

1 Article

2 Motivating Diabetic and Hypertensive Patients to 3 Engage in Regular Physical Activity: A Multi- 4 Component Intervention Derived from the Concept 5 of Photovoice

6 Angela Y. M. Leung ^{1,*}, Pui Hing Chau ², Isaac S.H. Leung ¹, Michael Tse ³, Perick Lai Choi Wong ⁴,
7 Wai Ming Tam ⁴, Doris Y. P. Leung ⁵

8 ¹ Centre for Gerontological Nursing (CGN), School of Nursing, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,
9 Hong Kong, China

10 ² School of Nursing, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

11 ³ Institute of Human Performance, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

12 ⁴ Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Welfare Council Western District Elderly Community Centre, Hong Kong,
13 China

14 ⁵ School of Nursing, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University of Hong Kong, China

15 * Correspondence: angela.y.m.leung@polyu.edu.hk; Tel.: +852-2766-5587

16

17 **Abstract:** *Aims:* A community-based multi-component intervention (increasing awareness of the
18 importance of physical activity in chronic illness management through reading comic books,
19 training regarding warm-up stretching exercises, identifying facilitators and barriers to exercise
20 through photosharing, supporting self-reflection and development of action plans) was developed
21 to promote physical activity (PA) among patients with diabetes and hypertension. This study aimed
22 to evaluate the efficacy of this intervention on health behaviour (walking) and health outcomes.
23 *Design:* A non-randomized controlled trial with waitlisted control and pre- and post-measures.
24 *Setting:* Community centres for the elderly. *Participants:* A total of 204 older adults with diabetes
25 and/or hypertension were recruited. They were assigned to either the intervention group (IG) or
26 waitlisted to the control group (CG). *Intervention:* Under the supervision of a nurse, six weekly group
27 meetings were arranged in community centres for the elderly in which the participants freely
28 exchanged their views regarding the barriers and facilitators of regular physical activity.
29 Participants were encouraged to take photos in their neighbourhood or at home and brought these
30 photos to share at the group meetings. The photos showed both the barriers and the facilitators to
31 PA. In the last meeting, each participant worked out a plan to perform PA in the coming four weeks.
32 *Measures:* PA referred to the number of steps taken per day and it was measured by a Garmin
33 Accelerometer at baseline, Week 6 and Week 10. Other measures included the nine-item Self-
34 Efficacy Scale for Exercise - Chinese version (SEE-C), the 23-item Chinese Barriers to Exercise Scale
35 and Senior Fitness Tests. Generalised Estimating Equations (GEE) models compared the outcomes
36 over time between IG and CG. *Results:* A statistically significant difference in the changes in the
37 average number of steps taken daily between the two groups at Week 10 (mean difference = 965.4;
38 95% confidence interval: 92.2, 1838.6, $p = 0.030$) was observed, although the difference at Week 6 was
39 non-significant (mean difference = 777.6; 95% confidence interval: -35.3, 1590.5, $p = 0.061$). IG
40 participants also showed significant improvements in lower body strength (mean difference = 0.967;
41 95% confidence interval: 0.029, 1.904, $p = 0.043$) and lower limb flexibility (mean difference = 2.068;
42 95% confidence interval: 0.404, 3.731, $p = 0.015$) at Week 10 compared to CG participants. *Conclusion:*
43 This multi-component intervention improved the participants' physical activity level and physical
44 fitness, particularly in lower limb flexibility and body strength.

45 **Keywords:** photovoice, chronic illness, physical activity, barriers, facilitator

46 1. Introduction

47 Photovoice, a health literacy tool, has received growing attention in health promotion and health
48 education since its development in the mid-1990s [1]. A method such as this encourages participants
49 to explore and consider how the environment affects ones' health behaviour and share their views.
50 Using photos or pictures, participants reflected on their own lifestyles, identified the pros and cons
51 of their existing behaviour, and eventually fostered a positive attitude towards healthy lifestyles and
52 made necessary changes to their behaviour [2-4]. Photovoice has been used in various studies in a
53 range of populations to resolve different health problems, for example, promoting the practice of safe
54 sex and preventing the spread of the sexually transmitted disease, Human Immunodeficiency viruses
55 (HIV) [3], promoting physical activities among rural youth and urban older adults [4,5], advocating
56 obesity prevention, healthy food supply and a safe environment for walking in the community [6],
57 acquiring and preparing food in consideration of financial and environmental limitations [7],
58 connecting new immigrants' health to social determinants [8], and more recently, empowering
59 vulnerable older adults with heart failures [9], understanding the dietary behaviours of older Filipino
60 people with cardiovascular disease [10] and enhancing patient involvement in palliative care
61 planning [11]. After reviewing 37 papers on the use of photovoice in different health promotion
62 settings, it was also concluded that photovoice contributed to a better understanding of individuals'
63 behaviour and explained the relationship between behaviour and sociocultural context [12]. With the
64 existing evidence, we acknowledge photovoice as a powerful tool to empower individuals by giving
65 them opportunities to talk about their individual problems (for example, having certain barriers to
66 physical activities) and find ways to solve these problems. Through this process, empowerment
67 occurred and this will support individual growth (for example, participants may consider making a
68 change in their behaviour). In the previous studies, photovoice was considered as a qualitative
69 method to collect participants' views on a health-related topic, and subsequently, their comments
70 helped generate policy recommendations. However, no study has ever investigated the actual effect
71 of this kind of photo-oriented group discussion on health behaviours and the possible consequences
72 on the individuals per se (changes in health outcomes after behavioural changes). Therefore, we
73 deliberately formulated a six-week community-based health promotion programme (or the
74 intervention) with photovoice as one of the components in the intervention. This intervention did not
75 solely use photos and group discussion, it also comprised of other components such as understanding
76 the importance of physical activity in chronic illness management through the reading of comic books
77 and developing action plans for the next four weeks in the neighbourhood. The innovative aspect of
78 this study is the incorporation of the concept of photovoice in an intervention as we believe that the
79 participants would achieve personal growth and make decisions regarding their behaviour after their
80 participation in the intervention.

