1 Article

2 Global need for physical rehabilitation: systematic

analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study

4 **2017**

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

- 5 Tiago S. Jesus 1,*, Michel D. Landry^{2,3} and Helen Hoenig 4,5
- Global Health and Tropical Medicine & WHO Collaborating Center on Health Workforce Policy and
 Planning, Institute of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine-NOVA University of Lisbon. Rua da Junqueira 100,
 Lisbon 1349-008, Portugal.
- Doctor of Physical Therapy Division, Department of Orthopeadic Surgery, School of Medicine, Duke
 University, Durham, NC, USA
- 11 Juke Global Health Institute (DGHI), Duke University, Durham, NC, USA
- Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Service, Durham Veterans Administration Medical Center, Durham,
 NC, USA
- 14 ⁵ Division of Geriatrics, Department of Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA
- * Correspondence: jesus-ts@outlook.com

Abstract: *Background*: To inform global health policies and resources planning, this paper analyzes evolving trends in physical rehabilitation needs, using data on Years Lived with Disability (YLDs) from the Global Burden of Disease Study (GBD) 2017. *Methods*: Secondary analysis of how YLDs from conditions amenable to physical rehabilitation have evolved from 1990 to 2017, for the world and across countries of varying income levels. Linear regression analyses were used. *Results*: A 66.2% growth was found in estimated YLD Counts amenable to physical rehabilitation: a significant and linear growth of more than 5.1 billion YLDs per year (99%CI: 4.8-5.4; r²=0.99). Low-income countries more than doubled (111.5% growth) their YLD Counts amenable to physical rehabilitation since 1990. YLD Rates per 100,000 people and the percentage of YLDs amenable to physical rehabilitation also grew significantly over time, across locations (all p>0.05). Finally, only in high-income countries Agestandardized YLD Rates significantly decreased (p< 0.01; r²=0.86). *Conclusions*: Physical rehabilitation needs have been growing significantly in absolute, per-capita and in percentage of total YLDs, globally and across countries of varying income level. In absolute terms, growths were higher in lower income countries, wherein rehabilitation is under-resourced.

Keywords: Rehabilitation; Global Health; Disability; Global Burden of Disease; Health Services Needs and Demand

1. Introduction

Worldwide, rehabilitation needs are growing in tandem with global population growth, population aging seen in many countries, and higher survival rates for people with severe health conditions and disability [1-3]. However, to our knowledge, there is no up-to-date, systematic analysis quantifying worldwide epidemiological trends for the whole set of health conditions amenable to physical rehabilitation. In this paper, we analyze how the global needs for physical rehabilitation have evolved from 1990 to 2017, using data from the Global Burden of Disease Study (GBD) 2017.

The GBD study, which has incorporated data since 1990, is the most comprehensive global epidemiological study to date. It combines data from best available sources (e.g., scientific literature,

official statistics from ministries of health, household surveys, vital registries, hospital data, claims data [4,5]), processed with increasingly sophisticated modelling approaches (e.g. a continuously updated Bayesian meta-regression tool) to provide rigorous global, regional, and national estimates of relevant population health. The data sources used to ground the estimates are colossal and have increased for each cycle of the GBD study. Most recently, the GBD 2017 used a total of 15,449 scientific literature sources (6.5% and 47,4% more than the GBD 2106 and GBD 2015 cycles, respectively), 21,100 sources of epidemiological surveillance data (12.3% and 49.9% more than GDB 2016 and GBD 2015, respectively), and data from an additional 148,842,107 hospital admissions globally, bringing the total number of admissions that inform GBD estimation to more than 2.6 billion [5,6].

For the first time in the study's history, the GBD 2017 reports data on injuries in terms of their nature or consequence (e.g. hip fracture or spinal cord injury), in addition to and apart from their cause (e.g. falls, road injuries, interpersonal violence) [6]. That new facility is particularly germane to the planning of services and resources in physical rehabilitation.

Others have used GBD study data to examine rehabilitation needs [7,8]. In the more recent example, the World Health Organization (WHO) used the GBD 2015 to examine worldwide needs for mental and physical rehabilitation. They found a 17.6% increase from 2005 to 2015 in Years Lived Disability (YLDs) for health conditions associated with severe disability, and that a remarkable 75% of the total world's YLDs in 2015 came from health conditions amenable to rehabilitation [7]. However, the WHO study did not examine physical rehabilitation needs distinct from the rehabilitation of mental health conditions. Moreover, health conditions with mild disability weights were not considered.

In addition to that WHO's analysis, a number of studies have used GBD data to examine particular conditions amenable to physical rehabilitation, such as injuries (i.e. their causes) [9], musculoskeletal conditions [10,11], neurological disorders [12,13], stroke [14], cardiovascular diseases [15], chronic respiratory diseases [16], cancer [17], or HIV [18]. However, to our knowledge, no study has used current GBD data to examine YLDs and potential needs for rehabilitation for the broad spectrum of conditions amenable to physical rehabilitation.

Using publicly available data from the GBD 2017, this paper aims to provide a systematic global analysis of YLDs for the combined set of health conditions amenable to physical rehabilitation, and specifically answer the following study questions:

- How many are the estimated world's YLDs, including per-capita, age-standardized rates, amenable to physical rehabilitation in 2017?
- What is the percentage of world's YLDs amenable to physical rehabilitation relative to total YLDs.
- Did any of these estimates have changed significantly over time (e.g. since 1990)? If so, by how much? And finally;
- Did any of those trends differ across countries of varying income levels?

The answer to these questions would provide valuable benchmarks to inform global and local health and rehabilitation policies, research, and advocacy. Moreover, such findings could provide direction to funding priorities, as well as timely information for health system planning and strengthening activities, including for determining needs for physical rehabilitation services, as a mean to allocate resources accordingly.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

Systematic, secondary analysis of public-domain data from the GBD 2017, extracted from the freely-available web platform: the Global Health Data Exchange tool (http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool).

2.2. Data selection

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

From the list of YLD "causes" (i.e. underlying health conditions), "impairments" (i.e. consequences of injury or diseases that have more than one diagnostic cause) and "injuries" (i.e. the nature of the injury), the authors selected those deemed likely to benefit (i.e., amenable to) from physical rehabilitation. Given that YLDs from "causes" are collective exhaustive, to avoid double counting we do not cumulatively select YLDs from Injuries as a "cause", but only YLDs from the nature of the injury. Also, YLDs from "impairments" were limited to those not coming from "causes" we had already selected.

