

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 1]

Title: Biases inherent in studies of coffee consumption in early pregnancy and the risks of subsequent events.

Short title: Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption

Author: Alan Leviton

Affiliations:

Boston Children's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston MA, USA

Corresponding author:

Alan Leviton

1731 Beacon Street

Newton MA 02445, USA

alan.leviton@childrens.harvard.edu

telephone: 617 485-7187

Words in full title:	16
Words in short title:	9
Words in abstract:	104
Words in text:	2632
Citations:	164
Tables:	0
Figures:	0

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 2]

Abstract

Consumption of coffee by women early in their pregnancy has been viewed as potentially increasing the risk of miscarriage, low birth weight, and childhood leukemias. Many of these reports of epidemiologic studies have not acknowledged the potential biases inherent in studying the relationship between early-pregnancy-coffee consumption and subsequent events. I discuss five of these biases, recall bias, misclassification, residual confounding, reverse causation, and publication bias. Each might account for claims that attribute adversities to early-pregnancy-coffee consumption. To what extent these biases can be avoided remains to be determined. At a minimum, they need to be acknowledged wherever they might account for what is reported.

Maternal consumption of coffee during early pregnancy has been viewed as increasing the risk of miscarriage [1-4], fetal growth restriction [2, 5-11], and childhood leukemias.[12-20] Unfortunately, many of the epidemiologic studies have not acknowledged the potential biases that appear to have influenced these perceptions of risk. The list of potential biases is long.[21]

In this essay, I review five of these biases, namely recall bias, misclassification, residual confounding, reverse causation, and publication bias. Each of these biases might account for some of what has been reported. Unfortunately, eliminating these biases can sometimes be extraordinarily difficult, if not impossible. Indeed, a Cochrane Review concluded, "There is insufficient evidence to confirm or refute the effectiveness of caffeine avoidance on birthweight or other pregnancy outcomes. There is a need to conduct high-quality, double-blinded RCTs (random clinical trials) to determine whether caffeine has any effect on pregnancy outcome." [22] In essence, observational studies are probably not able to overcome some of the biases. I know of only two clinical trials and they have shown no adverse effect of caffeine consumption on the risk of low birth weight, [23] or miscarriage.[24]

Bias 1: Recall/respondent bias

When asked to remember exposures during early pregnancy, the mothers of children who developed leukemia are more likely to report higher coffee consumption than the mothers of children selected from the same community, or the mothers of children hospitalized with acute orthopedic trauma.[12-20, 25-27] How well do people remember what they drank years before? The time between the consumption and the query is not the only influence on the accuracy of the information provided.

Compared to the mothers of healthy newborns, mothers of children with a major congenital malformation diagnosed soon after birth tend to recall more exposures or characteristics during the index pregnancy.[28] This led to the inference that mothers of malformed babies are more likely to try hard to account for what happened than mothers of children who do not have obvious malformations. Preferential recall was also raised by the authors of one study when fathers of children who had leukemia reported levels of cigarette smoking similar to those reported by fathers of "controls, but mothers of children with leukemia cases reported higher exposure levels to passive smoking than did the mothers of controls.[29]

To what extent this type of recall bias accounts for the association between maternal gestational coffee consumption and childhood leukemias remains to be determined. One way to investigate possible recall bias would be to choose controls who also have a potentially fatal illness that might have antenatal origins. This strategy of selecting controls who have another disorder that prompts the mother to search her memory especially thoroughly,[30] has yet to be applied to the study of childhood leukemia. It would be reasonable to do so if the malignancies of controls each had a relatively unique risk profile.

The authors of a meta-analysis of studies that evaluated the relationship between maternal coffee consumption and the risk of childhood leukemia acknowledged the possibility that mothers of children who had leukemia might recall exposures during the index pregnancy differently than community controls (“the possibility of a recall bias could not be precluded”).[31] On the other hand, another meta-analysis “noted the positive association between coffee consumption and childhood ALL and childhood AML among studies using interviewing techniques, but not among studies using self-administrated questionnaire.”[32] The differential recall implies bias somewhere along the information-gathering process.

Other types of preferential recall are perhaps more frequent. For example, based on a review of 67 studies that examined the relationship between self-reported smoking and smoking confirmed by cotinine (a metabolite of nicotine) measurement in saliva or urine, the authors concluded, “Overall, the data show trends of underestimation when smoking prevalence is based on self-report.”[33] Indeed, approximately 20% of pregnant women who report that they are not smokers have smoker-level cotinine concentrations in blood or saliva.[34-35] A review of 34 papers concluded that obese adults have a tendency to significantly under-report their food intake.[36] These reports document that people do not always report the truth.

One of the explanations offered for much of recall/respondent bias is social desirability.[37] As applied to answering questionnaires, social desirability is seen as having two components.[38] One, identified as ‘impression management,’ is the conscious tendency to deceive others, while the other, labeled, ‘self-deception,’ is the unconscious tendency to believe one’s own positive self-reports. Either way, those who try to get accurate information are thwarted by social desirability,[39] whether they want to study hand washing,[40] or tobacco consumption.[33, 41]

“Cohort studies are generally regarded as providing stronger evidence than case-control studies for causality because they satisfy the temporality criterion that the measurement of exposure precede the ascertainment of the outcome.”[42] Not surprisingly then, that some tobacco-related exposures (including coffee consumption) are not associated with tobacco-related malignancies in cohort studies (dependent on exposure data collected before recognition of the disorder),[43-45] but are reported as associated in case-control studies (dependent on exposure data collected after recognition of the disorder).[46-47]

Because of the potential recall bias even when the exposure was recent, some studies of the relationship between caffeine consumption and miscarriage assessed consumption prior to pregnancy.[48-51] “Overall, while most of these studies were small, the majority showed that pre-pregnancy consumption of caffeine was not associated with increased risk of spontaneous abortion.”[52]

Bias 2: Misclassification

The most obvious misclassification that has the potential to distort our perception of truth about relationships between coffee drinking and any disorder is inappropriately quantifying exposure.[53] What is a cup of coffee? 5 ounces (150 ml)? 8 ounces (240

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 5]

ml)? Is a mug 8 ounces (240 ml)? 10 ounces (300 ml)? 12 ounces (360 ml)? Similar concerns apply to the 'strength of the brew,' as well as to additives (e.g., sugar, non-nutritive sweeteners, milk, cream).

Bias 3: Residual confounding

Confounding defines the distortion of our perception of the relationship between an exposure (coffee consumption) and a disorder (e.g., childhood leukemia, miscarriage). This distortion occurs when a variable that is a potential confounder is not considered in the analysis. A potential confounder has to be associated with the disorder and the exposure, but must not be on the causal pathway between the exposure and the disorder.[54]

Tobacco smoke induces cytochrome P450 1A2 (CYP1A2), the main enzyme involved in caffeine metabolism, thereby increasing the rate of caffeine metabolism, and shortening the half-life of caffeine.[55-57] One consequence is that the duration of desired behavioral effects of caffeine is shortened, prompting smokers to consume more coffee than non-smokers.[58] Among Norwegian pregnant women, the average daily caffeine consumption varied with smoking. For example, never-smokers consumed 54 mg of caffeine daily, while occasional smokers consumed 109 mg daily, and daily smokers consumed on average 143 mg each day.[8] Therefore, tobacco is a potential confounder of the relationship between a mother's coffee consumption and her child's risk of childhood leukemia. This can be minimized to some extent by "adjusting" for tobacco exposure.

Residual confounding occurs when efforts to minimize confounding are not adequate. In the most extreme examples, investigators classify as "smokers" all women who smoked during pregnancy, even though these women varied considerably in their level of tobacco consumption, and classify all others as "non-smokers."

Tobacco smoke exposure is a known carcinogen.[59] Some studies have reported that maternal tobacco exposure during pregnancy is associated with increased risk of the offspring developing childhood leukemia,[13, 19, 60-62] whereas others report that paternal tobacco exposure during pregnancy (a source of second-hand smoke for the mother) is associated with the child's heightened risk of childhood leukemia.[25, 29, 63]

Successful adjustment in multivariable models of the risk of a disorder depends on high-quality exposure data. All the adjusting in the world cannot eliminate distortions due to "social desirability responding," such as occurs when respondents are truthful about their coffee consumption, but not about their tobacco exposure.

