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Abstract: An innovative wireless sensor network (WSN) based on Ultra-Wide Band (UWB) technology for 3D accurate superficial monitoring of ground deformations, as landslides and subsidence, is proposed. The system has been designed and developed as part of an European Life+ project, called Wi-GIM (Wireless Sensor Network for Ground Instability Monitoring). The details of the architecture, the localization via wireless technology and data processing protocols are described. The flexibility and accuracy achieved by the UWB two-way ranging technique is analysed and compared with the traditional systems, such as robotic total stations (RTSs), Ground-based Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (GB-InSAR), highlighting the pros and cons of the UWB solution to detect the surface movements. An extensive field trial campaign allows the validation of the system and the analysis of its sensitivity to different factors (e.g., sensor nodes inter-visibility, effects of the temperature, etc.). The Wi-GIM system represents a promising solution for landslide monitoring and it can be adopted in conjunction with traditional systems or as an alternative in areas where the available resources are inadequate. The versatility, easy/fast deployment and cost-effectiveness, together with the good accuracy, make the Wi-GIM system a possible solution for municipalities that cannot afford expensive/complex systems to monitor potential landslides in their territory.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Motivation

Continuous and reliable field monitoring, possibly associated with early warning systems, are essential tools for hazard assessment and ground instability risk management. Different monitoring techniques are used to measure the relevant parameters, such as ground displacements, ground and surface water conditions and climatic parameters.

A large number of different techniques for displacement monitoring has been made available to geoscientist in recent years [1,2]. The monitoring instruments typically include: extensometers, borehole inclinometers, superficial tilt-meters and clinimeters, topographic instruments, satellite
interferometry, Ground-based interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (GB-InSAR) [3–6] and robotic total stations (RTSs) [7–10].

Moreover, Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) has been proposed to overcome the need of line of sight (LOS) condition and to provide high-precision 3D monitoring [11–14]. The development of low-cost GNSS equipment, opened new possibilities for the application of such technology to landslides monitoring [15–17].

Data storage and transmission are still generally based on cable connections between sensors, data loggers and General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) modems. Wiring each sensor to a central data logger is often not feasible in a landslide, because it requires high maintenance and is subject to single point of failure. This significantly raises the effort needed for installation and maintenance, both in terms of cost and time.

Recently, environmental detection, monitoring and prediction of a catastrophic event is often supported by wireless sensor network (WSN) technology that can be used for real-time monitoring of natural phenomena and data extraction. Despite the WSN typical limitations, e.g., memory, power and throughput, the use of WSN can be suitable for environmental real-time monitoring because it has the capability of large scale deployment, low maintenance, scalability and adaptability to different scenarios. In addition, WSN implementation is fast and easy if compared to the other existing technologies for landslides or subsidence monitoring. The use of WSNs for landslide monitoring started to be proposed in literature [18]. In particular, multiple sensors are used to retrieve and remotely send several parameters (temperature, humidity, etc.), but not to directly measure the ground movement. New approaches in measuring ground instability through several distributed low-cost wireless connected sensors are becoming more and more widespread. [19] implemented a distributed strain sensors system to predict landslides. [20] proposed a WSN able to measure the strain in the rock due to the build up pressure. [21] implemented and deployed a WSN for landslide monitoring in the northern Italy Apennines highlighting the advantages and limits of the method. Each node includes an accelerometer for slope movements detection and instrument for monitoring temperature, pressure, humidity. [22] measured ground motions induced by surface mining through three-axial accelerometer units.

These experiences highlight important advantages of the WSN over traditional ground-based monitoring techniques, such as: i) capability of collecting, aggregating and analyzing, from a multi-point perspective, diverse and distributed data; ii) possibility to be very quickly deployed without requiring any pre-existing infrastructure; iii) limited-cost wide area coverage, thanks to the exploitation of multi-hop communications; iv) existence of low-cost energy-efficient algorithms to allow the network to run for months without human intervention; v) reduced vulnerability and environmental impact due to the adoption of wireless communications with respect to physical wiring among sensors and data loggers; vi) possibility of coexistence and integration with existing instruments, acting as an infrastructure to collect, process, and transmit data to a remote control center. It is important to note that the WSNs have been mainly used to move information, not to measure the movements with a radio frequency technology. The measure of the ground movement is made by inertial sensors, or classical extensometers, or other traditional device, which is then interconnected by the WSN to a data logger.

The diffusion of WSNs for landslide monitoring has been limited by four main factors: i) accuracy of the measure; ii) energy consumption; iii) need of post-processing of acquired data; iv) capability of monitoring only a few points.

