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Abstract:

Background and Objectives: Acculturation is associated with excessive weight gain among immigrants to the U.S. Whether dietary factors mediate this association is unclear. This study aimed to examine whether overall diet quality or specific component(s) of diet quality mediate the association between acculturation and Body Mass Index (BMI) among Mexican American (MA) men and women.

Material and Methods: This is a secondary data analysis using dietary intake data from 24-hour dietary recalls, measured height and weight, and self-reported acculturation from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) cycles 1999-2000 to 2011-2012. A total of 6848 MA adults (≥20 yrs) with reliable dietary recall status and body measures were included in the study. Path analyses was performed in Mplus with complex survey design effects adjusted.

Results: HEI components of whole grains and sodium were found to play mediating roles in the acculturation-BMI association, and their effects on BMI were opposing [indirect effect were -0.01 (SE 0.00) and 0.02 (0.01), respectively]. In gender-specific analysis, sodium [0.01 (0.00)] was a significant mediator only in MA men; whereas, whole grains [-0.01 (0.00)] was a significant mediator only in MA women.

Conclusions: HEI components of whole grains and sodium appeared to be significant dietary mediators in the acculturation-BMI association. Understanding the variations of dietary components and their relationship with acculturation as well as BMI is useful for developing dietary interventions and obesity reduction.
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1. Introduction

The burden of obesity in Mexican Americans (MAs) varies by the level of acculturation [1-6] — a process in which immigrants adopt the customs and behaviors of a new culture [7]. Research showed that MAs’ risks for obesity increase with the increase of acculturation [1-6]. Compared to U.S.-born individuals, foreign-born MAs have lower body weight, regardless of their socioeconomic status [1, 3-5]. Additionally, duration of U.S. residency and English fluency were also found to be positively associated with obesity [2, 3, 6].

The differences in obesity status by acculturation are likely to be attributable to changes in lifestyles, including dietary changes [8, 9]. Current findings regarding the relationship between acculturation and diet are equivocal. Some studies suggested that acculturation to U.S. society was associated with adverse nutritional profiles and unhealthful dietary behavior [9, 10]. Such that, a higher degree of acculturation was related to lower intake of fruit and vegetables, but higher intake of total fat, saturated fat, sodium, sugar, and sugar-sweetened beverages [9, 11]. Acculturation was also associated with more consumption of commercially prepared foods [9, 11, 12], which often are high in sodium, sugar, and saturated fat, but low in fibers [13]. Others, however, demonstrated protective effects of acculturation on aspects of diet, such as increased intake of whole- or multi-grains products [12, 14]. Compared to individuals living in Mexico, acculturated MAs are more likely to take advantage of the wide varieties of whole-grain foods in the U.S. food environment [14]. The relationship between acculturation and diet seems complex as the previous studies suggest opposite association and often only involved outcome of a single nutrient or a food group. Further investigation equipped with comprehensive dietary assessment that enables evaluating diet quality and variety of dietary components is therefore warranted.

Diet quality reflects the combination of nutrients and foods, rather than inclusion of food(s) or nutrient(s) in isolation from one another or caloric intake exclusively [17]. Studies have shown a possible link between diet quality and weight outcomes [15-17]. The Healthy Eating Index (HEI) is developed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture for monitoring dietary intake and nutrition promotion activities for the U.S. population [18]. The total HEI score examines the overall dietary quality; while the component scores used to calculate the total HEI score can be used to study components of dietary intake and their relationship with obesity or disease risk [19]. Previous research has shown inverse associations between the HEI and risks for obesity, central obesity and obesity-related conditions in general adult populations [16, 19-22]. A low total HEI score was associated with overweight and obesity among U.S. adults including Mas [19, 21]. A high consumption of proteins, sodium and empty calories was associated with increased risk for overweight and obesity [16].

