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Abstract

The quadruple burden of diseases, early discharge from hospital and hospital at home have resulted in home-based care services becoming a requirement in South Africa. The home-based care services generate a significant amount of health care risk waste that is mismanaged. However, more attention is given to the health care risk waste generated in hospitals and clinics than to health care risk waste generated by home-based caregivers. Therefore, this study investigates the health care risk waste management practices by home-based caregivers.

The study adopted a mixed research approach, qualitative and quantitative methods, using a literature review, interviews, and questionnaires as means of data collection.

Results show that there are different types of health care risk waste generated as a result of different activities performed by home-based caregivers, but that the waste was found to be managed in an unsafe manner. The majority of households receiving home-based care did not have basic sanitation facilities such as toilets, running water and waste removal services, aggravating the issue of health care risk waste mismanagement.

The study recommends a new policy framework that will lead to safe management practices of generated health care risk waste to be adopted by home-based caregivers.
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Background

South Africa is currently experiencing what is termed by the National Department of Health a quadruple burden of diseases that are chronic in nature [1]. The quadruple burden of diseases is Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (HIV/AIDS) and tuberculosis, maternal and child mortality, non-communicable diseases, and violence leading to injuries and trauma [1]. HIV/AIDS have severely affected South Africans [2]. The burden of diseases, especially HIV/AIDS, places stress on the already strained health care facilities [1]. There are numerous people that require medical attention as a result of this quadruple burden, and their number is increasing on a daily basis [3]. Both the public and the private health care facilities are strained as a result [4]. However, the public health care facilities are more strained than the private health care facilities in terms of financial, human and equipment resources [4]. This is because the majority of South Africans rely solely on public health care facilities because they are living below the poverty line and are therefore unable to afford private health care [5].
The strain has resulted in appalling conditions in public health care facilities, which are in dire need of upgrading [5]. To ease the burden on health care facilities, the South African government reintroduced home-based care services [6]. Home-based care is an old concept that dates back to 1813; however, it is now gaining more prominence and becoming widespread [7]. Home-based care is a primary health programme and is recognised as the most cost-effective strategy for delivering essential health care programmes to communities [8]. Services that are offered are nursing care, physical care, patient support, domestic chores, and psychological care. Nursing care includes dressing wounds, administering medication, and supervised treatment support (directly observed treatment support). Physical care includes assisting patients to use toilets, feeding and bathing patients, changing patients, and helping patients exercise. Domestic chores include collecting water for patients, and cleaning and laundry for patients. The psychological care includes health education, counseling, rehabilitation, and praying. Support includes referring patients to health care facilities, identifying people who do not have any source of income, and bereavement counseling [9]. It also provides promotive and preventative services [8]. The services of home-based care are directed at all people who may be in need of care, such as frail older people, people with moderate to severe functional disabilities, people recovering from illnesses who are in need of assistance, terminally ill persons, persons living with HIV/AIDS or any other chronic diseases and any other disadvantaged group [7].

People that work in the home-based care programme are referred to as home-based caregivers [10]. They are generally physically fit middle-aged women from the community [11]. The home-based caregivers do not have medical training but undergo home-based care training [12]. The training introduces them to various aspects of home-based care such as diseases and disability, management of patients’ condition and treatment, assisting patients with mobility and preventing complications, and patient referral [12]. The training offered is focused on nursing care, life skills, counseling, case-finding, and record-keeping [27]. It is designed to capacitate and equip caregivers to provide necessary help for the community they serve [28].

The services provided by home-based caregivers yield numerous benefits [13]. However, home-based caregivers face the challenge caused by the generation of health care risk waste [13]. There are large volumes of health care risk waste arising from care given by home-based caregivers and currently there are no arrangements made to correctly manage the generated waste [14]. The management of health care risk generated by home-based caregivers is a mounting problem, especially in developing countries such as South Africa that have inadequate resources and lack of cost-effective waste disposal processes [14].

Health care risk waste is defined as the waste that is generated during the process of providing health care services to patients [15]. The waste is considered to be very hazardous and is ranked the second most hazardous after radioactive waste because it has the potential to cause adverse health effects and significant pollution in the environment [16]. In South Africa, the waste generated by home-based caregivers is managed in the same manner as general domestic waste [17]. The waste is either discarded in an open field, burnt, buried in shallow graves or collected by or on behalf of the local authority to be disposed of in solid waste land fill sites [17].

