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**In silico** Prediction and Cytotoxicity Evaluation of siRNAs Targeting Conserved Regions of MERS-CoV in Cell Culture
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**Abstract:** The Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) was isolated in 2012 and is well known to cause the respiratory syndrome. The orf1ab gene is known to mediate MERS-CoV replication. In this study, we have discussed the **in silico** prediction of potential siRNAs targeting MERS-CoV-orf1ab gene for antiviral therapeutics. To identify the potential siRNAs, various factors were considered. We have excluded the siRNAs with off-target effects and potential binding with human mRNAs. By using available softwares, total twenty-one functional, off-target reduced potential siRNA were selected from four hundred and sixty-two siRNAs based on greater potency and specificity. We have tested only seven siRNAs initially to evaluate their performance by reverse transfection approach by lipofectamine mediated delivery in Vero cells. The evaluation results showed no cytotoxicity at various concentrations of siRNAs used. The results obtained in this study provided preliminary information about the cytotoxicity which will help us to further evaluate siRNAs in other cell cultures to find out the replication inhibition efficiency of MERS-CoV. Finally, it is concluded that the **in silico** prediction and designing resulted in filtration and selection of potential siRNAs with high accuracy, efficiency, and strength which can be further utilized for the development of oligonucleotide-based therapeutics.

**Keywords:** MERS-CoV, siRNAs, **in silico** design, Vero cells.

1. Introduction

MERS-CoV presents a major health concern for both human and animal. The first case was identified in June 2012 from Jeddah, Saudi Arabia [1]. Currently, a total of 2,279 confirmed MERS-CoV cases with 806 related deaths have been reported and spread in 27 countries (WHO-last accessed on February 24, 2019). The virus is mainly transmitted to human in family clusters, healthcare workers, through contact with camels as well as community settings [2-7]. MERS-CoV causes lower respiratory infections with fever and cough followed by shortness of breath and organ failure in cases with comorbidities [8]. Coronaviruses genome varies from 25.0 to 32.0 kb and have high sequence variation which favors the possible recombination and emergence of new virus strains with novel characters in the extended hosts [9].

The RNA interference (RNAi) is an important biological process found in many eukaryotes in which RNA molecules inhibit gene expression. Two molecules (miRNA and siRNAs) are known as central to RNAi. The main function of siRNA is to downregulate and silence the specific gene by degrading the mRNA after transcription [10]. The regulatory role of RNAi in gene expression has been shown in many **in vitro** and **in vivo** studies [11]. Short interfering RNAs (siRNA) are short sequences of RNA that varies from 21 to 23 base pairs with 5’ phosphate group and a 3’ hydroxyl group. They function by binding to a specific protein known as RNA induced silencing complex (RISC), RISC then forms a new complex with specific RNA sequences and degrades the RNA resulting into silencing of mRNA expression [12]. As all siRNAs are not equally potent, simple rules have been suggested for the computational selection of efficient siRNAs. The designing softwares combining the structural features of the targeted RNAs as well as the sequence features of the siRNAs are the good choice.

Currently, the siRNA approach has been implemented to inhibit virus replication in cell culture against many viruses like HIV [13], Flock house virus (FHV) [14], Rous sarcoma virus [15], Dengue virus [16],
Hepatitis C virus (HCV) [17], Influenza virus [18,19], Hepatitis B virus (HBV) [20,21], Human Papillomavirus (HPV) [22], SARS coronavirus [23] etc. The \textit{in silico} designing of siRNA helps in predicting potential siRNAs candidates with high target specificity and reduced off-target effects. Before designing of potential siRNAs, various issues should be considered like conserved genome sequences, off-target effects, siRNA folding, thermodynamics, target accessibility, stability and immuno-stimulation of siRNAs. Many investigations have provided the basic rules and guidelines for siRNAs designing [24-26].

