Evaluating the Adsorbed Water Layer on Polar Stationary Phases for Hydrophilic Interaction Chromatography (HILIC)

Yong Guo 1*, Nidhi Bhalodia 1, Bassel Fattal 1, and Ioannis Serris 1

1 Fairleigh Dickinson University, School of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Florham Park, NJ 07932, USA; nidhiab@student.fdu.edu (N.B.); basse92@student.fdu.edu (B.F.); serris@student.fdu.edu (I.S.)

* Correspondence: yongguo@fdu.edu; Tel.: +01-973-443-8415 (Y.G.)

Abstract: The water-rich liquid layer immobilized on the surface of the polar stationary phases is critical to the retention of polar compounds in hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC). Although the presence of the adsorbed water layer has been investigated and confirmed by multiple techniques, there is a lack of quantitative measure that can be easily determined and linked to chromatographic parameters. This study proposes a simple measure termed volume ratio (the ratio of the adsorbed water layer volume and the mobile phase volume) that provides a relative, but quantitative information on the adsorbed water layer and may be linked to the phase ratio. The volume ratio can be easily determined using toluene elution volume. The volume ratio values are measured in 25 polar stationary phases in various mobile phase conditions. In addition to the acetonitrile content in the mobile phase, ammonium acetate concentration in the mobile phase and column temperature also have significant influences on the volume ratio and the adsorbed water layer.
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1. Introduction

Hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) offers practical advantages to the separation of polar compounds over reversed-phase liquid chromatography (RPLC) and has been widely applied to challenging analysis in biomedical and pharmaceutical fields [1-6]. The retention mechanism in HILIC, on the other hand, has been shown to be very complicated possibly involving multiple interactions [7,8]. As postulated by Alpert in his seminal HILIC paper, a major retention mechanism is based on partitioning of polar solutes between a hydrophobic mobile phase and a water-rich liquid layer immobilized on the surface of the polar stationary phase [9]. In addition, other polar interactions (e.g., adsorption and hydrogen bonding) may also be involved depending on the stationary phase chemistry and solute structures [9-11]. If both the stationary phase and the solutes are charged in the mobile phase, electrostatic interactions, either attractive or repulsive, can have significant effects on retention [7,11-13]. The attractive interactions between oppositely charged stationary phases and solute molecules enhance the retention; whereas the repulsive interactions reduce the retention, but may provide a unique separation mechanism as in electro-repulsive liquid interaction chromatography (ERLIC) [14].

Based on the hydrophilic partitioning model, the water-rich liquid layer immobilized on the surface of the polar stationary phase is critical to the retention of polar solutes in HILIC. The existence of the water-rich liquid layer has been investigated and confirmed in recent studies using various techniques including frontal analysis, 1H-NMR, coulometric titration, and molecular dynamic simulation [15-18]. Uwe and McCalley used the toluene elution time to estimate the percentage of the pore volume occupied by the water-rich liquid layer in the polar stationary phases [19]. Dinh and co-workers determined water uptake on the polar stationary phases by coulometric titration and...
estimated both pore occupancies and water layer thickness [17]. The NMR data and molecular
dynamic simulation demonstrate the complex structure of the adsorbed water layer [15,18]. The
immobilized water-rich liquid layer on the polar surface is not homogenous and has a complex
structure with a tightly bound water layer close to the polar surface and a diffuse layer with a
gradually increasing acetonitrile component.

The data of pore occupancy and water layer thickness is related to solute retention based on
hydrophilic partitioning, but is very difficult to quantitatively correlated to any chromatographic
parameters. Dinh and co-workers converted the water uptake data to the phase ratio for a limited
number of polar stationary phases; however, no significant correlation was found between the
retention factors and the phase ratio [17]. In addition, the water uptake data was obtained by
coulometric titration, which required the availability of the packing materials and was not practical
to perform on a routine basis. A more practical approach is needed to generate a quantitative measure
for the adsorbed water on the polar stationary phases, which can also be linked to chromatographic
parameters. The quantitative measure can facilitate the evaluation of various polar stationary phases
and may provide a better understanding of the retention mechanism in HILIC.