81 For the elderly population with type II diabetes and/or hypertension, maintaining physical
82 activity at a recommended level (for example, 30 minutes of moderate exercise five times per week
83 or for 150 minutes per week) [13] is of high priority in disease management. We target this population
84 because physical activity at the recommended level plays an important role in glycemic control in
85 patients with type II diabetes [13] and helps maintain normal blood pressure for hypertensive
86 patients [14]. However, in reality, there are many older adults with hypertension and/or type II
87 diabetes who are often overweight and have other comorbidities who fail to achieve the
88 recommended physical activity level. The reasons for this include a lack of guidance and the physical
89 weakness of type II diabetic patients [15].

90 Using the concept of photovoice [12], the project team developed a health promotion programme
91 titled 'Make a Change through Photovoice (MCPv): engaging diabetic and hypertensive patients in
92 physical activity'. This programme aimed to motivate the older adults with diabetic and/or
93 hypertension to do physical activity regularly by identifying facilitators and barriers to physical
94 activity. 'Facilitators' refer to the factors that made the participants engage in physical activity while
95 'barriers' refer to the factors that deterred the participants from doing favourable types of physical
96 activity [7]. We hypothesised that this intervention would increase the participants' physical activity
97 level, physical fitness and self-efficacy to do exercise.

98 2. Materials and methods

99 2.1. Design and participants

100 This was a non-randomized controlled trial with a waitlist control and a pre- and post-design.
101 Participants were recruited from four community centres for the elderly in the Western District of
102 Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, and the recruitment notices were disseminated
103 via the centre newsletters, monthly meetings and promotional booths in the neighbourhood.
104 Recruitment of participants was divided into 25 batches from July 2014 to November 2015. For each
105 batch of recruitment, we recruited only 9–12 participants. Such an arrangement was made because
106 we had a limited number of digital cameras that could be loaned to the participants. Posters/leaflets
107 were delivered in community centres to encourage their members to join a health seminar. The
108 seminar introduced the programme and recruited participants after a short talk related to diabetes
109 and exercise. The inclusion criteria of the participants were: 1) aged 55 or above; 2) self-reported as
110 being diagnosed with type II diabetes mellitus and/or hypertension; 3) able to ambulate
111 independently; 4) able to communicate in Cantonese. The recruited participants were split into two
112 groups: the intervention group (IG) and control group (CG). In the first few batches of recruitment,
113 we split the recruited participants into two groups by random number generations. However, we
114 gradually found that many participants were hesitant to join the study if the start date of the
115 intervention clashed with their planned events. Therefore, we allowed participants to sign up to the
116 attendance list according to their start-date preference for the six-week intervention. The participants
117 were not aware which start date was for IG and which was for CG. They chose the date that did not
118 clash with the other activities they had already committed to. Waitlist control design was used so that
119 even the participants in the CG could also receive the intervention after completing all the
120 measurements in the study. Participants in the control group received the intervention after the post-
121 intervention assessments at Week 10, that is, from Week 11 onwards. This was a favourable strategy
122 for community-based projects because the participants in the CG did not feel like they were being
123 discriminated against or at a disadvantage. In this study, IG participants were further divided into
124 nine groups with sizes that ranged from nine to 14 participants. IG participants received the six-week
125 intervention during the study period, while CG participants received no intervention and no physical
126 activity information during the study period (Weeks 1–10) but were asked to wear accelerometers at
127 Weeks 0, 6 and 10.

128 Sample size determination was based on the primary outcome, the number of steps taken per
129 day by an older adult. Since no intervention in the previous studies has incorporated photovoice as
130 one of the components, we could only choose a similar intervention as the reference when we
131 calculated the sample size. We have chosen a cognitive-behavioural intervention which used group
132 discussion to motivate the participants to increase their physical activity level [16]. The target
133 population of this previous study was also similar to our study (patients with type II diabetes), and
134 the effect size of the intervention was small (Cohen's $d = 0.19$) [16]. Therefore, we assume the effect
135 size (Cohen's d) of the intervention in the current study was 0.19, and that a total sample size of 180
136 (i.e., 90 per group) was enough to detect the between-group difference at 5% significance level and a
137 power of 80% (G*Power 3.0).

