Nutritional supplements fortified with oils from canola, flaxseed, safflower and rice bran enhance feedlot performance and carcass characteristics of Australian prime lambs
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Simple Summary: This study evaluated the feedlot response of Australian prime lambs to supplementation with oil based polyunsaturated fatty acid enriched pellets. The results demonstrated that live animal performance and carcass characteristics of prime lambs on a lucerne basal diet were improved through supplementation with oil based polyunsaturated fatty acid enriched pellets. Supplementation of lambs with rice bran oil and canola oil resulted in enhanced live animal performance and carcass characteristics of prime lambs at comparatively lower feed costs than oils from flaxseed, safflower and rumen-protected sources. These results are very useful to prime lamb producers in increasing product quality and farm profitability without compromising animal performance and well-being.

Abstract: This study investigated live animal performance and carcass characteristics of Australian prime lambs fed oil based polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) enriched pellets in a feedlot system. The tested hypothesis was that supplementation of lambs with a variety of dietary oil based PUFA enriched pellets would enhance growth and carcass characteristics compared with the control lambs on lucerne only. Seventy-two, 6 months old White Suffolk x Corriedale first-cross prime lambs with an average liveweight (LWT) of 35.7±0.9 kg were allocated to six treatment groups in a completely randomised experimental design. The treatments were: (1) control: lucerne hay only; or lucerne hay plus wheat-based pellets infused with 50 ml/kg DM of oils from (2) rice bran (RBO); (3) canola (CO); (4) rumen protected (RPO); (5) flaxseed (FO) and (6) safflower (SO) dietary sources. All lambs had ad libitum access to lucerne hay and clean fresh water. Supplemented lambs were fed 1kg of pellet/head/day for 10 weeks. Feed intake, final LWT, average daily gain (ADG), body conformation and carcass characteristics of lambs in the supplemented groups were all greater than for the control group. SO lambs had the lowest ADG of 190.3 g/day. RBO and CO treatments had the lowest feed cost per unit gain of AUS $3.0/kg. Supplemented lambs had similar over the hooks (OTH) incomes that were all higher than that of the control group. This empirical evidence-based data demonstrated that
supplementation of lambs with RBO and CO had comparatively lower feed costs without compromising ADG, carcass characteristics and OTH income.
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1. Introduction

The Australian sheep industry has undergone significant changes within the last decade and witnessed a sustained decrease in the value and scale of wool and a steady rise in production and price of lamb and mutton [1]. Australia was the second largest producer of lamb and mutton in the world from 2010 to 2016 [2] and the maintenance of global competitiveness of Australian meat production ensured the sustainable development of its lamb industry. Pethick, et al. [3] revealed that health enhanced meat was one of the five key attributes of modern meat products in a competitive market.

There are new emerging demands from meat consumers for healthy lamb, especially in developed countries [4,5]. Red meat contains natural long-chain (≥C20) omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC n-3 PUFA), the content of which can be manipulated by modifying the composition of livestock feeds [6]. LC n-3 PUFA are well known for human health benefits including anti-inflammatory, therapeutic and protective effects on cardiovascular diseases and various cancers [7-10]. However, it is challenging to increase n-3 LC-PUFA content in red meat because of lipolysis and extensive biohydrogenation that occurs in the rumen through microbial activity in ruminants [11,12]. Furthermore, in some instances, adding oil based PUFA supplements to ruminant rations resulted in reduced animal feed intake, animal performance and carcass muscle mass [13,14] or a depression of ruminal fermentation [15]. Prohibitive high feed cost is another challenge in the on-farm application of oil based n-3 LC-PUFA supplementation because the cost of nutrition can represent approximately 70% of the total cost of lamb production in confined systems [16,17].

Inspite of these obstacles, recent studies on increasing n-3 LC-PUFA in lamb have shown positive results [18-27]. However, there is still the need to explore other cost-effective and nutritionally viable oil-based PUFA dietary sources for quality prime lamb production and comparing the effect of different PUFA dietary sources on lamb production and meat quality. To our current knowledge, available published information is generally scanty on the impact of different supplemental oil based PUFA dietary sources on animal performance and associated feed costs in the Australian prime lamb feedlot industry. Therefore, the present study aims to determine the responses of Australian prime lambs, in terms of live animal performance, carcass characteristics as well as feed costs, to a variety of dietary supplemental oils from canola, rice bran, flaxseed, safflower and rumen-protected sources. The hypothesis tested was that supplementation of lambs with oil based PUFA enriched pellets would not compromise live animal performance and carcass characteristics, but would increase these parameters over and above those of control lambs fed lucerne hay only.

