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Abstract: Carbon concrete PAN/lignin-based CF composites are a new promising material class for the building industry. The replacement of the traditional heavy and corroding steel reinforcement by carbon fiber (CF) based reinforcements offers many significant advantages: a higher protection of environmental resources because of lower CO2 consumption during cement production, a longer lifecycle and thus less damage in structural components and a higher degree of design freedom because lightweight solutions can be realized. However, due to cost pressure in civil engineering, completely new process chains are required to manufacture CF based reinforcement structures for concrete. The article describes the necessary process steps in order to develop CF reinforcement: (1) the production of cost-effective CF using novel carbon fiber lines, (2) the fabrication of CF rebars with different geometry profiles. It was found that PAN/lignin-based CF is currently the most promising material in order to meet the future market demands. However, significant research needs to be undertaken in order to improve the properties of lignin-based and PAN/lignin-based CF, respectively. The CF can be manufactured to CF-based rebars using different manufacturing technologies which have been developed on prototype level in this study.
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1. Introduction

In civil engineering in particular, today’s challenges are very clear against the background of the global scarcity of resources and energy. For example, approx. 10% of the world’s total energy consumption is needed for the construction and dismantling of buildings. In addition, current buildings only have a limited lifetime of approx. 40 to 80 years. In particular, the estimated 120,000 bridges in Germany with an age of 40 to 50 years show an increased safety risk. The economic damage caused by diversions and traffic jams caused by bridge crossing restrictions alone is currently estimated at 2 billion euros per year in Germany [1-5]. A lot of these resource and energy problems can be reduced to the use of steel reinforced concrete as the dominant building material of the 20th century. Reinforced concrete is resource-intensive, polluting, heavy and, above all, susceptible to corrosion. The use of alternative materials like concrete reinforced with carbon fibers offers an enormous potential for saving resources and energy [6-8].

So called carbon concrete composites (C³) are a new material class which is characterized by a rod-like or textile reinforcement made from carbon fibers that are embedded in a special fine-grained concrete matrix. Compared to conventional reinforced concrete, carbon or glass fiber reinforced concrete structures are more durable and resource-saving [9]. Due to their low weight, their outstanding mechanical properties and in particular their corrosion resistance, the use of reinforcing materials made of fiber reinforced plastics (FRP) is becoming increasingly important [10, 3]. Currently, these materials are mainly used in highly corrosive structures (e.g. bridges), especially in the USA and in Canada [11, 12]. Overall, however, the market volume of fiber reinforced concrete is still relatively small. For that reason, there are still no specific legal requirements or standards for the use of this material.
A large number of fiber-based reinforcements of different qualities, designs and materials are already available on the market [13-27]. A distinction has to be made between a flat textile reinforcement manufactured with different textile technologies and a rod-shaped reinforcement mainly produced by pultrusion technology [28, 29]. Due to the almost complete automation capability and a continuous feasibility of those two technologies, the processes of reinforcement manufacturing are characterized by a very high productivity and correspondingly by low individual part costs. This results in a high application potential with significant added value.

Due to the good mass-related mechanical properties of carbon fibers in particular, a significantly lower use of reinforcing material is necessary on the one hand. On the other hand, unlike steel reinforcement, carbon fiber based reinforcement is resistant to corrosion, so that the reinforcement does not have to be protected from environmental influences. This saves a considerable proportion of concrete cross-section which is not statically necessary but only used for preventing steel to corrode in conventional reinforced concrete structures. Depending on the application, this is, for example, approx. 30% savings on beam-shaped girders and as much as approx. 40% savings on concrete volume for plate-shaped floor elements. Further to the resource and energy-reducing effects, there are other economic and design advantages. As a result, significantly more filigree load-bearing structures with greater design freedom can be realized due to the smaller possible cross-section dimensions. This allows new architectural fields to be opened up for mass production. In addition, there are also many advantages for construction site production. Due to the considerable reduction in mass, prefabricated parts, for example, can be transported more effectively to the construction site or assembled on the construction site with smaller cranes and with lower energy consumption.

However, the great potential of C³ can only be increased and exploited when the single processes of the C³ manufacturing chain (Fig. 1) – from carbon fiber manufacturing to carbon concrete composite production – are fully understood on the one hand and industrialized on the other hand. Established manufacturing processes such as common carbon fiber lines, pultrusion or modern concrete technology should therefore be preferred.

