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Abstract: Intersectoral and intermunicipal cooperation are still underdeveloped spheres of public and economic development policies. This also applies to the natural economy to a large extent. Scientific discussions are invariably focused on pro-competitive activities, the economic efficiency of which is not always sufficient. In this paper the authors attempt to identify factors leading to cooperation between local government authorities and economic entities focusing on the forestry and wood-based sector in Poland. These processes were analyzed within the framework of the Spatial Economics and New Institutional Economy, both in the theoretical and practical context. The aim of the research was to identify the ability to create intersectoral and intermunicipal partnerships in already existing and newly established functional areas, as this may determine the development of the forestry and wood-based industry.
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1. Introduction

Due to the changes of conditions and factors shaping local and regional development that are taking place in Poland and in Europe, it is important to analyze institutional and economic relationships between the entities influenced by these changes. Moreover, in conducting research on intermunicipal relationships and on intersectoral relations we shall use similar methodologies and tools. Significant changes emerge between institutional relations and market relations, and they are no longer constrained by administrative borders between municipalities. The direction and strength of development processes in a given territory depend on a number of factors, for example:

• cultural changes (including needs and expectations of inhabitants);
• changes in competitive situation (social and economic);
• innovativeness and development of new technologies;
• changes of local competitive potential (self-governments, entrepreneurs, households).
These observations, which are a starting point for research reflection, are based on the spatial economics theory, drawing on von Thünen’s [1826] (location theory) [Sinclair 1967] and Weber’s [1909] (industrial location models) output, Christaller [1933] (theory of core and periphery), Hotelling [1929] (models of location) and Lösch [1938] (theory of economic region) [Jones 2017], and consequently regional science [Isard 1967] and new economic geography [Krugman 1991; Fujita and Krugman 2004]. Moreover, reference was made to current studies combining network analysis [Márquez et al. 2017] with regional competitiveness [Porter 2008], the mutual interpenetration of globalization and glocalization approaches [Robertson 1992, Swyngedouw 1997, 2004], and consequently seeking a relatively optimal path of integrated local development [Słodowa-Helpa, 2015; Lessem and Schieffer 2016].

Such a set of factors referring to local development challenges seems insufficient. Obviously the competitiveness of the local economy, availability and attractiveness of services (social, technical, etc.) for local inhabitants, and most of all the quality of local human capital, are still the most important factors of development. Besides them there are clear development barriers which - to a different extent – determine the functioning of municipalities or sub-regions, in connection with the economic activity of enterprises and everyday life of their inhabitants. A starting point for local development programming should be seeking new dynamic factors, which are not limited to local resources, but rather are related to intermunicipal and intersectoral cooperation. A development policy based only on competition is no longer sufficient and often leads to opposite effects to those intended.

The authors of this paper have attempted to verify the hypothesis which assumes the growing importance of development factors built on the basis of cooperation models, and not only resulting from the requirements of competitiveness. Based on their own research studies, the authors have analyzed the ability for advancing local development based on cooperation principles, on the example of an important sector of the economy in Poland, i.e. the forest and wood-based sector. Selected factors of cooperation between local authorities and economic entities for the benefit of territorial development were examined in this context.

Therefore, the aim of the research was to identify the ability to create intersectoral and intermunicipal partnerships in functional areas that have significant importance for forest and wood management in Poland. For this purpose, the analysis of values of the Aggregated Development Index (ADI) proposed by the authors was used in order to compare its spatial diversity with the map of forest potential in Poland. The collection and comparative analysis of such data is crucial for sectoral policy in forestry and related industries. Due to the strong influence of forestry and the wood-based sectors for the development of the Polish economy and the wood market, as well as an international trade, the search for economic development stimulators was considered an important objective for scientific research. It has both cognitive significance (for understanding of regional development, and the economics of this particular industry) and practical significance (for forestry, and all wood-based industries - and this in an international perspective), as well as being a part of the current research on sustainable and integrated development.

2. The importance of the forest and wood-based sector for regional development in Poland

When analyzing the importance of the forest and wood-based sector, it is appropriate first to consider the role of forest resources. Forests cover more than 4 billion hectares of the world’s total surface area, which means approximately 0.6 ha of forests per capita. The surface area of forests in Poland totals 9.3 million hectares – 0.23% of the global surface area of forests (55th place) and 6% of the surface area of forests in Europe (10th place). The forest ratio in Poland (in relation to its land surface area) is 29.3%. This value is close to the average forest ratio in the world (29.6%) and to the forest ratio in Europe, which is 30.3% (excluding Russia). Moreover, standing timber resources in Poland constitute 9.5% (2.3 billion cubic metres) of all forest resources in the European Union (4th place in EU) [GUS Forestry / Leśnictwo 2017].

