

1 Review

2 Dysbiosis promotes Gastric Diseases and Impede 3 Therapies by hijacking Gut Immune Homeostasis

4 Devinder Toor ^{1,†}, Mishi Wasson ^{1,†}, Chhavi Goel ¹, Naveen Kumar Kaushik ¹, Prashant Kumar ¹,
5 Sandhya Singh ², G. Karthikeyan ¹, Anil Kumar ³, Hridayesh Prakash ^{1,*}

6 ¹ Amity Institute of Virology and Immunology, Amity University, Sector 125, NOIDA Uttar Pradesh, India

7 ² Department of Animal Biology, School of Life Sciences, University of Hyderabad, 500046, Hyderabad,
8 Telengana, India

9 ³ National Institute of Immunology, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi 110067, India

10 † These authors contributed equally to the study

11 * correspondence: hprakash@amity.edu; Tel.: 00-91-120-4392961

12 **Abstract:** Perturbation in the microbial population / colony index has harmful consequences on
13 human health. Both biological and social factors influence the composition of the gut microbiota
14 and promote gastric diseases. Changes in the gut microbiota manifest in disease progression owing
15 to epigenetic modification in host which influences differentiation and function of immune cells
16 adversely. Uncontrolled use of antibiotics; chemotherapeutic drugs and change in the diet pattern
17 usually contribute to the changes in the colony index of sensitive strains known to release microbial
18 content in the tissue micromillieu. Ligands released from dying microbes induce TLR mimicry on
19 interaction with TLR abnormally which skew hypoxia and sterile inflammation contributing to
20 severity of disease like IBD autoimmunity and cancer. Various modalities / interventions practiced
21 across the globe and future strategies for microbiota based therapeutic approaches with special
22 emphasis on tumor and inflammatory diseases are reviewed here. Therefore the major aim and
23 scope of this manuscript is to both discuss various modalities / interventions across the globe and
24 to design future microbiota based therapeutic approaches for mitigating the burden with special
25 emphasis on tumor and Inflammatory diseases.

26 **Keywords:** Gut microbiota; macrophages; TLR mimicry; Immunoepigenetics; metabolism; sterile
27 inflammation

28

29 1. Introduction

30 Despite of being the most sophisticated and successful animal of ecosystem, *Homo sapiens* is
31 obliged to various other ecological partners on which it depends for normal life. Among various
32 partners, human and bacteria have evolved together during the course of evolution and symbiosis in
33 between human with various bacteria which live in human gut are vital for a healthy life style of
34 human in many aspects. Human health greatly depends upon its symbiotic association with
35 commensal microorganisms which consists of different species of bacteria; fungi and virus are
36 present in our gut. These microbiota contribute to the metabolic and nutrition which are important
37 for human health and a balance in the composition of these commensal organisms[1]for the
38 homeostasis. Exact composition of human microbiome which is important for the homeostasis still
39 remains largely elusive so far. The best studied Gastro intestinal tract (GI tract) microbiota, which
40 comprises of viruses, bacteria, fungi and protozoa, estimated to be about 100 trillion in numbers.
41 Human body harbors large number of microbiota on skin, gut and / or genital tissues which are
42 beneficial and involved in variety of vital functions. They protect the body from the penetration of
43 pathogenic microbes. These beneficial microbial colonies compete with each other for space and
44 resources. Intestinal or Gut microbes also assist with endogenous turnover of vitamin B complex and
45 other nutrients like minerals and amino acid metabolism and turnover[2].Recent studies have

46 provided compelling evidences that good microbiota also interact with immune system and keep
47 their activity at bay contributing to the homeostatic mechanism however bad gut microbiota interact
48 with immune system differentially and promote non immunogenic / sterile inflammatory reaction
49 which break homeostasis and promote various gastric diseases. Frequent or uncontrolled use of
50 antibiotics, chemotherapeutic drugs and change in dietary pattern has shown to disrupt the
51 microbiome and leads to dysbiosis or dysbacteriosis [3] which is characterized with the imbalance of
52 life supportive microbes. Among various organs, gastrointestinal tract are the most sensitive organs
53 which gets affected by dysbiosis.