1.2. Objectives and Project framework

To overcome these limits, an innovative WSN based on the adoption of UWB technology for the 3D accurate superficial monitoring of ground deformations, such as landslides and subsidence, is here proposed. The main idea is to detect the surface movements by acquiring the position of many sensor nodes (organized in clusters) distributed over the monitored area. Each sensor node uses the
UWB two-way ranging technique to measure the distance with all the others. The main features of the proposed system are: i) easy and quick installation, ii) flexibility and capability to adapt to different application scenarios, iii) cost effectiveness, and iv) accuracy, also in non light of sight (NLOS) conditions.

These activities have been carried out in the framework of the Wi-GIM project (Wireless sensor network for Ground Instability Monitoring) within the European Life+ financing program for the environment [23]. Addressing to the increase of landslide phenomena, which cause damage to buildings, infrastructures, territory, and population, the solution is the monitoring of phenomena and the (early) warning of the resident population in risky areas.

Wi-GIM project aims to obtain a hydrogeological monitoring system that reduces the installation time with respect to the more traditional instruments, and consequently reduces the risks for operational personnel involved in the field activities, as well as promptly warns the civilians in areas at risk. An innovative landslides monitoring system (sloping landslide, subsidence) capable of providing high precision, rapid installation, optimization of energy management and cost-effective investments has been designed, developed and tested through an extensive experimental campaign.

2. Materials and Methods

The objective of this section is to describe the proposed solution for ground deformation monitoring. In particular, the Wi-GIM architecture, able to perform accurate measurements of the ground movements, is presented, highlighting the adopted localization technology, the communication protocols, the management algorithms and the post-processing methods. The methodologies, followed to design, develop and validate the system in a real environment are also reported.

2.1. Wi-GIM System Architecture

The WI-GIM system is designed to yield accurate measurements of ground movements by defining a grid over the soil surface to be used to monitor landslide movements in an efficient and cost-effective way, allowing an easy and quick deployment over a risk area of a large amount of sensors.

As depicted in Figure 1, an ad-hoc wireless sensor network based on a modified star topology is designed. The master and slave paradigm, together with clustering technique, is adopted to allow a versatile and energy-aware estimation of the distances among the network nodes.

![Figure 1. Wi-GIM Network Topology.](image-url)
In detail, each master node periodically estimates the distances of the slave nodes belonging to its cluster through the adoption of the UWB technology and a pre-processing of the received signals. The obtained information is then transmitted to the remote server via a GSM/GPRS/3G link for a complete post-processing of all the data coming from the different clusters distributed over the monitored area. As a result, the variation of the distances among the network nodes over the time is computed to evaluate the ground movements.

The innovative feature of the proposed system is represented by the exploitation of the impulsive radio frequency technology for achieving an accurate inter-nodes distance estimation, rather than only connectivity among the sensors nodes. This concept is better described in Sec. 2.2, where both the advantages and drawback of the adoption of the UWB technology are highlighted.

Each Wi-GIM network node consists of an electronic board with a micro-controller ARM Cortex M3, a battery, an UWB module and different additional modules, that characterize the specific node function within the network architecture. Mainly, two types of nodes are defined: the master nodes (MNs) and slave nodes (SNs). The former are additionally equipped with a SD memory card, a GPS and GSM/GPRS/3G communication modules, while the latter are optionally equipped with GPS. In Table 1 a list of the network nodes components/modules and their functions is provided.
Table 1. Wi-GIM Network Nodes Components and Modules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component/Module</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>MN</th>
<th>SN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micro-controller</td>
<td>ARM Cortex M3 micro-controller</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>A 12 V, 7.2 Ah lead acid battery for node power supply</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWB</td>
<td>Decawave Sensor DWM1000 Module (2017a) for communications and ranging.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD memory card</td>
<td>Storage of the IDs of SNs and the number of hops to reach them.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Time reference signal required for slave coordination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM/GPRS/3G</td>
<td>Long-range communication modules for data transmission to the remote server.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MN: Master Node; SN: Slave Node; (*) Optional

2.2. UWB Technology for Distance Estimation

The UWB technology is extremely efficient for the monitoring of fast landslides, thanks to its main characteristics, such as low energy power consumption, high-bandwidth communications over a large portion of the radio spectrum (>500 MHz) and immunity to electromagnetic interference generated by element present on the soil.

The impulse-based UWB enables an accurate ranging and high-precision localization of the network nodes [24] [25]. The distance estimation is based on the time of flight (TOF) measurement of a radio impulse sent from one UWB module to another. Since the radio impulses travel at the speed of light, the distance between the two modules can be easy estimated, by converting the TOF into a distance. The accuracy of the estimation of the distance is due to the exploitation of the wide band of the transmitted signal, which translates on small impulse over time. In particular, the chosen UWB module, Decawave Sensor DWM1000 Module (2017a) (Figure 3 and Figure 4) uses signals with bandwidth of 500 MHz resulting in 0.16 ns-wide pulses. The fine timing resolution of the transmitted impulsive signal drastically limits the signal overlapping at the receiver, allowing an accurate ranging even in places characterized by many reflectors, as in the case of landslides.

