A Long Short-term Traffic Flow Prediction Method Optimized by Cluster Computing
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Abstract: Accurate and fast traffic flow forecasting is vital in intelligent transportation system because many of the advanced features in intelligent transportation systems are based on it. However, existing methods have poor performance regarding accuracy and computational efficiency in long-term traffic flow forecasting under big data. Hence, we propose an improved Long short-term memory (LSTM) Network and its cluster computing implementation in this paper to address the above challenge. We propose a singular point probability LSTM (SDLSTM) algorithm. The method discards the units of the network according to the singular point probability during the training process and amends the SDLSTM by Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model (ARIMA) to achieve the accurate prediction of 24-hour traffic flow data. Furthermore, the paper designs a scheme for implementing this method through cluster computing to shorten the calculation time and improve the system’s operating speed. Theoretical analysis and experimental results show that SDLSTM gains a higher accuracy rate and better stability in the long-term traffic flow forecasting compared with previous methods.
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1. Introduction

With the development of social economy and transportation, traffic problems appear more frequently. There is great potential for development of crowdsourcing for mobile networks and IoT [1,2] in transportation. The traditional mode of transport has encountered more and more challenges, attracted worldwide attention. In recent years, many countries have invested lots of manpower and resources to carry out the development of management and control technology in the road transportation system. With the development of crowdsourcing for mobile networks and IoT, ITS (Intelligent Transportation System) has been developed rapidly [3,4]. Accurate traffic flow forecasting is the prerequisite and the key step to realize ITS, it is conducive to improving the efficiency of transport operations and the quality of people’s travel. Traffic flow forecasting is also helpful to alleviate the road congestion, reduce carbon emissions, and conserve the energy and so on. Especially with the rapidly development of big data technology, some methods predict the traffic flow data and plan the vehicle travel path relying on the current and historical traffic flow data. These methods forecast the traffic flow reasonably and designed the best route for vehicles, realizing the traffic’s balanced distribution in the road network and improving road utilization. The longer the time of traffic flow prediction, the greater the value of its utility. However, the current researches are mainly to solve the short-term traffic flow forecasting problem. Accuracy of the long-term traffic flow forecasting is low. The paper made a research on this problem and proposed a new traffic flow prediction algorithm with higher accuracy and longer prediction time. It will be popular if combined with the crowdsourcing for Mobile Networks and IoT.
Traffic flow is an important measure of the state of the road network. It refers to the number of vehicles through a road section during a period of time [5]. The excellent traffic flow prediction algorithm can predict the traffic flow data for a certain period of time earlier and more accurately. Traffic flow data is affected by many factors, for example the noise and some non-linear interferences. So, its rule is difficult to grasp, especially in the long-term traffic flow forecast [6], which has been a difficult point. In recent years, many traffic flow prediction algorithms have been proposed [7,8]. They can be broadly divided into two categories according to their forecasting basis: one prediction model is based on mathematical statistics and traditional mathematical such as calculus [9]; the other is a prediction model based on modern science and technology methods [10].

The first class certainly includes many traffic flow prediction algorithms. One of the representative results is the time-series model [11] used in the traffic flow prediction field for the first time by Ahmed and Cook in 1979. It includes the auto regressive model (AR) [12], the moving average model (MA) [13], and the auto regressive moving average model (ARMA) [14]. The technology is matured and has high accuracy when the sample data is sufficient. It is usually used in relatively stable traffic perdition. The method required a lot of uninterrupted data and it is easily to be interfered by random factors. Stephanedes proposed History Average Model [15] applied to urban traffic control system in 1981. The algorithm is simple and fast but cannot cope with emergencies. Okutani and Stephanedes proposed Kalman Filtering Model [16] for the traffic flow’s prediction in 1984, its predictive factor selection is flexibility and has high precision and good robustness. However, this method requires a lot of matrix calculations and its forecast value is delayed for several time periods sometimes, which making it difficult to realize real-time online prediction. In addition, a series of traffic flow forecasting methods have been proposed in recent years, spatial-temporal characteristics-based analysis [17], random forest model [18] and similarity model [19], etc.