54 Recent meeting of WHO has suggested that degree of dysbiosis can control the severity of
55 various disease ranging from metabolic, obesity, malnutrition, diabetes, chronic inflammatory
56 diseases such as *inflammatory bowel disease* (IBD), encompassing *ulcerative colitis* (UC) and Crohn's
57 disease (CD)[4]. Although various advance technology platforms like High throughputs sequencing
58 technologies (HTS) as well as nongenomic techniques have enabled us to understand the influence
59 of the gut Microbiome on human health and disease, however our knowledge in regard of Dysbiosis
60 driven pathogenesis of gastric disease still remained elusive so far. Therefore in view of this and
61 clinical significance, in this article we have discussed various molecular and immunological aspects
62 of dysbiosis with special emphasis on gastrointestinal (GI) disease. We have also uncovered the state-
63 of-the-art tools that can be exploited to study the gut Microbiome and look to future therapeutic
64 options, such as the manipulation of the gut microbiota by probiotics and various immunoregulatory
65 mechanisms[5] for the management of dysbiosis.

66 2. Eco-physiological balance of Gut Microbiota with gut associated lymphoid tissues

67 Normal gut microbiota comprises mostly of several genera of Gram positive Firmicutes and
68 many different Gram negative bacteroidetes like Bacteroides, Prevotella, Parabacteroides and
69 Alistipes. In addition several other phyla including the Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Fusobacteria,
70 Verruco microbia, methanogenic archaea, Eukarya (*protists and fungi*), and other more transient
71 colonizers are found to be part of human GI microbiome. Under normal physiological conditions,
72 symbiotic association of gut microbiota with Gut Associated Lymphoid Tissue (GALT) contributes
73 to immune homeostasis. Both macrophages and M cells of Peyer's patches play an important role in
74 sensing antigens and transport to mucosal lymphoid nodes for immune responses[6]. Interestingly,
75 gut microbiota colony index contributes to the overall health of the host by promoting the maturation
76 and activation of myeloid cells of GALT involved in patrolling to restrict invaders in gut thus
77 prevent disease progression. Many studies have documented that changes in the composition of
78 microbial communities disrupts gut homeostasis which promotes leaky gut, inflammatory bowel
79 disease and allergic inflammation predisposing affected individuals sensitive to develop cancer.
80 Many pre clinical studies suggest that intake of broad spectrum antibiotic efficiently depletes /
81 changes the composition of faecal microbiota and impairs GALT architecture and functions[7].
82 Among various social factors like age, ethnicity, dietary habit and regional environment etc. diet is
83 one of the major factors that affect the composition of the microflora of the intestines[8]. Diet is now
84 established to alter the gut microbiota, M cell hyperplasia and gut infiltration of activated
85 macrophages to induce Th1 immune response in the gut which ultimately leads to malfunction of
86 gut[9]. Chronic and recurrent inflammation in gut triggers oxidative stress which depletes sensitive
87 microbes leaving resistant strains unaffected. This dysbiosis continuously agitate GALT adversely to
88 promote sterile inflammatory response and sensitize the host for chronic gastric disease. Various
89 evidence[10],[11],[12], [13] suggests that changes in intestinal microbiota drive changes in the
90 intercellular tight junctions like desmoglins facilitate the leaky Gut and enhance the interaction of
91 various danger signals (like) released from the dying bacterial cells with immune cells thus promote
92 sterile inflammation. Increasing evidences suggest that dysbiosis is associated with inflammatory
93 bowel disease and wide range of malignancies.

94 3. Change in the Gut Microbiome triggers sterile inflammation and promotes gastric 95 inflammatory disease

96 The gut microbiota and mucosal immunity constantly interact with each other to maintain
97 intestinal homeostasis. However, if this balance is broken, dysfunction of the intestinal immune
98 system occurs that triggers a variety of diseases including IBD. Several studies indicated that
99 intestinal dysbiosis causes an abnormal immune response leading to IBD inflammation and
100 destruction of the gastrointestinal tract. Dysbiosis driven chronic inflammatory and autoimmune
101 diseases is associated with altered expression of pattern-recognition receptors (e.g. TLRs) and
102 downstream signaling. Inside the gut, innate immune cells and non-immune cells, such as intestinal
103 epithelial and stromal cells sense the pathogen-associated molecular patterns on microbial
104 components mediated by their TLRs. Innate immune cells such as dendritic cells and macrophages
105 sense PAMPs through TLRs initiating rapid and effective inflammatory responses against microbial
106 invasion.