The Polish wood industry plays an important role in the development of the economy. The most important sectors based on wood include: the sawmill industry, furniture industry, cellulose
and paper industry, market of wood-based panels. This industry is highly fragmented and focuses on small and medium-size businesses (there are only a few large enterprises). A significant number of micro-enterprises (covering approx. 30% of the entire sector) are not included in any official statistics. The share of the wood-based industry in the production of the entire Polish processing industry is more than 9%. The wood industry processes more than 37 million cubic meters of round timber on average per year, purchased mainly from National Forest Holding "State Forests" and worth more than PLN 7 billion\(^1\). The potential of the wood industry is additionally confirmed by the level of employment – more than 260 000 employees (including 124 000 in the furniture industry and 49 000 in the paper industry). The production value in the wood-based sectors exceeds PLN 90 billion (including PLN 32 billion in the paper industry and PLN 28.3 billion in the furniture industry); the upwards trend is maintained. The export value of the wood industry products in Poland totals more than EUR\(^2\) 15 billion with an upwards trend. Export of furniture dominates and reaches the value of PLN 6.7 billion. Poland is the fourth largest exporter of furniture in the world (following China, Italy and Germany), while other EU countries are the main recipient of Polish furniture (more than 80% of export value) [GUS Forestry / Leśnictwo 2017].

The competitive position of the Polish wood-based sectors is relatively strong. It is an effect of forest resources, the quantity and quality of round timber acquired from the national resource base, as well as continually growing significance of wood-based products in global production and trade. Despite the imbalance in the Polish wood market and the deficit of the wood raw material (a permanent phenomenon being an effect of the application of the principles of sustainable forest management), there is no threat for the development of wood-based industries in Poland. The following factors have a decisive impact on the following: dynamic increase in the demand for wood, wood products and popularity of wood as an environmentally and human friendly raw material [Bergen et al. 2013; Rajczak 2013; Chudobiecki and Wanat 2015; Kaputa et al. 2016]. A study of intersectoral and intermunicipal relationships – as potential factors of development – seems justified with reference to the wood-based sector, especially owing to its territorial dispersion.

3. Dilemmas of intersectoral and intermunicipal cooperation for the benefit of development

The gradual exhausting of resources which were the basis of local and regional development in Poland after 1989 is observed [Szewczuk 2016]. These resources include: income generated by traditional sectors of economic activity, unutilized land and facilities, unused human resources. Revenues from sale of property in the conditions of relative short-term economic prospects, European Union funding and credits (i.e. one-time or short-term income) have been used to finance local development up to this date. At the same time, the scope of tasks of regional and local authorities has increased; changes resulting from globalization processes are taking place in the economy; local communities are getting older and population numbers are actually going down. Demographic changes result from a drop in the birth rate and unfavorable migration tendencies. At the same time, these aspects are the cause and effect of cultural, systemic and structural changes in the economy. It seems that in the face of challenges associated with globalization and glocalization\(^3\),

\(^1\) For comparison, the current average exchange rate is 1 USD = 3.9164 PLN [source: http://www.nbp.pl/homen.aspx?f=/kursy/ratesa.html – accessed 2.03.2018].
\(^2\) For comparison, the current average exchange rate is 1 EUR = 4,3796 PLN (source: http://www.nbp.pl/homen.aspx?f=/kursy/ratesa.html - accessed 2.03.2018).
\(^3\) Glocalisation “expresses the way globalization dynamics - are always reinterpreted locally, leading to an interpenetration of the local and global scales that created context- dependent outcomes”. Some authors [Robertson 1992, Swyngedouw 2004] go so far “as to consider that glocalisation in the way that globalisation really operates”. Like the other “dynamics of globalisation, glocalisation also takes place in different fields” (first of all, in the field of culture and economics) [Backhaus 2003].
the human being (human capital) becomes the key factor of the development 4 [Słodowa-Helpa 2015], rather than rules of competition.