![Process chain for the manufacturing of carbon concrete composites](image)

**Figure 1.** Process chain for the manufacturing of carbon concrete composites

However, the current fiber development is mainly focused on applications in the automotive sector and the aerospace industry. In contrast to the carbon fiber manufacturing process and the concrete technology, the manufacturing process of carbon fiber rebars with an adapted geometry profile is not yet industrialized. Beside the manufacturing cost issue, the special requirements for mechanical and functional properties of carbon fiber reinforced rebars such as high stiffness or chemical resistance have not yet been sufficiently met with commercial carbon fibers [28].

The pultrusion technology has become the technology of choice to produce carbon fiber rods with a constant cross section. Additionally, the first very promising solutions for the adaptation of this technology to manufacture reinforcement for high-strength fine concrete structures already exist [30]. However, the so-called carbon fiber rebar profiling is not yet fully understood and is therefore the subject of ongoing research. To ensure the necessary positive or non-positive bonding effect between the carbon fiber reinforcement structure and the concrete, the rebars must be profiled. This profiling is usually called *functionalization* in civil engineering. Although there are currently some approaches for profiling continuous fiber reinforced rod structures, the methods available on the
market to date still show strong deficits. The current approaches to functionalize fiber based rebars are shown in Fig. 2.

![Figure 2. State-of-the-art approaches for rebar functionalization (rebar profiling)](image)

One existing profiling approach is based on the constriction of the uncured rod structures using so-called auxiliary elements (e.g. technical yarn) in a continuous production process [29]. These auxiliary elements on one hand are used for shaping and are removed after curing (tight wrapped) and on the other hand are used as the additional reinforcement profile (soft wrapped). An even simpler solution is the sanding of the bars for a better connection to the concrete. Due to their shape, the resulting profiles which can be realized by those three approaches have frequently varying fiber volume contents, which has disadvantages from a mechanical (e.g. uneven stress efforts under external loads) and production engineering point of view (e.g. hardening cracks due to uneven shrinkage). In addition, there are profiled composite fiber rebars available on the market, based on standard pultrusion rods with a constant cross-section, in which the profile is produced in cured condition by a mechanical finishing step (e.g. milling) [29]. However, this procedure frequently cuts the reinforcing fibers. The tensile strengths of milled rebars are still relatively high, the mechanical performance of those rebars embedded in concrete, however, is relatively bad, especially under cyclic loads, since remaining parts of the milled profiles fail due to shear at lower stress levels. Beyond that, valuable and expensive carbon fiber material is removed during the milling process and ends up unused as garbage. The wear in the milling tools additionally makes this functionalization approach unattractive for the use in large series production in construction industry.

Thus, the two major drawbacks of the current C³ manufacturing technology are addressed in this paper: the need to develop carbon fibers which are tailored to the specifications of civil engineering applications and the efficient manufacturing of functionalized carbon fiber based rebars. Suitable manufacturing devices are described within that study. First results for rebar properties are given against benchmark values.

### 2. Tailored Carbon Fibers

In order to use CF and carbon fiber reinforced plastics (CFRP) respectively as reinforcement of concrete, the mechanical properties of steel have to be reached as a minimum requirement in order to replace it. The minimum stiffness requirements of CFRP-based reinforcement systems can be calculated by a simple rule of mixtures taking typical fiber volume contents either for thermoset matrix systems (typically approx. 60%) or for thermoplastic matrices (typically approx. 45%) into account. The requirements for the stiffness properties of suitable carbon fibers resulting from such a simple calculation results in a CF stiffness of approx. 350 GPa as lower limit for the use in a typical thermoset based CFRP reinforcement (with epoxy matrix). The limit according to tensile strength is calculated in a similar way. To reach the required tensile strength value of approx. 500 MPa of reinforcement steel with CRFP, the carbon fibers need to have a tensile strength of approx. 830 MPa.
for thermoset matrix systems. The required lower limits for the calculated Young’s modulus and tensile strength are shown in Fig. 3. Figure 3 summarizes the stiffness and strength properties of commercially available CF based on different raw materials and of research data for stiffness and strength that is published in the literature. It can be stated that the stiffness requirements for CF which results from a direct steel replacement with CFRP defined by the minimum Young’s modulus are already met today by both industrially available and experimental-scientific CF. However, candidate CF for a concrete reinforcement are either based on polyacrylonitrile (PAN) or on pitch.

