Central and Peripheral Fatigue Related to the Type of Exercise
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Abstract: Athletes are exposed to high-intensity loads to promote athletic performance, however without appropriate evaluation for its effects. This study investigates the effects of four types of exhaustion exercises on Heart Rate Variability (HRV) and Poincaré features as markers of central fatigue; Creatine Kinase (CK) and blood lactate concentrations ([LA]b) as biomarkers of peripheral fatigue. To achieve this purpose, ten healthy volunteers were exposed to exhaustive exercise using isotonic-, isometric-, aerobic-, and anaerobic-fatigue protocols. HRV Poincaré features, standard deviation of instantaneous beat-to-beat R-R interval variability (SD1) and standard deviation of continuous long-term R-R interval variability (SD2) variables were collected. Central fatigue was tested through the sympathetic stress index (SS) and the sympathetic and parasympathetic index (SS/SD1). Blood samples were collected at the beginning and at the end of the exercises to determine CK and [LA]b. The SD1 decreased in each exercise protocol, while the SS and SS/SD1 increased. [LA]b and CK increased at the end of each protocol and correlated with SD1 and SS/SD1. HRV, CK, and [LA]b are acute markers to detect, both central and peripheral fatigue; sensitive to the type, duration, and intensity of exercise, being HRV a novel noninvasive marker, simple and useful for sports coaches and athletes.
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1. Introduction

Athletes are exposed continuously to systematic and high-intensity loads to promote physical development and high athletic performance, however, most of the time, without scientific support for its effects, relying exclusively by empirical experience [1]. Isotonic, isometric and isokinetic loads applied for the development of muscle mass, muscle resistance, power or maximal strength are used in a daily basis. However, there is still a lack of knowledge to understand if exercise loads are efficiently applied and correctly assimilated by the athlete, avoiding excessive fatigue and overtraining [2]. Nowadays, several physiological and biochemical markers of acute and chronic physical fatigue have been independently tested, among them, heart rate (HR), its variability (HRV: time series between heartbeat and beat), blood concentrations of Creatine Kinase (CK) and lactate ([LA]b) [3-5] that have been used to analyze the physiological components of the fatigue process.
Being HRV a novel, easy and non-invasive tool to measure the autonomic nervous system (ANS) and central fatigue [6].

Recent studies show the capability of HRV to provide insights about the ability of the ANS to adapt to the physical and psychological stress of the environment [7]. Currently the literature reports a large number of HRV indicators to assess the autonomic balance, and includes them in three dimensions, lineal and no lineal analysis: Time domain (Mean NN, SDNN, rMSSD, PNN50, among others) [8,9], frequency domain (VLF, LF, HF, among others) [9], Poincaré diagram (SD1, SD2 and ratio SD2/SD1) [9], non-lineal and multiscale analysis (DFA, ApEn, SampEn, among others). Orellana, et al., in 2015 [6] also established two new simple indicators to interpret HRV, showing high sensitivity for the autonomic balance in athletes: Stress score (SS) and sympathetic-parasympathetic ratio (SS/SD1).

Peripheral fatigue can be analyzed by biological markers such as CK and [LA–]b. CK is an intracellular protein (cytosol and mitochondria) commonly used to determine cardiac and skeletal tissue damage, increasing in blood post-intense exercise [3,10]. In other hand, [LA–]b, is the biochemical marker most commonly used in sport practice to determine fatigue, it increases in a linear relationship as the exercise intensity increases and more fast twitch fibers are recruited [11].

As noted above, HR, HRV, CK, and [LA–]b have been studied independently to diagnose acute physical stress, fatigue, as well as the degree of recovery after different intensity loads and types of exercise. However, we do not know how these markers are related to each other, and if all of them provide us with reliable acute information during high-intensity loads, so that the athletes and coaches can benefit from them during sports practice, especially from the non-invasive procedures.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of four different types of exhaustion exercises on HRV and Poincaré features as markers of central fatigue, and CK and [LA–]b as biomarkers of peripheral fatigue.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