The \textit{in vitro} efficacy of siRNA was reported for the inhibition of other coronaviruses. Cytopathic effect was specifically inhibited by siRNA targeting viral RNA polymerases of SARS-CoV in Vero cells [27]. The titer and levels of viral proteins were reduced to indicate blocking of viral replication. S gene of SARS-CoV was selectively silenced by DNA vector-driven siRNA in SARS-infected 293T cells. Another study showed that SARS-CoV infection and replication in fetal rhesus kidney cells (FRhK-4) was inhibited by 3 siRNA duplexes targeting viral RNA polymerases, and one targeting the S gene [28]. The siRNA duplexes had a prolonged prophylactic effect, with \leq 90\% inhibition of transcription, lasting for \geq 72 h. Combinations of siRNA duplexes against multiple targets in the viral genome showed \leq 80\% inhibition. Therapeutic siRNAs and miRNAs are found to be the most promising biopharmaceuticals in commercial space as oligonucleotide-based next-generation medicines. Currently, miRNA- and siRNA-based candidate drugs are being evaluated in \sim 20 clinical trials [29].

For MERS-CoV, two studies have reported \textit{in silico} design of siRNA and miRNA against orf1ab replicase polyprotein using computational methods for possible use as antiviral therapeutics against MERS-CoV [30,31]. Recently, we have also reviewed the design and delivery of potential siRNAs for MERS-CoV [26]. In the present study, we report the \textit{in silico} design, synthesis and cytotoxic effect of seven siRNAs targeting the orf1ab region of the MERS-CoV viral genome.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sequence isolation and analysis

Total seventeen Orf 1ab gene sequences of MERS-CoV isolated from human and camels were downloaded from GenBank. Sequences were multiple aligned using BioEdit sequence alignment tool and ClustalW software, the accession numbers and their sequence identity matrix are presented in Table 1.

2.2 \textit{In silico} prediction and Scoring of siRNAs

The MERS-CoV genome sequences were retrieved from NCBI database submitted from various countries and orf1ab gene was extracted for multiple sequence alignment and siRNA prediction. The overall flow for prediction and selection of potential siRNAs has been presented in Figure 1. The characteristics and thermodynamic properties of predicted siRNAs are presented in Table 2.

2.3 Screening of Off-Targets

We used two different phases of selection to avoid any off-target binding of the designed siRNAs. We performed BLAST and Smith-Waterman algorithms as implemented in ParAlign to find out the near complimentary and similarity match with human mRNA sequences in NCBI database and increase the validity percentage of the siRNAs and filtered out the siRNAs with reduced off-targets effect [32-34]. In the next phase, siRNAs were filtered through the exclusion of seed-region like human mRNA [35].

2.4 Thermodynamics, Target Accessibility, and secondary structure prediction

The thermodynamics and target accessibility were performed by using RNAxs tool [36,37] and secondary structure of siRNA was designed utilizing RNAfold server (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at). This program helps in the calculation of minimum free energy and partition function of RNAs by reading the RNA sequences.

2.5 Final selection and chemical synthesis of siRNAs

The siRNAs were finally selected based on the best parameters following the rule of Ui-Tei, Reynolds and Amarzguioui [24,38-40]. The predicted siRNAs against the targeted Orf 1ab gene of MERS-CoV were chemically synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (USA) (Table 2).

3 Cytotoxicity evaluation of siRNAs in cell culture

3.1 Cell Culture and siRNAs transfection

The Vero cells (ATCCCCCL-81) were grown and maintained in complete DMEM medium at 37°C and 5% CO\textsubscript{2} and used for siRNA transfection by reverse transfection. The Vero cells (1 x10\textsuperscript{6}) were plated into 96-well plates (in triplicates) with 60-80\% confluency and transfected with siRNAs using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA) as transfection reagent following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the complex was
prepared by diluting 50μM siRNAs stocks at various concentration (0.01 to 50 nM) in 100μl Opti-MEM medium by adding Lipofectamine and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature. The siRNA-lipid complex (1μl) was added to the Vero cells at various siRNA concentration (0.01-50nM) and mixed gently. Vero cells without siRNA, cells with Opti-MEM and cells with Lipofectamine were used as negative controls. The transfected cells were incubated for three days at 37°C. After 72 hours post-transfection cytotoxicity assay was performed on the transfected cells.