![Figure 3: Tensile strength vs. Young’s modulus of different carbon fibers](image)

Due to the existing high cost pressure in civil engineering, a special focus in the given context has additionally to be set to the manufacturing costs and the availability of the CF. Depending on the production conditions in different countries (e.g. price for raw material, energy costs) and the desired mechanical properties, the production of PAN-based CF causes costs between 10 and 20 €/kg [32, 33], whereas high-modulus pitch-based CF, mostly used for aerospace application, cost about 70 €/kg [34]. In contrast, the manufacturing costs for reinforcement steel are currently about 0.84 €/kg [35]. The global crude steel production in 2015 was estimated to 1623 million tons. More than half of the capacity was used as structural steel in the building industry, of which 44% was used as reinforcement steel (approx. 357 million tons, see [36, 37]). The production capacity of CF is much lower: the carbon fiber industry specified an annual global theoretical production capacity of 136.5 thousand tons for CF based on PAN and pitch in 2016 [38]. The expected production capacities were not achieved because of lower plant efficiencies, so that only 63.5 thousand tons of CF could be produced in 2016 [38]. However, a CF-demand of approx. 160-215 million tons would be needed for a full replacement of reinforcement steel by CF. This CF amount cannot be reached by any means so far. A strong need for low-cost carbon fibers which are specifically tailored to the needs of the building industry on the one hand and a massive increase of CF production capabilities on the other hand is the obvious conclusion based on the simple considerations above.

2.1 CF based on Polyacrylonitrile (PAN) and pitch
Current industrially available carbon fibers are produced from copolymers consisting of polyacrylo-nitrile and various comonomers or carboxylic acids (e.g. methacrylic acid, itaconic acid) which are only produced to a limited extent. The polymers are spun into polymer fibers – so-called precursor fibers – usually in solvent wet spinning plants [39]. These precursor fibers are then processed to CF in the thermomechanical conversion steps – stabilization and subsequent carbonization under inert gas [40]. Oxidative stabilization and the crosslinking reactions under oxidative atmosphere (air) respectively are necessary to thermally stabilize the thermoplastic, thermal-degradative polymeric precursor. Three reaction mechanisms – cyclization, dehydrogenation and oxidation – take place in the temperature range from 200 °C to 300 °C to crosslink and form a ladder structure. Cyclization leads to ring formation (via a nitrogen atom) via radical or ionic reaction mechanisms. By dehydrogenation, the sp³-hybridized carbon atoms are simultaneously converted into more stable sp²-hybridized carbon atoms with the elimination of hydrogen. During oxidation, atmospheric oxygen binds to the cyclized C atoms and thus increases the oxygen content in the polymer by forming hydroxide or carbonyl groups with elimination of water. Introduced comonomers can positively influence the reaction kinetics of the three crosslinking mechanisms by side reactions catalyzed by the functional groups of the comonomers, which can lower the starting temperature of the cyclization mechanisms and thus accelerate the reaction rate. Furthermore, alternative treatment methods such as plasma treatment or electron beam stabilization are being tested in order to reduce the duration and energy consumption of the stabilization. The subsequent process step – the carbonization – comprises the thermal treatment of the stabilized precursor fibers in a temperature range of 400 °C to 1500 °C under inert gas, at which carbon yields of up to 92 % and a Young’s modulus of 400 GPa can be achieved. In the temperature range from 400 °C to 600 °C, intermolecular crosslinking condensation reactions of the hydroxide groups take place. Above 600 °C, denitrogenation leads to the formation of flat carbon layers. Further condensation reactions up to 1500 °C lead to the formation of turbostratic carbon phases [41].

A higher Young’s modules of PAN-based CF – up to 750 GPa – can be achieved during graphitization up to 3000 °C under inert gas, since the turbostratic crystallites are aligned in the longitudinal fiber direction. Alternatively, pitch based CF exhibit Young’s moduli with maximum values of up to 965 GPa (K1100), see [42]. These reaction mechanisms, either while oxidative stabilization, carbonization or graphitization can be influenced by the process parameters temperature, heating rate, residential time and stretching ratio and further influence the resulting properties, e.g. high Young’s modulus and stiffness, of the CF, which is investigated in different studies, see e.g. [43].

