

Article

# Exploring the Aortic Root Diameter and left Ventricle Size Among Lithuanian Athletes

Renata Žumbakytė-Šermukšnienė<sup>1,\*</sup>, Agnė Slapšinskaitė<sup>1,2</sup>, Miglė Baranauskaitė<sup>1</sup>, Julija Borkytė<sup>1</sup>, Rasa Sederevičiūtė<sup>4</sup> and Kristina Berškienė<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Sports Institute, Tilžės st. 18, LT – 47181, Kaunas, Lithuania;

<sup>2</sup> Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Health Research Institute, Tilžės st. 18, LT – 47181, Kaunas, Lithuania;

<sup>3</sup> Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Radiology Clinic, Eivenių st. 2, LT – 50009, Kaunas Lithuania

\* Correspondence: renukz@gmail.com; Tel.: +370-37-362249

**Abstract:** Aortic rupture is known as one of the potential causes of sudden cardiac death in athletes. Nevertheless, adaptation strategies for aortic root dilation in athletes vary. The purpose of this study was to investigate aortic root adaptation to physical workload and to determine if aortic root's and left ventricle sizes are contingent upon the physical workload. Echocardiography was applied to 151 subjects to measure the aortic root at aortic valve annulus (AA) and at sinus of Valsalva (VS). 122 were athletes (41 females and 81 males) and 29 were non-athletes (14 females and 15 males). Of the 41 female athletes, 32 were endurance athletes, and 9 strength athletes. From 81 male athletes, 56 were endurance athletes, and 25 were strength athletes. AA and VS mean values for the body surface area were presented as rAA and rVS. Left ventricle (LV) measures included LV end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD), interventricular septum thickness in diastole (IVSTd), LV posterior wall thickness in diastole (LVPWTd), LV mass (LVM), LV mass index, LV end-diastolic diameter index (LVEDDI). Results indicated that VS was higher in female athletes ( $28.9 \pm 2.36 \text{ mm}$ ) than in non-athletes ( $27.19 \pm 2.87 \text{ mm}$ ,  $p=0.03$ ). On the other hand, rAA was higher in strength athletes ( $12.19 \pm 1.48 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ) than in endurance athletes ( $11.12 \pm 0.99 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p=0.04$ ). Additionally, rVS and rAA were higher in female strength athletes ( $17.19 \pm 1.78 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $12.19 \pm 1.48 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ) than female basketball players ( $15.49 \pm 1.08 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p=0.03$ ,  $10.75 \pm 1.06 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p=0.02$ ). Statistically significant positive moderate correlations were found between VS and LVEDD, LVM, IVSTd, LVPWTd, rVS and LVEDDI parameters in all athletes. The diameter of Valsalva sinus was greater in female athletes compared to non-athletes. The rAA mean value for body surface area was greater in female athletes practising strength sports as compared to their counterparts who were practising endurance sports. The diameter of the aortic root at sinuses positively correlated with the LV size in all athletes. Trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03656861, September 3, 2018 (retrospectively registered).

**Keywords:** Performance, Sports, Aortic Valve, Sinus of Valsalva, Echocardiography, Sudden cardiac death.

## 1. Introduction

Recent developments in football have seen the sudden death of young football player due to aortic rupture hence reinforcing the controversy of football as a field with substantial risk for sudden cardiac arrest and death [1–5]. Moreover, there is an argument that aortic dilatation and the subsequent event of thoracic aortic aneurysm may be an occupational disease due to the nature of

some vocations (i.e., military and security personnel, blue collar workers, weightlifters, athletes etc.) [6]. Of particular importance, there is some evidence that elite athletic training is associated with small but significantly larger aortic root diameter (i.e., sinuses of Valsalva) [7]. Surprisingly, adaptation strategies for aortic root dilation in athletes still vary to a large extent [8].

To that end, the differential impact of distinct forms of exercise on arteries in strength athletes vs. endurance athletes have not been investigated [9]. Further advancement of this topic could be of particular interest for clinicians. For instance, a consensus or informed recommendation for clinicians facing cases of higher aortic indices, with higher body surface area and with or without remodelled LV were called upon [9].

Of interest to this study, it is known that endurance sports can lead to volume overload and increase in the diameter of the heart chambers. Strength sports can cause pressure overload and are particularly related to the thickening of the LV wall [10]. While “athlete’s heart” is a long investigated topic within sports medicine, Green et al. have provided some insights into the functioning of “athlete’s artery” [9]. In fact, more information into cardiac pathophysiological training-caused adaptation is needed. Increased understanding of this adaptation could indeed help reduce risk for sudden cardiac arrest and death and/or irreversible cardiac damage identification and this importance [11].