Crowdsourcing for mobile networks and IoT has widely used in the second class of traffic prediction methods. One of the representative traffic flow prediction algorithm of the second class is Davis and Nihan’s Nonparametric Regressive Model [20] applied to traffic flow prediction in 1991. Without prior knowledge, it can perform more accurate than parametric modeling only with sufficient historical data, but its complexity is also high. Dougherty proposed neural network [21] for traffic flow prediction in 1995, which is suitable for complex and non-linear conditions, and it can be effective to predict when the data is incomplete and inaccurate with good adaptability and fault-tolerance, but it requires a lot of learning data and the training process is complex; The classification regression tree method [22] for the traffic flow forecast proposed by Xu Yanyan et al. in 2013 has a better prediction effect and interpretability, but requires a lot of training data and certain skills for parameter adjustment. In addition, plenty of traffic flow forecasting methods based on the above methods, deep belief network model [23], support vector machine [24], wavelet neural network model [25], hybrid neural network model [26] have been proposed in recent years.

The traffic flow forecasting model proposed above has certain improvement in accuracy, but its prediction time of high precision is limited to 5min ~ 15 minutes while its prediction accuracy is not high during 30min ~ 60min, and its stability is poor. Aiming at this problem, this paper proposed an unequal interval combining model based on improved LSTM [27] and ARIMA [28], which can guarantee the higher accuracy rate based on increasing the prediction time and the length of the time period.

The innovation of this article is mainly reflected in the improvement of the dropout module: a method to determine an important parameter dropout module in the traffic flow prediction. Thus, avoiding the blindness of experience. At the same time, we also combine LSTM and ARIMA to solve the problem of low accuracy in forecasting at six o’clock in traffic flow forecasting. Collect the forecast data of each traffic node in the form of crowdsourcing. It will get very good results in mobile networks and IoT.

The first part of the paper mainly introduces the research background and significance. The second part mainly introduces the first innovation of this paper, the improvement of dropout and
the application in LSTM and traffic flow forecasting. The third part introduces ARIMA and LSTM combined to solve six o’clock accuracy is not high. The fourth part is experimental verification. The fifth part is the summary of the paper.

The traffic flow prediction algorithm described above has been reported and published orally at the 2017 National Conference of Theoretical Computer Science of China [29]. After discussion, we need to improve in the following directions. Because there are many nodes requiring traffic flow prediction, and the method is based on deep learning methods, the amount of calculation is large, so the time required for prediction is also large. The original method is not conducive to practical use and is not conducive to real-time monitoring and emergency response. Therefore, the original algorithm is improved and optimized to improve the calculation speed. The paper focuses on the rapid and accurate prediction methods for long short-term traffic flow, proposed a long short-term traffic flow prediction method optimized by cluster computing, and verifies the experimental results and running time.

2. The Proposed Singular Point Probability LSTM

2.1. Basics of the LSTM Neural Network

The LSTM neural network [30] is a special type of RNN (recurrent neural networks) [31]. RNN is an efficient and accurate depth neural network, which has outstanding effect in long-term dependence on data learning [32] and has been applied well in the field of machine translation [33], pattern recognition [34] and so on. However, it has a problem called “gradient disappearance” [35]. And LSTM was raised to solve the problem of RNN, which is characterized by the ability to learn long-term dependency information. LSTM was proposed by Hochreiter and Schmidhuber in 1997 [36]. In recent years, LSTM has derived many variants, of which the relatively popular variant with the added “peephole connection” is proposed by Gers and Schmidhuber in 2000 [37]. In addition, Yao proposed a variant using the Depth gate [38], it is different from LSTM that it also decides what to forget and what new information to add. Another novel modified variant is the Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) proposed by Cho, et al. in 2014 [39], which combined the forget gate and input gate to a single update gate. The specific structure of the LSTM model is shown in Figure 1:

![Figure 1. Structure of the LSTM neural network](image)

In Figure 1, Cell represents the memory of the neuron state and it sets the state of the state record; Input Gate and Output Gate are used to receive parameters, output parameters, modify the parameters; Forget Gate is a correction parameter that forgets the state of the upper neuron. In the model above, the three weight values in each storage unit come from input training, including the complete hidden
state in the previous time step. Three weights are brought into the input node, forget gate, and output gate respectively. The activation function (S-type function) is connected to a black node, and the internal state of the unit is the most central node. The weight across the time step is set to 1, while the self-feedback is made, and the constant error conveyor (CEC) is the connection edge of the internal state. In the model, if the input sequence is set to \((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_T)\) and the state of the hidden layer is set to \((h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_T)\), then at time \(t\), there are:

\[
\begin{align*}
    i_t & = \text{sigmoid}(W_{hi}h_{t-1} + W_{xi}X_t) \\
    f_t & = \text{sigmoid}(W_{hf}h_{t-1} + W_{xf}X_t) \\
    o_t & = \text{sigmoid}(W_{ho}h_{t-1} + W_{ox}X_t + W_{co}c_t) \\
    c_t & = f_t \odot c_{t-1} + i_t \odot \tanh(W_{hc}h_{t-1} + W_{xc}X_t) \\
    h_t & = o_t \odot \tanh(c_t)
\end{align*}
\]