Instead of measuring the absolute amount or volume of the adsorbed water layer, a quantitative
ratio of the volumes of the adsorbed water layer and the mobile phase is proposed for the evaluation
of the polar stationary phases. A simple method based on toluene elution volume was developed to
measure the volume ratio without the need to acquire the packing materials. This method was used
to generate the volume ratio data for 25 polar stationary phases with different surface chemistry and
packing properties selected for this study. Experimental factors that can influence the volume ratio
have also been investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

All the polar stationary phases selected for this study were either purchased from or kindly
donated by the column manufacturers. Table 1 presents the details of all the stationary phases
including stationary phase chemistry, particle size, pore size and column dimension. HPLC grade
acetonitrile (ACN) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Water was obtained
from an in-house Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Bedford, USA). Ammonium acetate
(ultrapure grade) was provided by Amresco (Solon, OH, USA). Stock solutions of ammonium acetate
(100 and 200 mM) was prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of ammonium acetate in
purified water. The pH of the stock ammonium acetate solutions was in the range of 6.8 – 7.0 and no
additional pH adjustment was made. The mobile phase was mixed online by quaternary gradient
pumps from acetonitrile, water, and the ammonium acetate stock solutions at various proportions to
achieve the desired acetonitrile content and ammonium acetate concentration. Toluene was spiked
into a mixture of ACN and water with the composition matching that of the mobile phase as much
as possible. Toluene concentration was adjusted to obtain an appropriate peak size.

An Agilent 1260 HPLC system (Palo alto, CA, USA) equipped with an online vacuum degasser,
a quaternary gradient pump, an autosampler, a thermostatted column compartment, and a variable
UV detector was used for all the experiments. Toluene was detected at 254 nm and the elution time
was recorded by ChemStation for LC and LC/MS (Rev. C. 01. 06., Agilent Technologies). The flow
rates (1.0, 0.5 and 0.2 mL/min) were adjusted based on the column inner diameters (4.6, 3.0 and 2.1
mm ID columns). The injection volume was 2 µL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column name</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Column Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Stationary phase type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stationary phase type</th>
<th>Particle size (µm)</th>
<th>Pore size (Å)</th>
<th>Dimension (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACE HILIC-A Acidic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.6 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE HILIC-B Basic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.6 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE HILIC-N Neutral</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.6 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortecs HILIC Silica</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.0 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantis HILIC Silica</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4.6 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBridge Amide Amide</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2.1 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accucore Amide Amide</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2.1 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accucore Urea Urea</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.1 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSkgel-Amide 80 Amide</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.6 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiliChrom HILIC Urea</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.6 x 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CosmoSil HILIC Triazole</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.6 x 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUNA HILIC Cross-linked diol</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>4.6 x 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMC-Pack NH2 Amino</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.6 x 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMC Diol-NP Diol</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.6 x 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMC PVA-Sil Polyvinyl alcohol</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.6 x 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epic HILIC-HC Polyhydroxyl</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.6 x 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyethyl A 2-Hydroxyethyl aspartamide</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.6 x 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIC-HILIC Zwitterionic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4.6 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIC-cHILIC Zwitterionic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.6 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iHILIC-Fusion Zwitterionic</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.0 x 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iHILIC-Fusion (+) Zwitterionic</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.0 x 150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