While evidence has undertaken the association between the HEI and weight outcomes [16-22], as well as the association between acculturation and diet quality or weight outcomes [3, 6, 7], little is known whether the overall diet quality or specific component(s) of diet quality mediates the association between acculturation and body mass index (BMI). Using weight and dietary data in a national sample of MAs, the current study aimed to 1) examine whether the overall diet quality (i.e., HEI total score) mediates the association between acculturation and BMI; 2) which aspect(s) of diet quality (i.e., HEI component scores) mediate the association between acculturation and BMI among MAs. Gender disparities of obesity among MAs exist [23-26]. While national age-adjusted prevalence of overall obesity were 45% vs 47% for MA women and men, respectively; in California and on Texas border, researchers reported MA men have almost the same likelihood to be obese as their women counterparts [23-25]. In addition, effect of acculturation on dietary changes and weight gain varies between genders [24, 26]. For example, MA men were reported to consume more western style fast food than women due to acculturation [24, 26]. For these reasons, we performed gender-specific analyses.
2. Materials and Methods

**Data.** Cross-sectional data from the Continuous NHANES cycle 1999-2000 to cycle 2010-2012 were obtained for the analysis. The NHANES is a complex, multistage probability sample of U.S. noninstitutionalized civilians that started in 1999 (detailed information NHANES is described somewhere else and the data can be found on NHANES website) [26]. The National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethnic Review Board approved NHANES, and informed consent was obtained from all participants [27]. Data from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Pattern Equivalents Databases (FPED) were utilized to translate NHANES dietary data into equivalent servings of the major food groups and subgroups according to the HEI2010.

**Measures. Weight status.** The primary outcome, BMI, was calculated as weight divided by the square of height (kg/m2). The body measurement data was collected in the Mobile Examination Center (MEC), by trained health technicians. NHANES used digital scales and stadiometers to measure height and weight. Detailed measurement procedures were documented somewhere else [28].

**Acculturation.** The main predictor of interest, acculturation was constructed as an acculturation score, which was based on three proxy measures: country of birth, language spoken at home, and length of time in the U.S. Combining country of birth and length of time in the U.S., a score of 0-3 was assigned based on four categories (3=U.S. born, 2=foreign born and lived in the U.S.≥20 years, 1=foreign born and lived in the U.S. 10-19 years, 0=foreign born and lived in the U.S.<10 years). A score of 0-2 was assigned to language spoken at home (2=English only or pro-English, 1=both equally, 0=Spanish or pro-Spanish). These scores were summed to yield a total acculturation score, ranging from 0 (least acculturated) to 5 (most acculturated). This is a validated scale that has been tested in Hispanic and Chinese populations in the U.S. [28]. Instead of using the three components as separate variables, it is argued that an acculturation score gives a more accurate representation of acculturation status than each independent indicator, in that these characteristics are usually clustered within an individual and they are inseparable [29].

**Diet quality.** The study mediators, the HEI2010 scores, were composed based on dietary intake data from NHANES in-person 24-hour recall interview. Detailed descriptions of the dietary recalls and data collection are available elsewhere [30]. FPED from USDA then translated dietary recall data into equivalent servings of the major food groups and subgroups. The HEI2010 has 12 categories, including 9 “adequacy” (total vegetables, greens and beans, total fruit, whole fruit, total proteins, seafood and plant proteins, whole grains, dairy, and fatty acids) and 3 “moderation” (refined grains, sodium and empty calories) components. For all components, higher scores indicate closer conformance with dietary guidelines [18]. The scores assigned for the 9 adequacy and the 3 (reverse-scored) moderation components were summed to yield a total score ranging from 1 to 100, with higher score indicating a better diet quality [18].

**Covariates.** The following sociodemographic covariates were considered: gender, age (20-40, 41-60, or >60), education (less than high school, high school or equivalent, or more than high school), marital status (yes or no), poverty-income ratio (PIR<1, 1≤PIR<3, or PIR≥3), and insurance coverages (public insurance including Medicare, Medicaid or other forms of government insurance, private insurance, or no health insurance). Potential confounding effects from other behavioral factors were also adjusted, such as smoking (never, former, or current), alcohol drinking (never, former, or current), and physical activity (PA). PA was assessed by using the physical activity questionnaire (PAQ) items employed in NHANES. Participants were asked their engagement in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) during the past 30 days related to transportation, household/domestic tasks, and leisure-time activities. Their responses were translated to minutes/week of MVPA. Each participant’s combined weekly duration of MVPA were grouped...
into one of two categories (<150 or ≥150 minutes/week) based upon their achievement of the current activity guidelines [31]. Lastly, Data Release Number (SDDSRVRY) was taken into account in the analysis to adjust for potential different distributions of sampled populations in different survey cycles.