107 Next generation sequencing technology has enabled us to decipher information about the
108 changes in the microbiome composition of intestinal microflora genome associated with development
109 of the disease. Dysbiosis play an important role in development of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)
110 development, mainly due to decline in *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes*, and an increase in detrimental
111 bacteria, such as *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria*[14]. Due to altered microbial index in IBD, the
112 ability of microbiota to adapt to environmental changes and to defend against natural disturbances
113 has been impaired. Therefore, manipulation of intestinal microflora has been a powerful preventive
114 and therapeutic intervention for the management of this disease. These responses may be used as
115 markers for immunomodulation in therapeutic intervention in IBD. A deficiency in IL-10 has been
116 observed with cases of early-onset IBD[15].

117 Decrease in dietary fibers containing short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) which are produced by the
118 fermentation of gut bacteria in the Fecal samples from IBD patients[16]provide a correlation of
119 dysbiosis with the onset and progression IBD. The SCFAs are known to regulate inflammatory
120 responses in different ways, such as binding of short chain fatty acid receptors (GPR43) regulation of
121 colonic Treg cell homeostasis by restoring the colonic size and function of the Treg cell pool in germ-
122 free mice. Gut microbiota also secrete several immunogenic substances such as complex
123 lipopolysaccharides present on the cell surface of Gram-negative bacteria involved in gut immune
124 homeostasis maintenance. *Bacteroides fragilis* represent one class of bacteria found in the human
125 intestine contributes to immune homeostasis by promoting Foxp3+T cells activity in GALT[17].

126 Studies in *Bacteroides* have revealed multiple biochemical mechanisms involved in change of gut
127 microbiota index to overcome several challenges posed by the dysbiosis. This may range from
128 variable pH of GI tract, differential oxygen gradients and host immune surveillance, *Bacteroides*
129 depend on other microbes especially *Ruminococcus obeum* in the gut to fulfill their need for corrinoid
130 (Vitamin B12 class like co-factors) for their survival suggesting that corrinoid producers seem to
131 determine the diversity of gut microbial community, particularly *Bacteroides*. Germ free mice exhibit
132 Immune defects like imbalanced Th1 vs Th2 response and reduced serum levels of IgA in the gut are
133 ameliorated by colonization with *Bacteroides*[18]suggests that host-microbiome interaction has an
134 important health implications.

135 Altered composition of *Bacteroidetes* and *Firmicutes* has been reported in both animal models
136 and in human subjects under disease conditions. Abundance of *Bacteroides*, have been found in
137 Estonian and Finnish children suffering from type 1 diabetes (T1D) compared with Russian children
138 who have lower T1D prevalence[19] however were shown to have abundance of *Bifidobacterium*. This
139 study also demonstrated that Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from *Bacteroidesdorei*, the most common
140 *Bacteroides* sp in Finnish cohorts, promoted immune tolerance and fails to protect Non obese Diabetic
141 (NOD) mice from developing T1D as compared with LPS of *E.coli* origin. Metabolism of gut
142 microbiota can also have adverse consequences, as shown by the facilitation of growth of entero
143 hemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC), by *Bacteroides* especially *B. thetaiotamicron* and *B. vulgatus*, which cleave
144 fucose and sialic acid moieties and other sugars from mucosal glycoproteins that are then consumed
145 by EHEC, leading to enhanced expression of its virulence genes[20].

146

147 4. Change in the Gut Microbiome triggers TLR mimicry and promote cancer related 148 inflammation

149 Since gut microbiota lives in close proximity with colonic mucosa, there dysbiosis not only
150 influence inflammatory response but also digestion and other vital functions of the gut. Dysbiosis
151 driven breakage of tolerance mechanism often leads to chronic inflammation and sensitize gut for
152 chronic disease other than IBD like cancer which depend upon the desmoplastic reactions which are
153 mimicked by various microbial products. During dysbiosis, commensal are remodelled by / toward
154 pathogenic bacteria by Th17 immune response which are heterogeneous in nature and believed to
155 promote cancer related inflammation as seen in colorectal cancer (CRC) pathogenesis. Pathogenic
156 bacteria like *Enterotoxigenic B. fragilis* promotes inflammation and produce genotoxins leading to cell
157 proliferation and mutations causing adenoma which enhanced the colonization of bacterial species
158 like *Fusobacterium* species that promote tumor progression[21]. All these process are facilitated by the
159 increased permeability of mucosal surfaces which allows bi directional movement of the gut
160 microbiota and their interaction with immune cells like CD169+ /TCR-1+ lumen macrophages, Type
161 2 neutrophils and regulatory T cells. This special interaction of these gut microbiota with refractory
162 immune cells promote desmoplastic reactions which further enhances sterile inflammation and
163 sensitize the host for cancer progression. Most of these events are reported to promote epigenetic
164 changes in neighboring cells.