The statement, "Only at the very highest level of [*pre-pregnancy*] intake (e.g. >900 mg/day, a consumption level rarely seen in people who do not smoke) was caffeine consumption associated with increased risk of miscarriage"[50] raises the possibility that such high consumptions reflect the influence of tobacco exposure, which leads to the inference that the increased risk of miscarriage might also reflect residual confounding

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 6]

of tobacco.[64] Cigarette smoking is also a risk factor for low birth weight,[65] and placenta dysfunction.[66] These associations again raise the possibility of residual confounding in studies of coffee consumption during early pregnancy and low birth weight[8, 67-68], fetal growth restriction,[69] and perhaps even epigenetic effects, such as childhood overweight.[70]

Another challenge to eliminating confounding is posed by polymorphisms of multiple genes that influence caffeine and/or coffee consumption.[71-79] Some of these polymorphisms also influence the risk of diseases associated with caffeine and/or coffee consumption.[80-85]

A common strategy to disentangle the contribution of genetic propensity to consume coffee/caffeine is to stratify the sample by possession of each gene variant. In essence, this amounts to exploring the caffeine/coffee association in those with and without a specific variant. However, this can be considerably more complex and pose analysis challenges. For example, alleles near genes associated with high coffee consumption are associated with adiposity, cigarette smoking, high levels of fasting insulin and glucose, low risk of hypertension, as well as favorable lipid, inflammatory, and liver enzyme profiles.[77]

Bias 4: Reverse causation

Coffee consumption changes during early pregnancy

Even before some women realize they are pregnant, they decrease their coffee consumption. Coffee consumption by women tends to decline as early as the 4th and 5th weeks of normal pregnancy.[86-87] (see Figure 1 of [86]; and Figures 1 and 2 of [87]).

Perhaps the first signal of a viable pregnancy is the sensitivity to odors, which can be accompanied by a diminished desire for coffee and the aromas associated with it.[88] As the pregnancy signal intensifies, nausea and overt aversion to odors become increasingly evident. [89]

Because women who have early nausea are at a lower risk of early fetal loss (miscarriage) than women who do not experience nausea,[90-92] a strong pregnancy signal is seen as an indicator of a viable pregnancy, and the absence of a pregnancy signal is seen as an indicator that the situation might be suboptimal.

The decline in coffee consumption early in pregnancy among women who apparently did not intend to reduce their coffee consumption has been attributed to epiphenomena, including “aversion to tastes and smells ordinarily well tolerated.”[93] Subsequently, the term “pregnancy signal” was used to describe some of the earliest physiologic changes associated with pregnancy, including food aversions, and (hyper)sensitivities to aromas, including those of brewed coffee and perfume.[94-97] Some now use the term, ‘pregnancy awareness.’[98]

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 7]

These inferences are supported by studies of placental synthesis of hormones. A healthy implantation of the placenta allows the placenta to grow, cover a wide area of the uterus, and synthesize hormones needed for growth of the placenta and the fetus.[99-102] It is the surge in the concentrations of some of these hormones during early pregnancy that sends a signal to the gravida that she is pregnant.

More than half a century ago, the pregnancy signal was attributed to the high-estrogen-content of the first commercially-available oral contraceptives.[103-104] Two decades later, the pregnancy signal was linked to elevated (early morning) urine concentrations of estrone-3-glucuronide and human chorionic gonadotropin.[105] “The number of potential contributors to maternal recognition of pregnancy continues to grow and this highlights our limited appreciation of the complexity of the key molecules and signal transduction pathways that intersect during these key developmental processes.”[106] And indeed, the number of potential contributors does continue to grow.[107]

The pregnancy signal is likely to be decreased in women whose placenta does not grow as it should, or does not produce in abundance the hormones and growth factors needed for optimal fetal growth and wellbeing. Small placenta size and limited synthesis of needed growth promoters *in utero* are characteristic of children destined to be small at the time of delivery.[108-109] Consequently, a limited pregnancy signal is probably a correlate of a spectrum of disorders associated with a sub-optimal placentation.

The hormonal characteristics linked to coffee consumption during pregnancy also appear to apply to consumption when women are not pregnant. For example, the lower the peak estradiol level among women prior to *in vitro* fertilization, the higher their caffeine consumption.[110] A similar phenomenon occurs in premenopausal women.[111]

Inferences that follow from a weak pregnancy signal

If a weak pregnancy signal is an indicator of a placenta not able to produce the high concentrations of hormones and growth factors needed for fetal wellbeing and optimal growth, the fetus is at increased risk of death and limited growth. If a weak pregnancy signal also allows the gravida to continue her normal coffee consumption, then coffee will be blamed (inappropriately) for increasing the risk of miscarriage and lower birth weight. The blame is inappropriate because the level of coffee consumption is influenced by the very process that will result in potentially dire consequences. In essence, the same placental deficiencies that contribute to the adversities also fail to reduce coffee consumption. Continued pre-pregnancy level of coffee consumption is a **consequence, and not a cause**, of the placental deficiencies.

This is an example of “reverse causation,” which refers to situations where an antecedent is a consequence rather than a cause of illness.[51, 112-129] Another example has occurred in some studies that have found that people whose weight (or body mass index) is low were at heightened risk of death.[130] Low weight can be a consequence of disease that results in a loss of appetite.[131] In such situations the

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 8]

processes that lead to death also lead to weight loss, rather than low weight contributing to mortality risk.[132]

“Reverse causation” also applies to the situation where a limited-function placenta is more likely to allow a woman to continue her usual levels of coffee consumption throughout pregnancy than is the healthy placenta that prompts a woman to reduce her coffee consumption. As a result coffee consumption is associated with the consequences of a limited-function placenta precisely because a limited-function placenta allows higher coffee consumption than does a full-function placenta.

The limited-function placenta is associated with fetal growth restriction.[133-135] So is coffee/caffeine consumption,[9] even if only by reverse causation.

Among the risk factors for childhood leukemias are two pregnancy phenomena, prior pregnancy loss (“fetal wastage”)[136-139] and low birth weight.[140-144] Both of these have been associated with continued normal (pre-pregnancy) level of coffee consumption.[8, 86, 145-150] To some extent, each of these (*i.e.*, fetal wastage, low birth weight, and continued coffee consumption during pregnancy at pre-pregnancy levels) is a correlate of impaired implantation of the placenta, and a weaker pregnancy signal than occurs following a healthy implantation. Might the association between gestational coffee consumption and childhood leukemia reflect “reverse causation”?

Bias # 5: Publication bias

Publication (or dissemination) bias has been defined as the selective publication of studies.[151-152] This appears to happen most commonly when reviewers and editors view “positive” findings as more attractive for publication than “negative” (or non-significant) findings.[153] Publication bias can also reflect self-censorship by authors who are reluctant to continue to battle editors about the need to publish reasonably-powered “negative” studies.[154] So many other persistent influences contribute to publication bias[155-157] that some do not consider elimination of this bias to be feasible.[158-159] Consequently, a negative finding, such as no relationship between early pregnancy coffee consumption and risk of miscarriage, is unlikely to be attractive to editors in light of the plethora of studies reporting a positive relationship. The result is publication bias,[160-161] which is especially distorting in meta-analyses.[162] Unfortunately, funnel plots, used to identify publication bias in meta-analyses, have their limitations.[163-164]

Conclusion

I have provided comments about biases that might account for associations between maternal coffee consumption early in pregnancy and subsequent events. Obviously, the more these biases can be avoided, the closer we will come to the truth. A laudable goal, but difficult to achieve.

Acknowledgement

Preparation of this report was supported by the National Coffee Association of U.S.A.