3.1. The volume ratio

Toluene is considered unable to penetrate into the water layer adsorbed on the surface of polar stationary phases and has been used to estimate the pore volume occupied by the adsorbed water layer [19]. Toluene elution volume has been found to increase with the acetonitrile content in the mobile phase, indicating a diminishing water layer at higher acetonitrile levels [10, 20]. Both coulometric titration and frontal analysis demonstrate that the amount of adsorbed water on the polar surface is minimal when the acetonitrile content is above 99% (v/v) [16,17]. Hence, it is reasonable to assume that the residual amount of water remaining on the polar surface is negligible in pure acetonitrile. The toluene elution volume measured in pure acetonitrile should be very close to the sum of the pore volume and interstitial volume. The difference between the toluene elution volumes in pure acetonitrile ($V_{ACN}$) and the mobile phase volume ($V_M$) provides a good estimation of the volume of the adsorbed layer ($V_W$):
Since toluene is not retained on the polar stationary phases, the toluene elution volume also represents the mobile phase volume. A volume ratio (\(\beta\)) of the adsorbed water layer and the mobile phase is calculated for the mobile phase containing specific acetonitrile levels:

\[
\beta = \frac{V_W}{V_M} = \frac{V_{ACN}}{V_M} - 1
\]

The volume ratio (\(\beta\)) is the volume of the adsorbed water layer normalized by the mobile phase volume and can be used as an indicator of the adsorbed water layer in specific mobile phase conditions. In the hydrophilic partitioning model, the adsorbed water layer can be considered as \textit{de facto} stationary phase, the volume ratio would be the same as the phase ratio. In the mobile phase conditions where other retention mechanisms (e.g., adsorption) have significant contributions to the overall retention, the adsorbed water layer does not represent the entire stationary phase and the volume ratio (\(\beta\)) is not the same as the actual phase ratio.

Dinh and co-workers calculated the phase ratio values of 12 columns using the water uptake data [17]. Five columns, namely, ZIC-HILIC (200 Å), ZIC-cHILIC (100 Å), Atlantis HILIC (110 Å), TSKgel-Amide 80 (100 Å) and Polyhydroxyethyl A (200 Å) were also included in this study. The volume ratio values (\(\beta\)) of the five stationary phases were determined using the toluene elution volume in the same mobile phase condition (80% ACN and 5 mM ammonium acetate) as in Dinh's study. Figure 1 shows the plot of the volume ratio values (\(\beta\)) determined by the toluene elution volume and the phase ratio calculated from the water uptake data. A significant correlation (\(r^2=0.915\)) was obtained by linear regression, indicating that the new method based on toluene elution volume is able to provide a reasonable estimate of the volume of the adsorbed water layer. It is also noted that the volume ratio values based on the toluene elution volume are slightly higher than the phase ratio calculated from the water uptake data (Figure 1). A recent molecular dynamics study has demonstrated that the immobilized liquid layer on the polar surface is not homogenous and has a complex structure with a tightly bound water layer close to the polar surface and a diffuse layer with a gradually increasing acetonitrile component [18]. The water uptake data determined by the coulometric titration method in Dinh's study is only the amount of water in both the bound and diffuse layers. The solubility of toluene is reported to be only 0.11% in the water-acetonitrile mixture containing 8.35% acetonitrile [21]. The toluene elution volume depends on the depth of penetration into the diffusion layer and definitely includes some acetonitrile in the diffuse layer. Hence, the volume of the adsorbed water layer probed by toluene should be slightly higher than the volume of pure water in the immobilized water-rich liquid layer.
Figure 1. Correlation of the volume ratio based on the toluene elution time and the phase ratio based on the water uptake data. Columns labels: 1 – Atlantis HILIC column (5 µm, 96 Å, 250 mm), 2 – ZIC-HILIC (3.5 µm, 200 Å, 150 mm), 3 – Hydroxyethyl A column (5 µm, 100 Å, 200 mm), 4 – TSKgel Amide-80 column (3.5 µm, 100 Å, 100 mm), and 5 – ZIC-cHILIC column (3 µm, 100 Å, 150 mm).