165 Activation of (pattern recognition receptors) PRRs like TLRs (especially TLR2 and TLR4) and
166 NLRs both by specific and non specific mechanisms leads to TLR mimicry which confer chemo / radio
167 resistance in tumor cells, are influenced by the presence of pathogen derived genotoxic factors like
168 cyclomodulins which favors cellular proliferations and differentiation. During dysbiosis, activation
169 of M1 macrophages contributes to the production of genotoxins in the form of ROS / RNI having toxic
170 properties[21]. *Fusobacterium* species promote the upregulation of non canonical NF-kB driven
171 inflammatory genes, has also been found to be enriched in colonic tumors. Much of these mechanisms
172 are similar to the pathogenesis of *H.pylori* driven gastric cancer including methylation of *oxylidase*
173 tumor suppressor gene which promotes tumor generation. Dysbiosis not only induces bacteria
174 induced cancer but also support virus induced cancer like cervical cancer. The expression of cancer
175 causing E6 and E7 protein of HPV is affected by estrogen and it has been shown that gut microbiota
176 greatly modulates the blood estrogen level thus contributing to tumor development[22],[23].
177 Accumulating data suggest that dysbiosis induced carcinogenesis is multifactorial in nature. Gut
178 microbiota can pervasively dictate cancer progression by inducing desmoplastic reaction which
179 includes sterile inflammation, epigenetic modification of DNA and subsequent genomic instability
180 of host cells[24].

181 We [25], and others [26] have previously demonstrated that many pathogenic microbes which
182 has high correlation with cancer, have the capacity of reprogramming macrophages which are
183 decisive at infection and cancer interface. Apart from this, many pathogenic bacteria / virus like
184 *Helicobacter pylori*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Chlamydia* sp. in the microbiota potentially alter
185 cell cycle progression and inhibit apoptosis[27]thus conferring cancer like phenotype in persistently
186 infected tissue microenvironment. Moreover, cross reactivity of gut microbiome or its metabolites with
187 PRRs /TLRs are anticipated to promote TLR mimicry[28] and believed to influence sterile
188 inflammatory response for promoting cancer progression. Infection with *H. pylori* is associated with
189 early onset of disease however, associates with a favorable prognosis. Conversely *F. nucleatum* can
190 alter chemotherapeutic response in colorectal cancer. Recent studies have illustrated several
191 bacteria species such as members of *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Actinobacteria* and *Fusobacteria* phyla
192 have been detected in gastric cancer biopsies[29]which may serve as prognostic factor thus
193 reinforcing the association of dysbiosis with cancer.

194 Evidence illustrates that many such bacteria like *H. pylori* modulates the host genome, altering
195 different signaling pathways such as TLRs. Toll like receptors (TLRs) categorized as trans membrane
196 proteins recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) are critical for of innate and
197 adaptive immunity . Lipids, nucleic acids, and proteins from dying bacterial, viral, or fungal cells are
198 potent ligands or PAMPs and interact preferentially with TLR-2,4, 5 and 9[28]to trigger TLR mimicry

199 and activate distinct signaling pathways. *H.pylori* upregulates TLR4 to facilitate its adherence to
200 gastric epithelial cells and activates NF- κ B via TLR5 interaction along with AP-1 and MAP kinases
201 resulting in expression and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Interestingly hyper activation
202 of MAPK signalling has been associated with polarization of effector macrophage toward cancer
203 promoting phenotype. Besides altering regulatory pathways, numerous studies proposed that host
204 genetic makeup can influence the interaction of various microbiota with host cells. For instance,
205 genetic variants rs1640827 and rs17163737 of TLR5 lead to enhanced interactions with *H.pylori*
206 therefore increasing gastric cancer susceptibility[30]. A similar mechanism during dysbiosis is
207 anticipated to lead to the similar response in various diseases.

