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Abstract: Drinking water contamination of lead from various environmental sources, leaching consumer products and intrinsic water-pipe infrastructure is still today a matter of great concern. Therefore, new highly sensitive and convenient Pb\(^{2+}\) measurement schemes are necessary, especially for in-situ measurements at a low-cost. Within this work dye/ionophore/Pb\(^{2+}\) co-extraction and effective water phase de-colorization was utilized for highly sensitive lead measurements and sub-ppb naked-eye detection. Low-cost ionophore Benzo-18-Crown-6-ether was used, and a simple test-tube mix, shake and separate procedure was developed. Instrumental detection limits were in the low ppt region (LOD=3, LOQ=10), and naked-eye detection was 500 ppt. Note, however, that this sensing scheme still has improvement potential as concentrations of fluorophore and ionophore were not optimized. Artificial tap-water samples, leached by a standardized method, demonstrated drinking water application. Implications for this method are convenient in-situ lead ion measurements.
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1. Introduction

     Environmental lead contamination, content and control in water, food and consumer products are still alarming problems [1-5][6][7]. Therefore, new highly sensitive and selective lead measurements are necessary, in particular for convenient field measurements at a low-cost [1-5][8]. Currently common and reliable detection methods for lead ions in drinking water and many other products mostly depend on laboratory analysis with instruments such as ICP-OES or ICP-MS or graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy, which are by no means simple, cheap or portable [2][9]. A recurrent reason for lead contaminated drinking water within cities can be ageing water supply infrastructure such as in the Flint water crisis [10], which also revealed dangers regarding lead exposure, especially for children [10]. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) says that 10 – 20 % of US residents exposure to lead comes from contaminated water and babies can even get up to 60% of their exposure to lead by drinking formula mixed with contaminated water. EPAs permissible limit of lead in drinking water is 15 ppb [11], while The World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation is even lower at 10 ppb [12]. Within this work dye/ionophore/Pb\(^{2+}\) co-extraction and effective water phase de-colorization, and consequent fluorescence turn-off, is utilized for highly sensitive lead measurements and sub-ppb naked-eye detection. A simple test-tube mix, shake and separate procedure were developed. Sample prizes are extremely competitive and without any cost-optimization the total cost for the chemicals for one sample is ~0.1 US$. Miniaturized instrumentation for high-performance field measurements can be built for less than 50 UD$. Generality and easy
ionophore replacement is not claimed here and the opposite is actually demonstrated with another
more common (and 100-times more expensive) lead ionophore. Though, carefully considered (of the
whole system) most ionophores should be possible utilize in a similar way. A real life sample, a
“lead-free” manometer for a coffee machine, was tested according to a standardized leaching test
protocol and cross-correlated with ICP-OES with good results. The low limits of lead are especially
challenging in for example coffee machines and such, due to long-term heating of water and
consequent leaching of lead and other species.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Instruments

The fluorescence experiments were performed on a FluoroMax 4 spectrofluorometer from Jobin
Yvon (Horiba group). Excitation and emission matrix spectra were collected with slit widths
corresponding to 2 nm bandpass; integration times were set to 0.1 s and 10 nm steps were used.

2.2 Chemicals

1-Octanol, Merocyanine 540 (90 %) and Benzo-18-Crown-6-ether (98 %) were all reagent grade
and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. MilliQ water (electric resistivity > 18MΩcm−1) was obtained
from a Millipore water purification system. For standards 1000 mg/L Pb, (Pb(NO₃)₂ in H₂O) Titrisol®
(109969) was used and diluted with MilliQ water.

2.3 Experimental

2 ml octanol (with Benzo-18-Crown-6-ether 0.5 mg/ml), 1 ml Merocyanine 540 (15 µM in MilliQ
water) and 1 ml water sample is mixed thoroughly in a 5 ml glass test-

3. Results

The research conducted here was initiated with attempted developments of our previously
published nanoparticle enhanced ammonium and ammonia optical sensor [13–15]. The intention
was to expand the portfolio for ionophore/coextraction based optical chemosensors with lead
sensors. Several lead ionophores were tested without success, both with and without plasmonic
nanoparticles. Therefore, the principles used and developed here were initially performed to
understand the sensing mechanism at a macroscopic level in a test tube.

Figure 1 shows test-tubes with separated solutions with zero and 500 ppm lead ions. Also, the
proposed sensing mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 1, where the Benzo-18-Crown-6-ether collects Pb²⁺
ions and ion pairs with two Merocyanine 540 (one negative charge each) molecules and gets
coop-extracted into the octanol phase, with consequent de-colorization and fluorescence decrease in
the water phase. Resulting excitation/emission fluorescence matrixes are shown in Fig. 2 A-D for
0/10/500 ppt and 500 ppm lead ions, and a decreasing fluorescence trend is revealed even for the 10
ppt sample. Another Pb²⁺ ionophore (Lead IV, Selectophore) was tested in the same way with much
lower responses. Naked eye detection of 500 ppt lead ions was assessed with both ionophores and
pictures are shown in Fig. 3 A, again with a clear advantage for Benzo-18-Crown-6-ether. This is an
indication that all ionophores will not perform on this high level by default in this set-up.
Figure 1  A) test tubes with separated octanol/water sensor cocktail solutions: zero level (left tube) and 500 ppm Pb²⁺ (diluted reference standard solution). B) cartoon showing the co-extraction three step principle by Pb²⁺ introduction, complexation and phase transfer. Green arrows indicate lead ion and the formed complex transfers.

