

PHSS Iterative Method for Solving Generalized Lyapunov Equations

Shi-Yu Li, Hai-Long Shen* and Xin-Hui Shao

Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Northeastern University, Shenyang, China.

Abstract: Based on previous research results, we propose a new preprocessing HSS iteration method (PHSS) for the generalized Lyapunov equation. At the same time, the corresponding inexact PHSS algorithm (IPHSS) is given from the angle of application. All the new methods presented in this paper have given the corresponding convergence proof. The numerical experiments are carried out to compare the new method with the existing methods, and the improvement effect is obvious. The feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed method are proved from two aspects of theory and calculation.

Keywords Lyapunov equation; HSS iterative method; PHSS iterative method

1. Introduction

We consider the system of large sparse linear equations

$$Ax = b, \quad (1)$$

where $A \in C^{n \times n}$ is non-Hermitian positive definite matrix and $x, b \in C^{n \times 1}$. The actual background of such problems can be found in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and its references. For (1), Bai, Golub and Ng put forward the HSS^[8] iteration method in 2003.

Any matrix can be decomposed into the sum of symmetric matrices and skew symmetric matrices so that we can get the formula:

$$A = H(A) + S(A) = (\alpha I + H(A)) - (\alpha I - S(A)) = (\alpha I + S(A)) - (\alpha I - H(A)),$$

where α is normal number, $H(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$, $S(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^*)$, and

$H(A), S(A) \in C^{n \times n}$, As a result, the HSS iterative format proposed by Bai and others is:

Let $x^{(0)} \in C^n$ be an initial guess. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, until the sequence of iterates $\{x^{(k)}\}$ converges, compute the next iterate $x^{(k+1)}$ through the following procedure:

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: hailong_shen@126.com.

** Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11371081) and the Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province (No. 20170540323).

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha I + H(A))x^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} = (\alpha I - S(A))x^{(k)} + b, \\ (\alpha I + S(A))x^{(k+1)} = (\alpha I - H(A))x^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} + b, \end{cases}$$

where α is normal number. Bai and others proved its unconditional convergence to the unique solution of (1) in [8].

In order to speed up the HSS iteration method, Bai and others put forward the PHSS iteration method [9-11]. Decompose coefficient matrix A into the sum of symmetric matrices and skew symmetric matrices and we can get the formula:

$$A = (\alpha P(A) + H(A)) - (\alpha P(A) - S(A)) = (\alpha P(A) + S(A)) - (\alpha P(A) - H(A)), \quad (2)$$

where $P(A) \in C^{n \times n}$ is Hermite positive definite matrix. Therefore, we can get the HSS iterative format:

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha P(A) + H(A))x^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} = (\alpha P(A) - S(A))x^{(k)} + b, \\ (\alpha P(A) + S(A))x^{(k+1)} = (\alpha P(A) - H(A))x^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} + b, \end{cases}$$

where α is normal number. Bai and others proved its unconditional convergence to the unique solution of (1) in [10].

2. The PHSS iterative method for the generalized Lyapunov equation

Many methods to solve the standard Lyapunov equation have been put forward in [12-19]. In the literature [12], Xu Qingqing and others put forward the HSS iterative solution of the generalized Lyapunov equation. Inspired by this, this paper proposes the PHSS iterative solution of the generalized Lyapunov equation.

Consider the generalized Lyapunov equation as follows:

$$AX + XA^T + \sum_{j=1}^m N_j X N_j^T + C = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $A, N_j, C \in R^{n \times n}$, A is an asymmetric positive definite matrix, C is a symmetric matrix and $\|N_j\|_2 \ll \|A\|_2$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$). When $a=b$, the equation (3) degenerates to the

standard Lyapunov equation.

Then we apply the PHSS iterative method to solve the generalized Lyapunov equation (3):

Let's suppose that α is a normal number, then the decomposition of A is similar to (2):

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (\alpha P(A) + H(A)) - (\alpha P(A) - S(A)) = (\alpha P(A) + S(A)) - (\alpha P(A) - H(A)), \\ A^T &= (\alpha P(A) + H(A)) - (\alpha P(A) + S(A)) = (\alpha P(A) - S(A)) - (\alpha P(A) - H(A)), \end{aligned}$$

Then the iterative format can be obtained:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &(\alpha P(A) + H(A))X_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha P(A) + H(A)) = \\ &\quad (\alpha P(A) - S(A))X_k + X_k(\alpha P(A) + S(A)) - \sum_{j=1}^m N_j X_k N_j^T - C, \\ &(\alpha P(A) + S(A))X_{k+1} + X_{k+1}(\alpha P(A) - S(A)) = \\ &\quad (\alpha P(A) - H(A))X_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha P(A) - H(A)) - \sum_{j=1}^m N_j X_k N_j^T - C, \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4)$$