2. Materials and Methods

Animal ethics

This study was carried out at the Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture’s Cressy Research and Demonstration Station, Burlington Road, Cressy, Tasmania, Australia from April to June, 2016. The use of animals and procedures performed in this study were all approved by the University of Tasmania Animal Ethics Committee (Permit No A0015657).

Animals, diets and experimental design

An a priori power analysis with repeated measures was conducted using G-Power to justify an appropriate sample and effect size. As depicted in Figure 1, a minimum total sample size of 36 lambs was sufficient for a large effect size, statistical power of 95% and two-sided significance level of 0.05 in an experimental design utilising 6 treatment groups and 7 repeated measurements. Therefore, the use of 72 animals in 6 treatment groups was a statistically robust experimental design.
Seventy-two (White Suffolk x Corriedale first-cross) prime lambs with an average LWT of 35.7±0.9 kg weaned at 6 months were randomly allocated into six treatments: (1) Control: lucerne hay only; wheat-based pellet infused with 50 ml/kg DM of oil from (2) rice bran (RBO); (3) canola (CO); (4) rumen-protected (RPO), (5) flaxseed (FO) and (6) safflower (SO) sources in a completely randomized design balanced by equal number of ewe and wether lambs. The animals were kept in individual pens and had ad libitum access to clean fresh water and lucerne hay throughout the duration of the feeding trial. Each lamb in the supplemented treatments was fed 1 kg pellets/day. The feeding trial lasted 10 weeks including a 3-week adaptation period followed by 7 weeks of full supplementation. The freshly weighed feed was offered every day at 09:00 hrs after the previous day’s residual feed had been collected and weighed. Details of the experimental lamb management techniques and data collection had been described [20-21; 24-27].

**Feed intake, body conformation and liveweight measurements**

Lucerne hay and pellet intakes were separately calculated using the difference between daily amount of total feed offered and the residual feed refused. Feed conversion efficiency was calculated as follows [19]: the average daily feed intake (g)/1000 × 49 [days of supplementation]/Total weight gain (kg) over the full trial period. Feed cost per kg of live animal weight gain was calculated as follows: concentrate feed conversion efficiency × ($/kg) of supplementary pellet plus lucerne hay conversion efficiency × ($/kg) of lucerne hay. Lucerne hay cost was calculated based on the market ingredient costs of AU$ 0.160/kg, AU$ 0.194/kg, AU$ 0.534/kg, AU$ 0.486/kg, AU$ 0.778/kg for RBO, CO, RPO, FO and SO diets, respectively.

Body conformation measurements including body length (BL), chest girth (CG) and withers height (WH) were taken weekly using a measuring tape and following the description by Holman, et al. [28]. Body condition scores (BCS) were subjectively measured at weekly intervals by the same researcher on a scale of 1 to 5. BCS measurement was assessed by the palpation of the lumbar region, specifically on and around the backbone (spinous and transverse processes) in the loin area, immediately behind the last rib and above the kidneys to examine the degree of sharpness or roundness [29]. Body conformation and BCS measurements were taken while lambs were restrained in a relaxed state with heads comfortably erect and standing stably upon all four legs on flat ground to minimise stress. Individual animal liveweight (LWT) was measured weekly after taking BCS employing a calibrated Ruddweigh 3000XT Walkover weighing electronic scale with animals standing in a relaxed position.

**Feed analysis**

Representative samples of the supplemental pellets were taken from each pellet bag and lucerne hay samples were collected from each bale. The feed samples were dried at 60 oC over 72h, ground
to pass through a 1 mm sieve using a Laboratory Mill (Thomas Model 4 Wiley® Mill; Thomas
Scientific) and analysed using standard methods of AOAC [30] for DM and ash. Neutral Detergent
(NDF) and Acid Detergent (ADF) fibre contents were determined using an Ankom Fibre Analyzer
(ANKOM2000; ANKOM Technology, USA). Nitrogen content was quantified using a Thermo
Finnigan EA 1112 Series Flash Elemental Analyzer and the values multiplied by 6.25 to give the crude
protein (CP) percentage. Ether extract (EE) was analysed using an ANKOMXT15 fat/oil extractor
(ANKOM Technology, USA). Total digestible nutrients (%TDN) were calculated as TDN (% of DM)
= 82.38 - (0.7515 x ADF [% of DM]) [31]. Metabolisable energy (ME) was calculated by converting
%TDN to digestible energy (DE [Mcal/kg] = %TDN × 0.01 × 4.4) which was converted as ME = (DE
(Mcal/kg) × 0.82) × 4.185 [32].