**Analysis.** A final 6847 Mexican Americans ≥20 years with non-missing dietary and non-pregnancy status were included in the study. Path analyses were used to test whether the HEI2010 mediates the association between acculturation and BMI. Because whole fruit, greens and beans, seafood and plant proteins are parts of total fruit, total vegetables, and total proteins, respectively, they were excluded from the analysis to avoid collinearity. Acculturation and a set of sociodemographic factors were modeled as being correlated and as having both direct and indirect (through mediators, HEI component scores) effects on the dependent variable, BMI. Mediation proportion [indirect effect/ (indirect+direct effects)]×100 were used to determine the mediation effect size.32 A mediation proportion of 10% or more is considered as statistically significant mediation effect, and 5% to 10% as moderate mediation effect. 33 All analyses were conducted using Mplus version 7.3 (Muthen & Muthen, Los Angeles, CA) with complex survey design effects adjusted.

3. Results

Table 1 presents descriptive results of the study. The mean acculturation index was 2.4, mean BMI was 29, and mean HEI total score was 29.1 for MAs in NHANES cycles 1999-2000 to 2010-2012. In gender-specific analyses, women appeared less likely to be current smokers (12% vs. 27%, P<.001) and current drinkers (53% vs. 79%, P<.001), but more likely to achieve the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans (PAGA) (63% vs. 52%, P<.001), and have better diet quality (HEI total score 51.1 vs. 48.4, P<.001). However, in comparison to men, women were more likely to be acculturated (mean acculturation index 2.6 vs. 2.3, P<.001) and to have higher BMI (mean BMI 29.5 vs. 28.6, P<.001).

**Table 1. Sociodemographic and Behavioral Characteristics by Gender Group among MAs, NHANES 1999-2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>3096 (61.5)</td>
<td>1382 (63.6)</td>
<td>1590 (59.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-60</td>
<td>1948 (29.0)</td>
<td>941 (28.5)</td>
<td>940 (29.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>1803 (9.5)</td>
<td>788 (8.0)</td>
<td>899 (11.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>4047 (53.0)</td>
<td>1896 (55.1)</td>
<td>1954 (50.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or equiv.</td>
<td>1235 (20.7)</td>
<td>563 (21.4)</td>
<td>622 (20.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than high school</td>
<td>1550 (26.3)</td>
<td>649 (23.4)</td>
<td>844 (29.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIR&lt;1.0</td>
<td>1929 (31.3)</td>
<td>830 (29.1)</td>
<td>1026 (33.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1≤PIR&lt;3</td>
<td>2039 (47.8)</td>
<td>1413 (49.9)</td>
<td>1422 (45.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIR≥3</td>
<td>1550 (20.9)</td>
<td>567 (21.9)</td>
<td>587 (20.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>3971 (56.6)</td>
<td>1953 (58.5)</td>
<td>1849 (54.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 and Figure 1.A and 1.B present results from the first set of path analyses — the relationship between acculturation and BMI through the overall diet quality (i.e., HEI total score). Among all MAs, the total effect of acculturation on BMI before adjusting the mediator was significant [total effect $a=.56$ (SE .06), $P<.001$] (Table 2). The direct effect of acculturation on BMI (i.e., independent of all measured mediators and confounders) remained significant [direct effect $a1=.55$ (SE.06), $P<0.001$] (Table 2). However, the indirect effect of HEI total score was not significant [indirect effect $a2*a3=.02$ (SE .01), $P=0.06$] (Table 2). The size of the indirect effect was only 3% of the total
effect. This effect size was small considering the criterion of significance is 10% \( \times \) 32. In gender-specific analyses, total and direct effects of acculturation on BMI were significant in both gender groups (all P-values < .001) (Table 2 and Figure 1.A. and 1.B.). However, the indirect effects of HEI total score was not significant in either group (P=0.21 and P=.17 for men and women, respectively) (Table 2 and Figure 1.A. and 1.B.).