138 2.2. Six-Week Intervention

139 The intervention consisted of six weekly group meetings which involved: 1) the introduction of
140 the concept of photovoice and the importance of doing regular physical activity in chronic illness
141 management; 2) warm-up stretching exercises; 3) capturing photos in the neighbourhood; 4) the
142 sharing of thoughts when the participants reviewed the photos and empowering the participants
143 through reflection (e.g., why they did not do regular physical activity, what made them do physical
144 activity); 5) identifying resources and facilities related to physical activity within the neighbourhood;
145 6) formulating action plans for physical activity commitment in the next four weeks. The group
146 meetings were arranged for three purposes: a) building up the participants' self-efficacy to do
147 exercise; b) enabling them to identify and review the facilitators and barriers to physical activities

148 through discussion in a group; c) setting individualised goals in the exercise plan. Each meeting
149 normally lasted for one hour and was arranged under the supervision of a nurse so that the
150 participants could freely exchange their views regarding regular physical activity based on the pre-
151 designed pictorial storybook and their own photos taken around their living environment. A health
152 and fitness officer was also involved in the 3rd meeting (Week 3) to rectify the myths of physical
153 exhaustion and guide the participants to do exercise which prevented unnecessary injury during
154 physical activity. A warm-up stretching exercise was introduced to the participants because many of
155 the older adults were not aware of the importance of warm-up exercises before engaging in physical
156 activity, and this lasted for around 20 minutes. The purpose of such an introduction was to avoid
157 injury and it was up to the participants to conduct this exercise in their own time. In the 4th and 5th
158 group meetings, the participants discussed the barriers to and facilitators of physical activity (as
159 shown in the photos) and all participants worked together to find possible solutions/strategies to
160 remove barriers for each individual. Group dynamics led to successful problem solving, and
161 participants were empowered to find ways to remove individualised barriers and make use of the
162 facilitators. In the 6th meeting, participants set up individualised action plans (including goals and
163 timetables) for physical activity in the next four weeks according to their preferences and health
164 status. Participants were encouraged to execute the plans during the next four weeks after the
165 meetings.

166 2.3. Outcomes and assessment

167 The primary outcome was the average number of steps taken daily. Participants were invited
168 to wear accelerometers at baseline (Week 0), right after the six-week intervention (Week 6), and four
169 weeks after the intervention (Week 10). In the previous accelerometer-based interventional study for
170 older adults with type II diabetes [16], participants were invited to wear accelerometers for five
171 consecutive days. We followed this arrangement; therefore, in the current study, each participant was
172 invited to wear an accelerometer for five days (24 h per day) in each specific period. A very recent
173 study also showed that a minimum of five consecutive days of accelerometer monitoring could
174 ensure reliability in estimating sedentary behaviour and measuring physical activity among the older
175 adults [17]. In this study, accelerometers were distributed to the older adults on Day 1 (this could be
176 in the middle of the day) and were returned on Day 7; we could obtain five complete days after
177 trimming off the day of distribution and day of return. As the trimmed off days were weekdays, the
178 composition of weekdays and weekends in these five days were the same for each participant. The
179 data was automatically stored in a highly secured server. The trained research assistant retrieved the
180 data by using a password. The average number of steps taken daily was calculated by dividing the
181 total number of steps taken in the five days by five.

182 There were three secondary outcomes:

183 1) Senior Fitness Tests [18] consisting of seven tests: i) a six-minute Walk Test measures the
184 distance walked within six minutes to reflect aerobic endurance. The higher the value, the better the
185 aerobic endurance; ii) a 30-second Chair Stand Test measures the number of stands that could be
186 made from a sit-down position in 30 seconds and this reflects lower body strength. The higher the
187 value, the better the lower body strength; iii) the eight-feet Up-and-Go Test measures the time
188 required (in seconds) to stand up and travel an eight-foot distance from an initial sitting position, and
189 this also reflects agility and dynamic balance. The lower the value, the better the agility and balance;
190 iv) the Arm Curl Test measures the number of repetitions that a dumbbell can be lifted in 30 seconds
191 (a 5-lb. weight for females and an 8-lb. weight for males), and this reflects upper body strength. The
192 higher the value, the better the upper body strength; v) a Back Scratch Test measures the distance (in
193 cm) reached by the two hands when they are extended to scratch the back, and this reflects upper
194 body flexibility. The higher the value, the better the upper body flexibility; vi) the Handgrip Test
195 measures the strength of the hand, and it reflects upper body strength. The higher the value, the
196 better the grip strength; vii) the Chair Sit-and-Reach Test measures the distance reached by the hands
197 to the toes when sitting on a chair, and this reflects lower body flexibility. The higher the value, the
198 better the lower limb flexibility.

199 2) Self-efficacy for doing physical activity was measured by the nine-item Self-efficacy Scale for
200 Exercise - Chinese version (SEE-C) [19]. Items of the scale were rated on an 11-point Likert scale from
201 0 (not confident) to 10 (very confident) and were summed to generate a total SEE-C score, with higher
202 scores indicating more confidence in performing the exercise. Good psychometric properties of SEE-
203 C were reported in a local study [20]. The internal consistency of SEE-C, measured by Cronbach's
204 alpha, was 0.75 [19].

205 3) Barriers to exercise were measured by the 23-item Chinese Barriers to Exercise Scale (CBES)
206 [21]. Items of the scale were rated on a five-point Likert scale from 1 (very disagree) to 5 (very agree)
207 and are summed to generate a total CBES score, with higher scores indicating more barriers to
208 performing exercise. Good psychometric properties of CBES were reported in a local study [21]. The
209 internal consistency of CBES in the current study, measured by Cronbach's alpha, was 0.69 [21].
210

211 These were measured by trained research assistants in the health assessments at Week 0 and
212 Week 10. Demographics which included sex, age, marital status, educational level, and employment
213 status, districts in which they lived in, living status, health literacy (measured by the Chinese Health
214 Literacy Scale for Chronic Care (CHLCC) [22]) and types of chronic illnesses were collected. The
215 internal consistency of CHLCC, measured by Cronbach's alpha, was 0.91 [22].