References

1. Li, J.; Zhao, H.; Song, J. M.; Zhang, J.; Tang, Y. L.; Xin, C. M., A meta-analysis of risk of pregnancy loss and caffeine and coffee consumption during pregnancy. *International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* **2015**, *130* (2), 116-22.
2. Chen, L. W.; Wu, Y.; Neelakantan, N.; Chong, M. F.; Pan, A.; van Dam, R. M., Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and risk of pregnancy loss: a categorical and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Public health nutrition* **2016**, *19* (7), 1233-44.
3. Lyngso, J.; Ramlau-Hansen, C. H.; Bay, B.; Ingerslev, H. J.; Hulman, A.; Kesmodel, U. S., Association between coffee or caffeine consumption and fecundity and fertility: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *Clinical epidemiology* **2017**, *9*, 699-719.
4. Gaskins, A. J.; Rich-Edwards, J. W.; Williams, P. L.; Toth, T. L.; Missmer, S. A.; Chavarro, J. E., Pre-pregnancy caffeine and caffeinated beverage intake and risk of spontaneous abortion. *European journal of nutrition* **2018**, *57* (1), 107-117.
5. Hoyt, A. T.; Browne, M.; Richardson, S.; Romitti, P.; Druschel, C., Maternal caffeine consumption and small for gestational age births: results from a population-based case-control study. *Matern Child Health J* **2014**, *18* (6), 1540-51.
6. Voerman, E.; Jaddoe, V. W.; Gishti, O.; Hofman, A.; Franco, O. H.; Gaillard, R., Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy, early growth, and body fat distribution at school age. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **2016**, *24* (5), 1170-7.
7. Xue, F.; Willett, W. C.; Rosner, B. A.; Forman, M. R.; Michels, K. B., Parental characteristics as predictors of birthweight. *Hum Reprod* **2008**, *23* (1), 168-77.
8. Sengpiel, V.; Elind, E.; Bacelis, J.; Nilsson, S.; Grove, J.; Myhre, R.; Haugen, M.; Meltzer, H. M.; Alexander, J.; Jacobsson, B.; Brantsaeter, A. L., Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy is associated with birth weight but not with gestational length: results from a large prospective observational cohort study. *BMC Med* **2013**, *11*, 42.
9. Rhee, J.; Kim, R.; Kim, Y.; Tam, M.; Lai, Y.; Keum, N.; Oldenburg, C. E., Maternal Caffeine Consumption during Pregnancy and Risk of Low Birth Weight: A Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *PLoS One* **2015**, *10* (7), e0132334.
10. Greenwood, D. C.; Thatcher, N. J.; Ye, J.; Garrard, L.; Keogh, G.; King, L. G.; Cade, J. E., Caffeine intake during pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol* **2014**, *29* (10), 725-34.
11. group, C., Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and risk of fetal growth restriction: a large prospective observational study. *BMJ* **2008**, *337*, a2332.
12. Petridou, E.; Trichopoulos, D.; Kalapothaki, V.; Pourtsidis, A.; Kogevinas, M.; Kalmanti, M.; Kolioukas, D.; Kosmidis, H.; Panagiotou, J. P.; Piperopoulou, F.;

Tzortzatou, F., The risk profile of childhood leukaemia in Greece: a nationwide case-control study. *Br J Cancer* **1997**, *76* (9), 1241-7.

13. Clavel, J.; Bellec, S.; Rebouissou, S.; Menegaux, F.; Feunteun, J.; Bonaiti-Pellie, C.; Baruchel, A.; Kebaili, K.; Lambilliotte, A.; Leverger, G.; Sommelet, D.; Lescoeur, B.; Beaune, P.; Hemon, D.; Lorient, M. A., Childhood leukaemia, polymorphisms of metabolism enzyme genes, and interactions with maternal tobacco, coffee and alcohol consumption during pregnancy. *Eur J Cancer Prev* **2005**, *14* (6), 531-40.

14. Menegaux, F.; Steffen, C.; Bellec, S.; Baruchel, A.; Lescoeur, B.; Leverger, G.; Nelken, B.; Philippe, N.; Sommelet, D.; Hemon, D.; Clavel, J., Maternal coffee and alcohol consumption during pregnancy, parental smoking and risk of childhood acute leukaemia. *Cancer detection and prevention* **2005**, *29* (6), 487-93.

15. Petridou, E.; Ntouvelis, E.; Dessypris, N.; Terzidis, A.; Trichopoulos, D., Maternal diet and acute lymphoblastic leukemia in young children. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **2005**, *14* (8), 1935-9.

16. Menegaux, F.; Ripert, M.; Hemon, D.; Clavel, J., Maternal alcohol and coffee drinking, parental smoking and childhood leukaemia: a French population-based case-control study. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **2007**, *21* (4), 293-9.

17. Milne, E.; Royle, J. A.; Bennett, L. C.; de Klerk, N. H.; Bailey, H. D.; Bower, C.; Miller, M.; Attia, J.; Scott, R. J.; Kirby, M.; Armstrong, B. K., Maternal consumption of coffee and tea during pregnancy and risk of childhood ALL: results from an Australian case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control* **2011**, *22* (2), 207-18.

18. Bonaventure, A.; Rudant, J.; Goujon-Bellec, S.; Orsi, L.; Leverger, G.; Baruchel, A.; Bertrand, Y.; Nelken, B.; Pasquet, M.; Michel, G.; Sirvent, N.; Bordigoni, P.; Ducassou, S.; Rialland, X.; Zelenika, D.; Hemon, D.; Clavel, J., Childhood acute leukemia, maternal beverage intake during pregnancy, and metabolic polymorphisms. *Cancer Causes Control* **2013**, *24* (4), 783-93.

19. Orsi, L.; Rudant, J.; Ajrouche, R.; Leverger, G.; Baruchel, A.; Nelken, B.; Pasquet, M.; Michel, G.; Bertrand, Y.; Ducassou, S.; Gandemer, V.; Lutz, P.; Saumet, L.; Moreau, P.; Hemon, D.; Clavel, J., Parental smoking, maternal alcohol, coffee and tea consumption during pregnancy, and childhood acute leukemia: the ESTELLE study. *Cancer Causes Control* **2015**, *26* (7), 1003-17.

20. Milne, E.; Greenop, K. R.; Petridou, E.; Bailey, H. D.; Orsi, L.; Kang, A. Y.; Baka, M.; Bonaventure, A.; Kourtis, M.; Metayer, C.; Clavel, J., Maternal consumption of coffee and tea during pregnancy and risk of childhood ALL: a pooled analysis from the childhood Leukemia International Consortium. *Cancer Causes Control* **2018**.

21. Sackett, D. L., Bias in analytic research. *J Chronic Dis* **1979**, *32* (1-2), 51-63.

22. Jahanfar, S.; Jaafar, S. H., Effects of restricted caffeine intake by mother on fetal, neonatal and pregnancy outcome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **2013**, (2), CD006965.

23. Bech, B. H.; Obel, C.; Henriksen, T. B.; Olsen, J., Effect of reducing caffeine intake on birth weight and length of gestation: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* **2007**, *334* (7590), 409.

24. Howards, P. P.; Hertz-Picciotto, I.; Bech, B. H.; Nohr, E. A.; Andersen, A. M.; Poole, C.; Olsen, J., Spontaneous abortion and a diet drug containing caffeine and ephedrine: a study within the Danish national birth cohort. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7* (11), e50372.

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 11]

