

Article

BINARY INTERACTIONS, HIGH-SPEED OUTFLOWS AND DUSTY DISKS DURING THE AGB-TO-PN TRANSITION

Raghvendra Sahai ^{1,†,‡} ¹ sahai@ejpl.nasa.gov

* Correspondence: sahai@jpl.nasa.gov; Tel.: +1-818-354-0452

† Current address: 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109

Abstract: It is widely believed that the dramatic transformation of the spherical outflows of AGB stars into the extreme aspherical geometries seen during the planetary nebula (PN) phase is linked to binarity and driven by the associated production of fast jets and central disks/torii. The key to understanding the engines that produce these jets and the jet-shaping mechanisms lies in the study of objects in transition between the AGB and PN phases. I discuss the results of our recent studies with high-angular-resolution (with ALMA & HST) and at high-energies (with GALEX, XMM-Newton & Chandra) of several such objects, which reveal new details of close binary interactions and high-speed outflows. These include two PPNe (the Boomerang Nebula and IRAS16342-3814), and the late carbon star, V Hya. The Boomerang is notable for a massive, high-speed outflow that has cooled below the microwave background temperature, making it the coldest object in the Universe. IRAS16342 is the prime example of the class of water-fountain PPNe (very young PPNe with high-velocity H₂O masers) and shows the signature of a precessing jet. V Hya ejects high-speed bullets every 8.5 years associated with the periastron passage of a companion in an eccentric orbit. I discuss our work on AGB stars with strongly-variable high-energy (FUV, X-ray) emission, suggesting that these objects are in the early stages of binary interactions that result in the formation of accretion disks and jets.

Keywords: planetary nebulae, AGB & post-AGB stars, binarity, accretion disks, jets, mass-loss, circumstellar matter, (sub)millimeter interferometry, ultraviolet radiation, X-rays

1. Introduction

The fundamental question that has motivated the Planetary Nebulae conference series is – how do the slowly expanding (5-15 km s⁻¹), largely spherical, circumstellar envelopes (CSEs) of AGB stars transform themselves into highly aspherical Planetary Nebulae (PNe), with collimated lobes and fast outflows ($\gtrsim few \times 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) along one or more axes. The importance of collimated jets in forming ansae in PNe was recognized in 1990 by Soker [1]. Based on the wide variety of multipolar and point-symmetric morphologies seen in unbiased surveys of young PNe with HST, Sahai and Trauger (1998 [2]) proposed that collimated fast winds or jets (hereafter CFWs), operating during the PPNe or very late-AGB phase, are the primary agent for producing asymmetric shapes in PNe. The CFWs are likely to be episodic, and either change their directionality (i.e., axis wobbles or precesses) or have multiple components operating in different directions (quasi)simultaneously. These CFWs sculpt the AGB CSE from the inside-out, producing elongated bubbles or lobes within the CSEs. Later, additional action of the fast radiative wind from the central star may further modify these lobes, and ionisation may lead to loss of some structure ([3]). If a dense equatorial torus is present, it may add additional confinement for the CFWs, as well as for the spherical radiative wind from the hot central star at a later stage of evolution.

Binary star interactions are believed to underlie the formation of the overwhelming majority of PNe, which represent the bright end-stage of most stars in the Universe. Close binary interactions also dominate a substantial fraction of stellar phenomenology – e.g., cataclysmic variables, type Ia

37 supernovae progenitors, and low and high-mass X-ray binaries. Understanding the formation of
38 aspherical PNe can help in addressing one of the biggest challenges for 21st century stellar astronomy –
39 a comprehensive understanding of the impact of binary interactions on stellar evolution.

40 In this paper, I describe our observational techniques for searching for binarity (and signatures
41 of associated active accretion) in AGB stars, as well as observational results from our recent studies
42 of three key transition objects that have likely undergone recent (or are currently undergoing) close
43 binary interactions. These objects show large and sudden mass-ejections during the AGB to PNe
44 transition, the presence of disks, torii and (episodic) high-speed, collimated jets. and thus are Rosetta
45 Stones for understanding aspherical PN formation. The paper is based on an invited talk that I gave at
46 the Asymmetrical Planetary Nebulae (APN) VII meeting (Hong Kong, December 2017).

47 2. Binarity in AGB Stars

48 Observational evidence of binarity in AGB stars is difficult to come by because AGB stars are
49 very luminous and variable, thus standard techniques for binary detection such as radial-velocity and
50 photometric variations due to a companion star cannot be used. However, one can exploit the favorable
51 secondary-to-primary photospheric flux contrast ratios reached in the UV for companions of spectral
52 type hotter than about G0 ($T_{eff}=6000$ K) and luminosity, $L \gtrsim 1L_{\odot}$. [Sahai et al. \(2008 \[4\]\)](#) (hereafter
53 Setal08) first used this technique, employing GALEX ([5]) to find emission from 9/21 objects in the
54 FUV (1344 – 1786 Å) and NUV (1771 – 2831 Å) bands. Since these objects (hereafter fuvAGB stars)
55 also showed significant UV variability, Setal08 concluded that the UV source was unlikely to be solely
56 a companion's photosphere, and was dominated by emission from variable accretion activity.