In the formula above, it represents input gate, \(f_t\) represents forget gate, \(o_t\) represents output gate, \(c_t\) represents cell, \(W_h\) represents the weight of recursive link, \(W_x\) represents the weight from the output layer to the hidden layer, the activation functions are \text{sigmoid} and \text{tanh}.

As can be seen from the above figure and formula, LSTM neural network is a special RNN, it can learn from the information of a long-term to solve the problem of gradient disappearance by increasing the memory unit. Therefore, this paper applied it to the hourly traffic flow forecast of the middle and long-term period for the first time.

2.2. LSTM Neural Network Based on Self-adaptive Probabilities

The LSTM neural network has the function of preventing the gradient disappearance and long-term memory, but it also has the problem of over-fitting [40]. The so-called over-fitting phenomenon is that the trained model has a good performance on the training data set, but its performance on the test set is poor [41]. The causes of this phenomenon include excessive noise interference, high model complexity and so on. In this paper, the situation that the LSTM neural network is applied to the traffic flow prediction, making the noise interference an important incentive for the over-fitting phenomenon.

To solve the over-fitting problem, Hinton proposed a solution that uses Dropout in 2014 [42]. Dropout refers to discard the neural network unit from the network temporarily according to a certain probability during the training process of the depth learning network. That is, Dropout randomly selects a part of the neurons, then sets its output as 0, and remains its previous values at the same time, and restores the previous retention value in the next training process, and then randomly selects, and repeats this process. In this way, the network structure changes in each training process, so as to avoid the situation that a feature is effective only with the support of the specific characteristics of other features, thus reducing the probability of over-fitting in the training process.

Although Hinton, et al. proposed Dropout to reduce the probability of over-fitting, but they do not go into the calculation method seriously of the key parameter involved in Dropout - the probability of selective discarding neurons, while they use the empirical value of 0.5. The reason is that the network structure generated randomly is the most in this case. In recent years, the empirical value is also used in the related applications based on LSTM. In order to solve this problem, this paper made a study and proposed the method of calculating the probability value of selective discarding neurons in Dropout to improve the self-adaptive over-fitting of LSTM neural network.

In the improved scheme proposed in this paper, the probability value of selective discarding neurons is replaced by the traffic data time singularity ratio. The reason is that the over-fitting phenomenon has a certain relationship with the amount of the noise. Too much noise will lead to the situation that the training result performs well on the training noise while it performs badly on the real data, which will lead to the poor performance on the test set; and it is really easy to fall into the
local feature optimal solution when the noise is too small. Therefore, the proportion of singular points has an important impact on the training results. And the probability value of selectively discarding neurons in Dropout also expresses the proportion of the screening of data to a certain extent. Therefore, there is a large degree of critical link between the two. At the same time, it was found in this paper that if we use the time singularity ratio as the probability value of selectively discarding neurons in Dropout, we can guarantee that the singular points are not discarded totally and they exist to a certain degree. The reason is as follows:

$$\frac{N_d}{N} = \frac{N_q}{N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$N_j = N_d + N_u$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

It can be deduced formula (6), (7) that:

$$\frac{N_{qd}}{N_j} \leq \frac{N_d}{N_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

In the formula above, $N_d$ represents the number of discarded nodes, $N_j$ represents the number of nodes of each layer, $N_q$ represents the number of singular points, $N$ represents the number of all nodes in the single-layer network, $N_u$ represents the number of nodes that is not discarded in the single-layer network, $N_{qd}$ represents the number of nodes in the single-layer network that are both discarded and belong to noise. It can be seen that the improved method proposed in this paper can make the probability of selecting the node needed to delete randomly in Dropout more reasonable, and its effect to prevent over-fitting problem is more prominent.