25. Milne, E.; Greenop, K. R.; Scott, R. J.; Bailey, H. D.; Attia, J.; Dalla-Pozza, L.; de Klerk, N. H.; Armstrong, B. K., Parental prenatal smoking and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Am J Epidemiol* **2012**, *175* (1), 43-53.
26. Greenop, K. R.; Miller, M.; Attia, J.; Ashton, L. J.; Cohn, R.; Armstrong, B. K.; Milne, E., Maternal consumption of coffee and tea during pregnancy and risk of childhood brain tumors: results from an Australian case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control* **2014**, *25* (10), 1321-7.
27. Melchior, M.; Moffitt, T. E.; Milne, B. J.; Poulton, R.; Caspi, A., Why do children from socioeconomically disadvantaged families suffer from poor health when they reach adulthood? A life-course study. *Am J Epidemiol* **2007**, *166* (8), 966-74.
28. Werler, M. M.; Pober, B. R.; Nelson, K.; Holmes, L. B., Reporting accuracy among mothers of malformed and nonmalformed infants. *Am J Epidemiol* **1989**, *129* (2), 415-21.
29. Farioli, A.; Legittimo, P.; Mattioli, S.; Miligi, L.; Benvenuti, A.; Ranucci, A.; Salvan, A.; Rondelli, R.; Conter, V.; Magnani, C., Tobacco smoke and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: findings from the SETIL case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control* **2014**, *25* (6), 683-92.
30. Werler, M. M.; Louik, C.; Mitchell, A. A., Case-control studies for identifying novel teratogens. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet* **2011**, *157C* (3), 201-8.
31. Thomopoulos, T. P.; Ntouvelis, E.; Diamantaras, A. A.; Tzanoudaki, M.; Baka, M.; Hatzipantelis, E.; Kourti, M.; Polychronopoulou, S.; Sidi, V.; Stiakaki, E.; Moschovi, M.; Kantzanou, M.; Petridou, E. T., Maternal and childhood consumption of coffee, tea and cola beverages in association with childhood leukemia: a meta-analysis. *Cancer epidemiology* **2015**, *39* (6), 1047-59.
32. Cheng, J.; Su, H.; Zhu, R.; Wang, X.; Peng, M.; Song, J.; Fan, D., Maternal coffee consumption during pregnancy and risk of childhood acute leukemia: a metaanalysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **2014**, *210* (2), 151 e1-151 e10.
33. Connor Gorber, S.; Schofield-Hurwitz, S.; Hardt, J.; Levasseur, G.; Tremblay, M., The accuracy of self-reported smoking: a systematic review of the relationship between self-reported and cotinine-assessed smoking status. *Nicotine & tobacco research : official journal of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco* **2009**, *11* (1), 12-24.
34. Spencer, K.; Cowans, N. J., Accuracy of self-reported smoking status in first trimester aneuploidy screening. *Prenat Diagn* **2013**, *33* (3), 245-50.
35. Dietz, P. M.; Homa, D.; England, L. J.; Burley, K.; Tong, V. T.; Dube, S. R.; Bernert, J. T., Estimates of nondisclosure of cigarette smoking among pregnant and nonpregnant women of reproductive age in the United States. *Am J Epidemiol* **2011**, *173* (3), 355-9.
36. Wehling, H.; Lusher, J., People with a body mass index 30 under-report their dietary intake: A systematic review. *Journal of health psychology* **2017**, 1359105317714318.
37. Crowne, D. P.; Marlowe, D., A new scale of social desirability independent of psychopathology. *Journal of consulting psychology* **1960**, *24*, 349-54.
38. Paulus, D. L., Two-component models of socially desirable responding. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **1984**, *46* (3), 598-609.
39. Tracey, T. J., A note on socially desirable responding. *Journal of counseling psychology* **2016**, *63* (2), 224-32.

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 12]

40. Contzen, N.; De Pasquale, S.; Mosler, H. J., Over-Reporting in Handwashing Self-Reports: Potential Explanatory Factors and Alternative Measurements. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10* (8), e0136445.
41. Biglan, M.; Gilpin, E. A.; Rohrbach, L. A.; Pierce, J. P., Is there a simple correction factor for comparing adolescent tobacco-use estimates from school- and home-based surveys? *Nicotine & tobacco research : official journal of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco* **2004**, *6* (3), 427-37.
42. The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress: 2014. In *A Report of the Surgeon General*. [Online] Atlanta GA, 2014; p. 872. <https://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/50-years-of-progress/full-report.pdf> (accessed 29 April 2018).
43. Yu, X.; Bao, Z.; Zou, J.; Dong, J., Coffee consumption and risk of cancers: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BMC cancer* **2011**, *11*, 96.
44. Huang, T. B.; Guo, Z. F.; Zhang, X. L.; Zhang, X. P.; Liu, H.; Geng, J.; Yao, X. D.; Zheng, J. H., Coffee consumption and urologic cancer risk: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *International urology and nephrology* **2014**, *46* (8), 1481-93.
45. Sugiyama, K.; Sugawara, Y.; Tomata, Y.; Nishino, Y.; Fukao, A.; Tsuji, I., The association between coffee consumption and bladder cancer incidence in a pooled analysis of the Miyagi Cohort Study and Ohsaki Cohort Study. *Eur J Cancer Prev* **2017**, *26* (2), 125-130.
46. Bae, J. M.; Kim, E. H., Hormonal Replacement Therapy and the Risk of Lung Cancer in Women: An Adaptive Meta-analysis of Cohort Studies. *Journal of preventive medicine and public health = Yebang Uihakhoe chi* **2015**, *48* (6), 280-6.
47. Lee, P. N.; Hamling, J. S., Environmental tobacco smoke exposure and risk of breast cancer in nonsmoking women. An updated review and meta-analysis. *Inhalation toxicology* **2016**, *28* (10), 431-54.
48. Pollack, A. Z.; Buck Louis, G. M.; Sundaram, R.; Lum, K. J., Caffeine consumption and miscarriage: a prospective cohort study. *Fertility and sterility* **2010**, *93* (1), 304-6.
49. Wen, W.; Shu, X. O.; Jacobs, D. R., Jr.; Brown, J. E., The associations of maternal caffeine consumption and nausea with spontaneous abortion. *Epidemiology* **2001**, *12* (1), 38-42.
50. Tolstrup, J. S.; Kjaer, S. K.; Munk, C.; Madsen, L. B.; Ottesen, B.; Bergholt, T.; Gronbaek, M., Does caffeine and alcohol intake before pregnancy predict the occurrence of spontaneous abortion? *Hum Reprod* **2003**, *18* (12), 2704-10.
51. Hahn, K. A.; Wise, L. A.; Rothman, K. J.; Mikkelsen, E. M.; Brogly, S. B.; Sorensen, H. T.; Riis, A. H.; Hatch, E. E., Caffeine and caffeinated beverage consumption and risk of spontaneous abortion. *Hum Reprod* **2015**, *30* (5), 1246-55.
52. Gaskins, A. J.; Toth, T. L.; Chavarro, J. E., Prepregnancy Nutrition and Early Pregnancy Outcomes. *Current nutrition reports* **2015**, *4* (3), 265-272.
53. Barone, J. J.; Roberts, H. R., Caffeine consumption. *Food and chemical toxicology : an international journal published for the British Industrial Biological Research Association* **1996**, *34* (1), 119-29.
54. Jager, K. J.; Zoccali, C.; Macleod, A.; Dekker, F. W., Confounding: what it is and how to deal with it. *Kidney international* **2008**, *73* (3), 256-60.

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 13]

55. Plowchalk, D. R.; Rowland Yeo, K., Prediction of drug clearance in a smoking population: modeling the impact of variable cigarette consumption on the induction of CYP1A2. *European journal of clinical pharmacology* **2012**, *68* (6), 951-60.
56. Hukkanen, J.; Jacob, P., 3rd; Peng, M.; Dempsey, D.; Benowitz, N. L., Effect of nicotine on cytochrome P450 1A2 activity. *British journal of clinical pharmacology* **2011**, *72* (5), 836-8.
57. Bjorngaard, J. H.; Nordestgaard, A. T.; Taylor, A. E.; Treur, J. L.; Gabrielsen, M. E.; Munafo, M. R.; Nordestgaard, B. G.; Asvold, B. O.; Romundstad, P.; Davey Smith, G., Heavier smoking increases coffee consumption: findings from a Mendelian randomization analysis. *Int J Epidemiol* **2017**, *46* (6), 1958-1967.
58. de Leon, J.; Diaz, F. J.; Rogers, T.; Browne, D.; Dinsmore, L.; Ghosheh, O. H.; Dvoskin, L. P.; Crooks, P. A., A pilot study of plasma caffeine concentrations in a US sample of smoker and nonsmoker volunteers. *Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry* **2003**, *27* (1), 165-71.
59. Hecht, S. S.; Szabo, E., Fifty years of tobacco carcinogenesis research: from mechanisms to early detection and prevention of lung cancer. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* **2014**, *7* (1), 1-8.
60. Metayer, C.; Zhang, L.; Wiemels, J. L.; Bartley, K.; Schiffman, J.; Ma, X.; Aldrich, M. C.; Chang, J. S.; Selvin, S.; Fu, C. H.; Ducore, J.; Smith, M. T.; Buffler, P. A., Tobacco smoke exposure and the risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic and myeloid leukemias by cytogenetic subtype. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **2013**, *22* (9), 1600-11.
61. Ferreira, J. D.; Couto, A. C.; Pombo-de-Oliveira, M. S.; Koifman, S., Pregnancy, maternal tobacco smoking, and early age leukemia in Brazil. *Frontiers in oncology* **2012**, *2*, 151.
62. Infante-Rivard, C.; Krajcinovic, M.; Labuda, D.; Sinnett, D., Parental smoking, CYP1A1 genetic polymorphisms and childhood leukemia (Quebec, Canada). *Cancer Causes Control* **2000**, *11* (6), 547-53.
63. Liu, R.; Zhang, L.; McHale, C. M.; Hammond, S. K., Paternal smoking and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of oncology* **2011**, *2011*, 854584.
64. Pineles, B. L.; Park, E.; Samet, J. M., Systematic review and meta-analysis of miscarriage and maternal exposure to tobacco smoke during pregnancy. *Am J Epidemiol* **2014**, *179* (7), 807-23.
65. Pereira, P. P.; Da Mata, F. A.; Figueiredo, A. C.; de Andrade, K. R.; Pereira, M. G., Maternal Active Smoking During Pregnancy and Low Birth Weight in the Americas: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Nicotine & tobacco research : official journal of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco* **2017**, *19* (5), 497-505.
66. Huuskonen, P.; Amezaga, M. R.; Bellingham, M.; Jones, L. H.; Storvik, M.; Hakkinen, M.; Keski-Nisula, L.; Heinonen, S.; O'Shaughnessy, P. J.; Fowler, P. A.; Pasanen, M., The human placental proteome is affected by maternal smoking. *Reprod Toxicol* **2016**, *63*, 22-31.
67. Eskenazi, B.; Stapleton, A. L.; Kharrazi, M.; Chee, W. Y., Associations between maternal decaffeinated and caffeinated coffee consumption and fetal growth and gestational duration. *Epidemiology* **1999**, *10* (3), 242-9.