**Methods**

The study was conducted using primary and secondary data. Primary data includes two instruments: questionnaires and interviews. Questionnaires were designed for the home-based caregivers and translated to the local language, IsiZulu. The questionnaire had closed format
questions and contained five sections: demographics of caregivers, workload, their knowledge of health care risk waste, different types and quantities of generated waste, and management practices of the generated waste. The semi-structured interviews were for home-based care managers and had open-ended questions. The meetings with caregivers were recorded. The secondary data was obtained from books, journal articles, government publications, published material and the internet.

Data validity and reliability

Face and content validity were established before questionnaire distribution. The questionnaires were submitted to an expert in the field, Mrs Mbambo, an Environmental Health Practitioner, whose area of operation is Umlazi Township. She has over twenty years’ experience in the field of environmental health (home-based care and health care risk waste). The purpose of submitting the questionnaires to Mrs Mbambo was for an assessment of the content validity of the questionnaire. The questionnaire was also submitted to the Research Ethics Committee of the Mangosuthu University of Technology, and the committee made a few suggestions that were intended to include language translation. With the assistance of an isiZulu expert, Dr Gumede, at the Mangosuthu University of Technology, the questionnaire was translated to isiZulu, the Umlazi Township local language.

The language used was very simple, and the questionnaire had very short questions to avoid confusing respondents. It can, therefore, be concluded that the tool used was reliable. Content validation helped to get the draft questionnaire moderated so that the questionnaire was reliable.

For reliability of survey instruments, the questionnaire was tested to avoid ambiguity and anything that might have confused the respondents when answering the questions. The instrument used for testing the internal consistency of responses was Cronbach’s alpha score. The reliability test was implemented within SPSS statistical package, and the outcomes of the reliability analysis are presented in the final chapter.

The research instrument was tested using a pilot study before the questionnaires were distributed to the research participants. The questionnaires were submitted to eight home-based care givers who were not participating in the research. The home-based care givers were randomly selected, and all worked in Umlazi Township. The pretest results reflected questions that were not clear or not understood. Minor changes were found to be necessary.

Ethical consideration

The rights and identities of the study participants were protected. They did not include their identities on the questionnaires. The participants participated in the study voluntarily, and their information was kept anonymous and confidential. The study adhered to the ethical guidelines of the University of South Africa and the Department of Health. A research ethical clearance application was submitted to the University of South Africa, and the Department of Health. Ethical clearance was granted by the College of Agriculture and Environmental Science Research Ethics Review Committee of the University of South Africa for the research to be done.
Permission
Before applying for ethical clearance from the University of South Africa, the researcher had to obtain permission from the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Health to conduct the study. This is because the research subjects, home-based care givers, were employees of the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Health. Application for permission was requested in writing. The letter explained the research, the purpose and the objectives of the study. The KwaZulu-Natal Department of Health granted permission for the study.

Consent
Consent for this research was obtained. A consent letter was attached to the questionnaires. It was written in English and translated to IsiZulu because the respondents are isiZulu speakers. The purpose of the consent letters was for thoroughly debriefing the participants of the study. The consent letter introduced the research and research topic. It further explained the purpose and benefits of the research. The participants were asked to participate in the research, and it was explained how they were chosen to be in the study. The letter further explained that their participation was strictly voluntary and they could withdraw if they wanted to. The consent letters were for the questionnaires and the interview respondents.

Study setting
The study area was Umlazi, a South African township established in 1845 to accommodate black labourers [18]. Umlazi Township is on the east coast of the province of KwaZulu-Natal, which shares borders with three Southern African Development Community (SADC) countries; Lesotho, Mozambique and Swaziland. Umlazi has approximately one million residents [19] and both formal and informal settlements. It is experiencing rapid growth in the number of informal settlements as a result of people migrating to the greater Durban area for employment opportunities [19].