57 Accretion activity is likely to produce X-ray emission as well, as observed in young stellar objects
58 ([6]). A survey of archival XMM and ROSAT data found two AGB stars and the symbiotic star, Mira,
59 with X-ray emission ([7]). A pilot survey for X-ray emission from a newly discovered class of AGB
60 stars with far-ultraviolet excesses (fuvAGB stars) using XMM-Newton and Chandra by [Sahai et al.](#)
61 (2015 [8]) (hereafter Setal15) detected X-ray emission in 3/6 fuvAGB stars observed. The X-ray fluxes
62 were found to vary in a stochastic or quasi-periodic manner on roughly hour-long times-scales. These
63 data, together with previous and more recent studies [9], show that X-ray emission is found only in
64 fuvAGB stars, with an FUV/NUV ratio $\gtrsim 0.17$ (e.g., Table 1). There are two exceptions: RRUMi and
65 V Hya. RRUMi has a low FUV/NUV ratio and is an X-ray emitter – but unlike other fuvAGB stars, its
66 X-ray spectrum is dominated by a soft component, likely representing the expected coronal emission
67 from the main-sequence companion. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that in contrast to the
68 other X-ray detected objects, RRUMi also has very low FUV variability (as expected when accretion
69 activity is relatively weak). The non-detection of V Hya, which has a high FUV/NUV ratio, is likely
70 related to the fact that the companion is in an eccentric orbit, and the accretion rate, which is highly
71 variable, was probably low when the X-ray observations were done (2.5 yr after periastron passage)
72 [10].

73 From modeling the X-ray spectra, Setal15 find that the observed X-ray luminosities are (0.002 –
74 0.11) L_{\odot} , and the X-ray emitting plasma temperatures are $\sim (35 - 160) \times 10^6$ K. These high X-ray
75 temperatures argue against the emission arising directly in an accretion shock, unless it occurs on a
76 WD companion. However, none of the detected objects is a known WD-symbiotic star, suggesting that
77 if WD companions are present, they are relatively cool ($< 20,000$ K). The high X-ray luminosities argue
78 against emission originating in the coronae of main-sequence companions. A likely origin of the X-ray
79 emission is that it arises in hot plasma confined by magnetic fields associated with a disk around a
80 binary companion. The plasma may be generated by an accretion shock on the disk that gives rise to
81 the FUV emission in these objects. Based on the time-scale (~ 1.3 hr) of the quasi-periodic variations in
82 Y Gem – similar to the period of material orbiting close to the inner radius of an accretion disk around a
83 sub-solar mass companion, i.e., with $M_c \lesssim 0.35 M_{\odot}$ (implying a semi-major axis $a \lesssim 3 \times 10^{10}$ cm). Setal15
84 argue that the most likely model for the X-ray emission from fuvAGB stars is that it arises at or near
85 the magnetospheric radius in a truncated disk, or the boundary layer between the disk and star.

We searched the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) for GALEX data on fuvAGB stars, using a comprehensive input catalog of ~ 4000 AGB stars (includes O-rich, C-rich and S-stars) collected from various published sources and searches for counterparts in the GALEX archive within a user-specified search radius. We have generated a photometric database that provides (i) relevant parameters such as FUV and NUV fluxes, errors, and exposure times, for detected objects for each “visit”, (ii) the background “sky-noise” for stars that were observed but not detected, and (iii) variability properties for each of the detected objects. We find about ~ 100 fuvAGB stars in the FUV band at a $\gtrsim 5\sigma$ level. Even for the hottest sources in our catalog (spec. type M4), the detected FUV fluxes ($\gtrsim 20 \mu\text{Jy}$) are significantly in excess above photospheric emission. We find that many fuvAGB stars show strong UV variability as well (e.g., Y Gem: [11]), with time intervals where the FUV and NUV variations are correlated, suggesting changes in the emission measure and/or the obscuring column, and where they are anti-correlated, suggesting changes in the temperature of the emitting material. A tabulation of FUV variability measures such as standard deviation/median and (maximum-minimum)/median, versus the presence/absence of X-ray emission, shows that the former are good indicator of X-ray emission (Table 1), and supports our model in which the X-ray and FUV emission is related to a variable accretion process.