We call the improved neural network adaptive to prevent over-fitting LSTM neural network: Singular Point Probability LSTM (SDLSTM). The SDLSTM are shown as follows:

In Figure 2 and (9), (10), $z_i^{(l+1)}$ represents the value of the $i$-th neuron of the $l$ + 1-th layer, $w_i^{(l+1)}$ represents the weight of the $i$-th connection of the $l$ + 1-th layer, $b_i^{(l+1)}$ represents the bias of the $i$-th neuron of the $l$ + 1-th layer, $y_i^{(l+1)}$ represents the output of the $i$-th neuron of the $l$ + 1-th layer, $f$ represents the activation function, $p$ represents the expectation of probability, $r_j^{(l)}$ reflects the case whether the $j$-th neuron of the $l$-th layer is discarded or not, $\tilde{y}_i^{(l)}$ represents the output of the $i$-th neuron of the $l$-th layer after Dropout.
To obtain the value of the time singularity ratio of the traffic flow proposed in this paper, it is necessary to obtain the number of singular points and the number of all the sample points, where the latter is known. Therefore, we only need to calculate the number of singular points. And for the detection methods of singular point, domestic and foreign scholars have been studied [43], but in this paper, we need to carry out the detection of singular point in traffic flow. In view of the high temporality of traffic flow, a method of self-adaptive singular point detection using time series is proposed. The flow chart of the algorithm is shown in Figure 3. In the figure above, \( N_q \) represents the number of singular points, \( t \) is the sequence of time periods in the traffic flow data, \( C_t \) represents the difference between the traffic flow predicted during the time period and the actual traffic flow, \( P_t \) represents the traffic flow predicted during the time period, \( R_t \) represents the actual traffic flow during the time period, \( N_t \) represents the number of time periods in the data set, \( N \) represents the number of all data in the data set, and \( B \) is the required singularity ratio.

As shown in Figure 3. The method of determining the time singularity ratio of the traffic data flow is mainly composed of two parts. One part is the establishment of the ARIMA model, and the steps are the same as that of the general ARIMA model, which include the smoothness detection, differential transformation, feature analysis, parameter estimation, etc. [44].

The other part is the singular point detection part of the self-adaptive traffic flow. In this part, based on the ARIMA prediction model obtained in the previous section and the previous data, the data of the next time period are predicted successively to obtain \( P_t \), and then we calculated the difference \( C_t \) of \( P_t \) and the actual traffic flow \( R_t \) of the time period. When the difference is obtained, it is compared with the threshold to determine whether the data belongs to the singular point. For the selection of thresholds, this paper considered that the order of magnitude of traffic flow is different at different time intervals, so it is unreasonable to set constant threshold, which will lead to big error of the result of singular point detection. Thus, this paper used 10% of the average of the traffic flow over a period of time in the data set as the threshold of the singular point detection in the time period, so that the threshold is changing over the time period, the traffic flow data is self-adaptive in different time periods and the accuracy of singular point detection is much higher. After the threshold comparison is made, the number of singular points can be counted and then divided by the number \( N \) of all data points in the data set to obtain the determined singularity ratio.
3. Improved Forecasting Models Using SDLSTM and ARIMA

This work found that the MAPE value of SDLSTM prediction method is high in 6 o’clock. By analyzing experimental data, the main reason led to high MAPE in 6 o’clock is that the traffic flow changed severely during this period. The complicated features and high real time meant that LSTM cannot learn the whole features of this time slot. Thus, the prediction using deep learning method is not suit for this time slot. Meanwhile, ARIMA algorithm does not demand too much on data volume, and it has high real time and low algorithm complexity [45]. In result, this paper aimed at solving the non-ideal result of 6 o’clock predication by bringing in traffic flow prediction method based on ARIMA. ARIMA doesn’t have the training process of data learning, so it is much suit for shorter period prediction. And the result is not ideal in the medium and long-term prediction. Aiming at this problem, this paper solved it by combining LSTM and ARIMA with non-equal interval, that is the non-equal interval traffic flow prediction method based on SDLSTM neural network and ARIMA (a.k.a. SDLSTM-ARIMA).

Non-equal interval, that is, in the prediction period of LSTM, regarding 1 hour as unit time; in prediction period of ARIMA, regarding 15 min as unit time. Under this circumstance, the traffic flow prediction in different periods during one day forms the condition of the combination of non-equal intervals, as shown in Figure 4.

In the figure 4, the prediction in 6 o’clock used 15 min as a circle (red dot), the prediction of other time slots regarded 1 hour as a circle (black dot). Then, by the mode of non-equal interval combination, we combined the advantages of LSTM and ARIMA models together to improve the real time and accuracy of the traffic flow prediction.