Umlazi is not sufficiently provided with basic infrastructure and services such as health care facilities, sanitation facilities, safe drinking water, waste collection services and electricity [20, 21]. The imbalances of the past as a result of the apartheid system saw areas such as Umlazi completely neglected in terms of service delivery [20]. Decades after democracy, delivery of basic services still remains a huge challenge.

Another great challenge in Umlazi is the prevalence of HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis (TB), a high rate of unemployment, poverty, violence and crime [22]. However, the greatest challenge is the HIV/AIDS epidemic [1], which makes the situation in Umlazi dire [10]. The epidemic has resulted in the deaths of economically active people, leaving many orphans and elderly people to look after themselves [10]. There is only one hospital in the south of Durban, which caters for the entire population of the south of Durban, the estimated one million Umlazi residents [19], and the other residents from the south of Durban. The high rate of unemployment and poverty limits the residents from accessing private health care facilities. The residents therefore rely heavily on the public health care system. However, the one hospital cannot accommodate all people that require medical assistance. This means the residents to rely on the services of home-based caregivers [23].
Participants

The study population was 219 home-based caregivers and two managers of caregivers employed by the Department of Health in Umlazi Township. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) method was used to calculate the sample size population for this research. The sample size was 80, and all 80 questionnaires were returned answered. Interviews were conducted with the two home-based care managers.

Data collection procedure

Oral interviews were used to obtain data from the home-based care managers and questionnaires were used to obtain survey data from the caregivers. A database of total population of registered caregivers was extracted into MS Excel spreadsheet. Thereafter, a representative sample of caregivers was selected by random probability sampling using the random sampling functionality in MS Excel. Caregivers in the sample were administered survey questionnaires with the assistance of the manager of home-based caregivers at Umlazi.

Data analysis

The respondents were given questionnaires. The questions in the questionnaire were numerically coded. Using the numerical codes, the responses from the respondents were entered into a spreadsheet. The data entered on the spreadsheet was then checked for accuracy and transferred into SPSS. The data was then analysed to provide outputs such as frequency tables, histograms, and charts.

Data validity and reliability

Face and content validity were established before questionnaire distribution by submitting them to Mrs Mbambo, an Environmental Health Practitioner (EHP) who works with caregivers, whose area of operation is Umlazi and Isipingo Townships. She has over twenty years of experience in the field of Environmental Health (home-based care and health care risk waste). She took the questionnaires to her colleagues. The purpose of submitting the questionnaires to Mrs Mbambo was to conduct a pilot survey so as to assess the content validity of the questionnaire. Mrs Mbambo and her colleagues read the questionnaire and made suggestions about it. The questionnaire was also submitted to the Research Ethics Committee of the Mangosuthu University of Technology, which made a few suggestions that were intended to include language translation. With the assistance of an isiZulu expert, the questionnaire was translated to isiZulu, the Umlazi Township local language.

The language used was very simple, and the questionnaire had very short questions so as to avoid confusing respondents. It can be concluded from the pilot study that the tool used was reliable as the content validation helped to make the draft questionnaire so.

The instrument used for testing the internal consistency of responses was Cronbach’s alpha score. The reliability test was implemented within the SPSS statistical package. The research instrument was tested using a pilot study before the questionnaire was distributed to the research participants by submitting it to eight home-based caregivers who were not
participating in the research. These home-based caregivers were randomly selected, and all worked in Umlazi Township. The pretest results reflected questions that were not clear or not understood. This meant minor changes were necessary to those questions in the pilot study.

**Results**

**Demographics of caregivers**

The majority of caregivers were middle-aged females. From the study sample only two caregivers were males while 78 were females. Majority (37) of caregivers are between the ages of 41 and 50 years old, followed by 24 caregivers between 31 and 40 years old. The last group of 16 are between 51 and 60 years old. There are no caregivers below the age of 31. Mean age is 43. Only 32 caregivers had completed Grade 12. All other caregivers dropped out of high school. None of the caregivers had tertiary qualifications. The majority of the caregivers had vast experience as caregivers. Only six had below five years of experience.