Slaughter protocol and carcass characteristics measurements

All animals were fasted overnight before transporting them to a near-by commercial abattoir
(Tasmanian Quality Meats, Cressy, Tasmania) adjacent to the experimental site in strict compliance
with the slaughtering procedures prescribed by the Meat Standards of Australia guidelines. Hot
carcasses weights (HCW) were determined immediately after slaughter and the removal of non-
edible carcass components (head, hide, intestinal tract, and internal organs). Dressing percentage
(DP) was calculated as: DP (%) = (HCW/LWT) x 100. Thereafter, the carcasses were chilled for 24h at
4oC and transported to Robinson Meats, Glenorchy, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia for commercial
boning out into retail cuts and carcass measurements. Carcass characteristics determination of fat
thickness, body wall thickness and rib eye area were taken in accordance with the detailed
description by Flakemore et al. [19]. Percentage boneless, closely trimmed retail cuts (BCTRC) was
computed using the equation: %BCTRC = (49.936 – (0.0848 x 2.205 x HCW) - (4.376 x 0.3937 x FD) –
(3.53 x 0.3937 x BWT) + (2.456 x 0.155 x REA) where HCW: hot carcass weight; FD: fat thickness; BWT:
body wall thickness and REA: rib eye area [33]. Over-the-hooks (OTH) trade value in Australian
dollars was computed as HCW × 520¢/kg divided by 100¢ to produce an average total dollar value
per carcass for animals from each treatment group. Five hundred and twenty Australian cents per
kilogramme (520¢/kg) was the amount received for the sale of the lambs used in this study, and is
within the range for OTH prices for 2016 [34].

Statistical analysis

All collected data were analysed using the General Linear Model procedure (PROC GLM) of
Statistical Analysis System [35]. The fixed effects of treatment and gender and their second order
interactions on growth, body conformation and carcass traits were tested. The initial full statistical
model used for the analysis was: Y = μ + Oi + Gj + (OG)ij + (OG)2ij + (OG)3ij + eijk where Y = dependent
variable, μ = overall mean, Oi = oil supplementation treatment, Gk = gender, brackets and superscripts
represent linear and cubic second-order interactions and eijk = residual error. Linear and cubic
orthogonal contrasts indicated that gender was not a significant factor, hence it was removed from
the final model that assessed the impact of treatment only. Duncan’s multiple range tests were used
to determine the differences amongst treatments at a minimum threshold of p < 0.05 level.3.

3. Results

3.1 Chemical composition of experimental and basal feed

The chemical composition of experimental diets is presented in Table 1. DM of all supplemented
pellets and basal feed were similar, ranging between 86.8% and 91%. Crude protein content of the
different supplemented pellets ranged between 13.5% and 15.6%, which was lower than that in the
basal feed (17.1%). ADF and NDF contents of the different supplemented pellets ranged from 7.5%
to 10.4% and from 19.0% to 22.2%, respectively, while ADF and NDF content of the basal feed were
36.9% and 47.2%, respectively. In terms of EE content, the level in the supplemented pellets
fluctuated between 5.1% and 5.6%, which was at least three-fold higher than the amount in the
basal feed (1.5%). ME content of all supplemented pellets was approximately 12.2 (MJ/kg), whilst
the basal feed contained 9.08 (MJ/kg) ME.
Table 1 Proximate analysis of the experimental and basal diets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical composition (% DM)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Lucerne hay</th>
<th>RBO</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>RPO</th>
<th>FO</th>
<th>SO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASH</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%TDN</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE (Mcal/kg)</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM: dry matter; NDF: neutral detergent fibre; ADF: acid detergent fibre; EE: ether extract; CP: crude protein; %TDN: total digestible nutrients; ME: metabolisable energy; RBO, CO, RPO, FO and SO was wheat-based pellet infused with 50 ml/kg DM of oil from rice bran, canola, rumen-protected, flaxseed and safflower sources, respectively. Total digestible nutrients (%TDN) were calculated as TDN (% of DM) = 82.38 - (0.7515 x ADF [% of DM]). Metabolisable energy (ME) was calculated by converting %TDN to digestible energy (DE [Mcal/kg] =%TDN × 0.01 × 4.4) which was converted as ME = (DE [Mcal/kg] × 0.82) × 4.185.