The Decawave Module integrates: the Abracon ACA-107-T dielectric chip antenna (3200-7200 MHz frequency range), all radio frequency circuitry, power management and clock circuitry. It can be used in two-way ranging or TOF location systems to locate assets to a precision of 10 cm and it supports data rates of up to 6.8 Mbps.

Figure 3. UWB Module: Decawave Sensor DWM1000.
The obtained UWB ranging accuracy is detailed in Sec. 3 (Table 4). Laboratory and field tests shows that the UWB ranging systems works fine for node-distances ranging from 60 to 110 meters. The performed precision for an inter-nodes distance of 60m, in line of sight (LOS) conditions, is between 7 and 10 cm.

It is worth highlighting that in the Wi-GIM system architecture, the UWB chipset provides both localization information of the nodes (i.e., measurement of the inter-node distances) and communication links, avoiding the need of implementing dedicated sensors and therefore representing a promising solution in terms of cost and energy saving.

2.2.1. UWB Two-way Ranging Technique

Two primary methods can be considered for estimating the distance between a pair of nodes: the first one, based on a single communication between the nodes, expects a strictly fine time synchronization; whereas, the second one exploits several exchanges of information between the nodes, but does not need any time synchronization [26]. The latter solution has been chosen to be implemented in this system; in particular, an advanced two-way ranging method called symmetric double-sided two-way ranging (SDS-TWR) has been used.

---

**Figure 4.** Decawave Sensor DWM1000: Block Diagram.

**Figure 5.** Symmetric double-sided two-way ranging protocol.
Figure 5 illustrates the SDS-TWR protocol, which exploits three communication messages (poll, response and final) between the NodeA and NodeB to determine the TOF. If we consider \(T_A^{\text{round}} = T_4 - T_1\) and \(T_A^{\text{replay}} = T_5 - T_4\) respectively the round trip time and the reply time which refer to the NodeA clock, \(T_B^{\text{round}} = T_6 - T_3\) and \(T_B^{\text{replay}} = T_3 - T_2\) the round trip time and the reply time which refer to the NodeB clock, then, the TOF between the NodeA and NodeB can be expressed as follows:

\[
\text{TOF}_{\text{SDS}} = \frac{(T_A^{\text{round}} - T_A^{\text{replay}}) + (T_B^{\text{round}} - T_B^{\text{replay}})}{4}.
\]  

(1)

The multiplication between the \(\text{TOF}_{\text{SDS}}\) and the speed of light in vacuum \((2.99792458 \times 10^8)\) provides the ranging estimation.

If we assume a fixed frequency drifts \(e_A\) and \(e_B\) which refer to the NodeA and NodeB clocks, respectively, the (1) becomes

\[
\hat{\text{TOF}}_{\text{SDS}} = \frac{(T_A^{\text{round}} - T_A^{\text{replay}})(1 + e_A) + (T_B^{\text{round}} - T_B^{\text{replay}})(1 + e_B)}{4},
\]  

(2)

and the error due to drifts in the TOF computation is

\[
\hat{\text{TOF}}_{\text{SDS}} - \text{TOF}_{\text{SDS}} = \frac{\text{TOF}_{\text{SDS}}}{2}(e_A + e_B) + \frac{T_B^{\text{replay}} - T_A^{\text{replay}}}{4}(e_A - e_B)
\]  

(3)

If the \(\text{TOF}_{\text{SDS}}\) is much less than the difference between the two reply times, the error due to clock drifts tends to depend only on the second term in (3), i.e. the product between the time difference of the reply times and the difference between the clock drifts.

2.3. Processing and communication protocols

An overview of the overall functioning of the Wi-GIM monitoring system is provided in the following by analysing the defined algorithms implemented by the master nodes for the coordination operations and the data processing techniques for ground movements tracking.

2.3.1. Network Initialization: Clustering Algorithm

After the network nodes (MNs and SNs) displacement over the monitored area, the clustering algorithm starts. Each MN scans the network to gather information of those SNs that are in LOS condition, then asks these SNs to inform it about the SNs in LOS with them (but in NLOS with the MN). This procedure allows the MN to have a map of all the SNs which are in LOS and the ones reachable through 1 hop thanks to SNs relaying mechanisms. Once the MN completes its SNs map, the cluster is defined and those nodes will be considered for the monitoring strategies. Its worth highlighting that after the initialization phase the cluster cannot be modified: the introduction of an additional node requires a new scan of the network. On the other hand, the MN continuously monitor the status of each SN of its cluster (e.g.: battery level, correct functioning), as better described in the following.

2.3.2. On Site Master Node: Coordination and Pre-Processing

In the Wi-GIM system architecture a MN is responsible for the coordination of the SNs of its cluster, which consists of a maximum of 15 nodes, to not increase the number of transmissions among them; while a SN is in charge of measuring the distance between itself and all surrounding nodes (slaves master alike).