**Demographics of patients**

Caregivers have eighty (80) patients each a month, however in the month of July one caregiver had 86 patients. These demographics are based on the eighty patients receiving services from the eighty (80) participants. The home-based caregivers indicated that the majority of their patients were female. The female population of the care givers was 4772 compared to the male population which was 1634 and the majority of their patients were between the age of 40 and 60 years (figure 4.3). Some of their patients had never been to school. Of the patients who attended school, only 2500 patients completed grade 12, and only 600 had a tertiary education qualification. The majority of their patients were unemployed (5200). Patients lived on government grants (2700) and pensions (3100), others had no means of income.

**Services provided by home-based caregivers**

Home-based caregivers provide different types of services depending on the needs of the patient. The services are nursing care, physical care, patient support, psychological care, and domestic chores for their patients. The services that generate health care risk waste are nursing care and physical care. On average the study participants generated 2.2 kg of health care risk waste individually and 183.75kg of health care risk waste combined on the day of data collection.

**Workload of home-based caregivers**

The number of houses visited by caregivers is not fixed, but based on duties performed. On the day of data collection, most caregivers (39) visited three homes daily, while 31 visited four homes daily; 51 of the caregivers had one patient per household followed by 13 caregivers who had two patients per household. One caregiver indicated that she had six patients in one household who received care.
Protective measures used during caregiving

Most of the caregivers do not use any protective gear when working. Data obtained showed that 47 of the caregivers do not wear gloves, 53 do not wear mouth masks, and 52 do not wear aprons. However, 79 of them use hand sanitisers after nursing patients. The hand sanitiser is used as a form of protection to prevent contamination (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Use of protective gear by caregivers](image)

Generation of health care risk waste

The different types of health care risk waste generated in a day include used aprons, gloves, cotton, gauze, plasters, nappies, needles, syringes, toilet paper, medical containers, expired medication, human waste, vomit, sputum, pus, soiled linen, and plastic bags. Home-based care givers do not inject patients, however they assist the patients to self-inject. Therefore needles wastes are not generated by home-based care givers but they are responsible for the management after they have assisted patients to self-inject.

Quantities of health care risk waste generated by home-based care givers

Caregivers were asked to fill generated health care risk on the day of data collection in a plastic bag. The plastic bags were approximately 32x50cm in size. Each plastic bag given by care givers was weighed on a scale to quantify the health care risk waste generated by each home-based care giver on the day of data collection. The weight was rounded off to the nearest number to avoid decimals.

Thirteen (13) caregivers collected 0.5kg, thirty one (31) collected 1 kg, twenty five 25 collected 2 kg, nine (9) collected 3 kg, and two (2) collected 4 kg (table 1). Total amount of health care risk waste that was collected by home-based care givers on the day of data collection was 183.75 kg. Therefore the average health care risk waste generated by home-based care givers is 2.3 kg a day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of caregivers</th>
<th>Number of bags per day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.5kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Quantity of health care risk waste generated by caregivers per day
One full bag weighs approximately 1.5kg.

**Management of health care risk waste**

The data collected indicates, as shown in Figure 2, that 22 caregivers leave the generated waste at the homestead where it was generated, nine throw the waste anywhere deemed fit by them, eight take the waste to the nearest health clinics to be disposed along with other health care waste, 20 burn the waste, and nine flush or discard it in a pit latrine. The health care risk waste left in the household is either stored in plastic bags or general waste containers for approximately one week.

![Figure 2: Methods of disposal of health care risk waste used by caregivers](image)

**Training**

According to the caregivers, training has not been provided for everyone. Only 70 caregivers had received training on home-based care before they assumed their duties; and four caregivers indicated that they did not receive any form of training (Figure 3). Furthermore, 55 caregivers said they have never been trained on health care risk waste management, while 23 reported that they had been trained (Figure 3); 54 of them want to be trained on health care risk waste management.
Discussion

Demographics

The findings revealed that home-based care is mostly offered by middle-aged women. This is because the services offered are physically demanding and not suitable for the elderly to administer. About 60% of the home-based caregivers had completed their basic education level. They are therefore literate and can be educated on home-based care and health risk waste matters.

