- 1 Effects of Limonene on the PAHs mutagenicity risk in Roasted Fish Skin
- 2 Yu-Wei Chen¹, Ping-Hsiu Huang², Yung-Hsiang Tsai¹, Chii-Ming Jiang³, Chih-Yao
- 3 Hou¹*

9

13

- 5 Yu-Wei Chen M.D.
- 6 ¹Department of Seafood Science, National Kaohsiung University of Science and
- 7 Technology, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ROC
- 8 Naosa720928@gmail.com
- 10 Ping-Hsiu, Huang Ph. D.
- ²College of Food Science and Technology, Hainan University, Haikou, China
- hugh0530@gmail.com
- 14 Yung-Hsiang Tsai Ph. D.
- ³Department of Seafood Science, National Kaohsiung University of Science and
- 16 Technology, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ROC
- 17 yht@mail.nkmu.edu.tw

Chii-Ming Jiang Ph. D. 19 20 ³Department of food science and technology National Taitung Jr. College, Taitung, Taiwan, ROC 21 cmjiangkimo@yahoo.com.tw 22 23 Chih-Yao, Hou Ph. D. 24 ¹Department of Seafood Science, National Kaohsiung University of Science and 25 26 Technology, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ROC 27 chihyaohou@gmail.com +88673617141*23607 28 29 30 31 32 **ABSTRACT** 33 Traditional edible barbecue products use with lemon juice not only make the barbecue more delicious but also reduce the risk of PAHs in the barbecue products. One 34 35 of the major economics crops in Taiwan, the waste from citrus fruits was very tremendous mass. However, the peelings of citrus fruits are rich in essential oil, especially, the 36

limonene is the major. Whether the anti-carcinogenesis activities of terpene, such as limonene, in citrus fruits essential oil extraction. This study to demonstrate the PAHs content in fish skin increased markedly after being roasted at 210°C for 20 minutes and greater mutagenicity risk of roasted fish skin was observed by Ame's test. The reduction of mutagenicity risk of roasted fish skin, which the antimutagenic abilities of substances in descending order were limonene > cold pressure oil > lemon >grapefruit. The antimutagenicity rate and ability of the three extracts were limonene: 18–23%; cold-pressed lemon oil: 18–22%; and steam distilled lemon essential oil: 8–16%. The obvious anti- mutagenicity effects against the PAHs mutagenicity of roasted fish skins can be found in citrus fruits essential oil extraction.

47 Keywords: Roasted Fish Skin, PAHs mutagenicity risk, Limonene

1. Introduction

Citrus is one of the most abundant agriculture crops worldwide. With a global production of 4,200,000 metric tonnes, lemon (*Citrus limon* (L.)) is the third most important cultivated citrus species after orange and mandarin. Countries with the most important lemon productions for processing are Argentina (1,000,000 tonnes), Italy (304,000 tonnes), Spain (300,000 tonnes), USA (230,000 tonnes), and Mexico (296,000

tonnes). Of the 2,130,000 tonnes of lemons processed globally in 2007, peel waste made up 57% (~1,200,000 tonnes) [1]. Citrus peels are rich in essential oils, which in various plants exhibit antioxidant properties that can extend the shelf life in foods by lowering lipid oxidation [2]. High-purity limonene, a major component of lemon essential oil found in the peels, can be used as fragrance, flavour, insecticide, or renewable solvent for coating or replacing aromatic and mineral oils [3]. Researchers worldwide are investigating this substance as a method to protect food from bacterial growth without the need for harmful chemicals [4].

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are organic toxins consisting of two or more aromatic rings fused in linear, angular, or clustered arrangements. These compounds do not undergo self-degradation easily in nature owing to their stable chemical structures [5]. Some PAHs such as acenaphthene anthracene, phenanthrene, fluorene, fluoranthene, pyrene, benzo[a]pyrene are included in the list of priority pollutants by the United States Environmental Protection Agency [5]. In 1976, there are at least 30 PAHs that are recognised internationally to be carcinogens, which is the largest group of known carcinogens in the world currently.

PAHs cause severe pollution in the biosphere due to its environmental dissemination

and bioaccumulation characteristics. PAHs are mainly produced from incomplete combustion of carbon-containing compounds or use of fossil fuels. Examples include the combustion of plants that releases and spreads PAHs into nature; spillage of crude oil in the petrochemical industry [5]; roasted meats, smoked fishes, and cured meats. The use of baking, roasting, and other cooking techniques will generate more PAHs and increase PAH content in foods [6-9]. Consumption of fish and roasted and smoked meats by humans allow PAHs to enter the human body. In this study, tilapia skins were soaked in limonene and lemon essential oil to examine their ability to prevent PAH-induced mutagenicity induced by roasting.

2.1 Materials

2. Materials and methods

Lemons (*C. limon*) purchased from a supermarket in Kaohsiung city were washed and wiped dry. Lemon skins were collected without disrupting the oil sacs and were directly used for subsequent experiments.