In order to manage the network resources allocation, the MN sends the activation control signal to a SN, enabling it to occupy the channel and perform the ranging operations. The SNs are activated one at a time. Upon reception of the activation signal, the SN sends an UWB impulsive signal to all its neighbour nodes (LOS nodes), which send it backwards. As the SN completes the distance
measurements, by calculating the two-way TOF, it sends the results to the MN and then releases the
channel. The MN can now send the activation control signal to another SN and the process is repeated
until all the SNs are activated.

As reported in Sec. 2.2, an initial network scanning allows the MN to draw a sketch of the
network connections between the nodes, identifying also those SNs that are not directly reachable
from the MN. The activation of those SNs is performed through a relay mechanism: the MN activation
command is relayed by a LOS SN to the NLOS SN. The IDs of the SNs and the number of hops
to reach each specific node are stored in the MN memory card. As the complete scan of the nodes
is finished, the MN sends the sleep command and the wake-up time to the slaves. All the SNs
deactivate all their power-consuming modules and then reactivate them at the programmed time. The
scheduled measurements activation/deactivation allows an efficient management of the nodes’ battery
consumption. A single entire measurement cycle (from activation to back in sleep mode) lasts around
90 seconds. For an earth flow, given its slow temporal evolution, the measurement frequency can be
2-4 acquisition per day in order to save batteries. For a longer use of the WSN on a landslide, the
battery of each node can be changed without moving the node. The proposed distance measurements
system requires a time reference. As previously mentioned, the MN is equipped with a GPS module,
whose function is to provide the GPS time reference to be spread among the SNs before starting the
ranging measurements. After all distances are collected, the MN sends a report file to the remote server
via GSM/GPRS/3G connection. The periodical report on the status of the cluster/network includes:
the distances measured by all SNs and the corresponding time stamp, the battery level information of
the SNs and other useful information (e.g. non-responding nodes, temperature, etc).

2.3.3. Remote Post-Processing

The information collected by the remote server and coming from the MNs shall be processed in a
synchronized and coordinated way in order to correctly use the data for the ground monitoring (grid
creation). The post-processing is performed by the adoption of specific Matlab functions developed
for data filtering, for automatically comparing displacement velocities with fixed thresholds and
sending automatic notifications, and also for plotting the displacement diagrams of all the possible
combinations of nodes, useful for advanced analyses by the operators. The basic processing consists
of:

1. outliers identification and removal (outlier filtering);
2. temperature-dependent error correction;
3. averaging over several measures, e.g., one day (statistic filtering);
4. constant offset correction.

The effect of the post-processing and in particular of the filtering, is shown in Sec. 3.1.1.

2.4. Laboratory and Field Tests: Wi-GIM System Validation

The prototype of the WI-GIM system has been designed and implemented satisfying the
requirements of high flexibility, rapid installation times and limited investment costs (low ratio
of cost/extension of the area monitored). The test campaign of the WSN prototype includes:

1. Laboratory tests. These tests aim to prove the nominal performance of the prototype.
2. Early calibration tests and sensitivity analyses. These tests aim at highlighting the system dependence
to environmental and physical parameters (snow, rain, obstacles) and lead to the introduction of
some technical improvements of the prototype (e.g.: firmware redesign for battery management
optimization - sleep mode, single hopping mode. They have been carried out in Arcetri, Florence
(Central Italy) and result in a higher performance system level.
3. Landslide application tests. Two target sites having different geomorphological characteristics,
one in Italy (Roncovetro) and one in Spain (Sallent) have been considered.. The Roncovetro
site is characterized by a medium-fast sloping landslide, while Sallent’s site suffers subsidence
problems, due to an underground cavity resulting from the abandonment of a mining field in the 70’s.

2.4.1. System Sensitivity Analyses (Arcetri)

As testified by the results reported in Sec. 3, a set of system sensitivity field tests has been carried out. The test site, chosen both for logistic reasons (easy accessibility) and for its characteristics (outdoor setting with heterogeneous vegetation), is represented by an open environment located in Arcetri, Florence (Central Italy). No landslides or ground movements were expected in the selected site, but the nodes were moved manually, if needed. This has allowed to determine the precision of the system and the factors of influence, being sure that real movements should have been excluded. Both the presence of obstacles (LOS and NLOS conditions) and the temperature variations have been tested in order to evaluate their effect on the performed measurements.

Figure 6 shows a high resolution digital elevation model (DEM) of the setting area reconstructed through photogrammetric analysis of aerial images acquired from a drone. This was a very useful tool for the accurate definition of the line of sight between nodes, their relative distance, the presence and characteristics of obstacles for the assessment of their influence on range readings. Six different clusters were deployed for a total of 16 sensors. Table 2 reports the cluster details, including number of nodes, installation and removal dates and the specific scope of the tests they are involved in.