The volume ratio values were determined for all the selected stationary phases using the toluene elution volume in the mobile phase containing 75%, 85% or 90% ACN and 5 mM ammonium acetate. As shown in Figure 2, the volume ratio varies significantly among the stationary phases investigated in this study. TSKgel-Amide 80 column was found to have the highest volume ratio among all the selected stationary phases. The zwitterionic phases have been reported to have relatively thicker water layers [16,17]. Some zwitterionic phases (e.g., ZIC-chILIC, iHILIC-fusion and ZIC-HILIC phases) exhibited relatively higher volume ratio values. However, it is noticed that the volume ratio was lower for two ZIC-HILIC phases (ZIC-HILIC-1 and ZIC-HILIC-2 in Figure 2) both with a large pore size (200 Å). The ZIC-HILIC phase with the pore size of 200 Å had a significantly lower volume ratio than the one with the pore size of 100 Å but the same particle size (3 µm). In contrast, the bare silica phases (e.g., Cortecs HILIC and Atlantis HILIC) showed very small volume ratios in line with low water adsorption and water uptake data as previously reported [16,17]. It is also interesting to note that relatively low volume ratio values were observed in the superficially porous silica-based phases (Cortecs HILIC, Accurcore Urea and Accurcore Amide) probably because the superficially porous materials had smaller total surface area. In addition, only a small difference in the volume ratio was found in the stationary phases (Atlantis HILIC, LUNA HILIC and ZIC-HILIC) with different particle size but similar pore size.

Figure 2. The phase ratio values ($\beta$) for the selected stationary phases in the mobile phase containing 75%, 85% and 90% ACN. All the mobile phase contains 5 mM ammonium acetate. Column temperature is 25°C.

3.2. Factors affecting the volume ratio

It is well known that the acetonitrile content in the mobile phase has a direct effect on the adsorbed water layer [10,20]. The effect of the mobile phase composition is expected to impact the volume ratio values. Figure 2 shows the volume ratio values of all the stationary phases measured in the mobile phase containing 75%, 85% and 90% acetonitrile. In general, the volume ratio decreases as the acetonitrile content increases from 75% to 85% and 90% in the mobile phase, indicating a diminished adsorbed water layer. Comparing to the volume ratio in the mobile phase containing 75% acetonitrile, the volume ratio decreases by 26 ± 5% (range 17 – 41%) and 45 ± 5% (range 35 – 60%) in
the mobile phase containing 85% and 90% acetonitrile, respectively. It is worth noting that there is still a significant volume of the adsorbed water layer in the mobile phase containing 90% acetonitrile. This implies that hydrophilic partitioning may play an important role in determining the retention in the mobile phase with high acetonitrile content.

Salt concentration in the mobile phase has been observed to have a significant effect on the retention of polar compounds in HILIC even in the mobile phase condition where there are no electrostatic interactions between the solutes and the stationary phase [10,13,21]. The toluene elution time was found to decrease with increasing salt concentration in previous studies [10,20]. This would be translated into an increase in the volume ratio values. The volume ratio values of three stationary phases (ZIC-HILIC, XBridge Amide and LUNA HILIC) were determined in the mobile phase containing various acetonitrile levels and ammonium acetate concentration. Ammonium acetate concentration ranged 4 – 40 mM in the mobile phase containing 75% and 80% acetonitrile, but was decreased to 30 mM and 20 mM in the mobile phase containing 85% and 90% acetonitrile out of solubility concerns. As shown in Figure 3-5, the volume ratio values of the three stationary phases increase in a non-linear fashion with ammonium acetate concentration in all the mobile phase conditions. The increase in the volume ratio provides a more direct evidence that there is an expansion of the adsorbed water layer, most likely the diffuse layer at higher ammonium acetate concentrations as originally speculated by the author [13]. The volume ratio data in the mobile phase containing various levels of acetonitrile reveals that ammonium acetate concentration seems to have a more significant effect on the adsorbed water layer when the acetonitrile level is high in the mobile phase (85% and 90%). The volume ratio values for the ZIC-HILIC and XBridge Amide phases in the mobile phase containing 85% acetonitrile are even higher than that in the mobile phase containing 75% and 80% acetonitrile at 30 mM ammonium acetate (Figure 3 and 4). In comparison, the volume ratio of the LUNA HILIC phase increases less dramatically in the mobile phase containing higher levels of acetonitrile (85% and 90%) possibly due to its weaker ability to retain the adsorbed water layer (Figure 5). These results demonstrate that increasing the salt concentration can be an important means to manipulate the adsorbed water layer and in turn the retention in HILIC. It should be pointed out that these results are on valid for ammonium acetate. Caution should be taken when extending the conclusion to other types of salts as point out by Alpert [21].