216 Blinding: In this study, outcome assessors were blind to the participant's group allocation.
217 However, the participants could not take part blindly because the participants knew whether they
218 had undergone the six-week intervention or not. To minimise bias, the participants in the CG were
219 not told that the second assessments were considered as post-intervention assessments.

220 2.4. Ethical statement

221 All participants gave their informed written consent for inclusion before they participated in the
222 study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was
223 approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority
224 Hong Kong West Cluster (HKU/HA HKW IRB) (reference number: UW14-447).

225 2.5. Data analysis

226 Descriptive statistics, including numbers, percentages, means and standard deviation (SD) for
227 normally distributed variables, and medians and inter-quartile range (IQR) for non-normally
228 distributed variables, were performed on demographics, the number of steps taken daily and self-
229 efficacy for and barriers to doing exercise. Chi-square tests for categorical variables, an independent
230 t-test for normally distributed continuous variables and a Mann-Whitney test for non-normally
231 distributed continuous variables were used to compare the similarity in baseline characteristics
232 between the two groups. The Kolomogrov Smirnov Test was used to check the normality assumption
233 of the variables. Generalised Estimating Equations (GEE) models were used to assess the intervention
234 effect over time on all the outcome variables, including the number of steps taken daily, physical
235 fitness variables, self-efficacy for and barriers to performing physical activities. In the GEE models,
236 Time, Group and the interaction term between Time and Group (Time X Group) are independent
237 variables. The coefficient of the interaction term Time X Group estimates the mean difference in the
238 change of the outcome variable over time between the two treatment groups. A significant result for
239 the Time X Group indicates a significant differential change in the outcome variable over time
240 between the two groups. Since the participants' age was found to be a confounding variable, age was
241 controlled in the GEE models for all the outcome variables.

242 3. Results

243 3.1. Participant recruitment and retention

244 A total of 252 participants were screened for eligibility. Among the 225 eligible participants, 204
245 consented to join the study, with 107 participants assigned to IG and 97 to CG. For accelerometer
246 assessment, the attrition rates in IG were 19% at both Week 6 and Week 10 while those for CG were

22% and 24%, respectively, with no statistically significant differences found between the two groups (Week 6: $p = 0.603$; Week 10: $p = 0.384$). For secondary outcomes which were followed-up only at Week 10, there was no statistically significant difference in the attrition rates for IG and CG (25% versus 31%, $p = 0.363$).

3.2. Descriptive statistics

Table 1 showed the demographics of the participants in this project. The mean age of the participants was 73.3 (SD = 7.5). The majority of the participants were females (75.0%), married (54.9%), had primary education or below (53.5%) and retired (83.3%). The mean score of health literacy was 38.7 (SD = 9.8). There was no statistically significant difference in demographics and the health literacy level between IG and CG.

Table 1. Participants' Demographics.

	Intervention (n=107)		Control (n=97)		Total(n=204)		<i>p</i>
	Count (%)	Mean \pm SD	Count (%)	Mean (%)	Count (%)	Mean (%)	
Age		73.6 \pm 7.5		73.1 \pm 7.5		73.3 \pm 7.5	0.720
Sex							0.453
Female	79 (73.8%)		74 (76.3%)		153 (75.0%)		
Male	28 (26.2%)		23 (23.7%)		51 (25.0%)		
Marital Status							0.732
Single	7 (6.5%)		8 (8.2%)		15 (7.4%)		
Married	59 (55.1%)		53 (54.6%)		112 (54.9%)		
Others	41 (38.4%)		36 (37.2%)		77 (37.7%)		
Education level							0.814
No formal education	24 (22.4%)		19 (19.6%)		43 (21.1%)		
Primary	34 (31.8%)		32 (33.0%)		66 (32.4%)		
Secondary	37 (34.6%)		33 (34.0%)		70 (34.3%)		
Tertiary	10 (9.3%)		13 (13.4%)		23 (11.3%)		
Missing	2 (1.9%)		0 (0%)		2 (1.0%)		
Employment Status							0.780
Retired	88 (82.2%)		82 (84.5%)		170 (83.3%)		
Others	19 (17.8%)		15 (15.5%)		34 (16.7%)		
Districts							0.444
Western	14 (13.1%)		12 (12.4%)		47 (20.9%)		
Others	93 (86.9%)		85 (87.6%)		178 (79.1%)		
Living status							0.844
Living Alone	33 (30.8%)		30 (30.9%)		63 (28.0%)		
Living with Spouses	25 (23.4%)		30 (30.9%)		55 (24.4%)		
Living with Children	21 (19.6%)		14 (14.4%)		35 (15.6%)		
Others	28 (26.2%)		23 (23.8%)		51 (25.0%)		
Health literacy		37.8 \pm 10.5		39.7 \pm 9.1		38.7 \pm 9.8	0.190
Chronic illness							
Hypertension	71 (73.2%)		68 (70.1%)		139 (61.8%)		0.781

Diabetes	31 (32.0%)	23 (23.7%)	54 (24%)	0.755
----------	------------	------------	----------	-------

259 3.3. Evaluation of the MCPv programme

260 3.3.1. Average Number of Steps Taken Daily

261 The average number of steps taken per day was measured at three time points (Week 0, Week 6,
262 and Week 10). A Kolomogrov Smirnov Test showed that the normality assumption of the variable
263 was not rejected ($p = 0.056$). As shown in Table 2, the average number of steps taken by the IG
264 participants increased at Week 6 after intervention and then decreased at Week 10 to a level similar
265 to that of Week 0 while that of the CG participants decreased gradually from Week 0 to Week 10.