3.4 Cytotoxicity Assay

The cytotoxicity of siRNA-Lipofectamine 2000 complex on Vero cells was evaluated by MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay. After 72 hours post-transfection, media containing transfection reagents were removed and 100 μl of fresh media was added to the Vero cells. The MTT assay was performed by using Vybrant™ MTT Cell Proliferation Assay kit (Invitrogen) as per manufacturer’s instructions. A total of 10μl MTT stock solution (12mM) was added and incubated at 37°C for four hours. The formazan crystals were dissolved in 100μL SDS-HCL solution by further incubation at 37°C for four hours. The samples were mixed thoroughly, and absorbance was measured at 570nm using SpectraMax i3x imaging cytometer. All the experiments were performed in triplicates and the mean value of OD was used for calculating cytotoxicity using the standard formula.

3. Results

3.1 MERS-CoV genome analysis, in silico prediction, and selection of potential siRNAs

After performing the whole genome sequence analysis of MERS-CoV, we selected the orf1ab gene as a target region for further sequence analysis. The multiple sequence alignment results showed high conservation among all the sequences analyzed. Multiple sequence alignment of the MERS-CoV strains showed that Orf 1ab gene to be highly conserved. In silico analysis and scoring of potential siRNAs targeting the targeted Orf 1ab gene of the MERS-CoV was performed using online software siDirect 2.0 [24,39,40]. Potential siRNAs with no off-target matches with any human mRNA sequences were filtered and selected. The outline for in silico prediction, filtration and final selection of potential siRNA with high scores without off-target effects has been given in figure 1. During in silico prediction, many siRNAs were found to fulfill the less favorable criteria targeting a region in the orf1ab genome of the viral genome. By using this strategy, we selected total twenty-one functional, off-target reduced potential siRNA from four hundred and sixty-two siRNAs based on their predicted high specificity and potency and the resulting candidates were further narrowed down to only seven siRNA as per the guidelines and rule of Ui-Tei, Reynolds and Amarzguioui [24,39,40]. The predicted siRNAs were expected to be highly specific and potent against MERS-CoV.

3.2 Target accessibility and secondary structure prediction

The thermodynamic properties and target accessibility were performed using RNAxs tool and results are presented in figure 2, table 2. The target accessibility analysis provided the binding position of each siRNAs at starting point along the Orf1ab gene of the viral genome such as siRNA-1:110, siRNA-2:32, siRNA-3:1184, siRNA-4:180, siRNA-5:1230, siRNA-6:291, siRNA-7:5167 of the viral genome. The secondary structure prediction was performed using RNAfold with only seven siRNAs sequences to screen initially about the performance of selected siRNAs in the cell culture and the structures are presented in figure 3. Based on results obtained using online software the results of thermodynamic ensemble prediction, the minimum free energy was observed to be -2508.09 kcal/mol and the ensemble diversity was 1611.05 for partial Orf 1ab genome of MERS-CoV.

3.3 siRNA transfection and Cytotoxicity Assay

The reverse transfection using Lipofectamine 2000 was performed to mediate the delivery of siRNAs to Vero cells. The cytotoxicity results are presented in figure 4 and table 3. The cytotoxicity was evaluated for seven siRNAs to screen the performance of these siRNAs in Vero cells and cytotoxic effects of the selected siRNA were found to be concentration dependent. The CC50 was observed to be variable for each siRNAs: siRNA-1: 220.2, siRNA-2:408.3, siRNA-3:345.2, siRNA-4:322.9, siRNA-5:270.7, siRNA-6:491.6, siRNA-7:187.3. None of the tested siRNAs showed cytotoxicity to Vero cells up to 50nm concentration.
**Figure 1**: Flow diagram of *in silico* prediction and design of potential siRNAs against MERS-CoV orf1ab.
Figure 2: Target accessibility of potential siRNAs by RNAxs programme.
Figure 3: Secondary structure generated by RNAfold and binding position of potential siRNAs.