According to the nature of Kronecker product, we can get

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &(I(A) \otimes (\alpha P(A) + H(A)) + (\alpha P(A) + H(A)) \otimes I(A))x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \\ &\quad (I(A) \otimes (\alpha P(A) - S(A)) + (\alpha P(A) - S(A)) \otimes I(A))x_k - \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j)x_k - c, \\ &(I(A) \otimes (\alpha P(A) + S(A)) + (\alpha P(A) + S(A)) \otimes I(A))x_{k+1} = \\ &\quad (I(A) \otimes (\alpha P(A) - H(A)) + (\alpha P(A) - H(A)) \otimes I(A))x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j)x_k - c, \end{aligned} \right.$$

where $x_k = \text{vec}(X_k)$, $c = \text{vec}(C)$, then, according to the nature of Kronecker product,

we can get

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &(\alpha P + H)x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = (\alpha P - S)x_k - \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j)x_k - c, \\ &(\alpha P + S)x_{k+1} = (\alpha P - H)x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j)x_k - c, \end{aligned} \right. \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} P = I(A) \otimes P(A) + P(A) \otimes I(A), \\ H = I(A) \otimes H(A) + H(A) \otimes I(A), \\ S = I(A) \otimes S(A) + S(A) \otimes I(A). \end{cases}$$

The convergence of the iterative scheme (4) is equivalent to the convergence of the

iterative scheme (5) and their convergence factors are the same.

Theorem 1. Let's suppose that $A \in R^{n \times n}$ is an asymmetric positive definite matrix,

$K = \left\| P^{-1} \right\|_2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j \right\|_2$ and the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of matrix

$P^{-1}H$ are λ_{\max} and λ_{\min} , respectively. Then the convergence factor of the PHSS

iterative method (4) is the spectral radius of matrix

$$G = (\alpha P + S)^{-1}(\alpha P - H)(\alpha P + H)^{-1}(\alpha P - S) - 2\alpha P(\alpha P + S)^{-1}(\alpha P + H)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j).$$

Its upper bound is

$$\sigma_0(\alpha) = \max_{\lambda_i \in \lambda(P^{-1}H)} \left| \frac{\alpha - \lambda_i}{\alpha + \lambda_i} \right| + \frac{2K}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}}.$$

When $\lambda_{\min} > K$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\max}}$, $\sigma_0(\tilde{\alpha})$ reaches the minimum. It means that

$$\sigma_0(\tilde{\alpha}) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\max}} - \lambda_{\min} + 2K}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\max}} + \lambda_{\min}} < 1,$$

Therefore, the PHSS iterative method for solving the generalized Lyapunov equation is convergent.

Proof. The first form of the iteration format (5) is brought into the second form, and its iteration matrix is obtained:

$$G = (\alpha P + S)^{-1}(\alpha P - H)(\alpha P + H)^{-1}(\alpha P - S) - 2\alpha P(\alpha P + S)^{-1}(\alpha P + H)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j),$$

Then the convergence factor of the iterative scheme (5) is $\rho(G)$, which is the same as the convergence factor of the iterative scheme (4).

Because $P \in R^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix, we can suppose that

$$\tilde{H} = P^{-\frac{1}{2}} H P^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{S} = P^{-\frac{1}{2}} S P^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Because G is similar to

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1 &= (\alpha P + S)G(\alpha P - S)^{-1} \\
&= (\alpha P - H)(\alpha P + H)^{-1}(\alpha P - S)(\alpha P + S)^{-1} \\
&\quad - 2\alpha(\alpha P + S)P(\alpha P + S)^{-1}(\alpha P + H)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j)(\alpha P + S)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and G_1 is similar to

$$\begin{aligned}
G_2 &= P^{-\frac{1}{2}}G_1P^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= P^{-\frac{1}{2}}P^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I - \tilde{H})P^{\frac{1}{2}}P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}P^{-\frac{1}{2}}P^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I - \tilde{S})P^{\frac{1}{2}}P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}P^{-\frac{1}{2}}P^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad - 2\alpha P^{-\frac{1}{2}}P^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I + \tilde{S})P^{\frac{1}{2}}PP^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}P^{-\frac{1}{2}}P^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j) \\
&\quad \cdot P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha P + S)^{-1}P^{\frac{1}{2}}P^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}(\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \\
&\quad - 2\alpha(\alpha I + \tilde{S})P(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}P^{-1}(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j)P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(G) &= \rho(G_1) = \rho(G_2) \leq \|G_2\| \\
&\leq \|(\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}(\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}\|_2 \\
&\quad - 2\alpha \|P\|_2 \|P^{-1}\|_2 \|(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}\|_2 \|P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j) \right\|_2 \|P^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \|(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}\|_2 \quad (6) \\
&= \|(\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}(\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}\|_2 \\
&\quad - 2\alpha \|(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}\|_2 \|P^{-1}\|_2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j) \right\|_2 \|(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Because H is positive definite matrix, S is a semi positive definite matrix. For any non-zero column vector $x \in R^n$, we can get that