Limonene, glucose-6-phosphate, ß-Nicotine adenine dinucleotide phosphate, and sodium ammonium hydrogen phosphate tetrahydrate were purchased from Fluka Chemical Corp, US. Cold oil presses for lemon skins were provided by the fragrance

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

company. Benzo [a]pyrenene was purchased from Supelco Inc., US. 4-Nitroquinoline-Noxide L-histidine, Sodium chloride and D-biotin were purchased from Sigma Inc., US. Nutrient agar, nutrient broth, tryptic soy agar, tryptic soy broth, and Bacto agar were purchased from Difco Inc., US. Magnesium sulfate heptahydrate, citric acid, H₂O, dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, magnesium chloride anhydrous, potassium chloride, sodium dihydrogenphosphate anhydrous, disodium hydrogenphosphate, and glucose were purchased from Showa Inc., JP. 2.4. Methods 2.4 Extraction and analysis of essential oil from citrus peels 2.4.1.1 Steam distillation 700 g of distilled water was added to 150 g of citrus peels for blending before being placed in a three-neck round-bottom flask for steam distillation. After the distillate separated into layers, the upper layer containing distilled essential oil was collected. This oil was stored in the dark at -20°C before gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS) was used to analyse the types and levels of compounds [10], [11] 2.4.1.2 Cold pressing of lemon essential oils

The method of Ou [12] was used in which lemons were cut in half, the flesh was removed, and the peels were pressed. Afterward, distilled water was used to flush the pressed juice. The mixture was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. Cold pressed oil was then collected from the upper layer.

2.4.2 Analysis of citrus peel essential oil

The Agilent 6890 (Agilent technologies Inc., US) GC system used in this was connected in tandem to an Agilent 5973N quadrupole mass selective detector (MSD). The temperature of the ion source was 230°C, and the quadrupole temperature was 150°C. A DB-1 separating column with a length of 60 m and inner diameter of 0.25 mm was used; the injection port temperature was 150°C. The initial temperature of the column was 40°C for 0 min, which increased to 75°C at 35°C/min before holding for 2 min. This was followed by an increase of 5°C/min to 120°C and holding for 0 min. Finally, the temperature was increased at 15°C/min to 210°C and was held at 2 min. The carrier gas used was helium, and the flow rate was 1 mL/min. The obtained MS data were aligned with the Wiley7n Mass Spectral Library.

2.5 Toxicity, mutagenic, and anti-mutagenic effects of limonene and citrus essential oil

on Salmonella typhimurium TA100

2.5.1 S. typhimurium TA100 experiment strains

Biotechnology was used to modify this genetically defective strain from that growing in a histidine-deficient environment to a strain that requires histidine for growth.

Samples containing mutagenic substances will revert back to a histidine-independent

form. This experiment strain was obtained from Chung Shan Medical University.

2.5.2 Toxicity testing

This test examines whether the experimental samples exhibit toxicity towards bacteria in the presence or absence of metabolism by liver enzymes. Toxicity is present if the colony count of the experimental group is 80% of that in the control group. The experimental samples of limonene and citrus essential oil were diluted by 1% Tween into different concentrations. The control group consisted of 1% Tween. Hereafter, these samples are referred to as "experimental Tween samples" and "Tween control group," respectively.

First, 0.1 mL of the Tween sample was added into 0.1 mL of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) before 0.1 mL of overnight bacterial culture was added. For the group without S9

mixture, 0.5 mL of the phosphate buffer was added. For that with S9, this mixture was added in place of the phosphate buffer. After mixing, the mixtures were precultured for 20 min at 37°C. They were then diluted to 10^2 – 10^3 CFU/mL, and 1 mL of dilute was mixed with a suitable volume of melted nutrient agar. The plates were grown in an inverted position at 37°C for 48 h before the colony counts were enumerated.

2.5.3 Mutagenicity experiments

The method used to perform the mutagenicity test (Ames test) involved the preincubation method as described by Maron and Ames [13]. This test examined whether the experimental samples exhibited mutagenic effects towards bacteria in the presence or absence of metabolism by liver enzymes. If the data of the experimental group were more than twice that of the control group, the sample was mutagenic.

First, 0.1 mL of the experimental Tween sample was added to 0.1 mL of the phosphate buffer before 0.1 mL of overnight bacterial culture was added. For the group without S9 mixture, 0.5 mL of the phosphate buffer was added. For that with S9, this mixture was added place of the phosphate buffer. After mixing, the mixtures were precultured for 20 min at 37 °C. Then, 2 mL melted top agar containing 40% glucose and 0.5 mM histidine/biotin solution was added. The plates were grown in an inverted position

at 37° C for 48 h before the colony counts were enumerated [14].