Figure 4: Cytotoxicity of different siRNAs at various concentrations (50-0.01Nm)
### Table 1. Sequence Identity Matrix of Selected MERS-CoV based on full genome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession numbers</th>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Hosts</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>% Identity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KF958702</td>
<td>Jeddah</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KU851859</td>
<td>Jeddah</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF192507</td>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT156561</td>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT861628</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KX034100</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT036372</td>
<td>Chia</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KP223131</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC019843</td>
<td>Netherland</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF745068</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT225476</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KJ556336</td>
<td>Jeddah</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>92.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF917527</td>
<td>Jeddah</td>
<td>Camel</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KX108946</td>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>Camel</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KJ650098</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>Camel</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT368879</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Camel</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KJ477102</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Camel</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. List of predicted potential siRNAs in MERS-CoV orf1ab gene (KF958702-Jeddah).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.#</th>
<th>location of siRNAs (Start-End)</th>
<th>Target sequence</th>
<th>Predicted potential RNA oligo sequences (5′→3′)</th>
<th>Free energy folding</th>
<th>Seed-duplex stability (Tm/°C)</th>
<th>Target Accessibility position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>791-813 AGCAATCTATTTTTA</td>
<td>UAAUAGUAUAUAUAAGAUUGCU CAACUCUAUUUCUACAUAAU</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>6.3/6.6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1615-1637 ATGGATAATGCTATTAAGTGTGG</td>
<td>AACAUUAUAUGCAUAUCUCAUG</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>6.9/8.7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1910-1932 GCGACTTTATGTCTACAATTATT</td>
<td>UAAUUGUAGCAUAAAGUGGC</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>6.9/4.6</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4018-4040 GACACTTTAGTAGTATCTTACA</td>
<td>UAAAGUAUCAUCAUAAAGUGCU</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>6.6/9.8</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5597-5619 ATGCTATTAGTGTGAAGTATTAT</td>
<td>UAAACUCAAAUCAUAAAGCAUGGCU</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>13.3/2.8</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5598-5620 TGCTATTAGTGTGAGTTTTAATA</td>
<td>UAAACUCAAAUCAUAAAGCAUGGCU</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>4.9/6.3</td>
<td>291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5819-5841 GAGCTAGTGGCTCGTAAATTTTT</td>
<td>AAAUUUGACGCAAACAUAGCUC</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>7.4/9.8</td>
<td>5167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Mean OD value and cytotoxicity of different siRNAs at various concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations (nM)</th>
<th>siRNAs-1</th>
<th>siRNAs-2</th>
<th>siRNAs-3</th>
<th>siRNAs-4</th>
<th>siRNAs-5</th>
<th>siRNAs-6</th>
<th>siRNAs-7</th>
<th>CC 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>220.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>408.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>345.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>322.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>270.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>491.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>187.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>345.2</td>
<td>322.9</td>
<td>270.7</td>
<td>491.6</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>220.2</td>
<td>408.3</td>
<td>270.7</td>
<td>491.6</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

Since the discovery of the MERS-CoV in 2012, tremendous research has been made globally to fill in gaps in the existing knowledge and have provided detailed and advanced information about MERS-CoV (PromedMail, 2019; WHO 2019). Still, more research is needed especially in the area of vaccines and drug discovery. The treatment of various diseases by using RNA-based therapeutics has shown promising results and continuous development with improved technologies are evolving. Several studies have investigated the important role of RNAi technology in the fight against many viral diseases [41-43]. The technology provides a very specific route to silence the function of the desired gene and is being used to develop therapeutics against many diseases [29]. Earlier studies were faced by obstacles like off-target binding, delivery, and stability and stimulation of immune responses which significantly contributed to hampering the use of RNAi technology as therapeutics. But, continuous research have successfully overcome these hindrances and the technology is now in clinical trials for use as therapeutics against several infectious diseases [44-51]. The specificity and potency of predicted siRNAs can be improved using updated softwares [24, 39].

Recently, multiple siRNAs against HCV 5’-NTR have been designed and tested. The results showed that HCV321, HCV353, HCV258 siRNA were the most efficient siRNAs against HCV replication [50, 52]. The in silico predicted siRNAs were expected to be more efficacious to inhibit the MERS-CoV replication.

