Strandedness during cDNA synthesis, the stranded parameter in htseq-count, and analysis of RNA-Seq data
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Abstract

RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) is a complicated protocol, both in the laboratory in generation of data and at the computer in analysis of results. Several decisions during RNA-Seq library construction have important implications for analysis, most notably strandedness during complementary DNA (cDNA) library construction. Here we clarify bioinformatic decisions related to strandedness in both alignment of DNA sequencing reads to reference genomes and subsequent determination of transcript abundance.

1 Introduction

RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) is a powerful method for quantifying the transcriptome and identifying differential gene expression (Mortazavi et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2009; Conesa et al. 2016). RNA-Seq produces a large amount of data; therefore software packages designed for data analysis are vital for the advancement of the field (Figure 1). Based on our work with RNA-Seq of zebrafish embryos and human cell lines, we identify a few key aspects of RNA-Seq analysis not consistently described in the literature.

**Figure 1.** A standard RNA-Seq data analysis pipeline. FASTQC (Blankenberg et al. 2010) is the first tool in the pipeline and involves checking the quality of raw reads. Trimomatic (Bolger et al. 2014), an optional step, may be used to trim low-quality bases if read quality is lower than a specified threshold. HISAT2 (Kim et al. 2015) is used to align reads to a reference genome. Compared with other read aligners, HISAT2 is more capable of dealing with reads that incorrectly map to pseudogenes. After alignment, htseq-count (Anders et al. 2015) identifies the number of reads that map to genes. DESeq2 (Love et al. 2014) uses the output of htseq-count to identify differentially expressed genes.

2 htseq-count and strandedness

Anders and colleagues developed HTSeq (Anders et al. 2015), a python framework for analyzing high throughput sequencing data, within which htseq-count is located. htseq-count is a commonly used tool in many data analysis pipelines (Conesa et al. 2016; Fonseca et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2013) which counts the number of sequencing reads mapping to genes after the read alignment step. Certain parameters in htseq-count are dependent upon the laboratory methods used; in particular, the ‘stranded’ parameter is dependent upon the complementary DNA (cDNA) library construction methodology. The three possible settings are ‘Yes’, ‘No’, and ‘Reverse’ and refer to strandedness during library construction. Strand-specific protocols involve preserving information on which strand the messenger RNA (mRNA) originated, while this information is lost in unstranded protocols (Figure 2).

**Figure 2.** First strand, second strand and un-stranded cDNA library protocols. dUTP = deoxyuridine triphosphate, PCR = polymerase chain reaction, R1 = Read 1 (first read produced), R2 = Read 2 (second read produced).

- For first strand synthesis, strand information is preserved by degrading the non-template strand and PCR amplifying only the template cDNA strand during library construction (the ‘Reverse’ option in htseq-count).
Second strand protocols preserve strand information by using adapters to mark the template strand (the ‘Yes’ option in htsq-count, which is the default). When aligning reads from bi-directional Illumina sequencing of a first strand library, the second read produced will map to the DNA strand containing the coding sequence while the first read will map to the opposite strand. For a second strand cDNA library, the first read produced will map to the DNA strand containing the coding sequence while the second read will map to the opposite strand. The default ‘Yes’ stranded option in htsq-count may be incorrectly interpreted merely as a confirmation of having strand-specific data, instead of specifically indicating data from second strand library. Using stranded ‘Yes’ on a first strand cDNA library results in all read pairs that map to the reference genome in the correct orientation being discarded and reads that map in the incorrect orientation being counted as legitimate reads. Essentially, only antisense data is considered for transcript abundance measures. First strand cDNA libraries thus require the use of the stranded ‘Reverse’ option (Figure 3).

Figure 3. htsq-count read counts for zebrafish genes using stranded ‘Reverse’ vs. stranded ‘Yes’ with a first strand cDNA library, with each point representing a single gene. Stranded ‘Reverse’ produces larger counts for the majority of genes. In contrast, data is being unnecessarily removed using the ‘Yes’ option. Approximately 700,000 read pairs were mapped to genes using stranded ‘Yes’, while stranded ‘Reverse’ had 21 million read pairs, using an initial input of 25 million reads pairs.

3 Conclusions
Second strand cDNA libraries were more common when htsq-count was designed than now, resulting in the default ‘Yes’ option. However, first strand cDNA libraries with dUTP second strand marking, such as the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Prep Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), are now more commonly used for RNA-seq (Levin et al. 2010) and require the ‘Reverse’ option. We also note that the upstream read alignment step performed by HISAT2 includes the ‘ma-strandness’ parameter (which add tags in the alignment file for read strandedness), as well the ‘nofw’ and ‘norc’ parameters (restricting read alignments to specific strands). The defaults are unstranded and no strand-based restrictions upon read alignment, respectively, but alternative settings could restrict the creation of read alignments for interpretation by htsq-count. The language used differs, where unstranded in HISAT2 corresponds to htsq-count’s ‘No’, HISAT2’s forward corresponds to htsq-count’s ‘Yes’ (i.e. second strand cDNA libraries), and HISAT2’s reverse corresponds to htsq-count’s ‘Reverse’ (ie. first strand cDNA libraries). HISAT2’s precurser Tophat (Kim et al. 2013) used FR-unstranded for ‘No’, FR-secondstrand for ‘Yes’, and FR-firststrand for ‘Reverse’. As with any bioinformatics software, we recommend that users be aware of the relationship between software parameters and laboratory techniques, and use a stranded option that matches their cDNA library protocols to prevent unnecessary errors.
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