68. Rondo, P. H.; Rodrigues, L. C.; Tomkins, A. M., Coffee consumption and intrauterine growth retardation in Brazil. *European journal of clinical nutrition* **1996**, *50* (11), 705-9.
69. Fortier, I.; Marcoux, S.; Beaulac-Baillargeon, L., Relation of caffeine intake during pregnancy to intrauterine growth retardation and preterm birth. *Am J Epidemiol* **1993**, *137* (9), 931-40.
70. Papadopoulou, E.; Botton, J.; Brantsaeter, A. L.; Haugen, M.; Alexander, J.; Meltzer, H. M.; Bacelis, J.; Elfvin, A.; Jacobsson, B.; Sengpiel, V., Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and childhood growth and overweight: results from a large Norwegian prospective observational cohort study. *BMJ open* **2018**, *8* (3), e018895.
71. Nehlig, A., Interindividual Differences in Caffeine Metabolism and Factors Driving Caffeine Consumption. *Pharmacological reviews* **2018**, *70* (2), 384-411.
72. Taylor, A. E.; Davey Smith, G.; Munafò, M. R., Associations of coffee genetic risk scores with consumption of coffee, tea and other beverages in the UK Biobank. *Addiction* **2018**, *113* (1), 148-157.
73. Denden, S.; Bouden, B.; Haj Khelil, A.; Ben Chibani, J.; Hamdaoui, M. H., Gender and ethnicity modify the association between the CYP1A2 rs762551 polymorphism and habitual coffee intake: evidence from a meta-analysis. *Genetics and molecular research : GMR* **2016**, *15* (2).
74. McMahon, G.; Taylor, A. E.; Davey Smith, G.; Munafò, M. R., Phenotype refinement strengthens the association of AHR and CYP1A1 genotype with caffeine consumption. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9* (7), e103448.
75. Pirastu, N.; Kooyman, M.; Robino, A.; van der Spek, A.; Navarini, L.; Amin, N.; Karssen, L. C.; Van Duijn, C. M.; Gasparini, P., Non-additive genome-wide association scan reveals a new gene associated with habitual coffee consumption. *Scientific reports* **2016**, *6*, 31590.
76. Pirastu, N.; Kooyman, M.; Traglia, M.; Robino, A.; Willems, S. M.; Pistis, G.; d'Adamo, P.; Amin, N.; d'Eustacchio, A.; Navarini, L.; Sala, C.; Karssen, L. C.; van Duijn, C.; Toniolo, D.; Gasparini, P., Association analysis of bitter receptor genes in five isolated populations identifies a significant correlation between TAS2R43 variants and coffee liking. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9* (3), e92065.
77. Cornelis, M. C.; Byrne, E. M.; Esko, T.; Nalls, M. A.; Ganna, A.; Paynter, N.; Monda, K. L.; Amin, N.; Fischer, K.; Renstrom, F.; Ngwa, J. S.; Huikari, V.; Cavadino, A.; Nolte, I. M.; Teumer, A.; Yu, K.; Marques-Vidal, P.; Rawal, R.; Manichaikul, A.; Wojczynski, M. K.; Vink, J. M.; Zhao, J. H.; Burlutsky, G.; Lahti, J.; Mikkila, V.; Lemaitre, R. N.; Eriksson, J.; Musani, S. K.; Tanaka, T.; Geller, F.; Luan, J.; Hui, J.; Magi, R.; Dimitriou, M.; Garcia, M. E.; Ho, W. K.; Wright, M. J.; Rose, L. M.; Magnusson, P. K.; Pedersen, N. L.; Couper, D.; Oostra, B. A.; Hofman, A.; Ikram, M. A.; Tiemeier, H. W.; Uitterlinden, A. G.; van Rooij, F. J.; Barroso, I.; Johansson, I.; Xue, L.; Kaakinen, M.; Milani, L.; Power, C.; Snieder, H.; Stolk, R. P.; Baumeister, S. E.; Biffar, R.; Gu, F.; Bastardot, F.; Kutalik, Z.; Jacobs, D. R., Jr.; Forouhi, N. G.; Mihailov, E.; Lind, L.; Lindgren, C.; Michaelsson, K.; Morris, A.; Jensen, M.; Khaw, K. T.; Luben, R. N.; Wang, J. J.; Mannisto, S.; Perala, M. M.; Kahonen, M.; Lehtimaki, T.; Viikari, J.; Mozaffarian, D.; Mukamal, K.; Psaty, B. M.; Doring, A.; Heath, A. C.; Montgomery, G. W.; Dahmen, N.; Carithers, T.; Tucker, K. L.; Ferrucci, L.; Boyd, H. A.; Melbye, M.; Treur, J. L.; Mellstrom, D.; Hottenga, J. J.; Prokopenko, I.; Tonjes, A.; Deloukas, P.; Kanoni, S.;