Services

Services provided by caregivers, as stated above, are nursing care, physical care, patient support, domestic chores, and psychological care. Nursing care includes dressing wounds, administering medication, and supervised treatment support (directly observed treatment support). Physical care includes assisting patients to use toilets, feeding and bathing patients, changing patients, and helping patients exercise. Domestic chores include collecting water for patients, and cleaning and washing for patients. The psychological care includes health education, counseling, rehabilitation, and praying. Support includes referring patients to health care facilities, identifying people who do not have any source of income, and bereavement counseling. The services that mostly generate health care risk waste are nursing care and physical care. As physical care includes bathing patients, and assisting them to go to the toilet, the water used may be contaminated. The contamination may be either body
secretions or blood resulting in the used water being health care risk waste. The materials used during nursing care such as bandages, cotton wool and gauze are often contaminated with human secretions such as pus and blood. Nappies used by bedridden patients, and cotton wool and bandages used for treating wounds contain human secretions and are health care risk waste. Discarded medication containers are also health care risk waste.

Results from the study further revealed that home-based caregivers provide counseling to patients and members of the family with sick people. However, counseling does not generate health care risk. People with terminal illnesses needed to be counseled so that they can cope with the disease. It is also done to assist family members to cope with living with a terminally ill person and with a death in the family.

Furthermore, home-based caregivers conducted health education that is very broad and incorporates numerous health issues. The most common health issues, though, were HIV/AIDS and TB, and education was given both on prevention and protection. The approach of the South African government to HIV/AIDS is prevention through health education, therefore education is the key vehicle used to curb the scourge. The home-based caregivers were also used to conduct health education on the importance of the use of drugs such as antiretroviral therapy and TB drugs on HIV/AIDS in general. They were also used to conduct education on mother to child HIV/AIDS transmission, and breast feeding.

Home-based caregivers are used to reduce the patient medication defaulter rate through health education by ensuring that patients take their medication as required by the Department of Health. There is a very high medication defaulter rate, especially among TB patients [1] and home-based caregivers play a significant role in ensuring through health education that the patient adheres to the treatment plan. Assisting patients to take their medication generates health care risk waste because the containers where medication is kept are health care risk waste. Health education is also conducted to prevent and control other communicable diseases.

The home-based caregivers support communities tremendously by identifying families and patients that do not have any source of income. They mobilise donors to donate food to those families and refer patients to health care facilities that offer the required care.

Home-based caregivers are used extensively by the Department of Health to trace TB cases, to communicate with the public, to relay vaccination programmes, etc. The home-based caregivers literally work as ears and eyes for the Department of Health. They also do a lot of referrals, where they refer community members who required treatment to the appropriate health care facilities.

**Workload**

Based on the results, on average caregivers visit between three and four houses a day. Each house visited has on average two patients. The majority of the patients are illiterate and very poor. Patients prefer to be nursed by caregivers because they are often humiliated and abused by nurses in clinics and hospitals. The abuse by nurses occurs because socio-economic differences between nurses and patients are huge [24]. Furthermore, public healthcare facilities are in an appalling state.

The results indicate that services offered generate health care risk waste. Therefore, the increased workload increases the amount of health care risk waste. Health care risk waste
generated is a mixture of items used for nursing patients and contaminated protective clothing. This generated healthcare risk waste is mostly unaccounted for.

**Protective measures used during caregiving**

Protective measures are items such as specialised clothing that is used to protect both home-based caregivers and the patients they are treating. The protective clothing prevents cross-contamination by micro-organisms and communicable diseases. It is the protective clothing that becomes contaminated during the treatment of patients instead of the health care personnel. All health care personnel must wear protective clothing when treating patients because they have to be protected from anything that endangers or causes harm to their health [25]. The home-based caregivers who answered the questionnaires indicated that they do not all wear protective clothing, such as the gloves, mouth masks, and aprons listed in the Health and Safety Act (1993), during caregiving. This absence of working protective clothing is common in developing countries [29]. The caregivers only used hand sanitisers to clean their hands after nursing a patient. This leaves both the patients and home-based caregivers exposed to infections. The inadequate resources for health care workers, fraud and corruption, a weak economy, and low management capabilities, are the main reasons for home-based caregivers not receiving appropriate protective clothing.