Zeppill et al. [12] were among the first ones to report a wider diameter of arteries and veins in endurance athletes compared to non-athletes. Similarly, others have also suggested significant differences between arteries in athletes and non-athletes [9]. Nevertheless, findings from work investigating aortic root vessels were controversial and inconsistent. Specifically, Pelliccia et al. [13] found significant differences between endurance athletes and the control group. However, while endurance athletes had higher diameter in aorta compared to non-athletes, none of these results were replicated in strength athletes.

In fact, LV remodelling work has indicated LV association with physical activity type. However, to date little is known if the changes of the aortic root are linked with LV size. Specifically, clinicians face an issue of whether to set higher aortic indices for athletes with higher body surface area and with or without remodelled LV (i.e., basketball players, strength athletes) in cases when relative values are normal. Thus, interpretation of increased rates of aortic indices with normal relative values is challenging especially among non-athletes, overweight and obese individuals. To further complicate things, it is also known that for physically inactive persons with metabolic syndrome, LV remodelling and blood pressure are co-occurring with hypertension.

In the present study, we evaluated the development of the aortic root diameter with blood pressure, LV size, and physical activity type. Consequently, the purpose of this study was to investigate aortic root adaptation to physical workload and to determine whether aortic root’s size and left ventricle remodelling is dependent on physical load. Drawing upon previous studies, we hypothesized that cardiovascular system’s adaptation to physical load will be presented through changes in aortic root’s size- dependent on LV remodelling. In other words, we expected that when athletes’ LV would not be remodelled no changes would occur in the aortic root’s size either.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### Sample

A preliminary data was collected from a total of 944 subjects in Kaunas Sports Medicine Centre during the recruiting period in 2014-2015. All the experimental procedures were approved by the Lithuanian Ethics Committee of the Lithuanian University of Health Sciences (No. BEC – MF – 481) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects have written informed consent. Final data analysis consisted of 151 Caucasian subjects who met the inclusion criteria. The subjects were divided into groups according to gender (i.e., female, male), physical activity (i.e., athletes, non-athletes) and physical activity type (i.e., strength and endurance sports). Of the 151 subjects, 122 were athletes (41 females and 81 males) and 29 were non-athletes (14 females and 15 males). Of the 41 female athletes, 32 were endurance athletes, and 9 strength athletes. From 81 male athletes, 56 were endurance athletes, and 25 were strength athletes. Of importance herein, we expected that a large body surface area of the basketball players may have an effect to the relative values of the aortic root diameter. Due to the aforementioned reasoning, the basketball players were separated from other endurance athletes. To that end, 12 female, 19 male basketball players took part in the study (see Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3).

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of study participant's athletes and non-athletes.

Subjects groups	Female athletes	Female non-athletes	Male athletes	Male non-athletes
Age, years	22.78±5.52 p=0.57*	23.07±6.13	21.97±5.41 p=0.28†	21.49±1.91
Height, cm	173.14±8.99 p=0.02*	168.14±4.90	184.41±9.04 p=0.62†	183.44±8.69
Weight, kg	67.42±11.55 p=0.30*	64.43±12.29	80.17±12.98 p=0.51†	79.00±15.77
Body surface area, m <sup>2</sup>	1.8±0.19 p=0.22*	1.73±0.18	2.02±0.19 p=0.50†	2.00±0.22
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	116.98±11.82 p=0.51*	113.93±14.70	124.09±12.79 p=0.24†	127.94±13.98
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	69.12±7.59 p=0.94*	68.57±12.16	73.92±8.78 p=0.59†	73.89±11.95
Resting heart rate, bpm	69.34±10.98 p=0.28*	74.14±13.02	68.18±9.75 p=0.57†	69.56±7.44

Notes: the symbol "\*" indicates p – value of comparison between female athletes and non-athlete's groups

The symbol "†" - indicates p – value of comparison between male athletes and non-athlete's groups