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

164

2.5.4 Antimutagenicity experiments

This experiment examines whether the experimental samples have antimutagenic ability following the addition of standard mutagens that do or do not require liver enzyme metabolism. The mutagen selected for the group without S9 mixture added was 4-Nitroquinoline-N-oxide, which does not require metabolism by liver enzymes. Benzo[a]pyrene, which requires liver enzyme metabolism, was used for the group with S9 mixture added. In both cases, 0.1 mL of overnight bacterial culture was added. First, 0.1 mL of the experimental Tween sample was added into 0.1 mL of commercial mutagens. For the group without S9 mixture, 0.5 mL the phosphate buffer was added. For that with S9, this mixture was added in place of the phosphate buffer. After mixing, the mixtures were precultured for 20 minutes at 37°C before 2 mL of melted top agar containing 40% glucose and 0.5 mM histidine/biotin solution was added. The plates were grown in an inverted position at 37°C for 48 hours before the colony counts were enumerated [15]. Antimutagenicity was calculated according to the following formula:

Inhibition (%) = [1- (number of spontaneous revertants sample group/ control group)] \times

2.6 Antimutagenicity of limonene and citrus essential oil on roasted fish skins

2.6.1 Extraction of roasted fish skins

70 g of descaled tilapia skins 20 cm long \times 8 cm wide was weighed and washed before being wiping dry (treated samples). Afterwards, the skins were placed in an oven for 20 min of roasting at 210°C. After the skins were crushed, three volumes of dichloromethane were added for 1 h of extraction. The dichloromethane layer was collected and concentrated under reduced pressure. The concentrate obtained was stored in the dark at -20°C.

2.6.2 Toxicity of extracts from roasted fish skins

This test examines whether the extracts from roasted fish skins exhibit toxicity towards bacteria in the presence or absence of metabolism by liver enzymes. Toxicity is present if the colony count of the experimental group is 80% of that in the control group. Extracts from roasted fish skins were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The control group contained DMSO alone; these are referred to as "experimental DMSO samples" and "DMSO control group," respectively. First, 0.1 mL of experimental DMSO

samples was added to 0.1 mL of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and 0.1 mL of overnight culture. The group without S9 mixture had 0.5 mL of the phosphate buffer added, whereas the group with S9 had the S9 mixture added in place of the aforementioned 0.5 mL phosphate buffer. After mixing, the mixtures were precultured for 20 min at 37°C. The mixture was diluted to 10^2 – 10^3 CFU/mL, and 1 mL of diluate was mixed with a suitable volume of melted nutrient agar. The plates were grown in an inverted position at 37°C for 48 h before the colony counts were enumerated [13-15].

2.6.3 Mutagenicity of extracts from roasted fish skins

This test examines whether the experimental samples exhibit mutagenic effects towards bacteria in the presence or absence of metabolism by liver enzymes. If the data of the experimental group is more than twice that of the control group, the sample is mutagenic. First, 0.1 mL of DMSO experimental samples was added to 0.1 mL of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) before 0.1 mL of overnight bacterial culture was added. The group without S9 mixture had 0.5 mL of the phosphate buffer added, whereas the group with S9 had the S9 mixture added in place of the aforementioned phosphate buffer. After mixing, the mixtures were precultured for 20 min at 37°C before adding 2 mL of melted TOP agar containing 40% glucose and 0.5 mM histidine/biotin solution. The plates were

234

235

219 [14].. 220 221 2.6.4 Antimutagenicity of limonene and citrus essential oil on extracts from roasted fish 222 skins 223 This experiment examines whether limonene and lemon essential oil, including cold 224 pressed oil and that from steam distillation, have antimutagenic ability when extracts are 225 added from roasted fish skin in the presence or absence of liver enzyme metabolism. First, 226 0.1 mL of experimental Tween samples was added to 0.1 mL of extracts from roasted fish 227 skins; the extracts were dissolved in DMSO as 50 µg/plate and 200 µg/plate. The group without S9 mixture had 0.1 mL of overnight bacterial culture added before the addition 228 229 of 0.5 mL of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). For the group with S9, S9 mixture was added in 230 place of the aforementioned phosphate buffer. After mixing, the mixtures were precultured for 20 min at 37 °C before adding 2 mL of melted TOP agar containing 40% 231 glucose and 0.5 mM histidine/biotin solution. The plates were grown in an inverted 232 position at 37°C for 48 h before the colony counts were enumerated [13-15]... 233

grown in an inverted position at 37°C for 48 h before the colony counts were enumerated

2.6.5 Evaluation of toxicity and mutagenicity of fish skin extracts regarding S.

typhimurium TA 100

70 g of descaled tilapia skins 20 cm long × 8 cm wide was weighed and washed before being wiped dry. Afterwards, the skins were soaked in 15 mL of 10% limonene and lemon essential oil, including cold pressed oil and that extracted from steam distillation, until the oils were completely absorbed, about 10–15 min. The skins were then placed in an oven for 20 min of roasting at 210°C. Afterwards, the skins were crushed, and three volumes of dichloromethane were added for 1 h of extraction. The dichloromethane layer was collected and concentrated under reduced pressure. The concentrate obtained was used for toxicity and mutagenicity evaluation following the procedures in described in sections 2.6.2 and 2.6.3.