![Figure 6. High resolution DEM of Arcetri Test site: clusters and node positions.](image-url)
Table 2. Clusters details of Arcetri Test site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster No.</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
<th>Installation (yyyy/mm/dd)</th>
<th>Removal (yyyy/mm/dd)</th>
<th>Test objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 MN and 3 SNs</td>
<td>2016/10/13</td>
<td>2017/02/06</td>
<td>Inter-visibility between couples of nodes of the same network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 MN and 3 SNs</td>
<td>2016/11/11</td>
<td>2017/01/20</td>
<td>Temperature influence on the ranging measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 MN and 1 SN</td>
<td>2016/12/12</td>
<td>2016/12/27</td>
<td>System behaviour in case of obstacles between nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 MN and 1 SN</td>
<td>2017/01/03</td>
<td>2017/02/01</td>
<td>System behaviour in case of obstacles between nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 MN and 1 SN</td>
<td>2017/01/20</td>
<td>2017/02/06</td>
<td>System behaviour in case of obstacles between nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 MN and 1 SN</td>
<td>2017/02/01</td>
<td>2017/02/16</td>
<td>System behaviour in case of obstacles between nodes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.2. Roncovetro Landslide Monitoring

The Roncovetro landslide is a 2.5km long complex landslide with a volume of 3 million $m^3$. It is located in the Emilia Romagna Region (Northern Italy) and carves the southern slope of Mount Staffola (where the crown is located) down to the Tassobbio Stream (at the toe), where it partially dams the watercourse, creating a small seasonal lake (Figure 7). This site has been chosen for test the Wi-GIM system on an actual case of instability [27] [28], putting it under stressed conditions. Two different conventional monitoring techniques have been considered for the Roncovetro landslide: terrestrial laser scanning and robotized total station (RTS). Moreover a weather station has been specifically purchased to evaluate the WI-GIM performance in different meteorological conditions and to identify the main links between the earth-flow activity and the main meteorological parameters.
Two clusters have been installed. Cluster 1 was composed of 11 sensors installed in the middle sector of the Roncovetro earthflow and it was equipped with 1 GPS for time reference and 1 modem to remotely send acquired data. The aim of this installation was to evaluate Wi-GIM performance in an active landslide environment with an average inter-nodes distances range of 60-90 m. Three additional nodes were installed in stable areas to back-calculate the position of the others. While, Cluster 2 was composed of 12 sensors installed in the upper sector of the Roncovetro earthflow and it was equipped with 4 GPS (1 for time reference and 3 for positioning purposes) and 1 modem to remotely send acquired data. The clusters acquisition rate was twice/day.

3. Results

This section provides a detailed description of the results achieved during the Wi-GIM experimental test campaign.

In order to validate the proposed system and highlight the benefits and drawback coming from the adoption of UWB technology for the landslides monitoring, the WI-GIM performance has been compared with the one obtained by the use of conventional instruments. As traditional monitoring system the RTS has been considered. Moreover, the continuous wave radar (CWR) has been tested and considered for comparison in terms of coverage and accuracy.

3.1. UWB Distance Estimation and Accuracy

3.1.1. UWB Ranging Measurement Filtering

Figure 8 reports an example of the ranging data filtering steps performed by the remote server, starting from the received raw data from the MNs.
In detail, the processing of the ranging measurement of nodes 1-6 (Cluster 1) located in Roncovetro is shown. The distances measured by the MN are plotted as a function of time (raw data) in Figure 8a, where the blue circles and crosses represent the distances measured from one node to the other and vice versa. Where measurement points are extremely dense, they are overlapped. In fact, it is worth highlighting that the system has an intrinsic redundancy, due to the double measurement of the inter-nodes distance: node X measures its distance from node Y and then node Y measures its distance from node X.

The first step of the filtering process consists of the removal of zero and non-numerical values from the raw data (Figure 8b). Then the outliers (values with a distance greater than 1 m compared to the previous value) are removed (Figure 8c - Outliers Filtering), and finally the mean operation is performed (Figure 8d - Statistic Filtering).

3.1.2. UWB and RTS Ranging Measurement Comparison

In Figure 9, the comparison between the UWB and RTS measurements is reported. The former shows an offset relative to the measurement of the absolute distance (Figure 9a). Although this offset is not relevant for the monitoring purposes, since the distance variation is the only significant parameter that matters for early warning, it is compensated in Figure 9a to highlight the strong correlation between the UWB and RTS measurements.

Figure 8. Processing procedure of the distance between node 1 and 6 measured by the UWB technology over one year (Cluster 1 - Roncovetro).
(a) Data averaged over one day without offset compensation. (b) Data averaged over one day with offset compensation.