Ten apparently healthy volunteers males participated in the study (age = 21.1 ± 1.72 years, height = 1.73 ± 0.05 m, weight = 81.1 ± 16.75 kg), being handball university-level players. The inclusion criteria were: age ≥18 years, no smoking, no alcohol (>100 ml/week) or other drugs, not being under hormonal or dietary treatments to gain or lose weight or having physical impediments and injuries that make it impossible to perform maximum exercise tests. As indicated in Figure 1, in the first session the protocol procedures were explained at the participants, and if they agreed to participate, they were asked to sign the informed consent letter. Subsequently, to know their health status they were asked to answer a general health questionnaire, as well as the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire + (PAR Q +) [12]. The protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee.
2.2. Experimental design

Participants visit the lab in six different days (Figure 1); the first visit was for filled questionnaires (PAR-Q+, general health questionnaire, and letter of informed consent), and anthropometric measurements, requesting them not to perform any exercise during the study or drinking alcohol, coffee or tea. In the second session, the participants perform an incremental exercise test (9-15 min). Subsequently, under a block design, the participants were randomly placed into four different maximum exercise tests (MET: sessions 3-6), and for its own characteristics with different duration: aerobic test (5-9 min), anaerobic test (2-3 min), isometric strength test (0.7-2.3 min), and isotonic strength test (2-7 min). The participants attended the laboratory to perform any MET separated by at least 72 h each. In each of the four MET HR, HRV, as well as [LA\textsubscript{b}] and CK concentrations were recorded. Laboratory temperature was controlled between 23-24 °C.

2.3. Incremental exercise test

The incremental exercise test was carried out according to ACSM’s guidelines for exercise testing and prescription [12] to determine the maximum workload and the maximum O\textsubscript{2} consumption (VO\textsubscript{max}), using an ergometric bicycle (Monark ergomedic 828 E, Monark exercise AB, 105 Vansbro, Sweden). The workload started at a resistance of 1 kp (kilopond) with increments of 1 kp every 3 minutes until voluntary exhaustion. The cadence on the bicycle was maintained at 60-70 rpm. The VO\textsubscript{max} was measured with a gas analyzer (Cortex MetaLyzer® 3B, Germany), before calibration of the flow sensor and the concentrations of O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2}, as dictated by the manufacturer’s manual [13]. The test was considered maximum when at least three of the following parameters were met: voluntary fatigue (could not maintain the cadence ≥ 60 rpm for more than 15 seconds), Respiratory exchange ratio (RER) greater than 1.1, [LA\textsubscript{b}] greater than 4.0 mM, perception of effort ≥ 8 (Borg CR-10 Scale), HR ≥ 90% of the theoretical for their age, and plateau in the VO\textsubscript{max} (increments lower than 150 ml/min despite an increment in workload).

2.4. Aerobic test

A VIA Sprint 150 P cycle ergometer (Franklin Lakes, NJ, US) was used for this test. The test started with a resistance of 100 watts during the first two minutes, with increments of 25 watts every minute and a cadence of 60-70 rpm. The test ended when the participant could not keep the cadence ≥ 60 rpm for more than 15 seconds.

2.5. Anaerobic test

The VIA Sprint 150 P cycle ergometer was also used. The resistance used was constant and equal to the maximum resistance reached during the incremental exercise test. The pedaling cadence
was 60-70 rpm. The test was finished when the participant could not maintain the cadence ≥ 60 rpm for more than 15 seconds.

2.6. Isotonic test

Participants were placed in a sitting position with his dominant leg next to the equipment to measure muscle strength (Primus RS, Maryland, US), the dynamo of the equipment placed at knee height. Before the isotonic or isometric test, a maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) of the quadriceps was performed for five seconds at a 45\(^\circ\) angle, establishing during this procedure the maximum peak of the MVC. Subsequently, the equipment was programmed with 30\% of the MVC registered above, so that the participant could develop repeated contractions until fatigue, with a frequency of 30 rpm, registered with a digital metronome (Korg MA-1, UK), and with a 90\(^\circ\) range of motion. The test was finished when the participant could not maintain the cadence ≥ 30 rpm for more than 5 seconds.

2.7. Isometric test

The equipment was programmed at the 100\% of the MVC indicated above, then a single isometric contraction was performed at a 45\(^\circ\) angle until the participant was unable to sustain the maximum programmed load for more than 5 seconds.