2.2 CF based on lignin blended precursor fibers

The limited availability and the relatively high costs of the high-tech PAN copolymers make the search for alternative precursors such as lignin, cellulose or nanomodified homopolymers of polyacrylonitrile highly interesting. Lignin macromolecules are stored in the plant cell wall and cause lignification there. Up to 30 % of the plant dry matter consists of lignin, making it the world’s most abundant biopolymer after cellulose. With its high concentration of aromatic compounds and a high carbon content of over 60 %, lignin is particularly suitable for CF production as an alternative precursor [44]. Due to its availability, lignin also has the potential to reduce possible availability bottlenecks for the building industry: it is reported in [45] that 147.5 thousand tons of high-purity lignin are available for an annual CF-production. Moreover, the global pulp production is estimated to produce 70 million tons of low-purity lignin, of which less than 2% is currently isolated for material use [46] and thus would be available for carbon fiber production as well. In addition to availability and sustainability aspects, lignin-based CFs offer the possibility of reducing production costs by approx. 50%, as both the costs of the raw material and the energy costs for oxidative stabilization can be reduced [44, 48].

In order to achieve the required properties of the resulting CF, the lignin needs to be purified in further process steps for the use as raw material. However, the mechanical properties of purely lignin-based CF – with a maximum Young’s modulus of 100 GPa and tensile strength of 1000 MPa
and below – does not yet meet the aforementioned requirements [49], see Fig. 3. Due to the high molecular inhomogeneity of lignin, the requirements for the use of purely lignin-based CF as concrete reinforcement cannot yet be met because the formation of defects in the atomic structure and the formation of pores during the thermal treatment of lignin-based precursors, which results in an increase in porosity and thus decreases the mechanical properties [47].

An additional approach to reduce the costs of the raw material is to blend PAN and lignin. By using copolymers such as aromatic carbons (e.g. naphthalene and anthracene [50] or nitrogen-containing lignin derivatives [51]), lignin-blend-based CF already reach Young’s moduli of up to 270 GPa, see Fig. 3. However, the manufacturing costs of such fibers are most likely higher because of additional process steps. The relationship between the increase in porosity and the reduction in mechanical properties is not linear but like a parabolic relationship for lower lignin concentrations and like a hyperbolic curve for more than 15 wt% of lignin [52]. This allows a substitution of a certain proportion of PAN by lignin with fewer defects and subsequently without a significant loss of Young’s modulus. In addition, the reactivity of lignin-PAN-based polymer systems can be increased by the addition of lignin and its functional groups, thus reducing the activation energy for PAN cyclization and oxidation [53]. Thus, the reaction time can be reduced, which reduces the energy consumption of the stabilization and consequently the production costs. PAN/lignin-based CF can therefore be identified as a promising medium-term option for civil engineering. However, further research efforts for CF based on lignin-blends are needed in order to achieve the mechanical properties at reasonable costs.

Scientific investigations regarding the manufacturing of lignin-based and PAN/lignin-based CF have mostly been carried out in laboratory tests in a batch process so far. In contrast to such batch processes, a continuous carbon fiber production chain to manufacture tailored carbon fibers has been developed within this study as a first step on the way to increase the manufacturing efficiency and thus decreasing the manufacturing costs, see Figure 4. The CF production chain is located at the Research Center Carbon Fibers Saxony (RCCF) which was founded by the Institute of Lightweight Engineering and Polymer Technology (ILK) and the Institute of Textile Machinery and High Performance Material Technology (ITM).

In a preliminary step, polymer compositions are tested using conventional PAN, regenerative resources such as lignin and various additives such as graphene in order to specifically influence the mechanical properties of the resulting CF. The raw materials are then spun into precursor fibers in a wet spinning plant at the ITM. By varying the process parameters such as solvent concentration of the spinning solution, solvent concentration of the coagulation bath, spinning speed, turbulence and distortion of the precursor fiber, the fiber properties such as crosslinking and orientation of the polymers as well as the diameter and surface quality of the precursor fiber can already be influenced [54]. In the next process step, the spun precursor fibers are first oxidatively stabilized in the stabilization line before carbonization in a low- and subsequent high-temperature furnace. The process parameters are also deliberately varied during these process steps in order to meet special requirements for the properties of the resulting CF. This is achieved by separately adjustable temperature zones of the furnaces, the residence time in the furnaces and the stretching of the fiber during treatment steps. During the thermochemical conversion from the precursor fiber to the CF, dust particles from the ambient air can lead to inhomogeneities in the atomic structure, which can lead to a deterioration of the resulting properties. To avoid this influence, the stabilization and carbonization lines are installed in an ISO 8 class clean room. Extensive batch tests made it possible to define the relevant process parameters in advance [47]. Subsequently, the fiber surface is functionalized in the next step by oxifluorination to improve the adhesion between fiber and concrete matrix [55]. The mechanical properties (Young’s modulus, tensile strength etc.) and the morphology of the tailored fibers (porosity, defects on nanoscale, microscale, mesoscale and macroscale) are determined either within the RCCF or by partners within the Dresden Concept Network (Dresden Center for Nanoanalytics DCN, Leibniz Institute for Polymer Research IPF, Fraunhofer IKT and IWS). The tailored carbon fibers are then manufactured either to textile reinforcement or to rod-like structures, see chapter 3.
3. Concepts for CF rebars