Table 1. UV Variability & X-Ray Emission of fuvAGB Stars

Name	FUV σ /median	FUV (max-min)/median	X-Ray detection?
Y Gem	1.9	5.13	Y
EY Hya	0.39	0.93	Y
CI Hya	0.67	1.33	Y
RW And	0.23	0.58	Y
RUMa	0.15	0.36	Y
TDra	0.069	0.29	Y
RRUMi	0.033	0.066	Y
UY Leo	0.30	0.73	Y?
V Hya	0.27	0.54	N
V Eri	0.19	0.37	N
del01 Aps	0.012	0.024	N
NU Pav	0.13	0.26	N
EU Del	0.10	0.19	N

(flickering) variations, based on current UV and X-ray data for a few fuvAGB stars. In addition, new kinds of variability may become apparent, since 2-min cadence data of the very high photometric sensitivity that *TESS* can achieve has never been reported for AGB stars.

Ortiz and Guerrero (2016 [13]) compare the UV properties of their sample with those of 12 AGB stars with known binary companions [14], and support *Setal08*'s inference that the detection of FUV emission in AGB stars is a strong indicator of binarity.

In contrast, *Montez et al.* (2017 [15]) claim that GALEX-detected UV emission is an inherent characteristic of AGB stars (likely combination of photospheric and chromospheric emission). Their conclusion is based on a sample of 468 AGB stars, in which they detected 179 in both bands and 38 in the FUV band. They state that there is evidence that the NUV emission appears to vary in phase with visible light curves in a few AGB stars, and then conclude that the UV emission is an inherent characteristic of AGB stars, and not likely to be indicative of a binary. However, we note that an inspection of their Fig. 1, (which shows the AAVSO visual light curves and the X-ray data for 9 stars) reveals only one star (RCet) for which the correlation between optical and X-ray variability is clearly seen. Furthermore, the GALEX FUV/NUV ratio for chromospheric emission is likely to be lower than 0.17, as e.g., revealed by spectroscopic observations of chromospheric emission in two objects: $\sim 0.05 - 0.1$ in TW Hor, ~ 0.1 in the red supergiant α Ori.

Another mechanism for producing high-energy radiation from single AGB stars may be long-duration flares due to magnetic reconnection events, suggested to explain an X-ray flare from the

A detailed UV spectroscopic study of Y Gem with HST/STIS by *Sahai et al.* (2018 [12]) (hereafter *Setal18*) reveals strong flickering in its UV continuum on time-scales of $\lesssim 20$ s, a characteristic signature of an active accretion disk. The *TESS* mission, which can provide 1σ noise sensitivity in 2 min of 690 ppm for fuvAGB stars as faint as ~ 8.9 mag in the *TESS* photometric band, can thus be used to detect optical flickering with amplitudes that are a factor ~ 200 lower than that in the FUV. We expect that such data will reveal some combination of the \sim hour-long quasi-periodic variations as well as stochastic

only AGB star that has shown one – the primary of the symbiotic star, Mira [16]. A high-sensitivity search in two stars with inferred strong magnetic fields did not find X-ray emission ([17]). Thus the (admittedly scanty) observations so far do not show a relationship between the presence of magnetic fields and high-energy emission from single AGB stars.

3. The Effects of Binarity

3.1. Large Episodic Mass-Ejections that end the AGB/RGB phase

The well-studied carbon star, V Hya, shows evidence for high-speed, collimated outflows and dense equatorial structures (discussed below), and is a key object in understanding the early transition of AGB stars into aspherical PNe. Sahai *et al.* (2016 [10]) found that this star is ejecting massive high-speed compact clumps (hereafter bullets) periodically, leading to a model in which the bullet ejection is associated with the periastron passage of a close binary companion in an eccentric orbit around V Hya with an orbital period of ~ 8.5 yr. The detailed physical properties of this ejection suggests that the companion approaches the primary very close to the latter's stellar envelope at every periastron passage, suggesting that V Hya is a good candidate where the binary interaction will result in a CE configuration (see also [18]).

IRAS 16342-3814 (hereafter IRAS 16342) is the best studied and nearest (~ 2 kpc) example of "water-fountain" PPN – a class of young PPNe with unusually fast radial H_2O outflows with $V_{exp} \gtrsim 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ([19]) showing that jet activity is extremely recent ($\lesssim 100$ yr, [20]), and indicating that these objects have become PPNe fairly recently. Its morphology is well-resolved with optical (HST) and near-infrared (Keck Adaptive Optics) imaging ([21], [22]). Radio interferometry (VLA, VLBA) shows water masers spread over a range of radial velocities encompassing 270 km s^{-1} ([23]). From a study in which emission from ^{12}CO J=3–2 and other molecular lines with $\sim 0''.35$ resolution was mapped using ALMA, Sahai *et al.* (2017 [24]) (hereafter Setal17) find that ~ 455 yr ago, the progenitor AGB star of IRAS 16342 underwent a sudden, very large increase (by a factor > 500) in its mass-loss rate; the average value over this period is $> 3.5 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