Functioning in changing conditions requires integration and improvement of the territorial development management system and this should happen on the initiative of local authorities. Based on already conducted analyses, it was considered to be the starting point [Wanat and Potkański 2011] to monitor and evaluate changes taking places inside local communities and in functional areas created by municipalities which are mutually related in their economic and social development. This monitoring and evaluation function should cover as follows:

- assessment of municipality’s development potential within the scope of functional area it belongs to;
- redefinition of the role of a municipality within this functional area;
- permanent adjustment of infrastructure, economy and services in a given functional area to dynamically changing needs.

At least some local development barriers have been identified within this context, including:

- a change of a traditional economy model to a knowledge-based economy;
- outflow (internal migration) of the most talented inhabitants to other cities and regions;
- urban sprawl and escape of inhabitants of city centers to suburban areas5;
- a necessity for permanent education and constant adjustment of employees’ potential to structural changes and expectations of the labor market;
- a growing level of social inequalities, being a result of technological, economic and cultural exclusion in local communities;
- a limited offer of local services (based on infrastructure rather than social resources) with a dropping impact on the quality of life of inhabitants;
- a relatively low level of collaboration between local authorities, social partners and entrepreneurs in the local and regional dimension.

It is worth comparing the indicated barriers with the thesis formulated by Richard Florida, the author of “Who is your city?”, who tries to convince that the possibilities of local development are influenced to a large extent by an ability to attract young inhabitants. The winning places are the ones that become appealing for the young [Florida 2010]. Actually, research studies confirm that sudden and rapid development is observed in places where young people migrate and settle. This view, in conjunction with the results of studies in local self-governments, leads to an interesting conclusion – namely, local development will probably be determined by a creative economy, in particular the force of combining the quality of an offer for young people with the level of intersectoral cooperation in functional areas. With reference to sectors of the economy, including the wood-based sector, challenges in terms of building intersectoral cooperation have been identified in three main areas [Wanat and Lis 2009] as follows:

- establishing and developing small and medium-size businesses;
- research and creative economy (innovations);
- efficient energy and use of renewable energy sources.

In the light of various observations it is required to improve the effectiveness of providing public services in collaboration with partners (process innovations) and the use of modern technologies (product innovations). It is extremely difficult to work out and implement an effective development policy without being focused on cooperation, competition and constant exchange of experiences between partners from various sectors.

The research refers to network theory, and consequently to the network analysis and SNA (Social Network Analysis) as well as ONA (Organizational Network Analysis) methodologies [Wassermann, Faust, 2007]. The starting point for the study of network connections is the correct definition of the network structure [Wanzenböck et al. 2014]. The aim is to designate a relatively

---

4 See the research work in the field “The Interdisciplinary Nature of the New Paradigm of Development - Considerations and Proposals for Improving the Dialogue…” [Słodowa-Helpa 2015].

5 The case of big cities.
independent, closed set of participants on the basis of specific, defined criteria (delimitation). The
difficulty of identifying networks depends also on the fact that their participants (stakeholders) have
limited opportunities to influence the network. It has been noted that network structures are not so
much the result of the conscious development created by the participants, as these emerge in a
certain way [Möller and Rajala, 2007]. These difficulties lead to the search for such methods of
measurement that would allow to identify the real networks of cooperation and to assess the ability
to create the above mentioned networks effectively [Cooke and Memedovic 2003; Fritsch and
Kauffeld-Monz 2010].

4. Sample assessment of the conditions of intersectoral and intermunicipal cooperation in Poland
– material and methods

   Each settlement unit (e.g. a municipality) is a part of a specific functional area. Therefore, the
addressee of the development policy should be not only an administrative unit, but a functional area
which may create many administrative units, and entities operating within a given territory. It is
hard to imagine development of functional areas without the support of partnership tools. There are
no comprehensive regulations in Polish law which would organize the matter of cooperation
between local government units, both in the intermunicipal and intersectoral aspects.

   In the designed research scenario, the local government units in Poland (LGU) were taken into
account, compiled by groups/types in Table 2 [the subjective scope of the research]. The potential
ability of these entities to undertake cross-sectoral cooperation within the functional areas [the
objective scope] was identified. The analysis was carried out for Poland [the spatial scope], looking
for common points especially for those territories that coincide with areas of strong natural resource
potential of the Polish forestry and wood-based industries. The comparative analysis was performed
for the period of 2008-2014 [the time range]. Selected network analysis tools were used, based first
on the authors’ research [Potkański 2016; Potkański and Wanat 2017]. Analyses of modular
structures and knowledge engineering [Grau et al. 2007] as well as connectomics achievements
[Sporns 2011] were referred to. In particular, the components of the cooperation model (point 4.1.)
and the spatial diversification of the synthetic measure, determined by the Aggregate Development
Index (ADI) (point 4.2.) were analyzed in order to attempt the spatial visualization of the growth
potential map of intersectoral partnerships in Poland (Figure 1.).