2.6.6 Evaluation of toxicity and mutagenicity of fish skin extracts regarding *S*. typhimurium TA 100

70 g of descaled Tilapia skins 20 cm long × 8 cm wide was weighed and washed before being wiped dry. Afterwards, the skins were soaked in 15 mL of 10% limonene and lemon essential oil, including cold pressed oil and that extracted from steam distillation, until the oils were completely absorbed, about 10–15 min. The skins were then placed in an oven for 20 min of roasting at 210°C. Afterwards, the skins were crushed

and, three volumes of dichloromethane were added for 1 h of extraction. The dichloromethane layer was collected and concentrated under reduced pressure. The concentrate obtained was used for toxicity and mutagenicity evaluation, following the procedures described in sections 2.6.2 and 2.6.3.

2.7 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with an LSD post hoc test for multiple comparisons. Data are reported as the mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM) with a p value less than 0.05 being considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Identification of components in citrus essential oils

In total, 26 aromatic compounds were identified from the citrus essential oil obtained by different extraction methods (Table 1). Terpenes had the highest levels, accounting for 20 of the 26 aromatic compounds; the remaining compounds consisted of 4 types of aldehydes and 2 types of esters. The limonene content in the four types of citrus essential oils was 70–90%, showing that limonene content in citrus essential oils is extremely high. In addition, the lemon essential oils all contained β - Pipene and γ - Terpinene regardless

of whether cold pressing or steam distillation was used. Studies have shown that these two compounds have antimicrobial and anti-cancer properties [16-20].

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

272

273

3.2. Toxicity, mutagenicity, and antimutagenicity tests

The method used to perform the mutagenicity test (Ames test) involved the preincubation method as described by Maron and Ames [13]. In the Ames test, toxicity testing is an extremely important step. The test sample must first undergo toxicity testing so that the sample concentration used in subsequent experiments will not have toxic effects on the experimental bacterial strains. This is because if toxicity occurs when the sample concentration is not high, error in colony counts can occur in subsequent experiments [14]. Therefore, it is necessary to determine the concentration with no toxicity effects when conducting the Ames test [15]. While determining whether the sample concentrations have toxicity effects, we can monitor whether the colony counts of the test sample group are lower than 80% of the control group. If so, concentration is toxic to the experimental bacterial strain and is not suitable for subsequent experiments. Table 2, shows that as the sample concentration increased, the bacterial counts exhibited a gradual decreasing trend regardless of whether liver enzymes were added. Toxicity occurs when the concentration of limonene is greater than 100 µg/plate and when the concentration of limonene, cold pressed oil, lemon essential oil, and the extract from roasted fish skins are greater than 200 µg/plate. Therefore, this value was used as the maximum concentration for the four samples in subsequent experiments. However, within this concentration range, the colony counts exhibited a significant increasing trend as the concentration of roasted fish skin extracts increased. This shows that animal tissues

undergoing high-temperature roasting contain large amounts of toxic substances that could cause DNA mutations, which is similar to the document released by the World Health Organization [5].

After identifying the concentration not causing toxicity, mutagenicity experiments were conducted to confirm that the test samples are safe and not mutagenic in order to avoid errors in colony counts and doubts about safety. Therefore, this test must be conducted if the sample is determined to be antimutagenic or edible so that antimutagenicity and safety can be accurately calculated in subsequent antimutagenicity experiments [21].

When determining whether the test sample is mutagenic, the colony counts of the test sample and control groups are compared. If the colony counts of the sample group are more than twice that of the control group, safety issues and mutagenicity are present for that concentration, which makes it unsuitable for subsequent experiments [22-23]. Table 2 shows that the colony count trends of the four test samples at various concentrations were comparable with the control group, regardless of whether liver enzymes were added. This shows that the concentrations of these samples are not mutagenic towards the bacterial strain used. The results showed that when 100 and 200 µg/plate concentrations of limonene and various citrus essential oils were used, respectively, no mutagenicity was present, and the safety of the samples was confirmed.

Antimutagenicity analysis was conducted according to the confirmed sample concentrations in the two aforementioned experiments. The aims of this experiment were to examine whether these four samples can decrease mutagenicity caused by interaction with mutagens and to calculate the antimutagenicity rate. Table 3 shows that as the concentrations of these four samples increased, the antimutagenicity rate against two

standard mutagens, 4-Nitroquinoline-N-oxide and benzo[a]pyrene, both increased regardless of whether liver enzymes were added. The antimutagenicity rate and ability of these samples at their highest concentrations were limonene: 49–52%; cold pressed lemon oil: 45%; steam distilled lemon essential oil: 342%; and extract from roasted fish skins: 286–551% Overall, the three citrus essential oils all have antimutagenic effects, which were strongest in limonene and lemon essential oil. Therefore, subsequent studies were conducted using limonene and lemon essential oil for toxicity, mutagenicity, and antimutagenicity evaluation on extracts from roasted fish skins.