$$x^T Hx > 0, x^T Sx \geq 0,$$

P is symmetric positive definite matrix, so P^{-1} is positive definite matrix. It is easy to prove that $P^{-\frac{1}{2}}x$ is a non-zero column vector by means of proof of absurdity. Then we can see that

$$x^T \tilde{H}x = x^T P^{-\frac{1}{2}} H P^{-\frac{1}{2}} x = \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \right)^T H \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \right) > 0,$$

$$x^T \tilde{S}x = x^T P^{-\frac{1}{2}} S P^{-\frac{1}{2}} x = \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \right)^T S \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \right) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, \tilde{H} is a positive definite matrix, \tilde{S} is a semi positive definite matrix.

H is a real symmetric matrix and S is an antisymmetric matrix, so we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^T &= \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} H P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^T = P^{-\frac{1}{2}} H^T P^{-\frac{1}{2}} = P^{-\frac{1}{2}} H P^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \tilde{H}, \\ \tilde{S}^T &= \left(P^{-\frac{1}{2}} S P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^T = P^{-\frac{1}{2}} S^T P^{-\frac{1}{2}} = -P^{-\frac{1}{2}} S P^{-\frac{1}{2}} = -\tilde{S}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \tilde{H} is a symmetric positive definite matrix, \tilde{S} is an antisymmetric semidefinite matrix. Meanwhile, since

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{H} P^{\frac{1}{2}} &= P^{-\frac{1}{2}} P^{-\frac{1}{2}} H P^{-\frac{1}{2}} P^{\frac{1}{2}} = P^{-1} H, \\ P^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{S} P^{\frac{1}{2}} &= P^{-\frac{1}{2}} P^{-\frac{1}{2}} S P^{-\frac{1}{2}} P^{\frac{1}{2}} = P^{-1} S, \end{aligned}$$

we can conclude that \tilde{H} is similar to $P^{-1}H$ and \tilde{S} is similar to $P^{-1}S$.

Let's suppose that $Q = (\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}$ and we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q Q^* &= (\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \left((\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \right)^* \\ &= (\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} (\alpha I - \tilde{S})^{-1} (\alpha I + \tilde{S}) \\ &= (\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I - \tilde{S})^{-1} (\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} (\alpha I + \tilde{S}) \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

It's easy to deduce that $Q^*Q = I$ and we can conclude that $QQ^* = Q^*Q = I$. So Q is a unitary matrix and we can deduce that

$$\left\| (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} (\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \right\|_2 = \left\| (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \right\|_2. \quad (7)$$

Let's suppose that $L = (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}$ and we can deduce through that

$$\begin{aligned} L L^* &= (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \left((\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \right)^* \\ &= (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} (\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} (\alpha I - \tilde{H}) \\ &= (\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \\ &= L^* L. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, L is a normal matrix and we can deduce through the formula (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}(\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \right\|_2 &= \left\| (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \right\|_2 \\ &= \max_{\lambda_i \in \lambda(\tilde{H})} \left| \frac{\alpha - \lambda_i}{\alpha + \lambda_i} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It's easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \left((\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \right)^* &= (\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} (\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} = \left((\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \right)^* (\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}, \\ (\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \left((\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \right)^* &= (\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} (\alpha I - \tilde{S})^{-1} \\ &= (\alpha I - \tilde{S})^{-1} (\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} = \left((\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \right)^* (\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so both $(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}$ and $(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}$ are normal matrices. Because \tilde{H} is a positive definite matrix and \tilde{S} is a semi positive definite matrix, we can easy to deduce that

$$\begin{cases} \left\| (\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \right\|_2 = \max_{\lambda_i \in \lambda(\tilde{H})} \left| \frac{1}{\alpha + \lambda_i} \right| = \frac{1}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}}, \\ \left\| (\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \right\|_2 = \max_{\mu_j \in \lambda(\tilde{S})} \left| \frac{1}{\alpha + \mu_j} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Through the formula (6), (8) and (9), we can see that

$$\rho(G) \leq \max_{\lambda_i \in \lambda(\tilde{H})} \left| \frac{\alpha - \lambda_i}{\alpha + \lambda_i} \right| + \frac{2K}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}}.$$

The following proves that when $\lambda_{\min} > K$ and $\alpha = \sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\min}}$, $\sigma_0(\alpha)$ reaches the minimum and $\sigma_0(\alpha)$ is less than 1 at this time.