We found no global standard to inform which health conditions are amenable to physical rehabilitation; so, in determining which conditions to focus on as potentially benefitting from physical rehabilitation, we relied on the following: previous works using GBD data for rehabilitation [7,8,19]; papers analyzing publication trends in physical rehabilitation and physical therapy across conditions [20-22]; and finally on the below working definition [23-28].

Physical rehabilitation uses health-based approaches to optimize physical function and participation in persons with physical impairments (e.g. mobility) or symptoms (e.g. low back pain) that are amenable to recovery, prevention, or management from physical rehabilitation services, facilities or providers (e.g. physical or occupational therapists).

From this definition, we excluded rehabilitation of people with oral, mental health, substance abuse disorders, intellectual or sensory impairments per se, although partial overlap often occurs across different forms of rehabilitative interventions for those conditions. Table 1 provides the full list of the included conditions.

Table 1. List of GBD causes, injuries and impairments selected as amenable to physical rehabilitation.

Causes

Communicable, Maternal, Neonatal or Nutritional:

- HIV/AIDs
- Leprosy; Zika
- Meningitis, Encephalitis; Tetanus
- Neonatal Disorders

Non-communicable

- Neoplasms
- Cardiovascular Diseases (includes Stroke)
- Chronic Respiratory Diseases
- Neurological disorders, except Epilepsy and Migraine (tension-type headaches included)
- Autism Spectrum Disorder
- Musculoskeletal conditions (includes Low back Pain and Neck Pain)
- Congenital Birth Defects, except Urogenital and Digestive

Injuries (nature of the)

- Amputations
- Burns
- Fractures, except skull
- Head Injuries
- Spinal Injuries
- Minor Injuries: muscle and tendon injuries, including sprains and strains lesser dislocations; Open wound(s)
- Dislocation of hip; Dislocation of knee; and Dislocation of shoulder
- Asphyxiation
- Crush injury; Nerve Injury; Severe Chest Injury
- Multiple fractures, dislocations, crashes, wounds, pains, and strains

Impairments (from the non-selected "causes" combined)

- Hearth Failure
- Guillain-Barré Syndrome

Of note, within the application of the working definition and exclusion criteria, 'dubious' selection decisions existed. For example, in Autism Spectrum Disorder, psychosocial disfunction and rehabilitative approaches are often dominant, but sensory-processing and motor impairments are prevalent and might benefit from physical rehabilitation, as well [29-35]. In this context, we included this condition, although this choice may lead to an over-estimation of physical rehabilitation needs. By contrast, we excluded some other health conditions, e.g. those for which the benefit of rehabilitation has not been fully established yet. To reduce the bias towards over- vs under-estimation resulting from 'dubious' selection decisions, a priori evidence-based reasoning was established for the conjunct of 'dubious' selection decisions (see **Appendix 1** [29-79]).

Indeed, toward informing these decisions, we searched PubMed primarily for aggregated evidence, preferably systematic reviews, on the effect of physical rehabilitation approaches (e.g. physical therapy, cardiac rehabilitation, pulmonary rehabilitation) on each type of condition we identified as potentially "dubious" for inclusion. We further gave priority to locating and selecting systematic reviews from Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, whenever available (i.e. adding "AND "Cochrane Database Syst Rev" [Journal]" to the search approach). As an example, we found that the quantity, quality and strength of the evidence base for cardiac rehabilitation varies across various cardiac conditions [36-42], but strong evidence that cardiac rehabilitation approaches are overall costeffective [44]. Thus, we included YLDs from all cardiovascular conditions as being amenable to physical rehabilitation. As another example, we did not include any of the maternal, urological or gynecological, even though there is a body of literature pointing that physical therapy can be useful for addressing incontinence and pelvic pain [70,71]. However, we found that underlying evidence base on use of physical therapy for on the chronic pelvic pain and incontinence still is considered insufficient [72,75], and the vast majority of urological and gynecological conditions are not typically treated with physical rehabilitation. In addition, data weren't available in the GBD to identify particular urological/gynecological conditions potentially amenable for rehabilitation, i.e., there are no GBD "impairments" for consequences of such as urological/gynecological conditions such as incontinence or pelvic pain. So, we altogether excluded the maternal, urological or gynecological diseases, even though some might generate YLDs eventually amenable to physical rehabilitation.

2.3. Data extraction:

Data extraction occurred in the early December 2018. As the entire time series is re-estimated in each GBD cycle, the GBD 2017 data supersede that of any previous cycle [6]. Hence, for all the "years" from 1990 to 2017, and for each selected health condition (**table 1**), data was extracted with the following specifications:

Data were extracted only for *YLDs*, i.e. the aggregative measure of the GBD study that focuses exclusively in non-fatal impacts of health conditions. YLDs are the years lived with any short-term or long-term health loss weighted for severity by the disability weights. Concretely, YLDs are computed by combining the estimated prevalence of a health condition with the estimated number of years people typically live with those sequalae, up to the population life expectancy threshold. Importantly, the prevalence of sequalae from each condition is classified by severity levels (e.g. mild, moderate, severe), each one having a respective disability weight [5,6,80,81]. For stroke, for example, disability weights vary from 0.019 for mild sequelae to 0.588 for severe sequalae plus cognition problems, as determined by population preferences in large discrete choice experiments [81]. Details on how YLDs and disability weights are determined, and the current disability weights for all conditions, are provided elsewhere [5,6,81].

In terms of "metrics", we extracted YLDs data for prevalent *number* (i.e. YLD Counts), *rate* (i.e., YLDs per 100 000 people), and *percentage* (i.e. percentage of YLDs for the respective condition among the total number of YLDs). In terms of "age", we extracted YLDs both for *all ages* and *age-standardized* rates – the latter adjusts YLD values for population growth and ageing at the same time. Regarding "location", YLDs data were extracted for the *world*, and for *high*, *upper middle*, *lower middle*, and *lowincome* countries, according to the *World Bank Income Levels*.

- All these selected data were imported from the webtool to Excel^a spreadsheets for data storage, management, and analysis.
- 163 2.4. Data analysis

To determine the overall physical rehabilitation needs, we combined (i.e. summed) the YLDs data for all the selected health conditions - within each of the "years", "locations", and "metrics". Percent changes from 1990 to 2017 were also computed for the combined values.