**Table 2.** Baseline characteristics of female endurance, strength and basketball players.

Subjects	Endurance	Strength	Basketball
----------	-----------	----------	------------

groups	athletes	athletes	players
Age, years	23.08±5.69 p=0.68*	21.72±4.34 p=0.42†	23.66±4.56
Height, cm	175.41±8.13 p=0.01*	165.22±7.55 p=0.002†	179.25±7.96
Weight, kg	49.25±11.62 p=0.03*	60.89±9.08 p=0.01†	74.67±10.75
Body surface area, m <sup>2</sup>	1.83±0.19 p=0.03*	1.67±0.15 p=0.003†	1.93±0.18
Years of professional training, years	11.63±6.38 p=0.68*	8.78±6.08 p=0.42†	12.75±5.58
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	116.94±9.89 p=0.94*	117.11±17.86 p=0.60†	120.00±11.28
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	69.38±7.59 p=0.72*	68.22±7.97 p=0.55†	70.83±9.00
Resting heart rate, bpm	69.16±10.43 p=0.82*	70.00±13.42 p=0.51†	67.92±11.67
Hours of training, hours/week	8.05±3.74 p=0.30*	7.83±3.34 p=0.22†	7.33±2.78

Notes: the symbol "\*" indicates p – value of comparison between endurance and strength athlete's groups

The symbol "†" - indicates p – value of comparison between basketball players and strength athlete's groups

**Table 3.** Baseline characteristics of male endurance, strength and basketball players.

Subjects groups	Endurance athletes	Strength athletes	Basketball players
Age, years	20.36±4.18 p<0.001*	25.38±6.17 p<0.001†	18.83±2.46
Height, cm	186.28±8.93 p=0.01*	180.44±8.07 p<0.001†	192.21±6.44
Weight, kg	78.74±11.62 p=0.40*	83.2±15.30 p=0.68†	83.05±11.65
Body surface area, m <sup>2</sup>	2.01±0.18 p=0.91*	2.04±0.22 p=0.11†	2.10±0.18
Years of professional training, years	10.59±5.62 p=0.88*	10.60±6.11 p=0.60†	9.63±3.76

Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	123.62±12.81 p=0.78*	125.08±12.95 p=0.30†	127.47±13.63
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	72.64±9.34 p=0.09*	76.64±6.87 p=0.05†	70.53±9.11
Resting heart rate, bpm	70.15±10.62 p=0.005*	64.00±5.83 p=0.005†	70.84±9.03
Hours of training, hours/week	8.93±4.03 p=0.68*	7.80±2.99 p=0.49†	7.21±2.18

Notes: the symbol “\*” indicates p – value of comparison between endurance and strength athlete’s groups  
The symbol “†” - indicates p – value of comparison between basketball players and strength athlete’s groups

The inclusion criteria were as follows:

- A total of 2D transthoracic echocardiography performed in Kaunas Sports Medicine Centre 2014-2015.
- Age range 16- 35 years, given the literature definition of “young” and “old” athletes as < 35 and > 35 years [1].
- Physical activity levels (athletes or non-athletes). Individuals who participated in sports for more than 4 years and 4.5 hours per week were included in the group of athletes. Individual who were active for less than 4 years and/or 4.5 hours per week were classified as non-athletes [14].
- Physical activity type (i.e., endurance and strength sports).
- No current or previous history cardiovascular diseases.
- No activity on the test day.
- Consent to participate in the study.

Individuals who did not meet one or more of the inclusion criteria were excluded from the study.

### Protocol

All subjects underwent two-dimensional (2D) transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) procedure. Prior to performing 2D TTE, subjects’ arterial blood pressure [15], heart rate, height, weight, and self-reported physical activity levels were measured .

The Ultrasound system CX50 (Philips Ultrasound, Philips Healthcare, Philips Medical Systems Nederland, USA) – with transducer S5-1 was used in this study. Two physicians performed 2D TTE and averages for all variables of interest were computed. The measurements of aortic root and the left ventricle were drawn upon the guidelines of the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging [16]. The maximal diameter of the sinuses of Valsalva was measured at end-diastole, in a strictly perpendicular plane to that of the long axis of the aorta using the edge to leading edge (L-L) convention. The aortic annulus was measured at midsystole from inner edge to inner edge (I-I). This was done in order to obtain the rounder shape and bigger diameter of aortic annulus. All measurements were taken in the perspective that depicts the maximum aortic diameter perpendicular to the long axis of the aorta.

Due to the significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the subjects' height and weight in the groups of athletes and non-athletes, we evaluated the relative indices with the area of the body surfaces. Relative body surface area was calculated by means of Mosteller's (1987) formula [17] (see Figure 1).

$$BSA (m^2) = (height(cm) \times weight(kg)/3600)^{1/2}$$

**Figure 1.** Formula for relative body surface calculation.

The following dimensions of the root of the aorta were evaluated as follows: sinuses of Valsalva (VS, *mm*) and its relative index with body surface area (rVS, *mm/m<sup>2</sup>*), aortic valve annulus (AA, *mm*) and its relative index with body surface area (rAA, *mm/m<sup>2</sup>*).