- Lorentzon, M.; Houston, D. K.; Liu, Y.; Danesh, J.; Rasheed, A.; Mason, M. A.; Zonderman, A. B.; Franke, L.; Kristal, B. S.; Karjalainen, J.; Reed, D. R.; Westra, H. J.; Evans, M. K.; Saleheen, D.; Harris, T. B.; Dedoussis, G.; Curhan, G.; Stumvoll, M.; Beilby, J.; Pasquale, L. R.; Feenstra, B.; Bandinelli, S.; Ordovas, J. M.; Chan, A. T.; Peters, U.; Ohlsson, C.; Gieger, C.; Martin, N. G.; Waldenberger, M.; Siscovick, D. S.; Raitakari, O.; Eriksson, J. G.; Mitchell, P.; Hunter, D. J.; Kraft, P.; Rimm, E. B.; Boomsma, D. I.; Borecki, I. B.; Loos, R. J.; Wareham, N. J.; Vollenweider, P.; Caporaso, N.; Grabe, H. J.; Neuhauser, M. L.; Wolfenbuttel, B. H.; Hu, F. B.; Hypponen, E.; Jarvelin, M. R.; Cupples, L. A.; Franks, P. W.; Ridker, P. M.; van Duijn, C. M.; Heiss, G.; Metspalu, A.; North, K. E.; Ingelsson, E.; Nettleton, J. A.; van Dam, R. M.; Chasman, D. I., Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies six novel loci associated with habitual coffee consumption. *Molecular psychiatry* **2015**, *20* (5), 647-656.
78. Cornelis, M. C.; Kacprowski, T.; Menni, C.; Gustafsson, S.; Pivin, E.; Adamski, J.; Artati, A.; Eap, C. B.; Ehret, G.; Friedrich, N.; Ganna, A.; Guessous, I.; Homuth, G.; Lind, L.; Magnusson, P. K.; Mangino, M.; Pedersen, N. L.; Pietzner, M.; Suhre, K.; Volzke, H.; Bochud, M.; Spector, T. D.; Grabe, H. J.; Ingelsson, E., Genome-wide association study of caffeine metabolites provides new insights to caffeine metabolism and dietary caffeine-consumption behavior. *Human molecular genetics* **2016**, *25* (24), 5472-5482.
79. Cornelis, M. C.; Monda, K. L.; Yu, K.; Paynter, N.; Azzato, E. M.; Bennett, S. N.; Berndt, S. I.; Boerwinkle, E.; Chanock, S.; Chatterjee, N.; Couper, D.; Curhan, G.; Heiss, G.; Hu, F. B.; Hunter, D. J.; Jacobs, K.; Jensen, M. K.; Kraft, P.; Landi, M. T.; Nettleton, J. A.; Purdue, M. P.; Rajaraman, P.; Rimm, E. B.; Rose, L. M.; Rothman, N.; Silverman, D.; Stolzenberg-Solomon, R.; Subar, A.; Yeager, M.; Chasman, D. I.; van Dam, R. M.; Caporaso, N. E., Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies regions on 7p21 (AHR) and 15q24 (CYP1A2) as determinants of habitual caffeine consumption. *PLoS genetics* **2011**, *7* (4), e1002033.
80. Kokaze, A.; Yoshida, M.; Ishikawa, M.; Matsunaga, N.; Karita, K.; Ochiai, H.; Shirasawa, T.; Nanri, H.; Mitsui, K.; Hoshimo, H.; Takashima, Y., Mitochondrial DNA 5178 C/A polymorphism modulates the effects of coffee consumption on elevated levels of serum liver enzymes in male Japanese health check-up examinees: an exploratory cross-sectional study. *Journal of physiological anthropology* **2016**, *35* (1), 15.
81. Chuang, Y. H.; Lill, C. M.; Lee, P. C.; Hansen, J.; Lassen, C. F.; Bertram, L.; Greene, N.; Sinsheimer, J. S.; Ritz, B., Gene-Environment Interaction in Parkinson's Disease: Coffee, ADORA2A, and CYP1A2. *Neuroepidemiology* **2016**, *47* (3-4), 192-200.
82. Casiglia, E.; Tikhonoff, V.; Albertini, F.; Favaro, J.; Montagnana, M.; Danese, E.; Finatti, F.; Benati, M.; Mazza, A.; Dal Maso, L.; Spinella, P.; Palatini, P., Caffeine intake and abstract reasoning among 1374 unselected men and women from general population. Role of the -163C>A polymorphism of CYP1A2 gene. *Clinical nutrition ESPEN* **2017**, *20*, 52-59.
83. Wang, T.; Huang, T.; Kang, J. H.; Zheng, Y.; Jensen, M. K.; Wiggs, J. L.; Pasquale, L. R.; Fuchs, C. S.; Campos, H.; Rimm, E. B.; Willett, W. C.; Hu, F. B.; Qi, L., Habitual coffee consumption and genetic predisposition to obesity: gene-diet interaction analyses in three US prospective studies. *BMC Med* **2017**, *15* (1), 97.

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 16]

84. Platt, D. E.; Ghassibe-Sabbagh, M.; Salameh, P.; Salloum, A. K.; Haber, M.; Mouzaya, F.; Gauguier, D.; Al-Sarraj, Y.; El-Shanti, H.; Zalloua, P. A.; Abchee, A. B., Caffeine Impact on Metabolic Syndrome Components Is Modulated by a CYP1A2 Variant. *Annals of nutrition & metabolism* **2016**, *68* (1), 1-11.
85. Palatini, P.; Benetti, E.; Mos, L.; Garavelli, G.; Mazzer, A.; Cozzio, S.; Fania, C.; Casiglia, E., Association of coffee consumption and CYP1A2 polymorphism with risk of impaired fasting glucose in hypertensive patients. *Eur J Epidemiol* **2015**, *30* (3), 209-17.
86. Cnattingius, S.; Signorello, L. B.; Anneren, G.; Clausson, B.; Ekbom, A.; Ljunger, E.; Blot, W. J.; McLaughlin, J. K.; Petersson, G.; Rane, A.; Granath, F., Caffeine intake and the risk of first-trimester spontaneous abortion. *N Engl J Med* **2000**, *343* (25), 1839-45.
87. Lawson, C. C.; LeMasters, G. K.; Wilson, K. A., Changes in caffeine consumption as a signal of pregnancy. *Reprod Toxicol* **2004**, *18* (5), 625-33.
88. Hook, E. B., Dietary cravings and aversions during pregnancy. *Am J Clin Nutr* **1978**, *31* (8), 1355-62.
89. Weigel, M. M.; Coe, K.; Castro, N. P.; Caiza, M. E.; Tello, N.; Reyes, M., Food aversions and cravings during early pregnancy: association with nausea and vomiting. *Ecology of food and nutrition* **2011**, *50* (3), 197-214.
90. Weigel, R. M.; Weigel, M. M., Nausea and vomiting of early pregnancy and pregnancy outcome. A meta-analytical review. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* **1989**, *96* (11), 1312-8.
91. Sayle, A. E.; Wilcox, A. J.; Weinberg, C. R.; Baird, D. D., A prospective study of the onset of symptoms of pregnancy. *J Clin Epidemiol* **2002**, *55* (7), 676-80.
92. Chan, R. L.; Olshan, A. F.; Savitz, D. A.; Herring, A. H.; Daniels, J. L.; Peterson, H. B.; Martin, S. L., Severity and duration of nausea and vomiting symptoms in pregnancy and spontaneous abortion. *Hum Reprod* **2010**, *25* (11), 2907-12.
93. Stein, Z.; Susser, M., Miscarriage, caffeine, and the epiphenomena of pregnancy: the causal model. *Epidemiology* **1991**, *2* (3), 163-7.
94. Geisert, R. D.; Ross, J. W.; Ashworth, M. D.; White, F. J.; Johnson, G. A.; DeSilva, U., Maternal recognition of pregnancy signal or endocrine disruptor: the two faces of oestrogen during establishment of pregnancy in the pig. *Society of Reproduction and Fertility supplement* **2006**, *62*, 131-45.
95. Wollenhaupt, K.; Brussow, K. P.; Tiemann, U.; Tomek, W., The embryonic pregnancy signal oestradiol influences gene expression at the level of translational initiation in porcine endometrial cells. *Reproduction in domestic animals = Zuchthygiene* **2007**, *42* (2), 167-75.
96. Brent, R. L.; Christian, M. S.; Diener, R. M., Evaluation of the reproductive and developmental risks of caffeine. *Birth defects research. Part B, Developmental and reproductive toxicology* **2011**, *92* (2), 152-87.
97. Porciuncula, L. O.; Sallaberry, C.; Mioranza, S.; Botton, P. H.; Rosemberg, D. B., The Janus face of caffeine. *Neurochem Int* **2013**, *63* (6), 594-609.
98. Peacock, A.; Hutchinson, D.; Wilson, J.; McCormack, C.; Bruno, R.; Olsson, C. A.; Allsop, S.; Elliott, E.; Burns, L.; Mattick, R. P., Adherence to the Caffeine Intake Guideline during Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10* (3).