For inferential statistics, first we plotted the entire time series for the combined values. Using visualization and r^2 values of pilot regression models, then we determined which regression model type (i.e. linear, exponential, or logarithmic) best fit the plotted data. Given negligible differences (r^2 values < 0.02), we retained the linear regression option. Linear regression analyses, using the analysis of variance (ANOVA), then were used to test for statistical significance. We used the linear regression analytical approach even for the data that fitted a exponential or logarithmic-type of regression; yet, in those cases, YLD values were log-transformed a priori. We tested for two hierarchical levels of statistical significance: 99 and 95%, respectively.

3. Results

Table 2 shows that, from 1990 to 2017, a 66.2% growth was found in estimated YLD Counts amenable to physical rehabilitation, with a significant and linear growth of more than 5.1 billion YLDs per year (99% CI: 4.8.-5.4; r² 0.99). While countries from all income levels had significant and linear growths, low-income countries more than doubled (111.5% growth) their YLD Counts amenable to physical rehabilitation for the 28 years time.

Table 2 also shows significant growths in estimated YLD Rates for the same time-period, worldwide and across countries of all income levels. However, for low-income countries the growth was not linear (i.e. best fir in a logarithmic model (r²=0.5)) and was only significant at a 95% confidence level (p=0.02). Of note, upper middle-income countries had a yearly growth of 42.6 YLDs per 100,000 people (99% CI: 38.1-47.2; r²=0.96), significantly higher than any of the comparators, i.e. no overlap among the 99% CIs.

On Age-standardized YLD Rates, which adjusted YLDs for population size and ageing, **Table 2** shows that only high-income and lower middle-income countries had a significant change since 1990, but while the latter had a linear growth (99 CI: 0.89-3.78; $r^2=0.46$), the former a logarithmic decrease ($r^2=0.86$; p<0.01). Overall, in this metric we observed the smallest magnitude of changes: maximum of 2.7% change from 1990 to 2017.

Finally, Table 2 shows that the percentage of YLDs amenable to physical rehabilitation significantly and linearly increased across locations (all: p< 0.01; minimum r^2 =0.87). But while high-income countries had the highest percent value in 2017 (48,6%), low and middle-income countries (LMICs) were growing significantly more - up to 7 times further (99% CIs: 0.19-0.22 vs. 0.02-0.04).

	YLDs amenable to Phys. Rehab.													
	YLD Counts, Billions			YLD Rates (per 100,000 people)				Age-standardized YLD Rates				% of YLDs amenable to phys. rehab. among total YLDs		
		[1990-2017]			[1990-2017]			[1990-2017]				[1990-2017]		
	2017	% change	Linear Regression: r²; Yearly Growth (99% CI)		% change	Linear Regression: r²; Yearly Growth (99% CI)	Log Regression Model: (r²; p value)	2017	% change	Linear Regression: r²; Yearly Growth (99% CI)	Log Regression Model: (r²; p value)	2017	% change	Linear Regression: r²; Yearly Growth (99% CI)
World	343	66.2%	r ² =0.99; 5.1(4.8-5.4)*	4488	17.3%	r ² =0.96; 25.7(23.0-28.4)*	-	4334	-1.0%	-	r ² = 0.22; p= 0.41	40.2%	9.5%	r ² =0.97; 0.13(0.12-0.15)*
High-Income	79	37.4%	r ² =0.99; 0.8(0.76-8.6)*	6643	15.6%	r ² =0.98; 33.1(30.4-35.8)*	-	4872	-2.7%	-	r ² = 0.86; p< 0.01*	48.6%	2.2%	r ² =0.87; 0.03(0.02-0.04)*
Upper Middle-Income	123	62.1%	r²=0.99; 1.8(1.7-1.9)*	4669	29.9%	r²=0.96; 42.6(38.1-47.2)*	1	4080	-0.6%	r ² = 0.04; 1.34[(-2.3)-5.0]	-	42.2%	11.4%	r ² =0.97; 0.17(0.15-0.19)*
Lower Middle-Income	119	90.4%	r ² =0.99; 2.10(2.0-2.2)*	3806	17.7%	r ² =0.96; 21.6(19.3-23.8)*	-	4314	1.2%	r ² =0.46; 3.2(0.89-3.78)*	-	35.9%	16.8%	r ² =0.98; 0.2(00.19-0.22)*
Low-Income	21	111.5%	r ² =0.996; 0.39(0.38-0.41)*	3112	4.5%	-	(r²=0.5; p=.02)**	4276	2.1%	-	r²= 0.15; p=0.85	32.1%	15.4%	r ² =0.97; 0.16(0.14-0.17)*

Table 2: YLDs amenable to Physical Rehabilitation (Phys. Rehab.) for the World and across countries of varying income level. Linear or Logarithmic (Log) Regression models according to best fit with the data (r² values and plot vizualiation). All the data trends fitting into a Linear model also fitted within an Exponential Model (maximum r² difference =.012). In those circunstamces, we only retain the Linear Model. Confidence Intervals (CIs) and p values of the regression models computed with ANOVA. In the Log Models, ANOVA was applied over log-transformed YLD estimates. Legend: * Statistically significant at a 99% confidence level; ** Statistically significant at a 95% confidence level.

197

4. Discussion

This paper is, to our knowledge, the first systematic, secondary analysis of global physical rehabilitation needs, based on publicly-available data from the most comprehensive source of global epidemiological data to date, i.e. the GBD 2017. In addition to an over 66% global increase in YLD Counts from 1990 to 2017 (5.1 billion additional YLDs per year), we found a 17% increase in YLD Rates, i.e. per population size. Therefore, the growth of YLDs from conditions amenable to physical rehabilitation needs outpaced that of the population. Key for that per-capita growth has been the global population ageing, as the world's Age-Standardized YLD Rates did not significantly change over the studied time-period. As the global population ageing is predicted to endure (e.g. global population with 65 years or more is predicted to double by 2050, while the overall population is expected to grow by less than 30% [82]), global physical rehabilitation needs are likely to continue to grow in tandem, with consequent increased demands for physical rehabilitation.

The growth in needs for physical rehabilitation is particularly troublesome for LMICs. For instance, in low-income countries the YLD Counts more than doubled since 1990, especially due to high fertility rates and population growth, i.e. YLD Rates were growing at a much less pronounced rate. In fact, increases in YLD Counts were inversely proportional to the countries' income levels, such that the greatest increase in needs for physical rehabilitation was found in countries with lower income levels and the least rehabilitation infrastructure. Indeed, rehabilitation resources in many LMICs remain quite limited [7,19,26,83,84]. The WHO estimates that skilled rehabilitation professionals for many LMICs are currently about one-tenth of those required [7]. Hence, many argue it is urgent to take action to supply LMICs with increased resources, [24,26,83-87] and especially so given the higher nominal increases of physical rehabilitation needs.