In fact, for fixed α , function $\frac{\alpha - \lambda}{\alpha + \lambda}$ is monotonically decreasing with respect to λ . So we can see that

$$\sigma_0(\alpha) = \max \left\{ \left| \frac{\alpha - \lambda_{\min}}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} \right| + \frac{2K}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}}, \left| \frac{\alpha - \lambda_{\max}}{\alpha + \lambda_{\max}} \right| + \frac{2K}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} \right\},$$

It's easy to see that when $\lambda_{\min} > K$, $\left| \frac{\alpha - \lambda_{\min}}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} \right| + \frac{2K}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}}$ monotonically decreases

over $(0, \lambda_{\min})$ and increases monotonously on $(\lambda_{\min}, +\infty)$, $\left| \frac{\alpha - \lambda_{\max}}{\alpha + \lambda_{\max}} \right| + \frac{2K}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}}$

monotonically decreases over $(0, \lambda_{\max})$ and increases monotonously on $(\lambda_{\max}, +\infty)$.

Therefore, when $\lambda_{\min} < \alpha < \lambda_{\max}$ and $\frac{\alpha - \lambda_{\min}}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} + \frac{2K}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} = \frac{\alpha - \lambda_{\max}}{\alpha + \lambda_{\max}} + \frac{2K}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}}$,

$\sigma_0(\alpha)$ reaches the minimum. And we can conclude that when $\alpha = \sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\max}} \triangleq \tilde{\alpha}$,

we can get that $\sigma_0(\tilde{\alpha}) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\max}} - \lambda_{\min} + 2K}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\max}} + \lambda_{\min}} < 1$.

Through the proof of the expression of $\sigma_0(\alpha)$, we can see that on the one hand, when $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$, we can get that $\sigma_0(\alpha) \rightarrow 1$ and $\sigma_0(\alpha)$ increases monotonously on $(\tilde{\alpha}, +\infty)$, on the other hand, when $\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$, we can get that $\sigma_0(\alpha) \rightarrow 1$ and $\sigma_0(\alpha)$ decreases monotonously on $(\tilde{\alpha}, +\infty)$, Therefore, we can see that on the one hand, when $\alpha \geq \tilde{\alpha} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\max}}$, we can get that $\sigma_0(\alpha) < 1$, on the other hand, when $0 \leq \alpha \leq \tilde{\alpha} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\max}}$, we can get that $\sigma_0(\alpha) < 1$. Summing up the above, we can conclude that the PHSS iterative method for the generalized Lyapunov equation is convergent and the upper bound of the convergence factor is $\sigma_0(\alpha)$ which is only

associated with matrix $\|P^{-1}\|_2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j) \right\|_2$ and the eigenvalues of matrix $P^{-1}H$.

In addition, when $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$, the upper bound $\sigma_0(\alpha)$ of the convergence factor of the PHSS iterative method of the generalized Lyapunov equation (3) is minimal, but the convergence factor $\rho(G)$ does not necessarily reach the minimum at this time, that is to say, when $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$, the PHSS iteration does not necessarily converge the fastest. How to obtain the optimal parameters needs to be further studied.

The actual iterative parameter α is advisable to be $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$. Because $H = I \otimes H(A) + H(A) \otimes I$, we can get that

$$\lambda_{\min} = 2\lambda_{\min}(H(A)), \lambda_{\max} = 2\lambda_{\max}(H(A)).$$

Therefore, we can get that

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\min} \cdot \lambda_{\max}} = \sqrt{2\lambda_{\min}(H(A)) \cdot 2\lambda_{\max}(H(A))} = 2\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}(H(A)) \cdot \lambda_{\max}(H(A))}.$$

To sum up, the PHSS iterative method is convergent for the generalized Lyapunov equation (3) which satisfies the condition.

3 Inexact PHSS (IPHSS) iterative algorithm

In order to reduce the computational complexity of the HSS iterative method for solving the generalized Lyapunov equation, Xu Qingqing and others proposed an IHSS iteration method for solving the generalized Lyapunov equation in [12]. Similarly, the IPHSS iteration method for solving the generalized Lyapunov equation can be derived from the PHSS iteration method for solving the generalized Lyapunov equation.