208 Many bacterial species like *S. bovis*, *Bacteroides fragilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus Shigella*,
209 *Klebsiella*, *Streptococcus*, *Peptostrepto coccus* etc which are present in human gut microbiota, have been
210 associated with progression of colorectal cancer (CRC). About 25–80% of patients with *S. bovis*
211 bacteremia exhibit CRC[31]like symptom and their stool samples had shown a higher population of
212 bacteria belonging to the *Bacteroides-Prevotella* group. Intra gastric circulation of Th-17 cells like
213 CD169+/TCR-1+ myeloid cell, CD4/fOXp3 Treg and macrophages and immature DC's mount Th17
214 response including proinflammatory cytokines and pro angiogenic factors during dysbiosis which
215 potentially promote neoplastic transformation of infected or inflamed gut during development of
216 cancer.

217 Thus, it is intriguing to understand the molecular / immunological mechanism and the causal
218 relationship between immune system with microflora in development of CRC. Studies using
219 cyclophosphamide suggest that selective enrichment of gut with Gram+ bacteria can enhance Th1
220 immune responses and offer therapeutic benefit suggesting that the gut microbiota certainly help
221 anticancer immune response[32].

222 5. Immune pharmaceuticals as next generation modalities for breaking dysbiosis

223 Diet and geographical location plays a major role in determining the microbial diversity in the
224 gut. Uncontrolled use of antibiotics often (both prescribed and indiscriminate usage) kills a broad
225 variety of sensitive gut microbes and leads to dysbiosis which warrant the inclusion of Pro and / or
226 Prebiotics to re-populate the gut and modulate the gut microbiome[33]. More than 1200 clinical trials
227 investigating the effect of Probiotics either alone or in combination for various diseases are listed in
228 the clinical trials database, with several studies completed and in the data analysis stage[34],[35].
229 Probiotics are live microorganisms generally belonging to the genera most commonly found in
230 fermented foods. Species like *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*. Probiotics modulate gut micromillieu
231 mainly by modulating intestinal epithelial signaling pathways, influencing the titre of sIgA and other
232 Th2 effector cytokines and by enhancing the intestinal epithelial barrier function by their virtue of
233 increased mucin secretion[36]. It is interesting to note that every probiotics follow a particular
234 mechanism for promoting balance or reconstituting health. Certain probiotics like Lactobacilli and
235 Bifidobacterium have shown to compete with cariogenic species like *Streptococcus mutans*. In a
236 clinical trial, twice daily orally or once weekly intra-vaginally administration of *Lactobacillus*
237 *rhamnosus* GR-1 and *Lactobacillus reuteri*, has shown to reduce recurrences of UTI and restored a
238 normal lactobacilli dominated vaginal flora over anaerobes in patients[37]. This is due to their
239 potential to produce more lactose which is an important nutrient that gets metabolized to glucose
240 and galactose in most neonates. However intolerance to lactose and its metabolism lead to the
241 alterations in colonic microbiota. In such cases probiotic supplementation could alleviate lactose
242 intolerance. Such intervention is quite effective in preventing diseases like Eczema, diarrhea, upper
243 RTI, necrotizing enterocolitis, pulmonary exacerbation in children. Recently a randomized, double
244 blind, placebo controlled trial of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and Inulin has shown the efficacy in reducing
245 free and LDL bound cholesterol by 7.84 and 9.27% respectively in a cohort of obese patients[38]. It is
246 becoming evident that gut microbiome can actually have a role in obesity and Irritable bowel
247 syndrome (IBS) as well. A study reported, if mice are reared in germ free environment and have no
248 microorganisms in their gut, such mice are protected from obesity and metabolic disorders like
249 insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, even when fed with western style diet loaded with high fat or

250 high calorie. In the similar lines another recently study has established the link of specific gut
 251 microbiota on the therapeutic efficacy of metformin in the cohort of obese patients over lean
 252 cohort[39]. This is which is anticipated to be due to immunometabolic programming of M1 or Th1
 253 macrophages[40]of obese patients towards M2 and / or scavenging macrophages by metformin which
 254 is believed to change the specific gut microbiota in obese people contributing to the outcome

255 This is very interesting aspect of the dysbiosis related disease progression and shed new light in
 256 microbiome modulation of human health outcomes. These studies reinforce the involvement of the
 257 gut immune axis in dictating good or bad gut microbiota therefore warrant further investigations for
 258 establishing biological correlation of concept which has been discussed in this study.