In addition to resource increases, innovative solutions might be further developed toward increasing access to essential, quality rehabilitation services for locations with suboptimal or unevenly distributed rehabilitation resources. That includes rehabilitation delivered at home, the community or via tele-means - in complement or alternative to more traditional settings-based rehabilitation [43,86,88-93]. Task-shifting to healthcare assistants or team-based community care, especially in LMICs, also remains a venue for future research and development [94].

In low-income countries, we also observed a logarithmic growth in YLD Rates, flattening around the year 2000 (see **Appendix 2** for the graphical representation of the data, page 2). It is possible that global health activities for the Millennium Development Goals, which focused, for example, in preventable neonatal and infectious conditions, have helped controlling the rise in the prevalence of those conditions, and therefore the resulting YLDs in lower income countries [95]. Though, the sequalae (i.e. the disability) from those conditions might not have been addressed as much as its prevalence. For instance, children with complex health conditions and people with HIV/AIDs now increasingly survive in LMICs but with associated disabilities and rehabilitation needs often unmet [84,86,96-99]. In this scenario, granting access to needed rehabilitation, especially in LMICs, is of utmost importance [24,85,87], and justified by the current YLDs data.

Only in high-income countries Age-standardized YLDs were significantly reduced, whereas in LMICs they did not significantly change or have significantly increased since 1990. We hypothesize that the wide implementation of physical rehabilitation services in high-income countries, not in LMICs, has had a contribute. Historically, LMICs' health systems and global health activities have been focused in reducing preventable mortality, while the global burden of non-fatal health losses has been lagging in terms of global health priority, resources, and gains [1,5,6,100]. Fortunately, there is now a global awareness about the need to avert preventable YLDs through appropriate healthcare services, including rehabilitation [5,6,24,101]. Similarly, global health policies are, now, more inclusive of people with disabilities and their rehabilitation needs [87,102,103]. Finally, the evidence of cost-effectiveness of rehabilitation is escalating [104,105]. In this context, our finding that Agestandardized YLDs were significantly reduced in high-income countries, but not in LMICs, can be another indicator of the need to scale up rehabilitation services in LMIC's health systems [24]. Cardiac rehabilitation programs might be a good example, they have been proven cost-effective [105], are

standard in high-income countries, yet barely existent in many LMICs [106-108], even though service delivery models suitable to the LMICs have been developed [109].

Finally, we found the percentage of YLDs amenable to physical rehabilitation significantly and linearly increased across analyzed locations. Furthermore, we observed a trend toward convergence, as the high-income countries had the highest value in 2017, but the growth in LMICs has been significantly higher since 1990. However, even in high-income countries a significant growth has been persisting over time, indicating that the ceiling has not been reached yet in the percentage of YLDs amenable to physical rehabilitation. The higher is that percent value, higher is the expected impact of physical rehabilitation, i.e. in terms of being able to reduce a higher share of the global burden of non-fatal health conditions.

Limitations

The paper's limitations are the following: First, the YLD measure is, at best, a proxy indicator of physical rehabilitation need. For instance, functional data at the population level, e.g. from the Model Disability Survey, and/or other disability statistics, whenever available, might be used in alternative or complement to provide a more comprehensive picture of populations' physical rehabilitation needs [85,110-112]. This limitation notwithstanding, the GBD is the most comprehensive source of global epidemiological data to date, and GBD data are widely used to analyze of the needs for, or benefits from, specific types of healthcare [113-116].

Second, there is no global standard of conditions amenable to physical rehabilitation which we could use for the selection of specific health conditions to include in our analyses. To inform that selection, we relied on previous research on physical rehabilitation, a working definition of physical rehabilitation, and tailored searches on PubMed. Nonetheless, tailored searches conducted on PubMed to identify and help select of health conditions amenable to physical rehabilitation, do not equate to a systematic review itself. For conditions which were particularly uncertain with regards to potential benefits from physical rehabilitation, we employed explicit, a-priori reasoning about the potential trade-offs for over- vs under-estimation of physical rehabilitation needs.

Third, once we partly relied on published evidence to ground selection decisions and our tradeoff reasoning, any under-research on the rehabilitation of conditions less common in high-income countries (e.g. tuberculosis, malaria) might have biased that reasoning. It is unknown whether the such putative under-research contributes under-estimate the magnitude of physical rehabilitation needs, especially in lower income countries.

Fourth, we used inferential statistics with YLD estimates, rather than direct data collection with metrics to quantify the nature and degree of physical disability. Moreover, the uncertainty intervals of the underlying YLD estimates could not be computed for our 'combined' values (i.e. the sum of YLDs from all health conditions amenable to physical rehabilitation), although they exist in the public domain for each included condition in isolation [6].

Finally, we focused on evolving trends for overall needs for physical rehabilitation, and did not perform sub-group analyses for specific groups of conditions (e.g. musculoskeletal, neurological, cardiothoracic, etc.) as these have been performed by other groups for using GBD data.

5. Conclusion

This systematic secondary analysis of the GBD 2017 provides global data on current physical rehabilitation needs, and on how those needs evolved since 1990, including across countries of varying income level.

Across locations, physical rehabilitation needs have been growing significantly over time; not only in absolute terms, but also per-capita and in percentage of the total amount of total YLDs. This means that not only physical rehabilitation has been growing, but also that physical rehabilitation is now capable of averting a higher portion of the global burden of disability. The highest absolute growth of physical rehabilitation needs was observed in countries of lower income level, which typically have deprived rehabilitation infrastructure. Finally, only in high-income countries a

significant reduction in age-standardized needs per capita occurred, which can be related to the wider implementation of physical rehabilitation services in these countries.

The use of the comprehensive, up-to-date GBD estimates for the needs-side of the rehabilitation resources-planning equation, albeit imperfect, is a readily-available mean to first highlight, and then help health planners to meet the physical rehabilitation needs of the global population. These needs were found high and increasing, including per-capita, across countries of varying income level.