Figure 9. Comparison between the Wi-GIM (UWB) and the RTS systems to measure the distance between node 1 and 6 (Cluster 1 - Roncovetro).

3.1.3. UWB and CWR Precision Performance

UWB ranging systems work fine with an inter-nodes distance ranging from 60m and 110m. The accuracy obtained working at a distance of 60m with LOS condition is between 7cm and 10cm. The UWB technology presents low energy power consumption, it is immune to electromagnetic interference generated by element present on the soil and it is extremely efficient for the monitoring of fast landslides. The accuracy of the system was tested both under laboratory conditions and in the field of application (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Maximum Inter-Node Distance (m)</th>
<th>Precision (cm)</th>
<th>Filters</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UWB</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>Outlier filtering, Statistic filtering</td>
<td>Antenna at 1 m to the ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>Outlier filtering, Statistic filtering, temperature compensation</td>
<td>Antenna at 1 m to the ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWR</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>Frequency + Phase + MUSIC Algorithm</td>
<td>Vertical shift higher than 12 cm needed to discriminate the signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Frequency + Phase</td>
<td>Normal corner reflector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.7-0.9</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The UWB module in the average has shown an accuracy of 2 cm and a coverage of 110 m in LOS condition. The energy consumption is 61mA/h. The CWR module has shown an accuracy of 0.7 cm and a coverage of 60 m in LOS condition. The energy consumption is resulted too high for a battery
used to power a node with the UWB capability only; thus, as expected, the radar module is thought to be used only on the master nodes that can be powered by a high capacity battery.

3.2. Analysis of the Influence Factors Effects on Distance Estimation

3.2.1. LOS/NLOS condition effect

Although it is important to try to install all the sensors with inter-visibility among them, the presence on an obstacle between the transmitting and the receiving node (NLOS condition) shall be analysed to evaluate the impact on the ranging measurements. Therefore, some tests have been performed to assess the UWB technology sensitivity to obstacles and the corresponding decrease of coverage. In fact, NLOS conditions implies a bias in the estimation of the distance. The nominal value of the expected error of the distance measurement in LOS condition and one-shot measure is 10 cm. However, as already discussed, the application of processing techniques over several measurements allows to obtain an error of 2-3 cm, improving the system performance.

The operating range of the sensor nodes has been experimentally tested. Nodes can communicate and perform the ranging procedure properly up to 150 m in LOS condition, although Decawave data sheet reports 290 m. NLOS condition can decrease the accuracy of the ranging, as well as the quality of communication between nodes [29]. The precision in NLOS condition has been measured to be in the range of 20-50 cm, depending on the nature of the obstacle (stone, tree, bush, etc.).

In order to precisely determine the ranging accuracy of the DVM1000 a systematic evaluation approach has been adopted: two nodes were placed at a known distance, then, a set of multiple measurements has been collected and the mean and standard deviation of the measured data have been computed. Some of the experimental results are shown in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Real Distance [m]</th>
<th>Distance Mean [m]</th>
<th>Standard Dev. [m]</th>
<th>Error [m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOS Indoor</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.736</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.32</td>
<td>30.278</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS Outdoor</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.623</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.329</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.32</td>
<td>25.391</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLOS Indoor</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>5.486</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.83</td>
<td>29.789</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2. Temperature Effect

A strong correlation between the measured distances and temperature on a daily scale has been observed during the test campaign. The data analysis highlights a linear correlation between these parameters described by a trend line with a slope of 0.0029 (Figure 10).
A correction factor $K$ has been computed for each displacement value, considering a standard temperature of $20^\circ$:

$$K = (T - 20) \times m$$

where $m$ is the trend line slope (Table 5) and $T$ is the current temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster No.</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
<th>Distance [m]</th>
<th>Trend line slope (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The application of this correction, consisting in subtracting $K$ to every ranging value, results in a consistent reduction of the data variance.

The temperature influence on data has been observed also on a large scale, and in particular on seasonal cycle. Figure 11 shows the influence of the temperature on the measured distances on a daily scale (Figure 11a) and on seasonal cycle (Figure 11b).

3.2.3. Long Distances Effect

The study of the long distances effect on the overall performance of the Wi-GIM system has been carried out thanks to the Roncovetro experimental test campaign. The inter-nodes distance has been studied in relation with the measurement accuracy: Table 6 reports the standard deviation of measurements for different inter-nodes distances values. In particular, the node pairs 3-4, 1-3 and 1-7 and pairs 2-11, 1-2 and 5-12 are analysed for cluster 1 and 2, respectively.

To evaluate data dispersion, data are analysed during periods of stability for each pair (from 11 March 2016 to 31 May 2016 for cluster 1 and from 1 December 2016 to 6 February 2017 for cluster 2), guaranteeing the measurements independence from actual movements. Moreover, all the selected pairs were characterized by a percentage of received data higher than 80% and of valid data higher than 60%.
(a) UWB distance measurements between node 1 and 4 (blue) vs temperature measurements (red). Cluster 1 in Arcetri, from 2016/11/10 to 2016/11/18.