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 17]

99. Sharma, D.; Shastri, S.; Farahbakhsh, N.; Sharma, P., Intrauterine growth restriction - part 1. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* **2016**, 1-11.
100. Rasmussen, L. G.; Lykke, J. A.; Staff, A. C., Angiogenic biomarkers in pregnancy: defining maternal and fetal health. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* **2015**, *94* (8), 820-32.
101. Bloomfield, F. H.; Spiroski, A. M.; Harding, J. E., Fetal growth factors and fetal nutrition. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* **2013**.
102. Forbes, K.; Westwood, M., Maternal growth factor regulation of human placental development and fetal growth. *J Endocrinol* **2010**, *207* (1), 1-16.
103. Russell, M.; Ramcharan, S., Oral contraceptive estrogen content and adverse effects. *Canadian family physician Medecin de famille canadien* **1987**, *33*, 445-60.
104. Speroff, L., The formulation of oral contraceptives: does the amount of estrogen make any clinical difference? *The Johns Hopkins medical journal* **1982**, *150* (5), 170-6.
105. Lawson, C. C.; LeMasters, G. K.; Levin, L. S.; Liu, J. H., Pregnancy hormone metabolite patterns, pregnancy symptoms, and coffee consumption. *Am J Epidemiol* **2002**, *156* (5), 428-37.
106. Spencer, T. E.; Johnson, G. A.; Bazer, F. W.; Burghardt, R. C.; Palmarini, M., Pregnancy recognition and conceptus implantation in domestic ruminants: roles of progesterone, interferons and endogenous retroviruses. *Reprod Fertil Dev* **2007**, *19* (1), 65-78.
107. Brooks, K.; Burns, G.; Spencer, T. E., Conceptus elongation in ruminants: roles of progesterone, prostaglandin, interferon tau and cortisol. *Journal of animal science and biotechnology* **2014**, *5* (1), 53.
108. Longtine, M. S.; Nelson, D. M., Placental dysfunction and fetal programming: the importance of placental size, shape, histopathology, and molecular composition. *Semin Reprod Med* **2011**, *29* (3), 187-96.
109. Cetin, I.; Alvino, G., Intrauterine growth restriction: implications for placental metabolism and transport. A review. *Placenta* **2009**, *30 Suppl A*, S77-82.
110. Choi, J. H.; Ryan, L. M.; Cramer, D. W.; Hornstein, M. D.; Missmer, S. A., Effects of Caffeine Consumption by Women and Men on the Outcome of In Vitro Fertilization. *Journal of caffeine research* **2011**, *1* (1), 29-34.
111. Sisti, J. S.; Hankinson, S. E.; Caporaso, N. E.; Gu, F.; Tamimi, R. M.; Rosner, B.; Xu, X.; Ziegler, R.; Eliassen, A. H., Caffeine, coffee, and tea intake and urinary estrogens and estrogen metabolites in premenopausal women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **2015**, *24* (8), 1174-83.
112. Flegal, K. M.; Graubard, B. I.; Williamson, D. F.; Cooper, R. S., Reverse causation and illness-related weight loss in observational studies of body weight and mortality. *Am J Epidemiol* **2011**, *173* (1), 1-9.
113. Kim, T. J.; von dem Knesebeck, O., Income and obesity: what is the direction of the relationship? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ open* **2018**, *8* (1), e019862.
114. Maselko, J.; Hayward, R. D.; Hanlon, A.; Buka, S.; Meador, K., Religious service attendance and major depression: a case of reverse causality? *Am J Epidemiol* **2012**, *175* (6), 576-83.

115. Kalantar-Zadeh, K.; Block, G.; Horwich, T.; Fonarow, G. C., Reverse epidemiology of conventional cardiovascular risk factors in patients with chronic heart failure. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* **2004**, *43* (8), 1439-44.
116. Stokes, A.; Preston, S. H., Smoking and reverse causation create an obesity paradox in cardiovascular disease. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **2015**, *23* (12), 2485-90.
117. Kerger, B. D.; Scott, P. K.; Pavuk, M.; Gough, M.; Paustenbach, D. J., Re-analysis of Ranch Hand study supports reverse causation hypothesis between dioxin and diabetes. *Critical reviews in toxicology* **2012**, *42* (8), 669-87.
118. Januar, V.; Desoye, G.; Novakovic, B.; Cvitic, S.; Saffery, R., Epigenetic regulation of human placental function and pregnancy outcome: considerations for causal inference. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **2015**, *213* (4 Suppl), S182-96.
119. Ahiadeke, C.; Gurak, D. T.; Schwager, S. J., Breastfeeding behavior and infant survival with emphasis on reverse causation bias: some evidence from Nigeria. *Social biology* **2000**, *47* (1-2), 94-113.
120. Fussman, C.; Todem, D.; Forster, J.; Arshad, H.; Urbanek, R.; Karmaus, W., Cow's milk exposure and asthma in a newborn cohort: repeated ascertainment indicates reverse causation. *The Journal of asthma : official journal of the Association for the Care of Asthma* **2007**, *44* (2), 99-105.
121. Grassi, M.; Assanelli, D.; Pezzini, A., Direct, reverse or reciprocal causation in the relation between homocysteine and ischemic heart disease. *Thrombosis research* **2007**, *120* (1), 61-9.
122. Kummeling, I.; Thijs, C., Reverse causation and confounding-by-indication: do they or do they not explain the association between childhood antibiotic treatment and subsequent development of respiratory illness? *Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **2008**, *38* (8), 1249-51.
123. Lodge, C. J.; Lowe, A. J.; Dharmage, S. C., Is reverse causation responsible for the link between duration of breastfeeding and childhood asthma? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **2008**, *178* (9), 994; author reply 995.
124. Luciano, M.; Marioni, R. E.; Gow, A. J.; Starr, J. M.; Deary, I. J., Reverse causation in the association between C-reactive protein and fibrinogen levels and cognitive abilities in an aging sample. *Psychosomatic medicine* **2009**, *71* (4), 404-9.
125. Kusunoki, T.; Morimoto, T.; Nishikomori, R.; Yasumi, T.; Heike, T.; Mukaida, K.; Fujii, T.; Nakahata, T., Breastfeeding and the prevalence of allergic diseases in schoolchildren: Does reverse causation matter? *Pediatric allergy and immunology : official publication of the European Society of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* **2010**, *21* (1 Pt 1), 60-6.
126. Barbui, C.; Gastaldon, C.; Cipriani, A., Benzodiazepines and risk of dementia: true association or reverse causation? *Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences* **2013**, *22* (4), 307-8.
127. Brunner, E. J.; Shipley, M. J.; Britton, A. R.; Stansfeld, S. A.; Heuschmann, P. U.; Rudd, A. G.; Wolfe, C. D.; Singh-Manoux, A.; Kivimaki, M., Depressive disorder, coronary heart disease, and stroke: dose-response and reverse causation effects in the Whitehall II cohort study. *European journal of preventive cardiology* **2014**, *21* (3), 340-6.
128. Duncan, G. E.; Mills, B.; Strachan, E.; Hurvitz, P.; Huang, R.; Moudon, A. V.; Turkheimer, E., Stepping towards causation in studies of neighborhood and

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 19]

environmental effects: how twin research can overcome problems of selection and reverse causation. *Health & place* **2014**, *27*, 106-11.

129. Belfort, M. B.; Kuban, K. C.; O'Shea, T. M.; Allred, E. N.; Ehrenkranz, R. A.; Engelke, S. C.; Leviton, A., Weight Status in the First 2 Years of Life and Neurodevelopmental Impairment in Extremely Low Gestational Age Newborns. *J Pediatr* **2016**, *168*, 30-5 e2.

130. Niedziela, J.; Hudzik, B.; Niedziela, N.; Gasior, M.; Gierlotka, M.; Wasilewski, J.; Myrda, K.; Lekston, A.; Polonski, L.; Rozentryt, P., The obesity paradox in acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol* **2014**, *29* (11), 801-12.

131. Kalantar-Zadeh, K.; Horwich, T. B.; Oreopoulos, A.; Kovesdy, C. P.; Younessi, H.; Anker, S. D.; Morley, J. E., Risk factor paradox in wasting diseases. *Current opinion in clinical nutrition and metabolic care* **2007**, *10* (4), 433-42.

132. Habbu, A.; Lakkis, N. M.; Dokainish, H., The obesity paradox: fact or fiction? *The American journal of cardiology* **2006**, *98* (7), 944-8.

133. Krishna, U.; Bhalerao, S., Placental insufficiency and fetal growth restriction. *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology of India* **2011**, *61* (5), 505-11.

134. Parra-Saavedra, M.; Simeone, S.; Triunfo, S.; Crovetto, F.; Botet, F.; Nadal, A.; Gratacos, E.; Figueras, F., Correlation between histological signs of placental underperfusion and perinatal morbidity in late-onset small-for-gestational-age fetuses. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* **2015**, *45* (2), 149-55.

135. Kingdom, J. C.; Audette, M. C.; Hobson, S. R.; Windrim, R. C.; Morgen, E., A placenta clinic approach to the diagnosis and management of fetal growth restriction. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **2018**, *218* (2S), S803-S817.