- Supplementary Material: The Appendix 1 provides the rationale and evidence informing on the 'dubious' inclusion decisions, within a trade-off reasoning for the over- & under-estimation of physical rehabilitation needs that might arise from those decisions. The Appendix 2 provides the graphical representation of the data portrayed in table 2.
- 308 Author Contributions: Conceptualization, TJ, ML, and HH; Methodology, TJ, ML, and HH; Data Curation, TJ; 309 Writing Original Draft Preparation, TJ; Writing Review & Editing, TJ, ML, and HH; Visualization, TJ, ML, and HH; Project Administration, TJ and HH; Supervision, ML, and HH.
- 311 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

312 References

298

299

300

301

302

303

- 1. Chatterji S, Byles J, Cutler D, Seeman T, Verdes E. Health, functioning, and disability in older adults-present status and future implication. *Lancet*. **2015**, 385, 563-75.
- 315 2. World Health Organization and World Bank. World Report on Disability. Geneva: WHO, 2011.
- 316 3. World Health Organization. World Report on Ageing and Health. Geneva: WHO, 2015.
- 4. GBD 2016 DALYs and HALE Collaborators. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet.* 2017, 390, 1260–1344.
- 5. GBD 2016 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* 2017, 390, 1211-1259.
- 323 6. GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet.* 2018, 392, 1789-1858.
- World Health Organization. Rehabilitation 2030: A call for action. The need to scale up rehabilitation. Geneve:
 WHO, 2017. Available at: http://www.who.int/disabilities/care/NeedToScaleUpRehab.pdf?ua=1. Accessed
 puly 2018.
- 330 8. Gupta N, Castillo-Laborde C, Landry MD. Health-related rehabilitation services: assessing the global supply of and need for human resources. *BMC Health Serv Res.* **2011**, 11, 276.
- Haagsma JA, Graetz N, Bolliger I, Naghavi M, Higashi H, Mullany EC, et al. The global burden of injury: incidence, mortality, disability-adjusted life years and time trends from the Global Burden of Disease study 2013. *Inj Prev.* **2016**, 22, 3-18.
- 335 10. March L, Smith EU, Hoy DG, Cross MJ, Sanchez-Riera L, Blyth F, Buchbinder R, Vos T, Woolf AD. Burden of disability due to musculoskeletal (MSK) disorders. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* **2014**, 28, 353-66.
- Hoy D, March L, Brooks P, Blyth F, Woolf A, Bain C, Williams G, Smith E, Vos T, Barendregt J, Murray C,
 Burstein R, Buchbinder R. The global burden of low back pain: estimates from the Global Burden of Disease
 2010 study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2014, 73, 968-74.
- 340 12. Whiteford HA, Ferrari AJ, Degenhardt L, Feigin V, Vos T. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *PLoS One.* **2015**, 10, e0116820.
- 343 13. GBD 2015 Neurological Disorders Collaborator Group. Global, regional, and national burden of neurological disorders during 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015.
 345 Lancet Neurol. 2017, 16, 877-897.
- 346
 347
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 348
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349

- 349 15. Roth GA, Johnson C, Abajobir A, Abd-Allah F, Abera SF, Abyu G, et al. Global, Regional, and National Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases for 10 Causes, 1990 to 2015. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* **2017**, 70, 1-25.
- 351 16. GBD 2015 Chronic Respiratory Disease Collaborators. Global, regional, and national deaths, prevalence, disability-adjusted life years, and years lived with disability for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2017, 5, 691-706.
- Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration. Global, Regional, and National Cancer Incidence,
 Mortality, Years of Life Lost, Years Lived With Disability, and Disability-Adjusted Life-years for 32 Cancer
 Groups, 1990 to 2015: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. JAMA Oncol. 2017, 3,
 524-548.
- 359 18. GBD 2015 HIV Collaborators. Estimates of global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and mortality of HIV, 1980-2015: the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet HIV*. **2016**, 3, e361-e387.
- Jesus TS, Koh G, Landry M, Ong P, Green P, Lopes A, Hoenig H. Finding the "Right-Size" Physical Therapy
 Workforce: International Perspective Across 4 Countries. *Phys Ther.* 2016, 96, 1597-1609.
- 363 20. Jesus, TS. Systematic Reviews and Clinical Trials in rehabilitation: comprehensive analyses of publication trends. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* **2016**, 97, 1853-1862.e2.
- 365 21. Kamper SJ, Moseley AM, Herbert RD, Maher CG, Elkins MR, Sherrington C. 15 years of tracking physiotherapy evidence on PEDro, where are we now? *Br J Sports Med.* **2015**, 49, 907-9.
- 367 22. Yamato TP, Arora M, Stevens ML, Elkins MR, Moseley AM. Quality, language, subdiscipline and promotion were associated with article accesses on Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro). Physiotherapy. 2018, 104, 122-128.
- 370 23. Meyer T, Gutenbrunner C, Bickenbach J, Cieza A, Melvin J, Stucki G. Towards a conceptual description of rehabilitation as a health strategy. *J Rehabil Med.* **2011**, 43 , 765-9.
- 372 24. Krug E, Cieza A. Strengthening health systems to provide rehabilitation services. *Bull World Health Organ*.
 373 2017, 95, 167.
- 374 25. Jesus TS, Bright F, Kayes N, Cott CA. Person-centred rehabilitation: what exactly does it mean? Protocol for a scoping review with thematic analysis towards framing the concept and practice of person-centred rehabilitation. *BMJ Open.* **2016**, *6*, e011959.
- 377 26. Jesus TS, Landry MD, Dussault G, Fronteira I. Human Resources for Health (and Rehabilitation): Six Rehab-378 Workforce Challenges for the Century. *Hum Resour Health*. **2017**, 15, 8.
- 379 27. NIH Medical Rehabilitation Coordinating Committee. National Institutes of Health Research Plan on Rehabilitation: NIH Medical Rehabilitation Coordinating Committee. *Phys Ther.* **2017**, 97, 104-407.
- 381 28. Gutenbrunner C, Meyer T, Melvin J, Stucki G. Towards a conceptual description of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine. *J Rehabil Med.* **2011**, 43, 760-4.
- Downey R, Rapport MJ. Motor activity in children with autism: a review of current literature. *Pediatr Phys Ther.* **2012**, 1, 2-20.
- 385 30. Bhat AN, Landa RJ, Galloway JC. Current perspectives on motor functioning in infants, children, and adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Phys Ther.* **2011**, 91, 1116-29.
- 387 31. Srinivasan SM, Pescatello LS, Bhat AN. Current perspectives on physical activity and exercise recommendations for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Phys Ther.* **2014**, 94, 875-89.
- 390 32. Lim YH, Partridge K, Girdler S, Morris SL. Standing Postural Control in Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *J Autism Dev Disord.* **2017**, 47, 2238-2253.
- 392 33. Weitlauf AS, Sathe N, McPheeters ML, Warren ZE. Interventions Targeting Sensory Challenges in Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Systematic Review. *Pediatrics*. **2017**, 139, e20170347.
- Watling R, Hauer S. Effectiveness of Ayres Sensory Integration® and Sensory-Based Interventions for People With Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Systematic Review. *Am J Occup Ther.* **2015**, 69, 1-12.
- 396 35. Kindregan D, Gallagher L, Gormley J. Gait deviations in children with autism spectrum disorders: a review. 397 Autism Res Treat. 2015, 2015, 741480.
- 398 36. Sibilitz KL, Berg SK, Tang LH, Risom SS, Gluud C, Lindschou J, Kober L, Hassager C, Taylor RS, Zwisler AD. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for adults after heart valve surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 400 2016, 3, CD010876.