3.1. Design possibilities

In order to design CF rebars, their profile of requirements need to be firstly specified. All types of concrete show high compressive strengths and low tensile strengths at the same time. However, the transfer of tensile and compressive loads is essential in many structures, especially in structures with spanning function like ceiling elements or bridges. To support concrete in the tensile domain, elements made of materials with high tensile strengths are used. Because the support has ideally to be in the direction of the stress trajectories, the optimum supporting shapes are thin structures with a load carrying capability in axial direction. Fiber reinforced composites are predestinated for that load case.

Optimal and efficient lightweight structures made of fiber reinforced composites or hybrid materials are usually designed in an interactive and not in an iterative way [57]. Thus, the subsequent manufacturing technology has to be considered in the very early design stage because the shape of the reinforcement is strongly affected by the manufacturing technology. Composite materials allow a wide variety of shapes and, in contrast to metallic materials, also adapt the material by varying the orientation of the fibers and the fiber volume content.

Starting from the function of rod-shaped reinforcing structures, suitable design guidelines have been firstly established. The ratio between the contact shell surface and the cross section has to be as large as possible in order to secure an optimum load transfer and thus a high stress effort in the reinforcing material. Stress peaks at the interface between concrete and reinforcement have to be minimized and the decrease of shear stresses in the reinforcement cross section has to be as low as possible. Furthermore, the contact shell surface has to be profiled for a defined and predictable load transfer according to the reinforcing guidelines.
The realization of the design guidelines mentioned above is possible using different design approaches – starting from the global rod cross section design to a cross section variation up to a local realization of the functionalization, see Figure 5. On Level Zero (design of the cross section), an optimization starting from a compact full cross section to closed hollow cross sections up to open thin-walled or small-sized thin-walled cross sections can be performed. On Level One (global functionalization) variations of the cross section may be introduced as well as an axial rotation of the cross section geometry with the exception of a circular geometry. A Level Two functionalization which is locally realized on the rebar enables a design on the smallest scale. This local functionalization can be realized via bores, indentations, shapings and radial ribs. Each type of functionalization may be independently implemented and also combined with each other. Additionally, further functional elements may be used as inserts or attachments as well.

3.2. Manufacturing engineering solutions

The design possibilities shown above can be realized with different multistep manufacturing technologies. A combination of different technologies is additionally possible, see Fig. 5. The functionalized CF rods will be produced starting from a primary forming process with reforming, material joining and material separation, respectively.

The used fiber and matrix materials do not only affect the final properties of the rebars but also the technical realization of the manufacturing process. The developed carbon fibers can be processed with all common composite manufacturing technologies. They therefore have no significant influence on the choice of the manufacturing technology. In contrast, the choice of the matrix material – thermoset or thermoplastic – has a strong impact especially on the suitable forming process. Currently, reinforcing bars with thermoset matrix systems are more common. However, they are not very flexible for a subsequent shaping or adjustment in order to use the rebars as an anchoring element or to reinforce curved concrete structures. In that context, thermoplastic based rebars offer a higher degree of design freedom due to their subsequent formability. To secure a high manufacturing efficiency, preferably few basic material combinations are beneficial as well as a low number of preferably continuous process steps. The thermoplastic matrix system Polyamide 6 (PA6) combined with different carbon fibers is therefore focused in this study.