Table 2. Path Analysis for the Association between Acculturation and BMI through HEI2010 Total Score (Coefficient, SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total effect (a)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.06)**</td>
<td>0.62 (0.08)**</td>
<td>0.48 (0.08)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct effect (a1)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.06)**</td>
<td>0.61 (0.09)**</td>
<td>0.46 (0.01)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect effect (a2*a3)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Total effect is the effect of acculturation on BMI before adjusting for the mediator (i.e., HEI total score) and all confounders, including age, gender, education, income, marriage status, insurance status, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, and physical activity.

2 Direct effect is the effect of acculturation on BMI after adjusting for the mediator and all confounders.

3 Indirect effect is the mediating effect of HEI total score on the association between acculturation and BMI after adjusting for all confounders.

* = P<0.05, ** = P<0.001. P is from the bootstrap approach.

Figure 1.A. Mediating Effect of HEI2010 Total Score in the Association between Acculturation and BMI among MA Men

Figure 1.B. Mediating Effect of HEI2010 Total Score on the Association between Acculturation and BMI among MA Women

* = P<0.05, ** = P<0.001. P is from the bootstrap approach.
Table 3 and Figure 2.A. and 2.B. present results from the second set of mediation analyses, where HEI component scores mediate the relationship between acculturation and BMI were examined. Among all MAs, the total effect of acculturation on BMI [total effect $a=.59$ (SE .06), $P<.001$] was significant (Table 3). After adjusting for all covariates, scores of whole grains [indirect effect $a_2*a_3=-.01$ (SE .00), $P<.05$] and sodium [indirect effect $a_2*a_3=.02$ (SE .01), $P<.05$] had statistically significant indirect effects on BMI (Table 3). The direct effect of acculturation on BMI, independent of all measured mediators and confounders remained significant [direct effect $a_1=.58$ (SE.06), $P<.001$] (Table 3).

Table 3: Path Analysis for the Association between Acculturation and BMI through HEI-2010 Component Scores (Coefficient, SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEI components</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total effect ($a$)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.06)**</td>
<td>0.66 (0.10)**</td>
<td>0.50 (0.07)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct effect ($a_1$)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.06)**</td>
<td>0.65 (0.09)**</td>
<td>0.48 (0.07)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect effect from each component ($a_2*a_3$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vegetables</td>
<td>-0.00 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fruit</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole grains</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.00)*</td>
<td>-0.10 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.00)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dairy</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total proteins</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.00)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)*</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined grains</td>
<td>-0.00 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.00 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty calories</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.00 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Total effect is the effect of acculturation on BMI before adjusting for the mediators (i.e., HEI component scores) and all confounders, including age, gender, education, income, marriage status, insurance status, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, and physical activity.
2. Direct effect is the effect of acculturation on BMI after adjusting for the mediators and all confounders.
3. Indirect effect is the mediating effect of each HEI component score on the association between acculturation and BMI after adjusting for all confounders.

* $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.001$. $P$ is from the bootstrap approach.
Figure 2.A. Mediating Effect of HEI2010 Component Scores on the Association between Acculturation and BMI among MA Men

Figure 2.B. Mediating Effect of HEI2010 Component Scores on the Association between Acculturation and BMI among MA Women

*=P<.05, **=P<.001. P is from the bootstrap approach.
When stratifying gender groups, in men, sodium appeared have a statistically significant mediating effect in the association between acculturation and BMI [indirect effect $a_2*a_3=.01$ (SE .00), $P<.05$] (Table 3 and Figure 2.A.). The score of whole grains, however, was the only component that significantly mediated the association between acculturation and BMI [indirect effect $a_2*a_3=-.01$ (SE .00), $P<.05$] in MA women (Table 3 and Figure 2.B.). The overall and gender-specific models fit well given the model fit indices were within threshold levels (i.e., Root mean square error approximation (RMSEA) <0.1; Standardized root mean square residual (SRMSR) <.08; Comparative fit index/Tucker Lewis Index (CFI/TLI)>.9) (Tables are not presented).