Taking X_k as the initial value, the following generalized Lyapunov equation is approximated by iterative method, and $X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha P(A) + H(A))X_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha P(A) + H(A)) \approx \\ (\alpha P(A) - S(A))X_k + X_k(\alpha P(A) + S(A)) - \sum_{j=1}^m N_j X N_j^T - C. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Because the matrix of the Lyapunov equation (10) is symmetric and positive definite, the approximate solution can be obtained by the CG algorithm.

Next, we use $X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ as initial value approximation to solve the following Lyapunov equation and get X_{k+1} :

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha P(A) + S(A))X_{k+1} + X_{k+1}(\alpha P(A) - S(A)) \approx \\ (\alpha P(A) - H(A))X_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha P(A) - H(A)) - \sum_{j=1}^m N_j X_{k+\frac{1}{2}} N_j^T - C. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

For Lyapunov equation (11), the approximate solution can be obtained by CGNE algorithm. Similar to the inexact HSS iterative method for solving the generalized Lyapunov equation in the literature [12], the inexact PHSS iteration method for solving the generalized Lyapunov equation can be summarized as follows:

Algorithm 2. Let's give the initial value $X_0 \in R^{n \times n}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and calculate the

X_{k+1} until the accuracy requirement is met.

(i) Let's approximate the solution of

$$(\alpha P + H)z_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = -r_k,$$

where

$$P = I(A) \otimes P(A) + P(A) \otimes I(A), H = I(A) \otimes H(A) + H(A) \otimes I(A)$$

and

$$R_k = AX_k + X_k A^T + \sum_{j=1}^m N_j X_k N_j^T + C, z_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \text{vec}(Z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}), r_k = \text{vec}(R_k)$$

until $Z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ makes the corresponding residual

$$p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = -r_k - (\alpha P + H)z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$$

satisfy $\|p_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_k \|r_k\|_2$.

(ii) Let's approximate the solution of

$$(\alpha P + S)z_{k+1} = 2\alpha Pz_{k+\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $z_{k+1} = \text{vec}(Z_{k+1})$ and $S = I(A) \otimes S(A) + S(A) \otimes I(A)$ until Z_{k+1} makes the corresponding residual

$$q_{k+1} = 2\alpha Pz_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - (\alpha P + S)z_{k+1}$$

satisfy $\|q_{k+1}\|_2 \leq 2\alpha\eta_k \|Pz_{k+1}\|_2$.

(iii) Computing $X_{k+1} = X_k + Z_{k+1}$.

In algorithm 2, ε_k and η_k is used to control the accuracy of internal iterations in the iterative process, and the stopping criterion of the (ii) step only makes the following convergence theorem more concise. In fact, the criterion can be changed to $\|q_{k+1}\|_2 \leq \eta_k \|Pz_{k+1}\|_2$.

Theorem 3. Let's suppose that $A \in R^{n \times n}$ is an asymmetrical positive definite matrix. According to theorem 1, α is chosen to make the HSS iterative method converge.

$\{X_k\}$ is an iterative sequence generated by algorithm 2, and X^* is the exact solution of the generalized Lyapunov equation. Then we can get that

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| \leq \left[\sigma_0(\alpha) + \frac{2\|P^{-1}\|_2 \|F\|_2}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} (\varepsilon_k + \eta_k(1 + \varepsilon_k)) \right] \cdot \|x_k - x^*\|,$$

where $x_k = \text{vec}(X_k)$ and $x^* = \text{vec}(X^*)$. Let's define the vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ as: For any vector y , we can define that $\|y\| = \|(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)y\|_2$

In particular, if

$$\sigma_0(\alpha) + \frac{2\|P^{-1}\|_2 \|F\|_2}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} (\varepsilon_{\max} + \eta_{\max}(1 + \varepsilon_{\max})) < 1,$$

the iterative sequence $\{x_k\}$ converges to x^* , that is, $\{X_k\}$ converges to X^* , where $\varepsilon_{\max} = \max\{\varepsilon_k\}$ and $\eta_{\max} = \max\{\eta_k\}$.