3.4 Antimutagenicity of limonene and citrus essential oils on extracts from roasted fish

329 skins

Antimutagenicity tests using the three citrus essential oils were conducted using a high mutagenicity concentration. In this experiment, limonene, cold pressed lemon oil, and steam distilled extracted lemon essential oil were used as experimental samples. Table 3 shows the results. Under the maximum concentrations of these three samples, the antimutagenicity rate and ability of these samples towards extracts from roasted fish skin (200 µg/plate) were limonene: 18–23%; cold pressed lemon oil: 18–22%; and steam distilled extracted lemon essential oil: 8–16%. The antimutagenicity rate exhibited significant increases only under high doses of samples, which is likely attributed to the overly high concentration of the fish extracts. Therefore, a lower concentration of

mutagenic fish skin extract (50 μ g/plate) was used for subsequent identical experiments. The antimutagenicity rate and ability of these samples towards extracts from roasted fish skins (50 μ g/plate) were limonene: 24–35%; cold pressed lemon oil: 14–24%; and steam distilled extracted lemon essential oil: 15–20%. When compared with high concentrations of fish skin extracts (200 μ g/plate), the antimutagenicity rates of the three samples increased by 12%, 2%, and 4% for limonene, cold pressed lemon oil, and steam distilled extracted lemon essential oil, respectively (data not shown).

3.5 Toxicity and mutagenicity tests before roasting

Table 2 shows the toxicity of extracts from fish skins after pre-treatment with citrus essential oils and roasting. As the concentration the extract increased, the colony counts showed a decreasing trend. No toxicity occurred when a dose of 150 μ g/plate was used regardless of whether liver enzymes were added.

The most suitable concentration for toxicity testing, 150 µg/plate, was used for antimutagenic ability comparison for various groups. The results are shown in Table 4. Limonene pre-treatment before roasting showed only a slight reduction in mutagenicity when liver enzymes were added. However, similar results were not obtained after liver enzymes were added. We speculate that limonene pre-treatment followed by roasting may

not have effectively inhibited mutagenic substances activated by liver metabolism. In addition, neither cold pressed lemon oil nor steam distilled essential oil was able to reduce the mutagenicity in the roasted fish skins.

3.6 Toxicity and mutagenicity tests after roasting

Table 4 shows the toxicity of extracts from fish skins that were treated with citrus essential oils after roasting. As the concentration of the extract increased, the colony counts showed a decreasing trend. Regardless of whether liver enzymes were added, no toxicity occurred when a dose of 150 μ g/plate was used.

The most suitable concentration for toxicity testing, 150 µg/plate, was used for antimutagenic ability comparison for various groups. The results are shown in Table 4. Limonene pre-treatment before roasting showed only a slight reduction in mutagenicity regardless of whether liver enzymes were added. Because limonene and other citrus essential oils are volatile substances, high-temperature roasting may dramatically reduce their effective levels, thereby decreasing their antimutagenic abilities. However, treatment with limonene and other volatile substances after roasting does not require high-temperature roasting and thus limonene may be present in the roasted material. This greatly increases the antimutagenic effects of limonene. We hypothesize that the effective

inhibition of mutagenicity by roasting before limonene treatment, with or without addition of liver enzymes, may be attributed the loss of volatile substances during high-temperature roasting, although it doesn't reduce mutagenicity after various treatments, at the same results demonstrate addition of limonene and cold pressure oil will not cause mutagenic risk (Table 4), but lemon essential oils groups (with or without S9 mixture) versus untreated had significantly difference, maybe caused by the evaporation of effective substances during the roasted.

4. Conclusions

This study demonstrated that among the four types of citrus essential oils extracted from lemons using different methods, limonene had the highest content of 70% to more than 90% [24-28]. This shows that the limonene content in citrus essential oils is extremely high. When standard mutagens were used in antimutagenicity experiments, the antimutagenic abilities of substances in descending order were limonene > cold pressure oil > lemon >grapefruit [29-33]. When extracts from roasted fish skins were used as a mutagen at a concentration of 200 μ g/plate, the antimutagenicity rate and ability of the three extracts were limonene: 18–23%; cold pressed lemon oil: 18–22%; and steam distilled lemon essential oil: 8–16%. When a concentration of 50 μ g/plate of extracts from roasted fish skins was used, the antimutagenicity rate and ability of the three extracts were limonene: 24–35%; cold pressed lemon oil: 14–24%; and steam distilled lemon essential oil: 15–20%. In experiments simulating roasting of fish skins, limonene was found to decrease the mutagenicity caused by roasted substances when the three samples were used