The above theoretical analysis proves that SDLSTM-ARIMA can reach higher accuracy in the traffic flow prediction. At last, this paper proved it through experiment.
4. Cluster Computing Model

4.1. Cluster computing model of SDLSTM-ARIMA

As illustrated in Figure 5, this work realized the algorithm by cluster computing. The servers with a yellow background combined a cluster in cycle time. There are “SDLSTM server”, “ARIMA server”, “Training server” and “Select server”. In the cluster, the “SDLSTM server” runs the computing programs of SDLSTM training from “Training server” in the Tn-1 period. The “SDLSTM server” output the prediction result of the next time by using SDLSTM method. The “ARIMA server” runs the computing programs of ARIMA. This server will get the prediction result of the next time by using ARIMA and the parameter of Dropout: time singularity ratio. The “Training server” runs the programs of training SDLSTM models. This server gets the prediction traffic flow in Tn by using SDLSTM method from the “SDLSTM server”, the real traffic flow in Tn from Database and the parameter of Dropout: time singularity ratio from the “ARIMA server”. And then, the “Training server” runs the programs of training SDLSTM neural networks. It will output the training results to the “SDLSTM server” to predict the traffic flow of next time and save to local to prepare the next time training. The “Select server” gets the prediction results by using ARIMA and the prediction results by using SDLSTM, the server will select which result to output. Data are input in parallel, and three servers run at the same time to achieve cluster computing effect and save computing time.

4.2. Data flow of the Cluster computing model

Table 1 is the dataflow of the cluster computing model. It can be broadly divided into three phases: traffic flow data receiving phase, data input phase, and result output phase. The traffic flow data receiving part means that the traffic flow data acquired by the sensing device is transmitted to the database. These sensor devices may include cameras, geomagnetic coils, and the like. The system...
### Table 1. Configuration of each computer in cluster computing experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data flow</th>
<th>Processing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic flow data receiving phase: Collection of traffic data. For example, geomagnetic coil method, video recognition method, etc. Among them, video-based traffic detection is more common, such as virtual detection line algorithm, optical flow method, and the like. At present, the technology for detecting traffic volume through video is very mature. After obtaining traffic data, it is transmitted to the cloud database through wireless transmission. The database stores the flow of traffic per unit of time in chronological order to facilitate the use of the predictive module.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data flow</th>
<th>Processing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic flow data input phase: In this phase, the data is entered into the cluster computing core server. Traffic flow data simultaneously input to &quot;SDLSTM Server&quot;, &quot;ARIMA Server&quot; and &quot;Training Server&quot;, three servers are executed in parallel correlation algorithm. &quot;SDLSTM Server&quot; runs the SDLSTM traffic flow prediction model trained over a period of time. &quot;ARIMA Server&quot; runs ARIMA models for traffic flow prediction and XX calculation respectively. &quot;Training Server&quot; continues to train SDLSTM traffic flow prediction neural networks based on the original data and models after getting the latest traffic flow data.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data flow</th>
<th>Processing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Result output phase: The predicted data is judged and selected by “Select Server” and the data is output to the terminal device. At the same time, the data obtained from the SDLSTM model will also be input into the “Training Server” for error acquisition and model modification. On the other hand, “Training Server” will get XX from &quot;ARIMA Server&quot;, and &quot;Training server&quot; will train the neural network model at the same time. After training, the model will be output to &quot;SDLSTM Server&quot; to be ready for the traffic flow prediction of next time. So far, the flow of data for one unit period is over.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
executes related algorithms through the monitoring end computing device or the cloud computing device, and statistically obtains the traffic flow and saves it in the database. The data input part is to input traffic flow data obtained in parallel to “SDLSTM Server”, “ARIMA Server”, “Training Server”. The output part consists of two branches. One is to forward the prediction data of “SDLSTM Server” and “ARIMA Server” to “Select Server” and output the final prediction result. The other is to input the training result of “Training Server” to “SDLSTM Server” to prepare for the prediction of the next period of time.

5. Performance Evaluation

5.1. Experimental Setup

The experimental data in this paper comes from the traffic flow data set published publicly in the official website of British Columbia of Canada [46], and the experiment is based on the data from Vancouver Richmond region. The road condition at the monitoring point are shown in Figure 6. During the process of the experiment, a total of 43824 hours of data (from January 1, 1999 to December 31, 2003) of the monitoring point are used, with data of May 21, 2003 and after as test data and data before that date as training data. The total test data has 5400 hours and the total training data has 38424 hours.