Proof. Because

$$\begin{cases} p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = -r_k - (\alpha P + H)z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \\ q_{k+1} = 2\alpha Pz_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - (\alpha P + S)z_{k+1} \end{cases}$$

satisfies $\|p_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_k \|r_k\|_2$ and $\|q_{k+1}\|_2 \leq 2\alpha\eta_k \|Pz_{k+1}\|_2$, then we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= x_k + z_{k+1} \\ &= x_k + (\alpha P + S)^{-1}(2\alpha Pz_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - q_{k+1}) \\ &= x_k - 2\alpha(\alpha P + S)^{-1}P(\alpha P + H)^{-1}(r_k + p_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) - (\alpha P + S)^{-1}q_{k+1} \\ &= x_k - 2\alpha(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1}P^{-1}(r_k + p_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) - (\alpha P + S)^{-1}q_{k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Because

$$r_k = Fx_k + c = (H + S + \sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j)x_k + c, \quad (13)$$

we can bring the formula (13) into the type (12) and see that

$$x_{k+1} = (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} \left[(\alpha I - P^{-1}H)(\alpha I - P^{-1}S) - 2\alpha P^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j \right] x_k - 2\alpha(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} P^{-1} \left(c + p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right) - (\alpha P + S)^{-1} q_{k+1}.$$

Let X^* be the exact solution of the generalized Lyapunov equation, that is, x^* is the exact solution of the following two equations:

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha P + H)x = (\alpha P - S)x - \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j)x - c, \\ (\alpha P + S)x = (\alpha P - H)x - \sum_{j=1}^m (N_j \otimes N_j)x - c. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Through the first equation in formula (14), we can see that

$$x^* = (\alpha P + H)^{-1}(\alpha P - S)x^* - (\alpha P + H)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j x^* + c \right), \quad (15)$$

We can bring the formula (15) into the second equation of the formula (14) and see that

$$x^* = (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} \left[(\alpha I - P^{-1}H)(\alpha I - P^{-1}S) - 2\alpha P^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j \right] x^* - 2\alpha(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} P^{-1} c.$$

As a result, we can conclude that

$$x_{k+1} - x^* = (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} \cdot \left[(\alpha I - P^{-1}H)(\alpha I - P^{-1}S) - 2\alpha P^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j \right] (x_k - x^*) - 2\alpha(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} P^{-1} p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1} P^{-1} q_{k+1}.$$

Let's suppose that vector norm is $\|y\| = \|(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)y\|_2$ and the matrix norm is

$$\|Y\| = \|(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)Y(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}\|_2.$$

Because $(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)$ and $(\alpha I - P^{-1}H)$ can be exchanged, we conclude that $(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1}$ and $(\alpha I - P^{-1}H)$ can be exchanged. As a result, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| &\leq \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} \left[(\alpha I - P^{-1}H)(\alpha I - P^{-1}S) - 2\alpha P^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j \right] \right\| \\
&\quad \cdot \|x_k - x^*\| + 2\alpha \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} P^{-1} p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right\| + \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1} P^{-1} q_{k+1} \right\| \\
&= \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} \left[(\alpha I - P^{-1}H)(\alpha I - P^{-1}S) - 2\alpha P^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j \right] (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1} \right\|_2 \\
&\quad \cdot \|x_k - x^*\| + 2\alpha \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} P^{-1} p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 + \|P^{-1} q_{k+1}\|_2 \\
&= \left\| (\alpha I - P^{-1}H)(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1}(\alpha I - P^{-1}S)(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2\alpha (\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} P^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1} \right\|_2 \\
&\quad \cdot \|x_k - x^*\| + 2\alpha \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} P^{-1} p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 + \|P^{-1} q_{k+1}\|_2 \\
&\leq \left\| (\alpha I - \tilde{H})(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}(\alpha I - \tilde{S})(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \right\|_2 \\
&\quad - 2\alpha \|P^{-1}\|_2 \left\| (\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1} \right\|_2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m N_j \otimes N_j \right\|_2 \left\| (\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1} \right\|_2 \\
&\quad \cdot \|x_k - x^*\| + 2\alpha \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} P^{-1} p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 + \|P^{-1} q_{k+1}\|_2 \\
&\leq \sigma_0(\alpha) \|x_k - x^*\| + 2\alpha \|P^{-1}\|_2 \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} \right\|_2 \left\| p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 + \|P^{-1}\|_2 \|q_{k+1}\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\|r_k\|_2 = \|F(x_k - x^*)\|_2 \leq \|F(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}\|_2 \|x_k - x^*\|$, we can see that

$$\left\| p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_k \|r_k\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_k \|F(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}\|_2 \|x_k - x^*\| \leq \varepsilon_k \|F\|_2 \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1} \right\|_2 \|x_k - x^*\|,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q_{k+1}\|_2 &\leq 2\alpha \eta_k \|P\|_2 \left\| z_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 = 2\alpha \eta_k \|P\|_2 \left\| (\alpha P + H)^{-1} (-r_k - p_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_2 \\
&\leq 2\alpha \eta_k \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} \right\|_2 (\|r_k\|_2 + \|p_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2) \\
&\leq 2\alpha \eta_k \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} \right\|_2 (1 + \varepsilon_k) \|r_k\|_2 \\
&\leq 2\alpha \eta_k (1 + \varepsilon_k) \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1} \right\|_2 \|F\|_2 \left\| (\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1} \right\|_2 \|x_k - x^*\|,
\end{aligned}$$