2.8. Anthropometric, [LA\(_{-b}\), CK, HR and HRV determinations

The body weight was recorded with an electronic scale (SECA 656 digital scale, Hamburg, Germany), and the stature with a wall stadiometer (Portable SECA 206 stadiometer, Hamburg, Germany), both of them with subjects lightly dressed and barefoot. To analyze the [LA\(_{-b}\)] concentrations, capillary blood samples (25 µL from fingertip) were obtained before and immediately after the tests with a heparinized capillary glass tube and analyzed immediately with a lactate analyzer (YSI Model 1500, YSI incorporated, Yellow Springs, OH). To analyze the CK concentrations, capillary blood samples (500 µL from fingertip) were obtained in recumbent position before the exercise, and 10 minutes after the test was completed [14] and analyzed immediately using the Reflotron equipment (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Mannheim, Germany).

The HR and HRV were recorded with a short-range radio telemetry device (POLAR TEAM2, Kempele, Finland) at three times; before, during and after the test: for 5 minutes at basal condition (supine position), during the exercise test, and 5 minutes post recovery (supine position). To analyze the degree of participation of the autonomic nervous system on HRV, standard deviation of instantaneous beat-to-beat R-R interval variability (SD1), and standard deviation of continuous long-term R-R interval variability (SD2) were considered, according to the Poincaré diagram [7]: SD1, which is indicator of the participation of the parasympathetic nervous system (PNS), the inverse of SD2 called stress score (SS: 1000 x 1/SD2) [6], which is indicative of the participation of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), and the quotient between SS and SD1 (SS/SD1) which dictates the relationship between the SNS and PNS [6]. The raw data of the HRV were manually analyzed to eliminate non-physiological values, then SD1, SD2 and the Poincaré model were obtained with the Kubios HRV software version 3.1 (University of Kuopio, Kuopio, Finland) using a low threshold artifacts correction.
2.9. Statistical analysis

The Z Kolmogorov-Smirnov was used to analyze the data distribution. Once the normal distribution was verified, the parametric analyzes were carried out. To analyze the possible effect of the nutritional status measured by the Body Mass Index (BMI: kg/m\(^2\)), and the aerobic capacity measured by the VO\(_{\text{max}}\), on the dependent variables (SD1, SD2, SS, SS/SP, [LA], and CK), a multivariate analysis was carried out, including in the model the BMI and VO\(_{\text{max}}\) as covariables. Subsequently, to analyze the effect of time (baseline, exercise, and recovery) between treatments (exercise protocols), the general linear model (GLM) of repeated measures was used, time as intra-subject variable and protocols as internal factors. The differences between times were analyzed by the Bonferroni test. Additionally, a one-way ANOVA was performed to analyze the differences between treatments independently. When the variances between the treatments were different, the post hoc tests were performed by Tamhane T2, otherwise by Tukey. Finally, a bivariate Pearson correlation between the different variables was performed. The data in Tables are presented in means ± SD (CI 95%). The analyzes were performed with the statistical software SPSS v21 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