4. Discussion

The present study examined whether the HEI total or component scores mediate the association between acculturation and BMI. To our knowledge, this study is among the first that explored these relationships through path analyses. The study found that the HEI total score was not a significant mediator of the acculturation-BMI association. However, HEI components of whole grains and sodium were found to play a significant mediating role in the relationship of the interest. The findings suggest that acculturation is positively associated with a higher score of whole grains (reflects a higher level intake of whole grains) among men; it was also associated with a lower score of sodium (reflects a higher level intake of sodium) among women. In other words, compared to those who scored lower in acculturation, MA men with a higher degree of acculturation may have a diet higher in whole grains, which is protective to BMI. Whereas among women, compared to those less acculturated, those who are more acculturated may have a diet higher in sodium, which is associated with higher BMI.

The association between acculturation and increased consumption of whole grains was supported by studies targeting Hispanic populations in the U.S., including Mas [34, 35]. According to Winkley et al., a greater level of acculturation, as measured by English fluency, was associated with increased intake of whole-grain foods [32]. Liu et al. also found that generation status, reflecting the level of acculturation, was associated with increased intake of whole grains, where the second and third generations of MAs have significantly higher intake of whole grains as compared to the first generation [35]. A significant inverse relationship between the intake of whole grains and BMI has been reported previously [36]. Whole grains provide dietary fibers, which have appetite-suppressant qualities that helps one feels satiated, thereby preventing unhealthy snacking [37]. Moreover, the health effect of whole grains is also attributable to micronutrients, antioxidants and non-nutritive dietary constituents such as phyto-estrogens in wheat bran, and beta-glucans in oats [38].

With respect to sodium intake, increased acculturation was found to be associated with its increased consumption [11, 41, 44]. Behaviors associated with sodium consumption include eating-away-from-home, consumption of meals at fast-food outlets and consumption of salty snacks [11]. Researchers have studied the link between sodium intake and weight gain [11, 39-41] and there are several hypotheses for this association. Karppanen and Mervaala suggested that increasing intake of sodium obligatorily produced a progressive increase in thirst [40]. The increase in salt intake may contribute to increased intake of sugar sweetened or alcoholic beverages, which is a source of excessive calories. Alternatively, a high level of sodium intake is correlated with a high consumption of processed foods, which are generally of higher energy density [11, 39]. Most sodium in the U.S. diet comes from commercially processed and restaurant foods [40]. According to U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, more than seventy-five percent of sodium Americans consume comes from processed and restaurant foods — not the salt shaker [43]. It has been observed that many MAs favor ready-made processed foods and eating out because of the
fast-paced life in the U.S., or the pressure to integrate into American society by eating American
style fast-food [44]. Consequently, sodium intake may be a marker for consumption of unhealthy
processed foods, which may explain the link between high level of sodium intake and elevated
BMI.

No study that we are aware of that has conducted a similar mediation analysis by gender
groups. Using a gender-mixed sample, Flores et al. found that “refined foods and sweets” and
“diverse” dietary patterns were associated with increased risks for overweight and obesity, in
comparison to “traditional” dietary pattern [45]. It was reported that immigrant men consume fast
food more frequently than their female counterparts, possibly due to their preference of its ease and
convenience, and their cooking and time limitations [46]. Fast foods that contain excessive amount
of sodium and other unhealthy ingredients that are associated with weight gain. Wolongevicz et al.
found that a diet high in refined carbohydrates was associated with obesity in a women-only
sample [47]. Other studies suggested that intake of whole grains was related to lower odds of
obesity in both men and women [47, 48], though sample characteristics, dietary measurements and
analytical methods in those studies are not comparable to the current one. The limited findings
regarding specific HEI components and weight outcomes by gender group warrant more gender-
specific analysis to explore the differences regarding diet quality and its association with weight
outcomes.

HEI total score was not a significant mediator in the acculturation-BMI association in the
current study. It was previously reported that HEI total score was inversely associated with body
fat in a sample of young men aged 21 to 35 years old [14]. The absence of significant difference may
be due to the different sample composition where the current one includes adults from all age
groups and focuses exclusively on MAs. Further, a challenging issue of using the HEI2010 total
score to predict BMI in MAs is that the relative contribution of the components to the total score is
possibly different in MAs from the general U.S. population [49]. It would be better to ascribe greater
weights to those items that affect BMI of MAs to a greater extent [49]. Research is therefore needed
to study the health effect of different HEI components in MAs and assign proper weights to them.