- for pre-soaking before and after roasting.
- 398
- 399 Acknowledgments
- The research was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, R.O.C (MOST)
- 401 (106-2218-E-022-001) and MOST (107-2221-E-992 -033).
- 402
- 403 References
- 404 [1] Gonzalez-Molina, E, Dominguez-Perles, R, Moreno, DA and Garcia-Viguera, C.
- Natural bioactive compounds of Citrus limon for food and health. Journal of
- 406 Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis 2010: 51 (2) 327–345.
- 407 [2] Oussalah, M, Caillet, S, Salmieri, S, Saucier, L and Lacroix, M. Antimicrobial and
- antioxidant effects of milk protein-based film containing essential oils for the
- preservation of whole beef muscle. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry
- 410 2004: 52(18) 5598–5605.
- 411 [3] Wróblewska, A, Makuch, E and Piotr M. The studies on the limonene oxidation over
- the microporous TS-1 catalyst. Catalysis Today 2016: 268 121–129.
- 413 [4] Issa-Zacharia, A, Kamitani, Y, Tiisekwa, A, Morita, K, and Iwasaki, K. In vitro
- inactivation of Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus and Salmonella spp. Using

slightly acidic electrolyzed waster. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering 2010: 415 416 110 308-313. 417 [5] WHO, (1998). Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons. In: Guidelines for drinking-water quality, 2nd ed. Vol. 2. Health criteria and other supporting information. Geneva, 418 World Health Organization. pp. 123-152. 419 420 Onwukeme, VI, Obijiofor, OC, Asomugha, RN, and Okafor, FA. Impact of Cooking 421 Methods on the Levels of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Chicken 422 Meat. IOSR Journal of Environmental Science Toxicology and Food Technology 423 2015: 9(4) 21-27 Zelinkova, Z, and Wenzl T. The Occurrence of 16 EPA PAHs in Food – A Review. 424 425 Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds 2015: 35(2-4) 248–284. 426 [8] Sobral, MMC, Cunha, SC, Faria, MA, and Ferreira, IM. Domestic Cooking of 427 Muscle Foods: Impact on Composition of Nutrients and Contaminants. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 2018: 17(2) 309-333. 428 429 Pouzou, JG, Costard, S, and Zagmutt, FJ. Probabilistic estimates of heterocyclic amines and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons concentrations in meats and breads 430 431 applicable to exposure assessments. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 2018: 114 346-360 432

- 433 [10] Jiang, MH, Yang, L, Zhu L, Piao, JH, and Jiang JG. Comparative GC/MS analysis 434 of essential oils extracted by 3 methods from the bud of Citrus aurantium L. var. 435 amara Engl. Journal of Food Science, 2011: 76(9) C1219-25. [11] Liu, YY, Liu, ZI, Wang, C, Zha, QG, Lu, C, Song, ZQ, Ning, ZG Zhao, S, Lu, XM, 436 and Lu AP. Study on essential oils from four species of Zhishi with gas 437 chromatography-mass spectrometry. Chemistry Central Journal, 2014: 8 22. 438 439 [12] Ou, MC, Liu, YH, Sun YW, and Chan, CF. The Composition, Antioxidant and 440 Antibacterial Activities of Cold-Pressed and Distilled Essential Oils of Citrus paradisi and Citrus grandis (L.) Osbeck. Evidence-Based Complementary and 441 Alternative Medicine, 2015: Article ID 804091, 9 pages. 442
- [13] Maron, DM., Ames, BN. 1983. Revised methods for the Salmonella mutagenicity
 test. Mutation Research/Environmental Mutagenesis and Related Subjects, 113(3 4), 173-215. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1161 (83)90010-9.
- 2010. Mutation Research Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis, 2000, 455(1):29-60.

[14] Mortelmans K, Zeiger E. The Ames Salmonella/microsome mutagenicity assay.

[15] Ghazali, AR, Khairuddin, FM, Nagapan, TS, Basri, DF. 2017. The Mutagenicity and
 Antimutagenicity of Canarium odontophyllum (Dabai) Acetone Leaves Extracts.

- Journal of Agricultural Science. 9(13):62-72.
- 452 [16] Hsouna, AB, Halima, NB, Smaoui, S, and Hamdi, N. Citrus lemon essential oil:
- chemical composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities with its preservative
- effect against Listeria monocytogenes inoculated in minced beef meat. Lipids in
- 455 Health and Disease, 2017: 16 146.
- 456 [17] Bourgou, S, Rahali, FZ, Ourghemmi, I, and Tounsi, MS. Changes of Peel Essential
- Oil Composition of Four Tunisian Citrus during Fruit Maturation. The Scientific
- 458 World Journal, 2012: Article ID 528593, 10 pages
- 459 [18] Navarra, M, Mannucci, C, Delbò, M and Calapai, G. Citrus bergamia essential oil:
- 460 from basic research to clinical application. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 2015: 6 (36)
- 461 1-7.
- 462 [19] Vaio, CD, Graziani, G, Gaspari, A, Scaglione, G, Nocerino, S, Ritieni, A. 2010.
- Essential oils content and antioxidant properties of peel ethanol extract in 18 lemon
- 464 cultivars. Scientia Horticulturae, 126:50–55.
- 465 [20] Bacanlı, M, Başaran, AA, Başaran, N. 2015. The antioxidant and antigenotoxic.
- properties of citrus phenolics limonene and naringin. Food and Chemical Toxicology,
- 467 81: 160–170.
- 468 [21] Othman, SNAM, Hassan, MA, Nahar, L, Basar, N, Jamil, S, Sarker, SD. 2016.