259 6. Future perspective

260 Although numerous animal and human studies so far have acclaimed the safety and health
 261 benefits of probiotics, however this area of research is still in its infancy and warrant more rigorous
 262 studies for claiming its impact on expected outcome on health. Utilization of microbial based
 263 strategies is expected to afford help in the management of large number of haemolytic / metabolic
 264 diseases. Industrial application of Microcins, Colicins, plantaricin, vibriocin etc. which are bacteriocins
 265 toxins and produced by *E. coli*, *Lactobacillus sp.* have been explored against various bad gut
 266 microbiota which are associated with many diseases. Fecal material transplantation (FMT) represents
 267 one such intervention which is explored for the management of *various* infections and cancer.
 268 Transplantation of gut microbiota of “normal” mice, into such germ free mice led to significant
 269 weight gain even in the face of normal diet, suggesting that microbiome is contributing to this weight
 270 gain. This approach has decisive influence on cancer directed immune therapies thus certainly
 271 represent novel biological entity for affording better treatment option. Finally it would be essential
 272 to identify the set of gut microbiota which are responsible which promote gut Immune homeostasis
 273 mainly deciphering their immunomodulatory impact on gut on the component of innate and
 274 adaptive immunity for homeostasis.

275 **Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by Ramanujan Grant (SR/S2/RJN/03/2012) from SERB, DST, Govt
 276 of India. Dr Toor and Dr Wsson were supported by their extramural funding from Indian council of Medical
 277 Research, Govt of India. Sandhya Singh was supported by the Young scientist Research grant from SERB
 278 (SB/YS/LS-181/2014) Govt of India.

279 References

- 280 1. Pathogenesis., T. human microbiome: from symbiosis to, NIH Public Access, **2014** 145–163.
- 281 2. Leblanc, J.G., Milani, C., Giori, G.S. De, Sesma, F., Sinderen, D. Van, Ventura, M., Bacteria as vitamin
 282 suppliers to their host : a gut microbiota perspective, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **24** **2013** 160–168.
- 283 3. Gareau, M.G., Sherman, P.M., Walker, W.A., probiotics and the gut microbiota in intestinal health and
 284 disease, *Nat. Publ. Gr.* **7** **2010** 503–514.
- 285 4. Kamada, N., Seo, S.U., Chen, G.Y., Núñez, G., Role of the gut microbiota in immunity and inflammatory
 286 disease, **13** **2013**.
- 287 5. Fraser, C.M., Ringel, Y., Sanders, M.E., Sartor, R.B., Sherman, P.M., Versalovic, J., Young, V., Finlay, B.B.,
 288 Perspective Defining a Healthy Human Gut Microbiome: Current Concepts , Future Directions , and
 289 Clinical Applications, **2012** 611–622.
- 290 6. Silva, C. Da, Wagner, C., Bonnardel, J., Gorvel, J., Lelouard, H., The Peyer ’ s Patch Mononuclear Phagocyte
 291 System at Steady State and during Infection, **8** **2017**.
- 292 7. Chemouny, J.M., Gleeson, P.J., Abbad, L., Lauriero, G., Bredel, M., Bex-coudrat, J., Boedec, E., Roux, K. Le,
 293 Daugas, E., Vrtovsni, F., Gesualdo, L., Leclerc, M., Berthelot, L., Mkaddem, S. Ben, Lepage, P., Monteiro,
 294 R.C., Modulation of the microbiota by oral antibiotics treats immunoglobulin A nephropathy in humanized
 295 mice, **2018** 1–10.
- 296 8. Conlon, M.A., Bird, A.R., The Impact of Diet and Lifestyle on Gut Microbiota and Human Health, **2015** 17–
 297 44.
- 298 9. Arrazuria, R., Pérez, V., Molina, E., Juste, R.A., Khafipour, E., Diet induced changes in the microbiota and
 299 cell composition of rabbit gut associated lymphoid tissue (GALT), **2018** 1–11.