For left ventricle (LV) measurements the following were used: LV end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD, *mm*), interventricular septum thickness in diastole (IVSTd, *mm*), and LV posterior wall thickness in diastole (LVPWTd, *mm*). Subsequently we computed: LV mass (LVM, *g*), LV mass index (LVMI, *g/m<sup>2</sup>*), LV end-diastolic diameter index (LVEDDI, *mm/m<sup>2</sup>*). The formula for computing the mass of the LV was drawn upon the most recent recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Cardiovascular Association [14] (see Figure 2).

$$LVM = 0.8 \times (1.04((LVEDD + LVPWTd + IVSTd)^3)) + 0.6 \text{ gram}$$

*Abbreviations:*

*LVM – left ventricular mass*

*LVEDD – LV end – diastolic diameter*

*IVSTd – interventricular septum thickness*

*LVPWTd – LV posterior wall thickness*

**Figure 2.** Formula for the mass of the LV calculation.

To determine the potential association between aorta root size and the size of the LV and blood pressure, we computed correlational analyses between VS and LV size: LVEDD, LVM, IVSTd, LVPWTd. As well as systolic blood pressure and its correlation with rVS and LVEDDI, LVMI. The quantitative values are given as the arithmetic mean  $\pm$  standard deviation ( $x \pm SD$ ). Student *t test* was used to compare the independent samples. The correlation coefficient (*r*) of Spearman was used for assessing the direction and strength between variables. A  $|r| < 0.3$  was considered weak, while  $0.3 \leq |r| \leq 0.7$  was considered as moderate and  $|r| > 0.7$  was considered strong. We deemed a *p* value less than 0.05 to be significant.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Aortic root diameter

In our study, VS was higher in female athletes ( $28.9 \pm 2.36 \text{ mm}$ ) compared to non-athletes ( $27.19 \pm 2.87 \text{ mm}$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ). When comparing rVS, there was no significant difference in female athletes ( $16.19 \pm 1.59 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ) compared to non-athletes ( $15.84 \pm 1.98 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p = 0.44$ ). Additionally, AA tended to increase in female athletes compared to non-athletes: AA was  $20.30 \pm 2.04 \text{ mm}$  and  $19.41 \pm 1.79 \text{ mm}$ , in female athletes and non-athletes respectively ( $p = 0.15$ ).

There were no significant differences between the female endurance athletes ( $28.99 \pm 2.32 \text{ mm}$ ) and strength athletes  $28.56 \pm 2.64 \text{ mm}$  ( $p=0.48$ ) with regards to VS. Moreover, while comparing relative measurements with body surface area, rVS tended to increase in female strength athletes ( $17.19 \pm 1.78 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ) in comparison to female endurance athletes ( $15.90 \pm 1.44 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p=0.06$ ).

There were no significant differences of AA diameter between female endurance athletes and strength athletes. However, rAA was significantly higher in female strength athletes than female endurance athletes ( $12.19 \pm 1.48 \text{ mm/m}^2$  versus  $11.12 \pm 0.99 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p=0.04$ ).

There were significant differences between the relative values of the aortic root neither at the AA nor VS between basketball players and the strength athletes. However, statistically significant differences were observed ( $p=0.03$ ) in rVS between strength athletes ( $17.19 \pm 1.78 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ) and female basketball players ( $15.49 \pm 1.08 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ). rAA in female strength athletes ( $12.19 \pm 1.48 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ) was higher than in the female basketball players ( $10.75 \pm 1.06 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p=0.02$ ).

There were no significant differences with regards to the aortic root between male athletes and non-athletes. However, a tendency for VS to increase in male athletes (VS was  $32.38 \pm 2.96 \text{ mm}$ , rVS was  $16.11 \pm 1.67 \text{ mm/m}^2$  and VS –  $31.39 \pm 2.72 \text{ mm}$ ,  $p=0.14$ , rVS –  $15.80 \pm 1.58 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p=0.35$ , respectively) was observed. Similarly, no significant differences were obtained with regards to aortic root in male endurance athletes and strength athletes but there was a tendency of VS to increase in the strength athletes as opposed to endurance athletes (VS –  $32.08 \pm 3.01 \text{ mm}$ , rVS –  $16.00 \pm 1.63 \text{ mm/m}^2$  and VS –  $33.02 \pm 2.80 \text{ mm}$ ,  $p=0.20$ , rVS –  $16.34 \pm 1.75 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p=0.32$ , respectively). Finally, with regards to aortic root in male athletes, VS relative ratios tended to increase in strength athletes as opposed to basketball players ( $15.47 \pm 1.38 \text{ mm/m}^2$  and  $16.34 \pm 1.75 \text{ mm/m}^2$ ,  $p=0.11$ , respectively).