Figure 2  Fluorescence excitation/emission matrix scans of the water phase for Pb²⁺ ion concentrations of: A) 0 B) 10 ppt C) 500 ppt D) 500 ppm. Note that the intensity scales are set the same.
Figure 3  A) Naked eye detection of 500 ppt Pb$^{2+}$ (left tube), and with less sensitive Lead IV ionophore (right) as comparison. B) Finding optimized fluorescence wavelengths. C) Repeatability at different concentrations and D) Low ppt level calibration curve for the optimized Ex480/Em580 wavelengths. LOD=3 ppt LOQ=10 ppt.

To find maximum signal responses difference excitation spectra for Zero – 10 ppt was calculated (Fig. 3B). Ex520/Em570 nm showed maximum response. However, often this may not be the same as best signal to noise ratio, which can be found by searching for highest signal divided by zero level standard deviation [16][17]. Here, optimum S/N ratio $\Rightarrow$ S/N= 9.8 was found for 10 ppt response at Ex480/Em580 nm, which also demonstrated a high signal in Fig. 3B. The repeatability of this wavelength pair at different concentrations are shown in Fig. 3C. To calculate limits of detection...
(LOD) and quantification (LOQ) a calibration curve for the low ppt range was constructed (Fig. 3D).

The response at 0 – 50 ppt was linear with a good correlation coefficient ($R^2 = 0.984$) and excellent
detection limits (LOD=3 ppt and LOQ=10 ppt). A real sample: a coffee machine manometer was
leached according to the requirements in SS-EN 16889:2016. The quantity of migrated lead in the test
water shall not exceed the guideline value of 0.01 mg/kg (10 ppb). The leached manometer ICP-OES
result was 3.5 ±0.7 ppb, while the fluorescence method result was 3.0 ±0.04 ppb, i.e. in relatively good
correlation.

4. Discussion

With regards to selectivity the Benzo-18-Crown-6-ether has been demonstrated as highly
selective over its five major interferences and demonstrated an average selectivity coefficient for
those of $pK_{SA} = 5.34$, which was almost as good as the more commonly used Lead IV ionophore
(Selectophore™) [18][19]. This was also the main reason to involve Benzo-18-Crown-6-ether within
this study. The detection method is different, but the complexation and co-extraction take place
similarly, hence, similar selectivity is expected. A brief selectivity test towards sodium ions was
conducted and demonstrated that 1 ppm Na⁺ gave the same response as 100 ppt Pb²⁺ ions indicating
10000 or 5 orders of magnitude in signal response increase (i.e. similar to the $pK_{SA} = 5.34$ mentioned
above) [19].

In comparison with literature and for a brief overview, laboratory instrumental techniques for
lead measurements commonly involve ICP-MS (LOD low ppt range) and ICP-OES (LOD low ppb
range) [20]. Among potential ultra-sensitive in-situ sensing/detection techniques for drinking water
one of the better competitors demonstrate a naked-eye detection of 2 ppb and an instrumental
semi-quantitative detection of 190 ppt (0.19 ng/ml) [9]. Further, a recent article demonstrated an
interesting lab in syringe concept for in-situ detection of lead ions with a LOD = 23 nmol L⁻¹ (5 ppb)
[5]. Another technique, a label-free impedimetric sensing system based on DNAzyme and ordered
mesoporous carbon–gold nanoparticles, showed a LOD of 0.2 nmol L⁻¹ (40 ppt) [21]. Another
DNAzyme based detection scheme demonstrated a detection limit of 0.7 nM (130 ppt) [22]. One
among the top-notch fluorescence techniques for Pb²⁺ ions demonstrated a detection limit of 1
nmol L⁻¹ (200 ppt), using a polyguanine (G(33))/terbium ions (Tb(3+)) conjugate [23]. The best
fluorescence detection we found to date are another DNAzyme technique showing a detection limit
of 0.06 nmol L⁻¹ (12 ppt) [24].

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, practical and ultra-sensitive fluorescence and naked-eye detection of Pb²⁺ in
drinking water was demonstrated using low-cost Benzo-18-Crown-6-ether as ionophore for
principles of co-extraction. A simple test-tube mix and separate technique and was successfully
developed. Instrumental detection limits were in the low ppt region (LOD=3, LOQ=10), and
naked-eye detection was 500 ppt or better. Coffee machine water samples, leached by a standardized
method, correlated well with ICP-OES. Implications are simple and ultra-sensitive lead ion
measurements in the field.
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