Figure 5. Design and manufacturing options for CF rebars with different geometry profiles
Table 1. Manufacturing scheme for different functionalization possibilities of the CF rods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process Step</th>
<th>Contour Milling</th>
<th>Drilling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary forming and separating processing</td>
<td>Consolidating shaping die</td>
<td>Rotating milling head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-impregnated fiber supply</td>
<td>Pre-impregnated semi-finished product pull-off device</td>
<td>Continuous semi-finished product pull-off device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form-pressing</td>
<td>Hot press unit</td>
<td>Displacing and inserting of additional elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-impregnated fiber supply</td>
<td></td>
<td>Discontinuous semi-finished product pull-off device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-situ rolling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary forming and joining</td>
<td>Injection moulding unit</td>
<td>Winding, braiding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-impregnated fiber supply</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continuous semi-finished product pull-off device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection forming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary forming as the only process step</td>
<td>In-situ rolling</td>
<td>Thread forming, helix pultrusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-impregnated fiber supply</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pultrusion technology is chosen to manufacture straight rods with unidirectional continuous reinforcement since it is the most predestinated and popular technology for such profiles. Novel developments have shown that bent and curved profile geometries can be manufactured in a
continuous process [59, 60]. An axial surface contouring can be realized in a downstream process step. As mentioned above, such a functionalization is a necessary condition for the use of CF rebars as reinforcement. The surface contouring has already been studied several times, e.g. in [61-64]. Glass fibers and thermoset matrices are primarily focused in these publications.

The basis for a pultrusion based rod manufacturing is the use of pre-impregnated fiber materials. If no commercial pre-impregnated fibers are available, the impregnation may be realized using an upstream injection device. Table 1 summarizes different manufacturing possibilities to realize the design possibilities shown in Fig. 5. All manufacturing possibilities have been analyzed within that study. The examples shown in Table 1 are subdivided according to the particular manufacturing technologies and their combinations, respectively. For the sake of clarity, only basic technology combinations are shown.

4. Manufacturing studies for CF rebars

In a closed cooperation with experts from industrial and scientific civil engineering, preferred options for CF rebars were chosen using the classification of the manufacturing concepts shown above. Prototype manufacturing processes based on the developed concepts were tested. In order to further develop those processes to serial processes, only efficient continuous processes were realized. Reference rods without any functionalization were additionally manufactured to evaluate a possible degradation of the axial mechanical properties due to the functionalization step. Those reference rods are partially also used as a preform for the functionalized rods. Five different design-manufacturing-options were chosen for realization: winding-forming with semi-finished tapes, contour-milling, form-pressing, forming with helix pultrusion and the introduction of additional elements, see Table 2.

For the winding-forming process, a semi-finished carbon fiber reinforced thermoplastic rod-like tape is melted on the surface for an optimum adhesion. The molten thermoplastic CF tapes are then spirally placed on the rod. The solidification of the wound functionalization has to take place within the shaping die in order to guarantee a reproducible functionalization shape. The tape has to be pressed against the rod preform to achieve the correct shape of the wounded structure. For that purpose, the shaping die has to have a temperature of minimum 30 K below the melting point of the matrix of 220 °C. An optimization of this process and a determination of the best process parameters were performed using a multitude of manufacturing tests to achieve a stable manufacturing process with reproducible rebar end products.

The contour milling of the functionalization with mostly spiral contour is a common process for fiber reinforced rebars. However, current studies have only focused thermoset rebars yet. Due to its wide distribution, the unilateral milling is adopted also for thermoplastic rebars here. An all-round milling manufacturing of the functionalization is realized by a rotation relatively between preform and milling head at room temperature without any cooling of the cutting tool and the rod.

The non-functionalized reference rod is also used as a basis for the functionalization by form-pressing. For that purpose, the reference rod is melted on the surface but not completely molten through. This pre-molten rod is then placed into the warm stamping tool and the outer contour is pressed into the rod. The optimum process parameters with respect to form-pressing quality and duration as well as adhesion to the shaping die are determined in manufacturing studies. The rod is heated on the surface to approx. 10 K above the matrix melting point and the pressing jaws to approx. 50 K below the melting point. By this means, the form-pressing process is finished after five seconds. For a transfer of this process to a continuous manufacturing, the shaping die can be designed as a circumferential press element chain.

The process of thermo-activated pinning [65] of plate-like thermoplastic composite structures has been adapted as another rod functionalization possibility. Thereby, a heated metal pin is radially pushed through the rod while the thermoplastic matrix is locally heated. This procedure enables a
direct connection of the pin and the rod. An additional load-adapted design can be realized when a link is placed or sprayed on the pin.