Figure 3. The effect of ammonium acetate concentration on the volume ratio values (β) for ZIC-HILIC (3.5 µm, 100 Å, 4.6 x150 mm). Column temperature is 25°C.
Figure 4. The effect of ammonium acetate concentration on the volume ratio values ($\beta$) for XBridge Amide (3.5 µm, 142 Å, 4.6 x 150 mm). Column temperature is 25°C.

Temperature was observed to have an influence on the toluene elution time in our previous study [10]. The temperature effect was further investigated in this study in the mobile phase containing multiple levels of acetonitrile (80%, 90% and 95%). Figure 6-8 show the volume ratio values for three stationary phases (TSKgel-Amide 80, ZIC-HILIC-3 and LUNA HILIC) in the temperature range of 10 – 50°C. In general, increasing temperature leads to a decrease in the volume ratio in all the mobile phase conditions, indicating that the adsorbed water layer is reduced at higher temperature. The temperature effect seems to be more prominent in the mobile phase containing 80% acetonitrile. Hydrogen bonding is believed to be involved in the formation of the adsorbed water layer on the polar surfaces [18], and the strength of hydrogen bonding is temperature dependent [23]. Increasing temperature may weaken hydrogen bonds holding water molecules together, thus reducing the adsorbed water layer.

Figure 5. The effect of ammonium acetate concentration on the volume ratio values ($\beta$) for LUNA HILIC (3.0 µm, 187 Å, 4.6 x 150 mm). Column temperature is 25°C.
Figure 6. The effect of column temperature on the volume ratio (β) values for TSKgel Amide-80 column (3.5 µm, 100 Å, 4.6 x 150 mm). The mobile phase contains 5 mM ammonium acetate.

Figure 7. The effect of column temperature on the volume ratio (β) values for XBridge Amide column (3.5 µm, 142 Å, 4.6 x 150 mm). The mobile phase contains 5 mM ammonium acetate.
Figure 8. The effect of column temperature on the volume ratio ($\beta$) values for LUNA HILIC column (3.0 µm, 187 Å, 4.6 x 150 mm). The mobile phase contains 5 mM ammonium acetate.

4. Conclusions

The volume ratio is proposed to be a quantitative measure of the adsorbed water layer on the surface of the polar stationary phases in HILIC and can be easily determined using the toluene elution volume. Significant correlation between the volume ratio values and the water uptake data from coulometric titration suggests that the volume ratio is a valid alternative measure of the adsorbed water layer. In the hydrophilic partitioning model, the volume ratio would be the same as the phase ratio, which may be directly related to the retention in HILIC. The volume ratio values were generated for 25 stationary phases including those commonly used in HILIC separation. The results indicate that the adsorbed water layer varies significantly in the stationary phases with different surface chemistry. The pore size seems to be a more important factor than the particle size in influencing the adsorbed water layer. In addition, the acetonitrile content and salt concentrations in the mobile phase have significant effects on the volume ratio. Higher acetonitrile content decreases the volume ratio and reduces the adsorbed water layer, but high ammonium acetate concentration has the opposite effect. Higher column temperature is also found to diminish the adsorbed water layer especially in the mobile phases containing lower levels of acetonitrile. These findings have a great potential to be used as the effective means in adjusting the retention in method development.
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