Through the formula (9), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| &\leq \sigma_0(\alpha) \|x_k - x^*\| + 2\alpha \|P^{-1}\|_2 \|(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1}\|_2 \left\| p_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 + \|P^{-1}\|_2 \|q_{k+1}\|_2 \\
&\leq \left[\sigma_0(\alpha) + 2\alpha(\varepsilon_k + \eta_k(1 + \varepsilon_k)) \|P^{-1}\|_2 \|(\alpha I + P^{-1}H)^{-1}\|_2 \|F\|_2 \|(\alpha I + P^{-1}S)^{-1}\|_2 \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \|x_k - x^*\| \\
&\leq \left[\sigma_0(\alpha) + 2\alpha(\varepsilon_k + \eta_k(1 + \varepsilon_k)) \|P^{-1}\|_2 \left\| P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I + P^{-\frac{1}{2}}HP^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}P^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \|F\|_2 \left\| P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha I + P^{-\frac{1}{2}}SP^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}P^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2 \right] \|x_k - x^*\| \\
&\leq \left[\sigma_0(\alpha) + 2\alpha(\varepsilon_k + \eta_k(1 + \varepsilon_k)) \|P^{-1}\|_2 \|(\alpha I + \tilde{H})^{-1}\|_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \|F\|_2 \|(\alpha I + \tilde{S})^{-1}\|_2 \right] \|x_k - x^*\| \\
&\leq \left[\sigma_0(\alpha) + 2\alpha(\varepsilon_k + \eta_k(1 + \varepsilon_k)) \|P^{-1}\|_2 \frac{1}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} \|F\|_2 \frac{1}{\alpha} \right] \|x_k - x^*\| \\
&\leq \left[\sigma_0(\alpha) + \frac{2\|P^{-1}\|_2 \|F\|_2}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} (\varepsilon_k + \eta_k(1 + \varepsilon_k)) \right] \|x_k - x^*\|.
\end{aligned}$$

If we accurately solve the Lyapunov equation (10) and (11), the corresponding $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ and $\{\eta_k\}$ should be zero, so both ε_{\max} and η_{\max} are zero. At this point, the convergence factor of the IPHSS iteration method is the same as that of the PHSS iteration method. Theorem 3 shows that in order to guarantee the convergence of the IPHSS iterative method, we only need the conditional

$$\sigma_0(\alpha) + \frac{2\|P^{-1}\|_2 \|F\|_2}{\alpha + \lambda_{\min}} (\varepsilon_k + \eta_k(1 + \varepsilon_k)) < 1$$

to satisfy, and we do not need $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ and $\{\eta_k\}$ to go to zero with the increase of k .

Therefore, when the generalized Lyapunov equation is solved, the selection of $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ and $\{\eta_k\}$ should make the calculation as small as possible, and the iterative factor of the IPHSS iterative method is as close to the convergence factor of the PHSS iterative method as possible.

4 Numerical experiments

In this section, we test the IPHSS algorithm for solving the generalized

Lyapunov equation by numerical examples.

Now, we consider the generalized Lyapunov equation as follows:

$$AX + XA^T + \sum_{j=1}^m N_j X N_j^T + C = 0,$$

where $n = N \times N$, N_j is a random matrix that satisfies the condition of theorem 1;

$A = I \otimes R + Q \otimes I$ where \otimes is Kronecker product. Let

$$R = \text{tridiag}((-2-h), 8, (-2+h)) \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \text{tridiag}((-2-2h), 8, (-2+2h))$$

are three diagonal matrices; $h = \frac{1}{N}$; $x^{(0)} = \text{vec}(X^{(0)})$ is taken as a zero vector; and

the program is executed by Matlab. The order of the coefficient matrix A is n . The

relative error is $Res = \frac{\|r^{(k)}\|_2}{\|b\|_2}$. The stopping criterion is $Res < 10^{-6}$. $Iter$ is the

number of iterations. CPU is iterative time. The parameters are taken as $\alpha = 0.9$.