The Boomerang Nebula, long understood as an "extreme" bipolar pre-planetary Nebula (PPN), is the coldest known object in the Universe, with a massive high-speed outflow that has cooled significantly below the cosmic background temperature (Sahai and Nyman (1997 [25]). ALMA observations confirmed this finding, and revealed unseen distant regions of this ultra-cold outflow (UCO), out to $\gtrsim 120,000$ AU (Sahai *et al.* 2013 [26]). The very large mass-loss rate ($\sim 0.001 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) characterising the UCO and the central star's very low-luminosity ($300 L_{\odot}$) are unprecedented, making it a key object for testing theoretical models for mass-loss during post-main sequence evolution (e.g., Woitke 2006, Winters *et al.* 2000), and for producing the dazzling variety of bipolar and multipolar morphologies seen in PNe ([?]). In the UCO, the mass-loss rate (\dot{M}) increases with radius, similar to its expansion velocity (V) – taking $V \propto r$, $\dot{M} \propto r^{0.9-2.2}$. The mass in the UCO is $\gtrsim 3.3 M_{\odot}$, and the Boomerang's main-sequence progenitor mass is $\gtrsim 4 M_{\odot}$. The UCO's kinetic energy is very high, $KE_{UCO} > 4.8 \times 10^{47}$ erg, and the most likely source of this energy is the gravitational energy released via binary interaction in a common-envelope event (CEE). The Boomerang's primary was an RGB or early-AGB star when it entered the CE phase; the companion finally merged into the primary's core, ejecting the primary's envelope that now forms the UCO.

Although numerical simulations of CEE are becoming increasingly sophisticated, they are still very uncertain and require strong observational constraints (e.g., [27] and references therein). The Boomerang is the youngest and least-evolved known example of such an interaction, and it already raises a potential difficulty for such simulations in that the UCO morphology does not appear to be concentrated towards the equatorial plane, as numerical simulations usually indicate. A detailed inspection of such simulations by Iaconi *et al.* [27], shows that the ejecta's mass distribution tends to become increasingly isotropic with time. Since the UCO is observed at an age that is a factor ~ 650 larger than the 2000 day timespan in these simulations, it is not implausible that the lower-density

184 polar regions seen on small scales in the simulation get filled in with time (e.g., small perturbations
 185 in the velocity vectors away from radial would allow material to move towards the axis). New CEE
 186 simulations that can reproduce the relatively well-defined properties of the Boomerang Nebula, will
 187 be very useful in improving our understanding of this important channel for binary star evolution.

188 3.2. Central Disks and Torii

189 Like most bipolar or multipolar PPN, all three of the objects described above (§3.1) directly show
 190 the presence of compact, dense equatorial structures in the form of disks and/or torii. In V Hya, [Sahai](#)
 191 *et al.* [28] found, using HST STIS observations, a hot, central disk-like structure of diameter $0''.6$ (240 AU
 192 at V Hya's distance of 400 pc) expanding at a speed of $10 - 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

193 In IRAS 16342, the central region had (literally) remained in "shadow" because of its high optical
 194 depth at the longest wavelengths that it had been imaged ($12 \mu\text{m}$, [29]). Setal17's ALMA study provided
 195 an unprecedented close view of this region, revealing a compact source in $^{29}\text{SiO J}=8-7$ ($v=0$) emission
 196 and dust thermal emission at 0.89 mm. In addition, a high-density ($> 3.3 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) tilted torus
 197 is revealed in $\text{H}^{13}\text{CN J}=4-3$ emission. The torus has a size of 1300 AU, and its inclination is 43° ,
 198 consistent with the axis of its bipolar lobes (Setal99) and the high-velocity H_2O outflow axis ([23]). The
 199 deprojected torus expansion velocity is, $V_{\text{tor}} = 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and its expansion time-scale is 160 yr.

200 In the Boomerang, Setal17 find a dense central waist of size (FWHM) $\sim 1740 \text{ AU} \times 275 \text{ AU}$. The
 201 $^{12}\text{CO J}=3-2$ line profile from the central waist is relatively narrow and may include components due to
 202 rotation and expansion. Assuming its outer regions to be expanding, it has an age of $\lesssim 1925 \text{ yr}$. The waist
 203 has a compact core seen in thermal dust emission at 0.87–3.3 mm, which harbors $(4 - 7) \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ of
 204 very large (\sim mm-to-cm sized), cold ($\sim 20 - 30 \text{ K}$) grains.

205 The sizes of the above equatorial structures are much larger than the observed/expected sizes of
 206 accretion or circumbinary disks. The formation process is not understood; the waist mass provides an
 207 important constraint – e.g., CE evolution would likely cause expulsion of most of the stellar envelope
 208 ([30]), leading to much larger values of the waist mass ($\sim 0.1 M_\odot$) than wind-accretion modes.