- 300 10. Thanabalasuriar, A., Koutsouris, A., Hecht, G., Gruenheid, S., independent of its putative PDZ binding
301 domain, **1** **2010** 114–118.
- 302 11. Capaldo, C.T., Powell, D.N., Kalman, D., Layered defense: how mucus and tight junctions seal the
303 intestinal barrier, **2017** 927–934.
- 304 12. Mohan, M., Chow, C.T., Ryan, C.N., Chan, L.S., Dufour, J., Aye, P.P., Blanchard, J., Moehs, C.P., Sestak, K.,
305 Dietary Gluten-Induced Gut Dysbiosis Is Accompanied by Selective Upregulation of microRNAs with
306 Intestinal Tight Junction and Bacteria-Binding Motifs in Rhesus Macaque Model of Celiac Disease,
307 *Nutrients* **2016**, *8*, 11.
- 308 13. Hamilton, X.M.K., Boudry, G., Lemay, D.G., Raybould, H.E., Changes in intestinal barrier function and gut
309 microbiota in high-fat diet-fed rats are dynamic and region dependent, **2018** 840–851.
- 310 14. Inohara, N., Nun, G., Mechanisms of inflammation-driven bacterial dysbiosis in the gut, **10** **2017**.
- 311 15. Salzer, E., Kansu, A., Sic, H., Dogu, F.E., Prengemann, N.K., Santos-valente, E., Pickl, W.F., Demir, A.M.,
312 Ensari, A., Colinge, J., Bilic, I., Ban, S.A., Rizzi, M., Eibel, H., Boztug, K., Early-onset inflammatory bowel
313 disease and common variable immunodeficiency – like disease caused by IL-21 deficiency, *J Allergy Clin*
314 *Immunol.* **2014**, *6*, 133 .
- 315 16. Desai, M.S., Seekatz, A.M., Koropatkin, N.M., Stappenbeck, T.S., Martens, E.C., Article A Dietary Fiber-
316 Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus Barrier and Enhances Pathogen Article A Dietary
317 Fiber-Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus Barrier and Enhances Pathogen
318 Susceptibility, **2016** 1339–1353.
- 319 17. Telesford, K.M., Yan, W., Ochoa-reparaz, J., Pant, A., Kircher, C., Christy, M.A., Begum-haque, S., Kasper,
320 D.L., Kasper, L.H., Telesford, K.M., Yan, W., Ochoa-reparaz, J., Kircher, C., Christy, M.A., Begum-haque,
321 S., Kasper, D.L., Telesford, K.M., Yan, W., Ochoa-reparaz, J., Pant, A., Kircher, C., Christy, M.A., A
322 commensal symbiotic factor derived from *Bacteroides fragilis* promotes human CD39 C Foxp3 C T cells
323 and T reg function, 0976 **2015**.
- 324 18. Kozakova, H., Schwarzer, M., Tuckova, L., Srutkova, D., Czarnowska, E., Rosiak, I., Hudcovic, T.,
325 Schabussova, I., Hermanova, P., Zakostelska, Z., Aleksandrak-piekarczyk, T., Koryszewska-baginska, A.,
326 Tlaskalova-hogenova, H., Colonization of germ-free mice with a mixture of three lactobacillus strains
327 enhances the integrity of gut mucosa and ameliorates allergic sensitization, **13** **2015** 180–190.
- 328 19. Vatanen, T., Kostic, A.D., Hennezel, E., Cullen, T.W., Knip, M., Xavier, R.J., Huttenhower, C., Gevers, D.,
329 Cullen, T.W., Szabo, S.J., Porter, J.A., La, H., Variation in Microbiome LPS Immunogenicity Contributes to
330 Autoimmunity in Humans Article Variation in Microbiome LPS Immunogenicity Contributes to
331 Autoimmunity in Humans, **2016** 842–853.
- 332 20. Wexler, A.G., Goodman, A.L., into the microbiome, *Nat. Publ. Gr.* **2** **2017** 1–11.
- 333 21. Sheflin, A.M., Whitney, A.K., Weir, T.L., Cancer-Promoting Effects of Microbial Dysbiosis, **2014**.
- 334 22. Spurgeon, M.E., Boon, J.A. Den, Horswill, M., Barthakur, S., Forouzan, O., Rader, J.S., Human
335 papillomavirus oncogenes reprogram the cervical cancer microenvironment independently of and
336 synergistically with estrogen, **2017**.
- 337 23. Chen, K.L., Madak-erdogan, Z., Estrogen and Microbiota Crosstalk: Should We Pay Attention?, *Trends*
338 *Endocrinol. Metab.* **27** **2016** 752–755.
- 339 24. Bhat, M.I., Kapila, R., Dietary metabolites derived from gut microbiota: critical modulators of epigenetic
340 changes in mammals, **0** **2017** 1–16.
- 341 25. Darfeuille-michaud, A., Intracellular colon cancer-associated *Escherichia coli* promote protumoral
342 activities of human macrophages by inducing sustained COX-2 expression, **95** **2018** 296–307.
- 343 26. Prakash, H., Inhibitors of Apoptosis Protein Antagonists (Smac Mimetic Compounds) Control Polarization
344 of Macrophages during Microbial Challenge and Sterile Inflammatory Responses, **8** **2018** 1–21.
- 345 27. Gagnaire, A., Nadel, B., Raoult, D., Neeffes, J., Gorvel, J., Collateral damage: insights into, *Nat. Publ.*
346 *Gr.* **2017**.
- 347 28. Frosali, S., Pagliari, D., Gambassi, G., Landolfi, R., Pandolfi, F., Cianci, R., How the Intricate Interaction
348 among Toll-Like Receptors, Microbiota, and Intestinal Immunity Can Influence Gastrointestinal
349 Pathology, **2015** **2015**.
- 350 29. Coker, O.O., Dai, Z., Nie, Y., Zhao, G., Cao, L., Nakatsu, G., Wu, W.K.K., Wong, S.H., Chen, Z., Sung, J.J.Y.,
351 Mucosal microbiome dysbiosis in gastric carcinogenesis, **2018** 1024–1032.
- 352 30. Xu, T., Fu, D., Ren, Y., Dai, Y., Lin, J., Tang, L., Ji, J., Genetic variations of TLR5 gene interacted with
353 *Helicobacter pylori* infection among carcinogenesis of gastric cancer, **8** **2017** 31016–31022.