There were, however, home-based caregivers (almost 40%) who indicated that they had protective clothing at the time data was collected, but the provision thereof was not reliable, it was done randomly. Hand sanitiser is used by almost 100% of home-based caregivers because they buy the sanitiser themselves. Any disposable protective clothing used is regarded as infectious and becomes health care risk waste because it is often contaminated with blood and or other body fluids. [26]. The disposable medical protective clothing, such as gloves, aprons and face masks, has to be discarded after use. Hand sanitisers are antimicrobial and therefore can contaminate the environment. The containers that keep the sanitisers will have residues of the sanitiser and the containers are therefore health care risk waste.

**Generation of health care risk waste**

The amount and composition of the health care risk waste generated by caregivers is dependent upon the number of patients nursed and the services provided. The caregivers indicated that on some days they generate aprons and gloves, but not every day because they sometimes did not have them to wear when providing nursing care to patients. They sometimes have to improvise by wearing plastic bags for protection. Another form of medical waste is a syringe, although caregivers indicated they are not authorised by the Department of Health to administer injections. The syringes are used by diabetic patients and caregivers only assist these patients when they administer the injections themselves. They do, however, assist patients to take medication orally and in the process may use syringes for accurate measurements. The syringes are recycled, meaning they are used several times, before they are discarded and are therefore not generated daily as health care risk waste. The medical containers and expired medication are not generated every day either. Some caregivers indicated that they generate soiled linen and plastic bags, which they use as protective clothing. The generated health care risk waste is typically contaminated with fecal matter and body fluids such as urine, blood, vomit, pus, sputum and phlegm. There is also waste water generated from personal and household care of the patients and also from cleaning containers.
used to contain sputum, phlegm and vomit from patients with nausea and TB. The different types of generated health care risk waste were not weighed because the caregivers weighed all health care risk waste together daily.

The quantity of generated health care risk waste indicated by caregivers is not enormous, about 1.5kg a day (see Table 1). However, these indicated quantities can be debated because caregivers fail to record the health care risk waste that they generate properly, resulting in a great challenge in obtaining accurate data. Another issue is inadequate training on health care risk waste resulting in caregivers failing to properly define and classify the waste and therefore failing to quantify the waste properly and so the generated waste ends up being included with domestic waste.

This has resulted in limited information on health care risk waste generated by home-based caregivers, which causes the precise estimate of the waste to be unknown. However, desk top studies indicate that it is quite substantial and increasing sharply [31-34]. This is a result of the current trend of home health, hospital at home, and early discharge of patients [35]. The hospital at home, and early discharge of patients is caused by lack of human and financial resources to accommodate all the patients who require medical attention. Data from the study could therefore not be compared with any other available data.

Health care risk waste, regardless of the quantity, has a potential to cause severe damage to the environment and the health of individuals [30]. Furthermore, the general practice of caregivers was to include the generated health care risk waste with domestic waste. This practice has serious environmental and health implications as the health care risk waste contaminates the domestic waste resulting in all the waste becoming hazardous.

Management of health care risk waste

Only 10% of caregivers managed health care risk waste in a proper manner. They took the generated waste to the nearest healthcare facility to be stored, collected, treated and disposed of safely. The majority of the home-based caregivers managed the generated waste in an unsafe manner that can potentially endanger health and pollute the environment. Some caregivers discarded the waste in open spaces, some burnt the waste, some flushed or discarded it in a pit latrine, and some included the waste with domestic waste. However, all generated health care risk waste should follow a specific process after generation, the waste management process, the term management referring to the storage, treatment and disposal of the generated health care risk waste [22]. The process of health care risk waste management is shown in Figure 4. Management of waste is defined as the processes involved in dealing with the waste of humans and organisms, including minimisation, handling, processing, storage, recycling, transport and final disposal [16].
Figure 4: Process of health care risk waste

Separation

Over 90% of caregivers do not separate the generated health care risk waste at source but include the waste with domestic waste. However, all generated health care risk waste should be separated at the point of generation and placed in correct colour-coded containers with the international infectious substance symbol (Figure 5) to facilitate safe handling and treatment according to the level of hazard [38].