209 3.3. Collimated Jet-like Outflows and Binary Accretion Modes

210 All of the objects discussed above show evidence for episodic, collimated jet-like outflows, but
 211 with significant differences. If the physical properties of the fast outflows in PPNe are accurately
 212 determined, and these can be used to estimate the jet momentum, $M_j V_j$, and the accretion time-scale,
 213 t_{acc} , which in turn can constrain the class of binary interaction and associated accretion modes (e.g.,
 214 Bondi-Hoyle-Lyttleton wind-accretion and wind Roche lobe overflow (wRLOF), via an innovative
 215 analytical modelling approach described by [Blackman and Lucchini \(2014 \[31\]\)](#) (hereafter BL14). In
 216 BL14's approach, the intrinsic jet momentum is estimated from the observed fast outflow's momentum,
 217 assuming that the interaction between the intrinsic jet outflow and the ambient circumstellar envelope
 218 is momentum-conserving.

219 In Y Gem, Setal18 find UV lines with P-Cygni-type profiles from species such as Si IV and C IV
 220 with emission and absorption features that are red- and blue- shifted by velocities of $\sim 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from
 221 the systemic velocity. Setal18 conclude, from these (and previous) observations that material from
 222 the primary star is gravitationally captured by a low-mass main-sequence companion, producing a
 223 hot accretion disk around the latter. The disk latter powers a fast outflow that produces blue-shifted
 224 features due to absorption of UV continuum emitted by the disk, whereas the red-shifted emission
 225 features arise in heated infalling material from the primary. The accretion luminosity implies a
 226 mass-accretion rate $> 5 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$; Setal18 conclude that Roche lobe overflow is the most likely
 227 binary accretion mode since Y Gem does not show the presence of a wind.

228 In V Hya, the collimated ejection of material is in the form of bullets, and the ejection axis flip-flops
 229 around an average orientation, in a regular manner. These data support a model in which the bullets
 230 are a result of collimated ejection from an accretion disk (produced by gravitational capture of material
 231 from the primary) that is warped and precessing, and/or that has a magnetic field that is misaligned

with that of the companion or the primary star ([10]). The average momentum rate of the bullet ejections is ($\sim 8.2 \times 10^{25} \text{ g cm s}^{-2}$), implying a minimum required accretion rate of $\dot{M}_a \sim 3.3 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Since the secondary must get very close to the primary's stellar envelope at periastron passage ([10]), the binary separation is comparable to the stellar radius (at 400 pc, $R_* \sim 2 \text{ AU}$, see [32]), i.e., $a_{or} \sim 2 \text{ AU}$, so the Roche-lobe overflow mode (RLOF) is the appropriate accretion mode, which can easily supply the required accretion rate.

IRAS 16342 shows two very high-speed, knotty, jet-like molecular outflows, whose axes are not colinear. The Extreme High Velocity Outflow (EHVO) and the High Velocity Outflow (HVO) have (deprojected) expansion speeds of 360 – 540 and 250 km s^{-1} and ages of 130 – 305 yr and $\lesssim 110$ yr. The spiral structure seen in the position-velocity (PV) plot of the HVO most likely indicates emission from a precessing high-velocity bipolar outflow (HVO), as inferred previously from near-IR imaging ([22]), that entrains material in the near and far bipolar lobe walls. The measured expansion ages of the above structural components imply that the torus (age ~ 160 yr) and the younger high-velocity outflow (age ~ 110 yr) were formed soon after the sharp increase in the AGB mass-loss rate. The relatively high momentum rate for the dominant jet-outflow in IRAS 16342 ($> 5 \times 10^{28} \text{ g cm s}^{-2}$) implies a correspondingly high minimum accretion rate of $\dot{M}_a = 1.9 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Setal17 compared this rate with the expected mass-accretion rates derived for different accretion models shown in BL14's Fig. 1, and concluded that standard BHL wind-accretion and wind-RLOF models with white-dwarf or main-sequence companions were unlikely; enhanced RLOF from the primary or accretion modes operating within common envelope evolution were needed. We revisit this conclusion because the BHL rate shown in BL14's Fig. 1b is derived using the primary's AGB mass-loss properties $\dot{M}_w = 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (and $V_w = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, together with assumed orbital separation $a_{or} = 10 \text{ AU}$, companion mass $M_c = 0.6 M_{\odot}$, primary mass $M_p = 1.0 M_{\odot}$) and not the much higher value of $\dot{M} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (comparable to the mass-loss rate in IRAS16342), mentioned in BL14's §3.1 and referenced in the figure caption (E. Blackman, *priv. comm.*), so the expected BHL accretion rate could be quite high in IRAS16342.