3.2 Liveweight, average daily gain, feed intake and feed cost

Liveweight, average daily gain, feed intake responses of prime lambs and feed costs, associated with the different PUFA enriched pellets are shown in Table 2. Liveweight of the animals in all treatments at the beginning of the experiment were similar. However, at the end of the experiment, all animals in the supplemented groups had higher liveweight than their counterparts in the control group. Furthermore, there was no difference in liveweight among the supplemented groups. The ADG of the control group was only half of that recorded for the supplemented groups. ADG of the SO treatment had the lowest value among the supplemented treatments (190.3 g/head/day). The ratio of roughage to concentrate feed intake in lambs supplemented with PUFA enriched pellets was approximately 50:50. The total feed intake of the supplemented animals was 1.70 (kg/head/day), which was significantly higher than that of the control group (1.38 kg/head/day). Lucerne hay conversion efficiency (LCE) of all lambs in the supplemented groups was 4.20 (kg lucerne hay/kg LWT gain per animal), which was only approximately one fourth of the LCE in the control group (16.6 kg lucerne hay/kg LWT gain per animal). There was a significant difference among the supplemented treatments in terms of concentrate feed conversion efficiency (FCE) in which the RBO and SO groups had higher mean FCE compared to the remaining supplemented treatments. The result also showed that the RBO and CO treatments had the lowest feed cost per unit gain (FCPUG) of AU$ 3.0/kg, which was only about one third of the FCPUG in the control group and one half of the FCPUG in the SO group. RPO and FO treatments had medium FCPUG of AU$ 4.1/kg and AU$ 4.2/kg, respectively.
Table 2: Liveweight, average daily gain, feed intake and feed costs per unit gain of prime lambs fed various PUFA enriched pellets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>RBO</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>RPO</th>
<th>FO</th>
<th>SO</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial LWT (kg)</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final LWT (kg)</td>
<td>42.4b</td>
<td>48.9a</td>
<td>48.9a</td>
<td>50.3a</td>
<td>49.8a</td>
<td>48.2a</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG (g)</td>
<td>94.3c</td>
<td>205.7ab</td>
<td>226.3a</td>
<td>240.0b</td>
<td>230.2a</td>
<td>190.3b</td>
<td>11.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucerne hay intake (kg DM/head/day)</td>
<td>1.38a</td>
<td>0.79b</td>
<td>0.86b</td>
<td>0.88b</td>
<td>0.95b</td>
<td>0.85b</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate intake (kg DM/head/day)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total intake (kg DM/head/day)</td>
<td>1.38b</td>
<td>1.64a</td>
<td>1.68a</td>
<td>1.71a</td>
<td>1.79a</td>
<td>1.71a</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCE</td>
<td>16.6a</td>
<td>4.0b</td>
<td>3.9b</td>
<td>3.8b</td>
<td>4.2b</td>
<td>4.6b</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.3ab</td>
<td>3.7b</td>
<td>3.6b</td>
<td>3.7b</td>
<td>4.7a</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCPUG ($/kg)</td>
<td>9.5a</td>
<td>3.0b</td>
<td>3.0d</td>
<td>4.1c</td>
<td>4.2c</td>
<td>6.3b</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LWT: liveweight; ADG: average daily gain; LCE: lucerne hay conversion efficiency (kg DM lucerne hay/kg gain per animal); FCE: concentrate feed conversion efficiency (kg DM concentrate/kg gain per animal); FCPUG: feed cost per unit gain (concentrate and lucerne hay cost of feed/kg LWT: gain ($/kg) per animal); SEM: standard error of the means. All other abbreviations as explained in Table 1.* Values within the same row not bearing a common superscript differ (P<0.05).

3.3 Body conformation traits

All body conformation traits and BCS in all experimental animals did not differ at the beginning of experiment as depicted Table 3. However, at the end of the experiment, all animals in the supplemented treatments had significantly greater changes in all body conformation traits and BCS than animals in the control group. There was no difference in any of the changes in body conformation traits and BCS among the supplemented treatments throughout the whole experimental period.