### 3.2 Aortic root diameter correlates with left ventricle size

A significant positive moderate correlation was found between VS and LVM, as well as rVS and LVEDDI in female and male athletes (see Table 4). Additionally, a significant moderate correlation ( $r=0.32$ ,  $p=0.04$ ) was found between VS and LVEDD in female athletes. Moreover, in female athletes, a statistically significant positive moderate correlation ( $r=0.54$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) was found between the VS and IVSTd. Similarly, a statistically significant positive moderate correlation ( $r=0.57$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) was found between VS and LVPWTd in female athletes. Statistically significant strong correlations between VS and LVM ( $r=0.76$ ,  $p=0.02$ ), rVS and LVEDDI ( $r=0.73$ ,  $p=0.03$ ) parameters were also found in female strength athletes. Finally, a statistically significant moderate correlation ( $r=0.69$ ,  $p=0.04$ ) was found between VS and LVPWTd.

**Table 4.** Subjects' aortic root correlation with LV size

	Female athletes						Male athletes					
	strenght			endurance			strenght			endurance		
CC, r	VS, mm	rVS, mm/ m2	VS, mm	rVS, mm/ m2	VS, mm	rVS, mm/ m2	VS, mm	rVS, mm/ m2	rVS, mm/m2	VS, mm	rVS, mm/ m2	
LVM, g	0.55 p<0.0 01		0.76 p=0.0 2		0.55 p<0.0 01		0.49 p<0.0 01			0.52 p<0.00 1		
LVEDDI , mm/m <sup>2</sup>		0.49 p<0.00 1		0.73 p=0.03		0.48 p=0.01		0.42 p<0. 001	0.43 p=0.03		0.43 p<0.0 01	

Notes: LV – left ventricle

LVM - left ventricular mass

LVEDDI - LV end-diastolic diameter index

VS - diameter of aorta at the sinuses of Valsalva

rVS – VS relative index with body surface area

CC - correlation coefficient

Significant and moderate correlations ( $r=0.32$ ,  $p<0.004$ ), ( $r=0.37$ ,  $p=0.001$ ) and ( $r=0.37$ ,  $p=0.001$ ) were found between VS and LVEDD, VS and IVSTd, VS and LVPWTd, respectively in male athletes. In male endurance athletes moderate correlations between VS and LVEDD ( $r=0.38$ ,  $p=0.004$ ) as well as between VS and IVSTd ( $r=0.39$ ,  $p=0.003$ ) were shown. Furthermore, the statistically significant moderate correlation in male endurance athletes were found between VS and LVPWTd ( $r=0.37$ ,  $p=0.01$ ).

VS and LVM, IVSTd, LVPWTd or LVEDD, rVS and LVEDDI showed no significant correlations in both male and female non-athletes. Thus, no significant correlation between VS and the systolic blood pressure was found in athletes and non-athletes.

#### 4. Discussion

Continuous and long lasting workloads exert an overload on cardiac muscles and result in an exogenous hypertrophic pattern with normal ventricular walls and increased ventricle (especially LV) volume [18,19]. The impact of training on cardiac structure and function depends on the type, intensity and duration of the activity, as well as previous physical activity engagement, genetics and gender type [20–22]. Aortic dissection and rupture are occasional causes of sudden death in athletes, and incidence of such events proliferate with increasing aortic diameter in non-athletes too [3,4,7]. Classical normograms help assess aortic root diameter in the general population [23,24], yet there are no normograms for evaluating professional athletes. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate aortic root adaptation to the workload and to determine if aortic root's size and LV remodelling depend on physical load.