3. Results

3.1. Heart rate variability

Through the multivariate analysis we observed that the BMI (26.5 ± 4.0 kg/m\(^2\)) and VO\(_{\text{max}}\) (47.8 ± 6.42 mL/kg/min) did not influence the differences in SD1, SS and SS/SD1 between protocols and times; however, in the anaerobic test it was observed that the higher the VO\(_{\text{max}}\) of the participants, the lower the CK plasma concentrations (r = −0.72, p <0.05). As observed in Table 1, the SD1 of the aerobic protocol during recovery was different to the isotonic and isometric (p <0.05). There were no significant statistical differences between the SS protocols at any time: baseline, exercise, and recovery. The SS/SD1 index was different only during the anaerobic exercise compared to the isotonic and isometric (p <0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a)</th>
<th>Isotonic</th>
<th>b)</th>
<th>Isometric</th>
<th>c)</th>
<th>Anaerobic</th>
<th>d)</th>
<th>Aerobic</th>
<th>Partial η(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>49±22 (33-64)</td>
<td>59±33 (35-83)</td>
<td>78±68 (29-126)</td>
<td>56±17 (43-68)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>13±19 (0-27)</td>
<td>13±9 (7-20)</td>
<td>13±30 (-8-34)</td>
<td>5±4 (2-8)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>39±25 (21-57)</td>
<td>54±37 (27-81)</td>
<td>18±32 (-5-40)</td>
<td>10±11 (2-18)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>10±3 (8-12)</td>
<td>11±4 (8-13)</td>
<td>8±4 (6-11)</td>
<td>8±2 (6-9)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>13±5 (9-16)</td>
<td>11±6 (7-15)</td>
<td>17±10 (10-24)</td>
<td>12±8 (6-18)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>13±5 (9-17)</td>
<td>13±12 (4-21)</td>
<td>22±17 (10-34)</td>
<td>22±11 (14-30)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS/SD1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>0.3±0.3 (0.1-0.5)</td>
<td>0.3±0.4 (0.1-0.6)</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2 (0.1-0.4)</td>
<td>0.1±0.1 (0.1-0.2)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>2.3±1.8 (1.1-3.6)</td>
<td>1.6±1.6 (0.4-2.7)</td>
<td>6.9±5.7 (2.8-10.9)</td>
<td>4.1±4.0 (1.2-6.9)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>0.7±0.9 (0.1-1.3)</td>
<td>2.0±5.3 (-1.8-5.7)</td>
<td>6.4±9.2 (-0.1-13.0)</td>
<td>5.2±4.4 (2.0-8.3)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in means ± SD (CI 95%). SD1 = Standard deviation 1 of the Poincaré model, SS = stress score (inverse of SD2 of the Poincaré model). SS/SD1 = Sympathetic and parasympathetic index. Superscripts mean differences among protocols (a,b,c,d) at p <0.05.
As shown in Figure 2, by the Poincaré model, HRV, especially in its parasympathetic component (SD1), is different in each MET and decreases during all exercise protocols, without complete recovering within the first 5 minutes; In addition, during recovery, the parasympathetic component was lower in the aerobic protocol, followed by the anaerobic, isotonic and isometric (Table 1, Figure 2).

Figure 2. Qualitative Poincaré model among different tests and times.

Figure 3 (a, b and c) confirms part of what is observed in Table 1 and Figure 2, that is, the existence of a faster recovery from the basal levels (0) in the isotonic protocol, followed by the isometric vs. aerobic and anaerobic ($p < 0.05$). For the repeated measurements of the GLM analysis,
differences were observed between baseline vs. exercise and recovery times in SS and SS/SD1 \((p < 0.01)\). For SD1, the differences were between the three times \((p < 0.001)\).

Figure 3. Differences presented on recovery values vs. baseline (a, b, c), and final vs. basal (d, e) for each of the analyzed variables: a) SD1, b) SS, c) S/PS, d) Blood lactate, e) Creatine Kinase (CK).

When the confidence intervals (95%) do not cross, it means that there were differences at \(p < 0.05\).

3.2. Biochemistry markers

\([\text{LA}]_b\) was elevated at the end of the four protocols \((p < 0.001)\), but in the isotonic and isometric protocols the concentrations were lower compared to aerobic and anaerobic \((p < 0.05)\) (Table 2, Figure 3 d). On the other hand, despite the short period between blood samples, there were differences in the basal and final CK concentrations \((p < 0.001)\), but not between protocols (Table 2, Figure 3 e).

Finally, we observed that at higher \([\text{LA}]_b\) concentrations at the end of the aerobic protocol, lower SD1 during exercise \((r = -0.66, p < 0.05)\) and in recovery \((r = -0.78, p < 0.05)\); in addition, at higher CK concentrations at the end of the anaerobic protocol, the S/PS index increased \((r = 0.69, p < 0.05)\).

4. Discussion

In sport, fatigue is considered an antagonist of physical performance, so it is constantly studied through various markers of acute and chronic response, either through invasive or non-invasive methods. \([\text{LA}]_b\), CK and HRV are clear markers of fatigue and acute physical stress response, however, they have also been observed to be altered chronically [15,16], having few publications that have studied them together and comparing different types of exercise. This work presents evidence about the differences and associations in these markers against four different types of exercise, observing an acute and different response between each one.

As noted above, when the human body is exposed to acute fatigue, the kinetics of HRV, CK, and \([\text{LA}]_b\) is modified in a particular way. In athletes, the factors that determine the behavior of the markers are the physical fitness, the exercise modality and its intensity [3,6,17]. In this study all of them were evaluated, their influence analyzed on the differences between the onset and the end of the exercise in the exercise modalities applied.