266 A statistically significant difference in the changes in the average number of steps taken daily
267 between the two groups at Week 10 was observed (estimated group mean difference = 965.4, 95%
268 confidence interval, CI 92.2, 1838.6, $p = 0.030$), as shown in Table 2.

269 **Table 2.** Generalised Estimating Equations (GEE) results on the average number of steps taken daily
270 over time between the Intervention Group (IG) and Control Group (CG).

	Intervention (n = 107)	Control (n = 97)	GEE results	
	Mean \pm SD	Mean \pm SD	Estimated group mean difference (95% confidence interval)	p
Week 0	11506 \pm 4428	12522 \pm 4624		
Week 6	12211 \pm 4681	12316 \pm 5013	777.6 (-35.3, 1590.5)	0.061
Week 10	11686 \pm 4594	11643 \pm 4803	965.4 (92.2, 1838.6)	0.030

271 *Note.* Adjusted for Age.

272
273

274 3.3.3. Physical fitness

275 A Kolomogrov Smirnov Test showed that the normality assumption in all fitness test items was
276 rejected with $p < 0.05$, except in the six-minute Walk Test and Back Scratch Test. The results in Table
277 3 revealed that there were statistically significant differences in the changes in the 30-second Chair
278 Stand and Chair Sit-and-Reach Tests from Week 0 to Week 10 between IG and CG. For the 30-second
279 Chair Stand Test, both IG and CG groups showed improvement over time but the increment in IG
280 was significantly greater than the CG ($p = 0.043$). For the Chair Sit-and-Reach Test, IG participants
281 showed improvement from -2.89 to -1.28 while CG participants showed worsening from -1.22 to
282 -1.65 over time. Participants in both groups showed improvements in the six-minute Walk Test, Arm
283 Curl Test, and Back Scratch Test but reductions in the eight-foot Up-and-Go Test and Handgrip Test;
284 however, no statistically significant differences between the groups were observed.

285

286 **Table 3.** GEE results of fitness test between IG and CG.

	Intervention (n = 107)	Control (n = 97)	GEE result	
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	Estimated group mean difference (95% confidence interval)	p
6-min Walk Test				
Week 0	426.00 (147.00)	432.00 (102.00)		
Week 10	426.00 (124.50)	444.00 (111.00)	-4.010 (-17.77, 9.75)	0.568
30-s Chair Stand Test				
Week 0	12.00 (6.00)	12.00 (7.00)		

Week 10	13.00 (5.00)	13.00 (7.00)	0.967 (0.029, 1.904)	0.043
8-feet Up-and-Go Test				
Week 0	6.43 (2.57)	6.21 (2.62)		
Week 10	6.26 (2.56)	6.03 (2.46)	-0.179 (-0.607, 0.249)	0.413
Arm Curl Test				
Week 0	14.00 (5.00)	14.00 (5.75)		
Week 10	14.50 (5.00)	14.50 (5.00)	-0.344 (-1.261, 0.574)	0.463
Back Scratch Test				
Week 0	-11.10 (-15.86)	-8.28 (16.91)		
Week 10	-6.25 (19.38)	-5.50 (18.29)	0.985 (-1.023, 2.994)	0.336
Handgrip Test				
Week 0	19.13 (7.09)	19.15 (7.46)		
Week 10	18.74 (8.11)	18.16 (7.58)	0.500 (-1.284, 2.284)	0.583
Chair Sit-and-Reach Test				
Week 0	-1.50 (11)	0.88 (16.44)		
Week 10	0.00 (12.25)	0.88 (16.31)	2.068 (0.404, 3.731)	0.015

287 *Note.* Models were adjusted for age. IQR = inter-quartile range

288 3.3.4. Health-related variables

289 A Kolmogorov Smirnov Test showed that the normality assumption of the SEE-C and barriers
 290 to doing exercise were rejected with $p < 0.05$. There was no statistically significant difference in the
 291 mean score difference of SEE-C between IG and CG from Week 0 to Week 10, although IG participants
 292 showed a slow decline in their SEE-C level compared to the CG participants. For barriers to
 293 performing physical activities, there was also no statistically significant between-group difference,
 294 but the decrease in the barrier level in IG was greater than that in the CG.
 295

296 **Table 4.** GEE results of fitness test items between IG and CG.

	Intervention (n = 107)	Control (n = 97)	GEE results	
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	Estimated group mean difference (95% confidence interval)	<i>p</i>
Self-efficacy for doing physical activities				
Week 0	5.56 (3.00)	5.78 (3.00)		
Week 10	5.22 (2.89)	5.44 (2.78)	0.303 (-0.137, 0.743)	0.177
Barriers in doing physical activities				
Week 0	2.26 (1.11)	2.09 (0.87)		
Week 10	2.09 (0.87)	2.13 (0.95)	-0.049 (-0.285, 0.187)	0.683

297 *Note.* Models were adjusted for age. IQR = inter-quartile range

298 4. Discussion

299 This study provided evidence of the effects of this intervention on the physical activity level and
 300 physical fitness among patients with diabetes and/or hypertension. Photo taking, photo sharing in
 301 group discussion, identifying facilitators and barriers to exercise, self-reflection, and action plans
 302 formed a comprehensive strategy to encourage older adults with chronic illnesses to engage in
 303 physical activities. The IG participants had a higher average number of steps taken per day than the
 304 CG participants at Week 10, and the IG participants' lower body strength and flexibility showed
 305 greater improvement than the CG participants. These results implied that the multiple components
 306 of the six-week intervention not only motivated the participants to consider behavioural changes but
 307 also take action. The primary outcome (number of steps per day) was measured by accelerometers;

308 however, the use of accelerometers was evidenced to motivate older adults to increase their physical
309 activity levels [23]. In the current study, we isolated the effect of accelerometers by asking the
310 participants to use the accelerometers before and after the intervention, and these were used by both
311 the intervention group and the control group. The accelerometer effect was, therefore, balanced out
312 due to this design. Thus, the change in physical activity was not related to the accelerometer effect.
313 We also noticed that both IG and CG participants' average number of steps taken per day at baseline
314 was very high, this may partially explain why only minor changes were observed after intervention
315 at Week 10.