Firstly, this paper took a week as a cycle and number of weeks as label, and extracted traffic flow in the data by day. As shown from Figure 7(a). To Figure 7(g). The abscissa is time and the ordinate is traffic flow. It can be seen from the figures that the number of different days in a week has a relatively fixed trend, which further proves the feasibility of using the model obtained by LSTM training. Thus, this model can be used to model the different days of a week based on the trend. Through a large number of data training, we added the characteristics of a week to the model, so that the training model can adapt to the changing trend each week during the four years, and the law of the four years also has enough universality. The forecasting model obtained by four years’ traffic flow data training is more consistent with long historical trends, and a lower error rate is guaranteed in this dimension. In the training process, we increased the training input vector to 24 hours, and regarded the output of the forecast data as the traffic flow for the next hour. By using the method of deep learning, the characteristics of traffic flow information are excavated, and 24 hours’ traffic flow is entered in real time. This paper took the factors of real-time traffic flow and historical traffic flow into account, ensured real-time and improved accuracy at the same time.

The experiment of this paper is implemented in four computers. Table 2 shows the configuration of each computer.
Figure 7. Traffic flow data of a week
Table 2. Configuration of each computer in cluster computing experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Servers</th>
<th>Computer configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA Server</td>
<td>2-core, per 2.5GHz, 8G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLSTM Server</td>
<td>2 Core, per 2.5GHz, 8G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Server</td>
<td>4 Core, per 2.5GHz, 32G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select Server</td>
<td>2 Core, per 2.5GHz, 8G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Results of the Proposed SDLSTM-ARIMA Method

As illustrated in Figure 8, the SDLSTM server runs the SDLSTM natural networks training from Training server to predict traffic flow of next time. One of the error changes in the training process is shown in Figure 9.

![Traffic flow data](image)

**Figure 8.** Servers about SDLSTM

![Figure 9. The change of MAPE with epoch during training](image)

The formula shows the method of calculating the MAPE value of the data deviation. As shown in Figure 9. The MAPE value [47] is changing during the training process of LSTM by the data training set. It can be seen from the figure that the MAPE value decreases with the increase of epoch [48], and
the MAPE value tends to be stable after the epoch value reaches 40. It shows that the number of the training done to sample set in this paper is enough sufficient.

\[ MAPE = \left( \sum \frac{X - Y}{X} \right) \times 100\% \div N \]  

Figure 10. The change of MAPE with epoch during training

As can be seen from the above Figure 10, the six o’clock prediction error is large. In this regard, we have made improvements. The SDLSTM-ARIMA traffic flow prediction algorithm is proposed. As shown in the Figure 11, the ARIMA-based traffic flow prediction program was added to the “ARIMA Server”. Added “Select Server” to select data based on different time periods.

Figure 11. The change of MAPE with epoch during training

The improved LSTM neural network for data training is used in this paper, and the process of MAPE changes are shown in Figure 9. Above. The ordinary LATM neural network method obtained by training is compared with the SDLSTM-ARIMA method proposed in this paper, as shown in Figure 12(a)., where the red part of the figure shows the improvement of the accuracy of the prediction after the introduction of the ARIMA model, and the result of the comparison proved the effectiveness of SDLSTM-ARIMA.

As illustrated in Figure 12(a), prediction section of the SDLSTM-ARIMA method realized in these three servers. Finally, the SDLSTM-ARIMA model obtained from the training of the data set is tested in the test data set in this paper. Subsequently, this article selected some of the results and made them visualized, Figure 12(b). Shows the forecast value and the actual value of one day of the results; Figure 13. Shows the forecast value and the actual value of one week of the results; Figure 14. Shows the
forecast value and the actual value of one month of the results; as can be seen from the graph, in the LATM-AR experimental test results, the predicted traffic flow data is basically consistent with the actual data and the method has high accuracy.

**Figure 12.** Comparison of predicted values

**Figure 13.** The predicted values obtained by SDLSTM-AR method compared with the real values in a week

**Figure 14.** The predicted values obtained by SDLSTM-AR method compared with the real values in a month

5.3. **Comparative Results of different kinds of traffic flow forecast methods**

Using the training data set and the test data set, this paper compared the commonly used ARIMA prediction method and the latest proposed AR-RBLTFa method in reference [17] with the SDLSTM-ARIMA method proposed in this paper. Figure 15(a). Shows the comparison of the data of
working days, Figure 15(b). Shows the comparison of the data of Non-working days. As can be seen from the figure, compared with the commonly used ARIMA prediction method, AR-RBLTFa method and SDLSTM-ARIMA have higher accuracy.