(b) One-day-averaged UWB ranging measurements between node 2 and 3 over one year (Cluster 1 - Roncovetro).

Figure 11. Effect of the temperature on UWB ranging measurements.

The results of this analysis confirm the absence of any correlation between distance and Wi-GIM precision.

Table 6. Standard deviation of 6 pairs of nodes characterized by different inter-node distances (Roncovetro).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster No.</th>
<th>Pair of Nodes</th>
<th>Distance [m]</th>
<th>Standard Deviation [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-12</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The Wi-GIM system represents promising solution for a fast characterization of a landslide or an early warning system in case of emergency situations. The system, besides its portability and easy deployment, allows the monitoring of the affected area, providing warning messages also regarding the status (e.g.: battery level) of the cluster nodes and enabling an efficient planning of maintenance operations.

Based on these considerations, in order to highlight the benefits and the limitations of the Wi-GIM system and therefore the UWB technology, in this section the achieved results are discussed and a comparison among the proposed system and two traditional instruments, GB-InSAR and RTS, is reported. The choice of GB-InSAR and RTS as benchmark for our system evaluation is due to their early warning capabilities and easily monitoring of large areas with a relatively small installation effort.

4.1. Benefits and Limits of Wi-GIM

The main benefits of the Wi-GIM system for ground deformation monitoring are:
- **Easy installation.** Wi-GIM is easy to deploy and to set up in the target areas. The set up of the system is simple: firstly the SNs are deployed in the area to be monitored, secondly the MNs are placed in a known position in a stable area.

- **Quick installation.** It has very low set up time. Only the MNs have to be localized once they have been positioned in the stable area around the unstable one.

- **Flexibility.** Wi-GIM can be easily adapted to different types of landslide, subsidence cases or other ground deformations.

- **Cost effective.** Wi-GIM has a reasonable cost, and thus a large area can be monitored without prohibitive expenses.

- **Accuracy.** The integrated technologies of Wi-GIM assure the accuracy needed for analyzing different kinds of ground movements. **Obstacle avoidance.** The nodes do not need to be in LOS in order to determine their position.

- **Scalability.** Possibility to monitor movements on a lattice consisting of a large number of nodes.

- **3D monitoring.** Wi-GIM allows the 3D monitoring of the movements, also on a lattice consisting of a large number of nodes;

In Table 7 the benefits of Wi-GIM with respect of RTS and GB-InSAR systems are reported together with the considered evaluation score scale. Wi-GIM outperforms the traditional systems especially in terms of flexibility and deployment. As regards the completeness of information provided by the considered systems, which represents the detectable number of components of the movement vector: GB-InSAR can only provide LOS measurements (1 component), RTS exploits 3D measurements and Wi-GIM can perform from 1D to 3D measurements depending on inter-nodes visibility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Wi-GIM</th>
<th>RTS</th>
<th>GB-InSAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental impact</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation effort</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence of rain</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence of snow</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness of information</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:** 1- Poor; 2- Fair; 3- Good; 4- Very good; 5- Excellent.

In order to highlight the cost-effectiveness of the proposed system, a cost analysis is carried out for two different cases, involving a monitored area of 500 m² (case 1) and 100000 m² (case 2), respectively. The comparison between WI-GIM and RTS assumes: i) the optimal installation of Wi-GIM network (all nodes are fully connected and provides 3D vectors) and ii) RTS prisms for atmospheric noise removal are not considered. In details, 5 nodes/targets are considered for the case 1 and 30 for the case 2. However, it is worth noticing that it is not possible to asses an exact proportion between Wi-GIM nodes and RTS prisms, due to their dependence on the installation and quality of data transmission.

Table 8 reports the total cost of the three compared systems. Different costs are included in the total cost: direct, energy consumption, maintenance, installation and data transmission. However the considerable costs are represented by the direct and installation costs. Due to the too high purchase cost of RTS and GB-InSAR instrumentations, for a possible comparison with the Wi-GIM system, the computed direct costs of RTS and GB-InSA are represented by the renting cost of the systems for 1 year.
Table 8. Wi-GIM System Cost Analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Monitored Area [m²]</th>
<th>Wi-GIM Cost [€]</th>
<th>RTS Cost [€]</th>
<th>GB-InSAR Cost [€]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>14750</td>
<td>58100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>5220</td>
<td>18150</td>
<td>58100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the other hand, as shown in Table 9, the main limitations of the proposed system with respect to RTS GB-InSAR are represented by the lower durability, precision and maximum range. However, it is worth highlighting that the Wi-GIM is still a prototype system, which may be improved in terms of precision, battery life, node dimensions and cost. The system flexibility and capability to adapt to different operative contexts with very low environmental impact counterbalance these current limitations.

