Sigmoid functions for the smooth approximation to |x|

Yogesh J. Bagul^{1,*}, Christophe Chesneau¹, ^{1,*}Department of Mathematics, K. K. M. College Manwath, Dist : Parbhani(M.S.) - 431505, India. Email : yjbagul@gmail.com ¹LMNO, University of Caen Normandie, France Email : christophe.chesneau@unicaen.fr

Abstract. We present smooth approximations to |x| using sigmoid functions. In particular $x \operatorname{erf}(x/\mu)$ is proved to be better smooth approximation for |x| than $x \tanh(x/\mu)$ and $\sqrt{x^2 + \mu}$ with respect to accuracy. To accomplish our goal we also provide sharp hyperbolic bounds for error function.

1 Introduction

An S - shaped function which usually monotonically increases on \mathbb{R} (the set of all real numbers) and has finite limits as $x \to \pm \infty$ is known as sigmoid function. Sigmoid functions have many applications including the one in artificial neural networks.

Rigorously, a sigmoid function is bounded and differentiable real function that is defined for all real input values and has a non-negative derivative at each point [6]. It has bell shaped first derivative. A sigmoid function is constrained by two parallel and horizontal asymptotes. Some examples of sigmoid functions include logistic function, i.e. $1/(1 + e^{-x})$, tanh(x), $tan^{-1}x$, Gudermannian function, i.e. gd(x), error function, i.e. erf(x), $x(1 + x^2)^{-1/2}$ etc. Some of them are described below.

The Gudermannian function is defined as follows:

$$gd(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\cosh(t)} \, dt.$$

Alternatively,

(cc) (i)

$$gd(x) = sin^{-1}(tanh(x)) = tan^{-1}(sinh(x)).$$

*Corresponding author

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A99, 26E99, 33E99, 41A30.

Keywords: Sigmoid functions; error function; smooth approximation; Hyperbolic tangent.

The error function or Gaussian error function is defined as follows:

$$erf(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$$

The Gudermannian and error functions are special functions and they have many applications in mathematics and applied sciences. All the above mentioned sigmoid functions are differentiable and their limits as $x \to \pm \infty$ are listed below:

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} 2\left[\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} - \frac{1}{2}\right] = -1, \lim_{x \to +\infty} 2\left[\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} - \frac{1}{2}\right] = 1$$
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} tanh(x) = -1, \lim_{x \to +\infty} tanh(x) = 1$$
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} tan^{-1}(x) = -\frac{\pi}{2}, \lim_{x \to +\infty} tan^{-1}(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} gd(x) = -\frac{\pi}{2}, \lim_{x \to +\infty} gd(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} erf(x) = -1, \lim_{x \to +\infty} erf(x) = 1$$
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} = -1, \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} = 1.$$

Due to these properties it is easy to see that the functions $x \tanh(x/\mu)$, $2x \left[\frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x/\mu})} - \frac{1}{2} \right], (2/\pi) x \tan^{-1}(x/\mu), (2/\pi) x gd(x/\mu), x erf(x/\mu)$ and $x^2(x^2 + \mu^2)^{-1/2}$ as $\mu \to 0$ can be used as smooth approximations for |x|. In [3], $\sqrt{x^2 + \mu}$ is proved to be computationally efficient smooth approximation of |x|, since it involves less number of algebraic operations. In spite of being this, as far as accuracy is concerned some of the above mentioned functions are better transcendental approximations to |x|. In [1] $x tanh(x/\mu)$ was proposed by first author and it is recently proved [2] that this approximation is better than $\sqrt{x^2 + \mu}$ in terms of accuracy by Yogesh J. Bagul and Bhavna K. Khairnar. One of the users of Mathematics Stack Exchange [7] suggested $x \operatorname{erf}(x/\mu)$ as a smooth approximation to |x|. However that user did not give the logical proof or did not compare this approximation with existing ones. In fact, it is better than $\sqrt{x^2 + \mu}$ or $\sqrt{x^2 + \mu^2}$ in terms of accuracy; but it is not proved in [7]. To prove this fact is the main goal of this paper. We shall prove this thing by showing $x \operatorname{erf}(x/\mu)$ to be better than $x \tanh(x/\mu)$. We avoid logical proofs for other approximations presented above, since they are not as good as $x tanh(x/\mu)$ or $x erf(x/\mu)$ for accuracy which can be seen in the figures given at the end of this article.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following manner. Section 2 presents the main results, with proofs. Two tight approximations are then compared numerically and graphically in Section 3. A conclusion is given in Section 4.

2 Main Results with Proofs

We need the following lemmas to prove our main result.