- Essential Oils from the Malaysian Citrus (Rutaceae) Medicinal Plants. Medicines.
- 470 3(13) doi:10.3390/medicines3020013.
- 471 [22] Stogiannidis, E, Laane, R. 2015. Reviews of Environmental Contamination and
- 472 Toxicology. 234, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-10638-0_2.
- 473 [23] Dugo, P, Mondello, L, Dugo, Laura, Stancanelli, R, Dugo, G. 2000. LC-MS for the
- identification of oxygen heterocyclic compounds in citrus essential oils. Journal of.
- 475 Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 24:147-154.
- 476 [24] Högnadóttir A, Rouseff RL. 2003. Identification of aroma active compounds in.
- orange essence oil using gas chromatography-olfactometry and gas
- chromatography-mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A.998:201-211.
- 479 [25] Wang, Y, Yi, L, Liang, Y, Li, H, Yuan, D, Gao, H, Zeng, M. 2008. Comparative
- analysis of essential oil components in Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae Viride and
- Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae by GC-MS combined with chemometric resolution
- method. J Pharm Biomed Anal, 46: 66-74.
- 483 [26] Park, IK, Kim, JN, Lee, YS, Lee, SG, Ahn, YJ, Shin, SC. 2008. Toxicity of plant
- 484 essential oils and their components against Lycoriella ingenua (Diptera: Sciaridae).
- 485 J Econ Entomol. 101:139-44.
- 486 [27] Akakabe Y1, Sakamoto M, Ikeda Y, Tanaka M. 2008. Identification and

- 487 characterization of volatile components of the Japanese sour citrus fruit Citrus 488 nagato-vuzukichi Tanaka. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 72:1965-1968. [28] Yu, L, Li, X, Liu, S, Xu, G, Liang, Y. 2009. Comparative analysis of volatile 489 constituents in Citrus reticulata Blanco using GC-MS and alternative moving 490 window factor analysis. J Sep Sci. 32: 3457-65. doi: 10.1002/jssc.200900267. 491 [29] Venturini, N, Curk, F, Desjobert, JM, Karp, D, Costa, J, Paolini, J. 2010. 492 493 Chemotaxonomic investigations of peel and petitgrain essential oils from 17 citron 494 cultivars. Chem Biodivers. 2010. 7:736-51. doi: 10.1002/cbdv.200900028.
- [30] Araújo, CP Jr, da Camara, CA, Neves, IA, Ribeiro, Nde C, Gomes, CA, de Moraes,
 MM, Botelho, Pde S. 2010. Acaricidal activity against Tetranychus urticae and
 chemical composition of peel essential oils of three Citrus species cultivated in NE
 Brazil. Nat Prod Commun. 5: 471-6.
- [31] Phillips, CA, Gkatzionis, K, Laird, K, Score, J, Kant, A, Fielder, MD. 2012.
 Identification and quantification of the antimicrobial components of a citrus
 essential oil vapor. Nat Prod Commun. 7:103-107.
- [32] Ellouze, I, Abderrabba, M, Sabaou, N, Mathieu, F, Lebrihi, A, Bouajila, J. 2012.
 Season's variation impact on Citrus aurantium leaves essential oil: chemical
 composition and biological activities. J Food Sci. 77:173-180. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-

3841.2012.02846.x.
[33] Wang, J, Chen, HP, Liu, YP, Wei, Z, Liu, R, Fan, DQ. 2013. Deconvolution of
overlapped peaks in total ion chromatogram of essential oil from citri reticulatae
pericarpium viride by automated mass spectral deconvolution & identification
system. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi. 38:1564-1569.

Table 1. Volatile compound compositions of citrus essential oil extracted by different methods