   For the sake of the research, several institutional forms of cooperation with the participation of
local government units have been identified. These include as follows: unions of local government
units, metropolitan unions, agreements of local government units, associations with the
participation of local government units (including local action groups) and commercial companies
with the participation of local government units. Cooperation may also be established under
public-private partnerships in case of single projects. Other forms of cooperation are regulated by
contractual freedom under civil law; these are the basis for the following: consortia, clusters,
agreements on mutual cooperation and exchange of experiences. Their application, however, is
characterized by limited coverage, mainly due to institutional barriers. The Polish legal system does
specify a universal formula of institutional cooperation. The differences in functioning structures are
linked among others with the following:

• partnership composition and mode of partner selection;
• objectives of partnership operations;
• scope of obligations and responsibilities;
• methods of financing;
• flexibility in the shaping of cooperation rules.

   Legal provisions determine to a large extent the Polish model of intermunicipal and
intersectoral institutional cooperation [Potkański 2016].

4.1. The pillars "model of cooperation" – discussion of the partnership paradigm

   A new proposal for a cooperation model results from research studies regarding various factors
of intersectoral and intermunicipal cooperation (Table 1). The proposal refers to research on the
factors of network cooperation, based on knowledge and cooperation [Luo et al. 2006; Lacam and Salvetat 2017; Potkański and Wanat 2017; Voorn et al. 2017; Giacomini et al. 2018].

Table 1. The 10 areas determined by the “canons” of intersectoral cooperation model standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE “CANONS” OF INTERSECTORAL COOPERATION MODEL STANDARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic dimension</strong> (strategic management in a partnership)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Partnership composition (a team adjusted to cooperation objectives)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Partnership’s potential (a diagnosis of resources and developmental needs of a functional area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. A network of functional connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Development programming (sectoral strategies and programs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Integration of services and infrastructure (coordination of resources and public services)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Integration and operationalization of development strategies (for a partnership and for partners)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Monitoring and evaluation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operational dimension** (management of relationships in a partnership)

| VIII. External relationships | Partnership’s communication with inhabitants and other stakeholders is a tool enabling to build social trust |
| IX. Space for debate and internal communication | Professionalism and transparency of debate as well as quality of dialogue in a partnership are considered criteria of cooperation development |
| X. Mutual trust | Relationships in a partnership are based on mutual trust, equal treatment and just distribution of responsibilities between all “actors” |

**THE AGGREGATE DEVELOPMENT INDEX (ADI<sub>LGU</sub>)**

Source: author elaboration based on [Potkański 2016, 127-163].

The model describes a desired set of cooperation mechanisms in key aspects of a partnership’s functioning. These aspects, in the form of scenarios enabling evaluation of partnership quality, have been presented as 10 standards of institutional cooperation. Seven standards refer to strategic management of territorial development, while the remaining three are associated with operational management of relationships within a partnership. An integrated partnership requires a

* A model of the Aggregated Development Index (for local government units) [Potkański 2016].
combination of aspects of the strategic and operational development of the 10 areas, determined by the "canons" of cooperation model standards (see: Table 1).

In this perspective, a combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches has proved necessary. The proposed ADI Index has been adapted to the research, adequately to the specificity of functional areas, integrating economic, natural and social role. The correctness of component decomposition was confirmed by research conducted by Chudobiecki, Potkański and Wanat [2016, 187-196], as well as by analyses based on a multifactorial model verified by retrospective regression, proposed by Popek and Wanat [2014, 71-77]. Of course, ADI is not the only, exclusive measure. It is necessary not only to constantly examine the variability of the ADI index, but also to continue the search for the measure that is as close to optimal as possible. Research in this area is continued, referring, inter alia, to the scientific papers of Paulo Reis Mourão [2007, 67-68; 2008, 49-86], related to the discussion on the Puviani’s Fiscal Illusion Index.

One of the measures supporting the process of evaluation is the so-called Aggregate Development Index [Potkański 2016, 36-42], which values were analyzed for the tested territorial units (in this case: municipalities).