- 354 31. Zou, S., Fang, L., Lee, M., Dysbiosis of gut microbiota in promoting the development of colorectal cancer,
355 6 **2018** 1–12.
- 356 32. Viaud, S., Saccheri, F., Mignot, G., Yamazaki, T., Hannani, D., Enot, D.P., Pfirschke, C., Engblom, C., Pittet,
357 J., Schlitzer, A., Ginhoux, F., Apetoh, L., Chachaty, E., The intestinal microbiota modulates, 342 **2014** 971–
358 976.
- 359 33. Neuman, H., Forsythe, P., Uzan, A., Avni, O., Koren, O., Medicine, F., Szold, H., St, H., Israel, S., College,
360 Z.A., St, J., Joseph, S., Hamilton, L.N., Antibiotics in early life : dysbiosis and the damage done, **2018** 1–11.
- 361 34. Kristensen, N.B., Bryrup, T., Allin, K.H., Nielsen, T., Hansen, T.H., Pedersen, O., Alterations in fecal
362 microbiota composition by probiotic supplementation in healthy adults: a systematic review of
363 randomized controlled trials, *Genome Med.* **2016**.
- 364 35. Sáez-lara, M.J., Robles-sanchez, C., Ruiz-ojeda, F.J., Plaza-diaz, J., Gil, A., Effects of Probiotics and
365 Synbiotics on Obesity , Insulin Resistance Syndrome , Type 2 Diabetes and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver
366 Disease : A Review of Human Clinical Trials, *Int J Mol Sci.* **2016**,6, 1–15.
- 367 36. Villena, J., Kitazawa, H., Modulation of intestinal TLR4-inflammatory signaling pathways by probiotic
368 microorganisms : lessons learned from *Lactobacillus jensenii* TL2937, 4 **2014** 1–12.
- 369 37. Falagas, M.E., Betsi, G.I., Tokas, T., Athanasiou, S., Probiotics for Prevention of Recurrent Urinary Tract
370 Infections in Women A Review of the Evidence from Microbiological and Clinical Studies, 66 **2006** 1253–
371 1261.
- 372 38. Ooi, L., Ahmad, R., Yuen, K., Liong, M., *Lactobacillus acidophilus* CHO-220 and inulin reduced plasma
373 total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol via alteration of lipid transporters, *J. Dairy Sci.* 93
374 **2010** 5048–5058.
- 375 39. Brunkwall, L., Orho-melander, M., The gut microbiome as a target for prevention and treatment of
376 hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes : from current human evidence to future possibilities, **2017** 943–951.
- 377 40. Lumeng, C.N., Bodzin, J.L., Saltiel, A.R., Obesity induces a phenotypic switch in adipose tissue macrophage
378 polarization, 117 **2007**.