136. Karalexi, M. A.; Skalkidou, A.; Thomopoulos, T. P.; Belechri, M.; Biniaris-Georgallis, S. I.; Bouka, E.; Baka, M.; Hatzipantelis, E.; Kourti, M.; Polychronopoulou, S.; Sidi, V.; Stiakaki, E.; Moschovi, M.; Dessypris, N.; Petridou, E. T., History of Maternal Fetal Loss and Childhood Leukaemia Risk in Subsequent Offspring: Differentials by Miscarriage or Stillbirth History and Disease Subtype. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* **2015**, *29* (5), 453-61.

137. Rudant, J.; Amigou, A.; Orsi, L.; Althaus, T.; Leverger, G.; Baruchel, A.; Bertrand, Y.; Nelken, B.; Plat, G.; Michel, G.; Sirvent, N.; Chastagner, P.; Ducassou, S.; Rialland, X.; Hemon, D.; Clavel, J., Fertility treatments, congenital malformations, fetal loss, and childhood acute leukemia: the ESCALE study (SFCE). *Pediatr Blood Cancer* **2013**, *60* (2), 301-8.

138. Ajrouche, R.; Rudant, J.; Orsi, L.; Petit, A.; Baruchel, A.; Nelken, B.; Pasquet, M.; Michel, G.; Bergeron, C.; Ducassou, S.; Gandemer, V.; Lutz, P.; Saumet, L.; Rialland, X.; Hemon, D.; Clavel, J., Maternal reproductive history, fertility treatments and folic acid supplementation in the risk of childhood acute leukemia: the ESTELLE study. *Cancer Causes Control* **2014**, *25* (10), 1283-93.

139. Yeazel, M. W.; Buckley, J. D.; Woods, W. G.; Ruccione, K.; Robison, L. L., History of maternal fetal loss and increased risk of childhood acute leukemia at an early age. A report from the Childrens Cancer Group. *Cancer* **1995**, *75* (7), 1718-27.

140. O'Neill, K. A.; Murphy, M. F.; Bunch, K. J.; Puumala, S. E.; Carozza, S. E.; Chow, E. J.; Mueller, B. A.; McLaughlin, C. C.; Reynolds, P.; Vincent, T. J.; Von Behren, J.; Spector, L. G., Infant birthweight and risk of childhood cancer: international population-based case control studies of 40 000 cases. *Int J Epidemiol* **2015**, *44* (1), 153-68.

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 20]

141. Gruhn, B.; Taub, J. W.; Ge, Y.; Beck, J. F.; Zell, R.; Hafer, R.; Hermann, F. H.; Debatin, K. M.; Steinbach, D., Prenatal origin of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia, association with birth weight and hyperdiploidy. *Leukemia : official journal of the Leukemia Society of America, Leukemia Research Fund, U.K* **2008**, *22* (9), 1692-7.
142. O'Neill, K. A.; Bunch, K. J.; Vincent, T. J.; Spector, L. G.; Moorman, A. V.; Murphy, M. F., Immunophenotype and cytogenetic characteristics in the relationship between birth weight and childhood leukemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* **2012**, *58* (1), 7-11.
143. Caughey, R. W.; Michels, K. B., Birth weight and childhood leukemia: a meta-analysis and review of the current evidence. *International journal of cancer. Journal international du cancer* **2009**, *124* (11), 2658-70.
144. Hjalgrim, L. L.; Rostgaard, K.; Hjalgrim, H.; Westergaard, T.; Thomassen, H.; Forestier, E.; Gustafsson, G.; Kristinsson, J.; Melbye, M.; Schmiegelow, K., Birth weight and risk for childhood leukemia in Denmark, Sweden, Norway, and Iceland. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* **2004**, *96* (20), 1549-56.
145. Kuzniewicz, M. W.; Wi, S.; Qian, Y.; Walsh, E. M.; Armstrong, M. A.; Croen, L. A., Prevalence and neonatal factors associated with autism spectrum disorders in preterm infants. *J Pediatr* **2014**, *164* (1), 20-5.
146. Voerman, E.; Jaddoe, V. W.; Gishti, O.; Hofman, A.; Franco, O. H.; Gaillard, R., Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy, early growth, and body fat distribution at school age. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **2016**.
147. Chen, L. W.; Wu, Y.; Neelakantan, N.; Chong, M. F.; Pan, A.; van Dam, R. M., Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy is associated with risk of low birth weight: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *BMC Med* **2014**, *12*, 174.
148. Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and risk of fetal growth restriction: a large prospective observational study. *Bmj* **2008**, *337*, a2332.
149. Bakker, R.; Steegers, E. A.; Obradov, A.; Raat, H.; Hofman, A.; Jaddoe, V. W., Maternal caffeine intake from coffee and tea, fetal growth, and the risks of adverse birth outcomes: the Generation R Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **2010**, *91* (6), 1691-8.
150. Barry, D., Differential recall bias and spurious associations in case/control studies. *Stat Med* **1996**, *15* (23), 2603-16.
151. Muller, K. F.; Briel, M.; D'Amario, A.; Kleijnen, J.; Marusic, A.; Wager, E.; Antes, G.; von Elm, E.; Lang, B.; Motschall, E.; Gloy, V.; Schwarzer, G.; Altman, D.; Meerpohl, J. J.; Bassler, D., Defining publication bias: protocol for a systematic review of highly cited articles and proposal for a new framework. *Systematic reviews* **2013**, *2*, 34.
152. Ioannidis, J. P.; Munafo, M. R.; Fusar-Poli, P.; Nosek, B. A.; David, S. P., Publication and other reporting biases in cognitive sciences: detection, prevalence, and prevention. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **2014**, *18* (5), 235-41.
153. Dwan, K.; Gamble, C.; Williamson, P. R.; Kirkham, J. J., Systematic review of the empirical evidence of study publication bias and outcome reporting bias - an updated review. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8* (7), e66844.
154. Connor, J. T., Positive reasons for publishing negative findings. *The American journal of gastroenterology* **2008**, *103* (9), 2181-3.
155. Post, R. M., Biased public health perspective on depression treatment: media bias on publication bias. *Am J Psychiatry* **2009**, *166* (8), 934-5.
156. Mathew, S. J.; Charney, D. S., Publication bias and the efficacy of antidepressants. *Am J Psychiatry* **2009**, *166* (2), 140-5.

Biases posed by studies of early pregnancy coffee consumption [page 21]

157. Bowden, J.; Jackson, D.; Thompson, S. G., Modelling multiple sources of dissemination bias in meta-analysis. *Stat Med* **2010**, *29* (7-8), 945-55.
158. Meerpohl, J. J.; Schell, L. K.; Bassler, D.; Gallus, S.; Kleijnen, J.; Kulig, M.; La Vecchia, C.; Marusic, A.; Ravaud, P.; Reis, A.; Schmucker, C.; Strech, D.; Urrutia, G.; Wager, E.; Antes, G., Evidence-informed recommendations to reduce dissemination bias in clinical research: conclusions from the OPEN (Overcome failure to Publish nEgative fiNDings) project based on an international consensus meeting. *BMJ open* **2015**, *5* (5), e006666.
159. Carroll, H. A.; Toumpakari, Z.; Johnson, L.; Betts, J. A., The perceived feasibility of methods to reduce publication bias. *PLoS One* **2017**, *12* (10), e0186472.
160. Nissen, S. B.; Magidson, T.; Gross, K.; Bergstrom, C. T., Publication bias and the canonization of false facts. *eLife* **2016**, *5*.
161. Young, N. S.; Ioannidis, J. P.; Al-Ubaydli, O., Why current publication practices may distort science. *PLoS Med* **2008**, *5* (10), e201.
162. Kicinski, M.; Springate, D. A.; Kontopantelis, E., Publication bias in meta-analyses from the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. *Stat Med* **2015**, *34* (20), 2781-93.
163. Simmonds, M., Quantifying the risk of error when interpreting funnel plots. *Systematic reviews* **2015**, *4*, 24.
164. Mueller, K. F.; Meerpohl, J. J.; Briel, M.; Antes, G.; von Elm, E.; Lang, B.; Motschall, E.; Schwarzer, G.; Bassler, D., Methods for detecting, quantifying, and adjusting for dissemination bias in meta-analysis are described. *J Clin Epidemiol* **2016**, *80*, 25-33.