![Graphs showing comparison of different methods](image)

Figure 15. Comparison of experimental results of different methods

After obtaining the traffic flow data of the three methods, in order to measure the error of the three methods much better, the MAPE value and the absolute error of the three methods are calculated and compared in this paper. Figure 15(c). shows the comparison of MAPE values of working days of the three methods; Figure 15(d). shows the comparison of MAPE values of non-working days of the three methods; Figure 15(e). shows the comparison of the absolute error of working days of the three methods; Figure 15(f). shows the comparison of the absolute errors of non-working days of the three methods; Figure 15(g). shows the comparison of RMSE values of working days of the three methods; Figure 15(h). shows the comparison of RMSE values of non-working days of the three methods; And RMSE calculation method is as shown in the Formula (12):

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{real} - x_{pre})^{2}}{n}}$$

In the formula above, $n$ represents the number of time points, $x_{real}$ represents the real traffic flow at the time point, and $x_{pre}$ represents the predicted traffic flow at the time point.

As can be seen from Figure 15, ARIMA has the greatest error among the three kinds of error criteria, and the error of the AR-RBLTFa method is slightly larger than that of the SDLSTM-ARIMA. However, the AR-RBLTF method is not stable enough. For example, the error of the AR-RBLTFa method is similar to that of the AR method in Figure 16. Which is easy to produce serious potential hazard in the practical application. Then, the practical error of the three methods is quantitatively
compared, as shown in Table 3. As can be seen from the table, the accuracy rate is: SDLSTM-ARIMA > AR-RBLTFa > ARIMA, while the error stability is: SDLSTM-ARIMA > AR-RBLTFa > ARIMA. Therefore, the SDLSTM-ARIMA proposed in this paper has higher accuracy and stability. This work also improved the SDLSTM-ARIMA by cluster computing. As shown in Table 4, the running time is much reduced by cluster computing. At last, this paper summarized the advantages and disadvantages of the three methods, as shown in Table 5.

Table 3. Quantitative comparison of three methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluating Indicator</th>
<th>ARIMA</th>
<th>AR-RBLTFa</th>
<th>SDLSTM-ARIMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPAE</td>
<td>40.43</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>10.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>611.4</td>
<td>184.49</td>
<td>176.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute Error</td>
<td>498.59</td>
<td>156.41</td>
<td>138.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Entropy (normalized)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Running time comparison of three prediction methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA</td>
<td>0.201s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-RBLTFa</td>
<td>0.784s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLSTM-ARIMA</td>
<td>4.124s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLSTM-ARIMA by cluster computing</td>
<td>0.799s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Comparison of three prediction methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Dependence on historical data</th>
<th>Stability of forecast data</th>
<th>Real-time of the prediction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>slight</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Predict on time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-RBLTFa</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Predict on time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLSTM-ARIMA</td>
<td>slight high</td>
<td>slight high</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Delay in variable scale adjustment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLSTM-ARIMA by cluster computing</td>
<td>slight high</td>
<td>slight high</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Predict on time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusions

Aiming at the problem of traffic flow prediction algorithm cannot reach ideal result in medium and long-term slot, the SDLSTM method is put forward. This article defined the calculation method of time singularity ratio of the traffic flow firstly, improved LSTM neural network and put forward the probability values of selectively discarding neurons of the Dropout model by using time singularity ratio as self-adaptive data environment to deal with the problem of over-fitting in LSTM neural network and achieve adaptively of the traffic flow data set. Then, this article applied SDLSTM neural network in the traffic flow prediction. Aiming at the 6 o’clock error, the ARIMA model is introduced to predict traffic flow of 6 o’clock accurately by using the combination of non-equal intervals, which raised up the accuracy of the whole method. At last, this article verified the method by experiment and compared it with other methods. The result shows that SDLSTM-ARIMA proposed in this article has higher accuracy and stability. This method converts the traffic big data to practical value by using big data technology and machine learning. And it has broad application prospects. Especially in recent years, the rapid development of cloud computing and large data technology, making the proposed traffic flow prediction algorithm has a greater application prospects.
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