Figure 5: International infectious substance symbol

Source: [16]

Storage

As the caregivers did not separate the generated waste at source, it was included with the domestic waste and stored in either domestic waste containers or plastic bags. The containers used by caregivers are those supplied by Durban Solid Waste for general waste and are not designed to store health care risk waste. Also, the plastic bags referred to are not the colour-coded plastic bags recommended by the South African National Standards (SANS) 10248, but those created to store general waste. Therefore, the containers used by home-based caregivers place the lives of waste workers in danger of needle pricks and other related injuries. It further exposes the workers and the general public to different infections, such as hepatitis and TB. It also exposes the environment to contaminants. The health care risk waste stored in home contravenes the guidelines by the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Health [39],

...
which are impossible to meet because homes are not designed to accommodate health care risk waste [27].

The majority of health care risk waste generated by caregivers does not have proper storage facilities and is stored for the same period as the general waste generated by the household. In formal settlements the duration of storage of waste is a week as it is included with the general waste, and Durban Solid Waste collect the general household waste once a week.

**Collection**

Waste collection differs considerably between formal and informal households. For the formal settlements, waste is collected by or on behalf of Durban Solid Waste. For the informal households, the residents do not have appropriate means of disposing of either domestic waste or human waste. Waste collection is irregular and random. The residents are often without options when it comes to waste disposal. Some residents take generated waste to a common waste receptor. Sometimes weeks go by without the garbage being collected. Waste in these receptors often overflows and scatters on the ground. The wind blows the waste or it is dragged by domestic animals and spread all around the community. Some residents resort to illegal practices of waste disposal such as disposing waste along the roadside, nearest forest, bush or vacant plot, while others bury it in shallow pits or burn it in their backyards. The shared sanitation facilities are sometimes vandalised, and are usually distant from the homes. The human waste such as urine, sputum, and vomit is discarded in open yards that are accessible to the public, children and domestic animals.

Health care risk human waste is discarded in toilets and transported by the municipal sewer system to the waste water treatment plant by Durban Solid Waste vehicles. These vehicles are designed to transport domestic waste and not health care risk waste. The drivers are not trained to handle and transport health care risk waste and are not equipped to deal with emergency situations such as preventing or controlling spills or leakages.

**Treatment**

The only health care risk waste that is treated is the waste that is taken by the 10% of caregivers to the nearest health care facility. It enters the health care risk waste stream to be collected by Compass Waste, as all the health care risk waste emanating from the Department of Health care facilities in Umlazi Township is collected by Compass Waste to be treated before disposal. Different methods, such as incineration, autoclaving, chemical disinfection, and microwave irradiation are used by Compass Waste to treat the health care risk waste. [40]

**Disposal**

Most of the generated health care risk waste is disposed of in an unsafe manner, either being discarded in open dumps, incinerated or collected by Durban Solid Waste.
Contributing factors to health care risk waste mismanagement

The factors contributing to health care risk waste mismanagement range from ignorance, lack of capacity and resources, attitude, cultural beliefs, lack of support, and irregular waste collection by waste collectors. The most significant contributing factor is ignorance because caregivers do not receive adequate training and do not have adequate knowledge of health care risk waste management. Some of the health care risk waste knowledge by caregivers is self-taught and such training is usually not accurate. Caregivers are not provided with training specific to health care risk waste. Some of the training received by caregivers incorporated aspects of health care risk waste but were not specific to health care risk waste.

Conclusion

The study found that the current South African Health Care System, especially because of the quadruple burden of diseases, are inadequate to manage the health needs of the people. And yet the services provided by the home-based caregivers will continue to be a necessity in the near future. As mentioned, caregivers play a significant role in improving the lives of people and promoting their health. It is only appropriate that their working procedures be re-evaluated and changed so that they do not harm the environment and people’s health. As has been revealed by this study, a considerable amount of health care risk waste generated by caregivers is managed in a manner that endangers the environment and the lives of all those exposed to the waste. Findings of this study show that the health care risk waste management of home-based caregivers is not practiced in accordance with best practices as approved by the Department of Health.

The study offers a list of recommendations made to improve the working procedures and conditions of caregivers. Suggested recommendations include: having a health care risk waste management plan, monitoring the generated health care risk waste, resource allocation, development of policies and guidelines, government and departmental collaboration and cooperation, community education, training of caregivers on health care risk waste, and continuous assessment and auditing. However, the most important suggestion is the need for further research that will shed light on the areas of focus and the best way for dealing with the management of health care risk waste.
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