4.2. The Aggregated Development Index- results of comparative analysis

A model of the Aggregated Development Index (for local government units {LGU}), can be presented in the following manner:

\[
\text{ADI}_{\text{LGU}} = f \left( Q_1; Q_2; Q_3; Q_4; Q_5; Q_6; Q_7; \ldots Q_n \right)
\]

Individual symbols mean as follows:

ADI_{LGU} – Aggregated Development Index (based on the model);
Q_1 – Wealth of inhabitants;
Q_2 – Level of economic activity;
Q_3 – Infrastructural space productivity;
Q_4 – Local real estate market potential;
Q_5 – Demographic potential;
Q_6 – Level of social development (the most - destimulant);
Q_7 – Demographic burden (the most – destimulant);
Q_n – Additional measures (the most – specific determinants).

The value of the Index is composed of at least 7 main elements, which reflect individual components of a municipality’s potential (functional area). These include: (1) wealth of inhabitants (PIT per capita), (2) level of economic activity (CIT per capita), (3) infrastructural space productivity, (4) local real estate market potential, (5) demographic potential (the so-called Creativity Index by Richard Florida) – as stimulants, and (6) level of social development (unemployment) and (7) demographic burden – as destimulants. The catalogue of components is an open set, permanently infinite, dependent on the individual features of a functional area.

The value of the Aggregated Development Index was calculated as a sum of standard deviations for particular components. The components were not given any weights to make the process simpler. The “Z-scores” method was applied, which enabled determination of the aggregate value of the index as a sum of standardized values of partial components [Potkański 2016, 40-42]. Selected results were illustrated in a table and graphically (see: Table 2 and Figure 1).
### Table 2. Changes to the Aggregated Development Index $\text{ADI}_{LGU}$ value in the years 2008-2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LGU (municipalities)</th>
<th>Drop ADI</th>
<th>Growth ADI</th>
<th>Change ADI</th>
<th>Number municipalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big cities (with county rights)</td>
<td>60 (91%)</td>
<td>6 (9%)</td>
<td>More than 5 points $\downarrow$&lt;-13; -5&gt;</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipalities (other)</td>
<td>188 (79%)</td>
<td>50 (21%)</td>
<td>Less than 5 points $\downarrow$&lt;-5; 0&gt;</td>
<td>1105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban-rural municipalities</td>
<td>307 (50%)</td>
<td>304 (50%)</td>
<td>Less than 5 points $\uparrow$&lt;0; +5&gt;</td>
<td>1315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural municipalities</td>
<td>597 (38%)</td>
<td>967 (62%)</td>
<td>More than 5 points $\uparrow$&lt;+5; +28&gt;</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selected intersectoral partnerships in the forest- and wood-based sector$^7$</td>
<td>$\uparrow$58%</td>
<td>(100% LGU/municipalities in Poland [2014])</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: author elaboration based on [Potkański 2016, 40-42].

### 4.3. LDI index variability analysis results discussion - the growth potential map of intersectoral partnerships in Poland

In the examined period from 2008 to 2014, a drop in the Aggregated Development Index was observed in general for 1,152 municipalities (the drop was significant in case of 47), while an increase of the Index value was identified for 1,327 municipalities (including a significant increase in case of 12). This increase refers mainly to rural municipalities.

No significant change was observed for urban-rural municipalities. Meanwhile, a substantial drop of the Index value was observed for towns and cities (including mainly 60 towns and cities with county rights). Moreover, a relatively constant level of the PIT per capita component was observed, with a simultaneous significant rise of the total dependency ratio (demographic burden) [Potkański 2016, 40-42].

Referring to the competitive situation of forestry and the wood-based sector in Poland, it was noted that just the “imposition” of the growth potential map of intersectoral partnerships in Poland on the map of forest resources allows for identification of the relative strength of convergence and even a large degree of overlapping of areas with significant potential both for the wood-based industry and the development of cooperation (intersectoral and intermunicipal partnerships). The fact that this observation concerns, and does not exclude, rural areas should be regarded as a strategic opportunity from the point of view of development policy. Indeed, the authors are aware that discussing and visualizing the results of the detailed analysis requires a separate study.

