants were grown in single row plots, one meter apart, and thinned after emergence to 25 plants per meter of row. There were six replicate plots per cultivar, with each plot at least 2 m in length.

In 2017 leaf gas exchange was measured using a CIRAS-1 portable photosynthesis system (PP Systems, Amesbury MA). With that system, leaf and air temperatures are not controlled, but cuvette air temperature is designed to be very similar to outside air temperature by the use of large ventilated heat exchangers. In 2018, a CIRAS-3 portable system was used, and air temperature was controlled using Peltier units to be equal to that of outside air at the time measurements were begun. On each
day, measurements were begun near midday and were completed in less than 60 minutes, so the outside air temperature changed little over the course of the measurements each day. Preliminary measurements were made each day to adjust the water content of the inlet air such that the air surrounding the leaves during gas exchange measurements had approximately the same water vapor pressure as outside air. In measurements with both instruments, the CO₂ concentration in the reference air stream was controlled to be 400 μmol mol⁻¹, and the CO₂ concentration in the air surrounding the leaves was 370 ± 5 μmol mol⁻¹. This mode of operation was chosen in order that steady-state rates of leaf gas exchange could be measured within one minute of enclosing leaves in the cuvettes. Tests showed that stomatal conductance did not change within a minute of changing the water vapor or carbon dioxide content of air surrounding leaves. Measurement dates were chosen for clear sky conditions, with a range of air temperatures, and also had a range of air saturation deficits for water vapor (ASD). During the leaf gas exchange measurements, the photosynthetically active radiation always exceeded 1500 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ inside the cuvette.

On each measurement day, steady-state CO₂ assimilation rate (A), stomatal conductance (gs), and sub-stomatal CO₂ concentration (Cᵢ) were obtained on a single leaf of each of six replicate plots of each cultivar, in random order. Air saturation deficits for water vapor were calculated from the temperature and water vapor content of outside air just prior to the leaf gas exchange measurements.

In 2017, there were five measurement dates, from July 25 to August 9, and in 2018, there were four measurement dates, from August 6 to August 23. On the earliest measurement date each year, plant development ranged from late vegetative to early flowering stage, depending upon the cultivar, and on the last date, plants were in early to mid-pod filling stages, depending upon the cultivar. A few days after the last leaf gas exchange measurements each year, the terminal leaflet of a mature upper canopy leaf was collected from each replicate plot for all cultivars and freeze-dried for determination of corrected isotope delta (CID) values for ¹³C. CID was determined on each leaf sample by the Cornell Isotope Laboratory.

Two-way analysis of variance was conducted to test for effects of cultivar, measurement date, and their interaction on A, gs, and WUEᵢ. These tests were done separately each year, because the cultivars tested differed between years, as did the measurement instruments. One-way ANOVA was used to test for cultivar differences in CID each year. Correlations between cultivar means of WUEᵢ and CID were tested separately each year.
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