Retation		Cold pressed oil (%)	Stea	Steam distilled oil (%)			
time (min)	Compound	Lemon	Lemon	Valencia	Grapefruit		
4.95	α- Thujene	-	0.50	-	-		
5.10	α- Pipene	2.96	2.04	1.06	0.87		
5.91	Sabinene	1.17	1.30	0.52	0.75		
6.01	β- Pipene	13.32	6.54	-	-		
6.22	Myrcene	1.51	2.30	3.23	2.94		
6.50	Octyl aldehyde	-	-	0.25	0.78		
6.74	δ- Carene	-	-	0.21	-		
6.87	α- Terpinene	-	0.23	-	-		
7.19	Limonene	72.68	74.66	92.96	92.39		
7.90	γ- Terpinene	5.34	7.48	-	-		
8.67	α- Terpinolene	-	0.41	0.52			
11.76	Decanal	-	-	0.32	0.44		
12.80	Citral	-	0.78	-	-		
13.57	Geranial	0.55	0.96	-	-		
14.92	Neryl acetate	-	0.39	-	-		
15.11	α- Copaene	-	-	-	0.32		
15.12	Geranyl acetate	-	0.21	-			
15.25	β- Cubebene	-	0.22	-	0.15		
15.56	β- Caryophyllene	-	0.40	-	0.39		
15.84	Cycloundecatriene	-	-	-	0.07		
16.04	Germacrene	-	-	-	0.25		
16.13	Valencene	-	-	0.33			
16.16	Calarene	-	-	-	0.08		
16.19	β- Bisabolene	0.22	0.57	-			
16.32	δ- Cadinene	-	-	-	0.29		

Table 2. Toxicity and mutagenicity of essential oil from citrus and the extract from grilled fish skin regarding S. typhimurium TA100 with and without S9 mixture (N=3/Group)

		Colony number				Number of His ⁺ revertant colonies			
Amount (μg/plate)	Limonene	Cold pressed oil	Lemon essential oils	Extract from roasted fish skin	Limonene	Cold pressed	Lemon essential oils	Grilled fish skin	
	Without S9 mixture								
Control	250 ± 12	246 ± 9	210 ± 16	237 ± 21	162 ± 31	206 ± 10	139 ± 16	152 ± 36	
100	200 ± 45	$201 \pm 20^{\#}$	188 ± 23	207 ± 14	155 ± 16	$153 \pm 28^{\#}$	133 ± 6	$588 \pm 38^{\#}$	
200	NT*#	197 ± 16#	173 ± 16	195 ± 27	NT*#	172 ± 16	131 ± 16	$990 \pm 70^{\#}$	
	With S9 mixture								
Control	321 ± 13	300 ± 22	248 ± 15	254 ± 10	211 ± 17	250 ± 13	189 ± 15	197 ± 23	
100	$262 \pm 18^{\#}$	$250\pm15^{\#}$	210 ± 14	243 ± 5	199 ± 14	238 ± 16	165 ± 21	$640\pm50^{\#}$	
200	NT*	239 ± 19#	201 ± 15	$206 \pm 10^{\#}$	NT*#	244 ± 27	168 ± 14	1231 ± 56#	

*NT: Not tested

p < 0.05 versus control

Table 3. Antimutagenic effects and toxicity of limonene and lemon essential oil mixed with the extract from grilled fish skin (200 μ g/plate) regarding *S. typhimurium* TA100 (N=3/Group)

	Number of His ⁺ revertant colonies Without S9 mixture				Colony number			
					Without S9 mixture			
Amount (µg/plate)			Lemon	Amount (µg/plate)			Cold	Lemon
	Limonene	Cold pressed oil	essential		Tween 20	Limonene	pressed	essential
			oils				oil	oils
Control	776 ± 30	899 ± 41	997 ± 83	Control	174 ± 33*	128 ± 14	154 ± 34	120 ± 23
100	$672 \pm 34^{\#}$	$784 \pm 30^{\#}$	949 ± 97	100	146 ± 24	106 ± 13	130 ± 15	101 ± 15
200	NT*#	$760 \pm 14^{\#}$	907 ± 36	150	142 ± 16	$101 \pm 11^{\#}$	122 ± 22	97 ± 16
With S9 mixture						With S9 mi	xture	
Control	944 ± 49	1013 ± 44	1296 ± 32	Control	150 ± 13	166 ± 20	222 ± 16	165 ± 27
100	831 ± 77#	931 ± 49	1317 ± 24	100	$205\pm19^{\#}$	140 ± 16	184 ± 22	134 ± 19
200	NT#	$888 \pm 66^{\#}$	1224 ± 24	150	193 ± 17#	135 ± 15	175 ± 19#	132 ± 13

*NT: Not tested

p < 0.05 versus control

Table 4. Mutagenicity of the same extract from grilled fish skin after immersion in limonene and lemon essential oil regarding $S.\ typhimurium\ TA100$ (N=3/Group)

	Number of His ⁺ revertant colonies Different samples				
Concentration (150 µg/plate)					
	Without S9 mixture	With S9 mixture			
Control	$185 \pm 34^{\dagger}$	$231 \pm 41^{\dagger}$			
Untreated	997 ± 30 [#]	985 ± 58#			
Tween 20	909 ± 56#	961 ± 40 [#]			
Limonene	949 ± 57#	$1040 \pm 41^{\#}$			
Cold pressed oil	1015 ± 77#	$1119 \pm 68^{\# \uparrow}$			
Lemon essential oils	$1083 \pm 121^{\# \uparrow}$	$1157 \pm 48^{\# \uparrow}$			

^{*}NT: Not tested

[#] p < 0.05 versus control

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ p < 0.05 versus untreated