316 In the current study, photos serve as a means for initiating the group discussions. The photovoice
317 process has been integrated into the intervention, converging with other components of the
318 intervention. Incorporating photovoice as a kind of intervention was a new strategy. Woda et al. in
319 2018 [9] also advocated this new approach. They found that photovoice provided the opportunity for
320 elderly African Americans to share their beliefs and perspectives with regard to self-care, and this
321 easy-to-use intervention eventually empowered vulnerable participants [9]. Another study had also
322 tried this new approach and considered photovoice as an intervention, measuring the outcome (that
323 is, quality of life) after the participants went through the photovoice process [24]. The finding of the
324 current study provided additional evidence that photovoice can be extended from a research
325 methodology to an intervention, and that this was an appropriate intervention for older adults.

326 Self-reflection of current health status is a crucial strategy in diabetes management. As shown in
327 another intervention that motivated diabetic patients to conduct self-reflection upon getting readings
328 from continuous glucose monitoring devices, the patients committed to changing their behaviour;
329 especially, exercise behaviour and diet control [25]. The finding of the current study extended our
330 understanding of self-reflection. Self-reflection can be supported by a group of people; the group
331 mates discussed the barriers that only some individuals may face and then made suggestions on how
332 to remove these barriers. This kind of support would be helpful to those individuals who had never
333 thought of or worked out any solutions to their existing problems.

334 Another important strategy in the current intervention was action planning. Participants were
335 invited to develop a plan for exercise by reviewing their own context (including the environment, the
336 barriers that they could remove eventually, and the facilitators that they would use). Action planning
337 was considered as an essential component in diabetes self-management programmes [26,27]. A recent
338 systematic review reported that action planning was one of the most commonly used techniques in
339 physical activity interventions amongst older adults [28]. Unlike the study undertaken by O'Donnell
340 et al. in 2018 [29], which asked the participants to self-report whether they conducted the actions at 1
341 week and 3 months after planning the action (doing exercise), the current study conducted subjective
342 measurements (using accelerometers and senior fitness tests) to assess the actions taken at Week 10
343 (which was four weeks after planning the action).

344 Unexpectedly, there was no significant change in self-efficacy to exercise (SEE-C) or significant
345 reduction of the barriers to physical activities (CBES). Since SEE-C was only measured twice, in Week
346 0 and Week 10, we suspect that SEE-C may follow a similar trajectory as that of the number of steps
347 taken (i.e., increased from Week 0 to Week 6, but dropped back down during Week 10) and, therefore,
348 the MCPv programme might have a positive effect on SEE-C. However, the effect faded as time
349 passed—in such a way that our data collection might not have been able to detect the effect. This
350 finding mirrored the findings of the previous study in which self-efficacy to exercise could be
351 improved by intervention, but it had a short-term effect because older adults often overestimate their
352 self-efficacy to exercise, and when they actually participate in physical activity, their self-efficacy
353 decreased [30]. Further research is warranted to investigate when and by what self-efficacy decreases.

354 Other than the key findings of the study, we made some additional observations. To some
355 participants, digital cameras were another kind of new technology. The challenges were: how to
356 retrieve the photos, how to download the photos onto the computer and how to project the photos
357 onto the screen for sharing. The Project Coordinator played an important role in supporting these
358 activities. The Project Coordinator talked to each participant, discussed which photos should be taken

359 for sharing, and prepared all photos prior to the group meetings. This alleviated the participants'
360 stress to share their photos within the group.

361 Through the discussion with the photos, we further understand the views of the older adults
362 regarding the relationship between the environment and their engagement in physical activities. A
363 few photos taken by the participants indicated that road renovation or a nearby construction site was
364 one of the barriers to physical activity because they could not get access to the park to perform
365 physical activities due to roadblocks. Such comments echoed the finding of the previous study in
366 which walkability and physical exercise were associated but there was no interaction between the
367 two variables, that is, a high level of walkability in the neighbourhood was associated with a high
368 level of physical exercise [31]. In view of this, the government should develop strategies to minimise
369 the duration of roadblocks and develop alternate pathways during renovation periods so that the
370 environment can maintain its walkability and older adults can still engage in physical activity (mainly
371 walking) in the neighbourhood.

372 This study had several limitations. Firstly, there was a high potential for measurement error as
373 the measurements of senior fitness tests were taken by more than one person. Although we trained
374 all outcome assessors, the chance of obtaining errors in these measurements was still high. Caution
375 should be taken when interpreting these data. Secondly, the samples were recruited from the centres
376 for the elderly and, therefore, this sample may not represent all community-dwelling older adults.
377 Not all the older adults would join the membership of the centres for the elderly, and this may have
378 led to selection bias. Thirdly, the findings may have a certain level of bias because it could not be a
379 blind-participation study as the participants would know they had received the intervention or not
380 before the second assessment. Moreover, the current findings may be subject to selection bias because
381 we could not allocate the participants to the intervention or the control group randomly due to
382 practical considerations, although we did not find a statistically significant difference in baseline
383 characteristics between the two groups. Lastly, some data in the accelerometers could not be collected
384 because participants refused to wear the accelerometers due to skin irritation and feelings of
385 discomfort.