The BHL rate estimate is valid only if the orbital separation is much larger than the Roche-lobe radius, i.e., $a_{or} \gg R_{roche}$. For IRAS 16342, we find that $R_{roche}/a_{or} = 0.38 + \log(M_p/M_c) = 0.5 - 0.54$, assuming $M_c = 0.6 - 1 M_{\odot}$ and $M_p \sim 4 M_{\odot}$ (the primary was likely relatively massive, $\sim 4.5 M_{\odot}$, in order to have experienced HBB, needed to produce the very enhanced $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ratio observed in this object). Since the progenitor AGB star of IRAS 16342 must have had a radius $\gtrsim 1 \text{ AU}$ (e.g., [33] model its SED using an M9III star with $T_{\text{eff}}=2670 \text{ K}$ and $R=372 R_{\odot}$), the binary separation must be $\gtrsim 5 \text{ AU}$ in order for the BHL accretion rate to be valid. Hence, we consider $a_{or} > 5 - 10 \text{ AU}$, and find $\dot{M}_{BHL} \lesssim (0.3 - 1) \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, taking $\dot{M}_w = 3.5 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $V_w = 23 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for IRAS16342 from Setal17. We conclude that the HVO in IRAS16342 is not driven by accretion via BHL, but requires wind-RLOF or modes that provide higher accretion rates.

The Boomerang's central region has an overall bipolar structure; in detail this structure is comprised of multiple, highly collimated lobes on each side of the central disk, both in scattered light and in $^{13}\text{CO J}=3-2$ emission. The velocity of the molecular material in the dense walls of the collimated lobes is not particularly high, and we expect it is likely to be substantially lower than the velocity of the unshocked jet-outflow that has carved out these lobes, since the jet outflow has interacted with a very massive envelope (the UCO). Optical spectroscopy, indicating that the pristine fast outflow may have a speed of about 100 km s^{-1} ([34]), support this expectation. Assuming momentum-conservation to derive the fast outflow momentum (as in BL14) is likely to provide a severe underestimate of the intrinsic jet momentum – numerical simulations are needed. However, in this object, the OCO's extreme properties directly imply CE evolution (§3.1).

4. Concluding Remarks

We discuss observational results that address several key aspects in our quest to understand binary interaction as the underlying cause for the formation of aspherical planetary nebulae from

281 AGB stars. These include the use of UV and X-ray observations to establish the presence of binarity
282 and associated active accretion in AGB stars, as well as high-angular resolution mm-wave and optical
283 observations to study the properties of jet-like outflows in objects in transition from the AGB to
284 the PN phase. Further progress now requires (i) high-angular resolution mm-wave observations
285 (e.g. with ALMA) of a large sample of PPNe to derive the jet properties and the AGB mass-loss
286 properties immediately preceding the transition to the post-AGB phase, (ii) studies of accretion activity
287 in statistical samples of fuvAGB stars using UV spectroscopy and high-sensitivity, high-time-cadence
288 photometry to detect flickering (e.g., with the *TESS* mission), and (iii) X-ray surveys of AGB stars,
289 including those with known strong B-fields (e.g., to test the relationship of primary's B-field and X-ray
290 emission), and those with low FUV/NUV flux ratios (to understand the contribution and properties of
291 X-ray emission from the companion's corona).

292 **Acknowledgments:** I thank Eric Blackman for a helpful discussion related to binary accretion modes. The author's
293 research described here was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under
294 a contract with NASA, and funded in part by NASA via an ADAP award, and an HST GO award (GO 14713.001)
295 from the Space Telescope Science Institute.