Table 2. Overview about realized manufacturing technologies and functionalization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functionalization</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Functionalized CF rod</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (no Functionalization) [RefNoFuc]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winding-forming by means of semi-finished tape [WindForm]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour milling of functionalization [ContMill]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form-pressing of functionalization [FormPres]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary forming only with helix pultrusion [HelixPul]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-displacing and penetrating of cylindrical elements [ThermPin]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The novel technology of helix pultrusion was developed and implemented in order to realize profiled reinforcing rods with an axial undercut. Using a relative rotational movement between entry and exit of the forming element, this novel technology enables a defined variable fiber orientation in axial direction of the pultruded rod. The axial undercut functionalization is realized as a thread-like contour. However, this contouring is performed without forcing the fibers into a given form like in
the winding-forming process which guarantees an optimum load-adapted fiber orientation in axial direction.

The achievable fiber orientation has a major influence on the mechanical properties of the rebars. Hence, the fiber orientation was determined for all rebar types using typical NDT methods see e.g. [59,67] for possible experimental procedures. The rebars [RefNoFuc], [WindForm] and [ContMill] show a more or less purely axial fiber orientation in the load-bearing cross-section. Local imperfections and thus local axial fiber reorientations are caused by the pressing-in of the contour for the [FormPres] rebar and by the displacement of the fibers during the insertion of the additional elements for the [ThermPin] rebar. Figure 6 shows the evaluation of the fiber orientation in a [HelixPul] CF rebar using computer tomography measurements. The fiber orientation was investigated layer by layer with full CT scans starting from the central axis of the rebar. Within the CT image analysis, cylinders with a defined diameter are placed around the central axis and the shell surface is shown as girthed area in Fig. 6. An axial fiber orientation was proven in the [HelixPul] rebar except the very edge regions where a fiber ondulation was determined as expected.

![3D picture of fiber orientation](image)

**Figure 6.** CT-based measurement of the fiber orientation in CF rebars produced by helix pultrusion

5. Experimental determination of the mechanical properties of functionalized CF rebars

To evaluate the mechanical properties of the rebar types and the influence of the manufacturing process on the properties, comparative tensile tests are carried out with the rebars. The comparison is made using the determined strengths since strain measurements to determine the Young’s modulus are highly questionable due to the varying outer geometry of the different rebar types. Beyond that, rebars have to be characterized with respect to their tensile strength according to civil engineering standards [68].

Only the load-bearing cross-section $A_S$ – the projected continuous cross-sectional area without functionalized areas $A_F$ – of the specimen geometries is taken into account for the determination of the strengths. Table 3 shows the load bearing cross sections $A_S$ and the non-applicable part of the functionalization areas $A_F$ for all rebar types. For the reference rebars without functionalization, the entire cross-section is taken into account for strength determination. Due to the rotationally shifted introduced additional pins in the [ThermPin] configuration, a projected load bearing cross section cannot be determined. Thus, in this configuration, the projected load bearing cross section is defined as the cross section in the area of the additional pin.

The basis for the evaluation of the manufacturing process influence on the strengths is a classical material characterization of the virgin composite material. Thermoplastic pre-impregnated tape preforms (SGL-CF-PA6-Tape) made from carbon fibers (SIGRAFIL C T50-4.0/240-T140) and
Polyamide 6 was manufactured to plates with unidirectional reinforcement and a fiber volume content (FVC) of 0.45. Standard specimens (dimensions: 250 mm x 20 mm x 1.4 mm) were cut from those plates and standard tensile tests according to DIN EN ISO 527-4 were performed. Table 4 summarizes the determined relevant parameters.