The preconditioned matrix P is selected as the diagonal matrix of the coefficient matrix A . Through the IPHSS algorithm we can get Table 1 as follows:

Table 1 Comparison of calculation results between IHSS and IPHSS method

n	IHSS			IPHSS		
	Iter	CPU	Res	Iter	CPU	Res
4	118	0.0083	9.3898×10^{-7}	3	0.0310	5.5442×10^{-7}
16	114	0.0439	9.9883×10^{-7}	5	0.0270	9.3586×10^{-8}
36	116	2.3878	9.9562×10^{-7}	5	0.0516	4.6816×10^{-7}
64	121	40.7979	9.9562×10^{-7}	5	0.7346	7.9511×10^{-7}
100	131	252.4446	9.0056×10^{-7}	5	4.2164	8.8654×10^{-7}

The numerical results in the analysis Table 1 show that the amplitude of the number of iterative times for the IHSS iteration and the IPHSS iteration of the generalized Lyapunov equation is smaller, which indicates that the two methods are

very stable, but the number of iterations and times of the IPHSS iteration are far smaller than that of the IHSS iteration, and the relative error of the IPHSS iteration is also less than the relative error of the IHSS iteration. Not only that, it can be seen that the gap between the iterative time of the IPHSS iterative method and the iteration time of the IHSS iteration method is larger, as we can see the higher order of the matrix, and thus the IPHSS iterative method for solving the generalized Lyapunov equation is more effective than the IHSS iteration.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, a new method of solving the generalized Lyapunov equation by PHSS iterative method is proposed and its convergence is proved. Then, the IPHSS algorithm for solving the generalized Lyapunov equation is put forward, and the convergence of the generalized Lyapunov equation is proved. Finally, a numerical experiment is carried out to compare the new method with the existing methods. It is found that compared with the IHSS iteration method, the IPHSS iteration method has obvious improvement effect.

References

- [1] Bai Z Z, Benzi M, Chen F. Modified HSS iteration methods for a class of complex symmetric linear system[J], *Computing*, 2010, 87(3-4): 93-111.
- [2] Bai Z Z, Benzi M and Chen F. On preconditioned MHSS iteration methods for complex symmetric linear systems[J], *Numerical Algorithms* 56 (2011) 297–317.
- [3] Bertaccini D. Efficient solvers for sequences of complex symmetric linear systems[J], *Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis* 18 (2004) 49–64.
- [4] Feriani A A, Perotti F and Simoncini V. Iterative system solvers for the frequency analysis of linear mechanical systems[J], *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 190 (2000) 1719–1739.
- [5] Wu S L, Li C X. A splitting method for complex symmetric indefinite linear system[J], *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 313 (2017) 343-354.
- [6] Wu S L, Li C X. Modified complex-symmetric and skew-Hermitian splitting iteration method for a class of complex-symmetric indefinite linear systems[J], *Numerical Algorithms*, In press, DOI 10.1007/s11075-016-0245-1.
- [7] Wu S L, Li C X. A splitting iterative method for the discrete dynamic linear systems[J], *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 267 (2014) 49-60.
- [8] Bai Z Z, Golub G H, Ng M K. Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting methods for non-Hermitian positive definite linear system[J], *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 2003, 24(3): 603-602.

- [9] Bai Z Z, Golub G H, Pan J. Preconditioned Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting methods for non-Hermitian positive semidefinite linear systems[J], *Numerische Mathematik*, 2004, 98(1): 1-32.
- [10] Bai Z Z, Golub G H, Li C. Convergence properties of preconditioned Hermitian and skew-Hermitian splitting methods for non-Hermitian positive semidefinite matrices[J], *Mathematics of Computation*, 2007, 76(257): 287-298.
- [11] Yang A, An J, Yu J. A generalized preconditioned HSS method for non-Hermitian positive definite linear systems[J], *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2010, 216(6): 1715-1712.
- [12] Xu Q.Q, Dai H. HSS iterative method for generalized Lyapunov equation [J], *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mathematics*, 2015, 29(4): 383-394.
- [13] Bartels R H, Stewart G W. Solution of the matrix equation $AX+XB=C$ [J], *Communications of the ACM*, 1972, 15(9): 820-826.
- [14] Golub G H, Nash S, Van Loan C F. A Hessenber-Schur method for the matrix problem $AX+XB=C$ [J], *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 1979, AC-24(6): 909-913.
- [15] Lu A, Wachspress E L. Solution of Lyapunov equations by alternating direction implicit iteration[J], *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 1991, 21(9): 43-58.
- [16] Hu D Y, Reichel L. Krylov-Subspace methods for the Sylvester equation[J], *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 1992, 172(15): 283-313.
- [17] Penzl T. A cyclic low-rank Smith method for large sparse Lyapunov equations[J], *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 1999, 21(4): 1401-1418.
- [18] Li R. J, White J. Low-rank solution of Lyapunov equations[J], *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 2004, 46(4): 693-713.
- [19] Simoncini V. A new iterative method for solving large-scale Lyapunov matrix equations[J], *Siam Journal on Scientific Computing*, 2007, 29(3): 1268-1288.