386 5. Conclusions

387 The MCPv programme successfully increased participants' physical activity level and physical
388 fitness, particularly their lower body strength and lower body flexibility. The protocol of this
389 intervention could further support the development of other multi-component interventions in other
390 health areas in the Chinese community. The study provided evidence to health professionals that
391 older adults were capable of expressing their views regarding the barriers to and facilitators of
392 physical activities, reflecting their behaviour and changing it as necessary. Knowing these
393 stakeholders' responses to the intervention, health professionals could develop relevant health
394 promotional activities for this population.

395 **Author Contributions:** AYM Leung contributed to the intervention design, study design, data
396 collection, data analysis, interpretation of the findings and finalizing the manuscript. PH Chau
397 contributed to study design, data analysis, interpretation of the findings and drafting the manuscript.
398 I Leung contributed to data collection, data analysis and drafting of the manuscript. M Tse
399 contributed to intervention design, data collection, interpretation of the findings and drafting the
400 manuscript. P Wong contributed to funding application and revision of the manuscript. WM Tam
401 contributed to data collection and revision of the manuscript. DYP Leung contributed to data
402 analysis, interpretation of the findings and finalizing the manuscript.

403 **Acknowledgements:** We wish to acknowledge the invaluable contribution of the participants and
404 volunteers (nursing students and older adults). Special thanks go to Mr. Tsui, Ms. Twiggy Wong, Ms.
405 Oscar Fung, Mr. Robin Shum, Mr. Kenneth Liang, Ms. Chi Wah Lau, Mr. Glen Joe, and Ms. Karen
406 Cheung for their kind support and assistance during health assessments, participant recruitment and
407 group gathering sessions. We would also like to thank Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Western District
408 Elderly Community Centre, Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui St. Luke's Settlement Neighbourhood

409 Elderly Centre, Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui St. Matthew's Neighbourhood Elderly Centre and
410 Neighbourhood Advice-Action Council for their kind support in coordinating their members to join
411 this health promotion project. Without all the kind support from all the organizations, volunteers and
412 participants, the implementation of this project would not be possible.

413 **Financial disclosure:** This project was funded by Health Care and Promotion Fund (project no.:
414 06130305), Health and Food Bureau of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR)
415 Government in 2014-2016.

416 **Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

417 References

- 418 1. Wang, C.C.; Redwood-Jones, Y.A. Photovoice ethics: Perspectives from flint photovoice. *Health*
419 *Educ Behav* **2001**, *28*, 560-572.
- 420 2. Strack, R.W.; Lovelace, K.A.; Jordan, T.D.; Holmes, A.P. Framing photovoice using a social-
421 ecological logic model as a guide. *Health Promot Pract* **2010**, *11*, 629-636.
- 422 3. Markus, S.F. Photovoice for healthy relationships: Community-based participatory hiv
423 prevention in a rural american indian community. *Am Indian Alsk Native Ment Health Res* **2012**,
424 *19*, 102-123.
- 425 4. Mahmood, A.; Chaudhury, H.; Michael, Y.L.; Campo, M.; Hay, K.; Sarte, A. A photovoice
426 documentation of the role of neighborhood physical and social environments in older adults'
427 physical activity in two metropolitan areas in north america. *Soc Sci Med* **2012**, *74*, 1180-1192.
- 428 5. Walia, S.; Leipert, B. Perceived facilitators and barriers to physical activity for rural youth: An
429 exploratory study using photovoice. *Rural Remote Health* **2012**, *12*, 1842.
- 430 6. Kramer, L.; Schwartz, P.; Cheadle, A.; Borton, J.E.; Wright, M.; Chase, C.; Lindley, C.
431 Promoting policy and environmental change using photovoice in the kaiser permanente
432 community health initiative. *Health Promot Pract* **2010**, *11*, 332-339.
- 433 7. Neill, C.; Leipert, B.D.; Garcia, A.C.; Kloseck, M. Using photovoice methodology to investigate
434 facilitators and barriers to food acquisition and preparation by rural older women. *J Nutr*
435 *Gerontol Geriatr* **2011**, *30*, 225-247.
- 436 8. Haque, N.; Eng, B. Tackling inequity through a photovoice project on the social determinants
437 of health: Translating photovoice evidence to community action. *Glob Health Promot* **2011**, *18*,
438 16-19.
- 439 9. Woda, A.; Haglund, K.; Belknap, R.; Cleek, E. Photovoice: A research method and intervention
440 to engage older adults. *J Gerontol Nurs* **2018**, *44*, 43-49.
- 441 10. Jih, J.; Chica, T.L.; Antonio, L.M.; Villero, O.O.; Rogue, M.N.; Domingo, J.R.; Landicho, J.F.;
442 Napoles, A.M.; Kaplan, C.P.; Nguyen, T.T. Application of photovoice with focus groups to
443 explore dietary behaviors of older filipino adults with cardiovascular disease. *J Health Dispar*
444 *Res Pract* **2018**, *11*, 133-149.
- 445 11. Bates, M.J.; Ardrey, J.; Mphwatiwa, T.; Squire, S.B.; Niessen, L.W. Enhanced patient research
446 participation: A photovoice study in blantyre malawi. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* **2018**, *8*, 171-174.
- 447 12. Catalani, C.; Minkler, M. Photovoice: A review of the literature in health and public health.
448 *Health Educ Behav* **2010**, *37*, 424-451.
- 449 13. Boule, N.G.; Haddad, E.; Kenny, G.P.; Wells, G.A.; Sigal, R.J. Effects of exercise on glycemic
450 control and body mass in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials.
451 *Jama* **2001**, *286*, 1218-1227.