296 **Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

297 References

- 298 1. Soker, N. On the formation of ansae in planetary nebulae. *AJ* **1990**, *99*, 1869–1882. doi:10.1086/115465.
- 299 2. Sahai, R.; Trauger, J.T. Multipolar Bubbles and Jets in Low-Excitation Planetary Nebulae: Toward a
300 New Understanding of the Formation and Shaping of Planetary Nebulae. *AJ* **1998**, *116*, 1357–1366.
301 doi:10.1086/300504.
- 302 3. Sahai, R.; Morris, M.R.; Villar, G.G. Young Planetary Nebulae: Hubble Space Telescope Imaging and a New
303 Morphological Classification System. *AJ* **2011**, *141*, 134, [1101.2214]. doi:10.1088/0004-6256/141/4/134.
- 304 4. Sahai, R.; Findeisen, K.; Gil de Paz, A.; Sánchez Contreras, C. Binarity in Cool Asymptotic Giant Branch
305 Stars: A GALEX Search for Ultraviolet Excesses. *ApJ* **2008**, *689*, 1274–1278, [0807.1944]. doi:10.1086/592559.
- 306 5. Morrissey, P.; Conrow, T.; Barlow, T.A.; Small, T.; Seibert, M.; Wyder, T.K.; Budavári, T.; Arnouts, S.;
307 Friedman, P.G.; Forster, K.; Martin, D.C.; Neff, S.G.; Schiminovich, D.; Bianchi, L.; Donas, J.; Heckman,
308 T.M.; Lee, Y.W.; Madore, B.F.; Milliard, B.; Rich, R.M.; Szalay, A.S.; Welsh, B.Y.; Yi, S.K. The Calibration and
309 Data Products of GALEX. *ApJS* **2007**, *173*, 682–697. doi:10.1086/520512.
- 310 6. Favata, F. Accretion, fluorescent X-ray emission and flaring magnetic structures in YSOs.
311 *Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana* **2005**, *76*, 337.
- 312 7. Ramstedt, S.; Montez, R.; Kastner, J.; Vlemmings, W.H.T. Searching for X-ray emission from AGB stars.
313 *A&A* **2012**, *543*, A147, [arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1206.5133]. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201118516.
- 314 8. Sahai, R.; Sanz-Forcada, J.; Sánchez Contreras, C.; Stute, M. A Pilot Deep Survey for X-Ray Emission from
315 fuvAGB Stars. *ApJ* **2015**, *810*, 77, [arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1507.07509]. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/810/1/77.
- 316 9. Sahai, R.; Sanz-Forcada, J.; Sánchez Contreras, C. Variable X-Ray and UV emission from AGB stars:
317 Accretion activity associated with binarity. *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, 2016, Vol. 728, *Journal of*
318 *Physics Conference Series*, p. 042003. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/728/4/042003.
- 319 10. Sahai, R.; Scibelli, S.; Morris, M.R. High-speed Bullet Ejections during the AGB-to-Planetary
320 Nebula Transition: HST Observations of the Carbon Star, V Hydrae. *ApJ* **2016**, *827*, 92,
321 [arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1605.06728]. doi:10.3847/0004-637X/827/2/92.
- 322 11. Sahai, R.; Neill, J.D.; Gil de Paz, A.; Sánchez Contreras, C. Strong Variable Ultraviolet Emission from
323 Y Gem: Accretion Activity in an Asymptotic Giant Branch Star with a Binary Companion? *ApJ* **2011**,
324 *740*, L39, [arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1108.3597]. doi:10.1088/2041-8205/740/2/L39.
- 325 12. Sahai, R.; Sánchez Contreras, C.; Mangan, A.S.; Sanz-Forcada, J.; Muthumariappan, C.; Claussen, M.J.
326 Binarity and Accretion in AGB Stars: HST/STIS Observations of UV Flickering in Y Gem. *ApJ* **2018**,
327 *860*, 105, [arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1805.03301]. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aac3d7.
- 328 13. Ortiz, R.; Guerrero, M.A. Ultraviolet emission from main-sequence companions of AGB stars. *MNRAS*
329 **2016**, *461*, 3036–3046, [arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1606.09086]. doi:10.1093/mnras/stw1547.