Table 3: Changes in body conformation traits of prime lambs fed various PUFA enriched pellets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body conformation traits</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>RBO</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>RPO</th>
<th>FO</th>
<th>SO</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial CG (cm)</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔCG (cm)</td>
<td>4.9b</td>
<td>8.6a</td>
<td>9.3a</td>
<td>8.8a</td>
<td>9.1a</td>
<td>8.5a</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial WH (cm)</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔWH (cm)</td>
<td>3.9b</td>
<td>5.2a</td>
<td>5.6a</td>
<td>5.6a</td>
<td>5.5a</td>
<td>5.2a</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial BL (cm)</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔBL (cm)</td>
<td>4.1b</td>
<td>5.2a</td>
<td>5.1a</td>
<td>5.2a</td>
<td>5.4a</td>
<td>5.5a</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial BCS</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔBCS</td>
<td>-0.21b</td>
<td>0.96a</td>
<td>1.00a</td>
<td>1.13a</td>
<td>1.21a</td>
<td>1.08a</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Δ: change in; CG: chest girth; WH: withers height; BL: body length; BCS: body condition score. All other abbreviations as in Tables 1 and 2.* Values within the same row bearing different superscripts differ (P<0.05).
3.4 Carcass characteristics

Carcass characteristics of experimental lambs is demonstrated in Table 4. All the parameters related to carcass characteristics of the supplemented treatments were greater than for the control group, with the exception of BCTRC% which was greater in the control animals than in the other treatments. There was no difference in pre-slaughter weight, HCW, body wall thickness, rib eye area, BCTRC%, GR fat score and OTH trade among supplemented treatments. The mean dressing percentage significantly differed between supplemented treatments, with the highest value being found in the RBO (50.9%) group. Similarly, the mean fat thickness also significantly differed among the supplemented treatments, with the highest value recorded in the lambs supplemented with RPO (6.4 mm) and the lowest value in those supplemented with SO (5.2 mm).

Table 4 Carcass characteristics of prime lambs fed various PUFA enriched pellets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>RBO</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>RPO</th>
<th>FO</th>
<th>SO</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-slaughter weight (kg)</td>
<td>40.4b</td>
<td>47.0a</td>
<td>46.1a</td>
<td>47.6a</td>
<td>47.7a</td>
<td>47.3a</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCW (kg)</td>
<td>19.4b</td>
<td>24.9a</td>
<td>23.7a</td>
<td>24.6a</td>
<td>24.5a</td>
<td>23.9a</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dressing percentage (%)</td>
<td>45.7c</td>
<td>50.9b</td>
<td>48.4b</td>
<td>48.9b</td>
<td>49.1b</td>
<td>49.5ab</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat thickness (mm)</td>
<td>4.0c</td>
<td>5.7ab</td>
<td>5.5ab</td>
<td>6.4a</td>
<td>6.2ab</td>
<td>5.2b</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>16.4b</td>
<td>21.8a</td>
<td>21.8a</td>
<td>22.8a</td>
<td>20.4a</td>
<td>21.7a</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib eye area (cm2)</td>
<td>14.8b</td>
<td>17.0a</td>
<td>15.8ab</td>
<td>16.4a</td>
<td>16.1ab</td>
<td>16.1ab</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCTRC%</td>
<td>49.0a</td>
<td>47.7b</td>
<td>47.5b</td>
<td>47.3b</td>
<td>47.6b</td>
<td>47.7b</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR fat score (1–5)</td>
<td>2.5b</td>
<td>3.7a</td>
<td>3.4*</td>
<td>3.7a</td>
<td>3.5a</td>
<td>3.3a</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH trade (AU$)</td>
<td>100.6b</td>
<td>129.3a</td>
<td>123.1a</td>
<td>127.8a</td>
<td>127.2a</td>
<td>124a</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-slaughter weight: the weight of animals prior to transport for slaughter; HCW: hot carcass weight; BCTRC%: boneless, closely trimmed retail cuts; OTH: over the hooks trade (this was based on 520AU¢ return per kg of HCW). All other abbreviations as in Tables 1 and 2.

* Values within the same row bearing different superscripts differ (P<0.05)

4. Discussion

Chemical composition of experimental and basal feed

The ME content of the basal feed was 9.08 MJ/kg which is lower than the 12.0 MJ/kg proposed for ideal growth rate [36]. Therefore, concentrate supplementation is needed to maximise lamb growth potential. The CP, ME, NDF and EE content of all supplemented pellets were similar, therefore any differences in lamb growth indicators could be attributed to the different oil sources. The CP content (from 14.0% to 17.1%) in both basal feed and supplemented pellets were well above the 10.7%CP requirement for maintenance and growth [36]. The high CP content in pellets and rich NDF (47.2%) in basal feed could have provided good fibre and nitrogen sources for rumen microbial growth and would have contributed to the high growth performance of lambs.