- 452 14. Ghadieh, A.S.; Saab, B. Evidence for exercise training in the management of hypertension in
453 adults. *Can Fam Physician* **2015**, *61*, 233-239.
- 454 15. Hamasaki, H. Daily physical activity and type 2 diabetes: A review. *World J Diabetes* **2016**, *7*,
455 243-251.
- 456 16. De Greef, K.; Deforche, B.; Tudor-Locke, C.; De Bourdeaudhuij, I. A cognitive-behavioural
457 pedometer-based group intervention on physical activity and sedentary behaviour in
458 individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Health Educ Res* **2010**, *25*, 724-736.
- 459 17. Sasaki, J.E.; Junior, J.H.; Meneguci, J.; Tribess, S.; Marocolo Junior, M.; Stabelini Neto, A.;
460 Virtuoso Junior, J.S. Number of days required for reliably estimating physical activity and
461 sedentary behaviour from accelerometer data in older adults. *J Sports Sci* **2018**, *36*, 1572-1577.
- 462 18. Jones, C.J.; Rikli, R.E. *Senior fitness test manual, second edition*. Human Kinetics: 2013.
- 463 19. Lee, L.L.; Perng, S.J.; Ho, C.C.; Hsu, H.M.; Lau, S.C.; Arthur, A. A preliminary reliability and
464 validity study of the chinese version of the self-efficacy for exercise scale for older adults. *Int J*
465 *Nurs Stud* **2009**, *46*, 230-238.
- 466 20. Wong, E.M.L.; Leung, D.Y.P.; Sit, J.W.H.; Chan, A.W.K.; Chair, S.Y. Prospective validation of
467 the chinese version of the self-efficacy for exercise scale among middle-aged patients with
468 coronary heart disease. *Rehabil Nurs* **2018**.
- 469 21. Chou, K.L.; Macfarlane, D.J.; Chi, I.; Cheng, Y.H. Barriers to exercise scale for chinese older
470 adults. *Top Geriatr Rehabil* **2008**, *24*, 295-304.
- 471 22. Leung, A.Y.; Cheung, M.K.; Lou, V.W.; Chan, F.H.; Ho, C.K.; Do, T.L.; Chan, S.S.; Chi, I.
472 Development and validation of the chinese health literacy scale for chronic care. *J Health*
473 *Commun* **2013**, *18 Suppl 1*, 205-222.
- 474 23. Cooper, C.; Gross, A.; Brinkman, C.; Pope, R.; Allen, K.; Hastings, S.; Bogen, B.E.; Goode, A.P.
475 The impact of wearable motion sensing technology on physical activity in older adults. *Exp*
476 *Gerontol* **2018**, *112*, 9-19.
- 477 24. Shumba, T.W.; Moodley, I. Part 2: The feasibility of utilising photovoice method and the world
478 health organization quality of life instrument in evaluating the community-based
479 rehabilitation programme in namibia: A pilot study. *Afr J Disabil* **2018**, *7*, 419.
- 480 25. Fritschi, C.; Quinn, L.; Penckofer, S.; Surdyk, P.M. Continuous glucose monitoring: The
481 experience of women with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Educ* **2010**, *36*, 250-257.
- 482 26. Debussche, X.; Collin, F.; Fianu, A.; Balcou-Debussche, M.; Fouet-Rosiers, I.; Koleck, M.; Favier,
483 F. Structured self-management education maintained over two years in insufficiently
484 controlled type 2 diabetes patients: The ermes randomised trial in reunion island. *Cardiovasc*
485 *Diabetol* **2012**, *11*, 91.
- 486 27. Kroese, F.M.; Adriaanse, M.A.; De Ridder, D.T. Are self-management interventions suitable for
487 all? Comparing obese versus nonobese type 2 diabetes patients. *Health Educ Behav* **2013**, *40*,
488 552-558.
- 489 28. Senkowski, V.; Gannon, C.; Branscum, P. Behavior change techniques used in theory of
490 planned behavior physical activity interventions amongst older adults: A systematic review. *J*
491 *Aging Phys Act* **2019**, 1-27.
- 492 29. O'Donnell, M.; Carey, M.E.; Horne, R.; Alvarez-Iglesias, A.; Davies, M.J.; Byrne, M.; S, F.D.
493 Assessing the effectiveness of a goal-setting session as part of a structured group self-

- 494 management education programme for people with type 2 diabetes. *Patient Educ Couns* **2018**,
495 *101*, 2125-2133.
- 496 30. Olson, E.A.; McAuley, E. Impact of a brief intervention on self-regulation, self-efficacy and
497 physical activity in older adults with type 2 diabetes. *J Behav Med* **2015**, *38*, 886-898.
- 498 31. Jia, X.; Yu, Y.; Xia, W.; Masri, S.; Sami, M.; Hu, Z.; Yu, Z.; Wu, J. Cardiovascular diseases in
499 middle aged and older adults in china: The joint effects and mediation of different types of
500 physical exercise and neighborhood greenness and walkability. *Environ Res* **2018**, *167*, 175-183.