The statistically insignificant mediating effect of the HEI total score may be also due to other
unmeasured factors. Such factors could include the following: beliefs and norms regarding ideal
body images that are related to U.S. and/or Mexican culture [50]; food insecurity, a state in which
availability of nutritionally adequate foods or one’s ability to acquire food, is limited or uncertain
[51, 52]; or other contextual factors, such as density of a Hispanic/Latino population in a given
neighborhood, the history of migration to the U.S. and social network influences [11]. Indeed, it is
difficult to draw a clear pathway of acculturation-diet quality-BMI given that acculturation is
influenced, in part, by these contextual factors [11]. The close relationship between diet and BMI, as
well as the significant impact of acculturation on diet and weight changes, compelled us to examine
the acculturation-BMI path through diet quality. However, the study findings may also indicate
unexplained mechanism between acculturation and BMI. Additional studies are warranted to
assess the relative contributions of psychosocial and contextual factors of acculturation that account
for changes in BMI.

The study has several limitations. The study used cross-sectional data, which precludes
assessment of changes in diet quality and BMI in MAs over time. It is possible that some foreign-
born participants had nutritionally poor diets and were overweight or obese before moving to the
U.S. The nutritional transition has been observed in Mexico, where processed food consumption
and away-from-home food intakes are rapidly increasing in urban areas and obesity rates and
related chronic conditions are following the trend [53]. A causal relationship between acculturation
and dietary changes cannot be established due to the cross-sectional nature of the data. In addition,
arbitrary choices made in scoring HEI components may hamper its ability to predict risks for
chronic diseases [49]. For example, the HEI-2010 considers alcohol above a threshold level indicative of moderate drinking as empty calories. This approach does not directly address other potential positive and negative biological effects of alcohol, nor does it account for specific questions related to frequency of consumption and amounts consumed on drinking occasions. This probably can serve as a reason why we did not detect the significant mediating effect of empty calorie as expected. Further, the measure of acculturation was based on proxies of language, length of stay and nativity. Even though they are well-validated measures in the literature and only available acculturation information in NHANES data, they may not capture all aspects of culture adaptation that impact diet and weight. Future studies should incorporate more comprehensive scales to study the relationships between acculturation, diet quality and BMI. Further, potential biases may exist by measuring diet. Underreporting by participants is common in nutritional studies, especially among those who are overweight or obese [54]; thus, the potential bias toward the null, resulting from underreporting was acknowledged.

5. Conclusions

The current study found HEI components of whole grains and sodium, appear to be significant dietary mediators in the acculturation-BMI association. These results present insights with respect to heterogeneity in the relative influence of HEI components on the path between acculturation and BMI, adjusting sociodemographic and behavioral factors simultaneously. More studies, especially perspective studies, are needed to further clarify the path of acculturation, diet quality and BMI.

Acculturation is associated with increased consumption of whole grains, which may contribute to a better weight status. Health messages may be important in delivering information to those who are less acculturated with traditional preference for refined grains. The benefits of including whole- and multi-grain foods in one’s diet should be empathized. Another strategy may be to increase awareness of recent immigrants to the wide varieties of whole-grain alternatives, such as whole-grain tortilla and whole-grain bread by highlighting their health benefits and flavor.

Promoting a moderation on sodium intake is also essential as it was found to be another dietary mediator to acculturation and BMI. Promoting home cooking as a strategy for reducing sodium intake may be particularly beneficial for MA men, who tend to consume more fast food and have poorer cooking skills compared to MA women [44, 55]. It is also important to enhance the understanding of food labels, of nutritional merits of different foods and of food preparation methods and to incorporate this information into the dietary interventions. A regulatory approach supporting the food industry in the reformulation of foods would also be necessary [56]. If these efforts were implemented, they would reduce barriers for meeting the sodium intake guideline when individuals consume commercially prepared foods.
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