Liveweight, average daily gain, feed intake and feed cost

Supplementation of prime lambs with PUFA enriched pellets significantly increased LWT and doubled the ADG in the supplemented groups compared to the control group at the end of the feeding trial. This could be explained by the input of supplemented nutrients contained in the pellets, especially ME, minerals and vitamins which better matched the nutrient requirements for growing lambs and increased the total DM and ME intake. This result was in line with the observations in fat-tailed lambs and goats [37, 38], which showed that an increase in the level of concentrate resulted in improved ADG. Although there was no significant difference in final LWT between the supplemented treatments, the ADG of the SO treatment was the lowest among the supplemented treatments. Peng, et al. [39] investigated the use of different oilseed supplements (sunflower seed, safflower seed, rapeseed, and linseed) in adult ewes and their findings closely agree with the present study in which animals in safflower supplementation group had the lowest ADG in comparison with
other treatments. The low ADG and high lucerne intake resulted in the markedly high value of LCE in the control group. The poor feed conversion also led to the high FCPUG (AU$ 9.5/kg) in the control group. The lower ADG in SO treatment resulted in higher FCE value in comparison with the remaining supplemented treatments. The total of LCE and FCE in all the supplemented treatments ranged from 7.44 to 9.3, which is similar to the results of Papi et al. [37], in which lambs had feed conversion ratio in the range of 7.35 and 9.53. The lowest FCPUG in the RBO and CO treatments can be explained by the lower price of the oils used in making the pellets. Safflower oil was the most expensive ingredient ($AU 0.778/kg) which resulted in a higher feed expense in the SO treatment. Similarly, the groups of RPO and FO, with prices of $AU 0.534/kg and $AU 0.486/kg, respectively, were in a medium level of feed costs. Feed cost may be an important factor that could influence lamb producers in their decision to supplement lambs with a new feed source or not. However, feed cost depends on many factors such as price and availability of the different feed sources, quality as well as the supplementation proportion. This study showed that RBO and CO could be used as alternative supplements for lambs, with low feed cost whilst maintaining comparable lamb ADG value to other treatments.

Body conformation traits

The magnitude of changes in body conformation and body condition score parameters in supplemented lambs were higher than those in the control group. As explained previously, the result is likely due to intake of feed with higher nutrient content by lambs fed diets supplemented with concentrates. The observation herein of no differences occurring in any body conformation traits and body condition score changes between the supplemented treatments, closely aligns with the results of Nguyen et al. [27] who reported that the inclusion of different levels of canola and flaxseed oils in prime lamb diets did not cause any significant differences in body conformation and body condition scores.

Carcass characteristics

The lower values associated with carcass characteristics of the control lambs could be due to the unbalanced diet and low ME content. Although lucerne hay fed to the lambs in this trial had high CP content (17.1%), it had a relatively lower ME (9.08 MJ/kg) than the ME of 12 MJ/kg required for optimal lamb growth [36]. All the other supplemented treatments had higher ME of approximately 12.2 MJ/kg which is above the ME requirement for growth and also contain a better roughage-concentrate ratio (approximately 50:50). This trend was observed in the study on different hay-to-concentrate ratios in which the results showed that hot carcass weight, pre-slaughter weight, dressing percentage, backfat thickness increased when the proportion of concentrates in the ration increased from 30 to 70% [37]. The findings in the present study of no differences in carcass characteristics and OTH among the supplemented treatments agree with the results of Flakemore et al. [19] in their feeding trial with different levels of oil-infused rice bran supplement. These authors also did not find any differences between treatments in terms of carcass characteristics and OTH in the supplemented lambs. Manso et al. [40] also showed that the inclusion of 4% hydrogenated palm oil or sunflower oil in the concentrate did not affect any of the carcass characteristics of lambs. In summary, with the exception of a higher dressing percentage and fat thickness in the RBO and RPO treatments, respectively, the inclusion of different oils in feed pellets did not change the carcass characteristics and OTH of lamb meat.

5. Conclusions

Increases in total feed intake, final LWT, ADG, body conformation traits and carcass characteristics were found in prime lambs fed a lucerne-basal and wheat-based pelleted diet enriched with PUFA-containing oil compared to lambs fed lucerne alone. Therefore, supplementation of lucerne fed lambs with PUFA enriched pellets is recommended to increase animal production. The use of RBO and CO in fattening prime lambs had comparatively lower feeding costs and did not affect live animal performance, carcass characteristics and OTH trade income in comparison to other sources of PUFA.
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