---

$^7$ The Index value estimated statistically - representative sample - of partnerships in the forest and wood-based sector, working in 5 regions: Opole, Szczecin, Zielona Góra, Koszalin and Olsztyń [Wanat 2016]. The formulated sub-model - for the forest and wood-based sector - explains 58% of the variability of predictor $\hat{Y}$ (endogenous variable), which is the value of the Aggregated Development Index $\text{ADI}_{LGU}$ (confidence level 90%, fraction size 0.5, and maximum error of estimate 10%). There was a trend similar to that for all municipalities (rural) [Graczyk 2005, Pokusa 2011, Wanat 2016].
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**Figure 1.** The variation of the value of the Aggregated Development Index municipalities in Poland (difference 2008-2014).


It should be added here that research on spatial autocorrelation is being continued in order to identify functional areas which development potential, based on the potential for cross-sectoral cooperation and the resources of the wood-based industry, is showing an upward trend; in this context, spatial relations related to the certification of wood and forest areas are also being studied,
providing an opportunity to gain competitive advantages for functional areas based on wood [Paluš et al. 2018, 699-710].

Identification of spatial autocorrelation in the selected territorial units (e.g. local government units - LGU) allows to state that this phenomenon influences the occurrence of a similar trend (with an increasing or decreasing direction) in neighboring units [Bivand 1984; Bivand and Piras 2015]. This conclusion is based on the "Waldo Tobler's First Law of Geography" [Miller 2004], from which the phenomenon of spatial interdependency is derived, inter alia, using IMoran's statistics and local spatial dependence indicators, referring to the LISA (Local Indicators of Spatial Association) method proposed by Anselin [1995]. Spatial autocorrelation statistics, by providing information on the type and strength of spatial dependence, enable the determination of relationships between the surveyed units and the identification of spatial (functional) structures with greater accuracy than traditional measures.

The selected examples of intersectoral partnerships [Potkański 2016; Chudobiecki et al. 2016], co-established by entities from the forest and wood-based sector, were taken out of a multiple case study and included in a comparative analysis. In places where an intersectoral partnership was functioning effectively, a significant increase of the Aggregate Development Index for a given functional area was observed in the analyzed period. Moreover, shifting tax "productivity" from cities to neighboring areas, including suburbs (local migration and urban sprawl), constitutes an argument in favor of intersectoral cooperation development.

5. Conclusions

The following conclusions could be formulated:

1) Potential and development capabilities of the functional areas under investigation is of essential importance for the regions’ economic development, especially for industries characterized by high territorial fragmentation i.e. the forest and wood-based sector in Poland.

2) An analysis of changes in the Aggregate Development Index values makes it possible to identify the functional areas and municipalities which possess the capacity to establish intersectoral partnerships. Comparing the map of spatial diversity of the Aggregate Development Index with the map of forest resources potential and the location of entities from the forest and wood-based sector makes it possible to indicate additional criteria for the development of intersectoral partnerships with the participation of forest management.

3) Institutional conditions of intermunicipal and intersectoral cooperation in Poland are concurrently an opportunity and a barrier for the establishment of partnerships with the participation of the forest and wood-based sector. The model based on the "canons" of cooperation quality assessment standards may constitute a tool enabling the evaluation of the opportunities for the development of intersectoral partnerships of an institutional character.

4) Structural similarities of partnerships established by local government units and the forest and wood-based sector in Poland result from the conditions of the economic policy and public policies. These are determined by the natural monopoly of State Forests (in Polish: Państwowe Gospodarstwo Leśne Lasy Państwowe) as well as the high degree of institutionalization of the wood market in Poland (primary market). The functioning of a secondary market in the forest and wood-based sector, dispersed and territorially diversified, is subject to market mechanisms. However, due to the dependence on wood resources, local wood markets are determined by the impact of the primary market of an institutional character.

A research approach, applied in this paper, to the examination of the development of intermunicipal institutional partnerships may constitute a starting point for a study regarding intersectoral cooperation. In particular, it seems appropriate to carry out further research on spatial autocorrelation for individuals combining inter-municipal and intersectoral cooperation potential (institutional) with the resource potential of forestry (economic). This seems to be an important, prospective contribution to the search for an answer to the research question of institutional, industrial and environmental importance of symbiosis in natural economy [Kusiak et al. 2018], which resources, although renewable, will always be insufficient.
The analysis of the selected examples from the forest and wood-based sector in Poland shows that the dynamics of integrated development of functional areas are determined by collaboration between and combination of the potential of different, both institutional (public) and market, entities.
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