Regarding ANS and central fatigue, at resting and relaxation state the parasympathetic system predominates, and during the exercise, both systems (sympathetic and parasympathetic) interact depending on the type, intensity, and volume of the exercise [18,19]. We observed that high-
intensity exercise decreases the parasympathetic component SD1, slightly increasing the sympathetic SS component, without complete recovery after 10 minutes post-exercise compared to basal values. In highly trained participants, and at exercise intensities below the anaerobic threshold, complete recoveries in HRV have been observed between 10-15 minutes post-exercise; at higher intensities, full recovery may exceed 30 min [20]. Similar to our results, other studies have observed differences in SD1 and SD2 with different types of exercise [21], unlike our work, Leich et al., [21] using the high frequency (HF) and low (LF) domain to compare the degree of sympathetic and parasympathetic stimulation; we did not observe any type of relationship between HF and LF (R² <0.02, data not shown), but between SD1 and SS as proposed by Naranjo Orellana et al., [6], since SD1 and SS show a close and inverse relation between each other: R² = 0.69 with Naranjo’s data et al., (2015), and R² = 0.45 with our data. On the other hand, we observed that at higher intensity and duration of exercise, the greater decrease in the PNS (lower SD1 and higher SS and S/PS), and longer recovery time; effects already observed in athletes of aerobic endurance in race protocols [22]. Naturally, HR oscillates spontaneously, mostly under resting conditions. A decrease in HRV, both at rest and during exercise, is indicative of altered homeostasis, and is associated with fatigue, stress, and risk of mortality, conversely, a high variability is indicative of physical exercise adaptability and good health [7,23].

Regarding peripheral fatigue, the anaerobic metabolism increase in all MET, since [LA⁻] was elevated above the anaerobic threshold (4 mM) [24]. Besides, anaerobic metabolism was greater in the aerobic and anaerobic protocols vs. isometric and isotonic protocols (p <0.05). [LA⁻]; it is a by-product of the anaerobic activity of lactate dehydrogenase, from pyruvate and reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NANH + H⁺), both products of glycolysis. Basal [LA⁻] is <2 mM, and during intense exercise it increases above 4 mM [17]. Although the increase in [LA⁻] is not the only cause of peripheral fatigue, several biochemical markers have been found associated to it, among them the increase of inorganic phosphate and H⁺, decrease in pH, ATP/ADP and PCR/Cr ratio, inactivation of enzymes and ion channels, among others. On the other hand, the inverse correlation here observed between [LA⁻] and PNS activation (SD1, r = - 0.72, p <0.05), confirming previous findings on the relationship between both variables, that indicate the existence of an anaerobic threshold measured by HRV [25].

Finally, despite the short post-exercise recovery time, and low differences found, the CK plasma concentrations were significantly increased concerning resting ones, but without differences between protocols. CK’s basal values range between 24-195 IU/L [26], and as large as 10000 IU/L between 24-72 h post exercise [27], however, a low increase already found at 30 min post-exercise (~ 350 IU/L) [10], indicating an acute response to exercise. The CK blood concentration depends on age, gender, race, muscle mass, physical activity (type, time, intensity and volume), or climatic condition [27,28]. Here we found that with a short period of time, and low workload volumes, the CK values also depends on the cardiopulmonary fitness (R² = 0.52, p <0.05 between VO2max and CK in the anaerobic test). We do not find any explanation about the positive correlation here observed between CK values and the sympathetic-parasympathetic index (SS/SD1, r = 0.69, p <0.05) during anaerobic test, only that
high exercise intensity increases both, association already found in post-myocardial infarction patients [29], but not in athletes [30].

This work has some limitations, among them: The small differences found intra and intergroups (partial \( \eta^2 <0.5 \)) could be due to the following two reasons, the short time elapsed between the different evaluations and the low number of participants. Also, due to the experimental design, exercise times between protocols were very different, which could bias the observed results. The kubios software did not allow us to adjust the axes to the same magnitude, so the differences between protocols are difficult to appreciate, however these differences are observed in Table 1.

5. Conclusions

The results of the present study confirm that the HRV, CK, and \([\text{LA-}b]\) are acute markers to detect, both central and peripheral fatigue; sensitive to the type, duration, and intensity of exercise, being HRV a novel and non-invasive marker; simple and useful for sports coaches and athletes. The higher the sympathetic activity, the lower the HRV, higher CK and lactate blood concentrations.

Supplementary Materials: The figures and data base are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1:
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