- 330 14. Famaey, B.; Pourbaix, D.; Frankowski, A.; van Eck, S.; Mayor, M.; Udry, S.; Jorissen, A. Spectroscopic
331 binaries among Hipparcos M giants. I. Data, orbits, and intrinsic variations. *A&A* **2009**, *498*, 627–640,
332 [[arXiv:astro-ph.SR/0901.0934](#)]. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200810698.
- 333 15. Montez, Jr., R.; Ramstedt, S.; Kastner, J.H.; Vlemmings, W.; Sanchez, E. A Catalog of GALEX Ultraviolet
334 Emission from Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars. *ApJ* **2017**, *841*, 33, [[arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1705.05371](#)].
335 doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa704d.
- 336 16. Soker, N.; Kastner, J.H. Magnetic Flares on Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars. *ApJ* **2003**, *592*, 498–503,
337 [[astro-ph/0209236](#)]. doi:10.1086/375686.
- 338 17. Kastner, J.H.; Soker, N. Constraining the X-Ray Luminosities of Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars: TX
339 Camelopardalis and T Cassiopeia. *ApJ* **2004**, *608*, 978–982, [[astro-ph/0403063](#)]. doi:10.1086/420877.
- 340 18. Barnbaum, C.; Morris, M.; Kahane, C. Evidence for Rapid Rotation of the Carbon Star V Hydrae. *ApJ* **1995**,
341 *450*, 862. doi:10.1086/176190.
- 342 19. Likkell, L.; Morris, M.; Maddalena, R.J. Evolved stars with high velocity H₂O maser features - Bipolar
343 outflows with velocity symmetry. *A&A* **1992**, *256*, 581–594.
- 344 20. Imai, H. Stellar molecular jets traced by maser emission. *Astrophysical Masers and their Environments*;
345 Chapman, J.M.; Baan, W.A., Eds., 2007, Vol. 242, *IAU Symposium*, pp. 279–286, [[0709.1797](#)].
346 doi:10.1017/S1743921307013130.
- 347 21. Sahai, R.; te Lintel Hekkert, P.; Morris, M.; Zijlstra, A.; Likkell, L. The “Water-Fountain Nebula” IRAS
348 16342-3814: Hubble Space Telescope/Very Large Array Study of a Bipolar Protoplanetary Nebula. *ApJ*
349 **1999**, *514*, L115–L119. doi:10.1086/311955.
- 350 22. Sahai, R.; Le Mignant, D.; Sánchez Contreras, C.; Campbell, R.D.; Chaffee, F.H. Sculpting a Pre-planetary
351 Nebula with a Precessing Jet: IRAS 16342-3814. *ApJ* **2005**, *622*, L53–L56. doi:10.1086/429586.
- 352 23. Claussen, M.J.; Sahai, R.; Morris, M.R. The Motion of Water Masers in the Pre-Planetary Nebula IRAS
353 16342-3814. *ApJ* **2009**, *691*, 219–227, [[0810.1271](#)]. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/691/1/219.
- 354 24. Sahai, R.; Vlemmings, W.H.T.; Gledhill, T.; Sánchez Contreras, C.; Lagadec, E.; Nyman, L.Å.;
355 Quintana-Lacaci, G. ALMA Observations of the Water Fountain Pre-planetary Nebula IRAS 16342-3814:
356 High-velocity Bipolar Jets and an Expanding Torus. *ApJ* **2017**, *835*, L13, [[arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1612.05616](#)].
357 doi:10.3847/2041-8213/835/1/L13.
- 358 25. Sahai, R.; Nyman, L.Å. The Boomerang Nebula: The Coldest Region of the Universe? *ApJ* **1997**,
359 *487*, L155–L159. doi:10.1086/310897.
- 360 26. Sahai, R.; Vlemmings, W.H.T.; Huggins, P.J.; Nyman, L.Å.; Gonidakis, I. ALMA Observations of the
361 Coldest Place in the Universe: The Boomerang Nebula. *ApJ* **2013**, *777*, 92, [[arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1308.4360](#)].
362 doi:10.1088/0004-637X/777/2/92.
- 363 27. Iaconi, R.; Reichardt, T.; Staff, J.; De Marco, O.; Passy, J.C.; Price, D.; Wurster, J.; Herwig, F. The effect of a
364 wider initial separation on common envelope binary interaction simulations. *MNRAS* **2017**, *464*, 4028–4044,
365 [[arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1603.01953](#)]. doi:10.1093/mnras/stw2377.
- 366 28. Sahai, R.; Morris, M.; Knapp, G.R.; Young, K.; Barnbaum, C. A collimated, high-speed outflow from the
367 dying star V Hydrae. *Nature* **2003**, *426*, 261–264. doi:10.1038/nature02086.
- 368 29. Verhoelst, T.; Waters, L.B.F.M.; Verhoeff, A.; Dijkstra, C.; van Winckel, H.; Pel, J.W.; Peletier, R.F. A dam
369 around the Water Fountain Nebula?. The dust shell of IRAS16342-3814 spatially resolved with VISIR/VLT.
370 *A&A* **2009**, *503*, 837–841, [[arXiv:astro-ph.SR/0906.0901](#)]. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200911984.
- 371 30. Nordhaus, J.; Blackman, E.G. Low-mass binary-induced outflows from asymptotic giant branch stars.
372 *MNRAS* **2006**, *370*, 2004–2012, [[astro-ph/0604445](#)]. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10625.x.
- 373 31. Blackman, E.G.; Lucchini, S. Using kinematic properties of pre-planetary nebulae to constrain engine
374 paradigms. *MNRAS* **2014**, *440*, L16–L20, [[arXiv:astro-ph.SR/1312.5372](#)]. doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slu001.
- 375 32. Knapp, G.R.; Jorissen, A.; Young, K. A 200km/s molecular wind in the peculiar carbon star V Hya. *A&A*
376 **1997**, *326*, 318–328.
- 377 33. Dijkstra, C.; Waters, L.B.F.M.; Kemper, F.; Min, M.; Matsuura, M.; Zijlstra, A.; de Koter, A.; Dominik,
378 C. The mineralogy, geometry and mass-loss history of IRAS 16342-3814. *A&A* **2003**, *399*, 1037–1046,
379 [[astro-ph/0302164](#)]. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20021921.
- 380 34. Neckel, T.; Staude, H.J.; Sarcander, M.; Birkle, K. Herbig-Haro emission in two bipolar reflection nebulae.
381 *A&A* **1987**, *175*, 231–237.