Lemma 1. (l'Hôpital's Rule of Monotonicity [4]): Let $f, g : [c, d] \to \mathbb{R}$ be two continuous functions which are differentiable on (c, d) and $g' \neq 0$ in (c, d). If f'(x)/g'(x) is increasing (or decreasing) on (c, d), then the functions (f(x) - f(c))/(g(x) - g(c)) and (f(x) - f(d))/(g(x) - g(d)) are also increasing (or decreasing) on (c, d). If f'(x)/g'(x) is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.

Lemma 2. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the following inequality holds:

$$x^2 e^{-x^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{e}.$$

Proof: Suppose that

$$h(x) = x^2 e^{-x^2}$$

By differentiation we get

$$h'(x) = 2x e^{-x^2} (1 - x^2).$$

This implies $x = 0, \pm 1$ are the critical points for h(x). Again differentiation gives

$$h''(x) = 2e^{-x^2}(1-x^2) - 4x^2 e^{-x^2}(2-x^2)$$

Hence,

$$h''(0) = 2, h''(-1) = -\frac{4}{e}, h''(1) = -\frac{4}{e}$$

By second derivative test, h(x) has minima at x = 0 and maxima at $x = \pm 1$. Therefore 0 is the minimum value and 1/e is the maximum value of h(x), ending the proof of Lemma 2. \Box

Lemma 3. For $x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, one has

$$|erf(x)| + \frac{\alpha}{|x|} > 1, \qquad (2.1)$$

with $\alpha = 2/(e\sqrt{\pi}) \approx 0.4151075$.

Proof: We consider two cases depending on the sign of x as follows:

Case(1): For x > 0, let us consider the function

$$f(x) = erf(x) + \frac{\alpha}{x} - 1$$

which on differentiation gives

$$f'(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-x^2} - \frac{\alpha}{x^2} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[e^{-x^2} - \frac{1}{ex^2}\right].$$

By Lemma 2, $f'(x) \leq 0$ and hence f(x) is decreasing on $(0, +\infty)$. So, for any x > 0, $f(x) > f(+\infty^{-})$, i.e.

$$erf(x) + \frac{\alpha}{x} > 1.$$

Case(2): For x < 0 let us consider the function $g(x) = erf(x) + \alpha/x + 1$. As in Case(1), $g'(x) \leq 0$ and is decreasing in $(-\infty, 0)$. Hence, for any x < 0, $g(x) < g(-\infty^+)$. So we get

$$erf(x) + \frac{\alpha}{x} < -1,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 3. \Box

Theorem 1. Let $\mu > 0$ and $\alpha = 2/(e\sqrt{\pi}) \approx 0.4151075$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the approximation $F(x) = x \operatorname{erf}(x/\mu)$ to |x| satisfies

$$F'(x) = \frac{2x}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\mu} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\mu^2}} + \frac{1}{x}F(x)$$

and

$$||x| - F(x)| < \alpha \mu. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof: We have

$$F'(x) = \frac{2x}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\mu} \, e^{-\frac{x^2}{\mu^2}} + erf\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) = \frac{2x}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\mu} \, e^{-\frac{x^2}{\mu^2}} + \frac{1}{x}F(x)$$

For x = 0 the inequality (2.2) is obvious. For $x \neq 0$, it follows from Lemma 3 that

$$||x| - F(x)| = \left| |x| - \left| x \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) \right| \right| = |x| \left| 1 - \left| \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) \right| \right|$$
$$= |x| \left[1 - \left| \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) \right| \right] < |x| \alpha \left| \frac{\mu}{x} \right| = \alpha \mu.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed. $\hfill \Box$

In the following theorem we give sharp bounds for error function erf(x) implying that the present approximation to |x| is better than $x \tanh(x/\mu)$.

Theorem 2. For x > 0, it is true that

$$tanh(x) < erf(x) < \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} tanh(x).$$
(2.3)

Proof: Consider the function

$$G(x) = \frac{erf(x)}{tanh(x)} = \frac{G_1(x)}{G_2(x)},$$

where $G_1(x) = erf(x)$ and $G_2(x) = tanh(x)$ with $G_1(0) = G_2(0) = 0$. On differentiating we get

$$\frac{G_1'(x)}{G_2'(x)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2} \cosh^2(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \lambda(x),$$

where $\lambda(x) = e^{-x^2} \cosh^2(x)$, derivative of which is given by

$$\lambda'(x) = 2e^{-x^2}\cosh(x)\left[\sinh(x) - x\cosh(x)\right].$$

Since $\sinh(x)/x < \cosh(x)$ (see, for instance, [5]), we have $\lambda'(x) < 0$ and hence $\lambda(x)$ is decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$. By Lemma 1, G(x) is also decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$. So, for x > 0,

$$G(0^+) > G(x) > G(+\infty^-).$$

It is easy to evaluate $G(0^+) = 2/\sqrt{\pi}$ by l'Hospital's rule and $G(+\infty^-) = 1$. This ends the proof of Theorem 2. \Box