Table 9. Wi-GIM System Limitations with respect to RTS and GB-InSAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Wi-GIM</th>
<th>RTS</th>
<th>GB-InSAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durability</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum range</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: 1- Poor; 2- Fair; 3- Good; 4- Very good; 5- Excellent.

4.2. Considerations on the Factors of Influence in the UWB Ranging Accuracy

The accuracy of the UWB ranging measurements can be affected by several factors such as multipath fading and receiver noise [30]. The multipath fading is a feature of the wireless communications and typically occurs when reflectors in the environment surrounding either the transmitter or the receiver create multiple replicas of the transmitted signal which can randomly add up or subtract at the receiver. In UWB communications, contrary to what happens for narrow-band communications, the multipath fading can be drastically limited thanks to the particular structure of the signal [30].

The receiver noise can be identified as a group of error sources which depend on the quality of the UWB receiver, e.g. the stability of the on-board crystal oscillator. The temperature variation is directly related to the crystal frequency stability. The crystal oscillator on the Decawave DWM1000 module is classified as a room temperature crystal oscillator (RTXO) and its stability may vary within ±30 ppm in a temperature range from −40°C to +85°C [31]. As reported in Section 2.2.1, when performing a two-way ranging operation, the frequency drift of the crystal oscillator may cause the increase of the TOF computation error. When the thermal excursion in the environment is high, the distance measurement may vary even within the 24 hours of the day, as described in Section 3.2.2. In order to limit the temperature influence in the ranging estimation, a temperature compensated crystal oscillator (TCXO) should be used.

Another important factor which can affect the TOF computation is the received signal strength (RSS). Ideally, there is no connection between the RSS and the TOF determination; however, in practice, a bias which depends on the RSS can be observed in the time of arrival determined by the receiving node [32]. This effect can be eliminated with an offset compensation performed in the distance estimation process, as described in Section 3.1.3.

4.3. Performance Index

The quality over time of each inter-nodes link has been evaluated by defining the so called Performance Index (PI), which considers the communication capacity of each couple of nodes and
the correctness of the performed measurements. The PI allows also the monitoring of the Wi-GIM performance and its variation over time, enabling an update of the system settings in case of local anomalies detection. It is defined as the product of two parameters: \( PI = P_1 \times P_2 \).

\[
PI = P_1 \times P_2, \quad 0 \leq PI \leq 1 \tag{5}
\]

where,

\[
P_1 = \frac{N_v}{N_{tot}}, \quad 0 \leq P_1 \leq 1 \tag{6}
\]

\[
P_2 = 1 - D \quad 0 \leq P_2 \leq 1 \tag{7}
\]

\( N_v \) is the number of valid distance readings for each couple of nodes (outliers and invalid measurements excluded), \( N_{tot} \) is the total numbers of readings requested by the MN; \( D \) is the difference (expressed in meters) between the measured RTS distance and the compensated Wi-GIM distance.

As an example, in Figure 12, the variation of \( P_1, P_2 \) and \( PI \) over time is reported for a couple of nodes of Roncovetro Test site. \( P_1 \) is the parameter which mainly affects \( PI \) values; this is mainly due to environmental disturbances (such as vegetation growth, or bad weather conditions) which make the communication more unstable; however \( P_2 \) shows that the difference between the measurements carried out with RTS and Wi-GIM systems are almost the same, despite the flexibility and cost effectiveness of the UWB technology.

![Figure 12. Performance parameters variations over time: P1 (blue line), P2 (red line) and Performance Index, PI (black line), Roncovetro - Cluster 1, node couple: 3-9.](image)

5. Conclusions

A new prototypical ground instability monitoring instrument based on a low-cost UWB WSN has been developed. The system, called Wi-GIM consists of different network nodes organized in cluster (following the master and slave paradigm) and using the UWB to determine their relative distances in order to monitor ground deformations. The system has been validated and tested for landslide early warning in a real context, demonstrating its main promising features for future adoption and highlighting the current limitations that may be improved by further research and industrialization.

The Wi-GIM achieved precision (2-3 cm on filtered data) is lower than the one of the RTS and GB-InSAR systems, reducing the range of potential monitoring applications, but it is still a prototype system whose limits may be overcome. Its flexibility, easy deployment and cost-effectiveness
characteristics are the main system benefits. Its capability of adaptation to different scenarios together with the possibility of exploiting additional information such as the one coming from inertial sensors the slave nodes could be equipped with, leads to the definition of innovative applications, such as the monitoring of fractures and tension cracks (wireless extensometer).

Wi-GIM can work either in conjunction with traditional monitoring systems or substituting them in areas where the available resources are scarce, the local authorities and Civil Protection a useful tool for understanding landslide dynamics and for providing early warnings.
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