- 401 37. Risom SS, Zwisler AD, Johansen PP, Sibilitz KL, Lindschou J, Gluud C, Taylor R, Svendsen JH, Berg SK.
 402 Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for adults with atrial fibrillation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017, 2,
 403 CD01119.
- 404 38. Taylor RS, Sagar VA, Davies EJ, Briscoe S, Coats AJ, Dalal H, Lough F, Rees K, Singh S. Exercise-based rehabilitation for heart failure. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2014**, *4*, CD003331.
- 406 39. Anderson L, Nguyen TT, Dall CH, Burgess L, Bridges C, Taylor RS. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in heart transplant recipients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2017**, 4, CD012264.
- 408 40. Anderson L, Taylor RS. Cardiac rehabilitation for people with heart disease: an overview of Cochrane 409 systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2014**, 12, CD011273.
- 41. Anderson L, Thompson DR, Oldridge N, Zwisler AD, Rees K, Martin N, Taylor RS. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2016**, 1, CD00180.
- 412 42. Price KJ, Gordon BA, Bird SR, Benson AC. A review of guidelines for cardiac rehabilitation exercise programmes: Is there an international consensus? *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* **2016**, 23, 1715-1733.
- 41. Xia TL, Huang FY, Peng Y, Huang BT, Pu XB, Yang Y, Chai H, Chen M. Efficacy of Different Types of Exercise-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation on Coronary Heart Disease: a Network Meta-analysis. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2018, 33, 2201-2209.
- 417 44. Shields GE, Wells A, Doherty P, Heagerty A, Buck D, Davies LM. Cost-effectiveness of cardiac rehabilitation: a systematic review. *Heart.* **2018**, 104, 1403-1410.
- 419 45. Puhan MA, Gimeno-Santos E, Cates CJ, Troosters T. Pulmonary rehabilitation following exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2016**, 12, CD005305.
- 421 46. McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, Murphy K, Murphy E, Lacasse Y. Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015, 2, CD003793.
- 423 47. Dale MT, McKeough ZJ, Troosters T, Bye P, Alison JA. Exercise training to improve exercise capacity and quality of life in people with non-malignant dust-related respiratory diseases. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 425 2015, 11, CD009385.
- 426 48. Dowman L, Hill CJ, Holland AE. Pulmonary rehabilitation for interstitial lung disease. *Cochrane Database* 427 *Syst Rev.* **2014**, 10, CD006322.
- 428 49. Olusanya BO, Kaplan M, Hansen TWR. Neonatal hyperbilirubinaemia: a global perspective. *Lancet Child* 429 *Adolesc Health.* **2018**, 2, 610-620.
- 430 50. Rao AK, Chou A, Bursley B, Smulofsky J, Jezequel J. Systematic review of the effects of exercise on activities of daily living in people with Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Occup Ther.* **2014**, 68, 50-6.
- 432 51. Smallfield S, Heckenlaible C. Effectiveness of Occupational Therapy Interventions to Enhance Occupational Performance for Adults With Alzheimer's Disease and Related Major Neurocognitive Disorders: A Systematic Review. *Am J Occup Ther.* **2017**, 71, 1-9.
- 52. Du Z, Li Y, Li J, Zhou C, Li F, Yang X. Physical activity can improve cognition in patients with Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin Interv Aging*. **2018**, 13, 1593-1603.
- 438 53. Phillips C, Baktir MA, Das D, Lin B, Salehi A. The Link Between Physical Activity and Cognitive Dysfunction in Alzheimer Disease. *Phys Ther.* **2015**, 95, 1046-60.
- 54. Forbes D, Forbes SC, Blake CM, Thiessen EJ, Forbes S. Exercise programs for people with dementia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015, 15, CD006489.
- 55. Fritz NE, Rao AK, Kegelmeyer D, Kloos A, Busse M, Hartel L, Carrier J, Quinn L. Physical Therapy and Exercise Interventions in Huntington's Disease: A Mixed Methods Systematic Review. *J Huntingtons Dis.* **2017**, 6, 217-235.
- 56. van Aswegen H, Roos R. Physical impairments and activity limitations experienced by people with tuberculosis: a scoping review protocol. *JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep.* **2015**, 15, 49-54.
- 447 57. Bangirana P, Idro R, John CC, Boivin MJ. Rehabilitation for cognitive impairments after cerebral malaria in African children: strategies and limitations. *Trop Med Int Health.* **2006**, 11, 1341-9.
- 58. Kakooza-Mwesige A, Andrews C, Peterson S, Wabwire Mangen F, Eliasson AC, Forssberg H. Prevalence of cerebral palsy in Uganda: a population-based study. *Lancet Glob Health*. **2017**, 5, e1275-e1282.
- 451 59. Landry MD, Raman SR, Kennedy K, Bettger JP, Magnusson D. Zika Virus (ZIKV), Global Public Health, Disability, and Rehabilitation: Connecting the Dots.... *Phys Ther.* **2017**, 97, 275-279.
- 453 60. Wim Brandsma, J. Rehabilitation of leprosy-affected people: An overview. *Clin Dermatol.* **2016**, 34, 66-9.