3 Comparison between two approximations

By virtue of Theorem 1, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu > 0$, we get the following chain of inequalities:

$$x \tanh\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) < x \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) < |x| < \sqrt{x^2 + \mu}.$$
 (3.1)

Again in [2], it is proved that $x \tanh(x/\mu)$ is better than $\sqrt{x^2 + \mu}$ or $\sqrt{x^2 + \mu^2}$ with respect to accuracy. Consequently, $x \operatorname{erf}(x/\mu)$ is better than $\sqrt{x^2 + \mu}$

or $\sqrt{x^2 + \mu^2}$ in the same regard. Numerical and graphical studies support the theory.

In Table 1, we compare numerically some of these approximations by investigating global L_2 error which is given by

$$e(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [|x| - f(x)]^2 dx,$$

where f(x) denotes an approximation to |x|. With this criterion, a lower e(f) value indicates a better approximation. Table 1 indicates that $x \operatorname{erf}(x/\mu)$ is the best of the considered approximation (for $\mu = 0.1$ and $\mu = 0.01$, but other values can be considered for μ , with the same conclusion).

	$\mu = 0.1$			
f(x)	$2x\left[\frac{1}{1+e^{-x/\mu}}-\frac{1}{2}\right]$	$\frac{2}{\pi}xgd\left(rac{x}{\mu} ight)$	$x \tanh\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)$	$x \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)$
e(f)	pprox 0.00126521	pprox 0.000754617	pprox 0.000158151	≈ 0.000087349
	$\mu = 0.01$			
f(x)	$2x\left[\frac{1}{1+e^{-x/\mu}}-\frac{1}{2}\right]$	$\frac{2}{\pi}xgd\left(rac{x}{\mu} ight)$	$x \tanh\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)$	$x \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)$
e(f)	$\approx 1.26521 \times 10^{-6}$	$\approx 7.54617 \times 10^{-7}$	$\approx 1.58151 \times 10^{-7}$	$\approx 8.7349 \times 10^{-8}$

Table 1: Global L_2 errors e(f) for the functions f(x).

By considering the setting of Table 1, Figures 1 and 2 also support our theoretical findings.



Figure 1: Graphs of the functions in Table 1 with $\mu = 0.1$ for $x \in (-0.2, 0.2)$.



Figure 2: Graphs of the functions in Table 1 with $\mu = 0.01$ for $x \in (-0.04, 0.04)$.

4 Conclusion

Sigmoid functions can be used for smooth approximation of |x|. In particular $x \operatorname{erf}(x/\mu)$ is proved to be better smooth approximation for |x| with respect to accuracy.

References

- [1] Y. J. Bagul, A smooth transcendental approximation to |x|, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications(IJMSEA), Volume 11, Number 2(August 2017), pp. 213-217, 2017.
- [2] Y. J. Bagul and Bhavna K. Khairnar, A note on smooth transcendental approximation to |x|, Preprints 2019, 2019020190. doi: 10.20944/preprints201902.0190.v1. [Online]. URL: https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/201902.0190/v1
- [3] C. Ramirez, R. Sanchez, V. Kreinovich and M. Argaéz, √x² + μ is the most computationally efficient smooth approximation to |x|: a Proof, Journal of Uncertain Systems, Volume 8, Number 3, pp. 205-210, 2014.
- [4] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Conformal Invarients, Inequalities and Quasiconformal maps, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1997.
- [5] R. Klén, M. Visuri and M. Vuorinen, On Jordan Type Inequalities for Hyperbolic Functions, J. Inequal. and Appl. Volume (2010), 14 pages, Art. ID 362548, 2010. doi: 10.1155/2010/362548. [Online]. URL: https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/362548
- [6] J. Han and C. Moraga, The influence of the sigmoid function parameters on the speed of backpropagation learning, In: Mira José, Sandoval Francisco(Eds), From Natural to Artificial Neural computation, IWANN 1995, Lecture Note in Computer Science, Volume 930, pp. 195-201, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg.
- [7] Ravi (https://math.stackexchange.com/users/36224/ravi), Smooth approximation of absolute value inequalities, URL (version: 2014-02-17): https://math.stackexchange.com/q/173479