The MERS-CoV replication process initiates by the binding of the viral particle with cellular receptors through the S-protein. ORF1ab includes two-thirds of the coronaviruses genome, is responsible for encoding non-structural proteins [53]. The RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and helicase proteins that are encoded by ORF1ab are involved in the transcription and replication of the virus by forming replication-transcription complexes [54]. The replication-transcription complexes assemble at the perinuclear regions and associate with double-membrane vesicles derived from the endoplasmic reticulum [55]. ORF1ab gene was selected as a target for this study for several reasons, first it is long enough to give a chance for design and selection of multiple candidate siRNAs, second because of its role in viral transcription and replication making it a possible target to control the virus replication and last because it showed high similarities (>99% based on multiple sequence alignment) among MERS-CoV strains retrieved from GenBank. Earlier studies have previously reported the design of siRNAs and miRNAs against MERS-CoV-Orf1ab gene using bioinformatics tools [30, 31] but their cytotoxicity and antiviral activities have not been evaluated. The potential of RNAi technology for the treatment of viral infections has encouraged us to investigate their potential as antiviral therapy candidates against MERS-CoV.

As a preliminary test for the proof of concept, our primary objective was to in silico predict and design a potential siRNA. Then the second objective was to evaluate the cytotoxicity study of predicted siRNAs into Vero cells. In this study, we have predicted, designed potential siRNAs targeting the MERS-CoV-Orf1ab gene by using automated online software by filtering and excluding the off-target effects [24, 39]. Based on the
extensive bioinformatics analysis, the outputs resulted into multiple potential siRNAs, but we selected only seven siRNAs against the conserved target MERS-CoV ORF1ab gene with improved target accessibility and high expected antiviral potency and no off-target effect. The designed siRNAs were chemically synthesized and we evaluated the cytotoxicity of seven synthetic potential siRNAs in Vero cells using Lipofectamine 2000 mediated delivery and found them to be non-toxic with a CC50>50nM.

The rationale behind in silico designing is briefly discussed. The selection of potential siRNA starts with the target sequence input that is then reverse complemented to generate antisense siRNA 1-nt shifted overlapping 21-nt siRNAs representing putative guide sequences, excluding 21-nt siRNAs containing unwanted motifs or their complements. Amongst rest, active siRNA molecules are calculated, screened and predicted based on various sets of parameters (like base-preference rules, duplex-related issues) related to the sequences and secondary structures of the guide strands and to the secondary structure of the target mRNA. Sequences with potential identity to off-targets are further excluded using BLASTn or S–W analyses. Otherwise, if few promising or nil candidates get predicted, more antisense siRNAs can be generated and selected by using the option of sequence space expansion. Space of guide RNAs can be expanded by performing A to G and C to U base exchanges within guide sequences, which induce wobble pairing with the target but preserve target complementarity and thus silencing activity. This escalates the complementary guide siRNAs number by more than a factor of thousand for target sequences. However, this computational method may be biased towards the inception of active guide sequences and/or structures. Based on the empirical scoring functions, the selected candidate sequences are finally ranked [56]. Thermodynamically during RNAs interaction, the total binding energy is the sum of hybridization energy and breaking energy. Binding can occur only at positions free from prior intramolecular base pairs. The base pairs within the target site must be opened and made accessible, and so the energy used is named as disruption or breaking energy [57]. After the binding site is free of any secondary structure, intermolecular helices are formed, yielding stabilizing interaction energy. siRNA design tools (namely OligoWalk, Sirna, RNAxs) perform siRNA design aided by target accessibility criteria.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the in silico predicted and designed potential siRNAs against a specific target of MERS-CoV orf1ab gene can be utilized to develop oligonucleotide-based therapeutics without off-target effects, high efficiency, and improved specificity. The results generated in this study has provided preliminary information to evaluate the virus inhibitory effect of siRNAs in multiple cells culture systems against MERS-CoV. This novel technology for the prediction of potential siRNA can be utilized against viruses for new anti-viral therapeutics after cell-based validation. The recent developments with improved technology to use therapeutic miRNA and siRNA shows the most valuable and significant breakthroughs not only in therapeutic molecules development but also in intellectual property rights and therapeutic business for pharmaceuticals industries against multiple diseases.
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