- 454 61. van Brakel W, Cross H, Declercq E, Deepak S, Lockwood D, Saunderson P, Smith WC, Batty J, Nahodilova L, Soutar D, Augustine V, Ebenso B and Commission., ILEP Technical. Review of leprosy research evidence (2002-2009) and implications for current policy and practice. *Lepr Rev.* **2010**, 81, 228-75.
- 457 62. Farina E, Raglio A, Giovagnoli AR. Cognitive rehabilitation in epilepsy: An evidence-based review. *Epilepsy* 458 *Res.* **2015**, 109, 210-8.
- 459 63. Barr, W. Cognitive Rehabilitation for Epilepsy: What Do We Really Know? *Epilepsy Curr.* **2016**, 16, 87-8.
- 460 64. Luedtke K, Allers A, Schulte LH, May A. Efficacy of interventions used by physiotherapists for patients with headache and migraine-systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cephalalgia*. **2016**, 36, 474-92.
- 462 65. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Cuadrado ML. Physical therapy for headaches. *Cephalalgia*. **2016**, Vols, 1134-463 1142.
- 464 66. Espí-López GV, Arnal-Gómez A, Arbós-Berenguer T, González ÁA, Vicente-Herrero T. Effectiveness of
 465 Physical Therapy in Patients with Tension-type Headache: Literature Review. *J Jpn Phys Ther Assoc.* 2014,
 466 17, 31-8.
- 467 Hahn-Markowitz J, Berger I, Manor I, Maeir A. Impact of the Cognitive-Functional (Cog-Fun) Intervention
 468 on Executive Functions and Participation Among Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder:
 469 A Randomized Controlled Trial. Am J Occup Ther. 2017, 71, 1-9.
- 470 68. Cooney GM, Dwan K, Greig CA, Lawlor DA, Rimer J, Waugh FR, McMurdo M, Mead GE. Exercise for depression. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2013**, 9, CD004366.
- 472 69. Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Richards J, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, Stubbs B. Exercise as a treatment for depression: A meta-analysis adjusting for publication bias. *J Psychiatr Res.* **2016**, 77, 42-51.
- 474 70. Morin M, Carroll MS, Bergeron S. Systematic Review of the Effectiveness of Physical Therapy Modalities in Women With Provoked Vestibulodynia. *Sex Med Rev.* **2017**, 5, 295-322.
- T1. Dumoulin C, Cacciari LP, Hay-Smith EJC. Pelvic floor muscle training versus no treatment, or inactive control treatments, for urinary incontinence in women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2018**, 10, CD005654.
- 478 72. Ayeleke RO, Hay-Smith EJ, Omar MI. Pelvic floor muscle training added to another active treatment versus the same active treatment alone for urinary incontinence in women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2015**, 11, 480 CD010551.
- 481 73. Zhang FW, Wei F, Wang HL, Pan YQ, Zhen JY, Zhang JX, Yang KH. Does pelvic floor muscle training augment the effect of surgery in women with pelvic organ prolapse? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Neurourol Urodyn.* **2016**, 35, 666-74.
- Fuentes-Márquez P, Cabrera-Martos I, Valenza MC. Physiotherapy interventions for patients with chronic pelvic pain: A systematic review of the literature. *Physiother Theory Pract.* **2018**. In Press. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2018.1472687.
- 487 75. Klotz SG, Schön M, Ketels G, Löwe B, Brünahl CA. Physiotherapy management of patients with chronic pelvic pain (CPP): A systematic review. *Physiother Theory Pract.* **2018**. In press. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2018.1455251.
- 490 76. Redman K, Ruffman T, Fitzgerald P, Skeaff S. Iodine Deficiency and the Brain: Effects and Mechanisms. 491 *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* **2016**, 56, 2695-713.
- 492 77. Niwattisaiwong S, Burman KD, Li-Ng M. Iodine deficiency: Clinical implications. *Cleve Clin J Med.* **2017**, 84, 236-244.
- 494 78. Kortebein, P. Rehabilitation for hospital-associated deconditioning. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* **2009**, 88, 66-77.
- 495 79. Galloza J, Castillo B, Micheo W. Benefits of Exercise in the Older Population. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* 496 **2017**, 28, 659-669.
- 497 80. Burstein R, Fleming T, Haagsma J, Salomon JA, Vos T, Murray CJL. Estimating distributions of health state severity for the Global Burden of Disease study. *Popul Health Metr.* **2015**, 13, 31
- 499 81. Salomon JA, Haagsma JA, Davis A, de Noordhout CM, Polinder S, Havelaar AH, Cassini A, 500 Devleesschauwer B, Kretzschmar M, Speybroeck N, Murray CJ, Vos T. Disability weights for the Global Burden of Disease 2013. *Lancet Glob Health*. **2015**, 3, e712-23.
- 502 82. United Nations. World Population Prospects: key findings & advance tables. New York: UN, 2017. https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Publications/Files/WPP2017_KeyFindings.pdf. Accessed 11 February 2018.
- 504 83. Kamenov K, Mills JA, Chatterji S, Cieza A. Needs and unmet needs for rehabilitation services: a scoping review. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2018. In Press. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2017.