Table 3. Load-bearing cross-sections of the individual rebar variants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[RefNoFuc]</th>
<th>[ContMill]</th>
<th>[HelixPul]</th>
<th>[FormPres]</th>
<th>[WindForm]</th>
<th>[ThermPin]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_s = 50.3 mm²</td>
<td>A_s = 32.2 mm²</td>
<td>A_s = 41.9 mm²</td>
<td>A_s = 41.3 mm²</td>
<td>A_s = 50.3 mm²</td>
<td>A_s = 34.6 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_f = 19.1 mm²</td>
<td>A_f = 26.1 mm²</td>
<td>A_f = 8.9 mm²</td>
<td>A_f = 19.1 mm²</td>
<td>A_f = 92.1 mm²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Determined mechanical properties of the basic material (SGL-CF-PA6-Tape with fiber volume content 0.45)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical property</th>
<th>SGL-CF-PA6-Tape (FVC 0.45)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus E₁ (GPa)</td>
<td>101.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength R₁ (MPa)</td>
<td>1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture strain A₁ (%)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The functionalized CF rebar prototypes are tested until total tensile failure in axial direction. A simple clamping device for specimens with cylindrical geometry can be used for a quick material characterization. This device is based on the experience gained in [69, 70] and enables a continuous load introduction into the specimen with minimized stiffness peaks via increasing tightening torques. However, for cross section geometries that deviate from a circular cross section, a special clamping device to fix the specimens in the testing machine needs to be developed. Especially for the rods manufactured by helix pultrusion, an inversely segmented cone potting device proved to be very suitable. This device was designed and manufactured based on the results published in [71]. Using increasing cone angles between the single cone segments, even an increasing clamping in the direction of the end of the rod can be realized. Stress peaks and stiffness jumps can be prevented using this modified device. Both clamping systems are shown in Figure 7.
Figure 7. Specimen clamping – inversely segmented cone potting clamping (left), clamping plate fixation (right)

Six specimens have been manufactured and tested for every functionalization type. The axial load at total failure has been measured and the strengths are determined using the methodology described above. The determined tensile strengths are shown in Figure 8.

Figure 8. Comparison of the load bearing capacity of the functionalized CF rods compared to the non-functionalized reference rod and conventional steel rebars

It was found that all tested CF rebars have a significantly higher tensile strength than conventional reinforcing steel rods (B500S with 525 MPa [72]). This strength increase emphasizes the high potential of CF rebars as concrete reinforcement. As expected, those specimens with the smallest deviation from a purely unidirectional fiber orientation show the smallest strength decrease compared to the reference rebar. However, for a final assessment of the load bearing capacity of all functionalized rods, pull-out tests of the rebar out of a concrete specimen need to be additionally performed. In the case of a purely axial fiber orientation without any further fiber support between the functionalization and the load bearing cross section, the shear strength of the matrix material alone will determine the load bearing capacity in such a pull-out test. It has to be expected that an early shear failure without using the full load bearing capacity of the whole cross section will appear in the load introduction area for the winding-forming and the contour milling type rebars. This must necessarily lead to a revaluation of the load bearing capacity after a pull-out test series.
6. Conclusions

The novel material carbon concrete composite offers very promising possibilities for civil engineering applications. The current drawback of the C³ technology can be identified in the missing readiness for series production of two major process steps: the manufacturing of carbon fibers which are tailored to the specifications of the building industry and the subsequent efficient production of functionalized carbon fiber rods. Within that study, a carbon fiber manufacturing line containing precursor development, precursor fiber spinning, stabilization, carbonization and oxyfluorination is described. This novel process will enable the development of low-cost carbon fibers, either based on lignin or lignin-blend precursor systems. Further tests have to prove that such carbon fibers fulfill all property requirements on the one hand and can be further manufactured to CF rebars at reasonable costs on the other hand.

With the help of a systematic description of requirements for reinforcement rods made of carbon fibers, a design system for such rebars was developed that contains a multitude of design variants which can be used for further design studies on the component level. The design system also provides an overview of possible subsequent production processes. Thus, a solid basis for a systematic rebar development as a design tool was created. The identified manufacturing processes were developed and implemented on a prototype level in order to realize different rebar variants. They enable the evaluation of the production process efficiency and form the basis for the prototypical production of a sufficient number of rebars with a different functionalization. Five different manufacturing processes to realize different surface geometry profiles have been developed in that study. Especially the so-called helix pultrusion – as a manufacturing process for the production of rebar structures with surface profiling in one single mold step – was identified to be efficient enough to be successfully integrated into an industrialized reinforcement manufacturing process. At the same time, the helix pultrusion process still offers design freedom with regard to surface contours and fiber orientation and thus enables even greater optimization potential for the reinforcement rod design.

The manufactured rebar prototypes were tested with regard to their load-bearing capacity by means of an especially developed novel clamping system. Compared to the characteristic material properties of the basic material which was experimentally characterized with standard specimens, an assessment has been made how large the material property degradation is due to the manufacturing process. It was found that the properties of all CF-polyamide rebars with different functionalization are high enough for an immediate use as reinforcement since significantly higher strengths than conventional steel rebars are achieved. However, both an optimization of the manufacturing process with respect to the rebar properties and verifying pull-out test of the rebars out of a concrete matrix may be conducted to finally prove the suitability of the novel production technologies.
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