Results from this study indicated similar aortic annulus and sinus sizes to Boraita et al. (2016). In the present data, we observed, sinus size of  $28.9 \pm 2.36 \text{ mm}$  and  $32.38 \pm 2.96 \text{ mm}$  for female and male athletes, respectively, while sinus values were  $27.19 \pm 2.87 \text{ mm}$ ,  $31.39 \pm 2.72 \text{ mm}$  for female and male non-athletes. In our study, significant differences were found among the female athletes and female non-athletes. Specifically, aortic root diameter at sinuses in female athletes were higher as compared to female non-athletes. The relative diameter of the aortic annulus was higher in female strength athletes compared to the endurance athletes. Similar results were observed previously in Italian athletes [26]. Furthermore, it was shown that strength sports athletes had wider aortic root diameter compared to endurance sports athletes. We also compared basketball players with strength sports athletes. We expected that a large body surface area of the basketball players may have an effect to the relative values of the aortic root diameter. We found that the non-relative aortic diameter values of the basketball players tended to increase in comparison to the strength athletes, but the relative size of the aortic root diameter with the body surface area (and the aortic sinus and aortic annulus) was statistically significantly higher only for the female strength athletes compared to the female basketball players. Importantly, our study demonstrated the need to evaluate the aorta diameter changes according to relative aorta values.

In summary, our study revealed a significant moderate direct correlation between the aortic root diameter at sinuses of Valsalva and the size of the LV in Caucasian athletes. There was also a strong correlation between the former variables in female strength athletes. Based on the present data, we can conclude that as the athletes' left ventricle mass and cavity increase, the diameter of the aortic root at sinuses increases. This said, these correlations were not found in non-athletes (without cardiovascular system impairment). It is important to note however that the aortic diameter enlargement at sinuses of Valsalva without LV remodelling is not associated with long-term physical load. Therefore, for female athletes, higher values of the aortic diameter at sinuses of Valsalva without the LV remodelling, were found. As such, one could recommend female athletes to take part in sports other than the strength ones or at least to reduce the amount of the maximal strength training.

There were several limitations to this study. First, we had a small size. Especially, low number of subjects were recruited in strength and endurance sport groups. Despite the small sample size through the groups were homogenous according to physical exercise type. Secondly, there were potential confounds such as unequal time spent training and different workload intensity among athletes. Athletes had different trainers who used diverse training methodologies, possibly affecting adaptation to physical load and this was not taken into account within the present study. Finally, athletes have recorded the amount of training at the moment when echocardiography hence the possibly of fluctuating training times in an athlete's career and this was not considered in the present study either.

To conclude however, in the course of this investigation, we formulated a proposal for a potential course of action when enlarged aorta is observed. When enlarged aorta is observed, we propose to link the increase in the diameter of the aorta with the increase in LV size for athletes. The fact that sport remodels LV is well-known, however the present study is pioneer in that its results suggest both redesigned aorta and remodelled LV in athletic populations. In cases when the LV is not remodelled, but the enlarged aorta is present we cannot talk about aortic remodelling due to sports.

## 5. Conclusions

It has been determined that the diameter of the aorta at sinuses is significantly higher in female athletes compared to female non-athletes. Aortic annulus' relative diameter is greater in female strength athletes as compared to female endurance athletes. Female strength athletes also showed higher relative values of the aortic root (both at annulus and at sinuses) compared to female basketball players.