- 506 84. Bright T, Wallace S, Kuper H. A Systematic Review of Access to Rehabilitation for People with Disabilities in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* **2018**, 5, E2165.
- World Health Organization. *Rehabilitation 2030: a call for action. Meeting report.* Geneva. , WHO, 2017. Available from: http://www.who.int/disabilities/care/rehab-2030/en/. Accessed 21 March 2018.
- Magnusson D, Sweeney F, Landry M. Provision of rehabilitation services for children with disabilities living in low- and middle-income countries: a scoping review. *Disabil Rehabil*. **2017**, In press, doi: 10.1080/09638288.2017.1411982.
- 513 87. Kuper H, Hanefeld J. Debate: can we achieve universal health coverage without a focus on disability? *BMC* 514 *Health Serv Res.* **2018**, 18, 738.
- Martins SC, Pontes-Neto OM, Alves CV, de Freitas GR, Filho JO, Tosta ED, Cabral NL and Network., Brazilian Stroke. Past, present, and future of stroke in middle-income countries: the Brazilian experience. Int J Stroke. 2013, 8 Suppl A 100, 106.
- 518 89. Yan LL, Li C, Chen J, Miranda JJ, Luo R, Bettger J, Zhu Y, Feigin V, O'Donnell M, Zhao D, Wu Y. Prevention, management, and rehabilitation of stroke in low- and middle-income countries. *eNeurologicalSci.* **2016**, 2, 21-30.
- 521 90. Furlan AD, Irvin E, Munhall C, Giraldo-Prieto M, Fullerton L, McMaster R, Danak S, Costante A, Pitzul K, Bhide RP, Marchenko S, Mahood Q, David JA, Flannery JF, Bayley M. Rehabilitation service models for people with physical and/or mental disability living in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *J Rehabil Med.* 2018, 50, 487-498.
- 525 91. Sureshkumar K, Murthy GVS, Kuper H. Protocol for a randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of the 'Care for Stroke' intervention in India: a smartphone-enabled, carer-supported, educational intervention for management of disabilities following stroke. *BMJ Open.* **2018**, 8, e020098.
- 528 92. Rawstorn JC, Gant N, Direito A, Beckmann C, Maddison R. Telehealth exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart*. **2016**, 102, 1183-92.
- 530 93. Hossain MS, Harvey LA, Rahman MA, Bowden JL, Islam MS, Taylor V, Muldoon S, Herbert RD. A pilot randomised trial of community-based care following discharge from hospital with a recent spinal cord injury in Bangladesh. *Clin Rehabil.* **2017**, 31, 781-789.
- 533 94. ATTEND Collaborative Group. Family-led rehabilitation after stroke in India (ATTEND): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* **2017**, 390, 588-599.
- 535 95. Fehling M, Nelson BD, Venkatapuram S. Limitations of the Millennium Development Goals: a literature review. *Glob Public Health.* **2013**, 8, 1109-22.
- 537 96. Participant Working Group of the Dar es Salaam Seventh International Conference on Birth Defects and Disabil. Prevention of Congenital Disorders and Care of Affected Children: A Consensus Statement. *JAMA Pediatr.* **2016**, 170, 790-3.
- 540 97. Bright T, Felix L, Kuper H, Polack S. Systematic review of strategies to increase access to health services among children over five in low- and middle-income countries. *Trop Med Int Health.* **2018**, 23, 476-507.
- 542 98. Nixon S, Cameron C, Mweshi M, Nkandu EM, Okidi C, Tattle S, Yates T. "It Is an Eye-Opener That There 543 Is a Relationship between Rehabilitation and HIV": Perspectives of Physiotherapists and Occupational 544 Therapists in Kenya and Zambia on the Role of Rehabilitation with Adults and Children Living with HIV. 545 *Physiother Can.* **2016**, 68, 290-297.
- 546 99. Stevens M, Kirsh B, Nixon SA. Rehabilitation interventions for children living with HIV: a scoping review. 547 Disabil Rehabil. 2014, 36, 865-74.
- 548 100. GBD 2017 DALYs and HALE Collaborators. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 359 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet.* 2018, 392, 1859-1922.
- Mills T., Marks E, Reynolds T, Cieza A. Rehabilitation: Essential along the Continuum of Care. In. *Disease Control Priorities: Improving Health and Reducing Poverty. 3rd edition*; Gelband H, Horton S, Jha P, Laxminarayan R, Mock CN, Nugent R. Jamison DT. Washinghton (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, 2017.
- 555 102. United Nations. *Disability-inclusive sustainable development goals*. Available at: 556 http://www.un.org/disabilities/documents/sdgs/disability_inclusive_sdgs.pdf. Accessed July 20, 2018.
- 557 103. Tebbutt E, Brodmann R, Borg J, MacLachlan M, Khasnabis C, Horvath R. Assistive products and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). *Global Health.* **2016**, 12, 79.

575

576

577

- 559 104. Howard-Wilsher S, Irvine L, Fan H, Shakespeare T, Suhrcke M, Horton S, Poland F, Hooper L, Song F. Systematic overview of economic evaluations of health-related rehabilitation. *Disabil Health J.* **2016**, 9, 11-25.
- 562 105. Shields GE, Wells A, Doherty P, Heagerty A, Buck D, Davies LM. Cost-effectiveness of cardiac rehabilitation: a systematic review. *Heart.* **2018**, 104, 1403-1410.
- 564 106. Lima de Melo Ghisi G, Pesah E, Turk-Adawi K, Supervia M, Lopez Jimenez F, Grace SL. Cardiac Rehabilitation Models around the Globe. *J Clin Med.* **2018**, 7, E260.
- 566 107. Pesah E, Supervia M, Turk-Adawi K, Grace SL. A Review of Cardiac Rehabilitation Delivery Around the World. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* **2017**, 60, 267-280.
- 568 108. Turk-Adawi K, Sarrafzadegan N, Grace SL. Global availability of cardiac rehabilitation. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* **2014**, 10, 586-96.
- 570 109. Grace SL, Turk-Adawi KI, Contractor A, Atrey A, Campbell N, Derman W, Melo Ghisi GL, Oldridge N, Sarkar BK, Yeo TJ, Lopez-Jimenez F, Mendis S, Oh P, Hu D, Sarrafzadegan N. Cardiac rehabilitation delivery model for low-resource settings. *Heart*. **2016**, 102, 1449-55.
- 573 110. McPherson A, Durham J, Richards N, Gouda H, Rampatige R, Whittaker M. Strengthening health information systems for disability-related rehabilitation in LMICs. *Health Policy Plan.* **2017**, 32, 384-394.
 - 111. Trani JF, Babulal GM, Bakhshi P. Development and Validation of the 34-Item Disability Screening Questionnaire (DSQ-34) for Use in Low and Middle Income Countries Epidemiological and Development Surveys. *PLoS One.* **2015**, 10: e0143610.
- 578 112. Oberhauser C, Chatterji S, Sabariego C, Cieza A. Development of a metric for tracking and comparing population health based on the minimal generic set of domains of functioning and health. *Popul Health Metr.* 2016, 14, 19.
- 581 113. Higashi H, Barendregt JJ, Kassebaum NJ, Weiser TG, Bickler SW, Vos T. Burden of injuries avertable by a basic surgical package in low- and middle-income regions: a systematic analysis from the Global Burden of Disease 2010 Study. *World J Surg.* **2015**, 39, 1-9.
- 114. Bickler S, Higashi H, Kassebaum N, Weiser D, Chang D, Barendregt J, Noormahomed E, Vos T. Global
 Burden of Surgical Conditions. In *Essential Surgery: Disease Control Priorities, Third Edition (Volume 1)*; Haile
 T. Debas, et al. Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World
 Bank. 2015.
- 588 115. Rose J, Weiser TG, Hider P, Wilson L, Gruen RL, Bickler SW. Estimated need for surgery worldwide based on prevalence of diseases: a modelling strategy for the WHO Global Health Estimate. *Lancet Glob Health*. **2015**, 3 Suppl 2, S13-20.
- 591 116. Marcenes W, Kassebaum NJ, Bernabé E, Flaxman A, Naghavi M, Lopez A, Murray CJ. Global burden of oral conditions in 1990-2010: a systematic analysis. *J Dent Res.* **2013** 92, 592-7.