The diameter of the aortic root at sinuses had a positive correlation with the size of the left ventricle in athletes. Specifically, as the athlete's LV mass and cavity increases, the diameter of the aortic root at sinuses also increases.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, R.Z.S.; Methodology, R.Z.S.; Validation, R.S. and K.B.; Formal Analysis, K.B.; Investigation, R.Z.S., M.B. and J.B.; Resources, R.Z.S., R.S., K.B. and J.B.; Data Curation, K.B. and M.B.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, M.B., R.Z.S. and A.S.; Writing – Review & Editing, A.S. and R.Z.S.; Visualization, M.B., R.Z.S. and A.S.; Supervision, R.Z.S. and A.S.; Project Administration, R.Z.S.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the participants for their valuable contributions and support. We also thank for the special editing assistance provided by Dr. Selen Razon.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Leischik, R. Endurance sport and cardiac injury. *Kardiologia Polska* **2014**, *72(7)*, 587–597, DOI: 10.5603/KP.a2014.0089. Available online: <https://ojs.kardiologiapolska.pl/kp/article/download/KP.a2014.0089/7804> (accessed on 14 07 2018).
2. Marijon, E.; Tafflet, M.; Celermajer, D.S.; et al. Sports-related sudden death in the general population. *Circulation* **2011**, *124(6)*, 672–681, DOI:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.008979. Available online: <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/full/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.008979> (accessed on 12 07 2018).
3. Corrado, D.; Basso, C.; Rizzoli, G.; Schiavon, M.; Thiene, G. Does Sports Activity Enhance the Risk of Sudden Death in Adolescents and Young Adults? *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol* **2003**, *42(11)*, 1959–1963, DOI:10.1016/j.jacc.2003.03.002. Available online: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/d24f/b2f3205f48f15293e9eed932f7ee692c2685.pdf> (accessed on 10 07 2018).
4. Maron, B.J.; Thompson, P.D.; Ackerman, M.J.; et al. Recommendations and considerations related to preparticipation screening for cardiovascular abnormalities in competitive athletes: 2007 Update: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association council on nutrition, physical activity, and metabol. *Circulation* **2007**, *115(12)*, 1643–1655, DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.181423. Available online: [https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.181423?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr\\_id=ori%3Arid%3Acrossref.org&rfr\\_dat=cr\\_pub%3Dpubmed](https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.181423?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori%3Arid%3Acrossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%3Dpubmed) (accessed on 10 07 2018).
5. Solberg, E.E.; Gjertsen, F.; Haugstad, E.; Kolsrud, L. Sudden death in sports among young adults in Norway. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* **2010**, *17(3)*, 337–341, DOI: 10.1097/HJR.0b013e328332f8f7. Available online: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1097/HJR.0b013e328332f8f7> (accessed on 10 06 2018).
6. Aparci, M.; Erdal, M.; Isilak, Z.; et al. Enlargement of the aorta: An occupational disease? *Exp Clin Cardiol* **2013**, *18(2)*, 93–97. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3718583/>

- (accessed on 10 06 2018).
7. Iskandar, A.; Thompson, P.D. A meta-analysis of aortic root size in elite athletes. *Circulation* **2013**, *127*(7), 791–798, DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000974. Available online: [https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000974?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr\\_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rfr\\_dat=cr\\_pub%3dpubmed](https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000974?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%3dpubmed) (accessed on 10 06 2018).
  8. Yim, E.S. Aortic root disease in athletes: Aortic root dilation, anomalous coronary artery, bicuspid aortic valve, and Marfan's syndrome. *Sports Med* **2013**, *43*(8), 721–732, DOI: 10.1007/s40279-013-0057-6. Available online: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs40279-013-0057-6> (accessed on 09 05 2018).
  9. Green, D.J.; Spence, A.; Rowley, N.; Thijssen, D.H.J.; Naylor, L.H. Vascular adaptation in athletes: is there an “athlete's artery”? *Exp Physiol* **2012**, *97*(3), 295–304, DOI: 10.1113/expphysiol.2011.058826. Available online: <https://physoc.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1113/expphysiol.2011.058826> (accessed on 09 05 2018).
  10. Beckers, P.J.; Denollet, J.; Possemiers, N.M.; Wuyts, F.L.; Vrints, C.J.; Conraads, V.M. Combined endurance-resistance training vs. endurance training in patients with chronic heart failure: A prospective randomized study. *Eur Heart J* **2008**, *29*(15), 1858–1866, DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehn222. Available online: <https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/eurheartj/ehn222> (accessed on 05 05 2018).
  11. Grazioli, G.; Sanz, M.; Montserrat, S.; Vidal, B.; Sitges, M. Echocardiography in the evaluation of athletes. *F1000Res* **2015**, *15*(4), 151, DOI:10.12688/f1000research.6595.1. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4516021/> (accessed on 05 05 2018).
  12. Zeppilli, P.; Vannicelli, R.; Santini, C.; et al. Echocardiographic size of conductance vessels in athletes and sedentary people. *Int J Sports Med* **1995**, *16*, 38–44, DOI: 10.1055/s-2007-972961.
  13. Pelliccia, A.; Di Paolo, F.M.; Quattrini, F.M. Aortic root dilatation in athletic population. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* **2012**, *54*(5), 432–437, DOI: 10.1016/j.pcad.2012.01.004. Available online: <https://www.clinicalkey.com/#!/content/playContent/1-s2.0-S0033062012000059?returnurl=https:%2F%2Flinkinghub.elsevier.com%2Fretrieve%2Fpii%2FS0033062012000059%3Fshowall%3Dtrue&referrer=https:%2F%2Fwww.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov%2F> (accessed on 04 05 2018).
  14. Patterson, E. IPAQ scoring protocol - International physical activity questionnaire. Ipaq **2010**. Available online: <https://sites.google.com/site/theipaq/scoring-protocol> (accessed on 05 05 2018).
  15. Hasdemir, H.; Yildiz, M.; Metin, G.; et al. Aortic properties and atrial electrophysiology in the young and old football players. *Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992)* **2011**, *57*(3), 280–285, DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2255-4823\(11\)70059-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2255-4823(11)70059-5).
  16. Lang, R.M.; Badano, L.P.; Mor-Avi, V.; et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: An update from the American society of echocardiography and the European association of cardiovascular imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* **2015**, *16*(3), 233–271, DOI: 10.1093/ehjci/jev014. Available online: <https://academic.oup.com/ehjci/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ehjci/jev014> (accessed on 04 05 2018).
  17. Mosteller, R.D. Simplified Calculation of Body Surface Area. *N Engl J Med* **1987**, *317*(17), 1098, DOI: 10.1056/NEJM198710223171717.
  18. Goodman, J.M.; Liu, P.P.; Green, H.J. Left ventricular adaptations following short-term endurance training. *J Appl Physiol* **2005**, *98*(2), 454–460, DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00258.2004. Available online:

- [https://www.physiology.org/doi/abs/10.1152/jappphysiol.00258.2004?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr\\_id=ori%3Arid%3Aacrossref.org&rfr\\_dat=cr\\_pub%3Dpubmed](https://www.physiology.org/doi/abs/10.1152/jappphysiol.00258.2004?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori%3Arid%3Aacrossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%3Dpubmed) (accessed on 22 06 2018).
19. Venckunas, T.; Raugaliene, R.; Jankauskiene, E. Structure and function of distance runners' heart. *Medicina (Kaunas, Lithuania)* **2005**, *41*(8), 685–692.
  20. DuManoir, G.R.; Haykowsky, M.J.; Syrotuik, D.G.; Taylor, D.A.; Bell, G.J. The effect of high-intensity rowing and combined strength and endurance training on left ventricular systolic function and morphology. *Int J Sports Med* **2007**, *28*(6), 488–494, DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-955897. Available online: <https://www.thieme-connect.com/DOI/DOI?10.1055/s-2006-955897> (accessed on 02 05 2018).
  21. Gates, P.E.; Tanaka, H.; Graves, J.; Seals, D.R. Left ventricular structure and diastolic function with human ageing. Relation to habitual exercise and arterial stiffness. *Eur Heart J* **2003**, *24*(24), 2213–2220, DOI: 10.1016/j.ehj.2003.09.026.
  22. Mahdiabadi, J.; Gaeini, A.A.; Kazemi, T.; Mahdiabadi, M.A. The effect of aerobic continuous and interval training on left ventricular structure and function in male non-athletes. *Biol Sport* **2013**, *30*(3), 207–211, DOI: 10.5604/20831862.1059302. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3944570/pdf/JBS-30-1059302.pdf> (accessed on 01 07 2018).
  23. Roman, M.J.; Devereux, R.B.; Kramer-Fox, R.; O'Loughlin, J. Two-dimensional echocardiographic aortic root dimensions in normal children and adults. *Am J Cardiol* **1989**, *64*(8), 507–512, DOI: 0002-9149(89)90430-X[pii]. Available online: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000291498990430X> (accessed on 08 05 2018).
  24. Zaidi, A.; Ghani, S.; Sharma, R.; et al. Physiological right ventricular adaptation in elite athletes of African and afro-caribbean origin. *Circulation* **2013**, *127*(17), 1783–1792, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000270. Available online: [https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000270?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr\\_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rfr\\_dat=cr\\_pub%3Dpubmed](https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000270?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%3Dpubmed) (accessed on 08 09 2018).
  25. Boraita, A.; Heras, M.E.; Morales, F.; et al. Reference Values of Aortic Root in Male and Female White Elite Athletes According to Sport. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* **2016**, *9*(10), DOI:10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.116.005292. Available online [https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.116.005292?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr\\_id=ori%3Arid%3Aacrossref.org&rfr\\_dat=cr\\_pub%3Dpubmed](https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.116.005292?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori%3Arid%3Aacrossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%3Dpubmed) (accessed on 02 07 2018).
  26. D'Andrea, A.; Cocchia, R.; Riegler, L.; et al. Aortic Root Dimensions in Elite Athletes. *Am J Cardiol* **2010**, *105*(11), 1629–1634, DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2010.01.028. Available online: [https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0002-9149\(10\)00115-3](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0002-9149(10)00115-3) (accessed on 05 08 2018).